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ABSTRACT

The advent of large Ge arrays and their ancillary detectors has greatly advanced spectroscopic studies of the medium-mass nuclei. These nuclei undergo rapid shape changes as a function of spin, excitation energy and particle number and, thus, provide a unique laboratory to test and refine a variety of theoretical models. Following a brief review of the physics motivation, some of the highlights of the experimental results obtained with the help of these powerful detector systems will be discussed. Among results presented here are the newly-discovered island of superdeformation in the $A=80$ mass region, and the high-spin band structures in the $N-Z$ nuclei. These band structures may be understood in the framework of the conventional cranking models, without the introduction of additional $T=0$ neutron-proton pairing correlations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Medium-mass nuclei in the $A=80$ region manifest a remarkable diversity of shapes and rapid changes of collectivity with particle number, angular momentum, and excitation energy. This distinction is rooted in the existence of large gaps in the neutron and proton single-particle energy spectra that appear at a variety of shapes and particle numbers. In the $N=Z$ nuclei where the protons and neutrons occupy the same orbitals, the shape driving effects of these deformed shell gaps are reinforced and give rise to a predicted rapid shape change from a spherical shape in $^{56}$Ni, to triaxial in $^{64}$Ge, possibly oblate in $^{68}$Se and $^{72}$Kr, and very large prolate deformation in $^{76}$Sr and $^{80}$Zr. In parallel, many of the nuclei with different numbers of neutrons and protons have a transitional character and are predicted to exhibit not only a rich pattern of shape coexistence (different shapes at comparable spins), but also to undergo shape transition (different shapes at different spins). Shape coexistence and shape transition in these nuclei result sometimes from the competition between the conflicting shell effects due to protons and neutrons, or sometimes from a delicate balance between the macroscopic and microscopic effects. Therefore, small differences in the parameters of the effective force, nuclear potential, or the macroscopic terms in the Hamiltonian can oftentimes result in largely different predictions for the structures of these nuclei. For example, the ground state band in $^{84}$Mo has been predicted to be oblate by Moller and Nix [1981], prolate or oblate by Nazarewicz et al. [1985], and prolate by Petrovici et al. [1996]. Thus, experimental studies of the structure of these nuclei would provide a unique opportunity to test, compare and refine various theoretical models.

The $N=Z$ nuclei and their immediate neighbors are also a unique proving ground for the existence of the isoscalar $T=0$, $J=1$ neutron-proton ($np$) pairing correlations. Although there is no unambiguous signature presently available for this new phase of pairing field, the high-spin band structures of the $N-Z$ nuclei may offer some clues as to when or if it can prevail over the familiar $T=1$ pairing correlations.

In Section 2, we shall give a brief review of the experimental challenges that impede studies of the structures of the medium-mass nuclei and the recent advances in detector systems that have greatly helped to overcome them. This is followed by a survey of the systematics of the properties of the newly-discovered superdeformed nuclei in this region in Section 3, and new data on the high-spin band structures of several $T=1$
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nuclei in Section 4. Comparisons of the latter data set with theoretical calculations indicate no need to invoke $T=0$ pairing correlations to interpret the band structures of the $N=Z+1$ and $N=Z+2$ nuclei studied so far.

2. EXPERIMENTAL CHALLENGES AND THE ROLE OF NEW DETECTOR SYSTEMS

Experimental studies of the high-spin band structures of the medium-mass nuclei have been impeded by several factors which are particular to this mass region. First, because of the low Coulomb barrier and high excitation energy needed to populate the high-spin states, light charged-particle emission becomes dominant. As a result, the yields of the fusion-evaporation products fragment into a large number (typically 20 or more) of exit channels. Therefore, $\gamma$ rays from a variety of reaction products form a large background which can easily mask and interfere with those from the channels of interest. Second, due to the $A^{-3/2}$ scaling of the moment of inertia, the gamma rays associated with the medium-mass nuclei (and particularly those in the superdeformed bands) have high energies and are, thus, detected with poor photopeak efficiency. Third, reactions used to populate the high-spin states result in large velocities and angular spread of the recoiling nuclei which cause excessive Doppler broadening and poor energy resolution. This poses a particularly severe problem for the studies of reaction channels which involve emission of $\alpha$ particles.

The first of these difficulties may be alleviated by the use of large Ge detectors, while the second problem may be overcome in part by segmenting the Ge crystals. Therefore, the advent of the third generation of Ge-detector arrays, namely the Eurogam [Beausang et al., 1988; Nolan, 1990] and Gammasphere, marks a great step forward in the spectroscopic studies of medium-mass nuclei. The Eurogam-II detector consists of 24 Clover and 30 large HPGe detectors with a total photopeak efficiency of $\sim$8%. The Gammasphere is comprised of 110 HPGe detectors with a total photopeak efficiency of $\sim$10%. Furthermore, approximately 70 of these detectors are electronically segmented into two halves to reduce their effective opening angle and, thus, the Doppler broadening of the gamma rays emitted from a moving source. As will be discussed in Sec. 3, these developments paved the way for the observation of the first superdeformed (SD) band in this region using Eurogam-I array $^{83}$Sr [Baktash et al., 1995a], and a systematic study of superdeformation and other high-spin band structures in $A\sim80$ nuclei using the Gammasphere array.

