DOE/PC/95215--T1

**DETERMINATION OF THE FORMS OF
NITROGEN RELEASED IN COAL TAR DURING
RAPID DEVOLATILIZATION**

Draft Semi-Annual Report

Principal Investigator: Thomas H. Fletcher

Grant No. DE-FG22-95PC95215

**Chemical Engineering Department
Brigham Young University
Provo, UT 84602**

April 30, 1996

**Date of Grant: August 1, 1995
Anticipated Completion Date: July 31, 1997**

Government Award: \$50,000 for first year

Program Manager: Philip Goldberg

Contracting Officer Representative (COR): Philip Goldberg

Reporting Period: November 1, 1995 to April 30, 1996

RECEIVED

NOV 28 1996

OST

RECEIVED
USDOE/PETC
36 APR 29 AM 10:16
ACQUISITION & ASSISTANCE DIV.

(U.S. DOE Patent Clearance is not required prior to the publication of this document)

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

INTRODUCTION

Control of emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) from coal combustion systems is becoming a major design and retrofit consideration. Most NO_x in coal combustion systems comes from nitrogen in the fuel, rather than from nitrogen in the air. Practical emission control strategies include burner design strategies (e.g., low NO_x burners), overfire air, reburning, selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) using reduction agents such as NH_3 or urea, and selective catalytic reduction (SCR). The order listed also reflects the order of increasing costs for implementation. It is therefore most economically desirable to perform burner modifications to reduce NO_x emissions rather than other control measures.

Low- NO_x burners work on the principle that devolatilized nitrogen species will form N_2 rather than NO_x under locally fuel-rich conditions with sufficient residence time at appropriate temperatures. The amount and form of nitrogen released during devolatilization influence the degree of NO_x reduction attainable using burner design strategies for a given coal. Nitrogen in the char following devolatilization is released by heterogeneous oxidation, and may not be controlled by aerodynamic burner modifications.

The use of comprehensive computer modeling is becoming an efficient screening method in the design of new systems, when based on sound fundamental understanding of the systems to be modeled. Although several empirical relationships for nitrogen evolution from coal during devolatilization have been developed, the fundamental chemistry of coal nitrogen evolution is still not fully understood, and is a weak link in comprehensive coal combustion models used for screening of new systems.

The objectives this work are to perform detailed chemical measurements of the forms of nitrogen in coal, char, and tar. Questions to be answered by this research fall into two categories:

1. Why do low rank coals (i.e., lignites) release as much nitrogen during devolatilization as hva bituminous coals when the tar yields are markedly different?
2. Why do coals of similar rank and elemental composition release different amounts of nitrogen during devolatilization?

Seven tasks are proposed to help answer these two questions:

1. Obtain representative coals being used or considered for use by industry. This includes eight coals from Dr. Pershing at the U. of Utah that will be used in his research for the DOE-HiPPS and DOE-LEBS programs.
2. Analyze parent coals for:
 - elemental nitrogen content
 - extract yield
 - elemental composition of extracts
 - XPS nitrogen form (5-member, 6-member, etc.)
 - ^{15}N NMR spectra
3. Collect char samples in the FFB under 0% post-flame O_2 conditions. Determine the fraction of nitrogen released during pyrolysis at high heating rates and temperatures in the FFB. Also perform XPS and ^{15}N NMR experiments on selected FFB chars.
4. Perform HPCP pyrolysis experiments to collect tar and char samples as a function of residence time and temperature. Determine the fraction of nitrogen

released during pyrolysis at high heating rates and temperatures. Also perform XPS and ^{15}N NMR experiments on selected HPCP chars and tars.

5. Perform solvent extractions on parent coals and partially-devolatilized coal chars, saving both extract and residue samples. Analyze residues and extracts for elemental composition. Perform ^{15}N NMR and high resolution chromatography experiments on extracts to look for changes in the forms of nitrogen as a function of coal type and extent of devolatilization.
6. Perform new NMR experiments (i.e., DNP) to better characterize forms of nitrogen in coal, coal char, and tar.
7. Develop a model of nitrogen release as a function of coal type based on chemical forms of nitrogen in coal.

EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS

This research focuses on the solid and liquid products produced during coal devolatilization. These include coal chars, tars and solvent extraction products of char. To produce the devolatilized products two systems were used: a drop tube reactor (HPCP) and a flat flame burner (FFB). The HPCP has been used to perform moderate temperature experiments (800 to 1200 K) at atmospheric pressures to provide char and tar samples as a function of residence time during devolatilization. The FFB experiments provide char and soot samples from a high temperature, high heating rate environment with products of methane combustion present.

SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS

The cost-shared part of this project started on May 1, 1995, and the DOE part started on August 1, 1995. Accomplishments from November 1, 1995 to April 30, 1996 include:

- Completion of a detailed set of pyrolysis experiments in the HPCP and FFB that included 5 coals pyrolyzed at 6 different conditions. These experiments provided char and tar samples for further analysis.
- Determination of chemical structure features of coal and char samples (produced in the HPCP at moderate temperatures) using previously-developed solid-state ^{13}C NMR techniques.
- Determination of chemical structure features of corresponding coal tar samples using a newly-developed high resolution liquid ^{13}C NMR technique.
- Theoretical analysis of the combined coal, tar, and char NMR data, resulting for in a balance on the number of aromatic clusters during pyrolysis and a determination of the number of nitrogens per aromatic cluster in the coal, tar, and char. This is the first time these kind of data have been available.
- Preliminary high resolution ^{15}N NMR analyses of four coals, indicating potential variation in the forms of nitrogen found in coals of different rank.
- Preliminary work was started under the direction of Dr. Milt Lee involving tar samples to be analyzed with gas chromatography techniques.
- Further collaborative work with Dr. Simon Kelemen at Exxon Research to use XPS to analyze char samples produced in the HPCP.

HPCP and FFB Pyrolysis Experiments

Chars and tars have been produced and collected for analysis in the HPCP drop tube reactor at conditions found in Table 1. Pyrolysis tests have been performed on the five coals listed in Table 2. The "D" designation on the PSOC number refers to the set of coal samples prepared under the direction of the DOE/PETC Direct Utilization AR&TD program, and have been sieved and aerodynamically classified. The 63-75 μm size fraction was used for all of the experiments. CHN analysis has been performed on all the chars and tars produced to date. Tar and char samples collected at the 320 ms condition from each of the five coals have been sent to Exxon for XPS analysis. Six matching samples of coal, char and tar were analyzed with the use of ^{13}C NMR. These samples include the three high volatile bituminous coals pyrolyzed at the 900 K and the 920 K condition. It is anticipated that the combination of analysis techniques will permit insight into the nitrogen evolution process.

^{13}C NMR Analysis

Solid state ^{13}C NMR techniques (CP/MAS and dipolar dephasing) have been used to determine the chemical structure features of coals and coal chars. (Orendt, et al., 1992, Solum, et al., 1989) In addition to carbon aromaticity (f_a), the distinction between aromatic carbons with and without attachments (such as hydrogen, carbon, or oxygen) is measured. The specification of the number of aromatic carbons per cluster (C_{cl}) provides the basis for the determination of many useful chemical structural features. (Solum, et al., 1989) Probably one of the most important quantities is the number of attachments per aromatic cluster, referred to as the coordination number ($\sigma+1$), which helps determine the degree of crosslinking in the sample.

A high resolution ^{13}C NMR technique was recently developed and applied to model compounds, mixtures, and coal-derived liquid samples. (Bai, et al., 1995) This technique uses spin-lattice relaxation to differentiate protonated from nonprotonated carbons, based on relaxation differences arising from direct CH dipolar interactions. Average aromatic ring sizes and other lattice parameters are estimated using the procedures developed for solid-state ^{13}C NMR. (Orendt, et al., 1992, Solum, et al., 1989)

Tar samples were dissolved in deuterated methylene chloride (CD_2Cl_2) and then filtered. A significant amount of insoluble residue was obtained for each tar. This tar residue was subsequently analyzed using the same solid-state ^{13}C NMR technique as that used for coal char. The six tar samples from the three high volatile bituminous coals at the 900 K and 920 K condition were analyzed. The tars from the 920 K condition were the analyzed on a preliminary basis to determine the validity of the high resolution ^{13}C NMR technique on coal tars. The analysis technique for coal tars did not, at first, account for a nonsoluble portion. Therefore the tar residues of this analysis were not analyzed. The analysis method was later modified to account for the tar residue portion in subsequent samples. This allowed for a more complete analysis of the tar from the 900 K condition.

