APR 02 1998

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1

1. EDT 624075

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Distribution	3. From: (Originating Organization) TWRS Life Extension Equipment Engineering (74711)	4. Related EDT No.: 624075
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: W78B8 Corrosion Probe Development	6. Design Authority/ Design Agent/Cog. Engr.: G. L. Edgemon	7. Purchase Order No.: N/A
8. Originator Remarks: This document satisfies the requirements of Milestone B1 of TTP# RLO-8-WT-21.		9. Equip./Component No.: N/A
11. Receiver Remarks: 11A. Design Baseline Document? ☒ Yes ☐ No Design of second-generation corrosion monitoring probe.		10. System/Bldg./Facility: N/A
		12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A
		13. Permit/Permit Application No.: N/A
		14. Required Response Date: N/A

15. DATA TRANSMITTED					(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	Approval Designator	Reason for Transmittal	Original Disposition	Receiver Disposition
1	HNF-2517		0	Design of Second Generation Hanford Tank Corrosion Monitoring System	N/A	2	1	1

16. KEY					
Approval Designator (F)		Reason for Transmittal (G)		Disposition (H) & (I)	
E, S, Q, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-5, Sec.12.7)	1. Approval 2. Release 3. Information	4. Review 5. Post-Review 6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)	1. Approved 2. Approved w/comment 3. Disapproved w/comment	4. Reviewed no/comment 5. Reviewed w/comment 6. Receipt acknowledged	

17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)											
(G) Reason	(H) Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	(G) Reason	(H) Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN
4	4	C. E. Jensen		3/1/98	R1-56	1	1	DESIGN AUTHORITY PEK		3/1/98	T-007
1	1	Design Agent									
1	1	Cog. Eng. G. L. Edgemon		3/1/98	R1-30						
1	1	Cog. Mgr. J. L. Nelson		3/1/98	R1-30						
		QA									
		Safety									
		Env.									

18. Signature of EDT Originator 3/31/98 Date	19. S. H. Rifaty Authorized Representative Date for Receiving Organization 3/1/98 Date	20. J. L. Nelson Design Authority/ Cognizant Manager 3/1/98 Date	21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No. ☐ Approved ☐ Approved w/comments ☐ Disapproved w/comments
---	---	---	---

Design of Second Generation Hanford Tank Corrosion Monitoring System

G. L. Edgemon, J. L. Nelson

Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, WA 99352
U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: 624075

UC: 2030

Org Code: 74711

Charge Code: W78B8

B&R Code: EW4010000

Total Pages: *11 16 some 4/2/98*

Key Words: corrosion probe, electrochemical noise

Abstract: This document describes the design of the second generation corrosion monitoring system for Hanford waste tanks and satisfies Milestone B1 of TTP# RLO-8-WT-21.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: Document Control Services, P.O. Box 950, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

[Signature]

Release Approval

4/2/98

Date

Release Stamp

Approved for Public Release

Design of Second Generation Hanford Tank Corrosion Monitoring System

G. L. Edgemon
J. L. Nelson
Lockheed Martin Hanford Corp.

Date Published
April 1998

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.
P.O. Box 1000
Richland, Washington

Hanford Management and Integration Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

Introduction and Background

The Hanford Site has 177 underground waste tanks that store approximately 253 million liters of radioactive waste from 50 years of plutonium production [1]. Twenty-eight (28) tanks have a double shell and are constructed of welded ASTM A537-Class 1 (UNS K02400), ASTM A515-Grade 60 (UNS K02401), or ASTM A516-Grade 60 (UNS K02100) material. The inner tanks of the double-shell tanks (DSTs) were stress relieved following fabrication. One hundred and forty-nine (149) tanks have a single shell, also constructed of welded mild steel, but not stress relieved following fabrication. Tank waste is in liquid, solid, and sludge forms. Tanks also contain a vapor space above the solid and liquid waste regions. The composition of the waste varies from tank to tank but generally has a high pH (>12) and contains sodium nitrate, sodium hydroxide, sodium nitrite, and other minor radioactive constituents resulting from plutonium separation processes [1-4]. Leaks began to appear in the single-shell tanks shortly after the introduction of nitrate-based wastes in the 1950s. Leaks are now confirmed or suspected to be present in a significant number of single-shell tanks [1]. The probable modes of corrosion failures are reported as nitrate stress corrosion cracking (SCC) and pitting [2].

Previous efforts to monitor internal corrosion of waste tank systems have included linear polarization resistance (LPR) and electrical resistance techniques [5-6]. These techniques are most effective for monitoring uniform corrosion, but are not well suited for detection of localized corrosion (pitting and SCC). The Savannah River Site (SRS) investigated the characterization of electrochemical noise (EN) for monitoring waste tank corrosion in 1993, but the tests were not conclusive [7]. The SRS effort has recently been revived and additional testing is underway.

