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Amorphization and a dual implant technique have been used to manipulate residual defects that persist following implantation and post-implant thermal treatments. Residual defects can often be attributed to ion-induced defect excesses. A defect is considered to be excess when it occurs in a localized region at a concentration greater than its complement. Sources of excess defects include spatially separated Frenkel pairs, excess interstitials resulting from the implanted atoms, and sputtering. Pre-amorphizing prior to dopant implantation has been proposed to eliminate dopant broadening due to ion channeling as well as dopant diffusion during subsequent annealing. However, transient-enhanced diffusion (TED) of implanted boron has been observed in pre-amorphized Si. The defects driving this enhanced boron diffusion are thought to be the extended interstitial-type defects that form below the amorphous-crystalline interface during implantation. A dual implantation process was applied in an attempt to reduce or eliminate this interfacial defect band. High-energy, ion implantation is known to inject a vacancy excess in this region. Vacancies were implanted at a concentration coincident with the excess interstitials below the a-c interface to promote recombination between the two defect species. Preliminary results indicate that a critical fluence, i.e., a sufficient vacancy concentration, will eliminate the interstitial defects. The effect of the reduction or elimination of these interfacial defects upon TED of boron will be discussed. Rutherford backscattering/channeling and cross section transmission electron microscopy analyses were used to characterize the defect structure within the implanted layer. Secondary ion mass spectrometry was used to profile the dopant distributions.

INTRODUCTION

Amorphizing the lattice prior to dopant implantation has been suggested as a way to inhibit transient-enhanced diffusion (TED) of B arising from ion-induced defects. It was thought that subsequent solid-phase-epitaxial growth (SPEG) of the amorphous layer would restore the lattice to a single-crystal and remove all ion-induced defects, which might drive the transiency. However, even when the B distribution was completely contained within an amorphous layer, enhanced diffusion still occurred, regardless of the amorphizing ion. This enhancement in the diffusivity of B has been attributed to two possible sources of residual defects. The first is the interstitial defects left below the amorphous-crystalline (a-c) interface following SPEG. In fact, the dissociation of these defects during annealing has been directly correlated with the enhancement in the diffusivity of B. A second mechanism suggested that lattice strain from the implant damage and, in some cases, trapped defects remained following SPEG of the amorphous layer and were responsible for enhancing dopant diffusion in pre-amorphized layers. However, a recent experiment involving B implanted within a buried amorphous layer in silicon-on-insulator material confirmed that the interstitial defects below the a-c interface were responsible for TED of B implanted within amorphous Si, not an intrinsic property of the regrown layer. In this work, an implantation method is discussed for manipulating such defects as the end-of-range (EOR) damage. The method involves synergistic effects arising from co-implantation of two different ion beams which, if applied judiciously, can be used to tailor the distribution of implant damage.

Understanding defect interactions is vital in developing novel approaches to manipulate ion-induced defects. This engineering of the defects to alter their final distribution and morphology is becoming more effective in successfully reducing or removing defect excesses. As a
result, the formation of extended defects that are stable up to high temperatures can be prevented, thus reducing the total thermal budget needed to eliminate residual implant damage. Several studies have reported on defect interactions that occur during ion irradiation, especially under unique conditions such as high-energy ion implantation.\textsuperscript{11-15} Many of these studies involved implants performed at ambient and liquid nitrogen temperatures. Consequently, much is yet to be learned from implants performed at elevated temperatures.\textsuperscript{16} Actually, synergistic effects involving co-implantation of two different energetic ion beams have been demonstrated\textsuperscript{16} but need to be better understood before this ion technology can be fully utilized. The synergism between dual implants of high- and medium-energy ions is explored; namely the interplay of complementary "excess" defect regimes (discussed below) that follow the implant process for the efficient removal of unwanted defect morphologies.

