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PREFACE

When members were first canvassed for participation in the Vadose Zone Expert Panel the stated purpose for convening the Panel was to review a controversial draft report, the SX TANK FARM REPORT. This report was produced by a DOE Grand Junction Project Office (GJPO) contractor, RUST Geotech, now MACTEC-ERS, for the DOE Richland Office (DOE-RL). Three meetings were planned for June, July and August, 1996 to review the draft report and to complete a Panel report by mid-September. The Expert Panel has found its efforts confounded by various non-technical issues. The Expert Panel has chosen to address some of the non-technical issues in this Preface rather than to dilute the technical discussion that follows in the body of this INDEPENDENT EXPERT PANEL STATUS REPORT (PANEL REPORT).

Rather than performing a straightforward manuscript review, the Panel was asked to resolve conflicting interpretations of gamma-ray logging measurements performed in vadose zone boreholes ('drywells') surrounding the high-level radioactive waste tanks of the SX tank farm. There are numerous and complex technical issues that must be evaluated before the vertical and radial extent of contaminant migration at the SX tank farm can be accurately assessed. When the Panel first met in early June, 1996, it quickly became apparent that the scientific and technical issues were obscured by policy and institutional affairs which have polarized discussion among various segments of the Hanford organization.

This situation reflects the kinds of institutional problems described separately in reports by the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences (NAS/NRC), The Hanford Tanks: Environmental Impacts and Policy Choices (NAS Press, Washington, DC, 1966) and Barriers to Science: Technical Management of the Department of Energy Environmental Remediation Program (NAS Press, 1996). The Vadose Zone Characterization Program, appears to be caught between conflicting pressures and organizational mandates, some imposed from outside DOE-RL and some self-imposed. The institutional problems we encountered include having both Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS), the parent organization of the Vadose Zone Characterization Program and Environmental Restoration (ER), each under different regulatory controls and different organizational units, seeking to defend the status quo and discount many of the Panel's conclusions and recommendations.

The results presented in the SX TANK FARM REPORT, especially the visualizations, have created concern in the public sector, both on a local, personal level and on a national political level. The controversy over that report points to uncertainty concerning the extent to which removal of tank wastes will add to vadose zone (and groundwater) contamination. The controversy also points to uncertainty about the effectiveness of groundwater and soil remediation at Hanford. So, the Panel found itself not so much evaluating the scientific and technical validity of the SX TANK FARM REPORT, but having to judge among conflicting interpretations of the empirical data which form the basis of the report.
At the first Panel meeting, presentations were made to the Panel concerning background information and the findings of the SX TANK FARM REPORT. All participants at that meeting apparently agreed that the measurements performed by MACTEC-ERS staff were not in question, but conceptual interpretations were. The MACTEC-ERS concept had the contaminant, $^{137}\text{Cs}$, moving as broad plume through the formation; the PNNL concept was based on the alternative that $^{137}\text{Cs}$ could not have moved so rapidly through the formation and therefore must have moved along the borehole(s).

Unfortunately, neither the SX TANK FARM REPORT, and presentations supporting the formation transport view, nor the presentations supporting the borehole transport view provided data sufficient to resolve whether either or both of the conceptualizations (borehole transport or formation transport) represents existing conditions at the SX tank farm. The technical merits and deficiencies of the opposing positions are discussed in the body of this status report.

To resolve the issue, the Vadose Zone Panel recommended installation, with drilling resistance, gamma and temperature logging, of three new investigative boreholes, using a drilling method expected to be minimally disruptive of the formation, into regions that MACTEC-ERS indicated were likely to be contaminated. As of this writing, only two of three boreholes requested by the Panel have been completed.

The first investigative borehole was installed near tank 241-SX-112, but at a location markedly differing from that selected by the Panel. It did not intercept substantial $^{137}\text{Cs}$ contamination at depth, but did demonstrate the extent of downhole contamination using percussion drilling for borehole installation. The outcome of this first investigative borehole prompted a request from ER for the Panel to discuss at the September meeting that organization's "working conceptual model" for the SX tank farm "that the Cs stopped at about 80 ft". Because the first investigative borehole had been installed at other than the location selected by the Panel, the data were not useful for assessing competing conceptual models.

The second investigative borehole was installed at a location specified by the Panel. Substantial contamination was encountered much as predicted by MACTEC-ERS and the Panel was asked by Casey Ruud to issue a statement despite the preliminary nature of the data presented to it at that time. That statement was issued on December 17, 1996. Subsequent analysis of the complete data set confirmed that the Panel's statement was sound. Also, temperature logs made at the Panel's behest indicated a substantial temperature anomaly exists in the deep zone where substantial $^{137}\text{Cs}$ contamination was found, strongly suggesting the existence of a broadly distributed contaminant plume at depth in the region between tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111 and -112. The results obtained from the second investigative borehole appear to have resolved the issues raised by ER.

As of this report, the requested third investigative borehole has not been installed; results of the first two investigative boreholes are discussed in the main body of this PANEL REPORT.
The December 17, 1996 Panel Statement follows:

**VADOSE ZONE CHARACTERIZATION PROGRAM:**
**EXPERT PANEL STATEMENT**

The Vadose Zone Expert Panel was formed to resolve a narrow technical issue: whether high apparent concentrations of $^{137}$Cs discovered deep in the vadose zone based on spectral gamma-ray borehole logging at the SX Tank Farm were indicative of contaminants moving as a broad plume through the formation or moving down the borehole itself. This is an important issue, because if the $^{137}$Cs is moving through the formation deep into the vadose zone, it would be clear that the standard view that cesium moves only a few feet from the leak location is incorrect. As a secondary issue, if contaminants are moving down the borehole then characterization based on borehole logging could be misleading and possibly useless.

Neither the early gamma spectral logging data nor gross-gamma logs provided unambiguous evidence that $^{137}$Cs has moved as a broad plume deep into the vadose zone. This should not be surprising because contaminant transport studies in the Hanford geology and other environments indicate the likely mode of transport is along preferential, vertical, possibly tortuous, pathways. Even in relatively uniform, homogeneous formations, flow tends to divide fairly quickly into fingers rather than moving as a broad plume. There are two unfortunate consequences of concentrated flow along narrow paths: (1) these narrow flow paths may be much more difficult to detect than a broad plume and (2) total flow rates and volumes through the narrow channels may rival or exceed those that would be expected for a broad plume. Thus, although there may not be a broadly dispersed plume of $^{137}$Cs deep in the vadose zone, it is nonetheless likely that large quantities of $^{137}$Cs and other contaminants are reaching those depths along narrow formation pathways.

Clearly, to understand the distribution of contaminants in the groundwater, as well as in the vadose zone, it is necessary to characterize the vadose zone. There is discussion at Hanford about whether that should be done and on what time scale. But there is a far more important issue that is being ignored.

Characterization of the vadose zone is an essential step toward understanding contamination of the groundwater, assessing the resulting health risks, and defining the concomitant groundwater monitoring program necessary to verify the risk assessments. A reliable quantitative model, or even a valid conceptual model, of groundwater contamination cannot be developed without reliable data regarding contaminant transport properties of the vadose zone, a subject which is poorly understood. As a result of this lack of information at Hanford, previous and ongoing modeling efforts are inadequate and based on arguable,
unrealistic, and sometimes optimistic assumptions. The output of such models is entirely unreliable and best described by the old axiom: garbage in, garbage out.

In its efforts to resolve the technical issue posed, the Expert Panel initially recommended installation of a borehole in the zone projected by the Grand Junction Project Office (GJPO) in its SX Tank Farm Report as most likely to exhibit formation contamination to depth. Also recommended was use of a drilling technique which should be less likely to cause borehole contamination than the cable-tool method commonly used at Hanford. The effort was expanded to three boreholes, of which two have been completed at the time of this writing.

The first borehole, 41-12-01, was installed at a location differing from that recommended by the Expert Panel. It failed to intercept a zone of substantial contaminants at depth in the formation. However, it did provide clear definition of the level of borehole contamination created by this method of drilling through the contaminated zone surrounding the base(s) of the leaking tank(s). Failure to intercept a contaminant “plume” at depth is believed likely to be due to the effects of contaminant flow along preferential, vertical paths in the formation which would form narrowly-defined, highly-contaminated zones.

The second borehole has intercepted a zone of substantial contamination to a depth of 130 ft. in the formation located between tanks SX-109 and SX-108. The borehole location is approximately 5.3 ft. east of an old borehole, 41-09-04. Contaminant levels in the new borehole, 41-09-39, are on the same order as those of the old well at corresponding depths.

Although the preliminary nature of the data provided by only two experimental wells limits interpretation, the Expert Panel concludes that the first clearly defines a level for borehole contamination using the selected drilling method and the second defines a zone of contamination which has moved through the formation. Concentrations in well 41-09-39 are at least two to three magnitudes greater at corresponding depths than those in 41-12-01. Whether the second borehole represents a broadly-spread contaminating plume or one narrowly defined by a preferential, vertical pathway cannot be determined from the limited data available so far.

The Expert Panel has neither been charged nor been provided information to make a direct evaluation of the importance of the Vadose Zone Characterization Program relative to the Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) effort or other remediation programs at the Site. However, the Expert Panel takes note of the recently published findings of the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council which states in its TWRS draft Environmental Impact Statement review, The Hanford Tanks: Environmental Impacts and Policy
Choices (NAS, Washington, DC, 1996):

- "An important component of a long-term commitment to remediating the single-shell tanks at the Hanford Site is an adequate understanding of ... the extent to which the soil and groundwater beneath the tanks have been contaminated. Characterization should continue until such an understanding has been obtained" (p. 28).

- "(D)ecisions on waste in the tanks are interrelated with decisions regarding the ... soil and groundwater contaminated by past leaks and deliberate discharges" (p. 36).

- "It is not at all evident how a preferred tank waste retrieval and treatment remediation alternative can be selected rationally without simultaneously considering what is to be done with contamination left behind" (p. 37).

- "Adequate characterization of the tank wastes and surrounding contaminated environment will be required for processing of waste that is removed for treatment and for in situ disposition of wastes not removed from the tanks (either by choice or necessity). A better understanding of what has already leaked and how rapidly it is moving toward the groundwater is needed for assessing risks. Significant uncertainty currently exists concerning the sources and migration paths of cesium and technetium that have been found at some depth beneath the tank farms. Leakage from the tanks caused by sluicing, as well as the risk associated with waste left in the tanks, must be analyzed during the first phase in the context of overall risks. The mechanisms and rates of migration of cesium and other radionuclides originating from the tank farms and from other waste disposal facilities at the Hanford Site also need to be better understood" (p. 52).

- "The analysis should also give more details about the levels of existing contamination in the soil and groundwater under the tanks and estimates of long-term impact of such contamination under baseline conditions. The DEIS notes that groundwater protection standards are already exceeded for a number of radionuclides of interest, but it does not provide quantitative information" (p. 57).

The Expert Panel concurs with the NAS/NRC statements and considers that the results derived from the two experimental boreholes reinforces the NAS/NRC position on TWRS and other site remediation needs.

The importance of these findings as they affect the assessment and amelioration
of risk cannot be overstated. Although the Expert Panel has not been provided with sufficient information to evaluate Site risks, our collective experience leads us to believe that the migration of $^{127}$Cs through the formation does not necessarily indicate an immediate health risk to the surrounding population. However, the implications toward Site remediation are immense. Impressions obtained during our meetings are that the models presented as an alternative to those of the GIPO report form the conceptual framework for contaminant transport models used in Hanford risk assessments. As stated previously, the conceptual framework is inadequate and unrealistic; thus, any risk assessments based on that framework must be equally flawed. Until rectified through the development of a better understanding of contaminant action in the vadose and saturated zones, these incorrectly conceptualized risk assessments will ultimately increase costs and interfere with DOE's ability to comply with RCRA/CERCLA or Tri-Party Agreement requirements. Furthermore, these experimental results open to question how adequately other more mobile contaminants are assessed or monitored.

(End of statement)

Reviews of the draft of this PANEL STATUS REPORT reflected the institutional perspectives, ranging from minimalist, through objective, to defensive of established positions.

The reviews by MACTEC-ERS and by DOE-RL-AME (ER) required little alteration of this PANEL STATUS REPORT. Most of the comments suggested by MACTEC-ERS were minor changes in wording or use of acronyms and were readily accommodated. The ER review provided only three comments, one of which did take mild exception to the Panel's recommendation of future modeling efforts being performed by independent groups. The ER reviewer also requested further discussion on near-field vs. far-field effects of transport mechanisms proposed by the panel in the status report. Although not identified as such, section 4 of this report describes what are mostly near-field effects. Brief mention is made in section 4.2.4 and at the end of section 4.2.6 of potential far-field effects. Because the Panel has little data concerning the deep vadose zone and below, we focused most of our efforts on the near-field environment. The Panel is not completely comfortable with the concept of near- and far-field effects, because the concept implies an "either-or" situation; the actual conditions are more likely to be a continuum.

An objective review was provided by Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). Revisions were made in this Preface and Executive Summary in response to that reviewer's comments. A number of the LANL technical comments, while perceptive and probably valid, could not be readily accommodated without extensive additional information, some of which may not be available or must be developed through additional research or field measurements. Since the technical positions we take in this status report probably would not be altered by the effort suggested by the reviewer, we have chosen to limit our revisions only to those comments which can be readily accommodated. Some of the LANL technical comments should be
considered in developing a comprehensive vadose-zone characterization program.

The LANL reviewer observed that the Panel mission and scope were not well defined. Our mission changed from meeting to meeting and requests (demands?) were made for a Panel response immediately following completion and logging of each of the two investigative boreholes. Even the documentation sequence is out of order first was a request for a statement. When that statement became the basis of a Hanford press release, we decided that a status report was appropriate. Time limits have been kept tight (at least as tight as four part-time panelists at four locations and three time zones can accomplish) so as to provide early documentation of our reasoning. Even so, the continuing flow of new information made closure difficult to achieve. So, the Panel’s mission and scope had to be redefined whenever deficiencies in the underlying data bases were uncovered or remedied, and they are likely to continue to be flexible to accommodate the complexities of this problem.

Reviews submitted by Bechtel Hanford, Inc. (BHI, 6 comments) and by the Hanford Tank Initiative (HTI, 27 comments) addressed the Preface and Executive Summary and not the whole document. Most of the issues raised by BHI are addressed in various sections of this PANEL STATUS REPORT. The majority of the 27 comments from HTI express at least partial agreement with the Panel findings. There are 5 points of disagreement with Panel recommendations. HTI does not support extension of borehole 41-C9-04, use of an independent modeling group, development of high-flux spectral gamma capability, development of methods to distinguish between borehole and formation sources of contamination, or evaluation of alternative simulation models, all of which are justified in the body of this PANEL STATUS REPORT. HTI cites the system-wide basis of the Vadose Zone Characterization Program for some of the positions taken. The Panel recommendations are largely based on the SX tank farm deliberations.

A review from PNNL (34 comments) addressed the whole draft PANEL STATUS REPORT. Many points recommend clarification and correction of particular issues and these have been incorporated as appropriate. The modeling results from a recently released (February 1997) modeling report were offered in several of the reviewer’s comments as clarifications to discussions of vadose zone modeling. In particular, results obtained with time-variable Kd for Cs appear to offer a step toward improved representation of Cs migration from near field to far field environments as has the concept of variable recharge offered since the Panel’s first meeting. However, vadose zone modeling presented to the Panel so far oversimplifies many of the complex physical and geochemical processes that may be occurring in the vadose zone impacted by tank waste. The use of chemistry-dependent Kd values (variable with salt concentration and species, formation species and pH, for example) might be more representative of the differing sorptive and transport properties of cationic $^{137}$Cs and $^{90}$Sr, anionic $^{99m}$Tc-pertechnetate and $^{60}$Co-ferrocynide, various complexes of uranium and actinides, as well as of the variety of chemical contaminants of concern at Hanford. As a better understanding is developed of the lithologies of the tank farms, more complex geohydrologic models should be used; conversely, those involved in the characterization effort must be aware of the specific chemical, lithologic and hydrologic information which must be gathered for use
in more complex transport simulations. Finally, time dependent source term(s) must be 
evaluated using a combination of field characterization and increasingly complex simulation 
models: the current configuration of formation-borne contaminants and the mechanisms which 
led to it; the mechanisms which currently are altering the configuration; and the effect of 
various options for removing wastes from the tanks.

The vadose zone modeling efforts for tank farms appear particularly ripe for infusion of new 
approaches and new data. We strongly suggest that nationally known expertise that has not 
been part of the solutions to these issues be sought in support of resolving these complex 
technical issues. One approach to providing cost-effective means of infusing new ideas is open 
competition for vadose zone modeling. Due to perceived institutional influence on remediation 
activities and differing technical opinions on tank farm contamination, the Panel advocates as a 
general policy that objective and independent peer review be undertaken before initiating major 
phases of field investigation, laboratory research, and simulation modeling for the Vadose 
Zone Characterization Program.

Vadose Zone Expert Panel (alphabetical listing)
John G. Conaway, Ph.D., Los Alamos National Laboratory
Robert J. Luxmoore, Ph.D., RJL Consulting Services
John M. Matuszek, Ph.D., JMM Consulting
Ralph O. Patt, Oregon Water Resources Department
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The first task of the Vadose Zone Expert Panel was to review a controversial draft report, the SX TANK FARM REPORT, which concludes that $^{137}$Cs has migrated substantially deeper beneath the tank farm than DOE had previously predicted. Visualizations in the SX TANK FARM REPORT of $^{137}$Cs contamination of the formation sediments beneath the SX tank farm are based on a geostatistical model which is commonly used in geologic exploration. Input data to the geostatistical model calculations for the SX tank farm are developed from recent spectral gamma-ray logs of $^{137}$Cs concentrations measured in monitoring boreholes, most of which were put in place more than three decades ago. Underlying both the detector calibrations to obtain concentration values and the application of the geostatistical model is the assumption that $^{137}$Cs and other contaminants are broadly distributed in both the formation sediments surrounding the boreholes and the sediments between boreholes. Logging measurements showing $^{137}$Cs at depth are not at question, but quantitative concentration values and distributions predicted in the SX TANK FARM REPORT are.

Countering arguments to the SX TANK FARM REPORT visualizations, including simulations by other workers of $^{137}$Cs transport, are based on an extensive data base of laboratory measurements and a modicum of field data from locations around the Hanford site, but none specific to the conditions beneath the SX tank farm. Simulations of the transport of $^{137}$Cs are critically dependent on values assumed for adsorption on sediments. Measurements of the sorption parameter, $K_d$, are not at question, but the representativeness of the $K_d$ formalism and the selection of appropriate values for simulation are. The values of $K_d$ selected for the first set of simulations presented to the Expert Panel exclude any possibility of $^{137}$Cs being transported deep into the formation sediments as a broadly distributed "plume". This preordained result leads to a conclusion that the $^{137}$Cs observed at depth by the spectral-gamma logging measurements could only have come about by transport down the annular space surrounding each borehole. The Expert Panel concludes that these transport simulations are symptomatic of findings by the National Academy of Sciences that technical issues are too often subsumed by institutional ones [NAS/NRC, 1996a].

Thus, the review leading to this PANEL STATUS REPORT became more an evaluation of the representativeness of assumptions used to create alternative simulations than one of evaluating the quality of measurement data. Data sufficient to differentiate between competing concepts were not available, so the Panel requested installation and spectral-gamma logging of three investigative boreholes, two of which now have been installed, in a zone among tanks SX-108, -109, -111, and -112. Temperature, moisture and drill-resistance logs were requested for all the boreholes surrounding these tanks, as was a study of the historical gross-gamma monitoring data.

The supplemental data subsequently supplied leads us to conclude that at some locations $^{137}$Cs has been transported to depth in and through the formation sediments, and indeed may still be actively moving. At other locations, $^{137}$Cs is likely to have moved along the borehole. The
data obtained so far are insufficient to quantify the amount of $^{137}$Cs which has been (or is being) transported to depth relative to that which remains fixed in sediments immediately surrounding the locations where each tank leaked. Nor can these data be used to quantify the transport of other radionuclides, although they may prove useful for identifying the transport pathways and approximate travel times for other contaminants typically considered non-sorbing, or weakly sorbing. The temperature logs, though crude, hint at a broadly distributed plume of heat-generating contaminant(s) in the region below and between tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111 and -112.

In this PANEL STATUS REPORT, we provide recommendations for extending these and other types of measurements so that information can be derived to properly characterize contaminant distributions and transport in the vadose zone beneath the Hanford high-level waste storage tanks. Implementation of these recommendations, especially with regard to shape-factor analysis and temperature logging, may help to focus future efforts at detailed characterization and reduce the cost of characterization relative to what has been experienced previously.

We also point to a number of potential thermal, chemical and physical effects, such as high temperature, high pH and high salt content, as well as colloid formation and flow along highly porous formation pathways, which may have served as confounding factors for contaminant transport. While we are unable to differentiate the relative importance of these several confounding factors, additional research in both the laboratory and the field will likely lead to selection of more representative conceptual models and input parameters for future simulations of contaminant transport in the vadose zone of the tank farms on the Hanford site.

We provide below a summary of the principle conclusions and recommendations from Section 7.0 which derive from our evaluation of the SX TANK FARM REPORT and ancillary issues. The panel attempted to prioritize its recommendations, but found the effort meaningless without having the associated cost estimate for each.

Conclusions

- Investigative borehole 41-09-39 revealed substantial quantities of $^{137}$Cs in the formation to at least 130 feet.
- Investigative borehole 41-12-01 revealed significant drag down of contamination, apparently due to a protruding welding bead at the base of the casing.
- Borehole gamma-ray logging has been an important means of determining the distribution of gamma emitting contaminants.
- Other borehole logging techniques may enhance future monitoring.
- The draft SX TANK FARM REPORT meets reasonable standards of quality.
The SGLS baseline logging is valuable, but does not constitute a complete vadose zone characterization program.

The SGLS meets professional standards and is capable of detecting \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) to below 1 pCi/g as found at depth in many borehole logs.

Performance of the SGLS degrades as countrate increases and saturates in gamma environments above approximately \(10^5\) pCi \(^{137}\text{Cs}/g\).

In the SX TANK FARM REPORT, data obtained using the SGLS were not used to distinguish \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) contamination along the borehole casing from that in the formation.

Visualizations of contaminant distribution in the draft SX TANK FARM REPORT are probably unrealistic, since there is an inadequate number of closely spaced boreholes for resolving small scale (preferential) flow paths.

Although contaminants have moved along pathways opened by borehole installation at the SX tank farm, they also have moved to depth through the formation.

Gamma- and temperature-logging provide cost-effective methods for identifying large zones of contamination in the unsaturated soils and will reduce the cost of identifying other contaminants by providing foci for future coring/analytical efforts.

An evaluation of a limited number of historical gross gamma logs has revealed zones with continuing \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) contaminant movement.

Temperature logs indicate a significant temperature anomaly (heat source) at depths below tank bases in the region between tanks 41-SX-108, -109, -111 and -112.

Earlier than previously anticipated transport of \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) to groundwater along preferential flow paths through the vadose zone is plausible.

Observed deep movement of \(^{137}\text{Cs}\) implies similar movement of other contaminants normally considered to be readily adsorbed.

Preferential flow is common in vadose zone environments, but vadose zone characterization at Hanford has not adequately defined preferential pathways for use in predictive modeling.

The Panel is surprised that simulations which include the parameters described in the 1997 PNNL report were not undertaken years earlier; the PNNL simulations seem to have been conducted in reaction to Panel critique and suggestions of initial modeling concepts.
Development of more representative simulation models requires better characterization of the vadose zone.

Vadose zone characterization is needed to help estimate the distribution of contaminants in groundwater.

Contaminant transport in the vadose zone at Hanford is poorly understood.

The vadose zone between and beneath tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111 and -112 offers an experimental site on which to develop and test concepts for contaminant transport in Hanford sediments.

The Panel concurs with the key conclusions from recent National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council (NAS/NRC) findings regarding vadose zone contamination (see Panel statement in Preface).