The data from all the analyses are found in Tables 3 to 6. The data from the 900 K condition include the combined tar values, calculated as a weighted average of the dissolved tar and tar residue. As seen in Table 4, 12 to 42% of the tar sample collected at the 900 K condition was insoluble in CD_2Cl_2 and was deposited on the filter as residue.

One of the most interesting features of these data are the average value of aromatic carbons per cluster (C_{cl}). The C_{cl} in the coal is 13 to 15, which corresponds to structures with 3 to 4 aromatic rings. The values of C_{cl} in the tar residue are similar to those found in the coal. Perhaps the most interesting finding is that the average cluster size of the

dissolved tar ranges from 7 to 9 aromatic carbons. This is significantly lower than the values of 12 to 15 aromatic carbons per cluster found in the coal and tar residue (and the value of 15 to 18 in the char). The C_{cl} of the combined tar is also much lower than the coal and the char.

Nitrogen per Cluster in the Tar

In a recent paper, Niksa(Niksa, 1994) postulated that nitrogen evolution during pyrolysis could be modeled assuming that the mass of nitrogen per aromatic cluster in the coal tar was equal to that in the parent coal. The previous quarterly report estimated the mass of nitrogen per cluster by assembling published data from several different investigators and making some assumptions. The information that was obtained in this quarter allows for the calculation of the mass of nitrogen per cluster for the first time from a single set of matching coal, char and tar samples, without making the assumptions of the previous analysis. The mass of nitrogen per cluster $M_{cluster}^N$ can be calculated as follows:

$$M_{cluster}^N = \frac{x_N}{x_C} MW_C \frac{C_{cl}}{f_a} = MW_{cl} x_N \quad (1)$$

x_N = wt% N in coal (daf)

x_C = wt% C in coal (daf)

MW_C = molecular weight of carbon

C_{cl} = # of aromatic carbon per cluster

f_a = carbon aromaticity, or ratio of $\frac{\text{aromatic carbons}}{\text{total carbons}}$ in coal or char

MW_{cl} = molecular weight per aromatic cluster

Results of this analysis are shown in Fig. 1 for three coals pyrolyzed at moderate temperatures, indicating that the mass of nitrogen per cluster in the tars does not equal the mass of nitrogen per cluster in the parent coal. This result is interesting and has major implications regarding network devolatilization models, and therefore deserves further examination. Plans include similar NMR analyses of matching sets of coal tars produced at higher temperatures and greater extents of devolatilization (the char samples in Fig. 1 were only 50 to 75% pyrolyzed).

^{15}N NMR Analysis

The early stages of the ^{15}N NMR spectroscopy work has focused on studies of simple nitrogen heterocycles. It was noted early that different types of nitrogen species respond in diverse ways during cross polarization experiments. The time constants governing H-N cross polarization are dependent on the efficiency of spin diffusion, and this process is dependent on the motional characteristics and proximity of protons to the nitrogen functional groups in the coal samples. From model compound studies of isotopically enriched materials it has been observed that the proton-nitrogen cross polarization time constant can be as much as an order of magnitude longer for non-protonated nitrogens than for those with directly bonded hydrogens. Hence, one would expect the non-protonated nitrogens in 5- and 6-member ring structures to respond quite differently to those of protonated nitrogens in similar ring systems. We have, in fact observed such behavior.(Solum, et al., 1996) Given the short values for the proton $T_{1\rho}$ in coals, we have concluded that relative intensity of non-protonated nitrogens will be no greater than 1/5-1/4 that of an equivalent number of protonated nitrogens. Hence, all that one can expect to detect is pyrrolic and quaternary forms of nitrogen species in coal samples. These model

compound data explain the "apparent" absence of pyridinic nitrogens in the ^{15}N spectra that have been published on coals.(Davidson, 1994, Knicker, et al., 1995)

^{15}N NMR studies at the natural abundance level have been especially difficult for two basic reasons: 1) the low receptivity of the nitrogen nucleus (0.065 relative to the ^{13}C nucleus) and 2) the low nitrogen concentration in coals (typically 1-4 percent). Thus, standard NMR methods for obtaining ^{15}N NMR data in coals have been frustrating even though a few spectra (taken with accumulation of $1.5\text{-}2.5 \times 10^6$ scans but of low S/N) have been measured.(Davidson, 1994, Knicker, et al., 1995) Taking advantage of high field strength (9.4 tesla) provides some advantage, but the sensitivity problem will require additional innovations if one is to significantly improve the quality of data obtainable. That such innovations may be possible has recently been demonstrated in preliminary experiments.(Hu, et al., 1996) Using a sample doped with a stable free radical, we observed an enhancement factor in the 500-1000 range by employing the dynamic nuclear polarization experiment to a model compound. Further experiments are presently under way.

