INEL-94/0177

December, 1994

RECEIVED

DEC 19 1996

OSTI

SIMS Analysis: Development and Evaluation 1994 Summary Report

G. S. Groenewold
A. D. Appelhans
J. C. Ingram
J. E. Delmore
D. A. Dahl

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

LOCKHEED MARTIN

hm

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

SIMS Analysis: Development and Evaluation 1994 Summary Report

G. S. Groenewold
A. D. Appelhans
J. C. Ingram
J. E. Delmore
D. A. Dahl

Published December, 1994

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
Lockheed Idaho Technologies Corporation
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83415

Prepared for the
U. S. Department of Energy
DOE Office of Technology Development
Under DOE Idaho Operations Office
Contract DE-AC07-94ID13223

SIMS Analysis: Development and Evaluation 1994 Summary Report

Gary S. Groenewold
Analytical Chemistry, Lockheed Idaho

10/25/96

Date

A. D. Appelhans
Analytical Chemistry, Lockheed Idaho

10/25/96

Date

ABSTRACT

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) was evaluated for applicability to the characterization of "salt cake" and environmental samples. Salt cake is representative of waste found in radioactive waste storage tanks located at Hanford and at other DOE sites; it consists of nitrate, nitrite, hydroxide, and ferrocyanide salts, and the samples from the tanks are extremely radioactive. SIMS is an attractive technology for characterizing these samples because it has the capability for producing speciation information with little or no sample preparation, and it generates no additional waste. Experiments demonstrated that substantial speciation information could be readily generated using SIMS: metal clusters which include nitrate, nitrite, hydroxide, carbonate, cyanide, ferrocyanide and ferricyanide were observed. In addition, the mechanism of SIMS desorption of tributyl phosphate (TBP) was clearly identified, and minimum detection limit studies involving TBP were performed. Procurements leading to the construction of an ion trap SIMS instrument were initiated. Technology transfer of SIMS components to three instrument vendors was initiated. For FY-95, the SIMS evaluation program has been redirected toward identification of metal species on environmental samples.

SUMMARY

New secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) technology is being evaluated for the identification and speciation of metals and low-volatile organic contaminants which are present in waste and environmental samples found at DOE sites. The new SIMS technology was developed at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), and has the advantages of being rapid (10 minutes or less), requiring no sample preparation, generating no laboratory waste, capable of analyzing low- or non-volatile organic contaminants on surfaces, and potentially transportable. In addition, SIMS has the capability for analyzing a variety of sample surfaces, including salts, rocks, soil and waste samples encountered at the INEL, Hanford, and other sites. If SIMS can be applied to DOE waste and environmental characterization and analyses activities, then the attributes of SIMS could result in a substantial savings of time and money. SIMS application requires demonstration of the technology for salient chemical characterizations, construction of prototype instrumentation leading to fieldable systems, and technology transfer of SIMS components to prospective manufacturers. These requirements comprise the objectives of the work described in this report.

The applications studies conducted in FY-94 have emphasized two broad areas. The first is the utilization of SIMS for the characterization of "salt cake" samples, which are similar to samples anticipated from radioactive waste storage tanks located at the Hanford reservation. The salt samples were generated from a variety of processes, including ferrocyanide precipitation, which removed cesium (Cs) and other radionuclides from nitric acid solutions. The resulting waste form is extremely radioactive, and contains many chemical species. The overall objective of the research is to come up with analytical methods which minimize the sample handling of the waste, provide good chemical speciation information, and generate little or no waste of their own. It should be emphasized that there are no rapid, inexpensive methods for the rapid characterization of highly radioactive salt cake samples: current procedure is to extrude a core sample, and then perform standard metals and ion chromatographic analyses. This approach provides highly accurate information, but it requires six to twelve months, generates additional mixed waste, and has an average cost of \$700 K per core sample. Consequently, there is substantial interest in developing alternative characterization technologies.

The evaluation of SIMS for salt cake characterization involved the analysis of two different types of samples (nitrate/nitrite and ferrocyanide) which were synthetically generated at Pacific Northwest Laboratory. Significantly, nitrate, nitrite, hydroxide, and Group I metal complexes were readily observed in the analysis of the nitrate/nitrite samples. Ferrocyanide and nickel cyanide complexes were observed in the ferrocyanide samples. Initial evaluation of the ion abundances indicated that the technique could be refined to the point where quantitative information could be generated. We emphasize that no sample preparation was required for these analyses, and that no waste was generated.

As part of the issue of evaluating SIMS for waste speciation, the mechanism of tributyl phosphate (TBP) desorption on environmental mineral surfaces was investigated: this is the second broad area of chemical applications investigation conducted during FY-94. There is interest in the detection of TBP, because the compound was widely used as an extractant for uranium (U) and plutonium (Pu) in fuel reprocessing operations at DOE sites, and in many cases, TBP was disposed of with uranium and plutonium. In FY-93, it was established that SIMS was very sensitive for the detection of TBP on environmental mineral surfaces, and further that the SIM spectrum was variable depending on the chemical nature of the sample that it was adsorbed to. Hence the SIM spectrum represents a tool that can be used to elucidate information on the speciation of environmental and waste surfaces. A series of controlled experiments were conducted which clearly demonstrated the mechanism of TBP desorption, and showed that the TBP spectrum revealed the oxidation state of iron (Fe) existing on mineral surfaces. Because sensitivity is an important issue for any potential analytical screening technique, a series of experiments were conducted to assess the minimum detectable quantity of TBP on mineral surfaces.

Experiments to determine the minimum detection limit of TBP on soil samples were also conducted. The detection limit values were dependent on the soil studied, and ranged from 0.07 to 0.2 monolayers; this corresponds to 34 - 100 pg/mm².

The SIMS Evaluation Program was designed to have instrumentation development proceeding parallel to the chemical analyses investigations. In FY-93, it was determined that the best way to meet chemical characterization goals (for both waste and environmental characterization applications) was to implement SIMS technology on an ion trap mass spectrometer. The ion trap was selected because it is smaller, more rugged, has reduced pumping requirements, and most importantly, is capable of greatly enhanced analytical selectivity because it is capable of operating in the mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry and high mass resolution modes. The ion trap also has the potential for improved sensitivity as a result of selected ion storage capability. Procurement of a commercial ion trap was initiated in FY-94, with the intention of modifying the instrument for SIMS evaluation. Delivery of the instrument is expected in the first quarter of FY-95.

In order for SIMS to effectively impact chemical characterization, commercial vendors will be required to supply and service SIMS technology. For this reason, transfer of SIMS technology has been an important aspect of the SIMS Evaluation Program. Technology transfer of SIMS components has been initiated with three instrument manufacturers. The manufacturers are interested in transfer of components, to be implemented as SIMS accessories to existing instrument designs. In this manner, the manufacturers are not required to develop new instruments from the ground up, which requires a lot of capital

investment and time. Non-disclosure agreements have been signed with Teledyne, Charles Evans & Associates, Inc., and Extrel. These firms are intested in ion source/ion gun technology, ion detection technology, and instrument control/data acquisition software.

Three educational collaborations were initiated under the SIMS Evaluation program in FY-94. A collaboration was started with Luke Hanley of the University of Illinois-Chicago, for the purpose of looking at detecting metal-EDTA complexes using laser desorption ion trap mass spectrometry. The INEL SIMS group began training an Idaho State University student, who is currently studying the desorption of tetrahexyl ammonium cations from steel targets. This subject is important to the SIMS development effort because the tetrahexyl ammonium cation is used for instrument calibration and performance assessment. A collaboration was initiated with Andy Cramer of the Utah State Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, for the purpose of detecting pentachlorophenol adsorbed to MnO_2 oxidation catalysts.

The future direction of the SIMS evaluation program involves the construction and testing of the ion trap SIMS instrument, and technology transfer of SIMS components to instrument manufacturers. The direction of the chemical applications research has changed per the direction of DOE OTD in July, 1994. The new focus of the chemical applications studies will be the evaluation of SIMS for determination of speciation of Hg, Pb, Cr and other metals on environmental surfaces. The interest in speciation determination is motivated by the fact that environmental mobility and risk are profoundly affected by risk. For example, Hg as mercuric nitrate has a substantial environmental mobility compared to mercuric oxide. Remediation decisions are effected by the form of Hg in the environment, but at the present time, distinction between the Hg species in the environment is difficult.

Contents

Page	Section
iii	Abstract
iv	Summary
ix	Figures
x	Tables
xii	Acronyms
1	1.0 Introduction
1	1.1. Program Background
2	1.2. Motivation for Selection of Analytes and Sample-Types
3	1.3. References
4	2.0 Analysis of Simulated Salt Cake Samples
4	2.1 Simulated Nitrate/Nitrite Salt Waste
4	2.1.1. Composition of Nitrate/Nitrite Salt Samples 1 and 2.
5	2.1.2. Cation SIMS Spectra, Simulated Salt Waste
9	2.1.3. Anion SIMS Spectra, Simulated Salt Waste
13	2.1.4. References
16	2.2. SIMS Spectra of 'Benchmark' Salts
16	2.2.1. NaOH
17	2.2.2. NaNO ₂
19	2.2.3. NaNO ₃
23	2.2.4. Na ₂ CO ₃
24	2.2.5. NaHCO ₃
25	2.2.6. Na ₂ CrO ₄
26	2.2.7. NaHSO ₄
28	2.2.8. Na ₂ HPO ₄
29	2.2.9. NaHCO ₂
30	2.2.10. Conclusions: Analysis of Nitrate/Nitrite Salt Wastes
30	2.2.11. References
31	2.3 Simulated Ferrocyanide Salt Waste
31	2.3.1. Composition of Ferrocyanide Salt Samples 3 and 4.
31	2.3.2. SIMS Spectra, Simulated Ferrocyanide Salt Waste
37	2.3.3. SIMS Spectra, Benchmark Ferrocyanide and Ferricyanide Salts.
40	2.3.4. Conclusions: Analysis of Ferrocyanide Salt Wastes
41	3.0 Mechanism of TBP Desorption from Surfaces
41	3.1 Introduction
42	3.2 Experimental
42	3.2.1. SIMS Instrumentation
43	3.2.2. Precision of SIMS data
44	3.2.3. CI and EI MS
44	3.2.4. SEM/EDS
44	3.2.5. Sample origin and handling and preparation
45	3.2.6. Chemicals
45	3.3 Results
45	3.3.1 SIMS Analysis of TBP on basalt, quartz, and iron oxides
47	3.3.2. SEM/EDS Analyses of Basalt

Contents, continued

Page	Section
48	3.3.3. SIMS analysis of tributyl phosphite on basalt, quartz, and iron oxides
49	3.3.4. Gas-phase behavior of ionized TBP and tributyl phosphite
61	3.4 Discussion
61	3.4.1. Production of m/z 137 ⁺ and related ions
61	3.4.2. Production of m/z 153 ⁺
62	3.4.3. Production of m/z 99 ⁺ and 155 ⁺
62	3.4.4. Tributyl phosphite model for TBP reduction
63	3.4.5. Structure of m/z 125 ⁺ , 217 ⁺ , 235 ⁺ observed in the SIMS spectra of TBP
68	3.5 Conclusions: TBP Mechanism
68	3.6 References
70	4.0 Minimum Detectable Limit of TBP on Environmental Surfaces
70	4.1. Introduction
70	4.2. Experimental
71	4.3. Results and Discussion
72	4.4. Conclusions: Minimum Detectable Limit
73	5.0 Ion Trap SIMS Development
74	6.0 Technology Transfer
74	6.1. Teledyne
74	6.2. Charles Evans and Associates, Inc.
74	6.3. Extrel
75	7.0 University Collaborations
75	7.1. Idaho State University
75	7.2. Utah State University
75	7.3. University of Illinois-Chicago
75	7.4. University of Idaho
76	8.0 Future Directions

Figures

Page	Figure
14	Figure 1. Cation SIMS spectrum of simulated salt waste sample 1.
15	Figure 2. Anion SIMS spectrum of simulated salt waste sample 1.
21	Figure 3. Abundance ratio, $108^+/92^+$ versus nitrate/nitrite ratio (as added to sample).
22	Figure 4. Relative Raman intensity versus nitrate/nitrite mole ratio.
35	Figure 5. Cation SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide salt waste.
36	Figure 6. Anion SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide salt waste.
39	Figure 7. Ion abundance ratio of m/z $134^+/108^+$ for $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ and $K_4Fe(CN)_6$.
40	Figure 8. Reactions proposed for the formation of m/z 134^+ and 108^+ during the SIMS analysis of potassium ferricyanide.
40	Figure 9. Reactions proposed for the formation of m/z 134^+ and 108^+ during the SIMS analysis of potassium ferrocyanide.
53	Figure 10a. Cation SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt unexposed to TBP.
54	Figure 10b. Cation SIMS spectrum of Elephant Mountain basalt exposed to TBP.
55	Figure 10c. Cation SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt exposed to TBP.
56	Figure 11a. Anion SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt unexposed to TBP.
57	Figure 11b. Cation SIMS spectrum of Elephant Mountain basalt exposed to TBP.
58	Figure 11c. Cation SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt exposed to TBP.
65	Figure 12. Mechanism proposed for the formation of m/z 137^+ in the SIM spectrum of TBP adsorbed to Fe(II)-bearing surfaces.
66	Figure 13. Proposed mechanism for surface hydride abstraction and subsequent elimination of two C_4H_8 molecules, forming m/z 153^+ .
67	Figure 14. Proposed mechanism for the surface protonation of TBP, and subsequent elimination of three C_4H_8 molecules.

Tables

Page	Table
5	Table 1. Composition of Simulated Salt Waste Samples 1 and 2.
6-7	Table 2. Cations observed in the SIMS spectra collected using ReO_4^- /quad and Ga^+ /TOF instruments. Ion assignments. Accurate mass measurement, ppm error = absolute value of calculated - measured mass.
11-12	Table 3. Anions observed in the SIMS spectra collected using ReO_4^- /quad and Ga^+ /TOF instruments. Ion assignments. Accurate mass measurement, ppm error = absolute value of calculated - measured mass.
17	Table 4. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaOH. Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
18	Table 5. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaNO_2 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
20	Table 6. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaNO_3 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
23	Table 7. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of Na_2CO_3 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
24	Table 8. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaHCO_3 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
25-26	Table 9. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of Na_2CrO_4 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
27	Table 10. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaHSO_4 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
28	Table 11. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of Na_2HPO_4 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
29	Table 12. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaHCO_2 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
31	Table 13. Relative molar composition of ferrocyanide samples 3 and 4, normalized to NaNO_3 .
32	Table 14. Cation SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide waste salt, acquired using ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
33	Table 15. Anion SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide waste salt, acquired using ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.
34	Table 16. Comparison of Fe and Ni complex ions observed in simulated ferrocyanide salt waste
37	Table 17. Salient cations in the SIMS spectrum of potassium ferricyanide ($\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$) and potassium ferrocyanide ($\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$).
38	Table 18. Salient anions in the SIMS spectrum of potassium ferricyanide ($\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$) and potassium ferrocyanide ($\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$).
51	Table 19. Typical relative abundances and relative standard deviations for salient ions observed in the SIMS spectra of unexposed CFA basalt.

Tables, continued

Page	Table
52	Table 20. Abundances of cations (relative to m/z 99 ⁺) observed in representative SIMS spectra of TBP and tributyl phosphite on mineral surfaces.
59	Table 21. Methane CI mass spectra of TBP, tributyl phosphite
60	Table 22. EI mass spectra of TBP, tributyl phosphite.
71	Table 23. Minimum detectable limit determinations, for detection of TBP on soil using the ReO_4^- quadrupole SIMS instrument.

ACRONYMS and SYMBOLS

Acronym or Symbol	Definition
Al	aluminum
Ba	barium
C	carbon
Ca	calcium
CFA	Central Facilities Area (located at the INEL)
CH ₄	methane
CHA	cyclohexyl amine
CH ₂ Cl ₂	methylene chloride
C ₄ H ₈	butene
CI	chemical ionization
Cl	chlorine
CN ⁻	cyanide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₃ ⁻²	carbonate
Cr	chromium
CrO ₄ ⁻²	chromate
Cs	cesium
DOE	Department of Energy
EDS	energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
EI	electron ionization
F	fluorine
Fe	iron
Fe(CN) ₆ ⁻³	ferricyanide
Fe(CN) ₆ ⁻⁴	ferrocyanide
FeO	ferrous oxide
Fe ₂ O ₃	ferric oxide
FY	fiscal year
Ga	gallium
GC	gas chromatography
GC/MS	gas chromatography/ mass spectrometry
H	hydrogen
H ⁻	hydride
HCO ₂ ⁻	formate
HCO ₃ ⁻	bicarbonate
HO ⁻ , OH ⁻	hydroxide

ACRONYMS and SYMBOLS, continued

Acronym or Symbol	Definition
HSO_4^-	bisulfate
INEL	Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
ITMS	ion trap mass spectrometer
K	potassium
$\text{K}_3\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$	potassium ferricyanide
$\text{K}_4\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6$	potassium ferrocyanide
keV	kiloelectron volt
m/z	mass to charge ratio
MDL	minimum detectable limit
Mg	magnesium
mm	millimeter
MS	mass spectrometry
MS/MS	mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry
N	nitrogen
Na	sodium
ng	nanograms
Ni	nickel
NJ	New Jersey
NO_2^-	nitrite
NO_3^-	nitrate
O	oxygen
P	phosphorus
Pb	lead
pg	picogram
pH	- log of hydrogen ion concentration, a measure of acidity
PHIRHOZ	a software routine for converting EDS data to elemental compositions of surfaces
PNL	Pacific Northwest Laboratory
ppm	part per million
Pu	plutonium
r.a.	relative abundance
Re	rhenium
ReO_4^-	perrhenate
rsd	relative standard deviation
RWMC	Radioactive Waste Management Complex
S	sulfur
SDA	subsurface disposal area

ACRONYMS and SYMBOLS, continued

Acronym or Symbol	Definition
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
Si	silicon
SIMS	secondary ion mass spectrometry
SO ₄ ⁻²	sulfate
TBP	tributyl phosphate
Ti	titanium
TOF	time-of-flight
U	uranium
u	one mass unit, based on ¹² C
ug	microgram
USU	Utah State University
ZAF	Z#, absorption, fluorescence correction for EDS data

SIMS Analysis: Development and Evaluation 1994 Summary Report

G. S. Groenewold, J. C. Ingram, A. D. Appelhans, J. E. Delmore, D. A. Dahl
Idaho National Engineering Laboratory

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the title program is to develop secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) instrumentation and methodology capable of detecting the presence of trace quantities of metals and other low volatile analytes, and also identifying the chemical species of these contaminants. An ideal analytical technology would be transportable, have good sensitivity and selectivity, require no sample preparation, generate no waste, and have a moderate cost. SIMS is a technology which has undergone improvement at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL); consequently, SIMS possesses many of these desirable attributes. Thus the majority of this report will focus on application of SIMS to salt cake and environmental sample characterization, development of an ion trap SIMS instrument, and technology transfer.

