JUN 16 1998

20

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1

1. EDT 623079

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Distribution	3. From: (Originating Organization) BWHC/324 Facility	4. Related EDT No.: NA
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: 300 Area Stabilization Project	6. Design Authority/ Design Agent/Cog. Engr.: JS Durham	7. Purchase Order No.: NA
8. Originator Remarks: Alpha Contamination Levels in SMF South Cell and Compartments		9. Equip./Component No.: NA
		10. System/Bldg./Facility: 324 Facility SMF South Cell
11. Receiver Remarks: 11A. Design Baseline Document? ☐ Yes ☒ No NA		12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: NA
		13. Permit/Permit Application No.: NA
		14. Required Response Date: 6-8-98

15. DATA TRANSMITTED					(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	Approval Designator	Reason for Trans- mittal	Orgi- nator Dispo- sition	Receiv- er Dispo- sition
1	HNF-2849	A11	0	Alpha Contamination Levels in SMF South Cell and Compartments	NA	1-2	1	1

16. KEY											
Approval Designator (F)		Reason for Transmittal (G)				Disposition (H) & (I)					
E, S, Q, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-5, Sec.12.7)		1. Approval 2. Release 3. Information		4. Review 5. Post-Review 6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)		1. Approved 2. Approved w/comment 3. Disapproved w/comment		4. Reviewed no/comment 5. Reviewed w/comment 6. Receipt acknowledged			
17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)											
(G) Reason	(H) Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	(G) Reason	(H) Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN
		Design Authority				4	5	SD Landsman	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/10/98	L5-32
		Design Agent				4	5	L Jensen	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/8/98	R2-12
1	1	Cog.Eng.	JS Durham	6-5-98	L1-02						
1	1	Cog. Mgr.	EJ Bitter	6/8/98	L1-02						
4	5	QA DH Sandoz	D.H. Sandoz	6-8-98	L1-06						
		Safety				3		Central Files			B1-07
		Env.									
18.		19.				20.		21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No. NA			
<i>[Signature]</i> Signature of EDT Originator		6-10-98 Date		NA Authorized Representative Date for Receiving Organization		<i>[Signature]</i> Design Authority/ Cognizant Manager		6/10/98 Date		☐ Approved ☐ Approved w/comments ☐ Disapproved w/comments	

Alpha Contamination Levels in SMF South Cell and Compartments

JS Durham

B&W Hanford Company, Richland, WA 99352

U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: 623789 ⁰⁷⁹ ja UC: 2000
Org Code: 19350 Charge Code: K4C25
B&R Code: EW7050000 Total Pages: 5

Key Words: Alpha contamination, South Cell, SMF

Abstract: This document describes the detailed contamination survey performed in the Shielded Materials Facility (SMF) South Cell and the four compartments used during the CsCl activities.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: Document Control Services, P.O. Box 950, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

James A. Randal 6-16-98
Release Approval Date

Approved for Public Release

Alpha Contamination Levels in SMF South Cell and Compartments

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to document the results of a detailed contamination survey that was performed in the Shielded Materials Facility (SMF) South Cell, including the four compartments. Smears were obtained at each operating station in South Cell and analyzed at the 325 Building. The smear results indicate that the highest contamination levels are in Compartment 1 and South Cell proper, with significantly lower contamination levels measured in the other three compartments. Although some of the smears indicated the presence of alpha contamination, it will be shown that the source of the alpha contamination was cross-contamination during processing in the 325 Building hot cells and that the SMF is free of alpha contamination. The alpha-free status of South Cell is consistent with process knowledge of previous South Cell activities.