The Panel is concerned that preordained organizational priorities may exert inappropriate influence on remediation analyses, in concert with NAS/NRC concerns that: “What happens is driven too often by the internal needs of the organization charged with the remediation work rather than by the overall goal of environmental remediation”.

Percussion drilling is a relatively low cost method of obtaining logging data.

The drilling-resistance measurements requested by the Panel seem to provide useful information about formation properties.

Recommendations

- It is imperative that a comprehensive characterization of the vadose zone be undertaken to give clear focus and definition for computer simulations.

- Extend boreholes 41-09-39 and 41-09-04 to groundwater with logging, sampling and analysis of contaminants and transport properties.

- Steps should be taken to minimize and monitor drag down during drilling.

- Drilling through known contamination zones should be avoided by slant drilling, unless deliberate sampling and analysis of the zones is to be undertaken.

- Hanford should acquire or develop a high-flux spectral gamma-ray measurement capability.
Methods, such as shape-factor and spatial-response analysis, should be developed to distinguish between borehole and formation contamination.

Hanford should obtain a capability for accurate logging of formation temperature.

Heat transport calculations should be performed to establish the relationship between formation temperature and casing temperature under various conditions.

Preferential flow must be part of the conceptual model and be included in simulation models of the vadose zone.

Vadose zone characterization at Hanford must provide data sufficient to make use of predictive models which include small-scale (preferential) flow.

Relevant concepts from petroleum, geothermal, and other suitable sources should be incorporated into transport models for simulation of near-field tank farm phenomena.

The Vadose Zone Characterization Program should extend its efforts through the unsaturated zone to groundwater.

Evaluation of gross-gamma logs should be extended to other boreholes including laterals for indications of contaminant movement.

Periodic gamma-ray logging of existing boreholes should be reinstituted using calibrated equipment.

Records of contaminant movement developed from gross-gamma logs should be considered when developing and performing transport simulations.

When the data bases from vadose zone characterization reach a sufficient level to support renewed predictive simulation modeling, the modeling effort should be put to a request for proposals (RFP).

Field and laboratory characterization efforts should be coordinated to provide the types of data bases which will support comprehensive simulation modeling.

A slant borehole passing beside borehole 41-09-39 should be installed, including logging and sampling during installation, from the west side of the SX tank farm to avoid contaminant drag down from the high-contamination zone.

Other non-nuclear borehole logging techniques should be evaluated and used where appropriate for future monitoring; included are temperature, soil-water and density logs.

The SGLS gamma logging should be continued, adding technical enhancements such as
shape-factor and spatial-response analyses, as appropriate.

- Percussion drilling should be considered for investigative boreholes in lieu of drilling techniques more commonly used at Hanford.

- Drilling resistance measurements should be made when the percussion drilling method is used.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Vadose Zone Expert Panel was convened to review a controversial draft report, the SX TANK FARM REPORT, produced by a DOE Grand Junction Project Office (GJPO) contractor, Rust Geotech, now MACTEC-ERS [GJPO, 1996]. There seems to be general agreement that the Spectral Gamma-Ray Logging System (SGLS) used by MACTEC-ERS is capable of detecting $^{137}$Cs unambiguously even at levels below 1 pCi/g., and that $^{137}$Cs has reached the depths along the various boreholes indicated by the SGLS. The major disagreement is over how the $^{137}$Cs reached substantial depths below the tanks.

The draft SX TANK FARM REPORT presents three-dimensional graphical visualizations from a geostatistical model based on the SGLS logging data (Figure 1.1). The authors of the report conclude that the $^{137}$Cs has traveled through formation pathways to reach depths below 125 feet. Others maintained that the only way $^{137}$Cs could have traveled to those depths is along the borehole, either down the inside or along the outside of the casing (Figure 1.2).

The mission of the Vadose Zone Expert Panel has been stated various ways at different times. The initial statement of work has the following statements:

Objective: The Panel members are “to interface with a WHC/RL Issue Management Team (IMT) and Working Group (WG) to analyze data to determine whether cesium contamination is moving through the Vadose Zone beneath the SX Tank Farm in the 200 West Area of the Hanford Site.”

Scope of Work: “...provide technical expertise and support WHC Tank Farm Transition Projects for all activities related to resolution of vadose zone contamination issues related to the close out of Occurrence Report (OR) 96-0016.”

In his charge to the Panel, Casey Ruud (Vadose Zone Characterization Program Manager for DOE-RL/EM-30) stated the Panel’s mission as follows:

“Expert Panel Mission: The identification of the specific isotope Cs-137 has raised several significant issues. The first to be addressed: Is Cs-137 migrating downward through the Vadose Zone under the SX Tank Farm at such a rate that it will contaminate the groundwater? The first step for the expert panel will be to evaluate and validate one (or both) of two conceptual models: did the Cs-137 migrate down the boreholes to its present position and/or did it migrate through the formation.”

More recently, as evidence mounts that $^{137}$Cs has moved substantially deeper than predicted in DOE’s models, the panel mission has been evolving towards providing technical review of programs to characterize the vadose zone under the tank farms at Hanford.
Figure 1.2 Two Principle Conceptual Models Can Result in the Observed Data

* AERIALLY EXTENSIVE Cs MIGRATING THROUGH THE SOIL COLUMN AS DEPICTED IN RUST FIGURES, CREATING DEEP AND EXTENSIVE Cs PLUMES.

* Cs MIGRATING A SHORT DISTANCE IN THE SOIL COLUMN, BUT ALSO "SHORT CIRCUITING" THE SOIL COLUMN BY MIGRATING DOWN PREFERENTIAL PATHWAYS PROVIDED BY UNSEALED DRYWELLS; ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTION BY:

- DRYWELLS ARE UNSEALED, DRILLED THROUGH TANK LEAKS, BY CABLE-TOOL METHODS - UTILIZING A DIVE SHOE LARGER THAN THE CASING, CREATING AN ANNULAR SPACE BETWEEN THE CASING AND THE FORMATION;

- DRILLERS REPORT "FLOWING SANDS" IN THE HANFORD FORMATION, INDICATING SLOUGHING DURING DRILLING (POTENTIAL FOR CONTAMINATED MATERIAL MIXING WITH CUTTINGS), POTENTIAL CREATING ADDITIONAL ANNULAR SPACE FOR CONTAMINANT MIGRATION;

- SMEARING OF CONTAMINANTS ON OUTSIDE OF THE CASING DURING DRILLING; WELLS 41-09-04 & 41-12-02 WERE DEEPLY DRIVEN BY DRIVING THE EXISTING, CONTAMINATED CASING DEEPLY, USING INADEQUATE CONTAMINANT CONTROL (POTENTIAL FOR SLOUGHING AS DESCRIBED ABOVE);

- Cs ENTERING INSIDE OF CASING BY AIRBORNE OR WATERBORNE PATHWAY;

- POORLY REMEDIATED GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS;

- ENHANCED RECHARGE BY GRAVEL SURFACE TREATMENT AND "UMBRELLA" EFFECT OF THE TANKS;

- POOR LIQUID HANDLING PRACTICES SUCH AS UNCONTROLLED WATER LINE LEAKS AND COMPACTION OF TRENCHES BY WATER;

- SURFACE FLOODING DURING SNOWMELT EPISODES;

- LATERAL SPREADING OF LIQUIDS DISPOSED TO ADJACENT CRIBS;

- CLASTIC DIKES ALSO SERVING AS VERTICAL PREFERENTIAL PATHWAYS.
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2.0 SX TANK FARM HISTORY

Documentation of the history of the SX tank farm, including design, construction details, tank operations and contamination estimates, appears to be a work in progress as records are still being declassified or retrieved from storage. The Panel was supplied with volumes of material, some still in preliminary or draft form and other drawn from old files.

We have not made an independent, in-depth evaluation of all the historical documentation, so an extensive discussion in this report of the history of the SX tank farm is not appropriate. Instead, we provide a brief summary of our understanding of the relevant issues as described in the various histories we have received. We have no way of evaluating the accuracy of much of the information supplied to us.

The SX TANK FARM REPORT provides a brief description of tank construction, contents, unplanned releases, and monitoring and preliminary gross-gamma logging efforts; references to a few of the recent tank farm studies are provided, as well. A letter report [ICF Kaiser, 1996a] provides some incomplete historical information regarding tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111, and -112, the four tanks which frame the region on which the Panel has focused. An extensive compendium [ICF Kaiser, 1996b] of recent and past reports describes operations and contamination incidents. Another compendium [Jo and Jones, 1990] of old reports and memoranda provides several descriptions and evaluations of tank conditions, especially of waste temperature and pressure which led to overpressurization incidents, or “bumps” [Beard et al., 1967]. Tank content estimates are provided in three reports [Brevick et al., 1994; Agnew, 1995; Agnew, 1996]. Caggiano [1996] provides a record of groundwater monitoring for the S and SX tank farms. The summary which follows is based on only a very limited part of the historical and interpretive information supplied to us. Apparently, there exists voluminous documentation from which our references are drawn, but review of that documentation is beyond the scope of this report.

2.1 TANK DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

The SX tank farm is located in the south-central section of the 200 West Area on the Hanford Site (Figure 2.1). Two sedimentary formations overlie the basalt basement rock. The Hanford formation, composed of unsaturated sand, silt and gravel, overlies the Plio-Pleistocene unit and Ringold formation and is the formation into which the tanks have been placed. The lower portion of the Ringold formation immediately above the basement basalt is composed of saturated sand and gravel. The upper portion of sand and lacustrine deposits is unsaturated and is topped a unit of calcium carbonate and evaporite overlain by a high-clay content lacustrine silt (the Plio-Pleistocene unit). Despite local discontinuities and cuts by washout zones and clastic dikes, the Plio Pleistocene unit seems to be considered a barrier against transport of adsorbed contaminants to groundwater.
Figure 2.1 Map of Hanford Site Showing SX Tank Farm [GJPO, 1996].
The tank construction details (Figures 2.2 and 2.3) which seem most influential on the potential for and magnitude of leaks from the SX tanks are: the single-shell design, unreinforced butt-end (90°) welding of the liner wall to the liner base [Beard et al, 1967], the use of potentially vapor-generating material between the steel liner and the reinforced-concrete shell [Beard et al, 1967], and a 30-ft. hydrostatic head created by a dense liquid.

The single-shell design of the SX tanks (single steel liner inside a single reinforced concrete shell) does not provide secondary containment if the primary liner fails. Nor can the concrete wall and base be expected to form anything more than support for the steel liner. The concrete base was poured and allowed to set, then was overlain by an asphaltic coating and a sand-based cement grout on which the liner base of welded steel plates was placed. After the steel liner wall was welded onto the steel base plates and an exterior wooden form constructed, the reinforced concrete wall was poured onto the lip of the concrete base using the liner as the inner form for the wet concrete [GJPO, 1996]. Thus, the joint between the concrete wall and the composite base (concrete/membrane/grout) apparently is not sealed against fluid flow should the liner fail. The above description of the concrete wall-concrete base joint from the SX TANK FARM REPORT is based on photos of SX tank construction, rather than the sketch of Figure 2.3, which was developed for a 1967 report on the 1965 bump in tank TX-105-A [Beard et al, 1967 in Jo and Jones, 1990].

The simple butt-end weld of the liner wall to the liner base, without added support against differential thermal expansion and/or flexing, meant that the liner joint was highly vulnerable to failure. Figures 2.3 through 2.10 [Beard et al, 1967] provide what seems to be the accepted sequence for such disruptive events, although stress corrosion of the steel liner may also have contributed, at least for tank 241-SX-115 [Raymond and Shdo, 1966].

The rush to construction, due to needed waste storage capacity, apparently led to emplacement of the liner bases prior to complete curing of the grout underlayment. The pressure created from vaporization of the water trapped under the liner by waste-generated heat caused at least some, possibly all, of the tank bases to buckle and bulge upward, flexing the welds. Even had the grout been allowed to cure, temperatures at the tank base apparently became great enough to have caused vaporization of the hydration water anyway. Furthermore, the asphaltic membrane (“mastic” in Figure 2.3) was diluted with volatile compounds which may have increased the vapor pressure generated beneath the liner base.

Finally, with heavy salt content of the wastes producing specific gravity approximately 1.5, a full tank of entering liquid (maximum 30-ft depth in SX tanks) would have resulted in a hydrostatic head of nearly 20 psi. Since the liquid waste in the SX tanks was allowed to concentrate by evaporation from self-heating, the specific gravity of the liquid apparently increased to as much as 2.2. The corresponding volume reduction may have been sufficient to maintain a constant hydraulic head, although the magnitude of the change in head as more waste was added is not clearly indicated. Potentially, the hydrostatic head in some tanks could have been as great as 30 psi. These combinations of specific gravity (viscosity) and depth
Figure 2.2 Typical 241-SX 1,000,000-Gallon Single Shell Tank [WHC, 1992].
Figure 2.3 Sketch Showing Section of Lower Corner of Tank as Built [Beard et al, 1967].
Figure 2.4 Sketch of Lower Corner After Partial Filling and Flow of Mastic
Figure 2.5 Sketch of Lower Corner After Vapor Produces Bulge in Bottom [Beard et al, 1967]
Figure 2.6 Sketch Showing Possible First Stage of Instability of Bottom [Beard et al., 1967].
Figure 2.7 Sketch of Second Stage of Inability of Bottom With Reversal of Curvature [Beard et al, 1967].
Figure 2.8 Sketch Showing Maximum Bulge and Some of the Measurements [Beard et al., 1967].
Figure 2.9 Sketch of Final Condition of Tank Liner After the Tank was Empty and Shell Cooled [Beard et al, 1967].
Figure 2.10 Sketch of Vapor Escape Through Rupture at Joint [Beard et al, 1967].
(hydrostatic head) were referenced [Jo and Jones, 1990] to be sufficient to maintain a vapor pressure in the base liquids of at least 5 psig to perhaps 7 or 8 psig. Records [ICF Kaiser, 1996b] indicate that tank 241-SX-108 was filled only to levels equivalent to the lower vapor and hydrostatic head pressures, but that tanks 241-SX-109, -111, and -112 were at times filled to the maximum level as self-evaporation was ongoing.

A potentially confounding construction detail is that the entire SX tank farm was excavated as a unit from the virgin formation [GJPO, 1996]. Tank base levels were established by backfilling and compacting added fill (crushed stone or new gravel?) onto which each concrete base was poured. The space between tanks was filled with excavated formation soil, compacting at 4-ft intervals as the concrete tank walls were poured. While this construction detail would not affect either the probability or magnitude of leaks from a tank, it could influence the direction and rate of flow of leaked fluids. If a porous crushed stone or gravel pad was created for each concrete tank base, and that pad was limited in lateral extent, leaked fluids would tend to migrate under the tank, as seems to be the case for leaks from tanks 241-SX-108 and -115 [Raymond and Shdo, 1966; Nielson, 1992]. Similar studies for the other SX tanks could not be found. Also, tank placement was designed for liquids to cascade (Figure 2.11) from the easternmost to the westernmost tank (241-SX-107 → -108 → -109). So questions arise as to the east-to-west pitch of the excavation into which the tanks were placed. According to the SX TANK FARM REPORT, the base elevation of the second tank in a cascade sequence is lower by a foot than that of the first and the third lower by a foot than the second. Was there a continuous grade, or were discontinuous plateaus excavated to develop an east-west slope? What was the difference in east-west elevation(s) of the base sediments? Or, were tank elevations established by depth of fill on a flat excavation? How thick were the pads onto which the concrete bases were poured? What was the hydraulic conductivity of each of the horizons which might contribute to migration of leaked fluids; i.e., of undisturbed formation sediments, excavated and recompacted formation sediments, and compacted pad material(s)?

Interpretation of spectral gamma-ray logs and development of conceptual models for contaminant migration may differ according to the answers about tank-base and formation elevations. For example, the SX TANK FARM REPORT suggests that $^{137}$Cs contamination measured in boreholes 41-09-03 and 41-09-04 was due at least in part to leaks in tank 241-SX-108. The Raymond and Shdo study suggests that escaping liquids tended to flow under the tanks (Figure 2.12 through 2.14). The thirty years which intervene between the two studies, as well as the unknowns concerning potential flow pathways created by tank construction, make difficult any comparison of the MACTEC-ERS interpretation to that of Raymond and Shdo. Another issue which requires clarification for any comparison of gamma-logs in the laterals (horizontal monitoring boreholes) beneath some tanks is whether the lateral casings were inserted into virgin sediments or compacted fill.
Figure 2.11 108-SX Reflux Condenser Flow Schematic [Raymond and Shdo, 1966].
Figure 2.12 Tank 108-SX Subsurface Cs\textsuperscript{137} Concentration 1st Plane, 55 ft. [Raymond and Shido, 1966].
Figure 2.13 Tank 108-SX Subsurface Cs$^{137}$ Concentration 2nd Plan, 60 ft. [Raymond and Shdo, 1966].
Figure 2.14 Tank 115-SX Subsurface Cs\textsuperscript{137} Concentration [Raymond and Shdo, 1966].
2.2 TANK OPERATIONS

The SX tanks were constructed during 1953 and 1954 and the tanks of immediate interest to the Expert Panel, 241-SX-108, -109, -111, and -112, apparently were filled during the last quarter 1955 and first quarter 1956. Leak detection and measurement of the magnitude of any leak was difficult. Measurements of liquid levels were crude, so the size of the tanks created a large uncertainty in volume estimates; a one inch change in level corresponds to a 2750 gal change in volume. Tank levels were continually changing from evaporative losses due to self-heating, additions of waste and/or cooling water, distortion of the liner base, sedimentation, formation of surface crusts, temperature changes from agitation of the waste liquid, and the physical action of agitators (air-lift circulators). Leak detection appears to have occurred mostly after the fact, when gross-gamma logs of the surrounding boreholes and especially of the underlying lateral casings (see Figures 2.12 through 2.14) showed increases of radioactivity in the surrounding soil. So, we surmise that all four tanks may have leaked soon after they were filled, even though confirmation of leakage generally was delayed for several years after the tanks were first filled. The documents provided to the Panel seem to show that a general lack of waste storage capacity may have influenced the decisions about leaks; tank TX-105-A continued to be filled even after an 8.5-ft bulge of the liner base was identified [Beard et al, 1967].

The settling of solids is recorded [Brevick et al, 1994] as having occurred almost immediately after initial filling of tanks 241-SX-108, -111 and -112; tank 241-SX-109 has no such indication, but the reliability of not observing solids is questionable, especially considering the histories of the other eight high-heat tanks in the SX tank farm. Presence of solids appears to be an important factor in leak development. According to documents in Jo and Jones [1990], the exceptionally high heat-load generated by radionuclides incorporated into the precipitating solids is the prime source of thermal instability of the liquid wastes that led to buckling of the liner bases.

In the case of liner-base distortion, it appears that the settled heat-generating solids created temperatures in the grout/concrete/soil underlayment as high as 357°F. The consensus of the reports furnished to us appears to be that the high-heat solids which settled on the base liner caused volatilization of free water in the underlayment and of light-organic compounds in the asphaltic coating. At the highest temperatures, even some of the hydrated concrete would be restructured to add to the vapor pressure beneath the liner. When the vapor pressure under the liner exceeded the hydrostatic head, the liner buckled upwards (Figures 2.5 through 2.8), presenting a convex base surface as opposed to the concave surface originally constructed. Here again, operational practices may have contributed. For example, pumping liquid out of the tank would have reduced the hydrostatic head, while the heat supply from the precipitated solids remained constant or increased. Deflection of the center of the base liner of as much as four feet in the SX tanks has been estimated, while the tank TX-105-A liner deformed upward 8.5 feet. Once the vapor pressure under the liner was released through fractured welds (Figure 2.10), liquids and solids could have flowed between the liner and the concrete base [Beard et al, 1967] only to be ejected into the surrounding formation sediments as waste and/or cooling.
water added to the tank increased the hydrostatic pressure. The ejected fluids would be superheated (perhaps 5 psig or more vapor pressure), possibly carrying a heat source (radioactive solids), into an already hot, dry soil. So the fluids would be expected to flash to steam and create even a greater overpressure in the formation.

Another mechanism which could result in the ejection of hot fluids, once the liner is breached, is that of non-uniform boiling which produced pressure/temperature excursions, or so-called "bumps". Early in 1955, a series of five to twenty-five abrupt pressure surges of about 30 seconds each occurred in tank 241-SX-101. Flow rates from steam condensers in the headers increased eighteen-fold. Periodic agitation of the liquid in 241-SX-101 with a hand-auger triggered bumping episodes, as did the addition of fresh waste. Similar circumstances prevailed in other tanks. Overpressure in the tank head space was as great as 50 inches of water. In order to reduce the possibility of bumping, air-lift circulators (ALC) were installed in the high-heat tanks.

During August 1958, tank 241-SX-114 experienced a temperature excursion despite four ALCs operating at 10 cfm each. Tank solids were found to be at 357°F, so water was introduced at 15 gal/min to cool the solids. The tank bumped three times over the following weekend and steam escaped through the risers. This and other bumping tanks caused intermittent surface contamination as radioactivity entrained in the escaping steam was blown out the risers and deposited on the ground.

In the reports supplied to us, the action postulated as leading to bumps was that as the high-heat solids settled out of the liquid phase, their temperature increased over time from a lack of sufficient liquid to dissipate heat by boiling. Eventually, the liquid at the solid-liquid interface exceeded the instantaneous vapor pressure and formed steam bubbles which rose, releasing 2.5 million to 5-million BTU/hr to the tank head-space. Bumps occurred over time periods of as little as a few minutes to as long as eight hours. The ALCs generally helped to reduce the frequency and magnitude of bumps, but, when the ALCs were turned on after being shut down, violent bumping often occurred as precipitated hot sediments were resuspended into the liquid phase.

So, both vapor disruption of the liner base and vapor bubbles rising from superheated tank liquids could have contributed to overpressures inside the tanks, to as much as the maximum measured value of 70 inches of water (2.5 psi). Tank overpressure would be pulsed and additive with the hydrostatic pressure at the tank base. Release or condensation of vapor under the liner, and subsequent collapse of the liner, may have acted as a pump to force superheated liquids and solids into the formation sediment. Steam bumps inside the tanks may have added to the pumping action as internal tank overpressures would have caused flexing of distorted liner bases. Increased hydrostatic pressure from added liquids could have forced superheated liquids and suspended solids trapped beneath the liner to escape to the formation sediments.

Deciding which of the mechanisms is likely to produce the greatest level of contamination is beyond the scope of this report. Sufficient for our purpose is the fact that disruption of the
liner welds early during tank filling is likely to have occurred and plausible mechanisms exist which explain how superheated liquids could have been forced from the tanks into the formation sediments. Finally, the records show that the released fluids are dense (specific gravity approximately 2), hot (as much as 357°F), overpressured (approximately 5 psig), highly basic (0.5 M NaOH or more), and highly saline (10M Na⁺ or more). Along with these extreme conditions, it is important to note that the powerful, episodic nature of the tank liner ruptures and pressure-driven surges may have resulted in occasional large liquid losses from the tanks over relatively short periods of time. This possibility should not be neglected in any future efforts to define current conditions, because it would probably tend to produce temporary saturated flow conditions and deeper penetration of contaminants through the vadose zone than would gradual seepage.

2.3 DRYWELLS AND GAMMA LOGS

The records we have reviewed, especially of tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111, and -112, suggest that although tank-volume measurements may have indicated that the high-heat tanks were likely to have leaked early after having first been filled, the volume measurements were considered insufficient to classify the tanks as anything more than “assumed” leakers. It was only after gross-gamma logs of drywells surrounding each tank, and of lateral casings beneath the high-heat tanks, showed unequivocally that contaminants had leaked to the formation sediments that tanks were reclassified as confirmed leakers, taken out of service, and emptied of liquids (most still contain some solids). For a decade or more (18 years for tank 241-SX-111) the tanks were filled, pumped and refilled, all the while, they may have been ejecting contaminants to the formation sediments underlying the tanks.