We have recently obtained ^{15}N NMR data on a series of coals of different ranks (lignite, subbituminous, high volatile bituminous, and low volatile bituminous), as shown in Figure 2. The S/N is rather poor even with the acquisition of $\text{ca } 4 \times 10^5$ scans per spectrum taken at a magnetic field strength of 9.4 tesla. As expected from the standard cross polarization experiment, only the protonated pyrrolic nitrogens are observed, as the used contact time of 1 msec is too short to polarize non-protonated nitrogen nuclei. A careful examination of these four spectra indicates that differences do exist in the types of pyrrolic structures observed. The rather broad signal moves to higher field with coal rank and, in the case of Pocahontas #3, two distinct resonance lines (confirmed by carrying out multiple duplicate experiments) are observed in the pyrrole/carbazole chemical shift region.

Nitrogen-Specific Chromatography and XPS Analyses

Nitrogen-specific chromatography of coal tars produced in the HPCP was initiated this reporting period, in collaboration with Professor Milt Lee at BYU. Initial results indicate challenges with the high molecular weight of coal tars. In addition, coal tars were sent to Exxon for XPS analysis, and the resulting data are currently being analyzed.

Flat Flame Burner Experiments

Flat-flame burner experiments on additional coals was initiated this reporting period, and will continue into the next reporting period.

FUTURE PLANS

Future plans includes expanding the matrix of HPCP pyrolysis conditions to include (i) shorter residence times and (ii) higher temperatures. This will permit examination of samples from early to late devolatilization. Char and tar samples from the five coals already tested in the HPCP will be analyzed by researchers at Exxon research using the XPS technique. The FFB burner will be used to obtain char and soot samples at a maximum temperature of 1700 K for a number of coals. Further analysis of tar samples will be performed using NMR techniques to determine the nitrogen per cluster, and the tar values will be compared with the coal values.

REFERENCES

- Bai, S., R. J. Pugmire, C. L. Mayne and D. M. Grant, *Analytical Chemistry* , **67**, 3433-3440 (1995).
- Davidson, R. M. "Nitrogen in Coal," IEA Coal Research, ISBN 92-9029-230-X (1994).
- Hu, J. Z., J. Zhou, B. Yang, L. Li, J. Qiu, C. Ye, R. J. Pugmire, M. S. Solum, W. R. and D. M. Grant, *submitted to Solid State NMR* , (1996).
- Knicker, H., P. G. Hatcher and A. W. E. F. Scaroni, *Energy and Fuels* , **9**, 999 (1995).
- Niksa, S., In *25th Symposium (Int.) on Combustion*; The Combustion Institute, Pittsburgh, PA, pp 537 (1994).
- Orendt, A. M., M. S. Solum, N. K. Sethi, R. J. Pugmire and D. M. Grant, *¹³C NMR Techniques for Structural Studies of Coals and Coal Chars*, In *Advances in Coal Spectroscopy*, H. L. C. Meuzelaar, Ed., Plenum Press, New York , pp 215-254 (1992).
- Solum, M. S., K. A. Altman, M. Strohmeyer, D. Berges, Y. Zhang, J. C. Facelli, R. J. Pugmire and D. M. Grant , , submitted for publication (1996).
- Solum, M. S., R. J. Pugmire and D. M. Grant, *Energy and Fuels* , **3**, 187 (1989).

PUBLICATIONS/PRESENTATIONS RELATED TO THIS CONTRACT

1. Fletcher, T. H., M. Watt, S. Bai, M. S. Solum, and R. J. Pugmire, "Chemical Structure of Coal Tar During Devolatilization," ACS Division of Fuel Chemistry preprints, **41**(2), 752-755, New Orleans, LA (March 1996).
2. Watt, M., E. Hambly, D. Genetti, and T. H. Fletcher, "Nitrogen Release During Coal Devolatilization," poster presented at the Tenth Annual Technical Conference, Advanced Combustion Engineering Research Center, Salt Lake City, UT (March 1996).
3. Watt, M., T. H. Fletcher, S. Bai, M. S. Solum, and R. J. Pugmire, "Chemical Structure of Coal Tar During Devolatilization," accepted for presentation and publication at the Twenty-Sixth Symposium (International) on Combustion, Naples, Italy (July 1996).
4. Watt, M., "The Chemical Structure of Coal Char and Tar During Devolatilization," M.S. Thesis, Chemical Engineering Department, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah (April, 1996).

