

SN: 08/186,065

FD: 1-25-94

PATENTS-US--A8186065

DOE CASE 78,176 &  
~~78,177~~

RECEIVED  
DEC 21 1995  
OSTI

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR PHOSPHATE-ACCELERATED  
BIOREMEDIATION

Tommy J. Phelps  
Terry C. Hazen  
Susan M. Piffner  
Kenneth H. Lombard  
James W. Borthen

Inventors:

BRIAN B. LOONEY  
1135 RIDGEMONT DR.  
AIKEN, SC 29803  
USA CITIZEN

SUSAN M. PFIFFNER  
1231 LOVELL RD.  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37932  
USA CITIZEN

TOMMY J. PHELPS  
1231 LOVELL RD.  
KNOXVILLE, TN 37932  
USA CITIZEN

KENNETH H. LOMBARD  
3579 STEVENS WAY  
AUGUSTA, GA 30907  
USA CITIZEN

TERRY C. HAZEN  
2021 AUTUMN CHASE RD.  
AUGUSTA, GA. 30907  
USA CITIZEN

JAMES W. BORTHEN  
900 4TH AVE., SUITE 3340  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98164  
USA CITIZEN

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

pk

PHOSPHATE-ACCELERATED  
BIOREMEDIATION

# **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible  
in electronic image products. Images are  
produced from the best available original  
document.**

APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR  
PHOSPHATE-ACCELERATED BIOREMEDIATION

5

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention:

The present invention relates to in situ bioremediation of  
10 contaminated soil and groundwater. In particular, the invention  
relates to an apparatus and method for adding vapor-phase nutrients to  
a contaminated subsurface region to stimulate the growth of  
contaminant-degrading microorganisms. The United States  
Government has rights in this invention pursuant to Contract No. DE-  
15 AC09-89SR18035 between the U.S. Department of Energy and  
Westinghouse Savannah River Company.

2. Discussion of Background:

Soil and groundwater contamination are ranked among the most  
serious pollution problems of the industrialized nations. It is estimated  
20 that over 15% of community drinking water supplies in the United  
States are contaminated with chlorinated hydrocarbons.

Contamination damages the local ecosystem and may pose health  
problems if local groundwater is used as a source of drinking water or  
irrigation water, or if the soil is used for growing crops.

25 A number of methods are available for treating contaminated  
soil and groundwater. Soil can be treated simply by excavation and  
off-site disposal. Groundwater may be removed along with excavated

soil or be pumped to the surface of the earth for treatment. In situ remediation techniques include stripping of volatile contaminants, as in the horizontal well systems described by Corey, et al. in commonly-assigned U.S. Patents 4,832,122 (In-Situ Remediation System and  
5 Method for Contaminated Groundwater) and 5,186,255 (Flow Monitoring and Control System For Injection Wells), the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Bioremediation of contaminated sites relies on natural processes to break down, immobilize or detoxify the contaminated soil or water.

10 Bioremediation techniques are effective for treating many organic contaminants, however, bioremediation may be inefficient and time-consuming when compared to other treatment methods. Many months of treatment may be needed before contamination is reduced to acceptable levels.

15 Remediation rates can be increased by increasing the supply of nutrients for the indigenous contaminant-degrading microorganisms, for example, by increasing the concentrations of oxygen, nitrogen and phosphorous at the site, and adding trace inorganic nutrients such as iron, magnesium and manganese. In addition, indigenous microbial  
20 populations may be supplemented with naturally-occurring or genetically altered exogenous microorganisms. When the contaminant concentration decreases to acceptable levels, the nutrient supply is terminated and the microbial population returns to background, pretreatment levels. For example, Jhaveri, et al. (U.S. Patent No.  
25 4,401,569) pump contaminated groundwater to the surface, add microorganisms and nutrients such as oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide, then return the mixture to the ground for recirculation

through the contaminated area to leach out and biodegrade the contaminants.

Instead of pumping contaminated groundwater to an above-ground treatment center, water, oxygen, and nutrients such as phosphates, nitrates and alkali metals may be supplied in situ via injection wells. See Ely, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,765,902), Raymond (U.S. Patent No. 3,846,290), Norris, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,849,360). Bacterial cultures can be added to the site, together with air and nutrients, thereby facilitating metabolization of hydrocarbons in the soil (Hater, et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,850,745).