The gross-gamma logs of drywells and laterals were obtained using a variety of detectors, which were not calibrated to produce quantitative estimates of contaminant concentrations in the formation sediments. Excessive levels of contamination were clearly identified by the gross-gamma logs, but the sensitivity for small contaminant concentrations was relatively poor. Also, radionuclide mixtures could not be differentiated. Furthermore, if most of the ejected contaminants flowed beneath the tanks [Raymond and Shdo, 1966], accurate vertical profiles would be difficult to measure from the vertical boreholes. Raymond and Shdo estimated that they could account for only 60% of the contaminants released from tank 241-SX-115 as part of the total leak volume of 50,000 gallons.

2.4 PANEL EVALUATION OF TANK LEAKS

The Panel postulates that soon after filling, the dense, caustic, superheated liquid waste was ejected through openings at the base of each of the tanks 241-SX-103, -109, -111 and -112 into formation sediments and that leaks could have continued for several years afterward. Although the evaluation we have made is not exhaustive, the presentations and discussions during Panel meetings, as well as the referenced supporting documentation, indicate that this scenario is
credible and consistent with current thought on the subject. It forms part of the basis for our judgments concerning the SX TANK FARM REPORT and the modeling calculations presented to the Panel. It also weighed into our considerations for the drilling of two investigative boreholes and recommendation to drill a slant or horizontal borehole beneath the SX tank farm.

A request was made by the Panel for reports evaluating measurements of radioactivity in the lateral casings beneath the high-heat tanks. A screening by Hanford personnel of available databases did not uncover any titles other than that by Raymond and Shdo. There is brief mention in the SX TANK FARM REPORT (subsection 10.2.9) of an evaluation of gross-gamma logging measurements beneath tank 241-SX-109, but neither details nor references are provided.

The gross-gamma logs measured in the lateral casings beneath the high-heat tanks appear to be a valuable resource for evaluating both depth and direction of contaminant flow beneath and between tanks. Dates of interception of the laterals by the migrating front should be considered when developing conceptual models of contaminant transport, as should any record which can be found of lateral movement of observed contaminant fronts. If the lateral casings have been inserted into virgin sediments, perhaps insights can be gained about the existence of preferential pathways in the sediments by searching the data for indications of “hot” zones, much as has been done with the gamma-logs collected in vertical casings.

The Panel recommends that the historic record of gross-gamma logs in the lateral casings be evaluated to determine the value of that data base toward developing conceptual models for contaminant transport in the SX tank farm.
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3.0 ASSESSMENT OF SX TANK FARM REPORT

The borehole logging system used to identify and map $^{137}$Cs below the SX tank farm is the SGLS, operated by MACTEC-ERS (formerly RUST Geotech). General information on spectral gamma-ray logging is given in Section 5 of the SX TANK FARM REPORT.

A review of the data-processing software was conducted and a report issued in 1994 [Stromswold, 1994]. This report recommends several minor enhancements to the software, but concludes: “The technical review has confirmed the appropriateness, correctness, completeness, and coding accuracy of algorithms used to process spectral gamma-ray data, leading to a calculation of subsurface radionuclide contaminants.” If anyone disputes this conclusion, it has not come to the attention of the Panel. Another peer review is currently ongoing.

Two SGLS systems were built in 1993 for use at Hanford and an older system (the Radioelement Logging System, or RLS) has been in use there for some years. All three systems use a cryogenically-cooled, high-purity germanium detector system in borehole sondes [Koizumi et al, 1994]. Pulses from a preamplifier in the downhole sonde are transmitted up a cable to laboratory-grade spectral resolution instrumentation in a logging truck, producing a 4096-channel energy spectrum.

The SGLS is calibrated and its accuracy verified using generally-accepted procedures and techniques. The calibration procedures for the earlier RLS and the SGLS are well documented [e.g., Brodeur et al, 1991; Koizumi et al, 1992; Koizumi, 1996]. The calibration is accomplished primarily using borehole calibration models located at the DOE Grand Junction compound. These models were built mostly for use in uranium exploration and evaluation and are fairly well suited to the task of calibrating the SGLS. The models contain various amounts of the natural radioelements potassium, uranium, and thorium, along with uranium and thorium daughters. For radioactive contaminants like $^{137}$Cs, which is not present in the Grand Junction models, the system response is calibrated as a function of energy by interpolating among a dozen or so relatively prominent photopeaks, ranging from the combined 185.7 keV peak of $^{235}$U and 186 keV peak of $^{226}$Ra to the 2614.5 keV peak of $^{208}$Tl [Koizumi, 1996]. This is a simple and cost-effective approach that is sufficiently accurate considering the other uncertainties such as the distribution of radioactive material in the formation and borehole environment. Calibration measurements with the SGLS have also been made at the standard models used by the petroleum industry [Koizumi et al, 1991].

Calibrations used for the SX tank farm logs implicitly assume that contaminants are dispersed in the formation rather than representing borehole contamination. This limitation is discussed in the SX TANK FARM REPORT. At the request of the Panel, a point-source calibration (Figure 3.1a) was prepared by MACTEC-ERS to provide an indication of the magnitude of downhole contamination when it is encountered. Figure 3.1b provides comparable countrates for dispersed sources; the maximum countrate limit of the SGLS (equivalent to approximately
Figure 3.1  $^{137}$Cs Point Source Intensity Vs. Count Rate (3.1a); $^{137}$Cs Concentration Vs. Count Rate (3.1b)
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8,000 to 12,000 pCi/g) is achieved by a point source of only about 60 μCi.

Secondary calibration and verification of functionality of the SGLS take place using calibration models at Hanford. These doped-concrete models were also initially used for uranium logging in the NURE program, located at Spokane, Washington, and were later moved and installed at the Hanford site specifically for use in calibrating the SGLS [Koizumi, 1996].

Field procedures with the SGLS system appear to be consistent with accepted practice. System functionality is verified in the field, before and after logging each borehole, using a portable field check source [Koizumi, 1996]. Typically, data are accumulated for 100 s at each sample point in the borehole, usually at one-half foot intervals. The extraction of $^{137}$Cs concentration data is based on an analysis of the recorded gamma-ray energy spectra. The spectra are analyzed to identify the 661 keV photopeak diagnostic of $^{137}$Cs, if present, and to determine the photopeak countrate (since the photopeak extends over several spectral channels, the countrates in those channels are summed to give the photopeak countrate). That peak countrate is corrected for background and for borehole conditions that differ from the instrument calibration conditions, such as different casing thickness. The corrected photopeak countrate is converted to a concentration value. This last step is sensitive to the calibration limitations described above.

The geostatistical analysis presented in the SX TANK FARM REPORT is consistent with current professional practice. The geostatistical model is used to generate visualizations suggesting massive transport of $^{137}$Cs in large, balloon-shaped contamination zones around and below some of the tanks (Figure 1.1). This interpretation is presented with suitable caveats concerning the conceptual assumptions that underlie the analysis. However, we consider this contaminant transport scenario unlikely although the underlying assumptions were properly explained in the report. A more likely contaminant transport scenario would involve preferential flow paths through the formation. This is considered further in the following section.
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4.0 TRANSPORT AND DEPOSITION OF CONTAMINANTS

4.1 OVERVIEW

The Panel was convened because available evidence did little to resolve whether the movement of $^{137}$Cs indicated by the SGLS logs in the SX tank farm was largely along formation pathways or down boreholes. Any of the $^{137}$Cs concentration logs presented in the SX TANK FARM REPORT could be produced either by contaminants distributed in the formation or by contaminants that moved along the outside surface of the casing during or after the drilling.

The possibility of borehole transport in particular cases must not be allowed to divert attention from the broader issue of formation transport. By the close of the second Panel meeting (July 16-17, 1996), it was the Panel’s unanimous view that it is entirely plausible that $^{137}$Cs could travel along preferential formation pathways to the depths observed on the SGLS logs, and probably beyond (see meeting Consensus Summary and Recommendations in Section 6.2 of this report). Such preferential pathways could include discontinuities such as clastic dikes or more subtle variations in formation properties that could concentrate the flow. Furthermore, the combination of high temperature, pH, sodium-ion concentration and density of fluid exiting the tanks could enhance pollutant transport along such pathways by forcing the fluid down openings, enhancing openings by dissolution of siliceous material and occupying exchange sites. Especially likely to be affected are the fine-grained materials commonly associated with $^{137}$Cs fixation in soils.

The validity of the MACTEC-ERS visualizations depends on the validity of the spatial structure of the geostatistical model. Although there are a relatively large number of logged boreholes in the SX tank farm, there are too few closely-spaced boreholes for resolving small scale changes in Cs distribution. Thus, the visualizations show Cs widely distributed throughout large regions of the SX tank farm. This seems unlikely considering the inhomogeneities of soil structure and porosity present in the formation. While the concept of large, homogenous pockets of contaminant moving more or less uniformly through the formation is unlikely, the more likely alternative of contaminant transport along preferential formation pathways also can be a threat to groundwater.

As a counter-argument to the visualizations of $^{137}$Cs distribution shown in the SX TANK FARM REPORT, contaminant transport simulations were presented to the Vadose Zone Expert Panel at the second Panel meeting by Pacific Northwest National Laboratories (PNNL) personnel. At that meeting, the PNNL modelers insisted that $^{137}$Cs could not have moved several tens of feet down through the formation as implied by the SGLS logs, using their simulations to support that view. Their interpretation of the SGLS logs was that all of the $^{137}$Cs at depth was borehole contamination. However, the model parameters used by PNNL were unrealistic, including source terms, initial conditions, and probable transport mechanisms, and the approach was not consistent with current research indicating the likelihood of preferential flow in unsaturated media.
Like the geostatistical model, the PNNL contaminant transport simulations presented to the Panel also depend heavily on a simplistic conceptual model where large quantities of sorbing contaminant can only be transported short distances in essentially a large chromatographic column in which formation heterogeneities are treated in the aggregate as a more or less uniform soil. The solute retardation coefficients were based on empirical evidence, but not for conditions representative of leaks from the SX tanks. The only allowed alternative to $^{137}$Cs transport through this “average” soil column is that of migration along the void between borehole casing and the formation, an alternative in which a small quantity of $^{137}$Cs can produce a relatively high gamma count rate in the spectral logging system (see Figure 3.1). The potential for large quantities of $^{137}$Cs and other contaminants to be transported along preferential formation pathways appears to have been ignored. Also ignored is the likelihood that chemical reactions involving the hot, saline, caustic brine exiting a tank may drastically alter the interactions between $^{137}$Cs and binding sites on the soil particles. Finally, colloid-assisted transport of $^{137}$Cs through formation soils or preferential pathways has been ignored.

After the Panel pointed out those deficiencies, the input parameters were revised by PNNL. The new simulations showed the $^{137}$Cs much deeper in the formation, demonstrating that the transport simulations were biased according to the input data and underlying assumptions selected for the simulation program. This implies that any simulation models using similar assumptions for contaminant transport could be equally deficient. For example, the recently issued Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for TWRS may be based on equally unrealistic parameters and assumptions.

Thus, the Panel finds it necessary to discuss in some detail the deficiencies of the conventional conceptual models presented to it as alternatives to the findings presented in the SX TANK FARM REPORT.

### 4.1.1 Source Term

The soil column was used to retain low-concentration contaminants in liquid effluents by dispersal principally into cribs: 216-S-1/2, 216-S-8, 216-S-21 and 216-S-25 (Figure 4.1) with total transfer volumes of approximately $4 \times 10^7$, $3 \times 10^6$, $2 \times 10^7$, and $8 \times 10^7$ gallons, respectively. In addition to the known leaking tanks in SX Farm (Table 4.1) contaminants in the vadose zone below the SX tank farm were suggested to have been contributed principally from several of these cribs. Although other cribs in the area were also used for liquid waste disposal, they are not believed to have contributed to the vadose zone beneath the SX tank farm, because measured hydraulic gradients in the area indicate flow away from the SX tank area. U-Pond, several hundred feet northwest of crib 216-S-21 also appears to be down-gradient ($10^{10}$ gallons of low-concentration liquid waste dumped there).
Figure 4.1 Map of the SX Tank Farm Area [OIPQ, 1996].
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Table 4.1 Current Status of the SX Tanks [Caggiano, 1996 (Appendix G)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SX-101</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>146000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-102</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>183000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-103</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>unsure</td>
<td>223000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-104</td>
<td>leak, 1988</td>
<td>unsure</td>
<td>201000</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-105</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>261000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-106</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>233000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-107</td>
<td>leak, 1964</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;5000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-108</td>
<td>leak, 1962</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2400 to 35000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-109</td>
<td>leak, 1965</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;10000</td>
<td>250000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-110</td>
<td>leak, 1976</td>
<td>sound</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-111</td>
<td>leak, 1974</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500 to 2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-112</td>
<td>leak, 1969</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-113</td>
<td>leak, 1958</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-114</td>
<td>leak, 1972</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SX-115</td>
<td>leak, 1965</td>
<td>leaker</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The principal radioactive contaminant from the cribs to reach the groundwater monitoring wells around the SX tank farm appears to be tritium ($^3$H) in the form of tritiated water (represented as HTO in this report). Since $^3$H is bound in water molecules, it is expected to be as mobile as any water in the formation. HTO concentration in groundwater monitoring wells has exceeded the drinking water maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 20,000 pCi/L by more than tenfold.

Another relatively mobile contaminant, uranium (U), is present in the groundwater, partly from naturally occurring sources and partly from waste transfers to cribs and leaking tanks. The Panel has not been provided specific concentration values for uranium in the SX area groundwater monitoring wells. Yet another mobile radionuclide, $^{99}$Tc (probably as pertechnetate anion), has been intercepted by the SX tank farm groundwater monitoring wells (Figure 4.2) with concentrations in wells 299-W23-7, 299-W23-2, and 299-W23-15 having exceeded the MCL of 900 pCi/L for $^{99}$Tc (Figure 4.2).

At the Panel's first meeting, staff from the State of Washington Department of Ecology presented $^{99}$Tc/U and HTO/$^{99}$Tc concentration ratios (Figure 4.3) which they interpret as an indication that $^{99}$Tc in the groundwater below the SX tank farm is contributed primarily by sources differing from those that contribute to the HTO and U plumes. They conclude that $^{99}$Tc is from leaking tanks in the S/SX tank farms, and not from cribs.

The Ecology presentation drew attention to the need for a more extensive effort to characterize contaminant migration in the vadose zone. If $^{99}$Tc in the groundwater is from the S or SX tanks, where are the other contaminants that should be associated with tank leaks; i.e., $^{129}$I, U, $^3$H, $^{90}$Sr, and mobile actinides? What is the source and migration pathway of $^{137}$Cs in well 299-W23-7? These are important issues for evaluation of the hazards created by leaked contaminants.

### 4.1.2 Driving Mechanisms

Water that carried the vadose zone (and groundwater) contaminants out of the leaking tanks, and possibly water from the surrounding cribs, trenches and ponds, is one obvious driver for contaminant migration in the vadose zone. Water-budget simulations presented by PNNL personnel suggest that water from natural recharge is likely to be a much more significant contaminant driver than believed in the past. Estimates of drainage rates up to 10 cm/yr [Gee et al, 1992] have been confirmed in lysimeter tests. Enhanced flow down tank walls from precipitation draining off tank domes may also serve to increase migration of contaminants away from the leak location (see Figure 4.4). With some 50% of the surface area of the SX tank farm located above tank domes, the resulting concentrated precipitation-driven drainage immediately below the tank walls may prove to be an important driving mechanism.
Figure 4.2 $^{99}$Tc Concentrations in Groundwater Monitoring Wells [Thompson, 1996].
Figure 4.3 Technetium/Uranium Ratio in Groundwater Near the S-SX Waste Management Area [Caggiano, 1996].
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A potentially important driving mechanism that the panel has not seen in any model of contaminant migration in the vadose zone is that of an overpressure caused by superheated water flashing to steam on contact with heated soil. Such overpressure may drive liquids and contaminants through fractures and other low-impedance pathways in the formation. The SX tanks were filled with high-heat generating wastes, apparently sufficient to raise bottom liquids, tank bases and surrounding soils to 357° F and possibly higher. When the tank bottoms and walls buckled, breaking welds, the superheated brine would be expected to flash to steam. The resulting steam pressure may have forced hot brine far into the formation. This driving mechanism should be evaluated further for its importance relative to the other driving mechanisms.

4.2 FORMATION PATHWAYS

4.2.1 Conventional Conceptual Models

The conceptual contaminant sources and transport pathways to groundwater are depicted in Figure 4.4, which was presented at Panel meetings; similar figures are presented in the TWRS EIS and the other reports. The similarities of the figures lead us to conclude that contaminant transport in the Hanford vadose zone is calculated using much the same conventional concepts regardless of the specific algorithms adopted to solve the generalized mass transport equation. Descriptions of the VAM2D model [DOE, 1996] support that conclusion. The STOMP model includes sophisticated multi-phase transport modeling capability, but until recently, the Panel saw only simplistic use of STOMP in the vadose zone work. Recent STOMP calculations [Ward et al., 1997] are somewhat more realistic, but still do not adequately represent vadose zone conditions. This is considered further in Section 4.2.7.

A key consideration by those opposed to the MACTEC-ERS interpretation is their contention that $^{137}$Cs is highly sorbed on sediments under all conditions. A critical parameter for calculating how rapidly and how far $^{137}$Cs or other contaminants might be transported is the activity or mass distribution coefficient, $K_d$, because of its relation to the retardation coefficient, $R_d$, in the general mass transport equation. $K_d$ (units of mL/g) is the quantity of radionuclide sorbed by a solid per unit weight of the solid divided by the concentration of the radionuclide dissolved in water; i.e., pCi/g ÷ pCi/mL = mL/g; an equivalent calculation in mass units applies for non-radioactive contaminants. It is an empirical value, usually determined in the laboratory under conditions presumed to represent those for which the model calculation is being performed. Typical $K_d$ values for $^{137}$Cs in Hanford sediments were reported to the Panel as ranging to a few thousand mL/g, with the conditions at the SX tank farm likely to result in values from 0.5 to 20 mL/g.
R_d represents the degree to which a solute will be retarded relative to the migrating solvent and is related to K_d by

\[ R_d = \frac{n}{n_e} + \frac{\rho_b}{n_e} K_d \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

where n is total porosity, n_e is effective porosity, and \( \rho_b \) is bulk density (g/cm^3) [Till and Meyer, 1983].

Assuming \( n_e = n \), equation 4.1 can be approximated as

\[ R_d = 1 + \frac{\rho_b}{n_e} K_d \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

Only those contaminants or conditions that result in \( K_d \) values approaching zero will produce solute transport times approaching that of the transport medium. For saturated formations at Hanford, \( \rho_b/n_e \) typically is between 4 and 7, and for unsaturated formations may be as much as eight-fold greater due to low \( n_e \) values. Thus, the effect of the selected \( K_d \) value on calculated contaminant transport time is magnified in the vadose zone.

The effect of even relatively small values of \( K_d \) is exemplified in the transport simulations performed for the TWRS EIS, where a \( K_d \) of 50 mL/g was selected for \(^{137}\text{Cs} \). The results exemplified in Figures 4.5 and 4.6 show time of first arrival at the groundwater surface as 150 years and 1200 years for \( K_d = 0 \) and \( K_d = 1 \), respectively, in the 2WSS area. The corresponding peak arrival times are 260 years and 1980 years, and the maximum concentration at the longer time is reduced nearly threefold from that at the shorter, presumably by dispersion and by sorptive losses to the soil column. The vadose zone results produce a concomitant effect on the groundwater model results because they serve as input to the groundwater model calculations (Figure 4.7).

A comparable set of assumptions for simulations using the STOMP model was presented to the Panel. At the first Panel meeting, \( K_d \) values of 2 to 6 mL/g for \(^{137}\text{Cs} \) and \( R_d \) of 30 to 100 were justified by the presenters as conservative despite the fact that experimental conditions are not representative of those likely for SX tank leaks. In response to the Panel’s suggestions that their selected values were not representative, the modelers produced for the second meeting a set of calculations using \( K_d \) values of 0.5 and 3 mL/g and \( R_d \) approximately 20. This second set was also considered by the Panel to be unsatisfactory, because the change in \( K_d \) values produced only trivial changes in \(^{137}\text{Cs} \) transport times, while still failing to account for chemical, thermal and physical effects identified by the Panel as potentially influencing contaminant transport in the vadose zone beneath the SX tanks.
4.2.2 $^{137}\text{Cs}$ Transport Under High Temperature and High pH Flow Conditions

Leakage of hot alkaline slurry produced by REDOX wastes into the Hanford formation is likely to dissolve silica and silicate minerals. The solubility of silica and silicates reaches a minimum at pH 6 to pH 7 and increases exponentially with both decreasing and increasing pH (Figure 4.8); in the case of the REDOX waste, the high-pH data are relevant.

At the SX tank farm, the original source may have been a hot, caustic, saline solution, initially 8 to 10 molar sodium at 350°F or more, leaking into a hot formation, and including self heating by the radionuclides leaking into the formation. Add to that scenario the possibility of superheated tank liquid flashing into steam as it enters the unsaturated formation at lower static pressure, and all of the conditions seem to be present for artificial stimulation and enhanced flow at least somewhat analogous to petroleum industry practice for artificial stimulation of petroleum reservoirs. In cases where petroleum in reservoirs cannot be produced economically because of low permeability conditions, various combinations of high pressure, high temperature, and forced injection of corrosive materials are used to enlarge existing pores and fractures or induce artificial fracturing. Petroleum engineering departments at universities or major oil companies could be consulted to determine if computer simulation codes developed for modeling artificial stimulation procedures might be applied to the SX tank farm environment during the period of active leakage to provide definition of current conditions.

The extreme conditions described above also suggest the possibility of localized geothermal convection systems driven by heat and steam from the tanks and by leaking self-heating fluids. Such a system may drive contamination upward through the formation in addition to the downward migration already considered. Geochemists familiar with geothermal areas may be able to provide valuable insights on the effects and reaction rates of hot alkaline slurry in the Hanford geologic environment.
Predicted Contaminant Concentration for the No Action Alternative ($K_d = 0$) at the Vadose Zone/Groundwater Interface

**SOURCE AREA**

- 1WSS
- 2WSS
- 3WDS
- 1ESS
- 2ESS
- 3EDS
- 4ESS
- 5EDS

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Time of 1st Arrival (years)</th>
<th>Time of Peak Arrival (years)</th>
<th>Peak Concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1WSS</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>4.00E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2WSS</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>4.00E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3WDS</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>3.49E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1ESS</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>3.49E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2ESS</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.80E+04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3EDS</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.40E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4ESS</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>3.85E+05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5EDS</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>3.11E+05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Initial unit concentration is 400,000 mg/L for all tank source areas.
Figure 4.6 Predicted Contaminant Concentration for the No Action Alternative ($K_d = 1$) at the Vadose Zone/Groundwater Interfaces [DOE, 1996].
Figure 4.7 Example of Groundwater Model Input Development from Vadose Model Results [DOE, 1996].
4.2.3 Analogy with Corrosion of Glass

For canistered, vitrified high level waste, the fraction, F, of glass that would corrode per year is given by:

\[ F = \frac{RA}{W} \]  

(4.3)

where R is the glass corrosion rate \( \text{gm}^2\text{y}^{-1} \), W is weight of glass in a canister, and A is the surface area \( \text{m}^2 \) of glass exposed to water [DOE, 1995]. Equation 4.3 can equally be applied to dissolution of soil contaminated by leaking tank wastes, if appropriate values for R, A and W can be established.

Estimates of W and A can be established from straightforward geophysical logging methods coupled (in the case of W) to some estimate of the affected volume of soil, using either the MACTEC-ERS geostatistical method or a contaminant transport simulation method. Values for R, however, depend on a number of experimental conditions, which in turn provide some insights into mechanisms which the Panel believes to have been inadequately considered by those performing the transport simulations at Hanford.

Research on the effects of temperature, hydroxide ion and salt concentrations, and vapor-phase attack of silicate glasses [Cunnane et al, 1994] may also provide valuable insights into the concepts necessary to develop models that more closely represent the dissolution processes that can develop when leaking corrosive wastes contact formation soils (compare Figures 4.8 and 4.9). With the large amounts of hydroxide ion (high pH) present even when the leaking brines are diluted somewhat by infiltrating precipitation, hydrolysis and dissolution of silicate glass-forming structure will dominate (equations 4 and 6 in Table 4.2). Increasing the pH of the reacting solution from approximately 6 or 7 to 14 increases the glass dissolution rate at a given temperature by a factor of roughly 10⁶(Figure 4.2) and for albite by roughly 10⁵. Increasing temperature by 80°F to 90°F at constant pH increases the glass dissolution rate by a factor of roughly 100 (Figure 4.9).