For many years, EN has been observed during corrosion and other electrochemical reactions, and the phenomenon is well established [8-19]. Typically, EN consists of low frequency (< 1 Hz) and small amplitude signals that are spontaneously generated by electrochemical reactions occurring at corroding or other surfaces [20]. Laboratory studies and recent reports on field applications have reported that EN analysis is well suited for monitoring and identifying the onset of localized corrosion, and for measuring uniform corrosion rates [14-26]. A two year laboratory study was started at Hanford in 1995 to provide a technical basis for using EN in Hanford nuclear waste tanks [27]. Based on this study, a prototype system was constructed and deployed in DST 241-AZ-101 in August, 1996 [28]. Based on the successful demonstration of this prototype for more than a year, a first-generation full-scale system was designed and installed into DST 241-AN-107 in September 1997 [29]. This document summarizes the design and operational requirements of the second-generation full-scale system scheduled

for deployment into 241-AY-102 in late 1998 as required by Milestone Number B1 of TTP RLO-8-WT-21.

System Design and Configuration

The 241-AY-102 monitoring system is designed, like most EN based corrosion-monitoring systems, to measure instantaneous fluctuations in corrosion current and potential between three nominally identical electrodes (a working, a counter, and a pseudo-reference electrode) of the material of interest immersed in the environment of interest. Time-dependent fluctuations in corrosion current between the working and counter electrodes are described by electrochemical current noise. Time-dependent fluctuations of the difference in the corrosion potential between the working/counter electrode assembly and the pseudo-reference electrode are described by electrochemical potential noise. Since a zero resistance ammeter (ZRA) electrically joins the working and counter electrodes, potential differences are measured between the working/counter electrode assembly and the pseudo-reference electrode. It has been shown that each type of corrosion phenomenon presents a unique relationship between corrosion current and potential transients in the temporal data [8-29]. Other methods of analysis involve transforming temporal data to the frequency domain for analysis [30]. As of the time of the preparation of this report, no frequency analysis of the EN data from the 241-AY-102 system is expected. However, statistical analysis techniques will be employed.

Tank 241-AY-102 has been selected to receive waste from tank 241-C-106 in fiscal year 1998 or 1999. The 241-AY-102 corrosion monitoring system is designed to detect the onset of localized corrosion phenomena if tank conditions should change to allow these phenomena to occur as a result of this waste transfer. Based on the operation of the prototype 241-AZ-101 system and the first-generation 241-AN-107 corrosion monitoring system, the following design goals were established for the tank-intrusive portion of the 241-AY-102 corrosion monitoring system:

1. The probe shall be fabricated from materials capable of providing at least five years of service
2. The maximum diameter of the probe must remain small enough to fit through 10.2-cm diameter riser
3. The probe design shall facilitate decontamination by minimizing areas of liquid retention such as cracks, crevices, hard angles, rough surfaces, etc.
4. All materials and overall design should be capable of withstanding temperature ranges up to 100°C
5. All materials and overall design should be capable of withstanding liquid phase pH ranges from 7 to 14

6. All materials and overall design should be capable of withstanding radiation levels up to 1000 R/hr
7. All materials and overall design should be capable of withstanding liquid phase flow rates up to 1.0 m/s
8. The conductor/feed-through connections shall be improved to better withstand probe flexure during mixer pump operation
9. The probe design should facilitate the insertion of up to four thermocouple locations at various tank depths

The following performance requirements were established for the ex-tank data collection electronics:

1. When connected to an appropriate set of electrodes, the corrosion monitoring equipment must be capable of collecting current and voltage electrochemical noise (EN) data in an automated fashion (i.e.: once configured, the system should continuously collect EN data unless interrupted by the user). Specifically, the equipment must be capable of making simultaneous measurements of both current and voltage EN at a recording rate of at least one (1) measurement per second. The equipment must be capable of making measurements on at least eight channels simultaneously. Multiplexed systems that read sequentially from channel to channel are not acceptable.
2. In addition to being capable of collecting and analyzing EN data, the system must also be capable of periodically conducting linear polarization resistance (LPR) and Tafel scans. The corrosion monitoring system and system software must allow this periodic change. Preferably, the system will be configurable to allow the user to set up a time interval between LPR scans. For example, the system could be configured to collect EN data continuously, but once per month, stop the EN measurements, call out and run an LPR scan on each channel, then return to EN measurements.
3. The physical location of the waste tanks in relation to power sources, phone lines, network drops and other necessary connections dictates that there will be up to 400 feet (~122 m) of 20-gauge wiring between the lowermost channels on the probe and the corrosion monitoring instrumentation. Each wire (one wire per channel) will pass through an MTL Model 755-AC shunt-diode type intrinsic safety barrier before passing into the corrosion probe body and down to its respective electrode. The maximum entity parameters for the MTL Model 755-AC barriers are 40 microfarads capacitance and 0.125 millihenry inductance. The length of wiring from the barriers to the electrodes will be 100 feet (~31 m) or less. The balance of the wiring runs from the intrinsic safety barriers to the corrosion monitoring instrumentation. With the long cable and intrinsic safety barriers in place, the system must be capable of current