1.1 Program Background

The SIMS Analysis Program was initiated in April of 1992, and was initially focused on the detection of low-volatile and non-volatile organic contaminants which have been used as chelating or complexing agents for radionuclides. The program began by looking at tributyl phosphate, and ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) and other chelating agents. This research was conducted using a laboratory-based quadrupole SIMS instrument, and demonstrated that TBP could be very sensitively detected on a variety of mineral surfaces. Furthermore, the SIM spectrum of TBP was observed to change, depending on the chemical nature of the mineral surface. This observation suggested that TBP could be used as a probe molecule for assessing surface chemistry. Later, the mechanism of TBP desorption from mineral surfaces was elucidated, and this study is included in this report. SIMS signatures of EDTA, and metals including Pb could also be obtained. In the case of EDTA, however, the detection limits achievable were only on the order of 1 part-per-thousand, and hence it was concluded that SIMS was not the optimal technique for EDTA assessment.

In December of 1993, the SIMS Analysis Program was reoriented toward the characterization of "salt cake". There exists a need for analytical methods which can give inorganic species information with minimal sample handling and no waste generation, because salt cake originates (primarily) from extremely radioactive waste tanks on the Hanford reservation. A collaboration was initiated

with the Laser Ablation Mass Spectrometry program at Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL), and simulated salt cake samples were generated and sent to INEL for SIMS analysis. All of the major chemical species that were added to the simulated salt cake were detected in the INEL SIMS analysis, with the exception of EDTA. These species included nitrates, nitrites, cyanides, carbonates, hydroxides, peroxides, and metal-anion complexes of Fe, Ni, Na, K, and Ca. The data compared favorably with a more sophisticated and expensive SIMS instrument at Charles Evans & Associates, Inc. The INEL instrument, which is equipped with a novel perrhenate (ReO_4^-) primary ion gun, gave abundant molecular secondary ions from the salt cake samples. The Evans instrument produced excellent mass and spatially resolved spectra using a Ga^+ primary ion gun, but was not as sensitive to higher mass molecular species.

The SIMS analysis program has also worked toward the development of transportable instrumentation, which has improved sensitivity and selectivity. To this end, a decision was made in FY-93 to develop an ion trap SIMS instrument, because ion trap technology shows promise in meeting these requirements. A commercial ion trap mass spectrometer was ordered in FY-94. At the date of this writing, the spectrometer has not yet been received.

Technology transfer activities were initiated in FY-93, which began to come to fruition in FY-94. Teledyne, Charles Evans & Associates, Inc., and Extrel are instrument manufacturers that have expressed an interest in SIMS components, and non-disclosure agreements were signed with all three firms. Later, instrument control and data acquisition software, which was developed to control INEL SIMS instrumentation, was licensed to Extrel for their internal use and evaluation. Additional detail describing the interest of the manufacturers is provided within this report.

1.2 Motivation for Selection of Analytes and Sample-Types

The analysis of samples from radioactive waste storage tanks is a chemical characterization problem which is particularly problematic, costly, time-consuming and hazardous. Chemical species information is needed for accurate thermodynamic modeling of the tanks, which in turn is needed for risk assessment. The cataloging and characterization of the tanks has been extensive¹, but little is known about the chemical species present in the tank holdings. The reason for the lack of chemical knowledge is that the tank contents are extremely radioactive, a fact which greatly increases the hazard of working with samples from the tanks. The radioactivity also induces radiolytic and thermal chemical reactions², which means that even if detailed knowledge of what went into the tanks were available, it would be impossible to predict the current composition.

The study of tri-*n*-butyl phosphate (TBP) was initiated because this compound was used as an extractant and solvent modifier in the purification of uranium (U)

and plutonium (Pu). It had originally been thought that TBP could be used as a bellwether for the presence of the transuranic nuclides in the environment, but there was little information on the presence of TBP at DOE waste disposal sites. Riley and Zachara state that the "absence of data on alkyl phosphates...was due to several factors, including (1) the site-specific nature of the constituents, (2) the lack of regulation, and (3) limitations of the analytical measurement technique."³ These authors further explain that since the alkyl phosphates are unregulated, "they are not routinely measured as part of environmental compliance programs, and that analytical sampling and measurement methodologies may be inadequate to accurately measure, monitor, or even detect these constituents in the environment." As a result, the presence of TBP "may be far more common than is suggested by the monitoring and characterization data from the 91 waste sites." These considerations motivated research toward applying SIMS to the detection of TBP, and culminated with the elucidation of the mechanism of TBP desorption, and the determination of the minimum detectable quantity of TBP on soils: these advances are described in this report.

It is noteworthy that non-typical sample types exist at DOE waste disposal sites, which are not readily amenable to conventional analytical methodologies (i.e., extraction, concentration, and analysis). Sample types which can be difficult to extract, but nevertheless need analysis include rocks (e.g., basalt), concrete, asphalt, wood, and selected plastics, in addition to the afore mentioned salt cake samples.

1.3 References

¹ Husa, E. I.; Raymond, R. E.; Welty, R. K.; Griffith, S. M.; Hanlon, B. M.; Rios, T. T.; Vermeulen, N. J.; "Hanford Site Waste Storage Tank Information Notebook", DOE report WHC-EP-0625, July, 1993.

² Adanov, V. M., Andreev, V. I., Belyaev, B. N., Markov, G. S., Polyakov, M. S., Ritari, A. E., Shil'nikov, A. Yu., "Identification of decomposition products of extraction systems based on tri-n-butyl phosphate in aliphatic hydrocarbons", Kerntechnik, 1990, 55, 133-137.

³ Riley, R. G., Zachara, J. M., "Nature of Chemical Contaminants on DOE Lands and Identification of Representative Contaminant Mixtures for Basic Subsurface Science Research", Draft Report, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA, 99352, June 1991.

2.0 ANALYSIS OF SIMULATED SALT CAKE

Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) personnel generated four simulated salt cake samples for the purpose of evaluating the possibility of using SIMS for characterization. The way in which SIMS was envisioned to fit into the overall analytical scheme was that salt cake particulate was to be generated in the tanks, transported pneumatically out of the tanks, and finally collected on filter paper. Hazards associated with high levels of radioactivity would be mitigated by the fact that the total quantity of salt cake particulate was to be kept small.

During the course of this study, the simulated salt cake samples were analyzed using quadrupole SIMS instrument (Idaho National Engineering Laboratory), and also time-of-flight (TOF) SIMS instrument (Charles Evans & Associates, Inc.). The TOF SIMS instrument benefits the present analyses in that it is capable of high resolution accurate mass measurement, and high spatial resolution as a result of the secondary ion mass spectrometer optics and a microfocusing Ga⁺ gun. The quadrupole SIMS instrument benefits the analyses by virtue of the ReO₄⁻ primary ion gun, which may be more efficient at sputtering larger, molecular secondary ions as a result of the massive, molecular nature of the primary particle. The present study provides an opportunity to gain insight into the latter supposition.

2.1 Simulated Nitrate/Nitrite Salt Waste

2.1.1. Composition of Nitrate/Nitrite Salt Samples 1 and 2. The simulated nitrate/nitrite salt samples are very complex solid materials which were generated by dissolving salts into water, mixing thoroughly, and then allowing the water to evaporate. The samples consist primarily of sodium nitrite, sodium nitrate, and a sodium aluminate-sodium hydroxide hydrate; these three components account for about 65% of the mass of salts added. The composition of two samples (1 and 2), described in terms of mass of salts added, is given in Table 1. Note that sample 1 is identical to sample 2 in all components except NaNO₃: an additional 37.78 g of NaNO₃ was added to sample 2. The purpose of adding additional NaNO₃ was to determine if small differences in the quantitative composition could be identified using the SIMS spectrum.

Table 1. Composition of Simulated Salt Waste Samples 1 and 2.		
	mass added (grams)	
Component	Sample 1	Sample 2
Na ₄ EDTA	77.95	77.95
NaCl	30.75	30.75
Na ₃ PO ₄ -12(H ₂ O)	68.04	68.04
NaAlO ₂ -0.21NaOH-1.33H ₂ O	235.75	235.75
NaNO ₂	272.55	272.55
NaNO ₃	186.98	224.76
Na ₂ CO ₃	42.42	42.42
NaF	4.20	4.20
NaOH	98.00	98.00
Cr(NO ₃) ₃ -9(H ₂ O)	42.06	42.06
Fe(NO ₃) ₃ -9(H ₂ O)	2.99	2.99
Ni(NO ₃) ₂ -6(H ₂ O)	0.62	0.62
Na ₂ SO ₄	4.55	4.55
CaCl ₂	0.93	0.93
KCl	10.89	10.89
sum	1078.68	1116.46

2.1.2. Cation SIMS Spectra, Simulated Salt Waste

The cation spectrum of sample 1 (Table 2 and Figure 1) is representative of the cation spectra that were acquired for both samples (using either instrument). Most ion assignments were based on accurate mass measurements, which were made using the Ga⁺ TOF-SIMS (the quadrupole instrument is not capable of making the accurate mass measurements). Not all ions that were observed in the spectrum from the ReO₄⁻ quad SIMS were observed in the Ga⁺ TOF-SIMS spectrum, and hence no accurate mass measurement could be made. For these ions (which are identified by having no 'ppm error' listed in Table 2), ion assignments were made by comparing with the SIMS spectra of the simulated waste with that of 'standard' salts (see below).

Table 2 (continued on page 7). Cations observed in the SIMS spectra of simulated nitrate/nitrite waste, collected using ReO₄⁻/quad and Ga⁺/TOF instruments.

Cation Mass ⁱ	R.A. ⁱⁱ ReO ₄ ⁻ /quadropole SIMS	R.A. ⁱⁱ Ga ⁺ /TOF SIMS	Cation Assignment	ppm error of accurate mass measurement ⁱⁱⁱ
15	0.17%	0.24%	CH ₃ ⁺	20
23	100.0%	100.0%	Na ⁺	4
27	1.3%	1.6%	Al ⁺	4
27		0.51%	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	4
29	0.53%	0.31%	C ₂ H ₅ ⁺	21
39	5.4%	0.35%	K ⁺	5
39		0.23%	C ₃ H ₃ ⁺	13
41	0.87%	0.30%	C ₃ H ₅ ⁺	5
43	0.22%	0.19%	C ₃ H ₇ ⁺	12
43		0.04%	C ₂ H ₃ O ⁺	12
46	2.0%	0.33%	Na ₂ ⁺	0
47	2.6%	0.16%	HNa ₂ ⁺	9
53	0.44%	0.06%	CH ₂ ONa ⁺	11
53		0.04%	C ₄ H ₅ ⁺	8
55	0.17%	0.09%	C ₄ H ₇ ⁺	
57	0.15%	0.07%	C ₄ H ₉ ⁺	12
62	2.4%	0.22%	Na ₂ O ⁺	2
63	9.6%	0.42%	Na ₂ OH ⁺	16
72	0.47%	0.00%	Na ₂ CN ⁺	
77	0.10%	0.29%	CH ₃ ONa ₂ ⁺	
78	0.57%	0.00%	Na ₂ O ₂ ⁺	
79	0.81%	0.00%	Na ₂ O ₂ H ⁺	
81	0.35%	0.00%	(NaOH) ₂ H ⁺	
83	0.17%	0.00%	NaHAIO ₂ ⁺	
85	1.5%	0.03%	Na ₃ O ⁺	0
91	0.35%	0.00%	Na ₂ HCO ₂ ⁺	
92	0.54%	0.00%	Na ₂ NO ₂ ⁺	
101	0.34%	0.00%	Na ₃ O ₂ ⁺	
103	0.54%	0.00%	(NaOH) ₂ Na ⁺	
105	0.50%	0.00%	Na ₂ AlO ₂ ⁺	
108	0.23%	0.00%	Na ₂ NO ₃ ⁺	

ⁱ Ions cited twice were observed as a single peak in the ReO₄⁻/quad SIMS experiments, but were multiplets in the Ga⁺/TOF SIMS experiments.

ⁱⁱ R. A. is relative abundance = ion abundance/base peak abundance expressed as a percentage.

ⁱⁱⁱ Measurements made using Ga⁺/TOF SIMS instrument. PPM error = accurate mass calculated for composition - measured mass (absolute value)

Table 2, (continued from page 6). Cations observed in the SIMS spectra of simulated nitrate/nitrite waste, collected using $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ and Ga^+/TOF instruments.

Cation Mass ⁱ	R.A. ⁱⁱ $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ SIMS	R.A. ⁱⁱ Ga^+/TOF SIMS	Cation Assignment	ppm error of accurate mass measurement ⁱⁱⁱ
113	0.23%	0.00%	Na_3CO_2^+	0
125	0.27%	0.00%	$(\text{Na}_2\text{O})_2\text{H}^+$	
129	2.7%	0.08%	Na_3CO_3^+	1
143	0.10%	0.00%	$\text{Na}_2\text{HSO}_4^+$	
145	0.59%	0.02%	Na_3CO_4^+	38
147	0.22%	0.00%	$(\text{Na}_2\text{O})\text{Na}^+$	
165	0.22%	0.00%	Na_3HPO_4 , or Na_3SO_4^+	
169	0.29%	0.00%	$\text{Na}_3\text{CO}_3(\text{NaOH})^+$	
187	0.18%	0.00%	Na_4PO_4^+	

ⁱ Ions cited twice were observed as a single peak in the $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ SIMS experiments, but were multiplets in the Ga^+/TOF SIMS experiments.

ⁱⁱ R. A. is relative abundance = ion abundance/base peak abundance expressed as a percentage.

ⁱⁱⁱ Measurements made using Ga^+/TOF SIMS instrument. PPM error = accurate mass calculated for composition - measured mass (absolute value)

The cation spectra permit several general conclusions. Na^+ is by far the most abundant ion, and other major ions in the spectrum are interpreted in terms of oxides, hydroxides, phosphates, and carbonates of sodium. In addition, interesting ions at m/z 46 and 47 are attributed to Na_2^+ and Na_2H^+ : the former ion is a radical species, and the latter perhaps a hydride-bound dimer of Na^+ . The small ion at m/z 72⁺ is most likely Na_2CN^+ , where the CN^- moiety is thought to originate from EDTA. M/z 72⁺ has been observed in the SIMS spectra of sodium EDTA salts in our laboratory. There is no other evidence in these spectra for the presence of EDTA. M/z 72⁺ is an equivocal signature for EDTA because it will also be observed in the SIMS spectra of samples containing Na^+ and CN^- .

Lower abundance ions at m/z 78⁺, 79⁺, and 101⁺, observed only in the ReO_4^- spectrum, are attributed to peroxy species NaOONa^+ , NaOONaH^+ , and NaOONa_2^+ . These ions may indicate the presence of peroxides on the surface of the salt samples. An alternative explanation is that they are induced by the use of the ReO_4^- primary ion beam. Perhaps significantly, these ions are not observed in the SIMS spectra collected using the Ga^+/TOF SIMS instrument.

In light of the large quantities of sodium nitrite and sodium nitrate added to the salt mixture (Table 1), it was surprising that only low abundance nitrate and nitrite-bearing ions were observed in the cation ReO_4^- SIMS spectrum, and that no nitrate- / nitrite-bearing cations were observed in the Ga^+/TOF SIMS experiment. The cations attributed to nitrite/nitrate are m/z 92⁺ (Na_2NO_2^+), and

108⁺ (Na₂NO₃⁺); the origin of these ions cannot be ascribed unequivocally to the presence of condensed-phase species (see below).

A large ion at m/z 129⁺ corresponds to the trisodium carbonate cation. It is of interest that carbonate was not added to the salt mixture, and hence we presume that the origin of the carbonate is adsorption of atmospheric CO₂ into the basic salt sample. We observe the same effect in the SIMS spectrum of other Group I hydroxides. Low abundance ions at m/z 169⁺ and 145⁺ are also thought to be derived from adsorbed CO₂.

Ions containing potassium, calcium, and aluminum can also be observed: K⁺ is observed at m/z 39, and part of the very low abundance ion at m/z 40⁺ (not included in Table 2) is attributable to Ca⁺. Aluminum is observed at m/z 27⁺, and may be present in the ions at m/z 83⁺ and 105⁺, although this has not been confirmed. Despite the fact that smaller quantities of Cr, Ni and Fe-bearing salts were put into the samples, no ions were observed which contained these metals.

Hydrocarbons, and oxygenated hydrocarbons are likely responsible for ions observed at m/z 15⁺, 27⁺, 29⁺, 39⁺, 41⁺, 43⁺, 53⁺, 55⁺, 57⁺, and 77⁺. These are likely the result of atmospheric contaminants which become adsorbed to the salt surfaces; this behavior has been observed previously in the SIMS analysis of mineral samples.¹

Sample 1 was analyzed ten times using the ReO₄⁻ quad SIMS, and the spectra collected from seven of those analyses were very similar from both a qualitative and quantitative perspective. As a result those seven analyses were used to generate relative standard deviations (rsd) for each mass. For the cations, rsd values were in the 20 to 40% range at lower mass, and steadily increased to the 60 - 80% range for masses > 100 u. The average rsd for cations at m/z 10 - 200 was 50%. In the case of the anions, the average of the rsd value was 55%, and was relatively independent of mass.