The temperature logs for SX tank farm boreholes place current conditions in zones with high concentrations of \(^{137}\text{Cs} \) at approximately those of the 50°C data line, probably still at an elevated pH. When considered in the context of the large surface area of soil particles available for dissolution within the leak-contaminated zones under the SX tanks, large quantities of soil silica and silicate materials were dissolved in those zones, with dissolution of the smaller particles (most sorbing) favored over dissolution of the larger particles. Research into mechanisms which dissolve waste and other glasses in warm, unsaturated environments [Cunnane et al, 1994] suggest that dissolution of siliceous sediments is continuing even now.

The dissolution rate, F, whether as grams, mole or fraction of glass or some constituent per unit of time, is normalized on the total surface area of the glass or other silicate material.
Figure 4.8 Rate of Dissolution of Albite as a Function of pH (after Wollast and Chou, 1985) [Drever, 1988].
Figure 4.9 Rate Constant for SRL 165 Simple Analog Glass (SRL-165SA) vs. pH at 25, 50, and 70°C Measured in Flow-Through Apparatus.

Under these conditions, no secondary phases form and release rate are maximum values (adapted from [KNAUSS-1990]). SRL-165A glass composition is SiO$_2$=55.7, Al$_2$O$_3$=11.7, B$_2$O$_3$=8.4, Na$_2$O=18.2, CaO=6.0 wt. % [Cunnane et al, 1994].
available for leaching to account for the extent of fracturing and decomposition which might develop for canistered waste glass. Tests to determine the normalized glass dissolution rates under various conditions of exposed area are performed either by suspending in water a polished glass disk of known surface area (low surface area test) or by adding to water ground glass of various sieve sizes (moderately large surface area test) or powdered glass (very large surface area test). The soil dissolution tests leading to Figure 4.8 are likely to fall in the mid-range of particle size fractions tested for waste-glass dissolution. The normalized network dissolution rate resulting from tests using such disparate glass surface area will be reasonably reproducible at some fixed solution volume and temperature. So, the smaller the particles (greater surface area) presented for dissolution, the greater the fraction which will be dissolved at any set of conditions of temperature or solvent volume.

If waste products are incorporated into a glass waste form, dissolution rates of various components can vary markedly from that of glass network. For example, in Figure 4.10, network-forming components of borosilicate waste glass (Si, Na, or K) are shown to dissolve at rates which are magnitudes greater than those of many of the incorporated waste elements (Fe, U, Sr or Ba). A key for our consideration is that the incorporated Cs is dissolved at approximately the same rate as the network forming elements; in fact, the Cs dissolution rate exceeds that of Si. This last effect is due to the relative transport efficiency through a gel layer which forms on the surface of a glass surface undergoing dissolution (Figure 4.11). If Cs which has been incorporated into a glass waste form is among the elements which are preferentially released as the glass is dissolved, Cs which is adsorbed onto particle surfaces, as is likely for clayey sediments, must also be easily mobilized.

The conditions exemplified in Figures 4.8 and 4.9 cannot be readily extrapolated to the extreme conditions likely to have been experienced when leaks first developed in the SX tanks. An Arrhenius diagram for dissolution under neutral to slightly alkaline conditions exhibits for glass leaching four magnitudes increase in leach rate for a 180°F temperature increase (Figure 4.12). An Arrhenius diagram for boron release rate (Figure 4.13), indicates that the line in Figure 4.12 can be extended to temperatures as high as those observed for the SX tank farm wastes. Dissolution rates at 357°F would be approximately a million-fold greater than those at ambient environmental temperatures.

The combined effect of pH 14 and 357°F temperature is likely to have increased the dissolution rate of silicates in the Hanford sediments by up to ten orders of magnitude above those normally experienced under typical ambient environmental conditions. Even at 140°F, soil dissolution rates could be a million times ambient. If the soil particles are altered by such large dissolution rates from the time leaking began even to now, the process must be incorporated in conceptual models. One ramification is that porosity and hydraulic conductivity may be markedly changed at different locations in the formation. Porosity will increase as fine-grained materials are dissolved from the formation. It also will decrease at depth as dissolved silicates are precipitated under conditions of lowered temperature.
Figure 4.10 Dissolution Data from Temperature Dependence Studies Used to Derive Activation Energies (adapted from WESTSIK-1981) [Cunnane et al, 1994].
Figure 4.11 Schematic of Surface Layers on Corroded Glass (adapted from [MENDELI, 1984]). [Cunnane et al., 1994].
Figure 4.12 Arrhenium Diagram of Leaching Data for SRL 131 Glass (adapted from BARKATT, 1986). [Cunnane et al, 1994].
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Figure 4.13 Arrhenium Diagram of Leaching Data for R7T7 Glass in a Soxhlet Device
(adapted from [DELAGE, 1991]). [Cunnane et al, 1994].
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and pH (see below).

A second ramification is that rapid matrix dissolution or hydration will cause colloid-sized particles that form on the surface of dissolving glass or soil particles to slough off (Figure 4.11) and be carried downstream. Under conditions of pH greater than 7, the particles will have either a negative surface charge, as for most colloids, or have no charge (Table 4.2). Any $^{137}$Cs sorbed on colloids can be carried downstream with an effective $K_d$ of zero, i.e., it can move at least at the velocity of the transporting water. Also, the dissolved silica will repolymerize as the temperature and pH of the solution decrease (Figure 4.14), either remaining as suspended colloids that can retain $^{137}$Cs in mobile form or precipitating downstream if the pH decreases sufficiently. The stability of any colloids or pseudocolloids formed in this manner does not appear to have been studied under conditions representative of the vadose zone and groundwater systems under the SX tank farm. If the colloids or pseudocolloids are stable, however, any $^{137}$Cs and other contaminants sorbed on them may be transported at the velocity of the water in either the vadose or groundwater zone. Thus, assumptions that dilution will cause any mobilized $^{137}$Cs or other high-$K_d$ contaminants to become immobile may be incorrect. On the other hand, the $^{137}$Cs-bearing colloids or pseudocolloids may precipitate downstream in the vadose zone when dissolved silicates are precipitated there. The possibility of formation and transport, as well as immobilization at depth, of any colloids or pseudocolloids, results in a serious uncertainty in the development of a representative conceptual model for transport of $^{137}$Cs and other contaminants. The processes described above are consistent with the spectral-gamma logs obtained by MACTEC-ERS.

Alteration and dissolution of glass structure have been shown to occur from the action of water vapor as well as liquid [Cunnane et al, 1994], with the vapor-phase reaction rate increasing as temperature increases. The “crust” which forms readily sloughs off as colloids and/or pseudocolloids when the glass surface is wet. The effect of vapor-phase attack on salt/hydroxide-coated soil-particle surfaces has not been studied, to our knowledge.

Yet another uncertainty which must be addressed is the degree to which flowing brine or water will affect the dissolution rate of soil particles. Barkatt [1984] performed a laboratory experiment which simulated potential leaching of HLW glass in a repository. He found that as the repository-equivalent flow rate of distilled water leachant was reduced from 1 m/yr to 0.01 m/yr the leach rate of boron (assumed to be the dissolution rate of glass) decreased approximately forty-fold (Figure 4.15). The decrease in dissolution rate as flow rate decreased was explained as due to an increase in concentration of silicic acid near the glass surface (see reactions 6 and 7 in Table 4.2) which would shift equilibrium toward a more stable glass form. Whether the extremely high hydroxide concentration of the hot brine would overwhelm this flow rate effect is unknown. A related unknown is the degree to which dilution by infiltrating precipitation and reaction with formation sediments will alter the hydroxide concentration and therefore the dissolution rate of soil particles.
Table 4.2. Glass Corrosion Reactions [Cunnane et al, 1994].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Nomenclature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 [\equiv \text{Si-O-Na} + H_2O^+ \rightleftharpoons \equiv \text{Si-OH} + Na^+ + H_2O]</td>
<td>Ion exchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 [2\equiv \text{Si-O-Na} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons 2\equiv \text{Si-OH} + Na_2O]</td>
<td>Hydrolys. reactions at nonbridging oxygen sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 [\equiv \text{Si-O-Na} + H_2O \rightleftharpoons \equiv \text{Si-OH} + Na^+ + OH^-]</td>
<td>Network hydrolysis (forward reaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 [\equiv \text{Si-O-Si} \equiv + OH^- \rightleftharpoons \equiv \text{SiOH} = \equiv \text{Si-O}^-]</td>
<td>Network hydrolysis (forward reaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 [\equiv \text{Si-O-Si} \equiv + H_2O \rightleftharpoons \equiv \text{SiOH} = \equiv \text{Si-OH}]</td>
<td>Condensation (reverse reaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 [\equiv \text{Si-O-Si-OH} + OH^- \rightleftharpoons \equiv \text{Si-O}^- + (H_4SiO_4)_{aq}]</td>
<td>Network dissolution (forward reaction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[\equiv \text{Si-O-Si-OH} + OH^- \rightleftharpoons \equiv \text{Si-O}^- + (H_4SiO_4)_{aq}]</td>
<td>Condensation (reverse reaction)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Although the reactions are written explicitly for Si and Na, similar reactions occur for other network-forming and network-modifying elements.

Source: Adapted from [ABRAJANO-1989].
Figure 4.14 Schematic Illustration of the Polymerization of Monosilicic Acid to Form Seed Particles.

In basic solutions, seeds can grow to give sols of different particle sizes (viz. Ostwald ripening), whereas aggregation occurs in acidic solutions or in the presence of electrolytes, which can lead to the formation of three dimensional gel networks (adapted from [ILER-1979]). [Cunnane et al., 1994].
Figure 4.15 Boron Release from SRL TDS-131 as a Function of Flow Rate (adapted from [BARKATT, 1984a]) [Cunnane et al, 1994].
Recent research on the mineral-water geochemistry of silica polymorphs has been described and interpreted in an extensive review by Dove and Rimstidt [1993]. The studies described in the review do not deal directly with the mobilization of incorporated radionuclides, but they do provide a geochemical basis for questioning the representativeness of the $K_d$ formalism for simulating solute transport or immobilization in formation soils under the conditions which apply beneath the Hanford waste tanks. Use of the $K_d$ formalism implies that the soil column is assumed to act as a large chromatographic column, with various cations competing for exchange sites on the surfaces of soil particles. The PNNL modelers described to the Panel how in their simulations, and also in their supporting research, $^{137}\text{Cs}$ mobilization and transport was considered to depend on how effectively $\text{Na}^+$, $\text{K}^+$ and $\text{NH}_4^+$ ions competed with the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ for exchange sites on the surface of soil particles. However, the dissolution of silica particles and the subsequent nucleation and precipitation of the resulting silica polymorphs are geochemical processes which are active even at room temperature, neutral pH and low salinity, and would cause morphological alteration of silica particles even at some distance from a leak. The morphological and chemical alterations which result from these geochemical reactions serve to reduce available exchange sites even if the leaked brines are diluted, cooled and transported by meteoric water or depleted of free hydroxide by reaction with the formation sediments.

The key concept that derives from the research on waste-glass and geochemical silica is that $^{137}\text{Cs}$ carried with the tank waste will be dispersed by both chemical and physical processes. Some fraction (probably large) will have been adsorbed reasonably near the leak location, some of that to be mobilized later as more supernate is leaked or by meteoric water. Another fraction is likely to have been carried to some depth, possibly along permeable zones, by the tank waste, there to undergo much the same reactions as the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ trapped near the leak. Yet another fraction (probably small) may be mobilized on colloidal particles either at the time of release from the tank or later as material which may have been trapped at some distance from the leak if peptized. Finally, any mobile fraction is likely to be depleted by nucleation or precipitation, or perhaps supplemented as upstream fractions are peptized by electrolyte-containing formation water. This concept is not readily simulated by the $K_d$ formalism, where solutes are treated in an all-or-nothing manner, i.e., transport of all the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ from a particular leak is simulated using a specific value for $K_d$ for some selected time period.

Great uncertainties limit the quality and value of the contaminant-transport simulations presented to the Panel.

**4.2.4 Role of Colloids in Preferential Flow**

Numerous studies have been published to describe the effects of complexing agents or colloid formation on the migration of various contaminants. Cesium does not form complexes with natural (e.g., fulvic or humic) acids or artificially-introduced (e.g., EDTA) chelating agents. Nor does cesium form anionic species, as does technetium ($\text{TcO}_4^-$), for example.
Retardation during transport of soluble, radioactive, inorganic species is conventionally simulated as a reaction of the cationic contaminant (in this case $^{137}\text{Cs}^+$) with negatively charged surfaces of the formation soil. Thus, the soil column acts as a large-capacity cation-exchange column. However, the soil particles in sizes less than 10 µm diameter are most effective in adsorption of cesium. As described above, hot, caustic brine is likely to alter the distribution of particle sizes in the formation, dissolving some of the fine, colloidal particles already present while breaking down some of the larger particles to colloidal size. Determining whether the action of the hot, caustic brine on soil particles increases or decreases the fraction of colloidal particles will require some experimental effort, as will determination of the effect on soil particles of electrolyte-enhanced formation water.

An example of the colloid fraction (in this case, kaolinite) increasing the migration rate of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ in a saturated medium illustrates one of the effects possible during active tank leakage. Saiers and Hornberger (1996) injected $^{137}\text{Cs}$ tracer into columns of mineralogically pure, well-rounded quartz sand. Well-rounded sand is used to minimize the exchange capacity of the sand, much as described in 4.2.3 above when a soil column has been morphologically altered according to processes described by Dove and Rimstidt (1994). In addition to $^{137}\text{Cs}$, the influent consisted of kaolinite colloid at 0, 50, 100, or 200 mg/L. The results show that breakthrough for $^{137}\text{Cs}$ in the presence of kaolinite occurred 15 pore volumes sooner than that in which colloids were absent from the conducting fluid (Figure 4.16). Breakthrough for the colloid-containing influent occurred in the very first fractions collected from the columns, followed by a plateau region of 5 to 10 pore volumes in which the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ concentration increased slowly. The concentration values in the plateau region increased with increasing kaolinite concentration. Time scales for transport of the principal volume (peak) of the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ influent varied inversely with kaolinite concentration; e.g., the ascending limb for the 200-mg/L influent develops approximately 7 pore volumes earlier than does that for the 50-mg/L influent.

The Saiers and Hornberger experiment is one key toward developing conceptual models for $^{137}\text{Cs}$ (and other solute) transport in the vadose zone during tank leaks and flooding incidents or in the groundwater system. Clearly, $^{137}\text{Cs}$ transport through the columns increased for both the early-arrival, small-concentration portion and the peak-concentration portion of the influent breakthrough pattern. Since the experiment was conducted in a homogeneous, small-grained sand, there are no preferential pathways in the column. The only requirement is that colloidal-sized, cesium-sorbing particles be present. In the case of Hanford tank farms, such particles might occur naturally in the formation or be artificially-produced by the action of the hot, caustic brine on soil particles. For continuing leaks, previously sorbed $^{137}\text{Cs}$ may be remobilized by dissolution of soil particles on which the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ was deposited.

Once mobilized, colloidal-supported $^{137}\text{Cs}$ may continue to migrate with the transporting fluid, agglomerate into larger particles that can settle as sediments, or be “filtered” by adhesion to the formation materials (Figure 4.17). Studies such as that by Saiers and Hornberger and representations as in Figure 4.15 are more representative of processes that should occur in saturated systems. These are important concepts because they show that $^{137}\text{Cs}$
Figure 4.16 Breakthrough curves for $^{137}$Cs Transport Under Varying Conditions of Influent Kaolinite Concentration. The ordinate axis is presented in terms of the total mobile $^{137}$Cs concentration in the column effluent, $C_{at}$, normalized to the total mass concentration of $^{137}$Cs in the column influent, $C_{ao}$, such that $C_{at}/C_{ao} = (C_a + C_c C_{am1} + C_c C_{am2})/C_{ao}$ [Saiers and Hornberger, 1996].
Figure 4.17 Schematic Representation of Colloid-facilitated Contaminant Transport Within a Pore of Water-saturated Porous Medium in the Subsurface. Contaminants (•) are either dissolved in the liquid phase or adsorbed to the surfaces of the solid phase. The entire particulate phase is commonly assumed to be at rest, but it is possible that colloidal particles disperse in the liquid phase and provide a rapid transport pathway for the contaminant [Grolimund et al, 1996].
sorbed on colloids would be much more mobile in the groundwater system below the tanks than has been suggested in presentations to the Panel; the groundwater models now in use may not be representative of actual conditions.

Research into the effects of colloid transport in the vadose zone appears to be sparse. A dry period would tend to precipitate colloidal $^{137}$Cs, either as agglomerate or filtrate. A wetting front could peptize some of the precipitated $^{137}$Cs, carrying fresh portions of colloidal $^{137}$Cs through the formation pores while also mobilizing fractions that had precipitated previously. The process is likely to be complex and requires study.

The Saiers and Hornberger study indicates that soil formations containing clay can provide a multi-phase system which increases the $^{137}$Cs migration rate. From the descriptions provided of the STOMP calculations [Caggiano, 1996; Ward et al, 1997] and VAM2D model [DOE, 1996], it appears that colloids were not considered for the work presented to the panel or for the EIS. Unless the conceptual models properly account for all potential transport phases, or provide sufficient evidence for neglecting them, the results cannot be considered representative of conditions in either the vadose zone or groundwater beneath the SX tank farm or elsewhere on the Site.

4.2.5 Preferential Pathways in Porous Media

The simplistic view of uniform migration of contaminants through the formation has been replaced in published current research by the concept that flow in unsaturated porous formations is likely to be along preferential pathways. Such preferential pathways could include discontinuities, such as clastic dikes, or more subtle variations in formation properties that could concentrate the flow. It is the Panel's unanimous view that it is entirely plausible that $^{137}$Cs could travel to the depths observed on the SGLS logs, and probably beyond, along preferential formation pathways.

Among the published studies of preferential formation flow, a field study [Daily et al, 1992] near the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), designed for the primary purpose of studying the capabilities and limitations of electrical resistivity tomography (ERT), appears to be especially appropriate to this SX tank farm contaminant transport issue. The similarities in geologic, hydrologic and climatologic settings between the Livermore Valley and Hanford sites are important, and so is the scale of the Livermore study. Using ERT to define a virtual plane 6 m (20 ft) wide by 17.3 m (57 ft) deep, 1900 L (500 gal) of tap water were injected over a period of 2.5 hours into the formation at the center of the virtual plane; the formation water-content was measured over a 23-hour period. The results depicted in Figure 4.18 are suggestive of the type of preferential formation flow we believe may have taken place under the SX tank farm. The observed travel time of 23 hours for the injected water to reach the maximum depth of 20 m was more than a hundred-fold less than the one-month travel time.
predicted using a homogeneous model consisting of sandy soil. A computer simulation of the experimental data is presented in Figure 4.19, indicating that an LLNL simulation model can perform preferential-flow calculations which are representative of field measurements.
Figure 4.18 An interpretation of the ERT results that the Panel believes consistent with other data available [Daily et al. 1992].

Plate 2. An interpretation of the ERT results that we believe consistent with other data available.
Figure 4.19 Hydrologic Model and Numerical Simulation Results of Point Infiltration Experiment. Percent increase in moisture content is shown on a color scale similar to that used for the ERT images. [Daily et al. 1992].
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Flury et al. [1994] set up 14 plots, each 100 cm square, in soil at various locations in Switzerland representative of the principal agricultural soils in that country. A solubilized dye was sprinkled along a centerline of each plot and the plot then sprayed to simulate a set volume of precipitation. Each plot was then excavated to form a face 100 cm deep; one face at 20 cm from and parallel to the injection line and the other along the injection line. The dye patterns along each face were photographed, the photographs manually digitized and profiles developed. The profiles for three plots show that flow pathways in the various soils formed distinct patterns even within the short distances of this experiment (Figure 4.20). In most soil columns, water bypassed the soil matrix; macropore structure was the prime cause. Structured soils were more prone than nonstructured soils to produce bypass-type dye penetrations and pulse-splitting flow. The Flury et al. study was prompted by several earlier studies which found that strongly sorbing pesticides had penetrated to groundwater, providing indirect evidence of bypass flow. This result is not explained by chromatographic transport through the soil matrix and appears to be analogous to the SX tank farm situation. Figure 4.20 provides an example of the variability of vertical flow patterns among 3 soils and replicated profiles 20-cm apart within each plot. At other plots (Wülflingen and Wetzikon 1 in Figure 4.21) the soil is stained in isolated spots; the increase in dye coverage in the Wülflingen soil at a depth of 60-90 cm corresponds to a structural and textural change in soil material (structureless and coarse granular below 60 cm).

Flury et al, and others, have also found that fractures can play an important role in enhancing the movement of water even in porous media, an effect that varies with water content. At Hanford, clastic dikes may contribute in much the same way as fractures do to flow through the porous unsaturated media, although the properties of these structures do not seem to be well understood. Openings in the formation may have been enlarged by steam-generated overpressure and by the action of the hot, caustic brine released from the tank. Such openings provide a pathway for solvent and solute to move rapidly to depth, perhaps even to groundwater. The fact that $^{137}$Cs has not been detected in groundwater below the SX tank farm does not guarantee that $^{137}$Cs has not reached groundwater.

The influence of natural preferential formation pathways such as fractures or clastic dikes, on solute migration rates may be particularly important because they lessen the opportunity for contact between exchangeable contaminant and the exchange sites in the formation. Landstrom et al. [1983] performed an experiment (Figure 4.22) that quantified this effect in fractured granite. Figure 4.23 shows the transport times for $^3$H and $^{85}$Sr tracers injected into one borehole (B1N) as water and cation respectively, and collected in two boreholes parallel to the injection borehole and several meters away. $K_a$ values for strontium conventionally are somewhat less than those for $^{137}$Cs, but are usually greater than one. A significant portion of the $^{85}$Sr tracer arrived at each sampling station approximately the same time as the $^3$H tracer ($K_a=0$). A similar response by the tank waste in parts of the SX tank farm vadose zone seems more likely than not.
Figure 4-20 Variability of the Vertical Flow Pattern Between Two Profiles Within the Same Plot after a Sprinkling Application of 40-mm Colored Water. The three examples represent "wet" initial conditions. The solid bar at Obermumpf indicates the maximum depth of excavation. [Flury et al, 1994].
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Figure 4.21 Vertical Flow Patterns of Brilliant Blue FCF and One-dimensional Profiles of Dye Coverage after Sprinkling Application of 40-mm Colored Water in Four Different Soils. These examples represent “wet” initial conditions. The solid bar at Obermumpf and Wülflingen indicates the maximum depth of excavation. [Flury et al, 1994].
Figure 4.22a Location of Boreholes and Their Deviation Projected on the Horizontal Plane [Landström et al., 1983].
Figure 4.22b Cutaway View of the In Situ Test Site [Landström et al., 1983].
Figure 4.23 Field Experiment H-3 and Sr-85 Break-through Curves [Landström et al, 1983].
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While the effect of natural preferential pathways is to speed the migration of an otherwise slow-moving solute, their impact on contaminant mass transport is likely to be small, especially in the case of clastic dikes where transport of fluid and solute depends on whether the clastic dikes are open to entry and/or exit of the fluid and contaminating solute.

Kung [1990] has distinguished among various of the types of flow exemplified above as either "short-circuiting" flow or "fingering flow". He defines short-circuiting flow as generally related to soil macro-pores and/or fractures. Fingering flow is defined as the splitting of uniform flow into fingers by instability associated with soil-air compression or at a horizontal boundary where a finer soil overlies a course and dry sand layer. Either is an example of formation conditions that can hasten the migration of an otherwise slow-moving (or even "fixed") contaminant. The hazard caused by either short-circuiting or fingering may not be greatly increased for $^{137}$Cs because of limits these preferential pathways impose on the mass of solute transported; this remains to be tested, however.