and potential resolutions of at least $\pm 1 \times 10^{-9}$ A and $\pm 1 \times 10^{-5}$ V respectively. Prior to contract award, the seller will be required to show evidence that their equipment meets these minimum sensitivity requirements given the aforementioned set-up.

4. The in-tank corrosion probe that holds the electrodes in the waste is designed for eight total channels with three electrodes on each channel. The corrosion probe is fabricated from 1.0-inch (2.5-cm) diameter schedule XXS stainless steel pipe with an inside diameter just large enough to contain 24 conductors of 20-gauge wire. The corrosion monitoring instrumentation must utilize a three wire per channel, eight-channel setup with simple 20-gauge wires, as opposed to shielded coaxial cables, to make the connection between electrodes and instrument.
5. The software that controls the corrosion monitoring system shall be compatible with standard desktop computer operating platforms. All EN and LPR data must be stored in a format compatible with ODBC and SQL databases to allow the user to export data to other user supplied analyses and presentation packages. Furthermore the corrosion monitoring system software shall be capable of performing and graphically presenting statistical analyses on the EN data, automatically calculating corrosion rates from LPR data, and calculating and presenting trends in the statistical analyses data. The corrosion monitoring system software must provide user configurable alarm points to notify the user of the onset of dangerous corrosion conditions within the waste tank. The software shall be configurable to allow full storage of all data all the time or to automatically discard data not recorded at a set interval of time on either side of an alarm event, thus minimizing the amount of EN data the user must evaluate.

Based on these requirements a probe design was selected and an EN based hardware/software package was ordered in March 1998. The majority of the in-tank portion of the probe is constructed from 2.5-cm diameter schedule XXS AISI 304L stainless steel (UNS 30403) pipe for extended service in the waste tank environment. It fits through a nominal 10.2-cm diameter tank riser and is approximately 16.2 m in length. The top 61-cm of the probe is constructed of 9.5-cm diameter AISI 304L piping filled with low density concrete to serve as a shield plug for the riser. A detailed drawing of the probe body is shown in Appendix A.

The probe has four two channel electrode arrays. Arrays are positioned at 6.0 m, 10.3 m, 12.8 m, and 15.5 m from the top of the riser. The eight total channels will allow corrosion monitoring in the vapor space and the supernate phase of the tank. Each channel on the probe utilizes three nominally identical electrodes of either a C-ring (ASTM G-38) or pin type geometry

constructed of archived ASTM A537-Class 1 tank steel (leftover from the 241-AP farm construction). Each C-ring electrode exposes approximately 44 cm² of surface area to the waste. Pin type electrodes will expose approximately 10 - 44 cm² of surface area to the waste. Different pin sizes may be inserted to study the effects of probe electrode size on data sensitivity. One electrode of each C-ring array will be pre-cracked by cyclic fatigue and strained beyond the proportional limit just prior to immersion in the waste to assist in monitoring stress corrosion cracking should it occur. Pre-cracks are approximately 0.5 mm in depth. The other electrodes will not be strained.

A 25-conductor full-shielded data cable extends through the length of the interior of the sealed pipe. At each electrode array, three conductors are removed from the shielding and attached to commercially available glass-lined feed-throughs, one conductor per feed-through. Glass-lined feed-throughs are used to penetrate the probe tree pipe wall while maintaining electrical isolation between the electrodes and the pipe wall. Each glass feed-through is mounted in a short length of AISI 304L stainless steel bar stock which has been seal welded to the probe body at a 45° angle. This configuration should improve the ability of the conductor/feed-through connections to withstand probe flexure during mixer pump operation. Electrodes are isolated from the glass to metal seals through the use of peroxide cured ethylene propylene (EPDM) gaskets suitable for use in radiation environments. Some of the feed-throughs utilize a modified seating surface in an effort to improve the seal between the electrode and the feed-through. The performance of the unmodified glass feed-throughs will be compared with the performance of the modified feed-throughs in an effort to optimize the sealing surface without causing excessive crevice corrosion problems.