Having established the precision of the measurements, the spectra collected for sample 1 were compared with those collected for sample 2. No substantial difference could be discerned, and hence we conclude that we are unable to distinguish between salt samples that have moderate differences in nitrate content.

On three occasions, the spectra of sample 1 that were acquired were substantially different from the spectra described above. In the first instance, the spectra of samples 1 and 2 appeared very rich in formate-bearing ions, which were observed at m/z 45⁻ (HCO₂⁻), 91⁺ (Na₂HCO₂⁺), and 113⁻ (Na(HCO₂)₂⁻). We hypothesize that formic acid, present as a laboratory atmospheric contaminant, was adsorbed onto the surface of the salt sample, and was observed in the SIMS spectrum as the above-mentioned ions. We have no other evidence for this hypothesis, but the observation of these ions on sample 1 *and* sample 2, *on*

the same day (but on no other days) is consistent with the atmospheric contamination scenario.

In the second instance where a substantially different SIMS spectrum was recorded, peaks corresponding to NO_2^- and NO_3^- were the most abundant ions in the anion spectrum. This behavior is reminiscent of the SIMS spectra of NaNO_2 and NaNO_3 (see below). We believe that the best explanation for this spectrum is the random selection of salt subsample which was very high in nitrate and or nitrite. Since in nearly all analyses, no sample preparation was performed for the ReO_4^- quad SIMS analyses, this explanation is certainly within the realm of plausibility. These types of observations underscore the value in being able to perform ion imaging (a capability of the Ga^+ TOF SIMS); in this experiment, however, the data was collected using the broad beam ReO_4^- quad SIMS.

The third spectral aberration occurred when the sample was prepared by dissolving it in water, applying the solution to a target, and then allowing the water to evaporate. In this case, abundant ions were observed in the anion spectrum at m/z 183⁻, 80⁻, and 64⁻: these have been previously observed in other samples and have been ascribed to the presence of alkyl benzene sulfonate ions, which are derived from soap. Hence these ions are explainable in terms of soap contamination of the water or glassware used.

Based on the data presented for Sample 1, it is likely that the ReO_4^- primary ion is generating molecular secondary species with greater efficiency than is the Ga^+ primary. This conclusion is consistent with earlier work performed in our laboratory (INEL).² Nearly all of the ion species in Table 2 are greater in the spectrum from the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument, *when normalized to Na^+* . In the present comparison, this conclusion cannot be made unequivocally, because the ion optics on the front end of the Ga^+ TOF SIMS are more tolerant of high energy secondary ions, and hence would transmit more secondary Na^+ than would the quadrupole. This factor may be somewhat offset, however, by decreased quadrupole sensitivity for higher mass ions, relative to the TOF instrument. While the excellent secondary ion transmission of the TOF is sufficient to permit enough counts for accurate mass measurement of ions like m/z 129⁺, the dramatic relative abundance difference (2.7% for the ReO_4^- quad SIMS vs. 0.08% for the Ga^+ TOF SIMS) leads to the conclusion that massive, polyatomic particles are advantageous for sputtering polyatomic secondary ions.

2.1.3. Anion SIMS Spectra, Simulated Salt Waste

A representative anion spectrum is dominated by oxygen species, specifically O^- , OH^- , O_2^- , and HO_2^- . Low abundance ions corresponding to Cl^- and F^- were observed, as were NO_2^- , NO_3^- , CO_2^- , CO_3^- , PO_2^- , and PO_3^- . The low abundance of nitrite, nitrate carbonate, and phosphate were surprising in view of the large quantities of these materials that were added. The low abundance m/z 97⁻ and 119⁻ observed in the ReO_4^- quad SIMS analyses may be indicative of sulfate

(HSO_4^- and NaSO_4^-), but we were unable to confirm these assignments using the Ga^+ TOF SIMS. The origin of the CN^- (m/z 26) is not known, but EDTA is a possibility; we note again that no cyanide-bearing salts were added to this sample.

Few metal-bearing anions were observed, other than those containing Na^+ . Aluminum-bearing anions were observed at m/z 43⁻, 59⁻, and perhaps 119⁻. No ions could be unequivocally ascribed to Cr, Fe or Ni. We suspect that part of the abundance observed at m/z 100⁺, and 84⁺ in the ReO_4^- quad SIMS spectrum may be due to CrO_3^- and CrO_2^- , (see spectra of Na_2CrO_4 , below), but we cannot confirm these assignments using the Ga^+ TOF SIMS data.

The anion SIMS spectrum revealed a substantial number of organic species. C_n^- , highly unsaturated hydrocarbon anions, and oxygen-bearing anions were observed. We believe that the best interpretation for these ions is the presence of organic acids or hydrocarbons adsorbed to the surface of the salt samples. Furthermore, the most abundant compound of this type would appear to be formic acid, which we believe is represented by m/z 45⁻ and 113⁻. Since static SIMS is a surface analysis technique, these species derived from surface-adsorbed contaminants constitute a substantial fraction of the anion spectrum, even though they are probably not present in the bulk sample.

Table 3 (continued on page 12). Cations observed in the SIMS spectra of simulated nitrate/nitrite waste, collected using $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ and Ga^+/TOF instruments.

Anion Mass ⁱ	R.A. ⁱⁱ $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ SIMS	R.A. ⁱⁱ Ga^+/TOF SIMS	Anion assignment	ppm error of accurate mass measurement ⁱⁱⁱ
10	0.52%	0.00%		
11	1.1%	0.00%		
12	5.4%	3.46%	C^-	50
13	13.%	11.83%	CH^-	31
14	2.6%	4.04%	CH_2^-	14
15	4.6%	0.10%	HN^-	0
15		0.26%	CH_3^-	47
16	100.%	100.00%	O^-	13
17	78.%	52.37%	OH^-	12
19	2.3%	1.21%	F^-	16
23	1.1%	0.24%	Na^-	13
24	4.9%	1.37%	C_2^-	17
25	16.%	4.98%	C_2H^-	12
26	14%	2.15%	CN^-	4
31	0.61%	8.25%	CH_3O^-	6
32	3.5%	0.04%	S^-	6
32		2.31%	O_2^-	19
33	1.1%	0.24%	HO_2^-	21
35	2.9%	1.88%	Cl^-	3
36	1.5%	0.11%	C_3^-	17
37	2.6%	0.56%	Cl^-	11
37		0.14%	C_3H^-	14
38	1.9%	0.19%	C_3H_2^-	0
39	1.8%	0.12%	ONa^-	8
39		0.09%	C_3H_3^-	5
40	2.6%	0.40%	C_2O^-	15
41	7.6%	0.83%	C_2HO^-	5
42	4.0%	0.29%	NCO^-	0
43	5.5%	0.54%	AlO^-	5
43		0.27%	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}^-$	9
44	0.78%	0.19%	CO_2^-	16

ⁱ Ions cited twice were observed as a single peak in the $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ SIMS experiments, but were multiplets in the Ga^+/TOF SIMS experiments.

ⁱⁱ R. A. is relative abundance = ion abundance/base peak abundance expressed as a percentage.

ⁱⁱⁱ Measurements made using Ga^+/TOF SIMS instrument. PPM error = accurate mass calculated for composition - measured mass (absolute value)

Table 3 (continued from page 11). Cations observed in the SIMS spectra of simulated nitrate/nitrite waste, using $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ and Ga^+/TOF instruments.

Anion Mass ⁱ	R.A. ⁱⁱ $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ SIMS	R.A. ⁱⁱ Ga^+/TOF SIMS	Anion assignment	ppm error of accurate mass measurement ⁱⁱⁱ
45	6.3%	1.50%	HCO_2^-	4
46	8.8%	1.31%	NO_2^-	0
48	1.6%	0.05%	C_4^-	10
49	3.0%	0.16%	C_4H^-	10
59	4.8%	0.30%	AlO_2^-	2
59		0.27%	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2^-$	5
60	1.6%	0.47%	CO_3^-	5
62	4.3%	0.60%	NO_3^-	3
63	1.3%	0.11%	PO_2^-	3
71	2.1%	0.22%	$\text{C}_3\text{H}_3\text{O}_2^-$	6
75	1.0%	0.44%	$\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_3^-$	3
77	2.2%	0.07%	HNONa_2^-	29
77		0.03%	C_6H_5^-	39
79	2.9%	0.05%	PO_3^-	15
84	2.2%			
85	1.7%	0.02%	$\text{C}_4\text{H}_5\text{O}_2^-$	4
86	1.8%			
87	1.3%			
95	1.1%		PO_4^-	
97	0.96%		HSO_4^-	
99	1.2%			
100	2.6%	0.03%	$\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{NO}_3^-$	1
101	3.3%	0.11%	$\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{O}_2^-$	11
102	1.2%			
113	1.0%		$\text{Na}(\text{HCO}_2)_2^-$	
115	1.2%			
119	1.7%	0.02%	$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_4\text{H}^-$, or NaSO_4^-	
121	1.4%	0.04%	$\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}_2^-$	
127	0.96%	0.02%	$\text{C}_7\text{H}_{11}\text{O}_2^-$	19
129	0.61%	0.06%	$\text{C}_7\text{H}_{13}\text{O}_2^-$	8
143	0.78%	0.06%	$\text{C}_8\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_2^-$	6
157	0.61%	0.03%	$\text{C}_9\text{H}_{17}\text{O}_2^-$	1

ⁱ Ions cited twice were observed as a single peak in the $\text{ReO}_4^-/\text{quad}$ SIMS experiments, but were multiplets in the Ga^+/TOF SIMS experiments.

ⁱⁱ R. A. is relative abundance = ion abundance/base peak abundance expressed as a percentage.

ⁱⁱⁱ Measurements made using Ga^+/TOF SIMS instrument. PPM error = accurate mass calculated for composition - measured mass (absolute value)

2.1.4. References

- ¹ "Static SIMS Analysis Of Tributyl Phosphate On Mineral Surfaces: Effect Of Fe(II)", Groenewold, G. S., Ingram, J. C., Delmore, J. E., Appelhans, A. D., *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, in press.
- ² "Comparison of Polyatomic and Atomic Primary Beams for Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry of Organics", Appelhans, A. D.; Delmore, J. E.; *Anal. Chem.*, 1989, **61**, 1087 - 1093.

14. Figure 1. Cation SIMS spectrum of simulated salt waste sample 1.

15. Figure 2. Anion SIMS spectrum of simulated salt waste sample 1.

2.2. SIMS Spectra of 'Benchmark' Salts

Several salt compounds were analyzed in order to clarify ion assignments, and to develop some feel for the relationship between secondary ions observed and species that might be present in the samples. These spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument. We emphasize that the purpose of these analyses was not to exhaustively study the SIMS behavior of each salt, but rather to identify the most abundant ions that would be expected.

2.2.1. NaOH. The cation spectrum (Table 4) contains the expected Na^+ base peak, but also has abundant ions at m/z 63⁺, 85⁺, 103⁺, and 129⁺. The fact that many of these ions were prominent in the SIMS spectra of the simulated salt sample is indicative that the latter has substantial NaOH character. As in the case of the simulated salt samples, the NaOH pellet clearly contained substantial carbonate at or near the surface.

The abundance of m/z 62⁺ (Na_2O^+) is substantially lower in the spectrum of the NaOH pellet, compared with the simulated salt. While the mechanism of formation of Na_2O^+ is an open question, it appears that the appearance of an abundant 62⁺ is related to the presence of nitrate in the sample. This is consistent with subsequent nitrate experiments described in later sections.

The anion SIMS spectrum (Table 4) is dominated by O^- and OH^- , however in the present spectra, m/z 17⁻ is substantially more abundant than is m/z 16⁻. This is consistent with the prevalence of OH^- , and points to a substantial difference between the simulated salt sample and an alkali hydroxide. The anion SIMS spectrum of NaOH also contains substantial ions which probably correspond to the conjugate bases of organic acids. These compounds were observed in the SIMS spectra of some of the simulated salt samples that were analyzed using the Ga^+ TOF SIMS: elemental compositions were confirmed using accurate mass measurements. These compounds are not significant components of the NaOH sample, and consequently we hypothesize that they are deposited from the atmosphere. The extremely basic nature of the surface facilitates adsorption and ion formation, but we cannot determine which of these processes is more important based on the present data.

Another significant ion in the anion spectrum is m/z 26⁻, which is ascribed to CN^- . Unlike the simulated salt sample, there is no EDTA present in the NaOH pellet, and thus CN^- must have another (as yet unidentified) origin in the present sample. It is possible that the ion bombardment process is responsible for the observation of m/z 26⁻, and as a result, this ion may be an unreliable indicator for CN^- in the sample.

Table 4. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaOH. Spectra were acquired using the ReO₄⁻ quad SIMS instrument.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation Assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion Assignment
23	100.0%	Na ⁺	16	51.0%	O ⁻
41	1.3%	NaOH ₂ ⁺	17	100.0%	OH ⁻
46	4.1%	Na ₂ ⁺	24	9.7%	C ₂ ⁻
62	4.8%	Na ₂ O ⁺	25	29.0%	C ₂ H ⁻
63	31.0%	Na ₂ OH ⁺	26	18.0%	CN ⁻
85	13.0%	Na ₃ O ⁺	41	24.0%	
101	2.0%	Na ₃ O ₂ ⁺	43	12.0%	
102	1.7%	Na ₃ O ₂ H ⁺	45	17.0%	HCO ₂ ⁻
103	8.0%	(NaOH) ₂ Na ⁺	59	29.0%	CH ₃ CO ₂ ⁻
125	1.4%	(Na ₂ O) ₂ H ⁺	73	6.9%	C ₂ H ₅ CO ₂ ⁻
127	1.2%		85	11.0%	
129	14.0%	Na ₃ CO ₃ ⁺	87	12.0%	C ₃ H ₇ CO ₂ ⁻
143	1.6%	(NaOH) ₃ Na ⁺	93	15.0%	
145	1.9%	Na ₃ CO ₄ ⁺	97	6.9%	
147	0.74%	(Na ₂ O) ₂ Na ⁺	99	8.3%	
159	0.96%		101	8.3%	C ₄ H ₉ CO ₂ ⁻
165	1.0%	(NaOH) ₂ (Na ₂ O)Na ⁺			

ⁱ Ion abundance relative to the base peak.

2.2.2. NaNO₂. The cation SIMS spectrum of sodium nitrite (Table 5) bears some similarity to that of the NaOH pellet, and to the simulated salt sample: the most abundant peaks are ascribed to Na⁺, Na₂O⁺, Na₂OH⁺, and Na₃O⁺. In addition, the spectrum of sodium nitrite displays a fairly substantial Na₃CO₃⁺ ion, which indicates absorption of CO₂. The present spectrum is distinguished from that of NaOH by the fact that Na₂O⁺ is nearly as abundant as Na₂OH⁺, and also by the presence of an abundant ion at *m/z* 92⁺, which certainly corresponds to Na₂NO₂⁺.

O⁻ corresponds to the base peak in the anion spectrum of NaNO₂ (instead of OH⁻ as in the NaOH spectrum). This spectrum contained none of the ions ascribed to the organic acids (with the exception of formate), which may indicate that they

are either not being adsorbed, or not being ionized by the more neutral NaNO_2 surface (again compared to NaOH). A prominent NO_2^- was observed at m/z 46, as well as a lower abundance NO_3^- (62). This latter ion serves to confuse distinction between nitrite and nitrate. It is not known whether this ion is derived from a nitrate impurity on the surface, or is an artifact from the ion bombardment process. Another ion which is potentially diagnostic for nitrite is observed at m/z 115, which corresponds to $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)_2^-$.

Table 5. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaNO_2 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignment
23	100.0%	Na^+	13	11.0%	CH^-
39	7.4%	NaO^+, K^+	16	100.0%	O^-
41	2.3%	NaOH_2^+	17	41.0%	OH^-
43	2.0%		24	3.1%	C_2^-
46	2.1%	Na_2^+	25	4.9%	C_2H^-
47	1.1%	Na_2H^+	26	0.41%	CN^-
62	18.0%	Na_2O^+	32	4.1%	O_2^-
63	25.0%	Na_2OH^+	45	3.9%	HCO_2^-
78	7.8%	Na_2O_2^+	46	8.0%	NO_2^-
79	2.1%	$\text{Na}_2\text{O}_2\text{H}^+$	62	4.3%	NO_3^-
85	20.0%	Na_3O^+	115	6.4%	$\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)_2^-$
92	12.0%	Na_2NO_2^+			
101	7.9%	Na_3O_2^+			
108	1.7%	Na_2NO_3^+			
113	1.8%	Na_3CO_2^+			
129	8.9%	Na_3CO_3^+			
147	1.9%	$(\text{Na}_2\text{O})_2\text{Na}^+$			
149	2.1%				
163	0.95%				
165	0.85%	$(\text{NaOH})_2(\text{Na}_2\text{O})\text{Na}^+$			

ⁱ Ion abundance relative to the base peak.

2.2.3. NaNO_3 . The cation SIMS spectrum of sodium nitrate (Table 6) is qualitatively similar to that of NaNO_2 . The most significant difference between the spectra of the two compounds is in the abundance of m/z 108⁺ (Na_2NO_3^+), which is much more abundant in the spectrum of the nitrate than in the nitrite. The nitrate spectrum also contained an abundant m/z 92⁺ (Na_2NO_2^+), and thus this ion is equivocal for distinguishing between nitrite and nitrate. Several other ions are more abundant in the spectrum of the nitrate, in particular Na_2O^+ , Na_2O_2^+ . Sodium nitrate does not display the tendency to adsorb and/or ionize carbonate (as do the simulated salt sample, NaOH, and NaNO_2). We speculate that NO_3^- is too weak a base to promote the adsorption of CO_2 .