Table 1
Experimental Conditions for the Five PETC Coals

Equipment	Maximum Gas Temp. (K)	Residence Time(ms)	Gas Atmosphere
HPCP	850	140	N ₂
HPCP	900	160	N ₂
HPCP	920	320	N ₂
HPCP	1050	210	N ₂
HPCP	1220	230	N ₂
FFB	1650	15	0% O ₂

Table 2
Coals Examined in the HPCP

Coal	Rank	PSOC Number
Beulah Zap	lignite	1507D
Illinois #6	hva bituminous	1493D
Pittsburgh #8	hva bituminous	1451D
Blue #1	hva bituminous	1445D
Pocahontas #3	lv bituminous	1508D

Table 3
¹³C NMR Analysis of Coals, Tars, and Chars^a (160 ms at 900 K)

Coal	Sample	f _a	f _a ^C	f _a [']	f _a ^H	f _a ^N	f _a ^P	f _a ^S	f _a ^B	f _{al}	f _{al} ^H	f _{al} [*]	f _{al} ^O
Pitt #8	coal	65	3	62	23	39	5	16	18	35	24	11	7
Pitt #8	char	87	5	82	27	55	6	19	30	13	7	6	3
Pitt #8	tar dis.	69	2	67	38	29	5	15	9	31	2	11	na
Pitt #8	tar res.	83	3	80	34	46	8	18	2	17	1	7	2
Pitt #8	tar	73	2	70	37	33	6	16	12	28	18	10	na
Illinois #6	coal	66	3	63	21	42	7	16	19	34	24	1	8
Illinois #6	char	74	4	70	23	47	7	18	22	26	17	9	4
Illinois #6	tar dis.	70	1	69	40	29	4	15	10	30	20	10	na
Illinois #6	tar res.	80	6	74	28	46	8	18	20	2	12	8	3
Illinois #6	tar	74	3	71	35	36	6	16	14	26	17	9	na
Blue #1	coal	60	5	55	19	36	8	13	15	40	29	11	7
Blue #1	char	71	7	64	20	44	8	14	22	29	21	8	4
Blue #1	tar dis.	63	7	56	27	29	8	16	5	37	27	1	na
Blue #1	tar res.	72	6	66	24	42	9	15	18	28	17	11	12
Blue #1	tar	64	7	57	27	31	8	16	7	36	27	10	na

Table 4
Derived Properties of Coal, Tar, and Char from the ^{13}C NMR analysis^b
(160 ms at 900 K)

Coal	Sample	X_b	C_{cl}	$\sigma+1$	P_o	B.L.	S.C.	MW_{cl}	MW_{att}	tar res.
Pitt #8	coal	0.29	14	4.8	0.48	2.3	2.5	323	32	
Pitt #8	char	0.366	18	5.4	0.76	4.1	1.3	315	18	
Pitt #8	tar dis.	0.134	8	2.4	0.45	1.0	1.4			
Pitt #8	tar res.	0.25	12	3.9	0.73	2.8	1.1			0.25
Pitt #8	tar	0.163	9	2.8	0.52	1.5	1.3	178	25	
Illinois #6	coal	0.30	15	5.5	0.52	2.9	2.6	368	35	
Illinois #6	char	0.314	15	5.3	0.64	3.4	1.9	326	29	
Illinois #6	tar dis.	0.144	9	2.5	0.47	1.2	1.3			
Illinois #6	tar res.	0.27	13	4.6	0.69	3.2	1.4			0.42
Illinois #6	tar	0.197	11	3.4	0.56	2.0	1.3	228	30	
Blue #1	coal	0.27	13	5.0	0.48	2.4	2.6	371	42	
Blue #1	char	0.344	17	5.8	0.64	3.7	2.1	402	34	
Blue #1	tar dis.	0.09	7	3.0	0.58	1.7	1.3			
Blue #1	tar res.	0.273	13	4.7	0.54	2.5	2.2			0.12
Blue #1	tar	0.112	8	3.2	0.58	1.8	1.4	205	35	