All conductors running from the 24 electrodes run up the inside of the probe body and terminate at the top to the probe in a weather tight box. Aboveground cabling utilizes a grounded shield to protect the signal from external electrical interference and will use shunt-diode type intrinsic safety barriers to meet site safety requirements for waste intrusive instrumentation. In addition to EN electrode cabling running up the interior of the probe body, four separate stainless steel sleeved thermocouples will run from the probe flange at the top of the tank to the detectors situated along the probe body. Thermocouples will be affixed to the exterior surface of the probe body. A thermocouple will terminate at each detector to allow waste temperature data to be collected at detector depths within the tank.

The data collection software/hardware system procured for the second-generation system is an Amulet system manufactured by Corrosion & Condition

Control, Ltd.¹ The system is capable of collecting current and voltage EN data in an automated fashion on eight channels simultaneously. The system can be configured to collect EN data continuously, but periodically stop the EN measurements, call out and run an LPR scan on each channel, then return to EN measurements. Current and potential resolutions of at least $\pm 1 \times 10^{-9}$ A and $\pm 1 \times 10^{-5}$ V respectively on long cable lengths passing through intrinsic safety barrier systems are possible with the system. The instrument configuration supports a three wire per channel, eight-channel setup with simple 20-gauge wires. The system software runs on a Microsoft Windows '95 or Windows NT platform and stores data in a format compatible with ODBC and SQL databases.² The operating software is capable of performing and graphically presenting statistical analyses on the EN data, automatically calculating corrosion rates from LPR data, and calculating and presenting trends in the statistical analyses data. The corrosion monitoring system software provides user configurable alarm points to notify the user of the onset of dangerous corrosion conditions within the waste tank. The software is configurable to allow full storage of all data all the time or to automatically discard data not recorded at a set interval of time on either side of an alarm event, thus minimizing the amount of EN data the user must evaluate.

Summary and Conclusions

A second-generation EN based corrosion monitoring system has been designed and will be fabricated and installed into double-shell waste tank 241-AY-102 at the Hanford Site in late 1998. In relation to the first-generation system installed in 241-AN-107, several improvements and modifications have been incorporated into the design of the second generation system including:

1. The conductor/feed-through connections are positioned on 45° angles to improve their ability to withstand probe flexure during mixer pump operation. The conductor/feed-through connections on the first-generation probe were made on 90° angles and were more difficult to fabricate and are more susceptible to failure during probe flexure. By using 45° angle connections, more space will be available for extra wire necessary to allow for probe flexure during mixer pump operation.
2. The second-generation probe design facilitates the insertion of at least four thermocouple locations at various tank depths. The first-generation design had no thermocouples.

¹ Amulet is a registered trademark of Corrosion & Condition Control Ltd., Dingwall, Ross-Shire, UK

² Windows, Windows '95, and Windows NT are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington

3. Different pin sizes will be inserted to study the effects of probe electrode size on data sensitivity. Data being generated by the first generation probe indicates that the small 5-cm² pins may be too small to generate optimal EN signal back to the instrumentation.
4. Different glass feed-through designs will be tested to learn which design minimizes crevice formation between electrode and gasket. The first-generation design uses the modified glass feed-throughs on all C-ring electrodes. At least one set of C-ring electrodes on the second-generation probe will utilize flat seating surfaces in an effort to check for crevice formation on the modified seating surfaces.
5. Data will be collected simultaneously on eight channels. The first-generation and prototype systems utilized multiplexed data collection. The use of multiplexed systems results in long gaps in data on a given channel while data are collected on the remaining channels.
6. The system can be configured to automatically collect EN data continuously with periodic LPR scans at user configurable intervals. LPR scans on the prototype and first-generation systems must be done manually.
7. The operating software is capable of automatically performing and graphically presenting statistical analyses on the EN data, automatically calculating corrosion rates from LPR data, and calculating and presenting trends in the statistical analyses data. Statistical analysis, plotting, and corrosion rate analysis on data sets from the first-generation probe must be done manually.
8. The corrosion monitoring system software provides user configurable alarm points to notify the user of the onset of dangerous corrosion conditions within the waste tank. The first-generation system provides no alarm points. Data analysis must be done manually to identify any dangerous periods of corrosion activity.
9. The software is configurable to allow full storage of all data all the time or to automatically discard data not recorded at a set interval of time on either side of an alarm event, thus minimizing the amount of EN data the user must evaluate. The first generation system stores all data until manually sorted by the operator.