Treatment may include the methods disclosed in commonly assigned patent applications Serial No. 07/935,950, filed 08/27/92 (Bioremediation of Contaminated Groundwater) and Serial No. 07/896,762, filed 06/10/92 (Method and System for Enhancing Microbial Motility), the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference. In the former application (Serial No. 07/935,950), nutrients are injected cyclically to stimulate the growth and reproduction of indigenous microorganisms that are capable of aerobically degrading the contaminants. Treatment is carried out by periodically injecting a mixture of the nutrient and an oxygenated fluid, followed by injection of the oxygenated fluid alone. The nutrient builds up the concentration of microbes capable of degrading the contaminants; the absence of the nutrient forces the microbes to degrade the contaminants in an oxygen-enriched, aerobic environment for that degradation. Treatment is continued until the subsurface concentration of contaminants is reduced to a preselected level. The latter application (Serial No. 07/896,762) discloses attracting

indigenous microbes to the site by placing a quantity of tetrachloroethylene (TCE) near the contaminants.

The effectiveness of these techniques is limited by the ability to effectively disperse a sufficient supply of nutrients throughout the contaminated site. Injection of liquid nutrients (including liquids, liquid droplets and aerosols) has not been successful because liquids tend to adsorb to the soil near the injection location, thus do not disperse to provide general stimulation in the entire contaminated site. The resulting high concentration of nutrients near the injection point can lead to biological growth so immediate and rapid that it plugs the injection well, precluding further injection.

Nutrients that are supplied in gaseous form diffuse much more readily throughout the site, and thus are available to microorganisms at the site. Many nutrients, including oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide, ammonia and methane, are widely available in gaseous form. However, there are no nontoxic compounds of phosphorus, a necessary nutrient for growth and reproduction, that are gaseous at environmental temperatures. Therefore, phosphorus is usually supplied in aqueous solution (Lawes et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,749,491; Raymond, U.S. Patent No. 3,846,290) or as an air-vapor mixture (Graves, et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,178,491). Graves, et al. contact a phosphoric acid solution with a carrier gas (such as air) so that the gas picks up some of the phosphate molecules, then inject the mixture into a bioremediation site. Phosphoric acid ( $H_3PO_4$ ) is formed by dissolving solid phosphorus pentoxide ( $P_2O_5$ ) in water, thus, the phosphate concentration of the gas-vapor mixture is limited by the vapor pressure of the dissolved solid. Only a small fraction of the

phosphorus in the solution is available for vapor-phase transport; most of the phosphorus is in the form of dissociated phosphate ions, which remain in solution and cannot evaporate into the gas. Consequently, large volumes of phosphoric acid are needed for sufficient nutrition.

- 5 In addition, phosphoric acid is corrosive and difficult to handle, and the system does not allow precise control of the amount of phosphate transferred to the gas.

There is a need for an efficient, cost-effective method for delivering vapor-phase nutrients, particularly phosphates, to

- 10 contaminated soil and groundwater to enhance in situ bioremediation. The ability to supply controlled amounts of phosphorous is critical to the future success and reliability of in situ bioremediation technologies.

15

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

- According to its major aspects and broadly stated, the present invention is an apparatus and method for supplying a vapor-phase nutrient to contaminated soil. The apparatus includes a housing
- 20 adapted for containing a quantity of a volatile liquid nutrient, a conduit in fluid communication with the interior of the housing, and means for contacting a gas flowing through the conduit with the nutrient so that a portion thereof is vaporized and transferred to the gas. The resulting gas-nutrient mixture is delivered to the
- 25 contaminated site via a system of injection and extraction wells configured to the site.

In use, a gas such as air or nitrogen is flowed through the apparatus where a portion of the liquid nutrient evaporates and is transferred to the gas in vapor form. Remediation is carried out by injecting the mixture of gas and nutrient vapor into the contaminated site. The mixture is drawn through the site so that the nutrient is available to stimulate growth and reproduction of subsurface microorganisms that are capable of degrading the contaminants. Treatment is continued until the contaminant concentration at the site is reduced to acceptable levels.

10 An important feature of the invention is the mixing of carrier gas with a liquid. A predetermined quantity of the liquid nutrient (up to the vapor pressure of the liquid) is transferred to a carrier gas flowing through the conduit, allowing delivery of controlled amounts of nutrient vapor to the site. Because the nutrient is delivered to the site in vapor form, it readily disperses throughout the site without clogging and is available to stimulate the growth of subsurface contaminant-degrading microorganisms. The transfer of liquid to the carrier gas may be achieved using a countercurrent flow contactor, sparger, bubbler, diffuser or infusion pump.