Another breakthrough was to combine the $4\pi$ charged-particle detector Microball [Sarantites et al., 1996] with the Gammasphere array. Microball is an array of 95 CsI(77) elements with photodiode readout which provides for the detection of protons and $\alpha$ particles with an efficiency of $\sim$85 and $\sim$75%, respectively. Full detection of these particles and their energies helps in two ways. First, it serves to select and identify the exit channels of interest. Second, it allows the reconstruction of the recoil momenta which results in considerable improvements in the Doppler correction and, hence, gamma-ray energy resolution. This is best illustrated in Fig. 1, which compares gated $\gamma$-ray spectra for the yrast SD band in $^{42}$Sr from two experiments. Panel (a) is the sum of several singles gates on the transitions in the band from the Eurogam-I experiment which produced the first example of superdeformation in this region [Baktash et al., 1995a]. Figure 1(b) was obtained by summing several double-gated spectra from a Gammasphere+Microball experiment which selected the $^{83}$Sr channel by requiring that all of the four emitted protons be present in the data stream [LaFosse et al., 1995]. It shows a significant improvement in both the peak-to-background ratio (a factor of $\sim$8) and the energy resolution of the gamma rays (a factor of $\sim$4). Furthermore, the clean channel selection afforded by the Microball allowed detailed characterization of the band properties, including a better assignment of the spins and the point it intersects the normally-deformed (ND) yrast band [LaFosse et al., 1995].

The combination of Gammasphere and Microball arrays affords the selection of exit channels that may be produced with cross sections of $\geq$1 mb. To further lower the threshold for the selection and identification of very weakly populated exit channels, the above system has been augmented by 15 liquid scintillator neutron detectors with a combined trigger efficiency of $\sim$5%. This combination of the arrays of gamma, charged-particle and neutron detectors has been successfully used to study the $N=Z$ nuclei $^{52}$Fe [Abdelrazek et al.,
Spectroscopy of nuclei produced with cross sections of 1-100 μb can greatly benefit from the use of recoil mass separators (RMS). For example, the combination of the Daresbury recoil separator [James et al., 1988] and the POLYTESSA Ge array with a photopeak efficiency of ~1% [Simpson et al., 1988] was used for the pioneering studies of the \( N=Z \) nuclei from \(^{40}\text{Ge} \) (\( \sigma \approx 640 \mu\text{b} \)) [Ennis et al., 1991] to \(^{96}\text{Mo} \) (\( \sigma \approx 7 \mu\text{b} \)) [Gelletly et al., 1991]. Extensions of these studies toward the doubly-magic self-conjugate nucleus \(^{100}\text{Sn} \) requires radioactive ion beams (RIB) and an even more powerful set of detector systems.

One such system is being assembled at the Holifield Radioactive Ion Beam Facility at ORNL, where accelerated RIB will be used to investigate the structure of nuclei near the proton-drip line. This system consists of a state-of-the-art RMS [Cormier et al., 1983; Mantica et al., 1995; Gross et al., 1996a], and a variety of ancillary detectors at the target position and the focal plane to provide the required selectivity. The RMS along with a position sensitive avalanche counter and an ionization chamber, both located at the focal plane, will provide excellent mass selection (a mass resolution of 1 part in 450 for symmetric reactions) and \( Z \) information up to \( Z \approx 40 \). For \( Z \geq 40 \), a Hybrid \( 4\pi \) charged-particle detector and an array of neutron detectors at the target position will provide supplementary atomic number information to separate the isobars.
The charged-particle detector consists of 80 CsI detectors (similar to the Microball elements) for angles greater than 25 deg, and fast detectors to cover laboratory angles of 7-25 deg. The geometry of these detectors, schematically shown in Fig. 3, is designed to reduce the exposure of the Ge and CsI detectors to the background of the 511 keV γ rays and the positrons which result from the decay of the Rutherford-scattered radioactive ions stopped in the wall chambers or the absorbers. The opening angle of the forward funnel in the target chamber (25 deg) has been optimized using Monte Carlo simulations. Similarly, the background of radioactivity requires that the forward charged-particle detectors be placed at a large distance (~30 cm) from the target, and be fast enough to tolerate count rates of up to 20,000 Hz due to the positrons. Plastic phoswich or strip Si detectors are being investigated as possible candidates.

