~~XXXX~~ RMIS View/Print Document Cover Sheet ~~XXXX~~

This document was retrieved from the Documentation and Records Management (DRM) ISEARCH System. It is intended for Information only and may not be the most recent or updated version. Contact a Document Service Center (see Hanford Info for locations) if you need additional retrieval information.

Accession #: D196013273

Document #: SD-SNF-DP-005

Title/Desc:

105K EAST SANDFILTER BACKWASH LINE SAMPLE ANALYSIS
REPORT 2ND CAMPAIGN

Pages: 33

Sta. 4 (5)

JAN 26 1996

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1

1. EDT No 614764

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Distribution		3. From: (Originating Organization) Production Planning and Control		4. Related EDT No.: N/A							
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: 105-K East Sandfilter Backwash Line/Spent Nuclear Fuels/PPC/AS		6. Cog. Engr.: George L. Miller		7. Purchase Order No.: N/A							
8. Originator Remarks: This document is being released into the supporting document system for retrievability purposes.				9. Equip./Component No.: N/A							
				10. System/Bldg./Facility: N/A							
11. Receiver Remarks: For release.				12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A							
				13. Permit/Permit Application No.: N/A							
				14. Required Response Date: 12/20/95							
15. DATA TRANSMITTED											
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	(F) Approval Designator	(G) Reason for Transmittal	(H) Originator Disposition	(I) Receiver Disposition			
1	WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005	N/A	0	105-K East Sandfilter Backwash Line Sample Analysis Report - Second Campaign	Q	2	1	1			
16. KEY											
Approval Designator (F)		Reason for Transmittal (G)		Disposition (H) & (I)							
E, S, Q, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-5, Sec. 12.7)		1. Approval 2. Release 3. Information		4. Review 5. Post-Review 6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)		1. Approved 2. Approved w/comment 3. Disapproved w/comment		4. Reviewed no/comment 5. Reviewed w/comment 6. Receipt acknowledged			
17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)						(G)	(H)				
Reason	Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	Reason	Disp.
2	1	Cog. Eng. G.L. Miller	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/23/96							
2	1	Cog. Mgr. J.L. Deichman	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/23/96							
2	1	QA E.W. Miller	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/23/96							
		Safety									
		Env.									
2	1	Production Planning and Control - A.D. Rice	<i>[Signature]</i>	1-23-96							
2	1	C.L. Bennett	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/24/96							
18.		19.		20.		21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No.					
L.R. Webb <i>[Signature]</i> Signature of EDT Originator		C. Deigh-Price <i>[Signature]</i> Authorized Representative Date for Receiving Organization		J.L. Deichman <i>[Signature]</i> Significant Manager Date		☐ Approved ☐ Approved w/comments ☐ Disapproved w/comments					

105-K East Sandfilter Backwash Line Sample Analysis Report - Second Campaign

George L. Miller

Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, WA 99352

U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-87RL10930

EDT/ECN: 614764

UC: 2070

Org Code: 75725

Charge Code: MD378

B&R Code: EW 3120074

Total Pages: 30

Key Words: 105-KE, 105-K, Sandfilter, Backwash, Line, Sample, Analysis, Report, Second Campaign

Abstract: N/A

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: WHC/BCS Document Control Services, P.O. Box 1970, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

Release Approval

Date

Approved for Public Release

P.O. Box 1970 Richland, WA 99352

WHC-SD-SNF-005-DP-005, REV. 0

ANALYTICAL SERVICES

**105-K EAST SANDFILTER BACKWASH LINE SAMPLE -
ANALYSIS REPORT - SECOND CAMPAIGN**

Project Coordinator: GEORGE L. MILLER

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Environmental Restoration
and Waste Management

by

Westinghouse Hanford Company
Box 1970

WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005, REV. 0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Sample Preparation	1
2.1	Appearance and Dose Rates	1
2.2	Sludge Settling	1
2.3	Sample Preparation	2
2.4	Residues	2
3.0	Results	2
3.1	Sample Preparation Results	2
3.2	Radiochemical Results	4
3.3	Auxiliary Results	5
4.0	References	8
	Appendix A - K-East Basin Sand Filter Backwash Line Campaign II	13
	Appendix B - Chain of Custody Form	15
	Appendix C - Letter of Instruction	17

This document consists of pages 1 through 27.

105-K EAST SANDFILTER BACKWASH LINE SAMPLE ANALYSIS REPORT
SECOND CAMPAIGN
D. B. Bechtold and B. A. Crawford

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This project seeks to produce uranium (U) and plutonium (Pu) analyses of samples taken from the KE basin filter backwash line each time the sand filter is backwashed. K Basin operations will use the analytical results to determine additions of fissile materials to the backwash sludge pit and thereby maintain a running inventory of fissile elements in the pit. K Basin operations must not exceed a certain total inventory in order to be within a criticality specification.

The second campaign of this project consisted of three samples, numbered by the customer 208KEB, 209KEB, and 210KEB. A revised letter of instruction controlled their processing (Reference 4.1).

2.0 SAMPLE PREPARATION

All work on the samples except the actual analysis of digests is recorded in controlled laboratory notebook WHC-N-341-2, pages 121-128.

2.1 Appearance and Dose Rates.

All three samples arrived in 250 mL jars virtually full of water, with a small amount of brown, flocculent sludge lying on the bottom. Over-the-Top Reading (OTR) dose rates are included in the Sample Preparation Data Sheet.

2.2 Sludge Settling.

Each jar was shaken to allow differentiation of particulates and to allow even settling, as practiced in the original USQ campaign. The jars were allowed to settle approximately 21½ hours to maximize water removal, at which time it was apparent that the sludge was not going to settle completely. Therefore the sludge mass measurements of the LOI were omitted and the entire contents of the sample (*i.e.*, sludge plus murky water) were transferred to dryers in order to assure all analytes in each sample were digested. Data was taken to determine the volume of sludge that did manage to settle, however.