References

- [1] P.C. Ohl, J.D. Thomson, and F.R. Vollert, Corrosion Considerations for Life Management of Hanford High Level Waste Tanks, CORROSION/94, paper no. 142, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1994).
- [2] D.C. Lini, Compilation of Hanford Corrosion Studies, Atlantic Richfield Hanford Company Report, ARH-ST-111, UC-70, July, 1975.
- [3] J.R. Divine, W.M. Bowen, D.B. Mackey, D.J. Bates, and, K.H. Pool, Prediction Equations for Corrosion Rates of A537 and A516 Steels in Double Shell Slurry, Future PUREX, and Hanford Facilities Wastes, Pacific Northwest Laboratory Report, PNL-5488, June, 1985.
- [4] W.C Carlos, Recommendations for Erosion/Corrosion Allowance for Multi-Function Waste Tank Facility Tanks, CORROSION/95, paper no. 449, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1995).
- [5] J.L. Nelson, Hanford DST Corrosion Monitoring Instrument Tree, CORROSION/95, paper no. 440, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1995).
- [6] R.K. Shukla, A.J. Perkins, P.M. Bourgeois, R.J. Jaramins, W.G. Secen, and, D.J. Stroud, Corrosion Monitoring of High Level Waste Storage Tank 8-D2 at the West Valley Demonstration Project, CORROSION/94, paper no. 121, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1994).
- [7] J.I. Mickalonis, R.J. Jacko, G.P. Quirk, and D.A. Eden, in: Proc. First Int. Symposium on Electrochemical Noise Measurements for Corrosion Applications, ASTM STP 1277, eds. J.R. Kearns, J.R. Scully, P.R. Roberge, D.L. Reichert, and J.L. Dawson, (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1996) p. 201.
- [8] T. Haygard and J. R. Williams, Trans. Farad. Soc. 57, (1961): p. 2288.
- [9] P. Bindra, et al., Discussions of Faraday Soc. 56, (1974): p. 189.
- [10] M. Fleischmann, et al., Surface Science 100-101, (1980): p. 583.
- [11] G.J. Bignold and M. Fleischmann, Electrochemical Acta 19, (1974): p. 363.
- [12] E. Budevski, et al., Electrochemical Acta 28, (1983): p. 925.
- [13] G. Blanc, et al., Electrochemical Acta 23, (1978): p. 337.

- [14] K. Hladky and J. L. Dawson, Corrosion Science 22, (1982): p. 231.
- [15] D.A. Eden, J.L. Dawson, and D.G. John, U.K. Patent Application 861158, May 1986, U.S. Patent 5139627.
- [16] K. Hladky, European Patent 084404A3, U.S. Patent 455709, Canadian Patent 418938.
- [17] U. Bertocci, Electrochemical Noise Analysis and Its Application to Corrosion, CORROSION/89, paper no. 24, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1989).
- [18] J.L. Dawson, D.M. Farrell, P.J. Aylott, and K. Hladky, Corrosion Monitoring Using Electrochemical Noise Measurements, CORROSION/89, paper no. 31, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1989).
- [19] D.A. Eden, A. N. Rothwell, and J.L. Dawson, "Electrochemical Noise for Detection of Susceptibility to Stress Corrosion Cracking, CORROSION/91, paper no. 444, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1991).
- [20] D.M. Farrell, Industrial Corrosion 9, (1991): p. 7.
- [21] A.N. Rothwell, T.G. Walsh, and W.M. Cox, On Line Corrosion Investigation and Surveillance - Chemical Plant Case Studies, CORROSION/91, paper no. 170, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1991).
- [22] J.L. Dawson, et al., On-line Monitoring of Continuous Process Plants, ed. D. Butcher, (Ellis Horwood, NY, 1983).
- [23] D.M. Farrell, W.M. Cox, and D. Gearey, Multi-System Corrosion Monitoring in a Cyclic Reheat Test Facility: Phase 1, Electric Power Research Institute Report, CS-5776, 1988.
- [24] D.M. Farrell, W.M. Cox and D. Gearey, Multi-System Corrosion Monitoring in FGD Systems; Phase 2, Electric Power Research Institute Report, CS-5734, 1988.
- [25] B.C. Syrett and W.M. Cox, in: Proc. First Int. Symposium on Electrochemical Noise Measurements for Corrosion Applications, ASTM STP 1277, eds. J.R. Kearns, J.R. Scully, P.R. Roberge, D.L. Reichert, and J.L. Dawson, (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1996) p. 173.
- [26] C.A. Lotto and R.A. Cottis, Corrosion 45, (1989): p. 136.