The Ge array in this setup consists of 12 elements of the existing ORNL Compton Suppressed Spectrometer (CSS), augmented by up to 11 Clover detectors. All Ge detectors are equipped with BGO Compton suppression shields. The CSS detectors are placed at a distance of 23 cm from the target and provide a combined photopeak efficiency of ~0.7%. Each Clover detector consists of four HPGe crystals with 25% relative efficiency. In the so called addback mode, where the signals from all four elements are added together, each Clover forms a ~8x8x8 cm³ composite detector with a relative efficiency of 150%. In 10 detectors, each of the four elements are electronically segmented into two halves to reduce the angular opening and, hence, the Doppler broadening effects. This provides a positional information of ~2 cm which, at a distance of 15 cm from the target, translates to a FWHM resolution of about 7 keV for a 1332 keV gamma ray emitted from a source moving with a velocity of v/c=0.05. This is a better resolution than that obtained with a conventional Clover detector or a segmented Gammasphere detector placed 23 cm from the target. The 11 Clover detectors in this setup are placed at a distance of 18 cm from target and have a combined photopeak

Figure 2: Three γ-ray spectra gated with at least 3 protons from the $^{36}\text{Ar} + ^{28}\text{Si}$ reaction at 136 MeV: (Top) gated with 3$p\nu$ leading to $^{60}\text{Cu}$; (Middle) an inclusive spectrum of 3$p$; (Bottom) gated with 3$p\alpha$ leading to $^{57}\text{Co}$. 
3. SUPERDEFORMATION IN THE MASS A~80 REGION

Superdeformation was first discovered in the actinide fission isomers [Polikanov et al., 1962], and was explained as resulting from a secondary minimum at superdeformed (SD) shapes [Strutinsky, 1967, 1968]. In the actinide region, the sum of the macroscopic liquid drop term, and the microscopic shell correction to the total energy gives rise to a second minimum at SD even at very low spins. However, with the weakening of the Coulomb force in lighter systems, SD minima are stabilized only at high spins and for certain nuclei close to SD magic numbers. Studies of these high-spin SD structures, and especially their mass dependence, provide an important insight into the competition between the microscopic and macroscopic effects, the role of the odd-time terms in the Hamiltonian, as well as certain aspects of the effective interactions such as the spin-orbit force.

The first high-spin SD band was observed in $^{152}$Dy by Twin et al. in 1986, and was followed by discovery of another island of superdeformation around $^{192}$Hg [Moore et al., 1989]. These findings were in excellent agreement with the results of the earlier calculations which had predicted the existence of superdeformed magic particle numbers $N,Z \approx 44, 64, 86,$ and 116 [Ragnarsson et al., 1978; Nazarewicz et al., 1985]. Encouraged by these experimental successes, numerous searches were undertaken to verify the existence of the predicted superdeformed shell gaps near particle number 44, as shown in Fig. 4. However, due to the experimental difficulties discussed in Sec. 2, these efforts were not successful until recently. Thus, the observation of the first SD in $^{83}$Sr [Baktash et al., 1995a] had to await the advent of arrays of large Ge detectors such as Eurogam-I.
Thanks to the development of large Ge arrays and 4π charged-particle detectors discussed in the previous section, more than 20 SD bands in ten medium-mass nuclei have been found in the past two years. They include multiple bands in $^{80,81,82}$Sr [Devlin et al., 1996; Cristancho et al., 1995; Smith et al., 1995], $^{83,84}$Y [Jin et al., 1996a], $^{85}$Zr [Rudolph et al., 1996a], $^{87}$Nb [LaFosse et al., 1997], as well as the yrast SD bands in $^{85}$Sr [Baktash et al., 1995a; LaFosse et al., 1995], $^{82}$Y [Dagnall et al., 1995], and $^{84}$Zr [Jin et al., 1995]. In the following, we review the general properties of these bands and compare their characteristics with those of the SD bands in the heavier mass regions.

3.1 Experimental observables and their systematics

Some of the general properties of SD bands that may be experimentally studied to test various theoretical models are: the $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia and their variations with rotational frequency, transition quadrupole moments ($Q_q$), measurements of the g-factors either directly or indirectly from the $B(M1)/B(E2)$ ratios, and identification of the excited bands and their relative spins and excitation energies with respect to the yrast SD band. To varying degrees, all these observables are sensitive to, and help identify the single-particle configurations of the SD bands. Other observables which are also relevant to this question are the relative alignments of different SD bands, either in the same nucleus or in neighboring isotopes and isotones. This quantity may be simply deduced from the γ-ray energies, and determines the fractional change in the $J^{(2)}$ of the pair of bands as discussed by Baktash et al. [1995b].

The feeding pattern of the SD bands and their variations (if any) with reaction may shed some light on the fusion-evaporation reaction mechanism, and factors which limit both population and retention of the high partial waves in compound nuclei. The decay pattern is related to the quantum-mechanical tunneling through the barrier which separates the SD and ND states, and depends on such factors as the barrier height, level density, and pairing interaction.
3. Population and Decay

The first SD in this mass region was observed by Baktash et al. [1995a] using the Eurogam-I array and the \(^{56}\text{Fe}(^{30}\text{Si}, 2\text{pn})^{83}\text{Sr}\) reaction at a beam energy of 128 MeV. This and similar reactions, which form compound nuclei at \(-70\) MeV excitation energy and \(>50\hbar\) angular momentum, have been found to be optimal for the population of SD bands in the 4p, 3p, 2pn, and in particular the \(\alpha 2p\) and \(\alpha pn\) exit channels. Our studies indicate that emission of alpha particles, which originate from the highest angular momentum states in these compound nuclei, is very effective in populating the high-spin states and SD bands in medium-mass nuclei (see, e.g., data on T\(_z\)=1 nuclei by Rudolph et al. [1996b] presented in Sec. 4). It should be noted that since such a relatively high excitation energy corresponds to the maximum production of the four-particle emission channels, the above three-particle channels are populated with only modest cross sections.