Following implantation, there are regions within the lattice where a preponderance of one point defect (i.e., vacancy or interstitial) is found over its complement. There are three sources of such defect excesses: spatial separation of Frenkel pairs (FP), the interstitials introduced into the lattice by the added implanted atoms (the plus-one, + 1, state\textsuperscript{17}), and sputtering (SP) of the surface. Spatial separation of FP arises due to the momentum transfer from the incident ion to the recoil atom, depositing the knockons deeper into the lattice than their vacancy counterparts.\textsuperscript{18} The distribution of vacancies is shallower than the interstitial population and extends to the surface. The inability of the lattice to incorporate implanted ions directly onto lattice sites without defect formation yields the + 1 state, a distribution of excess interstitials that mimics the implant profile in both concentration and distribution. Finally, SP of the surface during irradiation enriches the top few monolayers with vacancies. High-energy ion implantation is useful for defect studies because the excess defect populations described above have sufficient spatial separation to survive recombination. This is illustrated in the TRIM (Transport of Ions in Matter) simulation, in Figure 1, which illustrates the excess defect distributions for 1.25 MeV, 5 × 10\textsuperscript{16} /cm\textsuperscript{2} Si\textsuperscript{+}-ions implanted into Si.\textsuperscript{19} This simulation shows the distribution of ion-induced displacements but with the correlated Frenkel pairs removed so that only the excess defect populations remain. This is done by simply subtracting the vacancy distribution from the interstitials and plotting the difference. Physically this is thought to occur dynamically by recombination either during implantation or post-implant annealing. This yields a near-surface concentration of vacancies and an interstitial excess near the ion range, \( R_p \). The + 1 represents the distribution of implanted ions. A full understanding of the formation and survival of excess defect populations enhances the ability to manipulate these defects in such a way as to increase the effectiveness in gettering applications\textsuperscript{20-21}, reducing secondary defect formation\textsuperscript{22-25}.

or eliminating deleterious effects such as diffusion enhancement.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Below Amorphization Threshold**

Dual implant processes were analyzed to study synergistic effects and to identify possible mechanisms for the manipulation of the excess defect profiles. Czochralski-Si (100) material (p-type, resistivity: 1-10 Ω\text{cm}) was implanted with 5 × 10\textsuperscript{16} /cm\textsuperscript{2}, 1.25 MeV Si\textsuperscript{+}-ions at 250°C. Following MeV implantation, 200 keV Si\textsuperscript{+}-ions were implanted with fluences ranging from 10\textsuperscript{15} to 10\textsuperscript{16} /cm\textsuperscript{2}. The implant temperature of both implants was elevated to 250°C to promote local recombination during implantation and prevent amorphization of the lattice. The MeV implants were performed on a 1.7 MV General Ionex tandem accelerator. The use of a tandem accelerator to implant 28 amu Si\textsuperscript{+}-ions ensures the beam will be free of contaminants with the same mass-to-charge ratio that are potentially present when using a single-ended machine. In addition, implants were done with a raster-scanned beam to inscribe uniform implanted areas. Medium-energy implants were performed on a single-ended, mass-analyzed implanter. For these medium-energy implants both above and below the amorphization threshold, the use of a 30 amu Si\textsuperscript{+}-ion beam minimized the probability of beam contamination, especially from N\textsuperscript{2+} or CO\textsuperscript{+}. Si was chosen for these studies of implant damage so the interaction of defects would not be convoluted with chemical effects. Rutherford backscattering (RBS)/channeling analysis with 2.3 MeV He\textsuperscript{+}-ions was the primary tool used to investigate resulting implant damage. A standard surface barrier detector collected backscattered ions at 160°.

![FIGURE 1. TRIM simulation of 1.25 MeV, 5 × 10\textsuperscript{16} /cm\textsuperscript{2} Si\textsuperscript{+}-ions implanted into Si. The resulting excess defect populations from the MeV implant are labeled accordingly.](image-url)
Above Amorphization Threshold

Utilizing a similar dual implant process, amorphization was used concomitantly with MeV implantation to remove the defects responsible for B diffusion in pre-amorphized layers. Czochralski-Si (100) samples were pre-damaged with 5 and \(6 \times 10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\), 1.25 MeV Si\(^{+}\)-ions at 100°C and subsequently amorphized with \(10^{15}/\text{cm}^2\) Si\(^{+}\)-ions implanted at both 70 and 140 keV at room temperature (RT). Previous studies showed that when B was implanted into an amorphous layer created with the same amorphizing conditions, significant enhanced diffusion resulted.\(^3\) This TED resulted following the release of the excess interstitials on the crystalline side of the a-c interface. In this experiment, vacancy-type defects were injected below the a-c interface using high-energy ions to enhance recombination with the interstitial source responsible for TED of B within an amorphous Si layer. Similarly, 40 keV, \(10^{14}/\text{cm}^2\) B\(^{+}\)-ions were implanted at RT within the amorphous layer of the dual implanted material as a probe to determine the effectiveness of the dual implant process on eliminating the interstitial defect band below the a-c interface. Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) profiled the dopant distributions within the damaged layers, following anneals performed in a conventional quartz-tube furnace under a flowing Ar-H (96-4%) ambient.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synergism of Damage from High- and Medium-Energy Si\(^{+}\)-Ion Implantation