NO_3^- is the base peak in the anion spectrum of NaNO_3 , and a substantial NO_2^- (25%) is also observed. This observation indicates clearly that the presence of NO_2^- cannot be used alone for identification of nitrite. Abundant ions at m/z 131⁻ and 147⁻ correspond to $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_3)_2^-$ and $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)(\text{NO}_3)^-$, and these ions were not observed in the spectra of sodium nitrite. Three lower abundance anions are observed at m/z 85⁻, 101⁻, and 117⁻: these ions have not been unequivocally identified, but may represent hyperoxidized sodium nitrate/nitrite species.

Because nitrate/nitrite determination is an important aspect of salt characterization, a series of sodium nitrate/sodium nitrite mixtures were analyzed. The goal of this study was to evaluate whether m/z 108⁺, 92⁺, 62⁺, 115⁺, 131⁺, and/or 147⁺ could be used to quantitate nitrate/nitrite ratios. A plot of the 108⁺/92⁺ cation abundance ratio versus nitrate/nitrite mole fraction (Figure 3) shows that 108⁺ is nearly twice as abundant as 92⁺ for pure sodium nitrate, but then decreases to 0.6-0.4 in the spectra of the mixed salts, and finally drops to about 0.1 in the spectrum of pure nitrite. This non-linear behavior was also observed for m/z 62⁺, 131⁺, and 147⁺.

Raman spectra were collected for these same samples to determine whether the non-linear behavior was due to the SIMS event or to some other chemical phenomenon. When the intensity of NO_3^- peaks at 185 cm^{-1} and 725 cm^{-1} were plotted versus nitrate/nitrite mole fraction, remarkably similar non-linear behavior was observed (Figure 4). In contrast, the nitrite peaks at 120 cm^{-1} and 829 cm^{-1} were relatively invariant relative to the nitrate/nitrite mole fraction. The good correlation observed between the SIMS 108⁺/92⁺ ratio and the Raman nitrate abundances indicates that the spectral behavior shown in Figure 3 is probably the result of nitrate/nitrite chemistry, and not an artifact of the bombardment process. On the basis of these data, we conclude that the surface of the mixed salts have similar nitrate/nitrite ratios, irrespective of the fraction of nitrate/nitrite originally added.

Table 6. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaNO_3 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignment
23	100.0%	Na^+	13	7.5%	CH^-
27	2.5%	C_2H_3^+	16	68.0%	O^-
29	2.0%	C_2H_5^+ , HN_2^+	17	28.0%	OH^-
39	4.5%	NaO^+ , K^+ , C_3H_3^+	25	4.5%	C_2H^-
41	5.2%	NaOH_2^+ , C_3H_5^+	26	5.9%	CN^-
43	3.0%	C_3H_7^+	32	10.0%	O_2^-
53	1.1%	C_4H_5^+	46	25.0%	NO_2^-
55	2.5%	C_4H_7^+	62	100.0%	NO_3^-
57	2.3%	C_4H_9^+	85	5.2%	NaONO_2^-
62	56.0%	Na_2O^+	101	6.6%	NaONO_3^-
63	19.0%	Na_2OH^+	115	7.8%	$\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)_2^-$
78	26.0%	Na_2O_2^+	117	4.5%	$\text{NaO}_2\text{NO}_3^-$
85	30.0%	Na_3O^+	131	26.0%	$\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)(\text{NO}_3)^-$
92	20.0%	Na_2NO_2^+	147	55.0%	$\text{Na}(\text{NO}_3)_2^-$
101	19.0%	Na_3O_2^+			
108	35.0%	Na_2NO_3^+			
147	3.7%	$(\text{Na}_2\text{O})_2\text{Na}^+$			
163	1.5%				
165	2.1%	$(\text{NaOH})_2(\text{Na}_2\text{O})\text{Na}^+$			

ⁱ Ion abundance relative to base peak.

21. Figure 3. $108^+ / 92^+$ abundance ratio versus nitrate/nitrite ratio (as added to sample).

22. Figure 4. Relative Raman Intensity versus Nitrate/Nitrite Mole Fraction.

2.2.4. Na_2CO_3 . The cation SIMS spectrum of sodium carbonate (Table 7) is qualitatively very similar to that recorded for NaOH in that the most abundant ions correspond to Na^+ , Na_2O^+ , Na_2OH^+ , Na_3O^+ , and Na_3CO_3^+ . Unsurprisingly, the abundance of Na_3CO_3^+ is about three times greater in the present analysis than in the case of NaOH. The anion spectrum contains little information relative to the carbonate moiety, with the exception of low abundance ions corresponding to HCO_3^- and CO_3^- at m/z 61 and 60. PO_3^- and PO_2^- are present as the result of atmospheric phosphate contamination in our lab atmosphere (INEL).

Table 7. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of Na_2CO_3 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument.

Cation mass	Cation r.a.	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion r.a.	Anion assignment
23	100.0%	Na^+	13	9.1%	CH^-
46	2.4%	Na_2^+	16	100.0%	O^-
47	1.1%	Na_2H^+	17	62.0%	OH^-
62	9.8%	Na_2O^+	19	1.9%	F^-
63	17.0%	Na_2OH^+	24	3.0%	C_2^-
78	2.3%	Na_2O_2^+	25	5.5%	C_2H^-
85	10.0%	Na_3O^+	26	1.6%	CN^-
101	1.5%	Na_3O_2^+	32	3.6%	O_2^-
102	1.0%	$\text{Na}_3\text{O}_2\text{H}^+$	35	3.0%	Cl^-
103	1.4%	$(\text{NaOH})_2\text{Na}^+$	37	1.0%	Cl^-
125	1.2%	$(\text{Na}_2\text{O})_2\text{H}^+$	45	2.5%	HCO_2^-
129	38.0%	Na_3CO_3^+	46	2.6%	NO_2^-
165	0.40%	$(\text{NaOH})_2(\text{Na}_2\text{O})\text{Na}^+$	60	1.9%	CO_3^-
187	0.98%	$(\text{Na}_2\text{O})_3\text{H}^+$	61	1.2%	HCO_3^-
191	0.57%	$(\text{Na}_3\text{CO}_3)(\text{Na}_2\text{O})^+$	62	2.6%	NO_3^-
			63	2.6%	PO_2^-
			79	6.7%	PO_3^-

ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak.

2.2.5. NaHCO₃. The cation and anion SIMS spectra of sodium bicarbonate (Table 8) is very similar to those of sodium carbonate (as well as other sodium salts). The biggest difference between the spectra of the two compounds lies in the abundance of Na₂OH⁺ (*m/z* 63⁺) relative to Na₂O⁺ (*m/z* 62⁺). Unsurprisingly, the bicarbonate spectrum has more of the H-bearing ion. A second difference is the appearance of *m/z* 189⁺ in the bicarbonate spectrum, which we have attributed to (NaCO₃)₂Na⁺, although it is unclear why this ion should appear in the bicarbonate spectrum but not in the spectrum of sodium carbonate.

Table 8. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaHCO ₃ . Spectra were acquired using the ReO ₄ ⁻ quad SIMS instrument.					
Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignments	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignments
23	100.0%	Na ⁺	13	11.0%	CH ⁻
27	1.3%	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	16	100.0%	O ⁻
29	1.1%	CHO ⁺	17	68.0%	OH ⁻
39	5.4%	K ⁺ , NaO ⁺	19	1.5%	F ⁻
41	1.9%	NaOH ₂ ⁺ , C ₃ H ₅ ⁺	24	3.5%	C ₂ ⁻
46	2.4%	Na ₂ ⁺	25	10.0%	C ₂ H ⁻
47	1.4%	Na ₂ H ⁺	32	3.8%	O ₂ ⁻
62	13.0%	Na ₂ O ⁺	35	9.8%	Cl ⁻
63	63.0%	Na ₂ OH ⁺	37	3.3%	Cl ⁻
78	2.9%	Na ₂ O ₂ ⁺	45	13.0%	HCO ₂ ⁻
79	1.7%	Na ₂ O ₂ H ⁺	60	6.8%	CO ₃ ⁻
85	7.1%	Na ₃ O ⁺	61	2.6%	HCO ₃ ⁻
101	2.7%	Na ₃ O ₂ ⁺	63	4.5%	PO ₂ ⁻
102	3.1%	Na ₃ O ₂ H ⁺	79	15.0%	PO ₃ ⁻
103	6.3%	(NaOH) ₂ Na ⁺	123	2.6%	
125	1.4%	(Na ₂ O) ₂ H ⁺	163	1.8%	
129	69.0%	Na ₃ CO ₃ ⁺	189	4.0%	(CO ₃) ₂ Na ₃ ⁻
145	1.9%	Na ₃ CO ₄ ⁺			
169	0.89%	(NaOH) ₂ (Na ₂ O)Na ⁺			
187	0.71%	(Na ₂ O) ₃ H ⁺			
191	0.46%	(Na ₃ CO ₃)(Na ₂ O) ⁺			

ⁱ. Ion abundances relative to base peak.

2.2.6. Na₂CrO₄. The cation SIMS spectra of sodium chromate (Table 9) contains several higher mass ions which may be indicative of different chromium oxide species, and/or oxidation states existing on the salt surface. These are best interpreted in terms of natiated chromium oxide species, in which Cr would be in the VI (m/z 185⁺), IV (m/z 169⁺), and V (m/z 146⁺) states. Cr⁺ is also observed. In the anion spectrum, the base peak corresponds to CrO₃⁻ (Cr(V)). M/z 101⁺ is interpreted in terms of HCrO₃⁻ (IV), because the abundance of this ion is greater than what would be expected from the ⁵³Cr isotope of CrO₃⁻. Lower abundance anions at m/z 84⁻, 116⁻ and 117⁻ are most easily interpreted in terms of CrO₂⁻ (III), CrO₄⁻ (V, peroxy structure) and HCrO₄⁻ (VI). Higher mass ions at m/z 168⁻, 184⁻, 200⁻, and 223⁻ suggest assignments containing two Cr. If this interpretation is correct, then m/z 168⁻ would correspond to Cr₂O₄⁻ (III and IV), 184⁻ to Cr₂O₅⁻ (IV and V), 200⁻ to Cr₂O₆⁻ (V and VI), and 223⁻ to NaCr₂O₆⁻ (V).

Table 9 (continued on page 26). Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of Na₂CrO₄. Spectra were acquired using the ReO₄⁻ quad SIMS.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignment
23	100.0%	Na ⁺	16	75.0%	O ⁻
27	2.2%	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	17	50.0%	OH ⁻
29	1.9%	CHO ⁺	19	10.0%	F ⁻
39	12.0%	K ⁺ , NaO ⁺	25	9.2%	C ₂ H ⁻
41	2.6%	C ₃ H ₅ ⁺	26	3.4%	CN ⁻
43	0.76%	C ₃ H ₇ ⁺	32	7.1%	O ₂ ⁻
46	0.69%	Na ₂ ⁺	35	12.0%	Cl ⁻
47	0.36%	Na ₂ H ⁺	37	5.1%	Cl ⁻
52	2.4%	Cr ⁺	62	6.6%	NO ₃ ⁻ (?)
53	0.93%		63	13.0%	PO ₂ ⁻
62	1.8%	Na ₂ O ⁺	79	46.0%	PO ₃ ⁻
63	3.6%	Na ₂ OH ⁺	84	13.0%	CrO ₂ ⁻
69	0.66%		85	4.2%	isotope
78	1.0%	Na ₂ O ₂ ⁺	98	7.3%	isotope
99	0.48%	H ₄ PO ₄ ⁺	99	5.1%	isotope
125	1.0%	unassigned	100	100.0%	CrO ₃ ⁻
146	1.0%	Na ₂ CrO ₃ ⁺	101	24.0%	HCrO ₃ ⁻ , isotope

ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak.

Table 9 (continued from page 25). Salient cations and anions observed in the spectra of Na_2CrO_4 . Spectra were acquired using the ReO_4^- quad SIMS.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignment
169	1.1%	$\text{Na}_3\text{CrO}_3^+$	102	5.3%	isotope
185	1.7%	$\text{Na}_3\text{CrO}_4^+$, or HCr_2O_5^+	103	2.2%	isotope
			116	10.0%	CrO_4^-
			117	8.3%	isotope
			137	2.0%	
			139	3.1%	
			168	5.3%	Cr_2O_4^-
			169	2.0%	isotope
			170	1.4%	isotope
			182	1.5%	isotope
			183	1.7%	isotope
			184	14.0%	Cr_2O_5^-
			185	4.8%	isotope
			186	1.7%	isotope
			200	3.9%	Cr_2O_6^-
			201	1.53%	isotope
			202	1.53%	isotope
			223	2.04%	$\text{NaCr}_2\text{O}_6^-$

ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak.

2.2.7. NaHSO_4 . The cation SIMS spectra of sodium bisulfate (Table 10) contains a number of ions which result from surface hydrocarbon contamination; nevertheless, the salient ions derived from the sodium bisulfate are observed and correspond to Na^+ , $\text{Na}_2\text{HSO}_4^+$ (m/z 143⁺), and Na_3SO_4^+ (m/z 165⁺). An abundant ion at m/z 97 (HSO_4^-) was the most significant in the anion spectrum. Surprisingly, the natiated form of this ion (NaSO_4^-) was observed only at very low abundance.

Table 10. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaHSO₄. Spectra were acquired using the ReO₄⁻ quad SIMS instrument.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignment
18	23.%	NH ₄ ⁺	13	42.%	CH ⁻
23	100.%	Na ⁺	14	13.%	CH ₂ ⁻
27	24.%	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	16	100.%	O ⁻
29	39.%	C ₂ H ₅ ⁺	17	76.%	OH ⁻
39	28.%	K ⁺ , C ₃ H ₃ ⁺	24	11.%	C ₂ ⁻
41	91.%	C ₃ H ₅ ⁺	25	25.%	C ₂ H ⁻
53	13.%	C ₄ H ₅ ⁺	26	17.%	CN ⁻
55	51.%	C ₄ H ₇ ⁺	32	9.5%	S ⁻ , O ₂ ⁻
57	54.%	C ₄ H ₉ ⁺	64	6.5%	S ₂ ⁻ , SO ₂ ⁻
63	13.%	Na ₂ OH ⁺	80	24.%	SO ₃ ⁻
65	5.2%	C ₅ H ₅ ⁺	96	27.%	SO ₄ ⁻
67	11.%	C ₅ H ₇ ⁺	97	87.%	HSO ₄ ⁻
69	18.%	C ₅ H ₉ ⁺			
71	18.%	C ₅ H ₁₁ ⁺			
77	8.1%	C ₆ H ₅ ⁺			
79	8.3%	C ₆ H ₇ ⁺			
81	7.4%	C ₆ H ₉ ⁺			
83	8.7%	C ₆ H ₁₁ ⁺			
91	6.6%	C ₇ H ₇ ⁺			
99	7.3%	H ₄ PO ₄ ⁺			
100	11.%	CHA ⁺			
143	21.%	Na ₂ HSO ₄ ⁺			
165	42.%	Na ₃ SO ₄ ⁺			

ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak.

2.2.8. Na₂HPO₄. The cation SIMS spectra of disodium phosphate (Table 11) contains the expected peaks corresponding to Na⁺ and Na₂OH⁺, as well as some hydrocarbon surface contamination. Ions which are more structurally significant are *m/z* 187⁺ and 165⁺, which contain the intact phosphate moiety. The most abundant ions in the anion spectrum correspond to PO₃⁻ (*m/z* 79) and PO₂⁻ (*m/z* 63). These ions are typical of phosphate and phosphonate compounds.¹ The disodium forms of these ions are also observed in the cation spectrum at *m/z* 109⁺ and 125⁺.

Table 11. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of Na₂HPO₄. Spectra were acquired using the ReO₄⁻ quad SIMS instrument.

Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignments	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignments
23	100.0%	Na ⁺	13	10.0%	CH ⁻
27	3.5%	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	16	25.0%	O ⁻
29	5.1%	C ₂ H ₅ ⁺	17	24.0%	OH ⁻
39	4.7%	K ⁺ , C ₃ H ₃ ⁺	25	30.0%	C ₂ H ⁻
41	7.9%	C ₃ H ₅ ⁺	26	15.0%	CN ⁻
43	6.6%	C ₃ H ₇ ⁺	35	6.5%	Cl ⁻
53	2.2%	C ₄ H ₅ ⁺	37	5.2%	Cl ⁻
55	5.4%	C ₄ H ₇ ⁺	41	15.0%	
57	4.5%	C ₄ H ₉ ⁺	42	9.8%	
58	3.6%	C ₃ H ₈ N ⁺	43	9.1%	
62	2.6%	Na ₂ O ⁺	45	6.5%	
63	21.0%	Na ₂ OH ⁺	49	5.1%	
109	2.6%	Na ₂ PO ₂ ⁺	63	46.0%	PO ₂ ⁻
125	2.9%	Na ₂ PO ₃ ⁺	79	100.0%	PO ₃ ⁻
165	3.1%	Na ₃ HPO ₄ ⁺	80	9.3%	PO ₃ H ⁻
187	2.4%	Na ₄ PO ₄ ⁺			

ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak.

2.2.9. NaHCO₂. The cation SIMS spectra of sodium formate (Table 12) has very abundant ions corresponding to Na⁺, Na₂OH⁺, Na₃O⁺, and Na₃CO₃⁺; in this respect, it is very similar to many of the other sodium salts that we have analyzed. In addition, a significant *m/z* 91⁺ is observed, which corresponds to Na₂HCO₂⁺. There is abundant evidence for formate in the anion spectrum: the base peak is HCO₂⁻, and *m/z* 113⁻ and 85⁻ also contain formate.