Kung describes a third type of preferential pathway, called "funneled" flow, which he considers a more severe contributor to the potential downward movement of contaminants because it not only increases the fluid and solute migration rates, but also results in high contaminant mass transport (Figure 4.24). Kung demonstrated funnel flow in a dye-tracing experiment in a sandy soil (some similarity with the Hanford formation) and estimated that the flow area can shrink as much as one or two orders of magnitude. Because of tortuosity, flow velocity is not necessarily increased in inverse proportion to the shrinkage in area, but contaminant mass transported by the increased flow rate will be increased, so the hazard created by funnel flow also may be significantly increased over predictions using homogeneous-flow models.

Conceptual models for each of the three types of preferential pathways described by Kung will likely differ, so no single algorithm is likely to be sufficient to evaluate the hazard presented. Nor is a single model likely to provide satisfactory estimates of the rate at which migration will occur.

It is important to consider the probability of leaked waste intersecting a preferential pathway that can transport the waste to depth. Each of the potential preferential pathways described above differs in cross-section available for intersecting $^{137}$Cs leaked from tank wastes. Colloid-assisted preferential flow provides the greatest probability of intersection, because the entire formation area below the leaked waste is available for migration. There is not enough information to quantify either the time required for transport through the vadose zone or the amount of released $^{137}$Cs that may be transported on colloids. The formation water content for this pathway (fluid flow) may be adequately represented by the simulation models now applied to the site, but solute transport is not likely to be well represented.
Figure 4.24 A Schematic Diagram of the Funnel Effect on Water Flow [Kung, 1990].
If appropriately-tilted, coarse-grained formations are available below the SX tank farm, funneled flow appears to provide the most likely mechanism to move large quantities of $^{137}$Cs to depth, especially if $^{137}$Cs is adsorbed on colloids. We assume that combining cesium sorption on colloids with the ten- to hundred-fold greater flow rates likely to develop with funneled flow will increase the migration rate of both the initial $^{137}$Cs front and the bulk contamination zone. Efforts to model vadose zone transport of pollutants at the SX tank farm and elsewhere on the Hanford site do not appear to take this phenomenon into account; whether that omission is justified remains to be proven.

Fingering flow may have contributed to waste migration during the active phase of leaking. As described earlier in this report, a plausible scenario is one where the hot brine flashed to steam on contact with hot soil and the resulting overpressure forced the fluid into the more permeable segments of the formation. Enhanced flow through the vadose zone is expected from drainage around tank roofs. In a discussion that follows we will provide support for this scenario in the form of a preliminary evaluation by Hanford contractors of borehole gross-gamma logs indicating that fingering flow is contributing even now to changes in $^{137}$Cs concentration at depth.

Finally, short-circuiting flow along such natural preferential pathways as fractures or clastic dikes appears to provide a plausible mechanism for rapid migration of $^{137}$Cs and other contaminants with minimal retardation, especially if further enhanced by colloidal flow. While this type of preferential path increases flow rate, it is less likely to intersect large segments of leaked wastes, and when it does the cross-sectional area of the intersection is small. Therefore, short-circuiting flow in unsaturated soil appears unlikely to contribute much to the transport to groundwater of the bulk quantity of leaked tank wastes. Conceptual and calculational models must account for this phenomenon to assure that it does not contribute significantly to bulk transport of contaminants.

The probability of a borehole intercepting a contamination plume must also be considered. For example, many boreholes intercepted the large horizontal plume of $^{137}$Cs near the base of the SX tanks. Such massive flooding, especially if contaminants flowed through a homogeneous formation, would be relatively likely to be intercepted by a borehole and would probably be well-represented by the visualizations of the SX TANK FARM REPORT. Funneled, fingering and short-circuiting flows are less likely to be intercepted by a borehole, with the probability decreasing in the order presented. Failure to include these potential pathways in conceptual and calculational models for vadose zone transport at the Site would compromise the use of those models for evaluating pollutant transport, either at the SX tank farm or elsewhere onsite. Also, preferential flow makes the job of characterizing the vadose zone more difficult because of the smaller cross-section such flow paths present to a new borehole being emplaced compared to massive plumes.
4.2.6 Influence on Groundwater Monitoring and Remediation

Kung [1990] provides an example (Figure 4.25) of why accurate modeling of flow in the vadose zone is important in establishing adequate programs for groundwater monitoring and remediation. Whether funneled, fingering or short-circuiting flow is considered, recharge is likely to enter at the water table at specific areas rather than being uniformly distributed. Depending on the zone of influence of a groundwater monitoring well, it may or may not intercept a solute source entering the groundwater system. Until an improved conceptualization of vadose zone flows is developed, particularly for tank wastes, groundwater monitoring results showing absence (or low concentrations) of contaminants should not be considered representative. The same limitation applies to any well(s) installed to treat contaminated groundwater. Installation of water-treatment wells on the basis of solute concentration data developed from monitoring wells may be only partially effective, at best.

4.2.7 Contaminant Transport Scenarios Simulated With STOMP

The Panel first was presented with examples of simulations performed using a version of STOMP (Subsurface Transport Over Multiple Phases) representative of those in the preliminary modeling analyses given in Appendix F of the Assessment Groundwater Monitoring Plan for the SX Tank Farm [Caggiano, 1996]. A series of sensitivity analyses by Wart et al. [1997], using STOMP for modeling the vadose zone near tank 241-SX-109, shows the influence of some physical and chemical factors contributing to contaminant migration from a leak of 132,000 gallons from tank 241-SX-109. Available information on stratigraphy for the area is used to discretize layers of hydraulic properties. The simulations examine factors including the influence of liquid density, variable recharge rates, alternative K_s values, and a water line leak, and demonstrate their effects on the transport of ^{137}Cs, ^{99}Tc and nitrate. However, the simulations do not include the effects on flow of formation water or on solute transport induced by fingering, short-circuiting or funnel-flow highlighted by the Panel (Section 4.2.5) as potential contributors to ^{137}Cs migration to depth.

In simulations using a liquid specific gravity of 1.4 and variable recharge (10mm/y during the first twenty years and 40% of annual precipitation thereafter), Cs transport was shown to reach groundwater if a K_s of zero was selected (Figure 4.26a). The modelers [Ward et al., 1997] do not consider this result is realistic. Using time varying K_s (0.5, 3, 37) in association with varying stages of leakage and dilution of high saline conditions with meteoric water, the cesium transport did not reach groundwater (Figure 4.26b). The modelers suggest that this result gives the closest agreement with the SGLS results of the various simulations conducted. However, neither the second investigative borehole 41-09-39 nor borehole 41-09-04 have yet been extended to groundwater, so comparison to SGLS data is not warranted at this time. The modelers acknowledge that mineral dissolution and precipitation are not part of their simulations; nor are preferential pathways of the types described by Kung [1990] and others. The Panel recommends that these processes be critically evaluated for inclusion in future modeling efforts.
Figure 4.25 Influence of Point Recharge at the Water Table on Solute Sampling with Single Well. [Kung, 1990].

PREFERENTIAL FLOW IN A SANDY VADOSE ZONE: 2

[Diagram showing preferential flow with monitoring wells, point recharge at water table, preferential path with and without contaminant.]
Figure 4.26 Simulated $^{137}$Cs Concentration ($\text{pCi g}^{-1}$) in 1983 Showing the Impact of $K_d$ on Plume Migration Under a Variable Recharge Rate: (a) Case 3 $K_d=0$ mL g$^{-1}$, (b) Case 8, $K_d=3.0$ mL g$^{-1}$, mm yr$^{-1}$, (c) Case 11, $K_d=0.5$ and 3.0 mL g$^{-1}$, and (d) Case 12, $K_d=0/0.5/37/3.0/37.0$ mL g$^{-1}$. The borehole is shown at 17.6 m on the X-axis. The bold isopleth is 10 pCi g$^{-1}$. [Ward et al, 1997].
Since such a wide range of contaminant transport results can be generated simply by changing input variables, as shown by the results in Figure 4.26, it is imperative that comprehensive characterization of the vadose zone be undertaken to give clear focus and definition for computer simulations. As much as is feasible, sedimentation lenses should be identified. Lenses of fine textured material or gravel in a formation can induce preferential flow of formation water and solutes. Because identification of sedimentation lenses is a difficult task which may never provide more than sparse data, a reasonable modeling approach would be to assume a range of stratigraphic scenarios, including pessimistic ones, similar to those used for simulations by Nichols et al [1995]. Lenses of clay and gravel below a leaking tank were assumed to induce zones of preferential flow of formation water and organic contaminants, with results of the type exemplified in Figure 4.27. It is also notable in these simulations that contaminants reaching the water table in preferential flow zones in year 5 show a significant component of transport within the capillary fringe above the watertable (approximately 3m depth, Figure 4.27b). The capillary fringe zone should be an important zone of monitoring and characterization in both the vadose zone and groundwater monitoring programs. Simulations of the type described by Nichols et al [1995] for transport of immiscible organic fluids apparently have not been conducted for $^{137}$Cs and other inorganic species present at the SX tank farm; at least none have been presented to the Panel.

4.3 BOREHOLE PATHWAYS

The conceptual model offered to the Panel of $^{137}$Cs transport in the vadose zone beneath the SX tank farm was based on the premise that $K_c$-driven retardation factors alone control depth of contaminant penetration of the formation soils. The PNNL modelers presented various scenarios wherein the borehole serves as the conduit to explain the logging data. The bulk of the $^{137}$Cs is still assumed to be retained by exchange reactions with the formation.

We do not doubt that borehole transport has contributed in some instances to the reported apparent contaminant concentrations at depth. However, the possibility of borehole transport in particular cases must not be allowed to divert attention from the broader issue of formation transport. The borehole scenarios in essence are another version of the short-circuiting flow pathways described for natural preferential pathways such as highly permeable formations, fractures or clastic dikes. It is the Panel's view that preferential pathways, including borehole pathways and natural formation pathways such as fractures and clastic dikes, provide credible routes for movement of contaminants to depth. None of these preferential pathways seems to be well enough understood to allow representation in modeling calculations with confidence. This deficiency will need to be addressed as part of the vadose zone characterization.

The precise mechanism of borehole transport has not been addressed by its proponents, or at least has not been presented to the Panel. The Panel has not considered these mechanisms at length, but some relevant issues can be mentioned here.
Figure 4.27 Contaminant Distribution at $t=2$yr for Tank Leak Simulation (4.27a); Contaminant Distribution at $t=5$yr for Tank Leak Simulation (4.27b).
4.3.1 Solids Falling Down the Casing Exterior

If the region outside the borehole casing is visualized as an air-filled annulus, both liquids and solid materials could move down the outside of the borehole. Considering solids first, materials dislodged during the process of drilling and setting casing, or after the borehole is completed, could fall down through the air-filled annulus, collecting at the bottom and at narrow or blocked points along the borehole. Larger materials might tend to bridge and fill the annulus with the fill material having a higher effective porosity than the native formation, a process known as bulking. Since many boreholes were driven through a heavily contaminated zone, the probability is high that contaminants were carried down during installation of at least some boreholes; this effect was observed during installation of the first investigative borehole. If the source of the falling material is contaminated with $^{137}$Cs, then a corresponding contamination zone would be indicated on the SGLS log. For highly contaminated material, the indicated concentration on the SGLS log is likely to be lower than the source material because of dilution by less contaminated material also dropping down the borehole. If uncontaminated material falls into relatively large annular space around the casing, then it could affect the SGLS results by blocking some of the gamma radiation coming from contaminated zones in the formation, resulting in an underestimate of contamination. The scenario of falling solids is not likely to account for the zones at depth which exhibit such great gamma-ray fluxes that the gamma-logging system saturates (i.e., loses pulse counting capability).

4.3.2 Contaminated Liquids Moving Down the Casing Exterior

The movement of liquids down the outside of the casing is more complicated. First, the mechanism of how the liquid moves from the formation into the air-filled annulus is not obvious. While this movement may be possible under locally saturated conditions in, for example, a funneled flow zone; under unsaturated conditions the liquid would tend to be retained by capillary attraction in the formation. Similarly, if the annulus is filled with bulked material, capillary attraction should tend to retain the liquid in the lower-porosity formation.

Assuming that liquid is able to enter the annulus and run down the outside of the casing, particularly under saturated flow conditions, the effect on the SGLS results could be quite different than in the case of solid contamination. For example, whether as a cation or colloidal suspension, liquid-borne $^{137}$Cs contamination could be concentrated in specific zones to relatively high levels even from a low-concentration source, as long as the liquid deposits more $^{137}$Cs than it removes from a given zone. As was shown by the point-source calibration performed on the SGLS, relatively low activity point sources just outside the casing produce activity levels comparable to high concentrations of $^{137}$Cs distributed in the formation surrounding a borehole. It is also possible that contaminant-bearing liquids could be wicked back into the formation wherever formation material touches the casing, mimicking a formation source that shape-factor analysis, for example, might be unable to identify as borehole-borne contamination. Finally, we would not expect to see a shielding effect as in the
case of falling solids, other than the relatively minor shielding that could result from an increase in water content of the material near the casing.

4.3.3 Contaminants Falling or Dribbling Down the Casing Interior

There is some evidence that $^{137}\text{Cs}$ may have entered the top of the borehole or through joints in the casing and flowed down the inside of the casing in some boreholes. In those instances where $^{137}\text{Cs}$ moved down the interior of a casing, the standard practice of wipe testing the interior casing wall should easily detect the contaminants and should allow an estimate of the effect on the logging results, if any. Usually, boreholes with interior contamination indicated from wipe tests are not logged to avoid contamination of the logging sonde. This form of contaminant transport is likely to result in $^{137}\text{Cs}$ activity at the base of the well, but is unlikely to produce the high activity levels observed in many boreholes at depths between the tank leak zone and the bottoms of the boreholes.

4.3.4 Near-borehole Drilling Effects

One of the concerns of the Panel is the extent to which contaminants may have been carried down each borehole during borehole installation. The Panel designed a drilling program for the first two investigative boreholes installed in the SX tank farm at the Panel's recommendation. These percussion-drilled boreholes were repeatedly logged with the SGLS during the drilling process, providing overlapping data for determining if $^{137}\text{Cs}$ activity increased at particular depths after each stage of borehole emplacement. The first investigative borehole provided valuable data demonstrating borehole carry-down of contamination in the case of driven-casing borehole emplacement, although carry-down of contaminants may have been exacerbated by a small weld-lip protruding from the bottom of the tool. The weld-lip was removed for the second investigative borehole. We have not seen similar studies for other borehole emplacement techniques such as sonic or cable drilling. Any drilling program for vadose zone characterization or groundwater monitoring should include safeguards to minimize and, if possible, quantify contamination transport up or down the borehole during drilling.
5.0 BOREHOLE LOGGING

Geophysical borehole logging techniques are generally used for the in-situ determination of subsurface chemical, physical, geological and hydrological parameters. A variety of geophysical borehole logging methods have been used or tested at Hanford. In this section of the Panel report, some of the possible benefits and deficiencies of borehole logging for investigating the vadose zone at Hanford are discussed. An earlier review of DOE capabilities for vadose zone logging is also available [Hearst et al, 1993]. Attempts by commercial logging contractors to adapt several standard techniques to Hanford conditions have recently been described [Gadeken et al, 1995; Ellis et al, 1995].

In general, borehole logging techniques have advantages and disadvantages that tend to complement those of physical sampling and can help address the drawbacks of physical sampling. The potential drawbacks of physical sampling include: (a) high costs, (b) delays in obtaining results of analyses from laboratories, (c) under sampling, (d) sample handling problems, and (e) ambiguity in long-term monitoring [Conaway and Hearst, 1993]. Borehole logging techniques, on the other hand, are limited in terms of the physical, chemical, and nuclear properties that can be determined and may be adversely affected by near-borehole conditions; relatively few contaminants can be directly and unambiguously detected with reasonable sensitivity, with the notable exception of gamma-emitting nuclides.

For investigating the vadose zone at Hanford, borehole logging makes sense because a great deal of data can be obtained economically in the hundreds of boreholes already available. The fact is that all of the boreholes in a tank farm (about 100 boreholes in the case of SX tank farm) can be logged for roughly the same cost as drilling and extensively sampling a single new borehole. Of course, logging cannot provide all the information needed to characterize the vadose zone, or even most of it, and most of the existing boreholes are relatively shallow, 130 ft or less, so only the upper half of the vadose zone is interrogated. Thus, some combination of logging of existing boreholes along with judicious drilling and sampling will be needed for vadose zone characterization.

Borehole logging has an important advantage in long-term monitoring in that the same borehole can be revisited periodically to assess changes in the contaminated or remediated environment. Physical sampling is not well suited for monitoring; if you extract and analyze samples repeatedly and find that the results are significantly different from earlier samples, you do not know if the environment has changed or if the material you are analyzing is simply different from the earlier samples. In the case of long-term monitoring for contaminants, in-situ logging measurements offer continuous coverage, reasonably large sample volume, and the ability to run repeat measurements in the same borehole year after year at reasonable cost. Once the borehole is cased, extraction of further samples becomes difficult, but most nuclear measurements [Schweitzer, 1991; Tittle, 1989] and some other measurements, such as temperature and moisture content, can be made through casing.
Before collecting extensive amounts of data with a variety of logging techniques, it is important to establish which logging techniques provide useful data under these conditions. This implies that some sort of physical modeling and pilot study should be performed to verify the utility and cost-effectiveness of a given logging technique. Some logging techniques that may be useful are discussed briefly in this chapter.

5.1 SPECTRAL GAMMA-RAY LOGGING: OVERVIEW

Spectral gamma-ray (SGR) logging and certain other nuclear borehole logging techniques [Conaway and Hearst, 1993] are capable of identifying and mapping specific nuclides in the rock or soil through which a borehole passes. SGR logging can identify particular gamma-emitting nuclides based on characteristic gamma-ray energy spectra. Some of the benefits of borehole measurements, such as SGR logging, are described in a General Accounting Office Report [GAO, 1992] in which it is stated that, "A simple 200-foot well currently costs over $150,000 and a full analysis of soil samples every 5 feet costs about $200,000. Given that the estimated cost of spectral gamma analysis is about $2,400 per well, potential savings from using vadose zone technology [i.e., in-situ borehole measurements] in existing and new wells as a substitute for physical sampling are large." It is further stated that, "This technology can also reduce the risks of contaminating groundwater and exposing workers to radiation during the drilling of wells."

Passive SGR logging is a mature and well-understood technique that can be both sensitive and accurate in identifying gamma-emitting contaminants. SGR logging typically analyzes some 10,000 times more material than does sample analysis, and that material has not been subject to possible modification during sample extraction and handling. SGR logging can be used through casing, including steel casing, with reduced sensitivity.

A major cost factor in SGR or other nuclear borehole logging techniques is the cost of calibrating each system for each specific combination of conditions that will be encountered. To understand the scale of the calibration problem, it is helpful to draw a comparison with the calibration of laboratory analytical instrumentation. To calibrate laboratory gamma ray spectrometers, for instance, a number of calibration standards must be prepared containing accurately known constituents in the same geometry as the unknown samples which are to be analyzed, and any deviation of the samples from the standards (for instance, different density) must be understood and corrections applied.

Precisely the same calibration requirements apply to borehole instrumentation except, instead of small cans or bottles of material, standards that simulate the borehole environment are needed. In the case of gamma-ray spectrometry, that typically means a physical "model" at least one meter in diameter by two meters high with a borehole of the appropriate diameter down the center. The model must be homogeneous or contain a known distribution of radioactive material. The model must be carefully analyzed and characterized, with all physical and chemical properties that affect gamma ray attenuation understood. Ideally, a
number of such models representative of the range of environments expected to be encountered in actual boreholes are needed, possibly including different borehole diameters, casing and borehole fluid, formation porosity and saturation, and all other factors that affect gamma-ray attenuation. The models should be traceable to recognized standards. Any deviation of the actual borehole environment from the models must be understood and corrections applied as required; the process of determining such correction factors is generally more difficult than in the case of laboratory instruments because of the difference in scale.

Physical models may be composed of doped concrete or other material, such as quarried rock, designed to simulate the borehole environment as needed to meet the calibration requirements of a particular logging system. Many physical calibration models of that type already exist, including a number in the DOE complex and at other government facilities. For instance, doped concrete models were used extensively in the NURE Program in the U.S. [Steele and George, 1986] and similar programs in other countries [Killeen and Conaway, 1978], as well as in the petroleum industry [Koinuni, 1993; Belknap et al, 1959]. The SGR calibration models used at Hanford were originally developed for the NURE Program and later moved to Hanford [Arnold and Butler, 1988]. Quarried rock models have been established at the U.S. Geological Survey in Denver [Mathews et al, 1985], at the Nevada Test Site [Mathews et al, 1987], in the petroleum industry and elsewhere. In some cases, models are made of loose material encased in a shell of aluminum, plastic or other material. Suitable experiments or computer modeling can be used to account for the effect of the shell. This approach has been used at the Nevada Test Site [Hearst, 1979] and elsewhere.

Due to cost and other constraints, the number of physical models available for calibration is usually not sufficient to cover all conditions encountered in the field. Frequently, computer simulations are used to supply additional information [e.g. Sanders and Kemshell, 1984; Pinault and Gataer, 1989; Verghese et al, 1988; Wilson and Conaway, 1993; Conaway et al, 1993; Conaway et al, 1995a]. Experimental data from physical models can be used to benchmark computer simulation programs for parameters that are easy to model physically. Other parameters that are difficult or impossible to model physically can then be studied using the computer simulations. For instance, it is a relatively straightforward task to evaluate the response of a given logging system in a totally dry physical model and a second model totally saturated with water, but achieving known, intermediate values of saturation using physical models can be difficult or impossible (this is not an issue with SGR logging, but can be for some other nuclear logging techniques). However, computer simulation programs, once benchmarked at 0% and 100% saturation, can extend the calibration results to intermediate values. Such models can also extend the calibration to include such factors as trace elements, different formation densities, and many other real-world conditions which may need to be studied and included in the calibration, data reduction and interpretation.

As a spectral gamma-ray logging instrument approaches the center of a thick layer of uniform composition, the instrument response reaches a constant value such that increasing the thickness of the layer will not change that value significantly. In such a thick, homogeneous layer, the countrate under the spectral peak corresponding to a given gamma-emitting nuclide
is proportional to the concentration of that material in the layer [Scott et al, 1961]. In principle, the instrument response in a thick zone can be corrected by multiplicative and additive calibration and correction algorithms for non-standard borehole conditions such as casing, mudcake, or borehole diameter different from the calibration conditions, to yield an accurate estimate of the property that the instrument is intended to measure [Wilson et al, 1979; Czubek, 1962; Czubek, 1969; Conaway et al, 1991a; Koizumi, 1996].

A properly calibrated SGR logging instrument can give accurate results in thick zones or regions where rock properties vary slowly if appropriate correction factors are applied for non-standard borehole conditions. However, the logs will still be distorted in the vicinity of bed boundaries [Conaway, 1989]. Thin zones, in particular, give rise to data which can be very inaccurate, resulting in underestimated radionuclide concentrations and overestimated contaminated zone thicknesses. The calculated product of concentration times zone thickness, however, will be correct under the assumption that concentration is a function of depth only. To correct for such distortion, additive and multiplicative correction factors are not sufficient. Methods have been developed, mostly for uranium logging, that are capable of improving the estimates of contaminant concentration and zone thickness [Scott, 1963; Conaway, 1983; Czubek and Zorski, 1976; Conaway et al, 1980a]. Such methods were not used in evaluating the SX tank farm SGR data.

If more detailed vertical resolution is desired, inverse theory must be applied to correct for the "smearing" effect of the spatial response of the logging instrument; this is sometimes called spatial deconvolution. In the case of SGR logging, this smearing effect is due largely to the fact that rock is translucent to gamma rays, so gamma rays from a thin bed are "seen" before the detector reaches the bed. This effect is a non-linear function of a number of borehole and formation parameters, including borehole diameter, casing type and thickness, and borehole fluid, as well as formation density, porosity and water saturation, and other factors that affect the passage of gamma radiation through matter [Conaway, 1980; Conaway, 1981; Wilson et al, 1979].