Damage resulting from co-implantation of high- and medium-energetic Si\(^{+}\)-ions was studied to understand the synergistic effects that arise during high-temperature irradiation and their dependence on the order of the implantation. These effects will illuminate interesting aspects of the defect interactions, which underlie this method of defect engineering. That is, these results will clarify the role of the excess defect regions left by an initial high-energy implant, namely the excess vacancy region, in eliminating ion-induced interstitials from implants of lower energy Si\(^{+}\)-ions. The parameters of the self-ion MeV implant were fixed (1.25 MeV, \(5 \times 10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\) Si\(^{+}\), 250°C). The medium-energy (200 keV) Si\(^{+}\)-ions were implanted to varying fluences in the range of \(10^{15}\) to \(10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\). The order of these implants was altered as well so the reversibility of the defect interactions could be studied. The implant histories are summarized in Table 1 and will be referred to as implants of Order 1 or Order 2 depending on the order of the high- and medium-energy implants.

The total damage resulting from the implants was extracted from RBS/channeling spectra utilizing a routine that extracts ion-implanted damage by subtracting a calculated, dechanneled fraction from the original RBS profile.\(^4\) The dechanneled profile is shown in Figure 2a as the background trace (-), along with the RBS profile of the implanted Si (-). The resulting damage profile (hatched area in Fig. 2a), plotted in Figure 2b for damage concentration versus depth, was integrated yielding an areal density of damage. This implant damage for each fluence of 200 keV Si\(^{+}\)-ions ranging from \(10^{15}\) to \(10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\) implanted either prior or subsequent to 1.25 MeV, \(5 \times 10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\) Si\(^{+}\)-ions is summarized in Figure 3. The third curve (\(^3\)) in Figure 3 is the control. This curve gives the sum of the damage for 200 keV Si\(^{+}\)-ions and 1.25 MeV Si\(^{+}\)-ions when the implants are done separately. Therefore, the control curve reflects the total implanted damage from the respective implants without any synergistic effects. The damage obtained for the dual implants of Order 1 and 2 is compared to the control.

The damage from implants of Order 1 at different fluences of 200 keV Si\(^{+}\)-ions increases for increasing fluence as seen previously.\(^14\) In the control, the damage is higher than that seen in the curve of Order 1 implants over the entire range of implanted fluence of 200 keV Si\(^{+}\)-ions. In fact, the predominant difference is that the damage in the control
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FIGURE 2. (a) RBS, channeling profile of damage from implantation of 200 keV, \(10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\) Si\(^{+}\)-ions into Cz-Si(100) at 250°C (-). The background illustrating the calculated, dechanneled fraction (\(^3\)) and the original linear background trace (-) are added for reference. (b) The damage profile extracted from the RBS/channeled spectrum in a (hatched area).
Synergistic Effects of 1.25 MeV and 200 keV Si⁺-ion Implantation

![Graph showing synergistic effects]

**FIGURE 3.** Damage extracted from RBS spectra plotted versus implant fluence of the 200 keV Si⁺-ions. Order 1 reflects damage following implantation of 1.25 MeV + 200 keV Si⁺-ions, and Order 2 shows damage implants are reversed (200 keV + 1.25 MeV Si⁺-ions). The control contains the sum of the damage from individual implants of 200 keV and 1.25 MeV Si⁺-ions, i.e., no synergism.