Table 12. Salient cations and anions observed in the SIMS spectra of NaHCO ₂ . Spectra were acquired using the ReO ₄ ⁻ quad SIMS instrument.					
Cation mass	Cation R.A. ⁱ	Cation assignment	Anion mass	Anion R.A. ⁱ	Anion assignment
23	100.0%	Na ⁺	13	16.0%	CH ⁻
27	3.4%	C ₂ H ₃ ⁺	16	45.0%	O ⁻
29	4.7%	CHO ⁺ or C ₂ H ₅ ⁺	17	51.0%	OH ⁻
39	3.3%	C ₃ H ₃ ⁺	25	67.0%	C ₂ H ⁻
41	7.0%	C ₃ H ₅ ⁺	41	21.0%	HC ₂ O ⁻
43	4.1%	C ₂ H ₃ O ⁺ or C ₃ H ₇ ⁺	45	100.0%	HCO ₂ ⁻
46	3.1%	Na ₂ ⁺	55	14.0%	H ₃ C ₃ O ⁻
47	8.1%	Na ₂ H ⁺	69	17.0%	H ₅ C ₄ O ⁻
55	5.3%	C ₄ H ₇ ⁺	71	10.0%	
57	2.6%	C ₃ H ₅ O ⁺	85	12.0%	Na(OH)(HCO ₂) ⁻
62	3.1%	Na ₂ O ⁺	113	37.0%	Na(HCO ₂) ₂ ⁻
63	55.0%	Na ₂ OH ⁺			
85	6.0%	Na ₃ O ⁺			
91	10.0%	Na ₂ HCO ₂ ⁺			
103	4.1%	(NaOH) ₂ Na ⁺			
113	2.3%	Na ₃ CO ₂ ⁺			
129	13.0%	Na ₃ CO ₃ ⁺			
ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak.					

2.2.10. Conclusions: Analysis of Nitrate/Nitrite Salt Wastes

The use of SIMS for gaining a quantitative description of speciation in complex salt samples is beyond the capability of SIMS at the present time, as demonstrated by the fact that sample 1 was indistinguishable from sample 2. Nevertheless, the studies presented herein show that the SIMS spectra do permit generalizations which appear to be accurate in the context of salt species actually added to the simulated salt sample. Specifically:

- The SIMS spectra of many of the sodium salts are dominated by Na/O-bearing ions, presumably due to their stability and facile formation during ion bombardment;
- OH^- appears to be a gross indicator of the hydroxide content;
- $\text{Na}_2\text{NO}_3^+/\text{Na}_2\text{NO}_2^+$ has potential for nitrate/nitrite distinction;
- organic acids (especially formic) are readily observed using SIMS, but may not represent the sample bulk;
- peroxide species are observed using SIMS;
- aluminum oxide species are observed in the anion spectra;

In addition, it appears that there is an advantage in using a molecular primary ion as opposed to an atomic, as evidenced by greater relative abundances in the higher mass, molecular secondary ions in the spectra collected using the ReO_4^+ quad SIMS instrument. Furthermore, many significant species cannot be unequivocally identified without high resolution, accurate mass measurement capability (such as is available on the TOF SIMS instrument). A good example of this capability is the identification of the aluminum oxide anions, which were indistinguishable from isobaric ions in the spectra collected using the quad SIMS.

2.2.11. References

¹ "Detection of Alkyl Methylphosphonic Acids on Leaf Surfaces by Static Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry", Ingram, J. C., Groenewold, G. S., Appelhans, A. D., Delmore, J. E., Dahl, D. A., Anal. Chem., in press.

2.3 Simulated Ferrocyanide Salt Waste

2.3.1. Composition of Ferrocyanide Salt Samples 3 and 4. The simulated ferrocyanide salt samples were less complex than the nitrate/nitrite samples in terms of the number of components added. The samples consist primarily of sodium nitrate, with a significant quantity of nitrite and sodium nickel ferrocyanide, and a minor quantity of sulfate. The composition of two samples (3 and 4), described in terms of the relative number of moles added, is given in Table 13. Note that sample 3 is identical to sample 4, except for the fact that sample 4 contains 10% additional sodium nickel ferrocyanide. The purpose of adding additional sodium nickel ferrocyanide was to determine if small differences in the quantitative composition could be identified using the SIMS spectrum.

Table 13. Relative molar composition of ferrocyanide samples 3 and 4, normalized to NaNO_3 .		
Component	Sample 3	Sample 4
NaNO_3	1.000	1.000
NaNO_2	0.100	0.100
$\text{Na}_3\text{NO}_3\text{SO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	0.010	0.010
$\text{Na}_2\text{NiFe}(\text{CN})_6$	0.220	0.242

2.3.2. SIMS Spectra, Simulated Ferrocyanide Salt Waste

The cation SIMS spectrum (Table 14, Figure 5) of sample 3 contains a very abundant Na^+ , and other ions indicative of sodium salts, namely Na_2O^+ , Na_2OH^+ , Na_2O_2^+ , Na_3O^+ , Na_3O_2^+ and Na_3CO_3^+ . Sodium nitrate and nitrite-bearing ions were observed at m/z 92⁺ and 108⁺. The abundance ratio 108⁺/92⁺ was measured at 0.8, which is consistent with a mixed nitrate/nitrite sample (see Figure 3).

Cyanide was observable in the form of an abundant Na_2CN^+ , and a less abundant $\text{Na}_3(\text{CN})_2^+$. A low abundance ion at m/z 56⁺ is attributed to Fe^+ , and ions at m/z 156⁺/158⁺ are best interpreted in terms of $\text{Ni}(\text{SO}_4)^+$. Significant ions observed at m/z 187⁺, 165⁺, and 125⁺ indicate the presence of phosphate (as do m/z 79⁺ and 63⁺); this is surprising in that no phosphate was reported to have been added to the simulated salt sample. The appearance of m/z 133⁺ is also surprising, because it is normally attributable to Cs^+ , but no Cs^+ was reported to have been added.

Table 14. Cation SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide waste salt, aquired using ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument

Cation Mass	Cation r.a. ⁱ	Cation Assignment
23	100.0%	Na^+
27	0.73%	C_2H_3^+
29	0.60%	CHO^+ , C_2H_5^+
39	0.59%	C_3H_3^+ , K^+
41	0.63%	C_2OH^+ , C_3H_5^+
46	0.64%	Na_2^+
56	0.35%	Fe^+
62	4.9%	Na_2O^+
63	3.2%	Na_2OH^+
72	7.8%	Na_2CN^+
78	2.0%	Na_2O_2^+
85	3.4%	Na_3O^+
88	0.70%	
92	1.6%	Na_2NO_2^+
101	1.7%	Na_3O_2^+
108	1.1%	Na_2NO_3^+
121	0.47%	$\text{Na}_3(\text{CN})_2^+$
125	0.56%	Na_2PO_3^+
129	0.92%	Na_3CO_3^+
133	0.48%	Cs^+
147	0.42%	
149	0.50%	$\text{C}_8\text{H}_5\text{O}_4^+$
156	0.63%	NiSO_4^+
158	0.27%	NiSO_4^+
165	0.59%	Na_3SO_4^+ or $\text{Na}_3\text{HPO}_4^+$
187	0.39%	Na_4PO_4^+

ⁱ Cation abundance relative to base peak.

The most abundant ion in the anion spectrum is CN^- , and relatively abundant Na, Fe and Ni cyanide complexes are also readily observable at m/z 75, 108, 110, 112, 134, 136, and 138 (Table 15, Figure 6). For Fe and Ni, the appearance of two complexes is interpreted in terms of two gas-phase oxidation states. For ease of comparison, these ions and their abundances were extracted into Table 16.

Table 15. Anion SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide waste salt, aquired using ReO_4^- quad SIMS instrument

Anion mass	Anion r.a. ¹	Anion assignment
16	23.0%	O^-
17	8.9%	OH^-
19	1.2%	F^-
24	1.9%	C_2^-
25	3.8%	C_2H^-
26	100.0%	CN^-
30	1.2%	N_2H_2^-
32	1.9%	O_2^-
42	4.6%	CNO^-
46	4.7%	NO_2^-
62	3.7%	NO_3^-
63	1.5%	PO_2^-
64	1.2%	
75	1.5%	$\text{Na}(\text{CN})_2^-$
79	3.1%	PO_3^-
90	1.8%	
108	2.6%	$\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_2^-$
110	14.0%	$\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_2^-$
112	6.6%	$\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_2^-$
114	1.5%	
115	1.4%	$\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)_2^-$
124	1.7%	
126	1.4%	
134	6.9%	$\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_3^-$
136	3.4%	$\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_3^-$
138	2.4%	$\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_3^-$
150	1.5%	

¹ Anion abundance relative to base peak.

Table 16. Comparison of Fe and Ni complex ions observed in simulated ferrocyanide salt waste

m/z	ion assignment	metal and state	relative abundance
108 ⁻	$\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_2^-$	Fe (I)	2.6%
134 ⁻	$\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_3^-$	Fe (II)	6.9%
110/112 ⁻	$\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_2^-$	Ni (I)	20% ¹
136/138 ⁻	$\text{Ni}(\text{CN})_3^-$	Ni (II)	5.8% ¹

¹ Relative abundance is the sum of the two ions listed.

The comparison presented in Table 16 permits several conclusions: 1) the Ni cyanide complexes are observed more readily than Fe (note that the sample contained equimolar quantities of Fe and Ni). We find this surprising in light of the solution phase formation constants, which would indicate that $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-} > \text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{4-} > \text{Ni}(\text{CN})_4^{2-}$. 2) The observation of the metal (II) cyanide anion is preferred in the case of Fe, while the metal (I) cyanide anion is preferred for Ni. 3) Both metals are reduced from the II state to the I state, albeit to different extents. The reduction may be occurring in the salt mixture, or during the SIMS bombardment; recall that both metals started in the II state ($\text{Na}_2\text{NiFe}(\text{CN})_6$).

The SIMS spectra of sample 4 were extremely similar to those of sample 3, with the exception of ions at m/z 115⁻, 131⁻ and 147⁻, which were significantly more abundant in sample 4. These ions correspond to $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)_2^-$, $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_2)(\text{NO}_3)^-$, and $\text{Na}(\text{NO}_3)_2^-$, and are also observed in samples that are high in nitrate. Since there are no significant differences in the nitrate concentration between samples 3 and 4, we hypothesize that the observation is due to sample inhomogeneity, which might result from incomplete sample mixing, or salt separation upon drying.

35. Figure 5. Cation SIMS spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide salt waste.

36. Figure 6. Anion spectrum of simulated ferrocyanide salt waste.

2.3.3. SIMS Spectra, Benchmark Ferrocyanide and Ferricyanide Salts.

An important issue in assessing the stability of the salt waste is the ability to distinguish between ferrocyanide and ferricyanide species. For this reason, potassium ferrocyanide ($K_4Fe(CN)_6$) and potassium ferricyanide ($K_3Fe(CN)_6$) were analyzed. Crystals of these salts were attached to a stainless steel target, and no other sample manipulation was performed prior to analysis.

The cation spectra of both salts were qualitatively and quantitatively the same (within experimental error). The only abundant ions corresponded to K^+ , $K_2(CN)^+$, and a much smaller $K_3(CN)_2^+$ ion (Table 17).

Table 17. Salient cations in the SIMS spectrum of potassium ferricyanide ($K_3Fe(CN)_6$) and potassium ferrocyanide ($K_4Fe(CN)_6$).			
Cation mass	$K_3Fe(CN)_6^i$	$K_4Fe(CN)_6^i$	assignments
39	100.0%	100.0%	K^+
41	8.5%	11.0%	$^{41}K^+$
55	0.77%	1.7%	$C_4H_7^+$
56	1.0%	1.1%	Fe^+
57	0.89%	2.2%	$C_4H_9^+$
104	7.9%	11.0%	K_2CN^+
106	1.2%	1.9%	$^{41}K^{39}KCN^+$
169	0.20%	0.29%	$K_3(CN)_2^+$
ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak			

The anion spectra of $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ and $K_4Fe(CN)_6$ were also very similar (Table 18). The most significant difference observed was in the abundance of m/z 90⁻ which was more abundant in the ferricyanide spectrum: unfortunately, the identity of this ion has not yet been unequivocally established.

Table 18. Salient anions in the SIMS spectrum of potassium ferricyanide ($K_3Fe(CN)_6$) and potassium ferrocyanide ($K_4Fe(CN)_6$).

Anion mass	$K_3Fe(CN)_6^i$	$K_4Fe(CN)_6^i$	Anion assignments
13	11.1%	12.1%	CH^-
16	22.1%	11.1%	O^-
17	11.1%	7.9%	OH^-
24	3.0%	4.3%	C_2^-
25	8.8%	14.1%	C_2H^-
26	100.1%	100.1%	CN^-
35	3.1%	7.6%	Cl^-
37	1.8%	3.1%	Cl^-
38	2.8%	2.2%	
39	0.72%	0.74%	
40	2.3%	2.8%	
41	2.0%	1.9%	
42	3.9%	1.9%	
43	1.0%	1.1%	
49	1.0%	2.0%	
50	6.4%	4.3%	
90	5.6%	1.7%	$Fe(OH)_2^-$
91	4.8%	6.3%	$K(CN)_2^-$
108	8.1%	7.6%	$Fe(CN)_2^-$
134	31.1%	18.1%	$Fe(CN)_3^-$
146	1.6%	1.4%	
158	1.6%	1.2%	
ⁱ Ion abundances relative to base peak			

Because there appeared to be subtle differences in the abundances of m/z 134⁻ and 108⁻, the samples were irradiated for prolonged periods of time, in order to ablate the top one or two monolayers. We felt that this might augment spectral differences between the two salts, which might otherwise be obscured by

surface contaminants. We adopted the ion abundance ratio of $134/108^-$ as a figure of merit for these experiments (Figure 7). In the case of the ferricyanide, the ratio slowly increases from about 3.5 to slightly greater than 4. Given the uncertainty in our measurements, this increase is probably not significant. The $134/108^-$ ratio for the ferrocyanide, on the other hand, underwent a decrease from approximately 2.5 to about 1 after prolonged irradiation.

Figure 7. Ion abundance ratio of m/z 134/108 $^-$ for $K_3Fe(CN)_6$ and $K_4Fe(CN)_6$.

Thus ferricyanide is somewhat more proficient at forming m/z 134 $^-$ ($Fe(CN)_3^-$) than is the ferrocyanide. We speculate that the reason for the observation of enhanced m/z 134 $^-$ in the case of the ferrocyanide after a limited dose is that the outermost layers of this salt are exposed to atmospheric oxygen, which may oxidize the Fe(II) to Fe(III). When the oxidized ferrocyanide is irradiated with a

dose of about 10^{13} ions/cm² (near the 'static SIMS limit'), then a more limited propensity for the formation of m/z 134⁻ is observed.

The production of m/z 134⁻ and 108⁻ during SIMS bombardment of ferricyanide requires one and two electron reductions of Fe(III) (Figure 8). Presumably m/z 134⁻ is more abundant because it is easier to achieve a one e⁻ reduction than a two e⁻ reduction required for the formation of m/z 108⁻. There is no evidence for a reducing agent in the SIMS spectrum; it is possible that the ReO₄⁻ primary ion might serve as an electron source, although we do not have a good hypothesis at this time.

Figure 8. Reactions proposed for the formation of m/z 134⁻ and 108⁻ during the SIMS analysis of potassium ferricyanide.

The production of m/z 134⁻ (Fe(CN)₃⁻) in the SIMS spectrum of ferrocyanide can be written as a neutral reaction (Figure 9). Yet, as primary ion bombardment proceeds, the formation of this ion becomes less prevalent compared to the formation of m/z 108⁻ (Fe(CN)₂⁻), which again requires a one e⁻ reduction.

Figure 9. Reactions proposed for the formation of m/z 134⁻ and 108⁻ during the SIMS analysis of potassium ferrocyanide.

These results offer some hope of being able to distinguish between the two iron cyanide species. It might be possible to enhance spectral differences if the ReO₄⁻ primary ion gun could be used to probe salt surface subsequent to surface ablation using a high amperage (microamps) primary ion gun (e.g., Cs⁺) capable of ablating many monolayers.

2.3.4. Conclusions: Analysis of Ferrocyanide Salt Wastes.

The ReO₄⁻ quadrupole SIMS instrumentation displays many of the species that are thought to be present in the simulated salt waste, including nitrate, cyanide, and ions at m/z 134⁻ and 108⁻ which are representative of ferrocyanide. We note that these latter ions are also indicative of ferricyanide, but at the present time understanding has not been developed which would permit distinction between the two closely related species ferricyanide and ferrocyanide. Experiments to distinguish between the two species offer the hope that the m/z 134⁻/108⁻ abundance ratio is sensitive to the Fe oxidation state, but more experiments are necessary before the ratio may be employed for this distinction.

3.0 Mechanism of TBP Desorption from Surfaces

3.1 Introduction

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) has been used to characterize contaminants adsorbed to surfaces, but is generally considered insensitive to the orientation or adsorption of surface species, because it is a high energy technique. This view is consistent with experiments which employ energetic (kilovolt), *atomic*, primary ion guns that are operated at *microamps* of current: excellent elemental analyses and elemental depth profiling are achieved, but little molecular information from the top monolayer is generated. Molecular species can be observed in SIMS by using an *atomic* primary at lower beam current (i.e., by employing static SIMS conditions), but little is known about the relationship between the secondary ions observed and the nature of the adsorbed surface molecules. In the present paper we show that SIMS using a *molecular* primary ion, operated at *picoamps* of current, is sensitive not only to the presence of surface molecules, but also sensitive to their mode of adsorption. This indicates that at least in some cases, static SIMS is useful for understanding contaminant-surface interactions in the environment. These interactions are important because they control transport, degradation, and remediation chemistries. The system presently under study is tri-*n*-butyl phosphate (TBP) on basalt and quartz.