The application of linear inverse theory to nuclear borehole data requires some assumption regarding how the radioactive material is distributed. Generally, the assumption is made that the material is distributed in homogeneous layers of arbitrary thickness. Spatial deconvolution techniques, which have proven superior to detector collimation and other approaches, were used to detect substantial errors in the published radionuclide concentrations of a thin-zone gamma-ray logging test and calibration facility at DOE's Grand Junction installation during the NURE program [Bristow and Conaway, 1984]. Those errors, which had gone undetected throughout twenty years of logging instrument tests and calibrations in that model, were confirmed by subsequent physical sampling and laboratory analysis. In the air-filled boreholes commonly found at Hanford, gamma-radiation "singe" from a highly contaminated zone can affect the log for some distance from that zone. Here, collimation may help improve log accuracy.

While SGR logging typically investigates much greater volumes of material than physical
samples, it is still limited to a region near the borehole. Thus, contamination near the casing surface can be confused with formation-distributed contamination. It may be feasible to address this ambiguity by more sophisticated analysis of the data, such as spectral shape factor analysis or spatial response analysis or using other logging data such as temperature logs to help resolve the issue; each is discussed below.

The spectral shape factor analysis technique is based on the fact that the contribution of secondary (Compton-scattered) gamma-rays to the spectrum resulting from a gamma-ray source in the formation increases relative to the peak contribution as the distance of the source from the borehole increases (Figure 5.1). MACTEC-ERS personnel are currently attempting to develop spectral shape factor analysis into a practical tool. Although firmly grounded in theory, shape factor analysis is a difficult practical problem, because there are many potential sources of error that can affect this analysis. Nonetheless, because it addresses the key issue of distinguishing between borehole contamination and formation contamination, spectral shape factor analysis should be carefully investigated.

Another method that in principle can help distinguish borehole contamination from formation-distributed contamination is spatial response analysis. The radial distribution of radioactive material affects the spatial response of the instrument; a source close to the borehole produces a relatively sharp, narrow spatial response while the same source farther from the borehole produces a broad response (Figure 5.2). Similarly, a small source at any distance from the borehole produces a spatial response differing from that of a thin layer. Analysis of the spatial response of the logging system through zone can in principle help resolve the issue of borehole contamination versus formation-distributed contamination. Whether this spatial response analysis will be a practical tool for this purpose remains to be determined.

An earlier project funded by DOE/EM-50 had the goal of coupling spatial response analysis with spectral shape factor analysis for investigating non-homogeneous distributions of gamma-emitting contaminants in the Hanford environment; that project was discontinued because of changing priorities. In that project, an approach was being pursued called Optimized Geostatistical Inversion (OGI), intended to integrate nuclear geophysics, contaminant transport modeling, geostatistics, and other site data such as physical sample analyses [Conaway, 1995]. The OGI approach was designed to help explain the relationship between SGR borehole logs and physical sample data, how well the physical sample data and the SGR data predict the actual distribution of contaminants in the ground, and what the trade-offs are among sample density, data quality, and cost. This approach would also provide statistical quality control tools to describe the confidence limits of both SGR logging data and physical sample data.

5.2 HIGH-RESOLUTION SPECTRAL GAMMA-RAY LOGGING

As discussed earlier in this report, the SGLS, operated at Hanford by MACTEC-ERS, is a high resolution (narrow energy response function) SGR logging system. High-resolution SGR systems use cryogenically cooled germanium detectors and tend to be costly and relatively
complicated. Nonetheless, they are unparalleled in their ability to identify gamma-emitting nuclides, because the photopeaks characteristic of particular radionuclides have a very narrow bandwidth and are typically well resolved and separated from other photopeaks in the spectra; in other words, the identification is generally unambiguous.
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Figure 5.2 Normalized Spacial Response of Gamma Logs as a Function of Source-to-Detector Distance [Price, 1996].
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High-resolution SGR logging is an extremely sensitive indicator of gamma-emitting nuclides in the ground. Given appropriate quality control, $^{137}$Cs can be unambiguously identified except at very low or high concentrations. At the low concentration end, a detection threshold on the order of 0.1 pCi/g for $^{137}$Cs is probably achievable with a high-resolution SGR logging system such as the SGLS if other gamma-emitters are not present in large quantities. At the high concentration end, the technique is limited by system deadtime along with pulse pileup, both exacerbated by pulse distortion at various parts of the system. The spectral response of the SGLS suffers badly at $^{137}$Cs concentrations greater than perhaps $10^4$ pCi/g and the response actually reverses at approximately $1.6 \times 10^4$ pCi/g, so that concentrations above that level are reported as lower concentrations (see discussion at section 6.7 of this report). The sonde combination of detector and preamplifier transmits analog pulses up the logging cable to the amplifier analog-to-digital converter in the logging truck; so, pulse distortion may develop in the logging cable if analog-to-digital conversion is not carried out in the downhole instrument. Discussion of pulse distortion and pileup are given elsewhere [Bristow, 1994].

5.3 LOW-RESOLUTION SPECTRAL GAMMA-RAY LOGGING

A simpler form of spectral gamma-ray logging typically uses a scintillation detector composed of sodium iodide, cesium iodide, or bismuth germanate [Conaway et al., 1980b; Stromswald, 1980], materials that do not require cryogenic temperatures in the logging sonde. Scintillation detectors produce energy spectra with much broader features than the germanium-based RLS instruments, making them less suited for distinguishing the contribution of one gamma-emitting nuclide from a spectrum representing several different radionuclides.

Low-resolution SGR logging systems tend to be less costly to acquire, maintain, and run than a germanium-based system. Generally, dividing a low-resolution spectrum into 256 energy channels is entirely adequate, while each SGLS has sufficient resolution to require 4096 energy channels. Fewer channels means simpler electronics, an important factor contributing to the reduced cost. With simpler electronics it is relatively easy to build the analog-to-digital converter into the logging sonde and transmit the spectra up the logging cable digitally, an advantage over systems like the SGLS that transmit analog pulses up the logging cable. Scintillator-based systems can be designed with low deadtime, allowing logs to be made in high countrate zones, while downhole digitization eliminates pulse distortion in the cable. The major disadvantage of these systems remains the low energy resolution and possibly broad, overlapping photopeaks when multi-component spectra are analyzed. Nonetheless, artificial nuclides can be unambiguously identified under favorable conditions [Conaway, 1991a]. The SX tank farm may be a particularly favorable situation, because $^{137}$Cs alone so predominates the gamma-ray flux in the contaminated zones.

A low-resolution spectral gamma-ray logging system might make sense in the vadose zone characterization program for logging boreholes with high-concentrations of gamma emitters, or as a long-term monitoring system to watch for changes in the distribution of gamma emitters over a period of years. Shape factor analysis and spatial response analysis can be performed
with low-resolution spectral logging. The relative effectiveness of shape factor analysis with low resolution logs remains to be determined.

5.4 GROSS-COUNT GAMMA-RAY LOGGING

Gross-count gamma-ray logs respond to gamma radiation without distinguishing the energy of the incident gamma-rays, as opposed the case of spectral gamma-ray logs. A distinction is customarily drawn between gross-count and total-count gamma-ray logs. In total-count logs a low-energy threshold of typically a few hundred keV is imposed so that gamma-rays with energies that fall below that threshold are not counted, while gross-count systems accept all gamma rays that interact with the detector.

An extensive program of gross-count gamma-ray logging has been conducted at the Hanford tank farms for several decades, primarily for gross leak detection and monitoring. These logs seem to be fairly crude by industry standards, but the data may nonetheless be useful. At SX tank farm, gross-count gamma logs are only available from 1975 forward, many years after a number of the major leak events; earlier logs were run but the data either were not archived or are not available in a useful form.

At the first Panel meeting, Mr. R.K. Price presented an analysis of historic gross gamma-ray logs in borehole 41-07-08. For a particular anomaly on the logs, a plot of area under the anomaly against time in years showed a steady increase in apparent contamination in that zone (Figure 5.3). A similar plot of background countrates against time showed no significant change, giving confidence to the interpretation that the contamination was indeed increasing with time.

The Panel subsequently looked at the several hundred historical gross-count logs from two boreholes, 41-12-02 and 41-09-04. For each borehole, the logs were sorted first by tool number, then by date; as stated above, the earliest logs were run in 1975. We plotted all of the gross-count gamma-ray logs available from the two boreholes sequentially on separate plots and plotted selected logs superimposed to look for changes over time.

The logs in 41-12-02 and 41-09-04 show a tare (step change) in the instrument response between 7-Mar-78 and 4-Apr-78. Between those dates, instrument response dropped by a factor of roughly 4. The drop was not uniform over the response range; high countrate response dropped by less, approximately a factor of 3. This tare indicates that the instrument was modified, replaced, or damaged. It also suggests that there was probably less non-linearity in the response after the change (less saturation in high radiation zones in the borehole). In addition to the tare described above, other instrument glitches are visible and depth offsets from run to run of several feet are common.
Figure 5.3 Analysis of Surveillance Logs from Borehole 41-07-08 [Price, 1996].
After compensating for the instrument response tare and other problems described above, the logs from borehole 41-12-02 acquired between 1975 and 1994 indicate an increase in gamma-ray flux from the high-flux zone at about 70 to 74 ft, the increase occurring mostly in the earlier years. Overall, the logs show a gradual decrease in countrate that may be consistent with decay of $^{137}$Cs. Other, more subtle changes may be present that were not revealed in this first look.

Again taking into account the instrument response tare described earlier, the logs from borehole 41-09-04 acquired between 1975 and 1982 show a clear increase in countrates between about 75 feet and 88 feet (the depths could be off by several feet). The increased countrates between 83 and 88 feet are probably caused by migration of radioactive material. The increase between 75 and 83 feet appears to be at least partly due to migration, although an improvement in instrument linearity (less saturation) may also be involved. An examination of four logs selected arbitrarily at roughly two year intervals indicates the increase seems to have taken place gradually over the seven year period covered by the logs. Even the maximum countrate zone increased in countrate, making it unlikely that dry material was falling along the borehole from above, since there are no higher concentration zones to contribute material. Other, more subtle changes may be present that were not revealed in this first look.

Price (1996) examined the gross gamma logs from the 1975 to 1995 period for ten drywells in the SX tank farm. Peaks in gross gamma logs above background occurred in 15 depth zones ranging from 20 feet (leaking pipeline) to 82 feet, which is 27 feet below the base of the underground tanks. At five of the contamination zones (58, 62, 64, 74 and 77 ft depths) the gamma logs were apparently stable, and decline in gamma counts is attributed to decay as shown for the 77 ft depth of drywell 41-11-10 (Figure 5.4). Four contamination zones (64, 68, 74 and 82 ft depths) were all initiated in 1984 and continue to increase; see, for example, the 82 ft depth in Figure 5.4. The 68 ft depth at the same drywell shows a decline in gamma counts, but at a rate that is less than that expected from decay (solid line, Figure 5.4). At drywell 41-07-08, gamma counts for the 59 ft depth increased from 1976 to 1986, and then decline at a rate that seems to exceed decay (Figure 5.3). These observations indicate the active influx and efflux of contaminants in the vadose zone. In a separate effort, Price (1996) examined gross gamma logs from ten other SX farm boreholes, finding several instances of moving contamination well documented by changes in the log character over the years. Price recommends that the remaining historic gross-gamma surveillance logs should be retrieved and reviewed for indications of dynamic radioactive plume conditions. This recommendation corresponds directly to that developed independently by the Panel.

In addition to increases in gamma-radiation levels that are probably due to increasing contamination levels, gross-count gamma-ray logs can provide other useful information under favorable conditions. For example, some efforts have been made over the years at Hanford to infer which gamma-emitting nuclides are present in the ground based on gross-count gamma-ray logs. This involves comparing log amplitudes at a given depth over a number of years and comparing decreases in amplitude with decay-rate calculations for various radionuclides.
Figure 5.4 Analysis of Surveillance Logs from Borehole 41-11-10 [Price, 1996].
It is the view of the Panel that Hanford should have the capability to measure even the highest gamma-ray fluxes present in these boreholes without instrument saturation, thereby producing logs that are proportional to contaminant concentrations, all else being equal. This is important for hole-to-hole correlation, identifying tank-leak locations, calculating heat generation by radioactive contaminants, performing spectral shape factor analysis and spatial response analysis to distinguish borehole sources from formation sources, and detecting and monitoring movement of contaminants based on periodic logging. Unfortunately, the instruments used for producing the historic gross-count logs seem to exhibit non-linear behavior in high countrate zones, presumably because of instrument effects such as dead time and pulse pile up, and the historic logs may not be proportional to countrate in high countrate zones. Furthermore, the gross-count instruments were relatively insensitive to low levels of radiation and the typical sample interval was one foot, which is rather coarse, so the data do not reveal low concentrations or subtle details.

While the historical gross-count logs may still prove to be useful, it is the Panel's view that gross-count logging should give way to spectral logging in the future. Modern electronics should permit a scintillator-based spectral gamma-ray logging system to be acquired or developed at a reasonable cost that can operate in high gamma-radiation environments while still giving spectral data that are substantially more useful than gross-count data.

5.5 TEMPERATURE LOGS

A temperature log in a thermally-stable borehole through a uniform geologic formation would normally show essentially a straight line, with the temperature increasing slowly with depth at a rate corresponding to the local geothermal gradient (the general increase in temperature with depth due to the fact that the center of the earth is much hotter than the surface). Changes in the slope of this line can result from many different factors, including the following:

- Variations in thermal resistivity of the rock with depth (higher resistivity rock produces a higher geothermal gradient) [Beck, 1976; Conaway and Beck, 1977].
- Thermal effects of drilling and engineering procedures in the borehole.
- Disturbances of the borehole fluid, such as free convection or groundwater flow in the borehole [Diment, 1967; Conaway, 1987].
- Distortion of heat flow by complicated geologic structure [Lee and Henyey, 1974].
- Surface climatic changes [Beck, 1977].
- Local heat sources, such as cement setting outside the casing or radionuclides in the rock, or heat sinks such as hydrologic recharge from the surface.
For the Hanford vadose zone characterization program, potentially the most important factor is the last one listed above, local heat sources. The radioactive decay of an atom liberates a minute amount of heat. Decay of large quantities and concentrations of radioactive nuclides can produce greatly elevated temperatures, as in the case of the underground storage tanks at Hanford. Similarly, radioactive contaminants in the formation will raise the ambient temperature, and substantial contaminant concentrations can result in large temperature increases. This raises the question of whether information about radioactive contamination in the formation can be gleaned from borehole temperature measurements. It is the Panel’s view that the limiting factor here will be how well the temperature increase caused by formation-distributed contamination can be distinguished from the effect of other heat sources such as the underground storage tanks or contamination restricted to the borehole region; other factors that influence the thermal profile such as soil water drainage may further confuse the picture.

Conventional temperature logs measure the temperature of the borehole fluid; the state of the art for this type of measurement in boreholes is several readings per foot with a precision (and repeatability in a thermally-stable borehole) of 0.0001 °C, at a logging speed of 10-20 ft/min [Bristow and Conaway, 1984]. While excellent results can be obtained in liquid-filled wells under good conditions, the SX tank farm boreholes are all air-filled and cased. The thermal regime of a borehole air column is delicate and easily disturbed by changes such as the opening of a borehole cover and lowering of an instrument into the hole. Also, the thermal regime of the air column tends to be unstable in the region above a heat source because of thermally-driven natural convection. Because of these problems, conventional temperature logging instruments used in air-filled boreholes cannot be expected to produce anything more than qualitative information.

The relative reliability of conventional temperature measurements in air-filled boreholes is demonstrated in Figure 5.5, which shows 8 temperature logs at SX farm, two each in four boreholes. In this figure, the effect of the seasonal variations in surface temperature are seen to propagate at least 40 ft down the borehole; this is clearly a borehole effect because the seasonal temperature effect only penetrates a few feet through the ground [Beck, 1977]. Temperature differences from run to run deeper in the borehole reach about 10°F. Figures 5.6 and 5.7, show all temperature logs currently available from SX farm with maximum temperature, $T_{\text{max}}$, less than 110°F and greater than 110°F, respectively. Note that each curve reaches $T_{\text{max}}$ at a somewhat higher elevation than the bottom of the borehole. In the case of boreholes on the order of 75 feet deep, $T_{\text{max}}$ typically occurs at about 60 feet, while in boreholes on the order of 130 ft deep, $T_{\text{max}}$ occurs at about 85 feet. There is no obvious spatial relationship correlating this effect with borehole location (see the map shown in Figure 5.8); rather, it appears to either be an effect of the instability of the borehole air column or some other borehole-related error such as a casing effect.

For air-filled boreholes, one way to improve the temperature logging results might be to measure the casing temperature, which should be more representative of formation temperature than measurements in the air column. Casing temperatures could be obtained using a temperature sensor in contact with the casing or, probably better, an infrared sensor. The
question of how closely casing temperatures are related to formation temperatures remains to be determined; this should be checked with simulations or experiments. For example, the casing could have a smoothing effect on the borehole temperature profile and the effect of surface temperature
Figure 5.5 Repeat Runs of Temperature Logs.
Figure 5.6 Boreholes with $T_{\text{max}} < 110$ F.
Figure 5.7 Boreholes with $T_{\text{max}} > 110 \, \text{F}$
Figure 5.8 Temperature Maxima Plotted as a Function of Borehole Location.
variations on casing temperatures at depth is not known.

Another approach to improving temperature logging results might be to fill the borehole with liquid or gel and measure the temperature of this more stable medium after allowing it to reach equilibrium with the surrounding temperature profile. To avoid infiltration into the formation, the liquid could be contained in an impermeable borehole liner, a currently available technology. This approach appears more cumbersome and costly than direct measurement of casing temperature, although both approaches should be checked with computer simulations to see if either gives a significantly better indication of true formation temperature.

Computer simulations of the subsurface thermal regime typically address what is known as the forward problem. In the forward problem, a set of ambient conditions is assumed and a simulation is performed to predict the temperature regime over time, and particularly the borehole temperature profile that would be measured at a given time under the assumed conditions. For the tank farms, ambient conditions might include the natural geothermal gradient in the earth, other heat sources such as the tanks and radioactive contaminants in the formation, thermal properties of geologic structure, dynamic effects such as recharge from the surface, and thermal properties of the casing and borehole fluid column as well as the coupling of the casing to the formation. The simulation is repeated for a variety of different ambient conditions, leading to a sensitivity analysis that tells us how sensitive the measurements will be for extracting desired information such as the distribution of radioactive nuclides in the formation.

The inverse problem is more difficult than the forward problem and is basically the process of starting with one or more borehole temperature profiles and inferring the ambient conditions that resulted in those profiles. There may be many sets of ambient conditions that can produce a given borehole temperature profile within the limits of measurement error, but that does not mean the inverse problem is hopeless. By accurately controlling as many input parameters as possible, such as geologic structure and thermal properties, and by eliminating impossible or improbable scenarios, temperature logs may ultimately provide valuable information cost effectively. For example, the ability to distinguish between formation-distributed contamination and borehole contamination based on temperature logs would be valuable, as would be the ability to identify zones that are contaminated with radioactive materials such as $^{90}$Sr that are not gamma emitters, and therefore not seen in gamma-ray logs.

At the request of the Panel some preliminary simulations of this problem were performed by Daniel P. Stephens & Associates, Inc. The simulation exercise was necessarily limited by the short time period available for the work, and better-defined simulations are needed to determine what temperature logging offers to the vadose zone characterization program at Hanford. There are several important questions that a valid forward model might be able to answer. The first question is how closely casing temperatures are related to formation temperatures; if there is little correlation, then further work is probably pointless. If it turns out that casing temperatures are reasonable indicators of formation temperatures, then a sensitivity analysis can be performed as described above to determine whether temperature
logging can reasonably be relied upon to provide useful information about radionuclide concentrations. If so, then the best methods for obtaining that information can be developed. If the casing effect is large, it may still be possible to recover reasonably representative formation temperatures by backing out the perturbing effect of the casing. As far as the Panel knows, this has never been done, but seems feasible.

A preliminary analysis of the temperature logs run to date suggests that further work to improve the measurements is warranted. For example, Figure 5.8 shows borehole maximum temperatures plotted on a map of SX farm at the corresponding borehole positions. In spite of the measurement problems described above, there seem to be patterns here that may not be explained entirely by tank temperatures. If the temperature logs obtained so far prove representative, the set of logs for boreholes 41-08-11, 41-08-07, 41-08-06, 41-09-03, 41-09-04, 41-09-39, 41-11-10, 41-11-09 and 41-12-03 suggests a temperature anomaly exists between tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111 and -112 (from Figures 5.6 and 5.7). Heat from the tanks appears to increase the temperature of the soil surrounding the tanks to maxima ranging from 95°F to 110°F (Figure 5.5 and 5.6), while leaked contaminants increase the soil temperature further. However, in the region of interest the anomalously high temperatures extend to depths below those normally ascribed to the principal portion of liquids leaked from those tanks (Figure 5.7). A complete set of accurate temperature logs for the entire tank farm might help locate plumes of radioactive contaminants that were previously unknown, including 90Sr which is not a gamma emitter.

The composite temperature-log profile (Figure 5.8) appears to lend some credibility to the concept of a broadly distributed 137Cs plume displayed in the visualizations of the SX Tank Farm Report, at least for the anomalously high-heat zone between tanks 41-SX-108, -109, -111 and -112. Thus, the graphic which created such a controversy (Figure 1.1) may prove to be a reasonable representation of conditions at that particular location, after all. The temperature logs obtained so far are too crude to permit a firm conclusion, however. Temperature logs have not yet been obtained at any other location, so we are unable to comment about the universality of the temperature effect at other tank farms, or even at other locations within the SX tank farm.

Compared with many other logging techniques, temperature logging is relatively simple and inexpensive and, unlike some logging techniques, measurements can be made in cased boreholes. It is the Panel's view that any inexpensive technique, such as temperature logging, that can make measurements through the casing in existing tank farm boreholes merits evaluation to see if the data are useful. Temperature logging may prove to be a valuable complement to spectral gamma shape factor analysis for identifying zones where the formation soils are heavily contaminated. Unlike gamma-logs, which can result in high countrates when only relatively small sources of 137Cs are near the borehole casing, temperature logs measure the heat produced mainly by large quantities of beta- and beta/gamma-emitting radionuclides and are relatively insensitive to small quantities near the borehole. For tank leaks, large quantities of radioactivity are likely to be spread over a reasonably large volume of formation soil, so temperature logs effectively "sample" an even larger volume of soil around or near
boreholes than do gamma logs, and vastly more than does conventional sampling. The two techniques (gamma and temperature logging) are complementary, because each is more effective at a different level of radioactive contamination.

Shape factor analysis has been quoted to the Panel as applicable to zones of $^{137}$Cs contamination of 100 pCi/g or less; improvement of the method to greater concentrations will require considerable development and may never be achievable beyond a few hundred pCi/g. From the data obtained so far, heat appears to be added to the formation soils where the $^{137}$Cs concentrations overwhelm the gamma-logging instruments, making shape factor analysis impossible.

Shape factor analysis and temperature logging can serve to focus core-sampling and laboratory analysis efforts on those regions of contamination where an appreciable volume of soil is contaminated, but at different levels of contamination. In this way, expensive core-sample collection can be concentrated first at the heat source zones and then, as a borehole is extended, to those regions where shape factor (or spatial) analysis indicates that $^{137}$Cs and/or $^{90}$Sr are likely to be spread through a volume of formation soil. This would decrease the costs of sampling and analysis markedly from the extraordinarily great costs experienced for coring and analysis performed around tank T-106.