20 Another important feature of the present invention is the choice of nutrient, preferably a volatile, substantially nontoxic and nonflammable organic phosphate that is a liquid at environmental temperatures, such as triethyl phosphate or tributyl phosphate. Because of its high vapor pressure, the liquid evaporates readily and mixes with the gas, thus, a mixture containing a high concentration of nutrient vapor can be delivered throughout the bioremediation site. Unlike solutions formed by dissolving solid compounds into a liquid,



where only a small fraction of dissolved molecules can evaporate due to the low vapor pressure of the dissolved compound, substantially all of the liquid nutrient is available for evaporation and transfer to the gas.

5        Still another feature of the present invention is the combination of injection and extraction wells to establish a flow gradient through the soil. By properly configuring the wells in view of the size and shape of the contaminated plume, the subsurface geology of the area, groundwater flow rates in the area, and drilling economics, the entire  
10 plume or any part can be quickly saturated with the microbial nutrient.

Other features and advantages of the present invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art from a careful reading of the Detailed Description of a Preferred Embodiment presented below and  
15 accompanied by the drawings.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the drawings,

20        Fig. 1 is a schematic view of an apparatus according to a preferred embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a schematic view of an apparatus according to another preferred embodiment of the invention;

Fig. 3 shows a bioremediation apparatus according to the  
25 invention; and

Fig. 4 is another bioremediation apparatus according to the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

In the following description, similar components are referred to  
5 by the same reference numeral in order to simplify the understanding  
of the sequential aspect of the drawings.

Referring now to Fig. 1, there is shown a schematic view of an  
apparatus according to a preferred embodiment of the present  
invention. An apparatus 10 for supplying vapor-phase nutrients  
10 includes a housing 12 with an inlet 14 and an outlet 16. If desired, a  
reservoir 18 is provided, in fluid communication with housing 12. A  
first pump 20 pumps a carrier gas to inlet 14 through a first conduit  
22; a second pump 24 pumps gas from outlet 16 to a second conduit  
26. Housing 12 contains a gas-liquid contactor device 28, such as a  
15 countercurrent contactor, diffuser, bubbler or sparger. Housing 12  
and reservoir 18 contain a liquid nutrient 30, to be described below.

A carrier gas, represented by arrows 32, is pumped through  
conduit 22 into device 28 by first pump 20. As carrier gas 32 contacts  
liquid nutrient 30, a portion of liquid nutrient 30 evaporates and is  
20 transferred to carrier gas 32. The resulting mixture of gas and  
nutrient vapor, represented by arrows 34, is pumped into conduit 26  
by second pump 24. As more and more of liquid nutrient 30  
evaporates, additional liquid nutrient 30 may be supplied to housing 12  
from reservoir 18 (if present).

25 Housing 12 may be provided with means for changing the  
temperature of liquid nutrient 30 in order to change its vapor  
pressure. The vapor pressure of a substance is defined as the pressure

exerted by a vapor in equilibrium with the substance. The vapor pressure is proportional to the temperature, as given by Antoine's equation:

$$5 \quad \log P_v = A - (B/(T + C)),$$

where  $P_v$  is the vapor pressure,  $T$  is the absolute temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C} + 273.15$ ), and  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are constants for a particular substance.

Thus, nutrient 30 may be heated to increase its vapor pressure and  
 10 transfer a greater amount of vapor to carrier gas 32, or cooled to decrease the vapor pressure and transfer less vapor to carrier gas 32. Housing 12 may be provided with internal or external heating coils for heating liquid nutrient 30, a heating/cooling jacket in thermal contact with the wall of housing 12, or a conduit 40 in thermal contact with  
 15 housing 12 that carries waste heat from other apparatus (not shown) at the bioremediation site.

An alternative preferred embodiment of the present invention is shown in Fig. 2. An apparatus 50 includes a conduit 52, a housing 54 containing a liquid nutrient such as liquid nutrient 30, a pump 56, and  
 20 a conduit 58 in fluid communication with conduit 52. Pump 56 is any type of pump that is capable of injecting predetermined, controlled amounts of the liquid nutrient into conduit 52, including but not limited to an infusion pump, a syringe pump, or a high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) pump.