2.3 Sample Preparation.

Due to incomplete settling, the entire contents of each sample were transferred individually to PTFE drying/digestion beakers to assure complete analysis of U and Pu analytes. For this reason, no excess water was withdrawn for total alpha analysis, in spite of its having been requested in the chain of custody. (The total alpha analysis is used in the plan merely to verify that removal of excess water does not remove significant amounts of Pu or U). The cleaned sample jars were tared, then weighed with laboratory water added to the sludge marks, then to the total sample (water) level marks.

Each sample was dried in a PTFE beaker with a PTFE watchglass lid and PTFE standoffs on a hot plate to yield in all cases dark brown material like broken up dried mud. All samples yielded less than 1 gram each of dried sludge; therefore, all sludges were digested and no excess sludge was archived.

Each sample was digested twice with approx 40 mL of acid that was approximately 5M each in nitric and hydrochloric acids, and 3 drops concentrated HF, for four hours. Digestates were separated from residues by decantation/rinsing/centrifugation and placed into 500 mL volumetric flasks for dilution to known volume. Samples from each diluted digestate were submitted for total U analysis, Pu²³⁸ analysis and Pu^{239,240} analysis. The remaining digestates were archived.

2.4 Residues

The undigested residues for each sample were dried to constant weight in the digesters, weighed and observed. There was very little residue in any sample (23 mg or less in all cases). The estimated residue weights are approximate and may seem to not be consistent with observations in table 3. The reasons they don't seem to correlate are due to variability of milligram net weights when tare weights are hundreds of grams, masses of unobserved residues such as oil films, and the non-quantitative nature of the observations. The residues otherwise appeared to be ordinary mineral fines.

3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Sample Preparation Results

The sample preparation datasheet appears as Table 1, where the procedural steps are described in Reference 4.1. All data precision and accuracy requirements for sample preparation listed in the LOI were judged to have been met, except for steps to

measure sludge weight, which were not performed due to incomplete settling.

TABLE 1. SAMPLE PREPARATION DATA FOR SECOND CAMPAIGN

STEP	PARAMETER DESCRIPTION	UNITS	SAMPLE RESULTS		
1	Sample identity		CUSTOMER NO. 208KEB	CUSTOMER NO. 209KEB	CUSTOMER NO. 210KEB
	Amount of sludge	mL	10	10	10
	contact dose rate	mRad or mRem	2.0 mRem/hr	2.0 mRem/hr	2.0 mRem/hr
3	Gross weight of sample bottle	g	412.702	425.068	427.483
9	Net weight of excess water (if requested)	g	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)
	Net weight of laboratory water sample	g	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)
	water sample number		N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)
10	Gross wt. of sample bottle w/o excess water	g	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)	N/A (didn't settle)
11	Tare weight of PTFE drying/digesting vessel	g	139.855	142.541	140.636
15	Tare weight of sample bottle	g	219.422	216.464	216.316
17	Weight of bottle filled to sludge mark	g	223.59	219.825	221.484
19	Wt. of bottle filled to initial liquid level	g	412.934	424.245	429.372
20	Gross wt. of drying vessel, first drying	g	139.969	142.673	140.754
	second drying	g	139.969	142.613	140.758
	third drying	g	139.963	142.663	140.755
24	Net weight of excess dry solids	g	0	0	0
25	Gross weight solids to be digested	g	139.967	142.65	140.756
40	Volume of diluted digestate	mL	500	500	500
44	Gross weight of dry digester plus residue	g	139.863	142.58	140.645
	second digestion drying	g	139.869	142.564	140.647
	third digestion drying	g	N/A	N/A	N/A
46	Appearance of dried residue		very little residue	very little residue	very little residue

3.2 Radiochemical Results

Table 2. includes the analytical laboratory radiochemical results for this campaign.

Table 2. Second Campaign Radiochemical Results, Second Campaign				
DATUM	UNITS	CUSTOMER# KEB208	CUSTOMER# KEB209	CUSTOMER# KEB210
PCS# DIGEST SAMPLE	none	JMK128A	JMK128B	JMK128C
LAB# DIGEST SAMPLE	none	R7725	R7726	R7727
LAB1 U	$\mu\text{g/mL}$ digest	2.95e+01	2.95e+01	3.54e+01
LAB2 U	$\mu\text{g/mL}$ digest	2.93e+01	3.17e+01	3.54e+01
LAB3 U	$\mu\text{g/mL}$ digest	2.84e+01	3.23e+01	3.55e+01
LAB U DET. LIM.	$\mu\text{g/mL}$ digest	3.70e-05	3.70e-05	3.70e-03
LAB U BLANK	$\mu\text{g/mL}$	1.05e-04	1.05e-04	9.81e-02
U RSD	%	2.0	4.7	0.2
LAB U SPIKE RECOV.	%	98.2	N/A	100.0
LAB U STD. RECOV.	%	96.3	96.3	102.1
U DET. LIM.	$\mu\text{g/mL}$ sample	9.54e-05	8.89e-05	8.67e-03
LAB1 Pu ²³⁸	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	1.04e-03	1.14e-03	1.14e-03
LAB2 Pu ²³⁸	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	1.07e-03	1.04e-03	1.17e-03
LAB3 Pu ²³⁸	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	9.78e-04	1.16e-03	1.17e-03
Pu ²³⁸ RSD	%	4.6	5.8	1.5
LAB Pu ²³⁸ COUNT ERROR	%	3.3	3.1	2.8
LAB1 Pu ^{239,240}	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	6.71e-03	7.46e-03	7.48e-03
LAB2 Pu ^{239,240}	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	6.89e-03	6.92e-03	7.70e-03
LAB3 Pu ^{239,240}	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	6.65e-03	7.34e-03	7.68e-03
LAB Pu ^{239,240} DET. LIM.	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	5.21e-04	4.67e-04	5.18e-04
LAB Pu ^{239,240} BLANK	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ digest	< 4.58e-5	< 4.58e-5	< 9.98e-5
Pu ^{239,240} RSD	%	1.9	3.9	1.6
LAB Pu ^{239,240} SPIKE RECOV.	%	81.3	N/A	78.6
LAB Pu ^{239,240} STD. RECOV.	%	88.3	88.3	86.5
LAB Pu ^{239,240} COUNT ERROR	%	2.6	2.5	2.3
Pu ^{239,240} DET. LIM.	$\mu\text{Ci/mL}$ sample	1.34e-03	1.12e-03	1.21e-03
U:Pu ^{239,240} RATIO	$\mu\text{g U}/\mu\text{Ci Pu}^{239,240}$	4.31e+03	4.30e+03	4.65e+03