Vacancies from the high-energy implant persisted, ion-induced interstitials from the keV implant of implantation more effectively for implants of order 2 or Si⁺-ions. Consequently, at the lower fluences when the order of implantation was reversed (200 keV Si⁺-ions), the damage from implants of Order 2 rose abruptly. In fact, the damage from Order 2 type implants was greater than that seen in the control following a critical implant fluence of $4 \times 10^{15}$ /cm², 200 keV Si⁺-ions. Clearly, the nature of the defect interactions changed from that seen in the implants of Order 1. It appears that the interstitials from the keV implants are no longer inclined to recombine with the vacancies from the subsequent MeV implants. Instead, the self-clustering defects appear to dominate possibly beginning at nucleation sites formed following the first implant of 200 keV Si⁺-ions. Clearly, the defect interactions responsible for these results are not reversible and are very order dependent. It is clear that for the injected vacancy concentration used in this study, the recombination reaction always dominated during the Order 1 type implants. However, in Order 2 type implants the situation was more complex. It would appear that for a lower fluence of the medium-energy ions, the excess interstitials remained in small complexes, which could be removed by subsequent injection of interstitials. However, at higher doses, these interstitials form more stable configurations (i.e., dislocation loops) that have a stronger affinity to resist recombination.

Therefore, while these studies show some complicated effects, it is clear that, independent or ordering, MeV ions can be used to inject vacancies over an extended depth to act as recombination sites for interstitials. This is limited in Order 2 type implants to a critical concentration of interstitials. Following the implant of this critical fluence of 200 keV ions, nucleation sites are formed which may be origins for self-clustering to occur, thereby yielding damage growth.

**Removal of Crystalline Defects Below a-c Interface**

The above study reveals a dual implant process that can be used to substantially modify as-implanted profiles. This dual implant process was adapted to a situation where the surface layer of a Si lattice, pre-damaged with 1.25 MeV Si⁺-ions, was amorphized. The synergism of co-implants was exploited in an attempt to remove the interstitial defects found below the a-c interface, known to drive TED of B implanted within an amorphous layer. MeV ions inject a high concentration of vacancies in this region to induce recombination. As such, the MeV ions are used as a tool to artificially promote the recombination of excess defects within the lattice. Reiterating, this is illustrated in the above experiment where damage annealing was observed (Fig. 3, (c) curve) following implantation of 200 keV Si⁺-ions into a lattice initially implanted with 1.25 MeV Si⁺-ions.

Damage in the as-implanted sample and in samples annealed at 800°C for 20 minutes were characterized with
RBS/channeling (Fig. 4). A randomly aligned spectrum of a virgin or unimplanted lattice was added for reference. The initial profile (C) indicates the presence of an amorphous layer that extends from approximately 2500 Å within the lattice continuously to the surface. The amorphous layer is certainly continuous to the surface because the backscattered yield is the same as that from the randomly aligned sample spanning the width of the layer to the surface. Also, a channelled profile of a virgin lattice was utilized as a reference in Figure 4, so that any rise in the backscattered yield above that observed in the virgin spectrum would indicate lattice damage resulting from ion implantation processing. The sample that was amorphized only, i.e., saw no prior implant of MeV ions, was regrown with SPEG at 800°C for 20 minutes and used as a control to determine the effectiveness of MeV implantation in eliminating the interstitial defect band below the a-c interface. In the control spectrum (x), following annealing, the amorphous layer was completely regrown and a defect band was residual below the original a-c interface. The goal of this experiment was to eliminate this EOR defect band via the selective injection of vacancies by high-energy implantation prior to amorphization.

The material was first pre-damaged with $5 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$, 1.25 MeV $\text{Si}^+$-ions at 100°C which corresponds to vacancy concentration of about $1 \times 10^{20} / \text{cm}^3$ (determined from TRIM). The MeV implanted sample was amorphized and annealed at 800°C for 20 minutes. In Figure 4, the profile of the $5 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ implanted sample (8), following annealing, exhibits regrowth of the amorphous layer along with a defect band which remains, although significantly reduced from that seen in the control sample, below the a-c interface. So, an implant of $5 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$, 1.25 MeV $\text{Si}^+$-ions did not produce a vacancy supersaturation sufficient to completely eliminate the excess interstitials below the a-c interface. However, increasing the implant fluence of 1.25 MeV $\text{Si}^+$-ions 20 % to $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ produced a more favorable result. The backscattered yield from the sample implanted with 1.25 MeV, $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions, amorphized, and regrown was consistent with the virgin (or unimplanted) profile (Fig. 4). Thus, with the sensitivity of the RBS/channeling technique, the excess defects residual below the a-c interface were successfully eliminated with the excess vacancies from high-energy implantation of $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions. Pictorial evidence obtained with cross-section transmission electron microscopy (XTEM), published elsewhere, verifies the above RBS/channeling data, such that the initial implant of 1.25 MeV, $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions prior to amorphization completely eliminated the defect band typically found below the a-c interface. So, the synergistic effect between an initial MeV implant and subsequent implantation of lower-energy $\text{Si}^+$-ions of damage annealing was reproduced for a sample implanted with MeV ions and then amorphized. The defect band typically found below the a-c interface for a sample amorphized only (the control, (x)) was eliminated with a MeV implant of $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions prior to amorphization.