Similar to the SD bands in heavier nuclei, these bands are fed over a range of several transitions and generally reach a saturation intensity of \(<2\%\) of the total. The exceptions are the yrast bands in \(^{83}\text{Zr}\) [Rudolph et al., 1996a] and \(^{84}\text{Zr}\) [Jin et al., 1995], which are populated with intensities of more than 5\% and 4\%, respectively. Both of these values are about a factor of 2 larger than the intensities of the yrast SD bands in their Y isotones that were populated via the 3p channel in the same reactions. In the case of \(^{84}\text{Zr}\), significant differences were observed in the feeding saturation point when the band was populated by two different reactions, namely 2pn and \(\alpha 2p\) [Jin et al., 1995]. This is the only known example of this effect and points to an important new factor that influences the population of SD bands. Also, the common assumption that the half-intensity point (i.e., the level which receives 50\% of the total intensity of the band) coincides with the point where SD bands become yrast is called into question due to the fact that this point was observed to vary with reaction.

The decay of these bands is sudden and is completed within a narrow range of one to three transitions. As is the case in the heavier nuclei, these SD bands decay statistically to several ND bands of both parities. From the intensities of the feeding-out (of the SD) and feeding in (to the ND) transitions, one can estimate the approximate spins of the SD bands. The spins of the lowest-lying states have been estimated to be approximately 15\hbar in the N=43 nuclei \(^{81}\text{Sr}\) [Cristancho et al., 1995] and \(^{83}\text{Zr}\) [Rudolph et al., 1996a], and close to 20\hbar in the more neutron-rich nuclei \(^{82,83}\text{Sr}\) [Smith et al., 1995; LaFosse et al., 1995] and \(^{84}\text{Zr}\) [Jin et al., 1995]. Many of these bands extend to a maximum spin of \(-40\hbar\). Assuming that the yrast SD and ND bands cross each other at a point where their side feeding intensities are nearly equal, it is estimated that these SD bands become yrast at \(-30\hbar\). Interestingly, this spin corresponds to the onset of band termination that has long been predicted [Nazarewicz et al., 1985] to occur in many of the ND bands in this region. Band termination was recently observed in several nuclei such as \(^{83}\text{Sr}\) [Cullen et al, 1994], \(^{83}\text{Y}\) [Jin et al., 1996b], and \(^{84}\text{Zr}\) [Jin et al., 1995], as evidenced in their rapidly decreasing \(J^{\pi}\) (see Fig. 5a) and \(Q_t\) values [Yu et al., 1996].

3.1.2 Systematics of the moments of inertia and identical bands

A very distinctive feature of these bands is the high energies of their gamma rays which typically range from about 1300 to more than 2600 keV. This may be compared with a maximum gamma-ray energy of approximately 700 and 1500 keV for the SD bands in the A\(\sim\)190 and 150 regions, respectively [Han and Wu, 1992]. The energy differences of the consecutive gamma rays in the SD bands of the A\(\sim\)80 nuclei are approximately 150 keV, which imply a dynamical moment of inertia \(I^{\text{d}}\sim2\hbar^2/\text{MeV}\), corresponding to that of a rigid rotor with a quadrupole deformation of \(\beta_2\sim0.5\), or major-to-minor axis ratio of 2:1.
Figure 5b shows a plot of the $J^{(2)}$ versus rotational frequency ($\omega$) for the SD band in $^{83}$Sr, along with those in $^{240}$Pu, $^{192}$Hg, and $^{152}$Dy, which represent typical SD bands in their respective mass regions [Han and Wu, 1992]. To better demonstrate the similarity of these bands, all moments of inertia were scaled by $A^{-5/3}$ to factor out the average mass-number dependence. Apart from the $A$=190 region, where the values rise continuously with $\omega$, the scaled moments of inertia of the other three SD bands have comparable values and are nearly constant as a function of $\omega$. The band in $^{83}$Sr extends to a maximum rotational frequency of about 1.3MeV, nearly twice as high as the frequencies encountered in the $A$=150 region. Thus, the SD bands in the $A$=80 region represent the highest collective rotational frequency observed so far.

Figure 6 shows the systematics of the $J^{(2)}$ of the SD bands in ten nuclei in this region. Apart from a few cases (e.g., $^{81}$Sr or $^{89}$Zr) where discontinuities due to band crossing are present, the majority of the $J^{(2)}$ values fall in the range of $-25$-$30\hbar^2/\text{MeV}$.

Figure 5: Plots of scaled $J^{(2)}$ vs. rotational frequency.
(a) The SD band in $^{83}$Sr (circles) and two ND bands in $^{83}$Y (squares) and $^{82}$Sr (triangles).
(b) SD bands in $^{240}$Pu (diamonds), $^{192}$Hg (squares), $^{152}$Dy (triangles), and $^{83}$Sr (circles). The dashed line shows the corresponding plot for a SD rigid rotor with $\beta=0.5$.