Examination of Table 2 reveals that all precision, accuracy and minimum detection limit requirements of the LOI Table 1 (Reference 4.1), when converted to comparable units, have been met for this campaign.

3.3 Auxiliary Results

Table 3 includes the complete campaign results which have been verified for correctness. It is presented as an aid to compare this campaign with previous ones. In some cases the reported units differ from those discussed in the Letter of Instruction, requiring a conversion. Due to non-uniform HPT coverage, reported dose rates may vary between HPTs. The HPT management is currently developing a uniform dose reading and reporting protocol.

Table 3. Complete Results for Second Campaign				
DATUM	UNITS	CUSTOMER# KEB208	CUSTOMER# KEB209	CUSTOMER# KEB210
CAMPAIGN	none	SECOND	SECOND	SECOND
SLUDGE	mL	10	10	10
DOSE RATE	mRad or mRem	2.0 mRem/hr	2.0 mRem/hr	2.0 mRem/hr
SLUDGE APPEARANCE	none	brown floc	brown floc	brown floc
GROSS SAMPLE JAR	g	412.702	425.068	427.483
GROSS NO WATER	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
NET EXCESS WATER	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
TARE WATER SAMPLE	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
GROSS WATER SAMPLE	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
NET WATER SAMPLE	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
PCS# WATER SAMPLE	none	none	none	none
LAB# WATER SAMPLE	none	none	none	none
TARE DIGESTER	g	139.855	142.541	140.636
TARE SAMPLE JAR	g	219.422	216.464	216.318
NET SLUDGE	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
GROSS SAMPLE JAR_TO_SLUDGE	g	223.590	219.825	221.484
GROSS SAMPLE JAR_TO_TOTAL	g	412.934	424.245	429.372
VOLUME SAMPLE	mL	1.94e+02	2.08e+02	2.13e+02
GROSS DRY 1ST	g	139.969	142.673	140.754
GROSS DRY 2ND	g	139.969	142.613	140.758
GROSS DRY 3RD	g	139.963	142.663	140.755
DRIES TO AVERAGE	none	1,2,3	1,2,3	1,2,3
AVERAGE GROSS DRY	g	139.967	142.650	140.756
NET DRY SOLIDS	g	0.112	0.109	0.120
GROSS DIGEST	g	139.967	142.650	140.756
NET EXCESS SOLIDS	g	0.000	0.000	0.000

WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005 REV. 0

Table 3. Complete Results for Second Campaign				
DATUM	UNITS	CUSTOMER# KEB208	CUSTOMER# KEB209	CUSTOMER# KEB210
NET DIGEST SOLIDS	g	0.112	0.109	0.120
VOLUME DIGESTATE	mL	500	500	500
PCS# DIGEST SAMPLE	none	JMK128A	JMK128B	JMK128C
LAB# DIGEST SAMPLE	none	R7725	R7726	R7727
GROSS RESIDUE 1ST	g	139.863	142.580	140.645
GROSS RESIDUE 2ND	g	139.869	142.564	140.647
GROSS RESIDUE 3RD	g	n/a	n/a	n/a
RESIDUES TO AVERAGE	none	1,2	2	1,2
GROSS AVERAGE RESIDUE	g	139.866	142.564	140.646
NET RESIDUE	g	0.011	0.023	0.010
APPEARANCE RESIDUE	none	very little residue	very little residue	very little residue
SLUDGE VOLUME	mL	4.18e+00	3.37e+00	5.18e+00
SLUDGE DENSITY	g/mL sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a
WT % SOLIDS	% of sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a
WT % WATER	% of sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a
SOLIDS CONC	g/mL sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a
WATER CONC	g/mL sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a
AVG PARTICLE DENSITY	g/mL particle	n/a	n/a	n/a
WT % RESIDUE OF DIGEST	% of dry solids	9.82e+00	2.12e+01	8.36e+00
WT % RESIDUE OF SLUDGE	% of sludge	n/a	n/a	n/a
LAB1 U	µg/mL digest	2.95e+01	2.95e+01	3.54e+01
LAB2 U	µg/mL digest	2.93e+01	3.17e+01	3.54e+01
LAB3 U	µg/mL digest	2.84e+01	3.23e+01	3.55e+01
LAB U DET. LIM.	µg/mL digest	3.70e-05	3.70e-05	3.70e-03
LAB U BLANK	µg/mL	1.05e-04	n/a	9.81e-02
U RSD	%	2.0	4.7	0.2
LAB U SPIKE RECOV.	%	98.2	n/a	100.0
LAB U STD. RECOV.	%	96.3	n/a	102.1
U DET. LIM.	µg/mL sample	9.54e-05	8.89e-05	8.67e-03
U SAMPLE 1	µg/mL sample	7.61e+01	7.08e+01	8.29e+01
U SAMPLE 2	µg/mL sample	7.56e+01	7.61e+01	8.29e+01
U SAMPLE 3	µg/mL sample	7.32e+01	7.76e+01	8.31e+01
U SAMPLE AVG	µg/mL sample	7.50e+01	7.48e+01	8.30e+01
U SOLIDS AVG	µg/g dry solids	1.30e+05	1.43e+05	1.48e+05