5.6 OTHER LOGGING TECHNIQUES

Most existing boreholes at Hanford are cased; uncased boreholes will probably not remain open for long in the poorly-consolidated materials in the vadose zone there. Thus, borehole logging techniques that cannot be used through casing are not considered here. Potentially useful techniques that can be used through casing, other than those considered above, include active nuclear techniques, such as gamma-gamma density and neutron-based water content logging, along with gravity density logging. One other class of measurements that will be briefly considered involve electromagnetic techniques that can be used through plastic casing, but not metal. It is the Panel’s view that the capabilities and costs of all of these techniques should be ascertained to determine which, if any, might be useful in the vadose zone characterization program. Some efforts along these lines have already been made by PNNL in conjunction with two petroleum industry logging contractors [Gadeken et al, 1995; Ellis et al, 1995] but without cost-benefit analysis. On the other hand, an extensive cost-benefit analysis was produced by a Hanford contractor, but apparently was never released [Golder Associates, 1992]; that study reached questionable conclusions that should be reviewed and perhaps reconsidered.

Gamma-gamma logging is used extensively in the petroleum industry to estimate formation bulk density and porosity. Gamma rays from a source such as $^{137}$Cs scatter through the borehole and formation and are detected by one or more gamma-ray detectors at some distance from the source; the use of multiple detectors permits some correction for near-borehole effects. This technique can be used through casing, although, as with any other nuclear
technique, accuracy will be degraded. In particular, the fact that conditions behind the casing, such as void spaces, are not known is a serious impediment; a special type of gamma-gamma logging can help identify void spaces [Chudy, 1981]. A form of gamma-gamma density logging that makes use of gamma-ray energy information is used in the petroleum industry to help define lithology [Bertozzi et al, 1981]. A calibration facility for gamma-gamma density logging equipment is available at Hanford; this facility is designed to allow calibration in cased-borehole conditions with and without voids behind the casing [Engelman et al, 1995a]. Because the technique depends on detecting gamma-rays from the source in the downhole instrument, intense formation gamma-ray sources can render the results inaccurate or useless.

Neutron-neutron logging techniques use a neutron source and one or more detectors to estimate formation water content. The scattering and diffusion of neutrons is affected mostly by light elements, chiefly hydrogen. Neutron scattering techniques are customarily divided into neutron logging measurements and moisture gauge measurements [Hearst and Carlson, 1984]. Moisture gauges are used mostly in soil and near-surface hydrology studies and have a very short source-detector spacing. Neutron logging devices have a relatively long source-detector spacing, often use multiple detectors at different spacings, and are used by geophysical logging contractors in petroleum applications and other fields. By making repeat measurements over time, neutron scattering techniques can be used to monitor changes in water content of the medium that may be associated with recharge or the movement of a contaminant plume. Although neutron scattering techniques can be influenced by the presence of high cross-section elements, such techniques cannot identify specific nuclides. While the neutrons easily penetrate steel casing, accuracy suffers in cased boreholes because of the unknown conditions behind the casing, including the possibility of void spaces. A calibration facility for neutron-based moisture logging equipment is available at Hanford [Engelman et al, 1995b].

Neutron-induced spectral gamma-ray techniques measure gamma-ray energy spectra during and/or after irradiation of the borehole environment by neutrons [Lock and Hoyer, 1974; Hertzog, 1978; Schweitzer and Manente, 1985; Conaway, 1986; Grau and Schweitzer, 1987; Senftle and Miskosell, 1988; Hearst et al, 1991; George and Burnham, 1984; George, 1992; Conaway et al, 1995b]. As such, they are analogous to laboratory techniques such as neutron activation analysis. Neutrons interact with many nuclear species in a variety of ways, such as inelastic scattering, prompt capture, and activation, to produce gamma rays having energy distributions which will enable those specific nuclides to be positively identified under favorable conditions. Detectable contaminants include chlorine, a component of many organic contaminants, as well as heavy metals and other materials. As in the case of the passive SGR systems discussed above, the detector may be a high energy-resolution, solid-state cryogenic detector or a low energy-resolution scintillator. The logging instrument may contain a radiochemical source or a neutron generator.

Several fission neutron techniques have been developed at least to the prototype stage, mostly for uranium logging [Barnard et al, 1983; Humphreys et al, 1981; George and Wilson, 1994]. The general approach is to irradiate the borehole environment with neutrons and look for fission neutrons by using time gating or energy discrimination. While these techniques
respond to fissile material, including certain isotopes of uranium and plutonium, near the borehole, they are not currently nuclide-specific. One such system, a prototype prompt fission neutron (PFN) logging system, was evaluated at the DOE Hanford site in 1993. The equipment had been developed for uranium evaluation during the NURE program. The logging sonde emits bursts of 14 MeV neutrons and detects both thermal and epithermal neutrons as a function of time after each burst. This logging system is sensitive to fissionable nuclides, particularly $^{235}\text{U}$ and $^{239}\text{Pu}$ and to a lesser extent $^{241}\text{Pu}$. The authors report detecting $^{239}\text{Pu}$ concentrations as low as 10 nCi/g and suggest that a factor of five improvement may be possible with some development. This system also produces a thermal decay time (TDT) log that is sensitive to materials having large neutron absorption cross sections, such as chlorine [Ellis, 1987]; several commercial logging companies also offer TDT-type logs.

Sensitive gravity meters are used to help define geologic structure and estimate density distribution in the ground. These instruments are affected by mass located both above and below a given measurement station as described by Newton's gravitational theory [Telford et al, 1976]. Borehole gravity meters are used to measure relative gravitational acceleration at a number of depths and the data are used to estimate average formation density between measurement stations. This technique is little affected by near-borehole conditions and has a large radius of investigation; as a rule of thumb, the radius of investigation can be thought of as some five times the vertical measurement station spacing [Telford et al, 1976]. It is fairly straightforward, at least in principle, to apply corrections for known features that may affect the results, such as surface topography or the underground storage tanks at Hanford, thereby making the technique more sensitive for identifying subtle density variations. The borehole gravity technique may be useful for helping to determine physical properties of the formation that affect contaminant transport and mapping vertical and lateral density variations due to geologic structure or variations in formation water content [Schultz, 1989; Hearst, 1986].

Electromagnetic induction techniques can be used to estimate formation electrical properties through plastic casing; a variety of fairly well-developed techniques are available, operating over a large range of frequencies [Ellis, 1987]. Electromagnetic induction logging is routinely used in the petroleum industry to estimate formation resistivities using a variety of frequencies and receiver and transmitter coil spacings, often in the same logging sonde, to achieve various depths of investigation and vertical spatial resolution. A non-nuclear type of moisture gauge uses electromagnetic induction to estimate formation dielectric constant, inferring formation water content based on the fact that the dielectric constant of water is some 20 times greater than for most solid earth materials [Bell et al, 1987]. A somewhat related technique, nuclear magnetic logging, responds mainly to hydrogen in liquids such as water and is not sensitive to hydrogen in other materials such as shale, unlike neutron logging that does not make that distinction [Kenyon, 1992].
6.0 MEETINGS AND TELECONFERENCES/INVESTIGATIVE BOREHOLES

Meetings of the Expert Panel were held on June 3-4, July 16-17, September 11-12, 1996 and January 14-16, 1997 at the offices of Los Alamos Technical Associates (LATA) in Richland, Washington. The entire first day and the morning of the second of each of the 1996 meetings were devoted to presentations by DOE and contractor staffs on subjects relevant to the Panel’s deliberations; a tour of the SX tank farm and 200 West Area was provided during the morning of July 17. The afternoons of June 3, July 17 and September 12 were devoted to the Panel’s preparation of a contemporaneous consensus summary and recommendations, the product of a closed session limited to the Panel members and a recorder from LATA staff. The consensus summaries and recommendation were presented to DOE during a closeout briefing at the end of each meeting and are provided here. The morning of January 14, 1997 was devoted to reviewing the data package prepared by MACTEC-ERS for the second investigative borehole and hearing a presentation regarding electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) applications. The remainder of the meeting was spent developing concepts and material for this report.

The Panel took a proactive approach in its attempt to resolve the conflicting views presented at the meetings, an approach which included recommendations for installing a series of investigative boreholes, gamma logging at ten-foot intervals during installation, and for temperature logging of all boreholes, among others. Various issues arising from borehole installation necessitated four teleconferences involving Panelists, DOE and contractor staffs, and Washington Ecology staff; teleconferences were conducted August 21, November 21 and December 17 and 18, 1996, and April 10, 1997. The Panel was provided an opportunity at the end of each teleconference to hold its own independent discussion, unfettered by input or review by DOE or contractor staffs. Transcripts of the November and December, 1996 teleconferences were prepared by contractor staff and are provided here, also. Neither summaries nor recommendations were prepared for the August 1996 teleconference or the January 1997 meeting.

Several telephone conversations among Panel members and between Panelists and GJPO, DOE-RL and contractor staff were unrecorded and are not reported separately except as they contribute to the text of this report. The Panel commends DOE for providing latitude for the Panel to conduct its business in a completely independent manner. Casey Ruud, Project Manager of the Vadose Zone Characterization Project, has been an especially effective and helpful patron for this Panel’s work. The high level of independence has been maintained during preparation of this report.

This section of the Panel report consists of chronologically arranged summaries and agendas from the respective meetings and teleconferences.
6.1 MEETING OF JUNE 3-4, 1996

Summary
Expert Panel Mission: The identification of the specific isotope Cs-137 has raised several significant issues. The first to be addressed: Is Cs-137 migrating downward through the Vadose Zone under the SX tank farm at such a rate that it will contaminate the groundwater?

The first step for the expert panel will be to evaluate and validate one (or both) of two conceptual models: did the Cs-137 migrate down the boreholes to its present position and/or did it migrate through the formation.

Recommendations
DOE needs to do drilling data acquisition. Data is to be available for panel review by June 25, 1996. The panel will then decide if more holes are to be installed. The following is recommended to be used for drilling data acquisition:

- Drill one hole on a line between boreholes 41-09-04 and 41-12-02, parallel to and laterally five feet from 41-09-04;
- Hole is to be installed using pile driver casing with sealed shoe;
- Resistance (stroke count) is to be measured while driving;
- Hole is to be driven a minimum of 90' with a goal of 130';
- Log hole each ten feet of advance using RLS and standard logging protocol, use appropriate tool to avoid detector saturation;
- Data is to be available for panel review by June 25;
- The panel will then decide if more holes are to be installed.

Panel needs chronology with the following information:
- Need to develop a time sequence for borehole development;
- When the tanks started to heat up;
- Need more information to establish when did each tank first leak;
- Panel would like to have Don Wodrich to provide recollection of tank activities at the next meeting. When did the SX farm start to leak; does he have records/reports of “bumping” in the SX farm?
Additional Information Needed
- Panel would like to see all gamma logs for 41-06-04 and 41-12-02
- Horizontal contour maps at five foot intervals of actual cesium-137 measurements from boreholes for the whole farm--would like to see the same contour maps from the geostatistical model.
- Would like Bob Wilson to come and discuss the data which would allow sorting out of cesium-137 at various depths from strontium-90, if this data is available.

6.2 MEETING OF JULY 16-17, 1996

Summary
The concept that Cs\textsuperscript{137} travels through the formation to the groundwater along preferred vertical formation pathways is entirely plausible in this environment. This is the working hypothesis to be disproved:

- Work done until now is unrealistic including models, source terms, starting points
- Geostatistical model in SX tank farms draft report is not likely, but is properly explained in the report. The gamma spectral data are reasonable.
- Model does not represent what the panel suspects is more realistic (see attached figure)
- Strongly recommend all three boreholes be drilled

Additional Information Needed
- Better estimates of leak volumes from SX 108, 109, 111, and 112, (probable and worst case), using Steve Agnew’s historical modeling approach.
- Chronology as spreadsheet (as requested at last meeting) needed for SX 108, 109, 111, 112 of:
  - What leaked out and when
  - When boreholes were drilled
  - Annual infiltration rate when the holes were drilled and deepened in that area
- New calculations done by independent group with fresh views. Calculations can be fairly simple (doesn’t require complex computer codes), better no modeling than inappropriate modeling. Start with a hot-caustic-saline solution (8 to 10 molar sodium at 350 degrees F or more), a hot formation (self heating), preferential vertical pathways to include:
- Heat budget outside the tank (literature search?)
Calculate conditions for two extremes:
- $^{137}$Cs borehole transport
- meteoric water
- more leaks
- $^{137}$Cs transport via geologic medium (uniform vs preferred pathway)

Duplicate T-106 well study to characterize contaminant in vadose zone under SX Farm
- Constructed to groundwater or near groundwater
- Sample

Provide complete ground water data set
- Radionuclides
- Chemicals

For The Next Meeting
- Start each day from 7:30-8:30 with a closed Panel meeting

Brief presentation on drilling options available - pro's & con's (BHI & John Auten, Don Moak)

Want to know:
- What kinds of samples and logs can be obtained?
- What kind of seals are used?
- What kind of disturbance does the technique create?

History on T-106 well # 299-W10-196 (WHC-SD-EN-AP-078, Rev. 1)
- Costs/sampling
- How it was installed
- Pros & cons

Recommendations for New Boreholes *(Borehole Zero is Outside the Farm)*

**Borehole Logging**
- For First Hole:
  - First log @ 40 ft to top (i.e., when hole reaches 40 ft., stop and log to surface)
  - One log in the detector saturation zone
  - Logs will be repeated every 10 ft of advance up to the detector saturation zone
  - Depth of final hole 90 ft. to 130 ft. - deeper is better
  - Objective: Reduce downtime of drilling

- Drilling Technique: 15 degree form horizontal cone bottom to minimize spread of contamination (Don Engelman to direct BHI)
On 2nd and 3rd Hole - two loggings while driving
- First through maximum detectable zone
- Second after borehole completion
- Choice of hole 2 & 3 location depends on log from John Brodeur - Brodeur to get resolved contours to panel within next week - Panel to select hole locations

Detectors:
- Use 35% detector
- Brodeur needs to provide profile from geostatistical model of expected Cs counts as a function of depth at hole location with confidence intervals within one week.

Solicit proposals on other types of logging to determine what else can be done to get data for the geostatistical model (Casey Ruud)

**Decision Criteria Cs$^{137}$**
- Establish a formation baseline:
  - At > 10pCi/g - borehole contamination is questionable
  - At < 10pCi/g - formation contamination is questionable

Panel would like to receive weekly status reports on the project via e-mail or fax.

The panel requested the following documents from the Wodrich presentation:

- History of Bumps in Tanks (WHC-SD-WM-TI-406, Rev. 0) fold major bumps into chronology
- Documents on heat calculations for tanks and soil
- Portland cement summary report by Cheri Defigh-Price as ccauthor (approximately 1983).

### 6.3 TELECONFERENCE OF AUGUST 21, 1996

_A transcript is not available for this teleconference; the Panel constructed this summary from notes._

The Panel first suggested during the June 4 meeting that the first of three investigative boreholes proposed by the Panel be installed along a line between boreholes 41-09-04 and 41-12-02, approximately five feet from 41-09-04 (see A at {E145, N145} in Figure 6.1). Sonic drilling was tested, but found to cause excessive vibration for use in the tank farm. The borehole was installed using the driven-casing technique because that is the best available environment for making direct measurements of $^{137}$Cs concentrations with least disturbance by the drilling process. The borehole was logged with the SGLS several times (approximately at
Figure 6.1 SX Tank Farm Pipeline/Utility GPR Investigation.

SX Tank Farm Pipeline/Utility
GPR Investigation (7/1/96)

- Monitoring Wells
  1 - 41-09-04
  2 - 41-09-06
  3 - 41-12-02

- Buried anomaly; depth in feet.
- Disturbed zone; depth in feet.
- Continuity of anomaly/zone/horizon etc. uncertain.
- Linear; depth in feet. Probable pipeline or utility.
- Surface feature (post, pole etc.)

Blue stakes mark the corners of the grid painted on the ground. No lines were painted onto the ground.
10-ft intervals of borehole advance) during the drilling process to try to detect any drag-down of contaminants during the drilling process.

During the July 16-17 meeting, the results of an evaluation of pipeline/utility documents, investigation using ground penetrating radar, and visual observations of the site led Site contractors to recommend against the location selected by the Panel for the first investigative borehole. An overhead steam line interfered with truck access and ruled against the location. On the basis of preliminary projections by MACTEC-ERS of anticipated $^{137}$Cs concentration profiles at depth and interests of tank safety, the Panel recommended a new location for the first investigative borehole at approximately (E145, N120) (B in Figure 6.1). Subsequently, without further consultation with the Panel, the first investigative borehole was installed approximately 10 ft west of that location at approximately (E135, N120) (C on Figure 6.1).

The first investigative borehole was completed and logged on August 16, 1996. The resulting spectral gamma-ray log (Figure 6.2) clearly differed from that projected prior to drilling (Figure 6.3) by MACTEC-ERS staff for the original proposed location. Although this borehole failed to intercept formation-borne $^{137}$Cs at depth, it did provide a measure of the amount of contaminant “dragged” down as drilling proceeded (Figure 6.4). High gamma-ray activity at the closed end of the casing for each run indicates contaminated soil was pushed ahead of the casing despite the conical pitch of the cap. “Peaks” at depths above the bottom of the casing indicate residue which apparently broke off what was trapped at the base and remained between the formation and the casing. The amount of residue transported downward appears to have been compounded by the presence of a small weld-lip on the bottom of the tool.

Retrospectively, the final location selected for the borehole might better have been installed to the east rather than west of the Panel’s choice. By moving the location westward, the borehole was outside, or at the very fringe, of formation-borne $^{137}$Cs. Data presented in the SX TANK FARM REPORT (for example, Figure 6.5) shows that despite extensive contamination at depth around borehole 41-12-02, the next neighboring borehole, 41-09-06, to northwest (see Figure 6.1, at {E 105, N 130}) is relatively free of contamination at all depths. Thus, projections on the basis of the contaminant profile of 41-12-02 might better have been based on the profile for 41-09-06, in which case the measured logs may have more closely matched the projected logs.

Thus, the Panel considers the first investigative borehole to have been informative. The results of this borehole show that the MACTEC-ERS visualization of a broadly-spread, formation-borne plume was incorrect at this location, although consideration of the location of 41-12-01 from 41-12-02 and an apparently “clean” 41-09-06 was not included in the MACTEC-ERS “forecast” for 41-12-01. The results of the first borehole might equally support the Panel’s contention that funneled flow is more likely at the SX tank farm than is uniform flow, a contention which may also explain the clean zone around borehole 41-09-06. Finally, the results also support the contention that $^{137}$Cs could appear in gamma logs due to drag-down by the cable drilling method used in the past or subsequent deposition down the annulus created.
Figure 6.2  $^{137}$Cs Concentration in Borehole 41-12-01.
Figure 6.3 $^{137}$Cs Concentration Near Borehole 41-12-02.

- **Data**
- **Prediction for New Borehole**

**Note:** Prediction data originates from a horizontal correlation of all SX farm borehole data.
Cs measurement data is presented as colored disks (hexagons). The color and radius of the disks are scaled logarithmically from:

0.1 pCi/g = 0.1 ft = blue to 10,000 pCi/g = 2 ft = red
by that method.

The driven-casing method proved cost-effective, without endangering the tanks or staff, and there was no drilling waste to be disposed. The multiple-logging exercise provide a measure of the quantity of $^{137}$Cs that might be carried to depth by the method, although the quantities here appear to have been increased by the protruding welding bead.

The Panel was asked during this teleconference to select a location for the second investigative borehole. Temperature measurements (see Section 5.5 of this report) performed at the request of the Panel again focused our interest on the zone near borehole 41-09-04. The maximum temperature in borehole 41-12-01 is approximately 20°F less than those for 41-09-03 and 41-08-7, north and east of 41-09-04, respectively. Although the temperature profile for 41-09-04 had not been logged, the zone between 41-09-03, 41-09-04 and 41-08-07 provided the most promise as a candidate area for finding formation-borne $^{137}$Cs. A projection by MACTEC-ERS of $^{137}$Cs isopleths at depth (e.g., Figure 6.6) supported that conclusion and a recommendation was made by the Panel to search for a candidate location in the zone defined by boreholes 41-08-07, 41-09-03 and 41-09-04. Following an investigation for surface and subsurface obstacles, the second investigative borehole was installed during December, 1996 at approximately {E150, N150} (D in Figure 6.1).
Figure 6.6 $^{137}$Cs Data at the SX Tank Farm Depth = 130 ft.
6.4 MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 11-12, 1996

Summary
Regarding the first borehole:

- The drilling and logging were designed to assess drag down of contamination. Drag-down was found to be a significant problem.

- Information regarding drag-down is transferable to other locations and previously used drilling methods. This has important ramifications throughout the DOE environmental programs nationwide: these results should be published.

- Results from first borehole do not support the geostatistical visualization which predicts very high concentrations of Cs137 below 75 ft. at this location (Draft DOE/ID/12584/268).

- Results from the first borehole are consistent with Panel’s view.

Infiltration into the vadose presented by PNNL is well quantified for gravel surfaces. Reduction of infiltration should reduce contaminant transport through the vadose zone to ground water.

It is not possible to do a valid risk assessment or tank closure plan without valid conceptual models considering extreme physical and chemical conditions of high temperature, density, salinity, pH, heterogenous media with potential natural and manmade vertical pathways. The concept that contamination can not move through the vadose zone is overly optimistic. To develop valid conceptual models the vadose zone must be characterized.

Recommendations
Recommended location for borehole number 2 is 4 ft. east and 2 ft. south of borehole 09-04. Use closed-end sonic probe; same drilling and logging requirements as first hole to assess drag down and cesium distribution.

Recommended location for third well is south of borehole 11-10 and east of borehole 12-02. WHC will investigate (e.g., GPR) to verify viability of location. Drilling method to be determined and location confirmed after review of borehole #2.

Actions
- Brodeur to provide documentation on all logging systems.

- Can we take a split spoon sample from 5 ft. below a drywell. (Engelman to investigate the feasibility).

- Can we sample out of the bottom of a caisson vertically, horizontally or at a slant
Engelman will investigate).

- Validate shape factors analysis method experimentally [priorities of work for Bob Wilson].
- Analyze existing logs (shape factor) below the saturation zone in regions for which the method is valid.
- Copies of Caggiano temperature data to panel (complete).
- Re-log temperature measurements in some boreholes (41-08-06, 41-11-09, 41-08-11, 41-11-10, 41-00-08).
- Measure temperature profile and spectral gamma in driven well number zero.
- We would like current gross gamma logs in all boreholes where spectral gamma ray log saturates.
- Historical analysis of gross gamma logs to continue.

6.5 TELECONFERENCE OF NOVEMBER 21, 1996

Purpose
This teleconference discussion was conducted to summarize the Vadose Zone Characterization Program, the Expert Panel meeting held at Hanford on September 11, and 12, 1996, FY 97 budget of constraints ($4.2M), and to status second and third borehole drilling methods and schedules.

Summary
- Location of the second borehole (41-09-39) installation will be 4 ft east and 2 ft north of bore hole 41-09-04.
- The use of sonic drilling has been proposed for the #2 bore hole to 130 ft as an alternate to percussion primarily to minimize dragdown. A tap outside the farm with the sonic rig was performed to see what kind of forces would be imparted on the tanks. The accelerometers showed a significant increase of force on the tanks, with a magnitude of 1g being exerted at 10 feet. With this type of soil 50 to 60 feet of stick is needed to get resonance on the drill pipe instead of the whole rig. The plan is to run a test using percussion down to 50 feet and then, switching rigs and using the sonic to 130 ft monitoring to insure that the forces are within the design limits of the tanks. Comprehensive drilling documentation (for sonic) will be collected and reported to support subsequent drilling method decisions.
Currently the FY 97 budget doesn't include any additional drilling in the single shell tank farms.

An Expert Panel meeting has been scheduled upon completion of #2 borehole in January 1997.

The Expert Panel members will provide a comprehensive vadose zone program report to meet original deliverable.

A TWRS Vadose Zone Characterization baseline plan was distributed to the panel members for review and comment.

Recommendations

- The next proposed bore hole installation is for a slant borehole with sampling for probe #3 using the sonic method. The slant hole angled at 45 degrees would start outside the tank farms. This method would actually have a higher probability of hitting a preferential pathway versus vertical if a suitable target area can be selected. A proposed location for the slant drilling is starting from the south side of the farms and heading north intersecting the region between SX-111 and 112, 108 and 109 hole.

- A steering panel (for public involvement) will be formed, separate from the independent expert review panel. This steering panel would consist of stakeholders, DOE and some technical people that could help put together a comprehensive long-term picture of the total vadose zone plan for TWRS. This would also include integration of everything from retrieval to closure as well as direction of the whole vadose zone program.