Results and Discussion

Duplicate smears were obtained from level surfaces at each operating station in South Cell and from both the walls and bottom of each compartment. The smear area was roughly 100 cm². All smears were evaluated using gamma energy analysis (GEA) with a minimum detectable limit (MDL) of 5E-6 $\mu\text{Ci/sample}$ and one of each duplicate smear was evaluated using alpha energy analysis (AEA) with an MDL of 5E-6 $\mu\text{Ci/sample}$. The dose rates from smears obtained from the South Cell operating stations (stations 3, 4, and 10 through 13) and Compartment 1 (stations 8 and 9) were sufficiently high that they required remote handling. In addition, these smears required processing in the 325 Building hot cells prior to laboratory analysis. The 325 Building hot cells are highly contaminated with both beta-gamma and alpha-emitting radionuclides, so the use of "process blanks" was instituted to estimate the amount of contamination that was introduced into the south cell smears. The dose rates from smears obtained from compartments 2 through 4 (stations 5 through 7) had dose rates that allowed analysis in the laboratory without processing through the hot cells.

Although both the process blanks and the South Cell smears exhibited alpha contamination levels significantly higher than the MDL of the counting equipment, the alpha contamination levels of both were similar and it was necessary to establish a "Decision Level." A decision level is a value that enables the user to decide if a set of data is above or below a given level. In this case, the decision level was used to determine if South Cell was contaminated with alpha-emitting radionuclides. A decision level was established based on statistical considerations and the entire set of data was evaluated to determine if the decision level was exceeded. The alpha contamination level associated with each smear was weighted by the gamma contamination level (in

order to allow samples with different contamination levels to be compared) by dividing the alpha contamination level by the gamma contamination level. The ratios obtained from similar locations were then averaged. If the average was less than zero, that is, if the average was below the decision level, the smears were not contaminated with alpha contamination. A positive result would indicate the presence of alpha contamination in South Cell.

The results of the smear analyses are provided in Table 1. All smears that were processed through the 325 Building hot cells indicated alpha contamination. In order to evaluate if alpha contamination exists in South Cell, a decision level was established based on the results of analyzing 4 process blank samples. Process blank samples consist of either water or acid that was introduced to the 325 Building hot cell environment but that did not come into contact with the smears. The results of the analysis of process blanks are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Process Blank Sample Results ($\mu\text{Ci}/\text{sample}$) and Statistical Analysis

Process Blanks	Alpha	Total gamma
98-2874PB	4.31E-04	7.70E-03
98-2875PB	3.12E-03	5.27E-02
98-2256PB	4.27E-4	6.54E-03
98-2495PB	1.20E-03	1.90E-02
Mean	1.58E-03	2.15E-02
Standard Deviation	1.38E-03	2.16E-02
One Sided 95% Confidence Interval	3.21E-03	4.69E-02

The decision level was determined by performing a statistical analysis of the 4 process blanks and obtaining a mean and standard deviation for both alpha and beta/gamma contamination. The decision level was determined by using quantiles from Student's T Distribution to compute the upper limit to a one-sided 95% confidence interval on the mean. The data and limits are given in Table 2. For alpha contamination, the decision level was determined to be $3.21\text{E-}03 \mu\text{Ci}/\text{smear}$. The ratio of alpha contamination to gamma contamination level was then calculated. Because the number of process blanks was small and the standard deviation was high, the likelihood of observing "false

Table 1. South Cell Contamination Data (μCi/sample)