- [27] G.L. Edgemon and G.E.C. Bell, Technical Basis for Electrochemical Noise Based Corrosion Monitoring of Underground Nuclear Waste Storage Tanks, Westinghouse Hanford Company Report, WHC-SD-WM-TI-772, November, 1996.
- [28] G.L. Edgemon, J.L. Nelson, P.C. Ohl, and G.E.C. Bell, Hanford Prototype Corrosion Probe Operational Experience, CORROSION/97, paper no. 97124, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1997).
- [29] G.L. Edgemon, J.L. Nelson, and G.E.C. Bell, Design of an Electrochemical Noise Based Corrosion Monitoring Probe for High Level Nuclear Waste Storage Tanks, CORROSION/98, paper no. 98175, (Houston, TX: NACE International, 1998).
- [30] L. Beaunier, J. Frydman, C. Gabrielli, F. Huet, and M. Keddam, in: Proc. First Int. Symposium on Electrochemical Noise Measurements for Corrosion Applications, ASTM STP 1277, eds. J.R. Kearns, J.R. Scully, P.R. Roberge, D.L. Reichert, and J.L. Dawson, (American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA, 1996) p. 114.

[illegible][illegible]

DIMENSIONAL SCHEDULE (SEE SHEET 2 & 3)

- GENERAL NOTES: (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED)
1. BREAK ALL SHARP EDGES AND REMOVE ALL BURRS.
 2. DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. TOLERANCE: $\pm 1/16"$, ANGLES $= \pm .5^\circ$.
 3. ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 1996 NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CODE. ELECTRICAL CONNECTION SHALL BE PER WIRING DIAGRAM, ZONE C2, SHEET 4.
 4. ELECTRICAL MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT SHALL BE UL OR FM TESTED, WITH LABEL ATTACHED, FOR THE PURPOSES THEREOF, WHATEVER SUCH PRODUCTS ARE AVAILABLE.
 5. DE STAMP THE DRAWING NUMBER, ITEM NUMBER, AND REGION NUMBER IN LOCATION INDICATED USING C(1/16") HIGH CHARACTERS. (SEE SHEET 2, ZONE F7)
 6. DELTETO
 7. SILVER SOLDER ITEM NO 26 (CABLE) TO ITEM NO 23 (SEAL PIN) AT ASSEMBLY. (24 PL)
 8. WELD AND INSPECT PER ANSI B31.3.
 9. ITEM 23 (GLASS TO METAL SEAL PIN) TO BE INSTALLED WITH A MAXIMUM OF 2-3 THREADS CLEARING ITEM 2 (PIPE). SEAL PIPE THREADS (ITEM 22) WITH LOTITE P-57 ON EXTERNAL SURFACE.
 10. (PEA) CONTRAILED DESIGNER FILE, ITEM NO. 24, USE APPROXIMATE STRENGTH IN 26 DAYS SHALL BE 50-100 PSI.
 11. MACHINED RADIUS SHALL BE .03 RAD MAXIMUM.
 12. MACHINED SURFACES TO BE .125 OR BETTER PER ANSI B46.1.
 13. POLISH ALL EXTERNAL SURFACES, THAT CAN BE POLISHED, TO .125 OR BETTER PER ANSI 4.6.1 AFTER REMOVAL OF ASSEMBLY. REFINISH ANY AREAS/SURFACES AS REQUIRED (SEE ASSY 2)
 14. REPAIR INSIDE OF THE PIPE THREAD HOLES, AND REMOVE MACHINE DEBRIS BY SHOP AIR.
 15. REPEAT SPACING BETWEEN ITEMS 13 AND THREAD HOLES ON ITEMS 13 USING ITEM 12. (4 PL)
 16. THE CABLE (ITEM 26) SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH ENOUGH SLACK TO ACCOMMODATE FROGE AND DISPLACEMENT OF UP TO .10 FEET.

GENERAL NOTES: (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED)

1 ASSEMBLY, CORROSION PROBE TREE

SCALE 1/4"

