10-10-96 820

LBNL-39310
UC-410

CONF-9608123-46

ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Modeling of the ALS Linac

C.H. Kim
Accelerator and Fusion
Research Division

August 1996
Presented at the
*XVIII International
Linac Conference*,
Geneva, Switzerland,
August 26-30, 1996,
and to be published in
the Proceedings

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
is an equal opportunity employer.

LBNL-39310
UC-410

Modeling of the ALS Linac

Charles H. Kim

Advanced Light Source
Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

August 1996

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences,
Materials Sciences Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

ng

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

MODELING OF THE ALS LINAC

Charles H. Kim

Advanced Light Source Center, LBNL
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

The ALS injector linac is used for the Beam Test Facility (BTF) and the Damping Experiments when it is available in between the ALS fillings. These experiments usually require higher quality beams and a better characterization than is normally required for ALS operations. This paper focuses on the beam emittance, energy tilt, and especially the longitudinal variation of the beam parameters. For instance, we want to avoid longitudinal variations at the low beta section of the BTF. On the other hand, a large energy tilt is required for post-acceleration compression of the bunch using an alpha magnet. The PARMELA code was modified to calculate and display longitudinal variations of the emittance ellipse. Using the Microsoft Development Studio under Windows NT environment the code can handle a much larger number of particles than was previously possible.

Introduction

The ALS linac was designed in 1986 to serve as a preinjector for the ALS. High charge per bunch was required for fast filling of the storage ring in the few bunch mode. Subharmonic bunchers and an S-band buncher were deployed to achieve this goal. [1] Recently many interesting experiments were proposed in the Beam Test Facility [2] which uses the ALS Linac when it is not used for ALS injection. These experiments may have special requirements for beam parameters and/or require a better characterization of the beam. Good simulation and modeling will help meet these requirements.

A version of the well-known linac code PARMELA adopted for the Windows NT environment was used to model the linac. With the recent hardware and software advances, personal computers now have the attractive speed and memory capacity for doing interesting particle simulations.

The code calculates the motions of macro particles (test particles which are also used for estimating the space charge forces) in 3D under the influence of rf fields and space charge forces. The space charge forces are assumed to be axially symmetric. An older PC version was used by R. Miller, C. Kim, and F. Selph[1] for designing the bunching system for the ALS linac.

The present version is written in FORTRAN 90 and compiled with a 32 bit compiler, Microsoft® Fortran Power Station. The compiler comes with an excellent debugger. It takes a PC, with a Pentium 133 MHz processor

running in double precision, about 1 hour to finish a complete run for modeling the ALS linac with 1,000 test particles, and 10 hours with 10,000 test particles. Test runs with less than 100 particles are useful for adjusting the phases and amplitudes of the various rf systems. These runs take less than ten minutes.

The code runs with a double precision and has the provision of restarting from the particle locations saved from a previous run.

The code saves particle coordinates as they pass through element boundaries and writes to a binary file which can be analyzed with a post-processor PARGRAPH. PARGRAPH calculates emittances and other ellipse parameters and plots some selected two dimensional projections of the electron distribution at a given location.

Run-time graphics similar to the post-processor have been implemented in PARMELA to plot some selected two dimensional projections of the electron distribution at every time step or at a user specified time interval.

Run time graphics are like windows to the code through which one might peek and see what is going on. It is useful for debugging the code, and for optimization of designs and operations.

Figure 1. An example of the $\beta\gamma$ -z phase space distribution plotted by the run-time graphics. This particular distribution was captured at a time when a low current bunch had traveled through the first third of linac section 1. Typically, six such projections out of 15 possible projections can be displayed in a video screen with a resolution of 1024x780. The full scale corresponds to ± 5 rms deviations.

The Linac

Two subharmonic bunchers, operating at frequencies of 125 MHz and 500 MHz, are used to compress the electron bunch from the gun to the entrance of the S-band buncher.

Both the 125 MHz buncher and the 500 MHz buncher consist of re-entrant cavities, and can generate maximum of 45 kV peak including the transit time factor. The S-band buncher is a 10- cm-long 4-cavity traveling-wave waveguide with $\beta=0.75$ in $2\pi/3$ mode. The S-band buncher can produce up to 3.5 MV/m accelerating fields.

The Linac has two sections of 2-m traveling-wave structures with $\beta=1$ in $2\pi/3$ mode and can produce a maximum accelerating gradient of about 13 MV/m. Frequency of the linac is 2.997924 GHz.

For the nominal operation the 120 keV electron gun produces about 1 Ampere with a duration of about 2 nsec, and 1 to 4 bunches with a bunch to bunch separation of 8 nsec.

The Simulations

During the initial stages of simulation, optimum parameters for focusing, and rf parameters are searched for which give the maximum beam transfer and the minimum emittance growth. This process is the most time-consuming and labor-intensive part of the simulation and requires insights and persistence. Codes are frequently modified and debugged during this period. Modularizing the code was beneficial during this process.

