RECEIVED

SEP 23 1996

OSTI

Global Orbit Corrections

Keith Symon

LS-101 (ANL)
November 1987
K. Symon

I. Introduction.

There are various reasons for preferring local (e.g., three-bump) orbit correction methods to global corrections. One is the difficulty of solving the mN equations for the required mN correcting bumps, where N is the number of superperiods and m is the number of bumps per superperiod. The latter is not a valid reason for avoiding global corrections, since, as shown in Ref. [1], we can take advantage of the superperiod symmetry to reduce the mN simultaneous equations to N separate problems, each involving only m simultaneous equations.

Since the method depends on the fact that the linearized equations have N superperiod symmetry, these equations must be taken to be the linear equations for the idealized lattice. Presumably the linear equations will be good enough so that a few iterations of the correction scheme will converge, though this point needs to be tested. Otherwise, some other correction scheme will be needed to bring the orbit within range of the linear correction equations.

The prescription in Ref. [1] amounts essentially to applying a Fourier point transform to each index which labels the superperiods. The mN linear equations then separate into N sets of m equations each, one set for each Fourier index. Since this is a decomposition into wavenumbers, it may have other advantages. For example, we will see that the dominant terms are those having Fourier indices closest the betatron tune ν_x or ν_z .

REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

In Reference [2], I will show how to solve the general problem when the machine contains unknown magnet errors of known probability distribution, we make measurements of known precision of the orbit displacements at a set of points, and we wish to apply correcting bumps to minimize the weighted rms orbit deviations. In this report, we will consider two simpler problems, using similar methods. In Section II, we consider the case when we make M beam position measurements per superperiod, and we wish to apply an equal number M of orbit correcting bumps to reduce the measured position errors to zero. In Section III, we consider the problem when the number of correcting bumps is less than the number of measurements, and we wish to minimize the weighted rms position errors. We will see that the latter problem involves solving equations of a different form, but involving the same matrices as the former problem.

II. Reducing the Position Errors to Zero.

Let the beam position monitors be located at the points

$$s = s_m + kS, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.1)$$

where s is the distance measured along the reference orbit, s_m are a set of M points within a superperiod, k is the superperiod index and S is the length of the reference orbit in a superperiod. Note that we assume the beam position monitors are located at periodic points in all superperiods. Let the measured orbit errors (horizontally, or vertically) be $-Y_{km}$. We wish to find a set of orbit bumps B_{2n} which reduce the measured orbit deviations to zero. The bumps are located at the points

$$s = s_n + \ell S, \quad n = 1, \dots, M, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad (2.2)$$

where n labels the bumps within a single superperiod, and ℓ is the superperiod index. In linear approximation, we require

$$\sum_{\ell} A_{km; \ell n} B_{\ell n} = Y_{km}, \quad (2.3)$$

where the matrix element $A_{km; \ell n}$ is the orbit deviation at $s_m + kS$ due to a unit bump at $s_n + \ell S$. The bumps $B_{\ell n}$ are measured in units such that a unit bump $B_{\ell n} = 1$ produces a unit increment in slope $Y'(s)$ at $s = s_n + \ell S$. In view of the symmetry, the matrix $A_{km; \ell n}$ may depend only on the difference of the superperiod indices:

$$A_{km; \ell n} = A_{(k-\ell)mn}. \quad (2.4)$$

The Fourier point transforms of the bumps $B_{\ell n}$ and the displacements Y_{km} are defined by the equations

$$b_n^\alpha = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\ell} B_{\ell n} e^{-2\pi i \alpha \ell / N}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$B_{\ell n} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha} b_n^\alpha e^{2\pi i \alpha \ell / N}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$y_m^\alpha = N^{-1/2} \sum_k Y_{km} e^{-2\pi i \alpha k / N}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$Y_{km} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha} y_m^\alpha e^{2\pi i \alpha k / N}. \quad (2.8)$$