Table 5
 ^{13}C NMR Analysis of Coals, Tars, and Chars^a (320 ms, 920 K)

Coal	Sample	f_a	f_a^C	f_a'	f_a^H	f_a^N	f_a^P	f_a^S	f_a^B	f_{al}	f_{al}^H	f_{al}^*	f_{al}^O
Pitt #8	coal	65	3	62	23	39	5	16	18	35	24	11	7
Pitt #8	char	81	5	76	24	52	6	18	28	19	11	8	6
Pitt #8	tar dis.	76	5	71	35	36	10	19	7	24	9	15	na
Illinois #6	coal	66	3	63	21	42	7	16	19	34	24	10	8
Illinois #6	char	78	6	72	25	47	8	19	20	22	13	9	4
Illinois #6	tar dis.	76	6	70	32	38	10	19	9	24	7	14	na
Blue #1	coal	60	5	55	19	36	8	13	15	40	29	11	7
Blue #1	char	77	5	72	24	48	9	20	19	23	15	8	4
Blue #1	tar dis.	62	7	55	24	31	9	15	7	38	9	29	na

Table 6
Derived Properties of Coal, Tar, and Char from the ^{13}C NMR analysis^b
(320 ms, 920 K)

Coal	Sample	X_b	C_{cl}	$\sigma+1$	P_o	B.L.	S.C.	MW_{cl}	MW_{att}
Pitt #8	coal	0.290	14	4.8	0.48	2.3	2.5	323	32
Pitt #8	char	0.368	18	5.7	0.67	3.8	1.9	332	20
Pitt #8	tar dis.	0.100	8	3.3	0.69	2.3	1.0	na	na
Illinois #6	coal	0.300	15	5.5	0.52	2.9	2.6	368	35
Illinois #6	char	0.278	13	4.9	0.67	3.3	1.6	271	23
Illinois #6	tar dis.	0.130	8	3.3	0.76	2.5	0.8	na	na
Blue #1	coal	0.270	13	5.0	0.48	2.4	2.6	371	42
Blue #1	char	0.344	17	5.8	0.64	3.7	2.1	402	34
Blue #1	tar dis.	0.130	8	3.5	0.63	2.2	1.3	na	na

^aPercentage carbon (error): f_a = total sp^2 -hybridized carbon (± 3); f_a' = aromatic carbon (± 4); f_a^C = carbonyl, $d > 165$ ppm (± 2); f_a^H = aromatic with proton attachment (± 3); f_a^N = nonprotonated aromatic (± 3); f_a^P = phenolic or phenolic ether, $d = 150$ - 165 ppm (± 2); f_a^S = alkylated aromatic $d = 135$ - 150 ppm (± 3); f_a^B = aromatic bridgehead (± 4); f_{al} = aliphatic carbon (± 2); f_{al}^H = CH or CH₂ (± 2); f_{al}^* = CH₃ or nonprotonated (± 2); f_{al}^O = bonded to oxygen, $d = 50$ - 90 ppm (± 2), tar dis. = tar that dissolved in CD₂Cl₂

^b X_b = fraction of bridgehead carbons, C_{cl} = aromatic carbons per cluster, $s+1$ = total attachments per cluster, P_o = fraction of attachments that are bridges, B.L. = bridges and loops per cluster, S.C. = side chains per cluster, MW_{cl} = the average molecular weight of an aromatic cluster, MW_{att} = the average molecular weight of the cluster attachments, Tar = tar collected on filters and corrected for the tar deposited on sampling apparatus, tar dis. = tar that dissolved in CD₂Cl₂

Figure 1. Mass of nitrogen per cluster (M_{cl}^N) for the coal, char and combined tar.

Figure 2. ^{15}N CP/MAS NMR spectra of coals of different rank. The Zap, Wyodak, and Pocahontas #3 are from the Argonne Premium Coal Sample Bank while PSOC-1382 was obtained directly from the Penn State Coal Bank. In each case a contact time of 1 ms was used with a recycle time of 200 ms. A total of 4×10^5 scans was acquired for each spectrum. As demonstrated from the data contained in reference 1 the pyridinic nitrogens will not cross polarize efficiently and will be essentially invisible in this type of experiment. Only the pyrrolic nitrogens will be observed.