25 A first pump 60 draws a carrier gas to conduit 52; a second pump 62 pumps a mixture of the gas and nutrient vapor from conduit 52 to a bioremediation site (not shown). An in-line mixer 64 may be

provided for enhancing the mixing of vaporized nutrient with the carrier gas. Mixer 64 is any device that causes turbulent flow in the interior of conduit 52 so that carrier gas and nutrient vapor flowing through the conduit 52 are well mixed.

5 Pump 60 pumps a carrier gas, represented by arrows 66, into conduit 52. Infusion pump 56 draws liquid nutrient from housing 54 and injects a controlled amount of the nutrient directly into conduit 52, where the nutrient immediately disperses into a vapor 70. Mixer 64 (if present) ensures that the carrier gas 66 and vapor 70 are well  
10 mixed. Nutrient-enriched gas represented by arrows 68 is drawn from conduit 52 by second pump 62. If desired, the temperature of carrier gas 66 or the liquid nutrient, or both, may be controlled in order to control the vapor pressure of the liquid nutrient.

Carrier gas 66 can contain an amount of nutrient no greater  
15 than the vapor pressure of the liquid nutrient, that is, the partial pressure of the vaporized nutrient in the gas-vapor mixture is no greater than the vapor pressure of the liquid nutrient. Therefore, the maximum flow rate of pump 56 is given by:

20 
$$Q_{\max} = \beta_1 \beta_2 Q_{\text{gas}},$$

where  $Q_{\max}$  is the flow rate of the liquid nutrient in L/min,  $Q_{\text{gas}}$  is the flow rate of the carrier gas (the pumping rate of pump 60) in L/min,  $\beta_1$  is the vapor pressure of the liquid nutrient in atm, and  $\beta_2$  is a  
25 conversion factor relating the flow rate of the liquid nutrient to the flow rate of the vaporized nutrient. Conversion factor  $\beta_2$  is a constant for a particular substance, approximately given by:

$$\beta_2 \approx 4.1 \times 10^{-5} (w/d),$$

where  $w$  is the molecular weight of the liquid nutrient in g/mole and  $d$  is its density in g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Preferably, the liquid nutrient is supplied at a flow rate between approximately 0.1 mL/min and 10 mL/min.

The flow rate needed to obtain a gas-vapor mixture containing a predetermined vol.% of nutrient vapor is given by:

$$Q_{\text{target}} = (V/100)\beta_2 Q_{\text{gas}}, \text{ or}$$

$$Q_{\text{target}} \approx 4.1 \times 10^{-7} (w/d)VQ_{\text{gas}},$$

where  $Q_{\text{target}}$  is the flow rate of the liquid nutrient (the flow rate of infusion pump 56) and  $V$  is the desired vol.% of nutrient vapor in the gas-vapor mixture.

Apparatus 10 and apparatus 50 may be operated with a carrier gas such as air, oxygen-enriched air, nitrogen, oxygen-nitrogen mixtures; and so forth. The optimum choice of carrier gas depends on the types of contaminants present in at the site, the nutrients present in the soil, and whether anaerobic or aerobic degradation, or both, is to be stimulated. Thus, for anaerobic degradation, the carrier gas may be nitrogen; for aerobic degradation, air, oxygen-enriched air, or nitrogen-oxygen mixtures. If desired, the mixture may contain other gases such as methane, propane, carbon dioxide and so forth.

Suitable nutrients for the practice of the invention include volatile, substantially nontoxic and nonflammable compounds that are



liquid at environmental temperatures (that is, temperatures between approximately  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $50^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The compounds contain needed nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus, etc.) in forms that can be metabolized by subsurface microorganisms. By "liquid" is meant substantially  
5 pure compounds that are liquids in at least a portion of this temperature range, as opposed to soluble compounds and solutions thereof. Liquids are preferred since, in general, liquids have higher vapor pressures than solids. The higher the vapor pressure, the greater the concentration of vaporized nutrient in the gas-vapor  
10 mixture supplied by apparatus 10, 50, and the more efficient the delivery of nutrients to the site.

As noted above, the carrier gas can contain an amount of nutrient vapor up to the vapor pressure of the liquid nutrient. Thus, if the vapor pressure  $P_v$  of the liquid is 1 mm at a temperature  $T$ , the  
15 gas-vapor mixture may contain as much as 0.13 vol.% nutrient vapor. If the vapor pressure is 5 mm, the mixture may contain 0.66 vol.% nutrient vapor. To ensure that adequate amounts of the nutrient are delivered to the bioremediation site, the nutrient liquid preferably has a vapor pressure of at least approximately 0.1 mm Hg at the injection  
20 temperature.