Actions

- **J Brodeur**
  Provide a white paper that would propose a specific target location for a slant hole as an alternative to what we've already selected the #2 hole. The sonic drilling rig tilt angle is limited to 45 degrees which will affect the selection of a location outside the tank farm. *Completed.*

- **MACTEC**
  Provide #2 borehole logging results to the Expert Panel. *Completed.*

- **Expert Panel**
  The Expert Panel will provide a draft interim Vadose Zone report to LATA for compilation on 12/18/96. *New Direction.*

- **C Ruud**
  Casey Ruud to put together a proposal for steering panel options and ideas then submit it to the Expert Panel and teleconference attendees for review and comment. This would be distributed prior to the next panel meeting. *In process.*

- **MACTEC**
  MACTEC to review the Sandia Labs drilling program and the
Measurement While Drilling (MWD) method and see if its potentially usable at Hanford. *In process.*

### 6.6 TELECONFERENCES OF DECEMBER 16-18, 1996

**Summary**

Second Borehole Logging Results:

- The second borehole (41-09-39) installation and logging was completed on 12/13/96. The location was approximately 5 ft north east of bore hole 41-09-04. The method for installation was closed end percussion using a 15 degree cone tip. The sonic drilling method was not used due to potential vibration levels exceeding the tank design limits. The Sonic drilling Field note, drilling log, and structural analysis, results will be compiled and documented. Preliminary gamma Logging results were sent to the expert panel for review.

- The teleconference conference resulted in discussion and consensus within the panel on the second bore hole logging data. This information was used to provide a Vadose Zone Characterization Program (VZCP) Expert Panel Statement (attached)on the migration of contaminants (of Cs 137) below 75 ft.

The deliverable for an Expert Panel Interim report due on 12/18/96 summarizing the to-date panel activities and findings was superseded by the VZCP Expert Panel Statement. The date for the interim report will slip to 01/10/97.

**Recommendations**

- **K Myers** Install a slanted borehole using the sonic method that would be started outside the SX tank farm and intersect the area of 41-09-39 borehole below the 70 to 100 ft level. Location is to be determined after completion of borehole 41-09-39 logging data review.

**Actions**

- **MACTEC** Send raw spectral data for entire length of 41-09-39 bore hole. *Completed.*

- **K Myers** Provide verification data to insure boreholes 41-09-39 and 41-09-04 are straight and are aligned vertically. *In process.*

- **Expert Panel** Provide interim comprehensive Vadose Zone panel report to LATA for drafting. *Deliverable slipped to 01/10/97.*
6.7 MEETING OF JANUARY 14-16, 1997

A closeout summary of the meeting was not prepared, because most of the time was spent in Panel working sessions concerning this PANEL STATUS REPORT.

Final Spectral-gamma logs (Figure 6.7 and 6.8) of the second investigative borehole, 41-09-39, were reviewed to reaffirm the evaluation based on preliminary logs and presented in the Panel statement of December 17, 1996. The final logs were substantially the same as the preliminary one provided in December, so the Panel statement remained as originally written.

Removal of the weld-lip from the bottom of the tool used for the second investigative borehole appears to have markedly reduced the amount of contaminant carried to depth by installation of the casings.

The Panel was concerned about the effect of high countrates on the SGLS and on the $^{137}$Cs concentration values estimated by MACTEC-ERS to develop the logs. Raw gamma-spectral data representing $^{137}$Cs concentration at 3, 113, 93, 107, 95, and 103 ft (Figures 6.9a through 6.9f, respectively) were selected for review. Even at 18% deadtime (Figure 6.9b), pulse pileup begins to degrade the spectrum relative to that in Figure 6.9a (note the increased countrate from approximately 680 keV to the $^{40}$K peak at 1476 keV and the appearance of a sum peak at 1323 keV). As the deadtime increased to 86% and 88% (Figures 6.9c and 6.9d), distortion and the sum peak increase and the $^{40}$K peak has disappeared into the "noise" generated by pulse pileup. At 96% deadtime (Figure 6.9e), pulse pileup is so severe that it has nearly buried the sum peak and has greatly diminished the primary peak at 662 keV; the overall countrate also is diminished despite system deadtime correction. At 99.6% deadtime (Figure 6.9f), the spectrum is essentially featureless and the total countrate is severely diminished; the countrate in the pileup region exceeds that of either the Compton or primary-peak regions. A deadtime/concentration relation was developed (Figure 6.10).

These spectral data indicate how important it is for MACTEC-ERS to upgrade the SGLS. The current maximum capability of the SGLS, measuring concentrations up to approximately 10,000 pCi/g, results in primary-peak countrates of approximately 12,000 counts per second (Figure 3.1b), rates which are at least threefold poorer than high-countrate systems described in the literature. Detector and electronics optimization may provide as much as a tenfold improvement in the maximum measurable concentration using the SGLS.
Figure 6.7 $^{137}$Cs Concentration in Borehole 41-09-39.
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Figure 6-8: C-73 Connection in Belcoro 41-09-39 (Details on Return Section)
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Figure 6.9a-f Gamma Spectra Selected from RLS Log of Borehole 41-09-39 to Show the Influence of Pulse Pile Up and Distortion on Spectral Resolution and Counting Efficiency.
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Figure 6.19 Dead-time Concentration Relation Borehole 41-09-39.
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6.8 TELECONFERENCE OF APRIL 10, 1997

A teleconference was held in part to achieve resolution of the comments provided by reviewers of the draft report and in part to review additional information obtained since the January meeting. Comment resolution has led to this PANEL REPORT. The additional information [GIPO, 1997b], concerning investigative borehole 41-09-39, reaffirms the conclusions we obtained from preliminary data used to develop our December 17, 1996 statement. The distance between the existing monitoring borehole 41-09-04 and the investigative borehole 41-09-39 was chosen by the Panel to be sufficiently great such that 137Cs transported down the borehole annulus of 41-09-04 would not significantly affect the gamma flux observed in 41-09-39. Yet, the holes were close enough so that concentrations of formation-borne 137Cs surrounding the two should be reasonably similar. A laser survey to establish the relative position of the bottom of each hole compared to the top found that the bottom of 41-09-39 (at 130 ft) was 5.75 inches south and 3.5 inches east of the top [Myers, 1997]. The bottom of borehole 41-09-04 (at 103 ft) was 2.75 inches south and 1.5 inches east of the top. So, the two boreholes are parallel to one another to within an inch or two and the Panel’s design objective has been met.

The relative spacing of the two boreholes is important when we consider the correlation of the gamma-log data for the two boreholes [GIPO, 1997b]. We have retained in prior sections of this PANEL REPORT the information and figures used to develop our December 17, 1997 statement to maintain a record of how those conclusions were achieved. The newly obtained logs include measurements using a very low efficiency Cd-Zn-Te detector which provides countrate data in the high concentration regions where the SGLS saturated (Figure 6.11). The measurements using Cd-Zn-Te exhibit good general agreement in both countrate and spatial resolution at depths from 60 feet to 100 feet, indicating that the formation soils around each borehole are approximately equally contaminated with 137Cs. A maximum 137Cs concentration of approximately \(10^7\) to \(10^8\) pCi/g at a depth of approximately 80 to 82 feet is obtained by comparing the logs using the uncalibrated Cd-Zn-Te detector with those using the calibrated SGLS. Borehole 41-09-04 exhibits a zone of contamination between 55 feet and 60 feet which borehole 41-09-39 does not; this may be due to borehole contamination or from the proximity of borehole 41-09-04 to the base of tank 241-SX-109 where a leak occurred. Between approximately 86 feet and 103 feet (where borehole 41-09-04 ends) there again is good correlation of 137Cs countrates, but at rates approximately three orders of magnitude less than the respective maxima.

A correlation appears to exist between drill resistance (as blows per foot of drill advance) and the gamma-ray logs of borehole 41-09-39 (second and bottom graphs of Figure 6.11), with correlatable peaks at approximately 65, 74 and 82 feet. So, the gamma-activity peaks are correlated to apparent increases in formation density at those depths. The low apparent formation density at 55 to 60 feet may explain the absence of a peak in a borehole 41-09-39 in correspondence to that in borehole 41-09-04. The especially great drill resistance (and presumably high formation density) at 82 feet may explain the sharp decrease in 137Cs activity below that depth. The blow count data requested by the Panel appears to offer cost-effective
Figure 6.11: Correlation of Log Data for Boreholes 41-09-39 and 41-09-04.
information about formation structure which may prove valuable for predictive modeling wherever simple percussion boreholes are installed for gamma-logging.

Air-temperature logs (Figure 6.12 is provided here for clarity, although the data are also reproduced as curves 7 and 8 of Figure 5.7) also appear to exhibit some degree of correlation below approximately 50 feet, the point below which air temperature at ground surface appears to have only a small-influence. Both boreholes achieve temperature maxima of 128°F at approximately the same depth.

The Panel has not had an opportunity for a comprehensive review of all the data recently obtained for boreholes 41-09-04 and 41-09-39. A brief inspection of the gamma logs for the two boreholes reveals several interesting features in support of our conclusion that the region near and between the two boreholes and to 130 feet at borehole 41-09-39, must have become contaminated primarily, if not entirely, by formation transport of $^{137}\text{Cs}$. Since borehole 41-09-39 was logged as it was driven, and did not reveal significant drag down of $^{137}\text{Cs}$, the contaminating $^{137}\text{Cs}$ had already to have moved through the formation before the casing was driven to the depths penetrated by borehole 41-09-39. The small distance from approximately 86 feet, the maximum depth of the principal zone of contamination, to the bottom of borehole 41-09-04 at 103 feet does not detract from the fact that formation transport had to have continued for the next 27 ft at the location of borehole 41-09-39. The close spatial and countrate correlation of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ activity beginning from the depth of the tank bases and the sharp decrease in both boreholes below approximately 84 feet strongly suggest a relatively uniform lateral distribution of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ throughout the intervening formation, and likely past the bottom of borehole 41-09-04. The experimentally determined countrates in borehole 41-09-39 for the activity peaks at approximately 65, 74 and 82 feet exceed those in borehole 41-09-04 by modest factors (perhaps two to five, based solely on the graphical data), the reverse of what would be expected should borehole 41-09-04 be the pathway for contaminants appearing in borehole 41-09-39. Furthermore, the casing of borehole 41-09-39 is nearly twice the thickness of the casing of borehole 41-09-04, resulting in substantially greater attenuation of gamma radiation entering borehole 41-09-39, so the actual gamma flux external to that casing must be even greater at corresponding depths than that external to borehole 41-09-04.

The experimental evidence gathered for us by MACTEC-ERS (see Sections 3 and 4.1 and Figure 3.1) that only minuscule microcurie quantities of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ along a borehole casing, such as that for borehole 41-09-04, are required to produce the observed countrates means that insufficient contaminant is available there for lateral, then downward, transport through the formation at borehole 41-09-39, in keeping with the activity ratios describes previously. Liquids carrying solute $^{137}\text{Cs}$ would only have moved along the casing annulus of borehole 41-09-04 during periods of saturated flow (i.e., while the tank was actively and forcefully leaking) and thence by formation flow; during periods of unsaturated flow, the liquid and solute $^{137}\text{Cs}$ would remain in the formation soils over the entire transit to depth (see section 4.3.2). Contaminant from the base of borehole 41-09-04 (approximately 103 feet) could contribute to the $^{137}\text{Cs}$ peak observed in borehole 41-09-39 at approximately 104 feet; however, the small feature may equally be due to the increase in formation density at approximately 97 to 104 feet.
Figure 6.12 Air Temperature Logs for Boreholes 41-09-39 and 41-09-04 (GIPQ, 1996).
exhibited in the drilling resistance logs. Despite the qualitative nature of the logging data provided to the Panel so far for the investigative borehole, it appears that the $^{137}$Cs counts observed all along the investigative borehole 41-09-39 are due almost entirely to formation-born $^{137}$Cs.

The data reproduced here from the report by MACTEC-ERS of the logs for boreholes 41-09-04 and 41-09-39 indicate that the interrelationship of logging data can provide a variety of contaminant- and formation-related data which can be used toward developing predictive transport models. And, all was accomplished at relatively small expense compared to other investigative boreholes installed at Hanford in the past.
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7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusion: The investigative borehole, 41-09-39 revealed substantial concentrations of $^{137}$Cs in the formation to a depth of at least 130 feet, the bottom of the borehole. Although the transport of $^{137}$Cs to that depth may have been aided by movement along nearby borehole 41-09-04, that borehole ends at approximately 100 feet, so the material traveled through the formation for at least 30 feet below that depth. Some drag-down may have occurred in the emplacement of 41-09-39 below 100 ft but that would not be sufficient to explain the large concentrations shown on the log.

Conclusion: Development of more representative simulation models requires better characterization of the vadose zone.

Recommendation: Extend boreholes 41-09-39 and 41-09-04 to groundwater. Collect continuous samples and perform sensitive gamma-radiation scans of the samples. Log the extended boreholes during and after installation. Analyze for contaminants and physical and chemical transport properties.

Conclusion: The investigative borehole, 41-12-01, emplaced by the driven casing technique, unambiguously revealed drag-down of existing contamination during borehole emplacement, probably increased by a protruding welding bead at the base of the casing.

Recommendation: Any drilling program for vadose zone characterization or groundwater monitoring should include safeguards to minimize and, if possible, quantify contamination carry-down during drilling. Even better is to avoid drag down completely by using slant-hole or horizontal drilling methods to reach target locations beneath the heavily contaminated zone along and below the bases of the tanks.

Conclusion: It is entirely plausible that $^{137}$Cs could travel to the groundwater along preferential formation pathways in the vadose zone at Hanford. Any assumption that this could not happen is not warranted given the sparseness of current knowledge about contaminant transport, in general. Before productive calculations can be made, current conditions must be estimated. Simulation from the extreme conditions (hot, dense, caustic saline solutions) that historically existed at the SX tank farm may aid definition of current conditions.

Conclusion: The PNNL transport model simulations presented to the Expert Panel at the second meeting was grossly inadequate for $^{137}$Cs. The model parameters were unrealistic, including source terms, initial conditions, and probable transport mechanisms, and the assumptions were not consistent with current research indicating the likelihood of preferential flow in unsaturated media. This would imply that any modeling for other contaminants done with similar assumptions could be equally deficient, which would cast doubt on the validity of any regulatory or planning document based on this or similar modeling efforts, including the recently issued Environmental Impact Statement for TWRS.
**Recommendation:** It is imperative that a comprehensive characterization of the vadose zone be undertaken to give clear focus and definition for computer simulations.

**Recommendation:** New transport calculations should be performed by a group independent of Hanford’s institutional interferences and having a state-of-the-art understanding of transport mechanisms in unsaturated media. The source term should include a hot, caustic, saline solution (8 to 10 molar sodium, 0.5M or more in hydroxide ion, and at 350°F or more) and a hot formation, including self heating by the radionuclides moving into the formation. Transport mechanisms should include meteoric water and leaks, as well as the possibility of expedited transport driven by the pressure of superheated tank liquid flashing into steam as it enters the unsaturated formation at lower static pressure. The calculation should consider the feasibility of borehole transport of $^{137}$Cs and transport of $^{137}$Cs through the formation, including along preferential vertical pathways.

**Conclusion:** A General Accounting Office Report [GAO, 1992] recommends increasing the use of borehole logging techniques because of their cost-effectiveness and relative safety. For investigating the vadose zone in the region of the tank farms, borehole logging makes sense because a great deal of data can be obtained economically in the hundreds of boreholes already available. Before collecting extensive amounts of data with a variety of logging techniques, it is important to establish which logging techniques provide useful data under Hanford vadose zone conditions.

**Recommendation:** Available borehole logging techniques should be screened to determine which might be useful in vadose zone characterization and monitoring. Pilot studies should then be conducted with selected techniques to determine which can produce valid, useful data cost effectively.

**Conclusion:** The draft SX TANK FARM REPORT meets reasonable standards for quality. In general, assumptions are listed, methodology and equipment are described and references given, conclusions are explained and supported with facts where available, alternative conclusions are considered or at least mentioned, judgment calls are identified as such, and uncertainties are duly noted.

**Conclusion:** The SGLS baseline logging program has been valuable for locating gamma-emitting contamination in the upper vadose zone at SX tank farm. However, it does not constitute a complete vadose zone characterization program.

**Recommendations:** The baseline logging program should continue, but the vadose zone characterization program should be expanded to include among other options: temperature logging, shape-factor and spatial-response analysis, density and moisture logging, and possibly electromagnetic induction techniques.

**Conclusion:** The SGLS equipment and logging procedures have been subjected to peer review and found to represent currently-accepted professional practice. The SGLS is capable of
detecting $^{137}\text{Cs}$ unambiguously at levels below 1 pCi/g. There is no doubt that $^{137}\text{Cs}$ has reached the depths indicated in the SGLS logs.

**Conclusion:** The calibrated and qualified SGLS system does not work well in high gamma radiation environments such as found in some of the SX tank farm boreholes. Hanford does not have a calibrated and qualified capability to measure without instrument saturation the highest gamma-ray fluxes present in these boreholes. This capability is important for hole-to-hole correlation, locating tank leak locations, calculating heat generation by radioactive contaminants, performing spectral shape factor analysis to distinguish borehole sources from formation sources, and detecting and monitoring movement of contaminants based on periodic logging.

**Recommendation:** Hanford should develop a calibrated and qualified high-flux spectral gamma measurement capability for borehole logging, including a proper program which would include preparing a QA plan, developing logging procedures, extensive calibration and instrument characterization and developing data analysis and interpretation methods/procedures.

**Conclusion:** Current published research indicates the high probability of preferential flow rather than uniform flow in unsaturated media. To understand contaminant migration in the vadose zone, it is essential to understand flow along preferential pathways such as boreholes, discontinuous geologic structure, including fractures and clastic dikes, and other, more subtle changes in formation properties. None of these preferential pathways seems to be well enough understood to allow representation in modeling calculations with confidence.

**Recommendation:** It is essential that the concept of preferential flow become part of the conceptual models used in evaluating vadose zone contamination at Hanford. Preferential flow must be well handled in any computer models used in the vadose zone characterization.

**Conclusion:** The historic gross-count gamma-ray logs are crude, but still may yield useful information based on changes in the logs over time. Additional information should be cost effective, because new measurements will not be required.

**Recommendation:** The existing gross gamma logs should be carefully analyzed for information related to the history and mechanisms of contaminant leaks and movement. The historic record can be continued as routine monitoring of the boreholes is performed.

**Conclusion:** Conventional spectral gamma-ray log analysis techniques cannot distinguish between borehole contamination and formation-distributed sources.

**Recommendations:** Methods should be developed to distinguish between borehole contamination and formation contamination. Use of spectral shape factor analysis and spatial response analysis for identifying the radial position of $^{137}\text{Cs}$ relative to the borehole are potentially important and should be pursued. Because the potential benefits of shape factor...
analysis are important, we recommend that the ongoing study be continued, but carefully focused on demonstrating feasibility as a practical tool and for defining improvements in SGLS instrumentation necessary to extend spectral shape factor analysis to greater system countrates.

**Conclusion:** Because radioactive contaminants decay producing heat, temperature logs may be useful for distinguishing contaminants distributed in the formation from borehole contamination. However, the logs produced to date represent borehole air temperatures and are only qualitative, because the thermal regime of the borehole air column is unstable and easily disturbed. The fact that these logs have limited value is not the fault of the contractor making the measurements because the urgent requests for data did not allow time to develop optimal equipment or techniques.

**Conclusion:** The temperature logs prepared at the Panel’s request suggest that a substantial temperature anomaly exists at depth in the formation sediments between tanks 241-SX-108, -109, -111, -112.

**Recommendation:** Hanford should have the capability of obtaining accurate temperature logs that are as representative as possible of formation temperatures. For example, infrared measurement of casing temperature should be considered.

**Conclusion:** To understand the distribution of contaminants in the groundwater, as well as in the vadose zone, it is necessary to characterize the vadose zone.

**Conclusion:** Characterization of the vadose zone is an essential step toward understanding contamination of the groundwater, assessing the resulting health risks, and defining the groundwater monitoring program necessary to verify the risk assessments.

**Conclusion:** A reliable quantitative model, or even a valid conceptual model, of groundwater contamination cannot be developed without reliable data regarding contaminant transport properties of the vadose zone, a subject which is poorly understood. The ongoing Vadose Zone Characterization Program has concentrated mostly on logging existing boreholes. Little data is available at SX tank farm below 130 feet, leaving a gap in our understanding of contaminant transport below that depth to groundwater at approximately 200 feet.

**Recommendation:** The Vadose Zone Characterization Program should be expanded to encompass the entire vadose zone, including deepening existing boreholes and using slant-hole drilling to avoid heavily contaminated zones near the tank bases.

**Recommendation:** Drill a slant borehole, starting at the west end of the farm and passing between and beneath several tanks. The location and orientation of this borehole will be specified after boreholes 41-09-04 and 41-09-39 are extended. This slant borehole should be constructed to groundwater and a complete groundwater data set, including radionuclides and chemicals, should be obtained. The borehole should be logged and the samples analyzed for contaminants as well as the physical and chemical transport properties.
Conclusion: In the draft SX Tank Farm Report, a geostatistical model is used to generate visualizations suggesting massive transport of $^{137}$Cs in balloon-shaped contamination zones around and below several tanks. The geostatistical model is probably not capable of producing realistic visualizations of contaminant distributions in the vadose zone, unless some closely spaced borehole data are included in the visualization.

Recommendation: An assessment of existing computer simulation programs is needed to determine which is best suited to simulating contaminant transport in the Hanford vadose zone. This should be done before large sums of money are spent on additional modeling.

Recommendation: Modelers of contaminant transport in the Hanford vadose zone should look to the petroleum industry, geothermal research, Sweden's KBS program, and DOE's high-level waste glass and waste-disposal programs for concepts to be incorporated into their simulation models.

Recommendation: The spatial correlation analysis semivariogram of the geostatistical model should be revised periodically to incorporate new data from new borehole logs. Kriging with the revised geostatistical model will provide new guidance on contaminant distribution. Logs with borehole contamination should be excluded from the geostatistical analysis.

Conclusion: The Panel concurs with key conclusions of the recently published findings of the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council [NAS/NRC, 1996b] regarding vadose zone contamination, as stated in our original 3-page statement following the drilling of the investigative borehole 41-09-39. The results derived from the two investigative boreholes reinforce the NAS/NRC conclusions, some of which are listed here:

- "An important component of a long-term commitment to remediating the single-shell tanks at the Hanford Site is an adequate understanding of ... the extent to which the soil and groundwater beneath the tanks have been contaminated. Characterization should continue until such an understanding has been obtained" (p. 28).

- "A spatial correlation analysis of SGLS data from the SX Tank Farm should be undertaken with geostatistics after new borehole log data are obtained. Data effected by borehole contamination should be excluded from geostatistical analysis" (p. 36).

- "It is not at all evident how a preferred tank waste retrieval and treatment remediation alternative can be selected rationally without simultaneously considering what is to be done with contamination left behind" (p. 37).

- "Adequate characterization of the tank wastes and surrounding contaminated environment will be required for processing of waste that is removed for treatment and for in situ disposition of wastes not removed from the tanks (either by choice or necessity). A better understanding of what has already leaked and how rapidly it is moving toward the groundwater is needed for assessing risks. Significant uncertainty
currently exists concerning the sources and migration paths of cesium and technetium that have been found at some depth beneath the tank farms. Leakage from the tanks caused by sluicing, as well as the risk associated with waste left in the tanks, must be analyzed during the first phase in the context of overall risks. The mechanisms and rates of migration of cesium and other radionuclides originating from the tank farms and from other waste disposal facilities at the Hanford Site also need to be better understood” (p. 52).

- “The analysis should also give more details about the levels of existing contamination in the soil and ground water under the tanks and estimates of long-term impact of such contamination under baseline conditions. The DEIS notes that groundwater protection standards are already exceeded for a number of radionuclides of interest, but it does not provide quantitative information” (p. 57).
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