The detection of TBP on mineral surfaces is being investigated because TBP has been used extensively for the extraction of uranium and plutonium as nitrate salts from nitric acid solutions¹. TBP evolved as the most attractive chemical for these processes, because the phosphoryl moiety coordinates with metals having oxidation states of III or higher to form adducts or solvates (an example of such a species is $\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2\text{TBP}$). These species are partitioned from concentrated HNO_3 solutions into organic phases, and this phenomenon is exploited in separation processes.¹ Poor disposal practices for process wastes have resulted in TBP and radionuclides being buried together in uncontained landfills; as a result, TBP is a significant environmental contaminant at some DOE sites.²

SIMS investigations of TBP have been focused on basalt, because it is the predominant mineral found on the Department of Energy (DOE) Hanford site³, and at the DOE's Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL). Significant nuclear fuel reprocessing, and waste disposal activities have occurred at both sites, and at Hanford improper disposal has resulted in the release of TBP, radionuclides, and other contaminants into the environment.² Thus basalt is an environmental medium that will have to be reckoned with during the course of characterization and remediation activities. At the present time, there are no rapid means for the analysis of TBP on basalt, and little is known about the interaction of TBP with basalt surfaces. This is partly due to the fact that basalt is an inhomogeneous mineral, consisting of many phases,⁴ and can have a

highly variable surface morphology. The inhomogeneity and morphology makes contaminant-surface interactions difficult to sort out.

In the present study, SIMS was used for the analysis of TBP on basalt, because the technique is sensitive for surface contaminants, and can be performed with little or no sample preparation.^{5,6} As a result, many samples could be rapidly analyzed for TBP, which allowed the SIMS spectra of TBP to be correlated with the mineral phase.

3.2 Experimental

3.2.1. SIMS Instrumentation. The instrument used for the majority of the studies has been described in detail previously;⁷ a brief description will be provided here. The instrument uses ReO_4^- as the primary bombarding particle, which is produced by heating a $\text{Ba}(\text{ReO}_4)_2$ ceramic in vacuum.⁸ The ceramic was synthesized in our laboratories, and processed in a form that could be used as an ion source. ReO_4^- ions that are emitted upon heating are accelerated to 10 keV. The ion gun was typically operated at 80 picoamps (the ion current from the gun could be continuously adjusted by adjusting the current passing through the heating element that supported the ceramic). The focusing of the primary ion gun was adjusted so that the sample was just silhouetted on an image intensifier located behind the sample. Thus, most of the primary ion beam is directed onto the target. A typical acquisition required 120 seconds, and a typical sample had an area of about 0.03 cm^2 ; thus a normal primary ion dose was $2.0 \times 10^{12} \text{ ions/cm}^2$, which is less than the commonly accepted static SIMS limit.⁹

The secondary anions and cations were extracted from the sample target region using pulsed secondary ion extraction.⁷ This technique alternately extracts anions and cations from the sample surface by alternating the polarity of the secondary ion extraction lens. This technique mitigates charge buildup on the surface of the sample, and thus permits facile analysis of electrically insulating samples like basalt and quartz. The ratio of [time extracting cations] / [time extracting anions] is adjustable in this instrument, and in the case of the basalt and quartz samples, a value of 3 worked well. The total period for the pulsed extraction sequence was 120 milliseconds, divided as follows: cation extraction, 84 milliseconds; electronic settle time, 4 milliseconds; anion extraction, 28 milliseconds; electronic settle time, 4 milliseconds. This sequence was repeated for each 0.2 u step of the scan of the quadrupole secondary mass spectrometer, which was scanned from 10 to 310 u. The quadrupole was a m/z 2 - 2000 u instrument, manufactured by Extrel, and modified in our laboratory. The quadrupole was tuned for unit mass resolution and optimum sensitivity for m/z 81⁻ and 198⁺ in the SIMS spectrum of tetrahexyl ammonium bromide.¹⁰

Several measurements were made to verify fragmentation pathways using an ion trap SIMS instrument recently constructed in the authors' laboratory.¹¹ A

rigorous description of this instrument is beyond the scope of this paper at this date, because the instrument is still undergoing substantial refinement. Briefly, an ReO_4^- ion gun and an offset electron multiplier are located coaxially behind one end cap of a modified Finnigan ion trap mass spectrometer (Finnigan-MAT Corporation, San Jose, CA 95134-1991 USA). The sample, attached to a probe, is located 3 mm from the opposite end cap and the ReO_4^- beam at 3.5 keV is focused on the sample through the ion trap. A diagram of the instrument is found in reference 11. Ions in the mass range of interest were collected using filtered noise fields applied with a Teledyne system (Teledyne Electronics Technology, Mountain View, CA 94043), and collisionally induced dissociation was performed using a supplementary RF field on the end caps.

3.2.2. Precision of SIMS data. Since the interpretations in this paper are based on measurement of relative abundances, a discussion of the precision of relative abundance measurements is appropriate. Replicate analyses of the TBP- or tributyl phosphite-exposed samples were not performed, because the adsorbed organic compounds tend to slowly desorb while in the vacuum system (pressure is 5×10^{-7} to 5×10^{-8} torr), thereby introducing a systematic variance to the measurements. Further, comparison of multiple samples is confounded by irregular surface morphology, and varying surface composition, which has an important effect on the secondary ion mass spectrum. Precision was addressed by analyzing an "unexposed" basalt sample on four consecutive days, normalizing the data to the base peak, and then calculating relative standard deviations for the relative abundances for each mass. Relative abundances and relative standard deviation (rsd) values are presented for selected ions in Table 19 for four replicate analyses of a single INEL basalt chip which had not been exposed to TBP. Values for rsd range from 4% to over 90%. Generally, the larger rsd values are calculated for those ions having small relative abundances. Large rsd values are also calculated for some abundant ions susceptible to changing surface chemistry as the analyses proceeded. An example of an ion of this type is m/z 23⁺ (Na^+): this ion likely holds constant across the four analyses, but appears to be variable because the abundance of the base peak (m/z 41⁺) and many other organic ions slowly decreases as the sample is repetitively analyzed. These considerations illustrate that SIMS of organic surface contaminants is a qualitative technique at this point in time. Nevertheless, the above discussion leads us to conclude that the rsd for relative abundance measurements are within 100% for low abundance ions, and can be expected to be in the 4% - 30% range for other more abundant ions.

Many spectra of TBP- and tributyl phosphite-exposed minerals were collected during the course of this study. For reasons documented in the preceding paragraph, it was not appropriate to average spectra of exposed minerals. The relative abundance values presented in Table 20 were instead derived from single spectra, which were chosen because they were representative of multiple experiments: the values reported were close the midrange of relative

abundances observed in all analyses of a given compound for a given sample-type.

3.2.3. CI and EI MS. Chemical ionization (CH_4) GC/MS analyses were performed using a Hewlett Packard 5989A MS Engine interfaced to a Hewlett Packard 5890 Series II gas chromatograph. For the CI experiments, the reagent gas pressure in the ion source was adjusted such that the abundance of m/z 41⁺ was optimized, and this corresponded to an ion gauge reading of 2×10^{-4} torr on the ion gauge located on the source manifold; the source pressure was estimated to be 3 orders of magnitude higher. Electron ionization mass spectra were acquired using a Varian Saturn ITMS (ion trap); electron energy was 70 eV.

3.2.4. SEM/EDS. The mineral compositions of the basalt samples were investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS). No attempt was made to cut or polish the basalt chips prior to SEM and EDS (or SIMS) analysis. This decision was made in order to ensure that the sample surfaces would be undisturbed, and would hence correlate well with the types of basalt chips which would be encountered in the field. A consequence of this decision is that the error in the EDS data may be augmented because the surface is not flat. However, the samples were mounted to provide relatively flat areas for analysis and minimize this error. SEM images were obtained using an Amray Model 1830 instrument, which was operated with a 20 keV electron beam. EDS analyses were performed using a Fisons-KeveX Delta 5 instrument. As in the case of the SEM analyses, 20 keV incident electrons were used. The spatial resolution for this analysis is approximately 1 - 2 microns, which corresponds to the diameter of the approximate volume excited by the incident electron beam. The EDS analyses were standardless, and ZAF (Z #, absorption, fluorescence) corrections were accomplished by using either the Magic V or extended PHIRHOZ (XPP, Quantex+ version 6 software, Fisons Instrument Manufacturers, Inc., 24911 Stanford Avenue, Valencia, CA 91355) quantification routines. Magic V¹² was used when oxygen was not present in the spectrum, and XPP, which is considered more accurate for light elements, was used when oxygen was present. For elements which are > 5 atom %, the accuracy of this method is on the order of 4 - 8% (relative) when analyzing flat, polished specimens.

3.2.5. Sample origin and handling and preparation. Basalt samples were collected from the Central Facilities Area of the INEL, the Blackfoot River area of southeast Idaho, and the Elephant Mountain area, near the Hanford reservation (Washington). The INEL basalt was chosen because it is typical of the INEL site: it has a very rough surface morphology which is typical of young basalt (in this case approximately 7000 years old). The Blackfoot River basalt was collected from off the INEL site, and had a smoother surface as a result of extensive weathering. This basalt is older than the INEL basalt, but the exact age is not known. The Elephant Mountain basalt is also smoother and older (it is thought

to be approximately 10.5 million years old), and is typical of the Hanford site.³ One additional sample was analyzed: this was a quartzic rock collected from the Raritan, NJ area. Samples from this area are being studied because it has experienced environmental contamination from chemical warfare agents. The Raritan rocks consisted almost entirely of SiO_2 (as determined by EDS).

Typical sample preparation involved wrapping the basalt or quartz sample in a paper towel, and then pounding it with a hammer to make small rock chips (size was variable, but typically 2 mm in diameter). These chips were then attached to target planchets using double-sided tape, and admitted to the spectrometer using a direct insertion probe.

The basalt and quartz chips, and the ferrous and ferric oxide powders were exposed to TBP in three different ways: 1) known quantities of aqueous TBP solutions were spiked onto the mineral surfaces, and allowed to dry prior to admitting the samples into the instrument; 2) the mineral samples were immersed in aqueous, and CH_2Cl_2 solutions of TBP for typically 1 - 2 hours, removed, and allowed to dry; 3) samples were exposed to TBP in the atmosphere, by holding a basalt sample over an open bottle of TBP for 15 to 60 seconds. The concentrations of the TBP/ H_2O and TBP/ CH_2Cl_2 solutions were 800 and 1000 ppm, respectively. All TBP exposures were conducted at room temperature. These concentrations resulted in SIMS spectra which had good signal-to-background, whether the samples were spiked or immersed in the TBP solutions.

Basalt and quartz chips, and the ferrous and ferric oxide powders were exposed to tributyl phosphite in two ways: 1) chips were suspended for 1 - 2 hours in a vial, which contained a few microliters of tributyl phosphite in the bottom; 2) chips were spiked with 2 - 4 μl of tributyl phosphite/ CH_2Cl_2 solution (1 $\mu\text{g}/\mu\text{l}$). Similar spectra (ions and relative abundances) were observed using both techniques. All tributyl phosphite exposures were conducted at room temperature.

3.2.6. Chemicals. Tributyl phosphate was obtained from MCB Manufacturing Chemists, Inc. The purity of TBP was checked using GC/MS; only TBP was observed in the chromatogram. Tributyl phosphite was obtained from Aldrich (technical grade); GC/MS analysis of this compound showed an impurity that was identified as tributyl phosphate. The concentration of tributyl phosphate in the tributyl phosphite was estimated at 3 - 4%, based on the GC/MS peak areas. Iron (III) oxide was obtained from John Mathey Specialty Products, and was Grade I. Iron (II) oxide was obtained from Cerac.

3.3 Results

3.3.1 SIMS Analysis of TBP on basalt, quartz, and iron oxides. The cation SIMS spectra of basalt samples from three different geographical

locations, and of quartz samples were similar in that they contained peaks which corresponded to even-electron hydrocarbon ions, alkali metal ions, and ions derived from phthalate, and siloxane (Figure 10a). In addition, ions originating from cyclohexylamine were usually observed: this compound is added to the laboratory boiler at INEL to prevent scaling, and gets into the laboratory air because the boiler is also used for humidification. These observations are consistent with the idea that most of the secondary ion signal is originating from surface contaminants. Fortunately, few ions above mass > 100 were very abundant in the spectra of the unexposed samples, which allowed the chemistry of TBP to be observed without significant isobaric interferences.

Ions originating from TBP could be readily observed in the cation and anion SIMS spectra of basalt, quartz, and iron oxide samples that had been exposed to TBP (Figure 10b, 10c, Table 20). These ions could be observed when the samples were exposed to TBP solutions (H_2O or CH_2Cl_2), or TBP vapor. On a qualitative basis, the SIMS spectra of TBP were consistent irrespective of the method of exposure for all surfaces, except FeO (see below). The fact that TBP vapor would readily contaminate a mineral surface created problems in the laboratory, because when neat TBP or highly TBP-contaminated samples were manipulated in the lab, it was subsequently difficult to acquire a SIMS spectrum of basalt which did not have ions derived from TBP. The phenomenon is indicative of both the unusual ability of TBP to adsorb to mineral surfaces, and the high sensitivity of SIMS for the detection of compounds bound in this manner. A positive aspect of the phenomenon is that it provided a means by which mineral samples could be exposed to TBP without using solvent.

The relative abundances of the salient ions observed in the cation SIMS spectra of TBP were observed to vary significantly, depending on the mineral sample (Table 20). Changes in the abundances of ions at m/z 249⁺, 235⁺, 217⁺, 193⁺, 175⁺, 137⁺, and 119⁺ permit the samples to be grouped into two categories: abundances of these ions are high in the spectra of Elephant Mountain (Figure 10b) and Blackfoot River basalts, and FeO (exposed to TBP/ CH_2Cl_2 solution), and low in the spectra of the INEL basalt (Figure 10c), Raritan quartz, and Fe_2O_3 . A second distinction may be made based on the abundances of m/z 209⁺ and 153⁺, which are high in the case of the iron oxides, but lower for the other four samples.

The cation SIMS spectrum of TBP on FeO varied depending on the method of exposure: when FeO was exposed to TBP vapor or TBP/ CH_2Cl_2 solutions, the SIMS spectrum appeared very much like the Elephant Mountain and Blackfoot River Basalt samples. When FeO was exposed to aqueous TBP, on the other hand, the spectrum looked like the spectrum collected from the Fe_2O_3 sample; in fact, tiny patches of orange, oxidized FeO could be observed (using an optical microscope) on the sample that had been exposed to the aqueous TBP solution.

The anion SIMS spectra of the unexposed mineral samples were also very similar (Figure 11a): they are dominated by O^- and OH^- , with lower abundance ions corresponding to C_2H^- , SiO_2^- , and SiO_3^- . When the mineral samples are exposed to TBP, the only significant ions that can be observed are m/z 63⁻ and 79⁻, which correspond to PO_2^- and PO_3^- (Figure 11b, 11c). The anion spectra

were not observed to be dependent upon the surface chemistry of the TBP-mineral system.

3.3.2. SEM/EDS Analyses of Basalt. The surfaces of the basalt samples were difficult to quantitatively describe because they were very inhomogeneous: scanning electron micrographs together with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) revealed that samples from all three locations contained four significant types of mineral phases, which were plagioclase, pyroxene, olivine, and Ti-bearing spinels. Plagioclase accounts for the largest fraction of the surface area of the basalt samples that were studied, and by definition, its composition ranges from anorthite ($\text{CaAl}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_8$) to albite ($\text{NaAlSi}_3\text{O}_8$). Generally, plagioclase was identified by significant quantities of Al (6 - 9 atom %) in the EDS analyses, and appearance of dark gray to black areas in the back scattered electron images generated by the SEM (indicative of few higher Z number elements). Pyroxene phases were also observed on the sample surfaces; in contrast to the plagioclase, the pyroxenes contained substantial amounts of Fe, and lack substantial Al. By definition, pyroxenes have the stoichiometry $\text{X}_{1-p}\text{Y}_{1+p}\text{Si}_2\text{O}_6$, where X is Ca or Na, Y can be one of several transition metals (including Fe^{+2} and Fe^{+3}), and $0 \leq p \leq 1$. Olivine phases were also observed in most of the samples, although in general they are not as prevalent as are the plagioclase and pyroxene phases. The olivines have the general formula $\text{Mg}_x\text{Fe}_{2-x}\text{SiO}_4$, where $0 \leq x \leq 2$. Finally, most of the samples that were examined had smaller areas which corresponded to phases that were high in Ti: examples of these minerals are ilmenite, FeTiO_3 , and ulvospinel, $\text{Fe}_2\text{TiSiO}_4$. These Ti-bearing spinels are readily observed as bright areas in the back-scattered electron images generated by the SEM. *The important observation relative to the SIMS behavior of TBP on basalt is the fact that substantial amounts of Fe^{+2} are certainly present in the olivine and Ti-spinel phases, and probably in the pyroxenes.*

When the SEM/EDS analyses of basalt samples from different areas were compared, significant qualitative differences were observed. Plagioclase was the most important phase in the samples of INEL basalt, accounting for up to 90% of the surface area (based upon visual estimation). A minor phase was identified was Fe-bearing pyroxene. Occasionally, samples of INEL basalt contained higher percentages of Fe-bearing phases, which were identified as hematite (Fe_2O_3). This identification was consistent with the reddish color of these samples. No olivine phases were observed, and Ti-bearing spinels accounted for only a small fraction of the total surface area. Significantly, phases which contain Fe(II) are much less prevalent in INEL basalts than in the Elephant Mountain or Blackfoot River samples.

Although the Elephant Mountain basalt samples also contained substantial plagioclase phases, they could be readily distinguished from the INEL basalt samples by the presence of Ti-bearing spinels and olivine phases, which accounted for a significant portion of the surface area. These phases all contain substantial amounts of Fe(II). Specific phases identified included fayalite (Fe_2SiO_4 , an olivine), ilmenite (FeTiO_3), and ulvospinel (Fe_2TiO_4). In addition,

pyroxene phases containing substantial Fe (7 to 10 atom %) were more abundant than in the case of the INEL basalt samples.