Table 3. Complete Results for Second Campaign				
DATUM	UNITS	CUSTOMER# KEB208	CUSTOMER# KEB209	CUSTOMER# KEB210
LAB1 Pu ²³⁸	μCi/mL digest	1.04e-03	1.14e-03	1.14e-03
LAB2 Pu ²³⁸	μCi/mL digest	1.07e-03	1.04e-03	1.17e-03
LAB3 Pu ²³⁸	μCi/mL digest	9.78e-04	1.16e-03	1.17e-03
LAB Pu ²³⁸ COUNT ERROR	%	3.3	3.1	2.8
Pu ²³⁸ RSD	%	4.6	5.8	1.5
Pu ²³⁸ SAMPLE 1	μCi/mL sample	2.68e-03	2.74e-03	2.67e-03
Pu ²³⁸ SAMPLE 2	μCi/mL sample	2.76e-03	2.50e-03	2.74e-03
Pu ²³⁸ SAMPLE 3	μCi/mL sample	2.52e-03	2.79e-03	2.74e-03
Pu ²³⁸ SAMPLE AVG	μCi/mL sample	2.65e-03	2.67e-03	2.72e-03
Pu ²³⁸ SOLIDS AVG	μCi/g dry solids	4.60e+00	5.12e+00	4.85e+00
LAB1 Pu ^{239,240}	μCi/mL digest	6.71e-03	7.46e-03	7.48e-03
LAB2 Pu ^{239,240}	μCi/mL digest	6.89e-03	6.92e-03	7.70e-03
LAB3 Pu ^{239,240}	μCi/mL digest	6.65e-03	7.34e-03	7.68e-03
LAB Pu ^{239,240} DET. LIM.	μCi/mL digest	5.21e-04	4.67e-04	5.18e-04
LAB Pu ^{239,240} BLANK	μCi/mL digest	< 4.58e-05	< 4.58e-5	< 9.98e-5
Pu ^{239,240} RSD	%	1.9	3.9	1.6
LAB Pu ^{239,240} SPIKE RECOV.	%	81.3	n/a	78.6
LAB Pu ^{239,240} STD. RECOV.	%	88.3	88.3	86.5
LAB Pu ^{239,240} COUNT ERROR	%	3.3	2.5	2.3
Pu ^{239,240} DET. LIM.	μCi/mL sample	1.34e-03	1.12e-03	1.21e-03
Pu ^{239,240} SAMPLE 1	μCi/mL sample	1.73e-02	1.79e-02	1.75e-02
Pu ^{239,240} SAMPLE 2	μCi/mL sample	1.78e-02	1.66e-02	1.80e-02
Pu ^{239,240} SAMPLE 3	μCi/mL sample	1.71e-02	1.76e-02	1.80e-02
Pu ^{239,240} SAMPLE AVG	μCi/mL sample	1.74e-02	1.74e-02	1.78e-02
Pu ^{239,240} SOLIDS AVG	μCi/g dry solids	3.01e+01	3.33e+01	3.18e+01
U:Pu ^{239,240} RATIO	μg U/μCi Pu ^{239,240}	4.31e+03	4.30e+03	4.65e+03

One may compare the radiochemical data in Table 3 on a dry weight basis with the data from the backwash pit campaign (Reference 4.3) and the first backwash line campaign (Reference 4.4). As shown in Figures 1 to 4. This campaign adds more information to these figures, suggesting that the radiochemical content of backwash sludge is consistent with past, though highly variable, observations.

4.0 REFERENCES

- 4.1 Internal Memo, number 95-2A100.319, C. Defigh-Price to G. L. Miller, *Letter of Instruction for KE Basins Sandfilter Backwash Line Samples, Revision 1*, dated August 17, 1995.
- 4.2 WHC-SD-NR-TRP-023, REV 1, *Laboratory Test Plan for Analysis of KE Basin Backwash Pit Samples*, D. B. Bechtold, November 18, 1994, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- 4.3 WHC-SD-NR-TRP-021, REV 0, *Report of Laboratory Test Plan for Analysis of KE Basin Backwash Pit Samples*, D. B. Bechtold, March 28, 1994, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- 4.4 WHC-SD-SNF-DP-002, Rev. 0, *105-K East Sandfilter Backwash Line Sample Analysis Report, First Campaign*, D. B. Bechtold and G. L. Miller, November, 1995, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Figure 1. Uranium vs Digest Residue

Figure 2. Pu²³⁸ vs Digest Residue.

Figure 3. Pu^{239,240} vs Digest Residue.

Figure 4. U:Pu^{239,240} Ratio ($\mu\text{g U} / \mu\text{Ci Pu}^{239,240}$) vs Digest Residue.

WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005, REV. 0

APPENDIX A

**K-East Basin Sand Filter Backwash Line,
Campaign II
Activities/Concentrations of the Digested Samples**

**K-East Basin Sand Filter Backwash Line, Campaign II
Activities/Concentrations of the Digested Samples**

Pu-239/240

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			SAMPLE DATA			QC DATA				
Sample #	PCS #	Customer #	Sample	Duplicate	Triplicate	Spike	Blank	Standard	Detection Limit	Rel.Counting Error, Mean
			μCi/ml	μCi/ml	μCi/ml	% Recovery	μCi/ml	% Recovery	μCi/ml	%
R7725	JMK128A	208KEB	6.71E-03	6.89E-03	6.65E-03	81.3	<4.58E-05	88.3	5.21E-04	2.6
R7726	JMK128B	209KEB	7.46E-03	6.92E-03	7.34E-03	n/a	<4.58E-05	88.3	4.67E-04	2.5
R7727	JMK128C	210KEB	7.48E-03	7.70E-03	7.68E-03	78.6	<9.98E-05	86.5	5.18E-04	2.3

Pu-238

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			SAMPLE DATA			QC DATA			
Sample #	PCS #	Customer #	Sample	Duplicate	Triplicate	Blank	Pu-239 Standard	Detection Limit	Rel.Counting Error, Mean
			μCi/ml	μCi/ml	μCi/ml	μCi/ml	% Recovery	μCi/ml	%
R7725	JMK128A	208KEB	1.04E-03	1.07E-03	9.78E-04	<4.58E-05	88.3	5.21E-04	3.3
R7726	JMK128B	209KEB	1.14E-03	1.04E-03	1.16E-03	<4.58E-05	88.3	4.67E-04	3.1
R7727	JMK128C	210KEB	1.14E-03	1.17E-03	1.17E-03	<9.98E-05	86.5	5.18E-04	2.8

Total Uranium

SAMPLE IDENTIFICATION			SAMPLE DATA			QC DATA			
Sample #	PCS #	Customer #	Sample	Duplicate	Triplicate	Spike	Blank	Standard	Detection Limit
			μg/ml	μg/ml	μg/ml	% Recovery	μg/ml	% Recovery	μg/ml
R7725	JMK128A	208KEB	2.95E+01	2.93E+01	2.84E+01	98.2	1.05E-04	96.3	3.70E-05
R7726	JMK128B	209KEB	2.95E+01	3.17E+01	3.23E+01	n/a	1.05E-04	96.3	3.70E-05
R7727	JMK128C	210KEB	3.54E+01	3.54E+01	3.55E+01	100.0	9.81E-02	102.1	3.70E-03

WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005, REV. 0

APPENDIX B

Chain of Custody Form

K BASINS CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Chain of Custody No. 081095 KEB Date 8-10-95 Field Logbook No. N/AOA&WH Contact M.A. Green Phone No. 373-1463 MSIN X3-72Delivered to: ☐ OA&WH Engineer ☐ 183 KE Lab ☒ 222-S Lab ☐ OtherSampled By E. Campos Sign E. Campos

See Sample Analysis Request for individual containers and analysis.

Sample Number	Location/Description	Sample Date	Sample Time	Matrix*	Comments
208 KEB	Sandfilter Backwash	8-10-95	1800	W	
209 KEB	Sandfilter Backwash	8-10-95	1800	W	
210 KEB	Sandfilter Backwash	8-10-95	1800	W	

*Matrix

S Soil
SE Sediment
SO Solid
SL Sludge/Slurry
W Water
O Oil
A Air
AF Air Filter
DS Drum Solids
DL Drum Liquids
T Tissue
WI Wipe
L Liquid
V Vegetation
X Other

Special Instructions:

Radioactive

* Analyze excess water from
Samples for Total Alpha
per LOI.Samples Transferred to new COC: ☒ No ☐ Yes, new COC No. _____

CHAIN OF POSSESSION

Relinquished By		Received By	
<u>E. Campos</u>	<u>8-10-95</u>	<u>M.A. Green</u>	<u>8-16-95</u>
Print <u>E. Campos</u>	Date <u>2000</u>	Print <u>M.A. Green</u>	Date <u>1320</u>
Sign _____	Time _____	Sign _____	Time _____
<u>M.A. Green</u>	<u>8-16-95</u>	<u>C.E. Byrd</u>	<u>8-16-95</u>
Print <u>M.A. Green</u>	Date <u>1345</u>	Print <u>C.E. Byrd</u>	Date <u>1345</u>
Sign _____	Time _____	Sign _____	Time _____
<u>C.E. Byrd</u>	<u>8-16-95</u>	<u>N. LAPIERS</u>	<u>8-16-95</u>
Print <u>C.E. Byrd</u>	Date <u>14:33</u>	Print <u>N. LAPIERS</u>	Date <u>1440</u>
Sign _____	Time _____	Sign _____	Time _____
Print _____	Date _____	Print _____	Date _____
Sign _____	Time _____	Sign _____	Time _____

FINAL SAMPLE DISPOSITION

Disposal Method _____
Disposed By: _____

WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005, REV. 0

APPENDIX C

Letter of Instruction

From: Standards and Requirements
Phone: 373-9596
Date: August 17, 1995
Subject: LETTER OF INSTRUCTION FOR K BASINS SANDFILTER BACKWASH LINE
SAMPLES, REVISION 1.

95-2A100.319

To: G. L. Miller T6-06

cc: D. B. Bechtold	T6-09	M. A. Jensen	X3-79
C. L. Bennett	X3-79	C. D. Lucas	X3-67
S. P. Burke	X3-74	A. D. Rice	T6-06
B. S. Carlisle	X3-71	T. L. Welsh	T6-07
G. M. Davis	X3-80	SNF Project Files	R3-11
M. A. Green	X3-67	CDP File/LB	X3-79
R. A. Harris	L5-01		

Reference: Memo, C. DeFigh-Price to Distribution, "Letter of Instruction for KE Basins Sandfilter Backwash Line Samples," dated June 6, 1995.

This letter and attachment constitute a complete revision of the referenced Letter of Instruction.