REVISIONS										DATE		BY		CHKD	
1	INITIALS	DATE	BY	CHKD	DATE	BY	CHKD	DATE	BY	CHKD	DATE	BY	CHKD		
1															
TITLE		REF. NUMBER		REFERENCE		TITLE		REF. NUMBER		REFERENCE		TITLE			
ONE NO. DRAWING TOLERANCE 1/32"		REF. USED ON		REFERENCE		REFERENCE		REFERENCE		REFERENCE		REFERENCE			
CUTTING		DRAWING		REFERENCE		REFERENCE		REFERENCE		REFERENCE		REFERENCE			
2		3		4		5		6		7		8			
9		10		11		12		13		14		15			
16		17		18		19		20		21		22			
23		24		25		26		27		28		29			
30		31		32		33		34		35		36			
37		38		39		40		41		42		43			
44		45		46		47		48		49		50			
51		52		53		54		55		56		57			
58		59		60		61		62		63		64			
65		66		67		68		69		70		71			
72		73		74		75		76		77		78			
79		80		81		82		83		84		85			
86		87		88		89		90		91		92			
93		94		95		96		97		98		99			
100		101		102		103		104		105		106			
107		108		109		110		111		112		113			
114		115		116		117		118		119		120			
121		122		123		124		125		126		127			
128		129		130		131		132		133		134			
135		136		137		138		139		140		141			
142		143		144		145		146		147		148			
149		150		151		152		153		154		155			
156		157		158		159		160		161		162			
163		164		165		166		167		168		169			
170		171		172		173		174		175		176			
177		178		179		180		181		182		183			
184		185		186		187		188		189		190			
191		192		193		194		195		196		197			
198		199		200		201		202		203		204			
205		206		207		208		209		210		211			
212		213		214		215		216		217		218			
219		220		221		222		223		224		225			
226		227		228		229		230		231		232			
233		234		235		236		237		238		239			
240		241		242		243		244		245		246			
247		248		249		250		251		252		253			
254		255		256		257		258		259		260			
261		262		263		264		265		266		267			
268		269		270		271		272		273		274			
275		276		277		278		279		280		281			
282		283		284		285		286		287		288			
289		290		291		292		293		294		295			
296		297		298		299		300		301		302			
303		304		305		306		307		308		309			
310		311		312		313		314		315		316			
317		318		319		320		321		322		323			
324		325		326		327		328		329		330			
331		332		333		334		335		336		337			
338		339		340		341		342		343		344			
345		346		347		348		349		350		351			
352		353		354		355		356		357		358			
359		360		361		362		363		364		365			
366		367		368		369		370		371		372			
373		374		375		376		377		378		379			
380		381		382		383		384		385		386			
387		388		389		390		391		392		393			
394		395		396		397		398		399		400			
401		402		403		404		405		406		407			
408		409		410		411		412		413		414			
415		416		417		418		419		420		421			
422		423		424		425		426		427		428			
429		430		431		432		433		434		435			
436		437		438		439		440		441		442			
443		444		445		446		447		448		449			
450		451		452		453		454		455		456			
457		458		459		460		461		462		463			
464		465		466		467		468		469		470			
471		472		473		474		475		476		477			
478		479		480		481		482		483		484			
485		486		487		488		489		490		491			
492		493		494		495		496		497		498			
499		500		501		502		503		504		505			
506		507		508		509		510		511		512			
513		514		515		516		517		518		519			
520		521		522		523		524		525		526			
527		528		529		530		531		532		533			
534		535		536		537		538		539		540			
541		542		543		544		545		546		547			
548		549		550		551		552		553		554			
555		556		557		558		559		560		561			
562		563		564		565		566		567		568			
569		570		571		572		573		574		575			
576		577		578		579		580		581		582			
583		584		585		586		587		588		589			
590		591		592		593		594		595		596			
597		598		599		600		601		602		603			
604		605		606		607		608		609		610			
611		612		613		614		615		616		617			
618		619		620		621		622		623		624			
625		626		627		628		629		630		631			
632		633		634		635		636		637		638			
639		640		641		642		643		644		645			
646		647		648		649		650		651		652			
653		654		655		656		657		658		659			
660		661		662		663		664		665		666			
667		668		669		670		671		672		673			
674		675		676		677		678		679		680			
681		682		683		684		685		686		687			
688		689		690		691		692		693		694			
695		696		697		698		699		700		701			
702		703		704		705		706		707		708			
709		710		711		712		713		714		715			
716		717		718		719		720		721		722			
723		724		725		726		727		728		729			
730		731		732		733		734		735		736			
737		738		739		740		741		742		743			
744		745		746		747		748		749		750			
751		752		753		754		755		756		757			
758		759		760		761		762		763		764			
765		766		767		768		769		770		771			
772		773		774		775		776		777		778			
779		780		781		782		783		784		785			
786		787		788		789		790		791		792			
793		794		795		796		797		798		799			
800		801		802		803		804		805		806			
807		808		809		810		811		812		813			
814		815		816		817		818		819		820			
821		822		823		824		825		826		827			
828		829		830		831		832		833		834			
835		836		837		838		839		840		841			
842		843		844		845		846		847		848			
849		850		851		852		853		854		855			
856		857		858		859		860		861		862			
863		864		865		866		867		868		869			
870		871		872		873		874		875		876			
877		878		879		880		881		882		883			
884		885		886		887		888		889		890			
891		892		893		894		895		896		897			
898		899		900		901		902		903		904			
905		906		907		908		909		910		911			
912		913		914		915		916		917		918			
919		920		921		922		923		924		925			
926		927		928		929		930		931		932			
933		934		935		936		937		938		939			
940		941		942		943		944		945		946			
947		948		949		950		951		952		953			
954		955		956		957		958		959		960			
961		962		963		964		965		966		967			
968		969		970		971		972		973		974			
975		976		977		978		979		980		981			
982		983		984		985		986		987		988			
989		990		991		992		993		994		995			
996		997		998		999		1000		1001		1002			
1003		1004		1005		1006		1007		1008		1009			
1010		1011		1012		1013		1014		1015		1016			
1017		1018		1019		1020		1021		1022		1023			
1024		1025		1026		1027		1028		1029		1030			
1031		1032		1033		1034		1035		1036		1037			
1038		1039		1040