Low energy electrons (<25 MeV) are focused by solenoids to the end of the Linac Section I. The solenoidal fields are calculated individually for each coil using the POISSON code and linearly superimposed for the 8 solenoids as shown in figure 2. Focusing field is increased when the bunch length becomes short and decreased when the beam energy increases.

Figure 2. Solenoidal focusing fields are constructed by linear superposition of individual solenoid fields.

Three simulation results are summarized in table 1 and in Figure 3. The gun current is varied for the configurations which is otherwise the same except the linac phase was slightly changed to compensate for the different time-of-flight. Thus, the magnitude of the space charge effects can

be estimated by comparing these runs. The most significant difference between the three runs one can see from table 1 is the beam loss that is occurring in the S-band buncher. One can clearly see the beam blowup by the space charge forces in the run-time- and in the post-processor- graphics. The easiest remedy to improve beam transfer in this region is to shorten the drift distance from the 500 MHz buncher to the beginning of the S-band buncher. A simulation with one half the drift distance showed an excellent result.

Results for the 1 Ampere simulation are consistent with our nominal operation in which we start with 2 nC and accelerate 1 nC of them to 50 MeV.

Table 1. Summary of three simulations. Electron bunch length (pico seconds rms) and the fraction of the remaining electrons at the beginning of each element.

Element	location (m)	Bunch length (psec) / fraction of remaining electrons		
		0.0 Amp	0.5 Amp	1.0 Amp
Electron Gun	0	498 / 1.0	498 / 1.0	498 / 1.0
125 MHz Buncher	100	499 / 1.0	505 / 1.0	511 / 1.0
500 MHz Buncher	246	82 / 1.0	124 / 1.0	157 / 1.0
S Band Buncher	278	50 / 1.0	51 / 1.0	58 / 1.0
Linac Section 1	290	24 / 1.0	25 / .84	30 / .57
End of Section 1	490	8 / 1.0	9 / .84	11 / .57
Quad Triplets	533- 578	8 / 1.0	10 / .84	12 / .57
End of Section 2	805	8 / 1.0	10 / .83	12 / .56

Emittance Growth

Most of the emittance growth occurred in the bunching region ($z = 100 - 288$ cm) as shown in figure 3. Large chromatic effects caused longitudinal variation of emittances and eventual emittance growth in this region.

Figure 3. Growth of normalized emittance in the ALS Linac for three currents.

Space charge effects caused a rapid emittance growth near the S-band buncher ($z = 278 - 288$ cm), but the resulting beam loss caused the emittances to decrease because outlying electrons are preferentially lost. A simulation showed that this type of emittance growth and particle loss can be reduced significantly by decreasing the drift distance from the 500 MHz to the beginning of the S-band buncher by a factor of 2.

Figure 4 shows the phase space distribution of electrons at the final energy of 50 MeV. Out of the initial 2.0 nC, 1.1 nC are accelerated to this energy. The electron distributions in the $X - X'$ and $E - \phi$ phase space are shown in figure 4. The sine-wave foot-print in the longitudinal distribution is obviously made by the accelerating rf field. The linac parameters corresponding to this run have not been optimized but just represent a set of self consistent beam parameters which we are going to use for further analysis. For instance the rf phase of the linac section 2 was lagging by about 10 degrees behind which produced an energy tilt as shown in figure 4.

Figure 4. Phase space distribution of electrons at 50 MeV point. One degree of RF is 0.927 pico seconds

Average beam parameters at the final energy are summarized in table 2. These parameters were calculated by the post processor.

Table 2. Beam parameters at the final energy

Charge per Bunch	1.1	nC
Beam Energy	51	MeV
emitt x rms unnorm	0.95	mm mrad
emitt y rms unnorm	1.0	mm mrad
emitt z rms unnorm	24	MeV deg
Xrms	3.99	mm
Yrms	3.90	mm
X'rms, Y'rms	0.45	mRad
pulse length	11.5	deg
alpha x	-1.6	
alpha y	-1.4	
beta x	16.8	m
beta y	15.2	m

Longitudinal Variations

The post processor was modified to calculate the longitudinal variation of the beam parameters. The beam is cut into 10 longitudinal sections where 1 section is 1 sigma long. Number of electrons in each section is counted and the same set of beam parameters as shown in table 2 are

calculated for each section. Some of these parameters are plotted in figure 5.

The longitudinal variation of the ellipse parameters are quite flat over the central 4 sigma region and generally weaker than that of the bunching region (not shown in this paper).

Figure 5. Variation of longitudinal beam parameters as functions of the distance along the bunch

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank Mr. Alan Jackson for reading the manuscript carefully and making valuable suggestions. This work supported by the director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Science, Materials, Science Division of the U.S. Department of Energy, Under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF000098.

References

- [1] R. Miller, C. Kim, F. Selph, Proc. of the European Particle Accelerator Conference, Rome, 1988, page 863
- [2] W. Leemans, G. Behrsing, K.-J. Kim, J. Krupnick, C. Matuk, F. Selph, and C. Chattopadhyay, Proc. of the Particle Accelerator Conference, Washington, D. C., 1993, Page 83