Samples of the material flowing into each of the 105 K Basin sandfilter backwash pits (SFBWP) during a backwash will be sent to the 222-S Analytical Laboratory approximately twice per year. Each shipment will contain at least three but as many as five 250 mL sample bottles. This work is to be charged to TCPN L11AL/Work Order E26262. This Letter of Instruction will serve as an interim Sampling and Analysis Plan for all K Basin sandfilter backwash line samples.

C. DeFigh-Price, Manager
Standards and Requirements

CONCURRENCES:

G. L. Miller, Program Support
222-S Analytical Operations

8/18/95
G. M. Davis, QA
Spent Nuclear Fuel Project

jek

Attachment

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ANALYSIS OF SANDFILTER BACKWASH
SAMPLES AT K-EAST FUEL STORAGE BASIN

Data Quality Objectives

The Data Quality Objectives (DQO) are described in WHC-SD-SNF-TA-007 (Harris, 1995). The primary objective of the analytical phase of the measurements is to determine the plutonium and uranium content of the samples to the requirements indicated in Table 1. The units of the Minimum Detection Level (MDL) and Practical Quantification Limit (PQL) refer to the samples as they are received from the field. The values of the MDL and PQL applicable to actual aliquots will be different because the analyte concentrations may be increased in the drying process and/or decreased during the acid digestion process (See Instructions below.) The extrapolation of these parameters is the responsibility of the 222-S laboratory. The definitions of the parameters in the table are given in Harris, 1995.

Table 1. Analytical Parameters

	$^{239/240}\text{Pu}$	Uranium
MDL	4.2 $\mu\text{Ci/L}$ of sample	23 mg/L of sample
PQL	43 $\mu\text{Ci/L}$ of sample	231 mg/L of sample
Precision	$\pm 25\%$ (2 RSD)	$\pm 25\%$ (2 RSD)
Accuracy	$\pm 25\%$	$\pm 25\%$

Instructions

The samples (250 mL bottles) will be received by the laboratory and prepared for laboratory analysis using the instructions that follow. These instructions are a modified version of preparation procedures (Bechtold 1993) that were developed to analyze samples (Warner 1994) expected to be very similar in content to the subject samples.

During sample preparation, excess water shall be removed from the samples for analysis, if specified on the chain of custody (COC) form accompanying the samples. If the COC makes no mention of this, then excess water is not to be collected or analyzed. The water samples will be analyzed for the alpha activity using the procedure shown in Table 2. It is expected that the plutonium content of the samples will be similar to that of the samples analyzed under Hunacek, 1994.

The primary analyses for the plutonium ($^{239/240}\text{Pu}$ activity) and uranium content of the samples will utilize the procedures shown in Table 3. Alternate

procedures can be substituted for those shown in both Tables 2 and 3 if approved by signature of the manager, Standards & Requirements.

Table 2. Excess Water Procedure

Process	Constituent	Procedure ID
Internal Proportional Counter	Total α activity	LA-508-101

Table 3. Analytical Procedures and Process Requirements for 222-S Laboratory Primary Analyses

Process	Constituent	Procedure ID
Separation, AEA	^{238}Pu , $^{239/240}\text{Pu}$	LA-943-127
Laser Fluorimetry	U Total	LA-925-009

Analytical Quality Assurance Requirements

The Quality Assurance (QA) requirements for the final, primary analysis of the samples are given in Table 1. The QA requirements for the sample preparation phase are listed in the following sections.

There are no requirements on the analytical parameters (MDL, PQL, precision, accuracy) for special excess water analyses since the results will be used only for qualitative screening purposes.

Precision assessments using laboratory triplicates are required for *each sample*. The triplicates will be taken from acid digestates resulting from the performance of the following instructions. The assessment is made by computing the standard deviation of the three triplicate samples, dividing by the mean of those three samples, and then multiplying by 2×100 . If the value obtained is greater than 25, the assessment must be rerun. If an acceptable value is not obtained after the rerun, contact Operations Analysis and Waste Handling (OA&WH) for further direction. Every attempt should be made to obtain valid results for each of the field samples. This is because the uncertainty used in the K Basins Process Standard C-303 verification analyses will be based solely on the three field samples.

Matrix spike samples for $^{239/240}\text{Pu}$ and uranium will be prepared and analyzed for each batch of samples processed. These samples require the addition of a known quantity of the analytes to the sample to measure analytical accuracy, and shall be created from the same digestate preparations used for triplicate analyses. If a spike recovery analysis differs from the expected value by more than the 25% limit, only a new spike sample will be created and analyzed

(not the entire batch). If the rerun does not produce an acceptable recovery, OA&WH will be notified. OA&WH will then specify the remedial action that will be taken by the laboratory.

Laboratory data will be maintained as NQA-1 or equivalent life-of-plant (K-Basins) QA records.

Reporting Requirements

The sample preparation results will be reported on a form similar to Table 4. They will be forwarded to OA&WH within four weeks of receipt of the samples. The values shall be reported in the units shown in Table 4.

The primary analytical results will be forwarded to OA&WH by electronic means within four weeks of receipt of the samples. The electronic file will be in the comma delimited format. Signed reports will be forwarded to OA&WH within six weeks after receipt of the samples. The units of the reported results should be per mL of the digestate produced by the following instructions. The results for each of the triplicate samples will be provided. Only descriptions of the matrix spike runs and the resulting accuracy comparisons are required.

General Preparation Instructions

The following instructions will be used to prepare samples obtained from the backwash line at both K East and K West Fuel Storage Basin for analysis at the 222-S laboratory. These instructions are based on the premise that the samples will closely resemble the samples collected in 1993 (Bechtold 1993.) That is, they will consist of heavy sand underlying flocculent sludge in a water medium. The water and heavy material are not expected to contain significant amounts of the materials of interest. Special measurements to confirm the content of the water will be included as an option until no longer required. The special measurement will be performed only if requested on the chain of custody (COC) form accompanying the samples.