2 ASSEMBLY
FOR MISSING DIMENSIONS
SEE SCHEDULE ON SHEET 1

FOR PARTS LIST, GENERAL NOTES AND
REFERENCE DRAWINGS, SEE SH. NO. 1.

REV NO	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BY	CHKD	APP'D
1	ISSUED FOR FABRICATION	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
2	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
3	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
4	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
5	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
6	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
7	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
8	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
9	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
10	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
11	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
12	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
13	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
14	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
15	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
16	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
17	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
18	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
19	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
20	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
21	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
22	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
23	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
24	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
25	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
26	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS
27	REVISED TO SHOW DIMENSIONS	11/1/78	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS	J. H. HARRIS

DETAIL 1

ROTATED 90° CW
4 PL

ROTATED 90° CW & MIRRORRED
4 PL

(TOTAL 8 PL)

(SEE ASSY 2, SHEET 3, ZONE 4E)

12 PLATE

15 BAIL

14 PLATE

13 PLATE

19 BLIND FLANGE (MODIFIED)

16 PLATE

20 COLLAR

28 REDUCER, ECCENTRIC

WIRING DIAGRAM

FOR PARTS LIST, GENERAL NOTES AND
REFERENCE DRAWINGS, SEE SH. NO. 1.

RESPONSIBLE PERSONNEL	DATE	HILL ENGINEERING & FABRICATION INC. 2165 AVONDA DRIVE, RICHLAND, WASHINGTON 99353 PHONOS 328-9130-9143 FAX 328-913-0888
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	INSTRUMENT TREE ASSEMBLY
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	
DESIGNER	DATE	SPEC 9803-HEF-0210
DESIGNER	DATE	NAME MONIC

DISTRIBUTION SHEET

To	From	Page 1 of 2
Distribution	Life Extension Equipment Engineering	Date April 1, 1998
Project Title/Work Order		EDT No. 624075
Design of Second Generation Hanford Tank Corrosion Monitoring System		ECN No. N/A

Name	MSIN	Text With All Attach.	Text Only	Attach./Appendix Only	EDT/ECN Only
------	------	-----------------------	-----------	-----------------------	--------------

Central Files	A3-88	X			
Tanks Focus Area Field Lead c/o J. A. Frey	K8-50	X			
G. L. Edgemon	R1-30	X (5 copies)			
G. T. Frater	K9-46	X			
M. J. Glaspar	K8-50	X			
J. O. Honeyman	G3-21	X			
P. F. Kison	T4-07	X			
C. E. Jensen	R1-56	X			
C. S. Louie	A6-54	X			
J. L. Nelson	R1-56	X			
Tanks Focus Area Technical Team c/o G. C. Notch	K9-69	X (8 copies)			
M. L. Ramsay	S7-54	X			

OFFSITE

L. H. Sullivan Safety Technology Integration Manager Los Alamos National Laboratory P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545	X
P. E. Woodall U. S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office 750 DOE Place MS: 1145 Idaho Falls, ID 83402	X
A. M. Jensen Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P. O. Box 1625 MS: 3204 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3204	X

DISTRIBUTION SHEET

272
EDT 624075

J. R. Noble-Dial
U. S. Department of Energy
Oak Ridge Operations Office
P. O. Box 2001
Oak Ridge, TN 37830-8620

X

S. M. Robinson
Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P. O. Box 2008 MS: 6044
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

X

T. S. Gutmann
U. S. Department of Energy
Savannah River Operations Office
P. O. Box A
Aiken, SC 29802

X

J. P. Morin
Westinghouse Savannah River Company
Savannah River Technology Center
703-H Bldg.
Aiken, SC 29808

X