The exponentials in Eqs. (2.5)-(2.8) are periodic with period N in the indices α, ℓ, k . We take the coefficients $b_n^\alpha, B_{\ell n}, y_m^\alpha, Y_{km}$ to have the same periodicity in these indices. The sums in these equations may then be taken over any N consecutive values of the summation index. For many purposes, it will be convenient to let the Fourier index α run over the values $\alpha = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm(N-2)/2, N/2$, in order emphasize the fact that

$$b_n^{-\alpha} = b_n^{\alpha*}, y_m^{-\alpha} = y_m^{\alpha*}. \quad (2.9)$$

The Fourier transform of Eq. (2.3) can be written in the form

$$\sum_n C_{mn}^\alpha b_n^\alpha = y_m^\alpha, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$C_{mn}^\alpha = \sum_r A_{rmn} e^{-2\pi i r \alpha / N}. \quad (2.11)$$

We have to solve N separate sets of M simultaneous equations each, for the Fourier coefficients b_n^α .

We now calculate explicitly the coefficients C_{mn}^α . The orbit corresponding to a unit bump at $s = s_n + \ell S$ is given by

$$y(s) = \frac{w_n w(s)}{2 \sin(\pi \nu)} \cos[\psi(s) - \psi_n - \ell \mu - \pi \nu], \quad (2.12)$$

where the phase in square brackets is to be adjusted by adding a multiple of $2\pi \nu$ so that it lies in the range $(-\pi \nu, \pi \nu)$, where

$$w_n = w(s_n) = [\beta(s_n)]^{1/2}, \quad (2.13)$$

and where $\psi_n = \psi(s_n)$ is the phase advance from $s = 0$ to $s = s_n$ in a superperiod. The phase advance through a superperiod is μ , and the number of betatron oscillations per revolution is $\nu = N\mu/2\pi$. Because of the superperiod symmetry, $w(s_n)$ is periodic with period S , and w_n does not require an index ℓ . The matrix element (2.4) is therefore given by

$$A_{rnm} = \frac{w_n w_m}{2\sin(\pi\nu)} \cos[\psi_m - \psi_n + r\mu - \pi\nu]. \quad (2.14)$$

We substitute this value in Eq. (2.11). The sum is evaluated by expanding the cosine in complex exponential form, with the result

$$C_{mn}^\alpha = \frac{1}{4} w_m w_n \frac{\sin(\mu - |\psi_m - \psi_n|) + e^{2\pi i \Delta_{mn} \alpha / N} \sin|\psi_m - \psi_n|}{\sin \frac{\pi(\alpha - \nu)}{N} \sin \frac{\pi(\alpha + \nu)}{N}}, \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\Delta_{mn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \psi_m > \psi_n, \\ -1 & \text{if } \psi_m < \psi_n. \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

Note that C_{mn}^α has the symmetry:

$$C_{mn}^{-\alpha} = C_{mn}^{\alpha*}. \quad (2.17)$$

We therefore need to solve Eqs. (2.10) only for $\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, N/2$, since for $\alpha = -1, \dots, -(N-2)/2$, the solutions are the conjugates of the solutions for positive values of α . Note the resonant denominators in Eqs. (2.15). Note also that if the bumps and BPM's are at the same locations, then the matrix $A_{km; \ell n}$ is symmetric, and the matrix C_{mn}^α is hermitian.

III. Minimizing Position Errors.

If the number B of bumps per superperiod is less than the number M of beam position measurements ($n = 1, \dots, B$), then we define the weighted mean square position error:

$$E = \sum_{km} W_m [\sum_{\ell n} A_{\ell km} B_{\ell n} - Y_{km}]^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where W_m is the weight assigned to the position error at $s_m + kS$. Minimizing E results in the equations

$$\sum_{m=1}^M W_m C_{mn}^{\alpha} \left[\sum_{n'=1}^B C_{mn'}^{-\alpha} b_{n'}^{\alpha} - y_m^{\alpha} \right] = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, B, \quad (3.2)$$

involving the same coefficients C_{mn}^{α} as Eq. (2.10). Equations (3.2) are to be solved for the Fourier coefficients b_n^{α} . If $B = M$, then Eqs. (2.10) satisfy Eqs. (3.2).

References.

1. K. Symon, "The Eigenvalue Equation for an N-Sector Ring". LS-98, July, 1987.
2. K. Symon, "Orbit Corrections Based on Bayes' Theorem". To appear as an LS report.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.