Figure 6: Systematics of the $J^{(2)}$ moments of inertia for the SD bands in the $A$=80 region.
A convenient way to compare the $J^{(2)}$ values of these bands is to calculate the fractional changes, $\Delta J^{(2)}/J^{(2)}$, of pairs of bands as described by Baktash et al. [1995b]. A histogram of the fractional changes in moments of inertia is shown in Fig. 7, which compares all bands vs. all others (solid), and all bands vs. the yrast bands in their adjacent $A+1$ nuclei (dashed). In the latter category, nearly 16% of the bands are identical, as compared with ~12% and 4% for the SD bands in the A-150 and 190 regions, respectively [de France et al., 1996]. Special among these identical bands are two pairs of twinned bands (i.e., bands with similar gamma-ray energies) in $^{82}$Sr vs. $^{83}$Y and $^{83}$Sr vs. $^{84}$Y [Jin et al., 1996a], which imply the existence of a single-particle routhian with a slope of -0.5 at Z=39. As discussed in Sec. 3.3, this information may be used to test theoretical models and to constraint their parameters.

Other experimental observations which may be used for the same purpose are the rotational frequencies where band crossings take place and their interaction strengths. A particularly interesting case is the band crossing encountered in $^{87}$Nb [LaFosse et al., 1997]. The observed interband transitions and their branching ratios indicate that the crossing between the neutron $[420]1/2$ and $[413]7/2$ orbitals is responsible for this interaction. This fact may be used to infer the relative position of these orbitals. Furthermore, a second band interaction observed in only one of the two bands may be interpreted as due to the crossing with the neutron $i_{13/2}$ orbital which originates from the N=6 shell. This is the first evidence for the involvement of a "superintruder" ($\Delta N=3$) in the configuration of a SD structure and represents a Coriolis interaction ($\hbar\omega_{j} \approx 8.25$ MeV) which is about 35% larger than anything observed up to now. What makes this unique situation possible is a combination of the large deformation and extremely high rotational frequency which lowers the energy of this superintruder orbital.

### 3.1.3 Transition quadrupole moments and deformations

Because of both the large B(E2) values and high energies of the intraband transitions, the SD states have very short lifetimes. For example, the sum of the feeding and decay times for the top few states is typically a few fs, while the whole band decays in about 50 fs which is comparable to the transit times of the recoils through a thin (~400 $\mu$g/cm$^2$) target foil. Therefore, the traditional DSAM technique with backed targets is too slow to be useful for the measurements of the lifetimes of these states. On the other hand, since the recoils do experience detectable reductions in their velocities as they traverse through the thin target foil, their velocity profiles provide a useful clock to measure the decay times. Thus, by measuring the centroids of the Doppler-shifted gamma rays in the forward and backward Ge detectors, one can obtain average fractional shifts $F(\tau) = \langle \nu(\tau) / \nu_c \rangle$ which can be compared with the calculated values to obtain the $Q$ of the band [Cederwall et al., 1995]. ($\nu(\tau)$ is the instantaneous recoil velocity of the nucleus as deduced from the observed centroid shifts and $\nu_c$ is the maximum recoil velocity in the experiment.) This method was successfully used to measure an average $Q_c$ of 5.2$\pm$0.8 eb for the SD band in $^{84}$Zr [Jin et al., 1995], which corresponds to a B(E2) strength of 440 W.u. or a quadrupole deformation of $\beta_2=0.53$ for an axially symmetric prolate shape. This and other $Q$ measurements clearly establish the SD character of these bands.
An important question to be addressed by future $Q_\text{M}$ measurements is what are the particle numbers that give rise to the largest SD gaps. The present data (which are admittedly of poor statistical quality) indicate a gradual increase of $Q_\text{M}$ for the Sr isotopes from $N=42$ toward $N=44$ [Devlin et al., 1996; Cristancho et al., 1995; Yu et al., 1996], and a larger $Q_\text{M}$ for $^{84}\text{Zr}$ than either $^{82}\text{Sr}$ or $^{83}\text{Y}$ for the $N=44$ isotones [Jin et al., 1995; Yu et al., 1996; Jin et al., 1996]. The latter trend is shown in Fig. 8, which is a plot of the $F(\tau)$ vs. $\gamma$-ray energy for the transitions from the ND states in $^{82}\text{Sr}$, and from the SD levels in $^{82}\text{Sr}$ and $^{84}\text{Zr}$. It is worth noting that the data points for the longer-lived ND states cluster around the dashed line at $\nu/\nu_0 = 0.94$, which corresponds to the velocity of the recoils after they have left the target. Nearly all the data points for $^{84}\text{Zr}$ band lie above those for $^{82}\text{Sr}$, indicating shorter lifetimes for the states in the former band.