Smear	Location	Gross Alpha	Process Alpha	Net Alpha	Gross Gamma	Process Gamma	Net Gamma	Average Gamma	Alpha/Gamma Ratio
47a	South Cell Sta 3	5.26E-3	3.21E-03	2.05E-03	2.14E+2	4.69E-02	2.14E+02	2.51E+02	9.58E-06
47b	South Cell Sta 3				2.88E+2	4.69E-02	2.88E+02		
48a	South Cell Sta 4	7.93E-3	3.21E-03	4.72E-03	1.00E+1	4.69E-02	9.96E+00	9.90E+00	4.74E-04
48b	South Cell Sta 4				9.89E+0	4.69E-02	9.84E+00		
49a	South Cell Sta 11	1.87E-3	3.21E-03	-1.34E-03	5.26E+0	4.69E-02	5.22E+00	3.28E+00	-2.58E-04
49b	South Cell Sta 11				1.40E+0	4.69E-02	1.35E+00		
50a	South Cell Sta 12	1.17E-3	3.21E-03	-2.04E-03	4.27E+0	4.69E-02	4.23E+00	6.55E+00	-4.83E-04
50b	South Cell Sta 12				8.91E+0	4.69E-02	8.86E+00		
51a	South Cell Sta 10	3.93E-3	3.21E-03	7.17E-04	3.01E+0	4.69E-02	2.96E+00	6.39E+00	2.42E-04
51b	South Cell Sta 10				9.86E+0	4.69E-02	9.81E+00		
52a	South Cell Sta 13	3.46E-3	3.21E-03	2.47E-04	1.68E+2	4.69E-02	1.68E+02	1.06E+02	1.47E-06
52b	South Cell Sta 13				4.30E+1	4.69E-02	4.30E+01		
53a	Compartment 4	<5e-06	0	<5e-06	6.75E-02	0	6.75E-02	1.56E-01	<5e-06
53b	Compartment 4				2.25E-01	0	2.25E-01		
54a	Compartment 4	<5e-06	0	<5e-06	1.74E-01	0	1.74E-01		
54b	Compartment 4				1.56E-01	0	1.56E-01		
55a	Compartment 2	<5e-06	0	<5e-06	1.03E-01	0	1.03E-01	1.20E-01	<5e-06
55b	Compartment 2				9.52E-02	0	9.52E-02		
56a	Compartment 2	<5e-06	0	<5e-06	1.50E-01	0	1.50E-01		
56b	Compartment 2				1.31E-01	0	1.31E-01		
57a	Compartment 3	<5e-06	0	<5e-06	4.98E-02	0	4.98E-02	1.43E-01	<5e-06
57b	Compartment 3				2.16E-01	0	2.16E-01		
58a	Compartment 3	<5e-06	0	<5e-06	9.37E-02	0	9.37E-02		
58b	Compartment 3				2.14E-01	0	2.14E-01		
60a	Compartment 1				7.66E+1	4.69E-02	7.66E+01		
60b	Compartment 1	1.68E-3	3.21E-03	-1.53E-03	7.73E+2	4.69E-02	7.73E+02	4.25E+02	-1.98E-06
61a	Compartment 1	4.49E-3	3.21E-03	1.28E-03	6.44E+2	4.69E-02	6.44E+02	8.42E+02	1.98E-06
61b	Compartment 1				1.04E+3	4.69E-02	1.04E+03		

positive" data is high. In order to fully evaluate the smear data, the average ratio of alpha to gamma contamination was calculated for both South cell and Compartment 1. As shown in Table 3, it has been determined that the average ratio of alpha contamination to gamma contamination in South Cell and Compartment 1 are below the alpha contamination decision level, that is, the ratio is less than 0. This indicates that SMF South Cell is not contaminated with alpha-emitting radionuclides.

Table 3. Average Ratio of Alpha to Gamma Contamination

Location	Average alpha:gamma ratio
South Cell	-2.41E-06
Compartment 1	-5.59E-10
Compartment 2	<detectable
Compartment 3	<detectable
Compartment 4	<detectable

The conclusion that South Cell is free from alpha contamination is further supported by the smears taken in Compartments 2 through 4. These samples were processed in a contamination-free environment prior to analysis. None of the smears processed in the contamination-free environment exhibited alpha contamination above the detectable limit.

Conclusion

The analysis of the process blank samples and the lack of detectable alpha contamination in any of the samples processed in a contamination-free environment indicates that the SMF South Cell, including the compartments, is free of alpha contamination above detectable limits. Waste material exposed to the environment in South Cell and/or the compartments does not meet the conditions for designation as transuranic (TRU) waste.