A B distribution was intended as a probe to again test the effectiveness of the dual implant process in eliminating the interstitial defects residual below the a-c interface. B was implanted within the amorphous layer of a sample pre-damaged with 1.25 MeV, $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions at 100°C. SIMS profiles of B both before and after annealing are shown in Figure 5. When compared to the initial profile, it is obvious that the B distribution within the amorphous layer of the dual implanted sample (8) underwent little or no movement following the diffusion anneal at 800°C for 20 minutes. This is compared to B implanted into a sample that was amorphized only (x), where significant TED was observed following annealing at 800°C for 15 minutes. This is consistent with the elimination of the interstitial defects below the a-c interface and thus corroborates the physical evidence provided by TEM and RBS.

**FIGURE 4.** Channelled spectra of Cz-Si(100) implanted with 5 and $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$, 1.25 MeV $\text{Si}^+$-ions at 250°C, amorphized with 70 and 140 keV, $10^{15} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions at RT, and regrown at 800°C for 20 minutes. The dual implants were compared to a control that was amorphized only and annealed under the same conditions. The initial, randomly oriented, and virgin profiles were added for reference.

**FIGURE 5.** SIMS profiles of 40 keV, $10^{15}$/cm2 B+ implanted into amorphous Si at RT, annealed at 800°C for 15 minutes, and amorphous Si pre-damaged with 1.25 MeV, $6 \times 10^{16} / \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Si}^+$-ions at 100°C, annealed at 800°C for 20 minutes. The initial profile is added for reference.
CONCLUSION

The characterization of damage resulting from dual implants of Si⁺-ions at different energies revealed a synergy between the two energetic implants dependent upon the order of implantation. Following implantation of high-energy Si⁺-ions with an implant of medium-energy (200 keV) ions results in damage annealing, i.e., the ‘holes’ of the vacancy supersaturated region left by the high-energy implant were filled with the excess interstitials (+1) from a subsequent keV implant. Once the holes were filled, damage from the implant of 200 keV Si⁺-ions began to grow, but, ultimately, it remained less than the damage residual in a sample implanted with 200 keV Si⁺-ions only. However, the synergism is different for implants of reversed order, keV ions implanted prior to MeV ions, once a critical concentration of interstitials is surpassed. The lower fluences of 200 keV ions, 1 and 2 x 10¹⁵ /cm², revealed annealing characteristics similar to that found for dual implants of MeV + keV Si⁺-ions (Order 1). However, as the implant fluence of the 200 keV implant was increased, an abrupt rise in the damage occurred beginning at an implant fluence of 5 x 10¹⁵ /cm², 200 keV Si⁺-ions. Obviously, the defects formed more stable configurations that resist recombination. Possible origins of these self-clustering are the nucleation sites resulting from the initial keV implant. Further study of these defect interactions will illuminate the full effect, damage growth or annealing, of subsequent implantation on existing defect structures within the implanted volume.

Applying this synergism between initial high-energy implants and subsequent medium-energy implants, a novel implant process was developed that eliminated the defect band typically found following regrowth of an amorphous layer continuous to the surface. Vacancies injected into the near-surface layer by MeV implantation were used to artificially promote the recombination with interstitial defects residual below the original a-c interface following SPEG. From RBS/channeling, it was evident that implanting a sufficient fluence of 1.25 MeV, 6 x 10¹⁵ /cm² Si⁺-ions at 100°C, i.e., injecting a sufficient vacancy concentration, eliminated the interstitial defect band below the interface of the SPEG layer. The removal of this defect band was confirmed with SIMS analysis of B implanted within the amorphous layer of the dual implanted sample. No movement of the B distribution was observed following a diffusion anneal at 800°C for 20 minutes. This confirmed the absence of any source of interstitial defects left below the a-c interface to drive enhanced diffusion following a thermal process.
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