Although $Q_\text{M}$ values are sensitive to other variables (e.g., the number of the high-$j$ intruder orbitals involved in their configurations [Satula et al., 1996a]), these preliminary results suggest that $N=44$ and $Z=40$ are optimal particle numbers for the formation of SD structures. As noted in Sec. 3.1.1, the SD bands in $^{83,84}\text{Zr}$ are populated twice as strongly as other SD bands studied so far which also supports the idea that $Z=40$ is an optimal proton number. Nevertheless, these early conclusions await confirmation by future precision measurements which would populate these bands under identical conditions. For example, the bands in the $^{82}\text{Sr}$, $^{83}\text{Y}$, and $^{84}\text{Zr}$ $(N=44)$ isotones may be studied via $(\alpha,2p)$ reactions induced by the $^{30}\text{Si}$, $^{31}\text{P}$, and $^{32}\text{S}$ beams on the same $^{58}\text{Ni}$ target and at beam energies that produce the same entry-state distributions in the corresponding compound nuclei. Similarly, the $N=44$ to 46 Sr isotopes may be produced by the reactions of $^{28,29,30}\text{Si}$ beams on the same $^{60}\text{Ni}$ target. A comparison of the feeding patterns, total intensities, and the $Q_\text{M}$ values of these bands populated under similar conditions would provide valuable insight into the relative contributions of the $N=45$ and $Z=39$ orbitals to their structures.

### 3.1.4 Forking and unusual decays

One of the SD bands in each of the $^{83}\text{Zr}$ [Rudolph et al., 1996a] and $^{87}\text{Nb}$ [LaFosse et al., 1997] nuclei fork into two branches near the bottom of the cascade. Their $I^{(2)}$ moments of inertia indicate that the close-lying levels in the two branches interact with each other. Evidence has also been presented that two levels in one of the bands in $^{81}\text{Sr}$ [Cristancho et al., 1995] interact with two other states which lie only $\sim 10$ keV away. More interestingly, in the case of the $N=83$ Sr and Zr isotones, the routhians of the bands curve down and re-approach those of the ND states, allowing for their mutual interaction. (This unusual situation cannot be avoided for any reasonable values of the bandhead energies which are consistent with the side feeding intensities.) A similar situations has not been encountered in the heavier SD regions. The proximity of these SD bands to the ND bands may provide a favorable condition for the observation of the direct linking transitions.
3.2. Comparisons with theoretical calculations

Many of the observed properties of the SD bands in the A~80 region are in good agreement with the predictions made using the cranked Strutinsky method (see, e.g., Baktash et al., [1995a]; Cristancho et al. [1995]; Jin et al. [1995]; LaFosse et al., [1995]; and Smith et al., [1995] for comparisons of $J^{(2)}$, $\beta_2$, and the calculated low-lying SD bands). These calculations incorporate the Woods-Saxon potential for the microscopic part and the Yukawa-plus-exponential mass formula for the macroscopic part of the total energy. For a given configuration, the equilibrium deformations at fixed values of $\omega$ were determined by minimizing the total energy at each ($\beta_2, \gamma$) grid point with respect to the hexadecapole deformation. Pairing correlations were neglected, as they are expected to play a minor role at high-spins in the A~80 mass region. Details of the calculational procedure and technique are given in papers by Nazarewicz et al., [1985] and Dudek et al. [1987].

As seen in Fig. 9, these calculations predict a number of low-lying SD structures that have deformations ranging from $\beta_2$=0.45 to 0.55, depending on how many $h_{11/2}$ intruder orbitals are involved in their configurations (see, e.g., Refs. [Baktash et al., 1995a; Cristancho et al. 1995; Smith et al., 1995]). At high spins, they generally have one proton in the $h_{11/2}$ orbital, symbolized as $\pi s^1$. The neutron configurations of the yrast SD bands contain one to three $h_{11/2}$ orbitals for $N=43$-$45$, respectively. Therefore, a gradual increase in deformation is expected in going from $N=42$ in $^{80}$Sr to $N=45$ in $^{83}$Sr, in good agreement with the preliminary $Q$, measurements.

On the other hand, some disagreements with data have also been encountered. For example, theoretical proton single-particle routhians do not show a significant energy gap at $Z$=40 which could account for the large population intensity and quadrupole moments observed in the Zr isotopes. Similarly, the twinned bands observed in the $^{82}$Sr-$^{83}$Y and $^{83}$Sr-$^{84}$Y isotones can be explained only for a large deformation of $\beta_2$=0.58, which is in disagreement with the measured transition moments. It seems that some modifications of the single-particle energy spectra are called for to accommodate these experimental observations.

In general, results of other models [e.g., Aberg et al., 1995; Afanasjev et al., 1996] share many similarities with these calculations, but they also differ in some details. For example, while cranked relativistic mean field calculations by Afanasjev et al. [1996] for the SD band in $^{83}$Sr predict the same $\pi s^2\gamma s^1$ configuration, they calculate a larger equilibrium deformation ($\beta_2$=0.65 vs. 0.57). Future lifetime measurements using the completed Gammasphere array will be able to distinguish between these models.