In general, the sample preparation steps that evolved with the 1993 analyses will be followed (Bechtold 1993.) These steps are:

- remove the excess water over the settled sludge and dry it,
- acid digest the dried sludge, and
- sub-sample the digestate for the required component analyses.

It is very important to determine the weight and volumes of the materials and vessels both before and after each step in this preparation so that the final results can be related back to the original sample volume. Some exceptions to the 1993 test procedure were necessary. The major ones are listed below.

- No consolidation of a sample into a single container will be required because each will arrive at the laboratory in a single bottle.

- The volume of the original sample must be recorded because the laboratory results must be converted to mL of the original sample rather than to mL of sludge.

These exceptions require minor but numerous changes to the test procedure steps. Rather than refer to the 1993 test procedure and indicate each change, the steps appropriate for these analyses have been extracted. If there is any confusion about the meaning or context of these extracted steps (given below) the analyst should refer to the original (Bechtold 1993.)

The data that must be recorded during the preparation of the samples are summarized on Table 4. The data should be reported on this form or a similar one containing the information indicated.

Table 4. Sample Preparation Data

Step	Parameter Description		Sample Results			
1	Sample identity					
	amount of sludge	mL				
	contact dose rate	mR				
3	Gross weight of sample bottle	g				
9	Net weight of excess water (if requested)	g				
	Net weight of laboratory water sample	g				
	water sample number					
10	Gross wt. of sample bottle w/o excess water	g				
11	Tare weight of PTFE drying/digesting vessel	g				
15	Tare weight of sample bottle	g				
17	Weight of bottle filled to sludge mark	g				
19	Wt. of bottle filled to initial liquid level	g				
20	Gross wt. of drying vessel, first drying	g				
	second drying	g				
	third drying	g				
24	Net weight excess dry solids	g				
25	Gross weight solids to be digested	g				

Step	Parameter Description		Sample Results			
40	Volume of diluted digestate	mL				
44	Gross weight of dry digester plus residue	g				
	second digestion	g				
	third digestion	g				
46	Appearance of dried residue					

The precision and accuracy requirements for the data collected with these instructions (listed in Table 4) are given in Table 5.

Table 2. Data Precision and Accuracy Requirements

Datum	Precision	Accuracy
Sludge Observations	qualitative	qualitative
sludge height mark(s)	$\pm 10\%$ of total height	$\pm 10\%$ of total height
initial water level mark (original sample volume)	$\pm 3\%$ of total height	$\pm 3\%$ of total height
all weights (dry and liquid)	± 0.1 g (scale accurate to 0.025 g)	5.0 g
digestate volume	volumetric glassware precision	volumetric glassware accuracy

PROCEDURE STEPS

"Drying to constant weight" in the following steps means attaining two successive weights whose difference is no more than 0.1% of the lowest calculated net weight of the two.

Hold points in the following steps are documented as released by a logged statement "Step __ released" followed by the signature of the responsible scientist.

Perform the following steps for each sample, recording all data and observations in a controlled laboratory notebook.

1. Examine all samples as-delivered by temporarily loading them one at a time into a radioactive service hood. Record each sample identity, approximate amounts of sludge, and contact dose rates in order to judge the need for hot cell facilities and to size the needed labware.
2. Load the sample into the hood or hot cell, whichever is appropriate, recording the sample identity.
3. Weigh and record the gross weight of the sample bottle.
4. Mark the sample bottle indelibly at the top of the liquid level. This is an important measurement that directly affects the ultimate use of the laboratory data. The bottle must be level and the mark must be made planar with bottom of meniscus. Note that the configuration of the bottle for this measurement must be as close as possible to that used in step 18.
5. Allow the sample bottle contents to settle in a level spot for a minimum of 24 hours and record the actual settling time.
6. Observe the sample bottle contents to verify the existence of a discernable boundary between the water and sludge.

NOTE: Step 7 is a HOLD POINT to await the determination of a water/sludge boundary.

7. Mark the sample bottle indelibly at the top of the sludge.
8. Vacuum suction off the excess water above the sludge in the sample bottle to a separate container without removing any sludge.
9. If specifically requested on the COC form, record the total weight of the excess water and create, weigh, and number a sample of the excess water. Perform a total alpha analysis on this sample. Retain the remaining excess water. Do not retain the excess water unless sampling was requested on the COC form.
10. Weigh and record the weight of the sample bottle without the excess water.
11. Weigh and record the tare weight of a suitably sized polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) drying/digesting vessel.
12. Quantitatively transfer the sample bottle's contents to the drying vessel, using a stirrer and rinsing with laboratory deionized water as necessary.
13. Place the drying vessel on a clean hot plate, along with a ventilating cover over the drying vessel to prevent dirt settling into it, and commence drying at a heat setting which produces approx. 120°C temperature at the contents when they are dry for at least 2 hours.
14. While drying the sludge solids, rinse clean and dry the empty sample bottle.

15. Weigh and record the tare weight of the empty sample bottle.
16. Add laboratory deionized water to the sludge height mark made in step 7.
17. Weigh and record the weight of the sample bottle plus laboratory deionized water.
18. Add laboratory deionized water to the initial liquid level mark made in Step 4. Be sure to have bottle level, and bring the bottom of the meniscus planar to the mark.
19. Weigh and record the weight of the sample bottle plus laboratory deionized water.
20. After drying the drying vessel and its contents for a suitable time interval (at least two hours), cool, reweigh and record the weight of the drying vessel and contents.
21. Thoroughly mix the drying vessel contents with the stirrer.
22. Repeat Steps 20. and 21. at least once, and as many times as necessary to come to a constant weight.
23. Obtain a suitable, labeled storage jar.