Fewer phases were observed in the SEM/EDS images of the Blackfoot River basalt. As in the cases of the Elephant Mountain and INEL basalts, plagioclase accounted for substantial fractions of the sample surfaces (70 - 80 %, based upon visual estimation), but large areas of olivine (FeMgSiO_4) and ulvospinel (Fe_2TiO_4) phases occupied most of the rest of the surface (surface coverage of up to 10 % for each phase). The presence of Fe(II) in these phases distinguishes them from the INEL basalt samples. A silica phase occupied a small fraction of the surface of the Blackfoot River basalts, and was identified by the presence of Si, O, and almost nothing else.

A quartzic rock obtained from a Raritan, NJ site was analyzed for comparison with the basalt. SEM/EDS analyses revealed that the sample was overwhelmingly SiO_2 , with a few very small areas which contained transition metals.

3.3.3. SIMS analysis of tributyl phosphite on basalt, quartz, and iron oxides. When Blackfoot River basalt was exposed to tributyl phosphite vapor, the same set of secondary ions was observed as in the case of TBP (Table 20), together with a m/z 83⁺ which was more abundant than the background spectra or the TBP-exposed spectra. (Note that m/z 83⁺ has not been included in the data tables, because it is isobaric with a background $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}^+$, which arises from ubiquitous hydrocarbon background, and the cyclohexyl amine surface contaminant.) The absolute abundances (counts per second) of the secondary ions for tributyl phosphite were usually on the order of 2 to 5 times lower than similar TBP ion abundances, for similar samples exposed in the same fashion. This may indicate that more TBP is adsorbed to the sample surface, or that TBP is more prolific at forming cations than tributyl phosphite. A consequence of the lower abundances is that the tributyl phosphite ions are not greatly more abundant than the normal SIMS background, and hence the higher mass, lower abundance ions reported for tributyl phosphite (Table 20) must be interpreted with this caution. The above caveat notwithstanding the relative abundances of the tributyl phosphite ions observed on Blackfoot River Basalt were in reasonable agreement with those recorded for TBP on Blackfoot River and Elephant Mountain basalts, and FeO (the latter exposed to gas phase TBP).

The analysis of tributyl phosphite on FeO (deposited as a CH_2Cl_2 solution, 1 part-per-thousand) was also difficult to interpret because of lower secondary ion intensity associated with analyses of tributyl phosphite. Nevertheless, m/z 193⁺, 175⁺, 153⁺, 137⁺, 119⁺, 99⁺, and 83⁺ were clearly discernible above the background. The FeO powder was also exposed to tributyl phosphite vapor; in this experiment, the same ions were observed, but the absolute ion abundances were less than in the CH_2Cl_2 experiment. In both the tributyl phosphite on FeO experiments (vapor and CH_2Cl_2 solution exposures), the relative abundances of these ions were similar to tributyl phosphite on Blackfoot River basalt, and to TBP on FeO, Blackfoot River basalt, and Elephant Mountain basalt. For example, m/z 137⁺ was observed at 50 to 60 % in the tributyl phosphite spectra,

which suggests that the tributyl phosphite spectra can be grouped with TBP spectra on basalts with Fe(II) and on FeO.

The SIMS spectrum of tributyl phosphite (gas-phase) on Fe₂O₃ is very similar to that obtained for FeO.

3.3.4. Gas-phase behavior of ionized TBP and tributyl phosphite. The chemical ionization (CI) and electron ionization (EI) mass spectra of the subject compounds were examined in order to determine whether the ions attributed to surface reduction could also be formed from gas-phase processes. The methane CI spectrum (Table 21) of TBP contains four separate ion series, that originate with four "parent" ions: these four ions correspond to $[M + C_3H_5]^+$, $[M + C_2H_5]^+$, $[M + H]^+$, and $[M - H]^+$. They behave similarly in that they proceed to eliminate one and/or two and/or three butene molecules. The series which originates with $[M + H]^+$ accounts for the most abundant ions in the spectrum, at m/z 267⁺, 211⁺, 155⁺, and 99⁺. MS/MS decompositions relating these ions have been observed using a new ion trap SIMS instrument recently constructed in the authors' laboratory: 267⁺ produces 211⁺, 155⁺, and 99⁺; 211⁺ produces 155⁺ and 99⁺; 155⁺ produces 99⁺; 137⁺ produces 119⁺.

The electron ionization (EI) mass spectrum of TBP¹³ (Table 22) contains a low abundance M^+ at m/z 266⁺, which fragments via the loss of $C_4H_7^•$ (m/z 211⁺), and then undergoes losses of C_4H_8 , to produce ions at 155⁺, and 99⁺.¹⁴ The EI spectrum also contains other low abundance ions which correspond to the loss of $C_2H_5^•$ and $C_3H_7^•$ from the M^+ . The fragment ions produced by these eliminations subsequently lose one and two C_4H_8 molecules to produce other low abundance fragment ions, one of which is m/z 125⁺. In addition, a low abundance m/z 137⁺ ion is observable.

The CI and EI mass spectra of tributyl phosphite were examined in order to identify the behavior of gas-phase tributyl phosphite ions, and correlate this behavior with that observed in the SIMS analyses. The methane CI mass spectrum of tributyl phosphite (Table 21) was dominated by the sequential losses of three C_4H_8 molecules from a very low abundance $[M + H]^+$ (m/z 251⁺) to form m/z 195⁺, 139⁺, and 83⁺ (base peak). A lower abundance ion series was observed at m/z 249⁺, 193⁺, and 137⁺, and as in the case of TBP, the series was interpreted in terms of the elimination of one and two C_4H_8 molecules from a $[M - H]^+$ formed via hydride abstraction. The CI mass spectrum also contains $[M + C_3H_5]^+$ and $[M + C_2H_5]^+$, and their C_4H_8 elimination products: the [tributyl phosphite + C_2H_5]⁺ ion and its fragment ions were observed at m/z 279⁺, 223⁺, 167⁺, and 111⁺; the [tributyl phosphite + C_3H_5]⁺ ion was not observed, but the C_4H_8 losses were observable at m/z 235⁺, 179⁺, and 123⁺.

The fragmentation reactions of tributyl phosphite under electron ionization (EI) conditions¹³ (Table 22) were very similar to the EI fragmentations of TBP: the M^+ (not observed) eliminates $C_4H_7^•$ to form m/z 195⁺, which subsequently undergoes sequential losses of C_4H_8 , to produce ions at 139⁺, and 83⁺. M/z 195⁺ can also eliminate H_2O to form m/z 177⁺, which further undergoes losses of two C_4H_8 to form m/z 121⁺ and 65⁺. M/z 83⁺ accounts for the base peak in the

spectrum, and m/z 139⁺ and 195⁺ also have significant ion abundances. Other than lower mass hydrocarbon peaks (m/z 57⁺, 41⁺, and 29⁺), no other significant ions are observed in this spectrum.

Table 19. Typical relative abundances and relative standard deviations for salient ions observed in the SIMS spectra of unexposed INEL basalt.

<i>m/z</i>	mean relative abundance (base peak is <i>m/z</i> 41 ⁺)	relative standard deviation
23	61.%	32.%
27	50.%	22.%
39	99.%	17.%
43	50.%	4.0%
55	60.%	4.1%
67	23.%	8.7%
99	4.6%	31.%
119	2.3%	16.%
125	0.87%	12.%
137	1.1%	37.%
149	0.71%	23.%
153	0.72%	9.5%
155	0.58%	3.3%
175	0.35%	38.%
193	0.27%	38.%
211	0.14%	49.%
217	0.11%	53.%
235	0.13%	91.%
249	0.17%	58.%

Table 20. Abundances of cations (relative to m/z 99⁺) observed in representative SIMS spectra of TBP and tributyl phosphite on mineral surfaces.

mass	TBP (aqueous) on quartzic rock	TBP on INEL basalt (aqueous)	TBP (CH ₂ Cl ₂) on Fe ₂ O ₃	TBP (aqueous) on FeO	TBP (CH ₂ Cl ₂) on FeO	TBP (aqueous) on Blackfoot River basalt	TBP (aqueous) on Elephant Mountain basalt	tributyl phosphite (vapor) on Blackfoot River basalt	tributyl phosphite (CH ₂ Cl ₂) on FeO	tributyl phosphite (vapor) on Fe ₂ O ₃
99	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
119	3.5	11.4	2.7	9.3	52.8	40.7	27.9	32.6	50.0	35.7
125	7.6	9.3	6.6	7.5	9.8	13.8	11.0	24.6	13.0	12.5
137	5.5	10.6	6.6	6.2	47.2	44.8	50.0	51.4	63.0	62.1
153	2.5	5.4	49.3	21.2	37.0	5.5	6.5	10.1	30.4	33.7
155	6.4	5.2	12.6	4.3	14.2	6.6	6.9	13.0	17.4	23.0
175	0.7	2.2	1.9	1.8	15.5	8.4	6.4	7.2	21.4	5.2
193	0.8	2.2	1.9	8.1	12.7	7.6	6.1	10.1	23.7	6.3
209	0.4	1.1	7.2	1.3	4.1	0.9	1.2	3.6	15.2	13.6
211	0.9	1.2	2.7	1.8	7.0	1.3	1.3	6.5	1.8	3.1
217	0.7	2.1	4.0	1.3	9.8	5.5	5.4	9.4	15.2	8.3
235	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.6	4.1	3.7	3.7	7.2	8.5	5.2
249	0.4	1.3	0.6	0.0	8.5	4.6	2.7	7.9	8.5	0.9

53. Figure 10a. Cation SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt unexposed to TBP.

54. Figure 10b. Cation SIMS spectrum of Elephant Mountain basalt exposed to TBP.

55. Figure 10c. Cation SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt exposed to TBP.

56. Figure 11a. Anion SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt unexposed to TBP.

57. Figure 11b. Anion SIMS spectrum of Elephant Mountain basalt exposed to TBP.

58. Figure 11c. Anion SIMS spectrum of INEL basalt exposed to TBP.

Table 21. Methane Cl mass spectra of TBP, tributyl phosphite				
	TBP		tributyl phosphite	
assignment	m/z	r.a.*	m/z	r.a.*
$C_4H_7^+$	57	26.	57	74.
$M+H^+ - 3C_4H_8$	99	17.	83	100.
unknown			97	2.2
possibly $M+H^+ - C_2H_6 - 2C_4H_8$	125	1.2		
$M+C_2H_5^+ - 3C_4H_8$	127	1.2	111	17.
$M+C_3H_5^+ - 3C_4H_8$	139	1.2	123	4.7
$M-H^+ - 2C_4H_8$	153	1.0	137	4.0
$M+H^+ - 2C_4H_8$	155	19.	139	59.
$M+C_2H_5^+ - 2C_4H_8$	183	2.1	167	14.
$M+C_3H_5^+ - 2C_4H_8$	195	2.1	179	1.9
$M-H^+ - C_4H_8$	209	1.8	193	1.6
$M+H^+ - C_4H_8$	211	54.	195	59.
possibly $M+H^+ - C_3H_8$	223	3.1		
$M+C_2H_5^+ - C_4H_8$	239	9.3	223	4.6
$M+C_3H_5^+ - C_4H_8$	251	3.9	235	5.1
$M-H^+$	265	9.9	249	0.1
$M+H^+$	267	100.	251	0.0
$M+C_2H_5^+$	295	17.	279	0.0
$M+C_3H_5^+$	307	7.1	291	0.0
*r.a. = abundance relative to the base peak.				

Table 22. EI mass spectra of TBP, tributyl phosphite

	TBP		tributyl phosphite	
assignment	<i>m/z</i>	r.a.*	<i>m/z</i>	r.a.*
$C_4H_7^+$	57	15	57	29
$M-C_4H_7-2C_4H_8^+$	99	100	83	100
$M-C_3H_7-2C_4H_8^+$	111	4.5		
$M-C_2H_5-2C_4H_8^+$	125	8.6		
$M-C_4H_7-C_4H_8-H_2O^+$	137	7.8	121	2.7
$M-C_4H_7-C_4H_8^+$	155	32	139	17
$M-C_3H_7-C_4H_8^+$	167	4.5		
$M-C_4H_7-H_2O^+$			177	2.6
$M-C_2H_5-C_4H_8^+$	181	2.8		
$M-C_4H_7^+$	211	30	195	4.8
$M-C_3H_7^+$	223	2.8		
$M-C_2H_5^+$	237	2.4		
M^+	266	2.4	250	0.2
*r.a. = abundance relative to the base peak.				

3.4 Discussion

3.4.1. Production of m/z 137⁺ and related ions. The observation of abundant ions at m/z 137⁺ and 119⁺ (as well as less abundant ions at m/z 249⁺, 193⁺, and 175⁺) in the SIMS spectra of TBP on FeO but not Fe₂O₃ or quartz indicates that Fe(II) on the surface is necessary for their observation. This conclusion is consistent with the data obtained for the basalt samples: abundant "Fe(II)" ions were observed in the spectra of the TBP-exposed Elephant Mountain and Blackfoot River basalt samples, but not the INEL basalt or quartz. The spectral difference observed when comparing the basalt samples is attributed to the presence of substantial Fe(II)-bearing phases in the Elephant Mountain and Blackfoot River samples, but not in the other two. These ions are interpreted in terms of concomitant reduction and hydride abstraction occurring upon ion bombardment (Figure 12).

Gas-phase production of m/z 137⁺, etc., from ionized TBP is not likely. The methane CI mass spectrum shows that gas-phase TBP undergoes protonation and hydride abstraction (as it does on surfaces), and that these processes are followed by one, two, and or three C₄H₈ eliminations. However, TBP has no propensity for the formation of m/z 137⁺ (or the other "Fe(II)" ions mentioned) under CI conditions, which further supports the contention that these ions are the result of surface chemistry.

Because much of the discussion is based upon the m/z 137⁺, it must be noted that a low abundance m/z 137⁺ ion (ca. 8%) is observable in the EI mass spectrum of TBP (Table 22). Hence one explanation for the observation of this ion in the SIMS spectra would be a one electron oxidation of TBP followed by elimination reactions to form m/z 137⁺. Reasonable elimination reactions would be loss of C₄H₇ radical followed by the loss of C₄H₉OH or (C₄H₈ + H₂O). This explanation is not favored for three reasons: 1) under SIMS conditions, radical ions (in this case TBP radical cation) tend to be substantially less important than even electron ions; 2) this process should be observable irrespective of the mineral matrix; 3) the abundance of the m/z 137⁺ in the EI spectrum (8%) is not consistent with the abundances observed in the SIMS spectra collected on reducing surfaces (40 - 60%). Thus we believe that one-electron oxidation leading to m/z 137⁺ is at most a minor contributor to the abundance of this ion as observed in the SIMS spectra.

3.4.2. Production of m/z 153⁺. The m/z 153⁺ ion observed in the spectra of TBP on the iron oxide surfaces is interpreted in terms of hydride abstraction followed by the elimination of two C₄H₈ molecules. These reactions are also observed as a low abundance ion series in the methane CI spectrum of TBP. The elimination of a third C₄H₈ molecule to form m/z 97⁺ could only occur with difficulty, because of the formation of a C=O bond upon hydride abstraction; in fact, only very low abundance m/z 97⁺ ions are observed. The mechanism proposed (Figure 13) would require the presence of a Fe(III) species in order to

accept the hydride, which is consistent with the observation of abundant m/z 153⁺ on Fe₂O₃. M/z 153⁺ is also observed in the spectrum of TBP on FeO; we believe that this may be due to a partial layer of more highly oxidized iron oxide species on the surface of the FeO particles.

3.4.3. Production of m/z 99⁺ and 155⁺. These ions are formed by the protonation of TBP followed by the elimination of two and three C₄H₉ molecules (Figure 14). They are observed in all SIMS spectra of TBP presented here, and are the dominant ions in the CI mass spectra of TBP. Significantly, they are much more abundant than m/z 137⁺ and 153⁺ in the SIMS spectrum of TBP on quartz (no Fe), which indicates that SiO₂ surfaces are efficient at protonating, and reinforces the necessity for Fe for reduction and hydride abstraction.

SIMS ionization is substantially more energetic than methane CI: the base peak in the CI spectrum of TBP corresponds to [M + H]⁺, which indicates that the ionization is sufficiently soft that a substantial fraction of the TBP ions formed remain intact. In contrast, [M + H]⁺ is only occasionally observed at very low abundance in the SIMS spectra of TBP; in this case, the largest fraction of the ion current has been shifted to m/z 99⁺, which means that the initially formed [M + H]⁺ possessed sufficient internal energy for the occurrence of three C₄H₉ eliminations.

3.4.4. Tributyl phosphite model for TBP reduction. If TBP is reduced during the production of m/z 137⁺, then an intermediate or transition state resembling tributyl phosphite would presumably be involved. Accordingly, tributyl phosphite was examined on basalt and on the iron oxide powders to see if it exhibited behavior similar to that of TBP. The resulting SIMS spectra were remarkably similar to TBP on reducing surfaces: an abundant m/z 137⁺ is interpreted in terms of tributyl phosphite undergoing H⁺ abstraction, followed by the elimination of two C₄H₉. These observations support the contention that TBP is undergoing a similar process subsequent to reduction. It is noteworthy that a low abundance ion series corresponding to H⁺ abstraction, and subsequent loss of one and two C₄H₉ molecules is also observed in the methane CI spectrum of tributyl phosphite. One salient difference was observed when comparing the SIMS spectra of TBP with that of tributyl phosphite: the latter has an abundant m/z 83⁺, which corresponds to the protonation of tributyl phosphite, followed by the elimination of three C₄H₉ molecules. These ions constitute the major ion series in the CI mass spectrum of tributyl phosphite, but are not present in abundance in the SIMS spectra of TBP.

The observation of m/z 99⁺ (H₄PO₄⁺) in the SIMS spectra of tributyl phosphite indicates that some of the tributyl phosphite has undergone oxidation on the surface of FeO, Fe₂O₃, and the basalt samples. This finding is surprising in the case of FeO, which would be difficult to reduce; however it is possible that the oxidation of tributyl phosphite is the result of more oxidized Fe species present on the FeO surface. It is of interest that m/z 153⁺ is also observed in the SIMS spectra of tributyl phosphite on FeO and Fe₂O₃; this is also interpreted in terms

of tributyl phosphite undergoing oxidation (followed by hydride abstraction) on the iron oxide surfaces.