4. SYSTEMATICS OF THE EVEN-EVEN $T_z=1$ NUCLEI AND THE QUESTION OF $T=0$ np-PAIRING CORRELATIONS

One of the most challenging and important nuclear structure questions to be investigated in the next few years is if and when the isoscalar $T=0$ np pairing correlations become dominant in the structure of $N-Z$ medium-mass nuclei. Although this topic was theoretically studied in the sixties and seventies (see, e.g., the review article by Goodman, 1979), paucity of experimental data has made it difficult to subject these calculations to a...
meaningful test. Thus the renewal of interest in this subject had to wait until extensive spectroscopic studied
of N−Z nuclei became possible. Recently, the advent of new and powerful detector systems on one hand, and
development of accelerated radioactive ion beams on the other hand have largely diminished the obstacles that
had impeded these experimental studies. As a result, we are now witnessing a resurgence in the theoretical
investigations of various aspects of the np pairing field such as the necessary conditions for its emergence, its
signature, and different theoretical approaches that would allow its incorporation in realistic calculations.
Among the more recent studies are the Shell Model Monte Carlo calculations of the strengths of the pairing
correlations and their temperature dependence in N−Z nuclei of the pf shell by Langanke et al. [1996]; a
cranked mean-field model that includes the two-body T=1 and T=0 pairing interactions to study the high-spin
behavior of these two competing forces by Satula and Wyss [1996b]; and several detailed examinations of the
stability and applicability of quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA) and renormalized QRPA to
calculate the single- and double-beta decay rates which are very sensitive to the strength of the np correlations
[see, e.g., Toivanen and Suhonen, 1995; Schwieger et al., 1996; Hirsch et al., 1996; Cheoun et al, 1993;
Dukelsky and Schuck, 1996; and references therein ]. Last, but not least, exactly solvable schematic models
that conserve isoscalar symmetry have also been devised to isolate the effects of the isovector and isoscalar
pairing and to test the validity of RQRPA and generalized BCS approximations in the region of phase transition
[Engel et al., 1996a; Engel et al., 1996b].

Since the Fermi levels of neutrons and protons are far apart in nuclei with significant neutron excess, it is
generalized assumed that np pairing may be safely neglected. This assumption, however, is not valid in the N−Z
nuclei where, by and large, neutrons and protons occupy the same orbitals. For instance, except for 34Cl, the
ground states of all odd-odd self-conjugate nuclei in the sd-shell have T=0, J=0, indicating the importance of the
isoscalar np interactions. Because of their small number of nucleons, however, these nuclei do not develop
a strong “pairing field”. On the other hand, in A>40 nuclei where pairing correlations become more important,
evidence for the existence of a strong isoscalar np pairing becomes ambiguous. For example, with the
exception of 58Cu, the odd-odd N=Z nuclei from Sc to Ga have T=1, J=0 ground states. Therefore, evidence
for the importance of T=0 pairing should be sought in the structure of the excited states in these nuclei.

A major problem in the study of isoscalar pairing is the lack of an unambiguous signature. For example, Van
Isacker et al. [1995] have recently suggested that the following function of the binding energies:

\[ \frac{1}{4} \left( [B(N, Z) - B(N-2, Z)] - [B(N, Z-2) - B(N-2, Z-2)] \right), \]

peaks at the N=Z line, indicating the presence of SU(4) symmetry in the self-conjugate nuclei. It has,
however, been pointed out by Engel et al. [1996a] that this feature holds equally well in the pure isovector-
seniority model as well. Similarly, the staggering between the masses of the self-conjugate odd-odd vs.
even-even nuclei is the same in the two limits of pure isoscalar and isovector pairing [Engel et al., 1996b],
removing it as a potential probe of T=0 pairing. These authors also observe that another potential signature of
the isoscalar pairing, namely the Gamow-Teller \( B^\pi \) strength, is also indistinguishable in the two limiting cases
in N=Z nuclei. (In fact, these calculations indicate that the difference between the isovector and isoscalar
B(GT) becomes larger as T, increases and T=0 pairing becomes less important.)

In principle, enhanced deuteron-transfer strength is a potentially clear indicator of the presence of T=0, J=1
pairing strength (Nazarewicz, 1996). Unfortunately, until radioactive ion beams of medium-mass N=Z nuclei
become available, this probe remains inaccessible. However, the inverse process (namely, enhanced emission
of deuterons from moderately-excited self-conjugate compound nuclei ) may provide some clue regarding the
existence of T=0 pairing which is predicted by Langanke et al. [1996] to survive at finite temperatures .

Given these difficulties, we are, therefore, presently limited to seek the evidence for isoscalar pairing in the
band structures of N−Z nuclei, especially at high spins. This is because of the fact that protons and neutrons
coupled to T=0, J=1 are not susceptible to the Coriolis antipairing effects the way those coupled to J=0 (time-
reversed orbitals) are. In fact, a recent study of the high-spin band structure in 74Rb [Rudolph et al., 1996d]
suggests that the T=1, J=0 ground state band is crossed by a T=0, J=1 excited band at spin ≈3ℏ. Although

extremely challenging, measurements of the g-factors of the levels in the excited band may distinguish between a simple T=0 two-quasiparticle configuration based on [431]3/2 neutron and proton orbitals and the exotic T=0 collective np-pairing mode.