NOTE: Step 24 is a HOLD POINT to determine if there are sufficient dried solids present to divide into two portions.

24. Weigh out of the dryer/digester the excess dry solids over approximately 10 grams, if any, putting the excess into the storage jar, and leaving up to approximately 10 grams in the dryer/digester to be digested.
25. Record the new gross weight of the solids to be digested in the dryer/digester.
26. Obtain a suitable number of centrifuge cones with caps for use in clarifying digestates.

NOTE: Approximately 10M aqueous HCl may be conveniently prepared by carefully mixing concentrated hydrochloric acid reagent and laboratory deionized water at the rate of 1 mL acid per 0.245 mL water.

NOTE: Approximately 10M aqueous HNO₃ may be conveniently prepared by carefully mixing concentrated nitric acid reagent with laboratory deionized water at the rate of 1 mL acid per 0.6 mL water.

27. Prepare a digesting reagent consisting of (by volume) 1 part approximately 10M aqueous HCl and 1 part approximately 10M aqueous HNO₃.
28. Select a volumetric flask large enough to accommodate all clear digestate and subsequent rinsings of Steps 29. through 40., but no larger than 2 Liter capacity.
29. Add digesting reagent to the digesting vessel plus dry solids at the minimum rate of 2 volumes reagent per volume dry solids, but no more

than the digesting vessel can comfortably handle, and can be quantitatively transferred later.

30. Add concentrated HF to the digesting vessel at the rate of 1-2 drops concentrated HF per 100 mL of digesting reagent added.
31. Digest the solids by heating to near-boiling on the hot plate for four hours with a ventilating cover over the digester, adding digesting reagent as necessary to maintain volume.
32. Allow the digestate to cool and settle.
33. Decant the digester liquid, as much as will drain from the residues, into the cones.
34. Rinse the residues three times with acid, decanting the rinses into the cones each time.
35. Centrifuge the digestate/rinsates for 15 minutes.
36. Decant the clarified digestate into the volumetric flask, being careful to retain any residues in the cones.
37. Perform the following lettered sequence three times to rinse the cones:
 - a. Rinse down the cones with acid.
 - b. Centrifuge 15 minutes.
 - c. Decant into the volumetric flask.
38. Mix the volumetric flask contents.
39. Rinse the residue from the cones back into the digester, using fresh digestion reagent as necessary, and Repeat Steps 29. through 38. to effect a second digest.

CAUTION: Addition of water to the digestate will generate large amounts of heat, enough to cause bumping in the volumetric flask if care is not taken. Add water slowly.

40. Slowly add laboratory deionized water to the volumetric flask while mixing by swirling, dilute to volume, thoroughly mix, and record the volume.
41. Rinse the residue from the cones back into the digester using laboratory deionized water as necessary.
42. Heat the digester with a ventilating lid on the hot plate to drive off the liquid and dry the residue at least two hours thereafter, using a setting which will produce approximately 120°C temperature in the dry residue.
43. Cool the digester.

44. Weigh and record the gross weight of the dry digester and residue.
45. Repeat Steps 42. through 44. at least once, and as many times as are required to come to a constant gross weight.
46. Observe and record the appearance of the dried residue. Dispose of the dried residue.
47. Withdraw a labeled, recorded aliquot of the volumetrically diluted digestate and submit to 222-S Analytical Operations for analyses.
48. Label and record the remainder of the volumetrically diluted digestate. This sample will be retained until released by K-Basin Operations.
49. Store all labeled portions of dry solids, digestate and dry residue.
50. Clean the work space, prepare reagents and equipment for the next sample.

REFERENCES

- R. A. Harris, "Surveillance and Prediction Methods for the Plutonium Limit in the K East Fuel Storage Basin Sandfilter Backwash Pit," WHC-SD-SNF-TA-007, Revision 0, dated 1995.
- G. S. Hunacek, Jr., "105 KE Fuel Storage Basin, Sampling and Analysis Plan," WHC-SD-NR-PLN-014, Revision 0, dated 1994.
- R. D. Warner, "Safety Evaluation of the Plutonium and Uranium Content of the K East Basin Sandfilter Backwash Pit," WHC-SD-WM-TA-152, Revision 0, dated 1994.
- D. B. Bechtold, "Laboratory Test Plan for Analysis of KE Basin Backwash Pit Samples," WHC-SD-NR-TP-023, Revision 1, dated 1993.
- D. B. Bechtold, "Laboratory Test Plan for Analysis of KE Basin Backwash Pit Samples," WHC-SD-NR-TP-023, Revision 1, dated November 18, 1993.

DISTRIBUTION SHEET

To Distribution	From Production Planning and Control	Page 1 of 1
		Date: 12/20/95
Project Title/Work Order WHC-SD-SNF-DP-005, Rev. 0, "105-K East Sandfilter Backwash Line Sample Analysis Report - Second Campaign"		EDT NO.: 614764
		ECN NO.: N/A

Name	MSIN	Text With all Attach	EDT/ECN ONLY	
<u>Westinghouse Hanford Company</u>				

R. B. Baker	L5-01	X	
C. L. Bennett	X3-79		X
S. T. Burke	X3-74	X	
C. Defigh-Price	X3-79	X	
J. L. Deichman	T6-03	X	
M. A. Green	X3-67		X
R. A. Harris	L5-01	X	
M. A. Jensen	X3-79	X	
C. D. Lucas	X3-67	X	
G. L. Miller	T6-06	X	
Central Files	A3-88	2	
EDMC	H6-08	X	
LTIC	T6-03		X
TCRC	R2-12	X	
<i>A Traeger</i>	<i>X3-85</i>	<i>X</i>	