3.4.5. Structure of m/z 125⁺, 217⁺, 235⁺ observed in the SIMS spectra of TBP. m/z 125⁺, 217⁺ and 235⁺ were reproducibly observed in the SIMS spectra of basalt, FeO, and Fe₂O₃ that had been exposed to TBP. These ions are not considered to be important to arguments relating to the reduction, hydride abstraction, or oxidation of TBP; nevertheless, they do constitute part of the signature for TBP on environmental samples, and hypotheses have been suggested regarding their origin.

m/z 125⁺ is observed at low abundance in the EI and CI mass spectra of TBP; its abundance is normally modest in the SIMS spectrum also, but it can be as high as 15% (relative to m/z 99⁺). The ion was assigned the structure of protonated vinyl phosphoric acid based on the results of a MS/MS study, which showed that collisionally activated m/z 125⁺ will eliminate C₂H₂ to form m/z 99⁺. The MS/MS study did not identify any parent ions for m/z 125⁺, however. One possibility is that m/z 125⁺ may arise via the loss of C₂H₆ from [M + H]⁺ to form m/z 237⁺, which then undergoes the sequential elimination of two C₄H₈ molecules to form 181⁺ and finally 125⁺. All of these ions are observed at low abundance, but above the background in the methane CI spectrum, and this explanation would support the protonated vinyl phosphoric acid structure proposed earlier. An alternative explanation would be the elimination of C₂H₄ from the [M - H]⁺ to form m/z 237⁺ which then eliminates two C₄H₈; this is in some ways a more plausible explanation because C₂H₄ could be eliminated via a six-membered transition state. We do not favor the latter explanation, however, because an abundant m/z 153⁺ (signature for [M - H]⁺) is not observed with abundant m/z 125⁺ in the SIMS experiments.

The elimination of two carbons from TBP molecular ion species leading to m/z 125⁺ draws additional support from the EI mass spectrum. The TBP molecular ion undergoes elimination of C₂H₅ radical to form m/z 237⁺, which then sequentially eliminates two C₄H₈ to form m/z 181⁺ and finally 125⁺. All of these ions are all observed at low abundance in the EI spectrum.

The m/z 235⁺ ion is observed in the SIMS spectra of TBP which contain evidence for reduction, and is also observable in the CI mass spectrum of tributyl phosphite. The explanation for m/z 235⁺ in the CI experiment is attachment of C₃H₅⁺ to tributyl phosphite to form m/z 291⁺ (not observed), which then undergoes the sequential elimination of three C₄H₈ to form m/z 235⁺, 179⁺, and 123⁺ (all ions observed at low abundance in the CI spectrum). An analogous process could be occurring in the SIMS analyses: abundant hydrocarbons are present on the mineral surfaces, and m/z 41⁺ is the base peak in many of the SIMS spectra collected in our laboratory. Thus, formation of m/z 235⁺ would require reduction of TBP and proximity to a source of C₃H₅⁺. One problem with this explanation is that ions at m/z 179⁺ and 123⁺ are not observed in the SIMS

spectrum of tributyl phosphite: these ions were observable in the CI spectrum, and correspond the sequential losses of two additional C_4H_8 molecules.

M/z 217⁺ is also frequently observed in the SIMS spectra of TBP. The best hypothesis for this ion is that it is formed by attachment of Na^+ to tributyl phosphite to form m/z 273⁺ (not observed), which then eliminates C_4H_8 to produce 217⁺. However the anticipated eliminations of a second and third C_4H_8 molecule (forming m/z 161⁺ and 105⁺) are not observed, and hence this explanation is not entirely satisfactory.

Figure 12. Mechanism proposed for the formation of m/z 137⁺ in the SIM spectrum of TBP adsorbed to Fe(II)-bearing surfaces.

Figure 13. Proposed mechanism for surface hydride abstraction and subsequent elimination of two C_4H_8 molecules, forming $m/z 153^+$.

Figure 14. Proposed mechanism for the surface protonation of TBP, and subsequent elimination of three C_4H_8 molecules.

3.5 Conclusions: TBP Mechanism

The SIMS spectrum of TBP on mineral samples, acquired using a *molecular* primary ion under *low beam current* conditions, is indicative of whether the TBP is in contact with reducing surface sites, protonating sites, or sites which promote hydride abstraction (presumably Fe(III)). Similarly, the SIMS spectrum of tributyl phosphite is influenced by sites where tributyl phosphite can be oxidized. This indicates that static SIMS of TBP and tributyl phosphite have potential for characterizing the chemical nature of mineral surfaces, and the mode of contaminant-surface interaction. This information is important because the oxidizing/reducing nature of the surfaces of mineral samples has important bearing on the binding of contaminants in the environment. This work raises the possibility that SIMS analysis of organophosphates other than TBP could be used to characterize surfaces which would be less reducing than the basalt samples described herein.

3.6 References

- ¹Schulz, W. W., Navratil, J. D., ed's., *Science and Technology of Tributyl Phosphate, Volume I. Synthesis, Properties, Reactions and Analysis*, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, 1984.
- ²Hanford Site Sampling and Analysis Data Document, Department of Energy Report EGG-ES-7953, August, 1988: Volume I, pages 4-114, 4-215; Volume IA pages 4-474, 4-586, 4-588; Addendum (November, 1988), pages 4-88, 4-209.
- ³Reidel, S. P., Lindsey, K. A., Fecht, K. R., *Field Trip Guide to the Hanford Site*, DOE Report WHC-MR--0391, Nov., 1992.
- ⁴Brown, G. M., "Mineralogy of Basaltic Rocks", in *The Poldervaart Treatise on Rocks of Basaltic Composition*, Volume I, Hess, H. H., and Poldervaart, A., eds., Interscience Publishers, New York, p. 103, 1962.
- ⁵Unger, S. E., Vincze, A., Cooks, R. G., Chrisman, R., Rothman, L. D., *Anal. Chem.*, **1981**, *53*, 976-981.
- ⁶Day, R. J., Unger, S. E., Cooks, R. G., *Anal. Chem.*, **1980**, *52*, 557A-572A.
- ⁷Appelhans, A. D., Dahl, D. A., Delmore, J. E., *Anal. Chem.*, **1990**, *62*, 1679-1686.
- ⁸Delmore, J. E., Appelhans, A. D., Peterson, E. S., *Int. J. Mass Spectrometry and Ion Processes*, **1991**, *108*, 179-187.
- ⁹Briggs, D., Hearn, M. J., *Vacuum*, **1986**, *36*, 1005 - 1010.

¹⁰Winger, B. E., Hand, O. W., Cooks, R. G., *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Processes*, 1988, 84, 89 - 100.

¹¹Appelhans, A. D.; Dahl, D. A.; Delmore, J. E.; Groenewold, G. S.; Ingram, J. C.; *Book of Abstracts*, presented at PITTCON '94, February 27 - March 3, 1994, Chicago, IL, Abstract 1229.

¹²a. Hanke, W.; Wernisch, J.; Poehn, Ch.; *X-Ray Spectrom.*, 1985, 14, 43 - 7. b. Poehn, Ch.; Wernisch, J.; Hanke, W.; *X-Ray Spectrom.*, 1985, 14, 120 - 4.

¹³*NIST Standard Reference Database 1A, NIST/EPA/NIH Mass Spectral Database*, PC Version 4.0, May, 1992, U. S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Standard Reference Data Program, Gaithersburg, MD 20899.

¹⁴D'Agostino, P. A., Provost, L. R., *Tandem Mass Spectrometric Analysis of Phosphate Esters*, Suffield Report No. 581, Defense Research Establishment Suffield: Ralston: Alberta, Canada, March, 1993.

4.0 Minimum Detectable Limit of TBP on Environmental Surfaces

4.1. Introduction

The objective of these studies was to develop a method to determine quantitatively a minimum detection limit (MDL) for analyses of contaminants on surfaces using static secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). Although static SIMS is currently a qualitative technique, MDL information is critical to its application for screening environmental samples for contamination. Difficulties associated with determining MDL's for static SIMS are similar to most other surface analysis methods which include unavailability of standards for quantitation for most "real world" samples, ill-defined surface areas, matrix effects on secondary ion formation, and inhomogeneous chemical composition of the sample. The focus of this study was to determine the MDL for the detection of tributyl phosphate (TBP) on soils. Two different types of soils were investigated in order to compare the effect of soil type on the MDL for TBP. In surface analysis, the MDL is expressed in terms of the mass of the analyte divided by the surface area of the sample.

4.2 Experimental

The experimental approach taken in these studies was the following: a) determine the surface area of the soils by sieving the soils to divide different grain sizes and using nitrogen adsorption analysis to measure the surface areas, b) determine the SIMS minimum detectability of TBP adsorbed on soil by exposing the sieved soil to solutions of various TBP concentrations, acquiring SIM spectra for five similar samples, and evaluating the signal-to-noise of the TBP fragment peaks, c) determine the amount of TBP adsorbed to the soil exposed to the lowest TBP concentration determined in step b by methylene chloride extraction of the soil followed by gas chromatography (GC) analysis of the extracts, and d) calculation of the MDL based on the GC and surface area results.

Instrumentation used in these studies were the following: a) surface area measurements were performed on a Micromeritics Flowsorb II 2300 instrument using an adsorption gas mixture of 30 mole % nitrogen and 70 mole % helium, b) SIMS analysis were performed using the ReO_4^- quadrupole SIMS instrument designed and built at the INEL (see section 3.2.1, this report), and c) gas chromatography analyses were performed with a Hewlett Packard GC 5890 Series II equipped with a XTI-5 column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID x 0.25 μm df) using a split flow of 20:1 and a flame ionization detector.

4.3 Results and Discussion

The SIMS spectra of TBP on soil were very similar to those reported for TBP on CFA basalt (see section 3.3, this report). Determination of the SIMS minimum detectability (as described in step b above) was based on detection of the TBP fragment ions at m/z 99⁺, 119⁺, 125⁺, and 137⁺. Soil samples of specific grain sizes were exposed to TBP solutions (solvent: 1-to-1 water/methanol) at concentrations ranging from 2500 ppm to 50 ppm. TBP was deemed detectable if the fragment ions were observed at a signal-to-noise ratios > 5, and their relative intensities were similar to those described for the CFA basalt in section 3.3. These analyses were performed on 5 separate soil samples of each grain size. The results were averaged from the SIMS data of these 5 samples and were tested against the criteria described above.

The MDL's of two soil samples were investigated. One of the samples was from the Hanford DOE site in eastern Washington (Upper Hanford soil). This sample was separated according to grain size, and two of the grain sizes were selected for detailed study. The second sample was a very fine sand used for calibration purposes by Utah State University (USU soil), and had been characterized as having a narrow grain size distribution.

Table 23. Minimum detectable limit determinations, for detection of TBP on soil using the ReO_4^- quadrupole SIMS instrument.

Soil Type [grain size (in)]	Surface Area (m^2/g)	Mass of TBP Adsorbed to Soil (μg) ^ψ	MDL (pg/mm^2)	MDL (mono- layers) [*]
Upper Hanford [0.0049]	3.0	168	56	0.1
Upper Hanford [0.0165]	2.0	196	100	0.2
Utah St. Univ. (USU) [0.0049]	20.0	690	34	0.07
^ψ Determined using CH_2Cl_2 extraction/GC-FID analysis of 1 gram soil samples; thus number also represents MDL in ppm (mass/mass).				
[*] Based on the assumption of 10^{18} TBP molecules/ m^2				

The MDL's for TBP on the two soil types are in reasonably good agreement (Table 23). In terms of the minimum detectable quantity expressed in terms of mass TBP adsorbed to 1 g of soil, both upper Hanford fractions yield a more sensitive response than did the USU soil. This result is expected, because the USU soil has a significantly higher surface area, which serves to dilute the TBP in mass per unit area terms. Indeed, when the MDL was expressed in terms of mass per unit area, or monolayers, the samples were comparable, and if anything, the TBP was detected most sensitively on the USU soil. We

hypothesize that the improved MDL observed on the higher surface area soil is attributable to an increased adsorption sites.

4.4 Conclusion

The MDL values are encouraging from the perspective of using SIMS as a screening tool: The above results were achieved without sample preparation or waste generation, and required 5 minutes per sample for analysis. Similar analyses performed using GC require solvent extractions and a minimum of 1 hour per sample. Additionally, the GC analysis requires approximately 1 gram of sample to achieve MDL's that are similar to those achieved with a mg sample of soil using the SIMS analysis reported here. This latter consideration is significant when dealing with radioactive samples, where minimizing occupational radiation exposure is a goal.

5.0 Ion Trap SIMS Development

At the end of FY-93, a decision was made to interface INEL SIMS technology to an ion trap mass spectrometer. The motivation for the pursuit of the SIMS technology has been detailed in the preceding sections of this report; consequently this section will briefly discuss the motivation behind the selection of the ion trap.

There is a substantial motivation toward the development of instrumentation which is portable, or at least transportable. This motivation stems from the fact that traditional characterization activities have involved sample collection, followed by transport of the samples to a remote laboratory, where they are analyzed; finally the results are transmitted back to the management of the characterization or remediation project. The delays inherent in this system exacerbate the risk to personnel and costs associated with these projects.

In order for a mass spectrometer system to achieve transportability, it must be rugged, small, and operationally simple. The advent of ion trap mass spectrometers offer promise that these desires can be achieved; indeed the direct sampling ion trap mass spectrometer (DSITMS) developed at Oak Ridge and the most recent Bruker products supports this premise. In addition, the ion trap offers improved sensitivity as a result of selected ion storage capability, and improved selectivity as a result of its MS/MS capability. For these reasons, it was decided that the ion trap was the best mass spectrometer choice for the development of a transportable SIMS system.

The drawbacks to the ion trap systems at the present time are that the data systems are immature, particularly for selected ion storage and MS/MS functions. Development in these areas has languished, for some time, but the recent entry of new vendors into the ion trap mass spectrometry market has offered hope of improvement in these areas.

In FY-1994, an order was placed with the Teledyne Corporation for an ion trap mass spectrometer. The controlling computer and data system have been received, and it is anticipated that the ion trap unit will be received by mid-November, 1994. When the instrument is received, a major activity in the SIMS development program will be interfacing a ReO_4^- ion gun to the ion trap. The resulting instrument will then be tested for efficacy in performing contaminant identification and speciation determinations.

6.0 Technology Transfer

Three separate technology transfer efforts have been initiated. These have focused on transfer of INEL SIMS *components*, as opposed to complete systems. The reason for the component emphasis is that it is extremely expensive for a mass spectrometer manufacturer to offer a brand new instrument product. On the other hand, a manufacturer can offer accessories to existing products for a fraction of the cost. Because INEL SIMS components are generally small, it would be conceptually possible to accessorize existing, commercial mass spectrometer instruments with the ReO_4^- ion gun, pulsed extraction, instrument control, data acquisition, and other components.

6.1. Teledyne.

At present, Teledyne is very interested in INEL detector technology which would permit SIMS analysis on the ion trap. Specifically, Teledyne is interested in a novel scheme for the direct detection of anions. Teledyne is committed to keeping their ion trap design open, in order to accommodate SIMS (and other) accessories. Teledyne currently has an ion trap system on the market, is about the size of a bread maker and will easily accommodate INEL SIMS technology. A non-disclosure agreement between Teledyne and INEL was generated and signed.

6.2. Charles Evans & Associates, Inc.

Technology transfer of ReO_4^- and possibly other technology was initiated with Charles Evans & Associates, Inc. on 7/28. At the present time, there is concern regarding the "brightness" of the ReO_4^- primary ion source in a time-of-flight SIMS application. INEL will continue to research this issue. A non-disclosure agreement was generated and signed between Evans and INEL.

6.3. Extrel.

Extrel and INEL completed a license agreement for data acquisition and control software, which was developed to support SIMS. A non-disclosure agreement was also signed between Extrel and INEL.

7.0. University Collaborations

Several collaborations with research groups at universities were initiated in order to broaden participation in the SIMS program and also to support the educational mission of OTD.

7.1. Idaho State University

A collaboration was initiated between INEL and the engineering department of Idaho State University. A masters engineering student (Mr. John Olsen) worked on modifying instrument control and data acquisition software, and this led to the completed technology transfer of the INEL software to Extrel. The student was funded using OTD resources.

A second collaboration with Idaho State University was initiated late in FY-94 was with the chemistry department (Dr. Rene Rodriguez), for the purpose of using SIMS to characterize mercury species on TiO_2 catalysts. This collaboration will continue in FY-95.

7.2. Utah State University

A collaboration was initiated in late FY-94 with the engineering department (Mr. Andy Cramer) for the purpose of using SIMS to characterize pentachlorophenol and its degradation products on MnO_2 surfaces. This effort will continue in FY-95.

7.3. University of Illinois-Chicago

A collaboration was initiated in late FY-94 with the chemistry department (Dr. Luke Hanley), for the purpose of evaluating laser desorption ion trap mass spectrometry for the characterization of chelates (e.g., EDTA), which tend to be too strongly bound to surfaces to be amenable to SIMS analysis. This effort will be continued in FY-95, and will be supported using OTD funding.

7.4. University of Idaho

A collaboration was initiated in FY-94 with the college of Mines (Dr. Batric Pesic) for the purpose of using SIMS to characterized heavy metal cyanide complexes. This effort will be considered for modest support using OTD SIMS funding in FY-95.

8.0. Future Directions

The SIMS program was redirected in late FY-94 to focus on heavy metal identification and speciation. The redirection was required to be 'end-user', or 'customer' directed. Accordingly, the chemical focus of the program will be on metal speciation, specifically mercury (Hg). Hg is problematic at two INEL sites: the 'acid pit' at the subsurface disposal area (SDA) of the Radioactive Waste Management Complex (RWMC), and the Central Facilities Area (CFA) 674 waste pond.

In addition, the previously identified tasks of assembling and testing the ion trap SIMS instrument, and technology transfer of SIMS components will continue as planned.