As part of a program to address these questions, we have recently initiated a systematic study of the high spin band structures of the N-2 nuclei. The T_r=1 nuclei $^{74}$Kr, $^{78}$Sr, $^{82}$Zr [Rudolph et al., 1996b], and $^{86}$Mo [Rudolph et al., 1996e] have been studied using the Gammasphere + Microball experimental setup. The 130 MeV $^{28}$Si + $^{58}$Ni reaction was used to populate the high spin states in $^{74}$Kr, $^{78}$Sr, $^{82}$Zr via 3α, 2α, and 2p2n channels, respectively. Altogether, 15 new rotational bands were established in these three nuclei. Figures 10 and 11 show the partial level schemes developed in this work for $^{74}$Kr and $^{82}$Zr, respectively. These results, as well as the recent high-spin data for $^{74}$Rb [Rudolph et al., 1996d], $^{75}$Rb [Gross et al., 1996b], $^{76}$Rb [Harder et al., 1995], and $^{75}$Kr [Gross et al., 1994] were interpreted using the pairing-and-deformation self-consistent cranking model of Satula and Wyss [1994]. Surprisingly, this model provides a good description of the properties of the bands in Kr, Rb, and Sr isotopes using the conventional T=1 pairing channel, without any need to invoke collective T=0 np correlations [Gross et al., 1996b]. In contrast, these calculations cannot provide a satisfactory interpretation of the ground state band (gsb) in $^{82}$Zr. Figure 12 shows a comparison of the experimental (filled symbols) and calculated (open symbols) routhians for the gsb in $^{74}$Kr and $^{82}$Zr nuclei, as well as one of the excited bands (proton AB) in $^{74}$Kr. Below the band crossing, the calculated routhian for a well-deformed ground-state band (wdb) in $^{82}$Zr lies higher than the experimental one by about 1.5 MeV.

![Figure 10: A partial level scheme for $^{74}$Kr [Rudolph et al., 1996b].](image-url)
Figure 11: A partial level scheme for $^{82}\text{Zr}$ [Rudolph et al., 1996b].

Figure 12: Comparisons of the experimental and calculated routhians for the positive-parity bands in $^{74}\text{Kr}$ (top) and $^{82}\text{Zr}$ (bottom) [Rudolph et al., 1996b].
This failure has been attributed to the softness of the total routhian surfaces in \(^{82}\text{Zr}\) at low rotational frequencies which give rise to vibrational degrees of freedom. These vibrational effects cannot be accounted for in the present version of the model. In contrast, the calculated triaxial band (tb) which becomes yrast above the band crossing is in excellent agreement with the experimental routhian.

In short, these data do not provide any compelling evidence for the presence of collective np correlations in \(N-Z\) nuclei below Zr. They do, however, provide a warning that interpretation of these shape-transitional nuclei requires a great deal of care. That is, to isolate the effects of the isoscalar pairing field one needs to employ sophisticated models that can correctly account for all aspects of the mean field, both deformation and the pairing degrees of freedom.

5. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

Development of the new generation of powerful γ-ray detector systems has greatly facilitated spectroscopic studies of the high-spin states in the medium-mass nuclei. For example, a new region of SD nuclei in the mass A=80 was recently discovered and extensive data on the band structures of the \(N-Z\) nuclei have been obtained. These data may be used to test different theoretical models or to constrain their parameters.

So far, more than 20 SD bands have been observed in 10 nuclei which span \(N=42-46\) and \(Z=38-41\), thus confirming the earlier predictions for the existence of a large SD gap at \(N=44\). In general, the properties of these bands are in good agreement with the predictions of the cranking models. However, the presence of several disagreements point to possible shortcomings in the single-particle energy spectra at large deformations and rotational frequencies. The high rotational frequencies sustained by these bands correspond to the largest Coriolis force encountered so far, and allow for the observation of the \(i_{13/2}\) superintruder orbital in these medium-mass nuclei. Another consequence of the high rotational frequency and large SD energy gaps is that the T=1 pairing correlations become negligibly small. This paves the way to observe the influence of the T=0 pn interaction in the SD bands of the \(N=Z=30\) nuclei which are yet to be discovered. Finally, because of the dominance of charged-particle emission in this region, it is now possible to probe possible nuclear structure effects on the energies and angular distributions of the emitted protons and alpha particles. This will open up new and exciting opportunities to study nuclear structure and reaction at their interface.

The combination of the new Ge detectors and ancillary detector systems have also allowed studies of the high-spin band structures of the \(N-Z\) nuclei from Kr to Mo. These data provide a valuable opportunity to study the possible effects of the collective np pairing correlations that are expected to become important in these nuclei. At present, there is no conclusive evidence that the isoscalar pairing mode plays a significant role in the band structures of the \(T_z=1/2\) and 1 nuclei studied so far. However, the recent high-spin data on \(^{84}\text{Rb}\) have highlighted the need to extend the present studies to the \(N=Z\) nuclei. Finally, to be able to clearly isolate the effects due to the T=0 pairing correlations, theoretical calculations need to be able to correctly account for the shape changes that take place in these transitional nuclei.
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