For delivery of phosphorus to contaminated soil, liquid organic phosphates are preferred, although inorganic phosphates with sufficiently high vapor pressures may be used. Suitable organic phosphates include triethyl phosphate ( $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{15}\text{O}_4\text{P}$ ) and tributyl  
25 phosphate ( $((\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{O})_3\text{PO})$ ). Triethyl phosphate is a liquid at environmental temperatures, with vapor pressures (in mm Hg) of 1 mm at  $39.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 5 mm at  $67.8^{\circ}\text{C}$  and 10 mm at  $82.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Data on

vapor pressures of many organic and inorganic compounds are available in various standard references, including the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 71st Edition (1990), pp. 6-50 - 6-73. These data are incorporated herein by reference. Many volatile liquid organophosphates are not suitable for use with the invention due to their flammability and/or toxicity. For example; trimethyl phosphate ( $C_3H_9O_4P$ ) has a vapor pressure of 1 mm at 26.0° C (higher than the vapor pressure of triethyl phosphate), but is toxic and flammable; volatile organo-dichlorophosphates such as phenyl dichlorophosphate ( $C_6H_5Cl_2O_2P$ ) are toxic. Therefore, candidate compounds for use with the invention are best chosen by evaluating the physical data (vapor pressure, molecular weight and volume, and so forth) in conjunction with reference data on toxicity and flammability, for example, the data available in Materials Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) provided by manufacturers.

Apparatus 10 and apparatus 50 can be used to supply nutrient-enriched gas to any desired bioremediation apparatus, including systems with horizontal and vertical injection wells such as those shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Referring now to Fig. 3, there is shown a cross-sectional view of a bioremediation site with an apparatus for delivering nutrient-enriched gas according to the present invention. The subsurface structure under the earth's surface 100 includes an upper, unsaturated (vadose) zone, indicated generally at A, and a lower, saturated zone, indicated generally at B. Zones A and B meet at a water table 102. A contaminant plume 104 lies below surface 100, located partly in zone A and partly in zone B, or entirely within one of zones A or B. Plume 104 may contain any of a variety of

contaminants, including aromatics, alkanes, olefins, alcohols, esters, ketones, halogenated and chlorinated hydrocarbons, PCBs, dioxins and so forth. The term "contaminant" as used herein refers to any organic compound which is removable from the soil by bioremediation.

5        A remediation apparatus 120 includes an injection well system 122, having a shaft 124 leading to an injection well 126. A pump 128 is operatively connected to shaft 124. Injection well 126 is situated below plume 104 in saturated zone B. An extraction well system 130 is placed on an opposing side of plume 104, and includes a shaft 132,  
10    an extraction well 134 positioned above plume 104 in vadose zone A, and a pump 136. A testing module 140, to be described more fully below, may be operatively connected to shaft 132 and pump 136 in any convenient location.

Horizontal wells 126 and 134 each have a plurality of apertures  
15    142, such as slots or perforations. Apertures 142 are large and numerous enough to allow gases to flow freely therethrough, but narrow enough to keep soil particles from blocking the flow. Alternatively, wells 126 and 134 may be surrounded by mesh sleeves (not shown) to prevent blocking of apertures 142 by soil particles but  
20    allow the free flow of gas out of well 126 and into well 134.

Another remediation apparatus 150 is shown in Fig. 4. Apparatus 150 includes an injection well 152 having a pump 154 operatively connected thereto. An extraction well 160, with a pump 162, is situated so that plume 104 is located between wells 152 and  
25    160. A testing module 164 may be operatively connected to extraction well 160 and pump 162. Wells 152 and 160 each have a plurality of



apertures 166 formed therein, similar in form and function to apertures 142 of above-described horizontal wells 126 and 134.

An apparatus for supplying vapor-phase nutrients, such as above-described apparatus 50 (or apparatus 10) is in fluid communication with shaft 124 of remediation apparatus 120 (shaft 152 of apparatus 150). If desired, second pump 62 of apparatus 50 (or pump 24 of apparatus 10) may serve as input pump 128, 154 of remediation apparatus 100, 150, respectively. Gas drawn through plume 104 by pumps 136, 162 may be recycled to input shafts 124, 152, respectively, or filtered as needed and vented to the atmosphere.

Site characteristics such as the size and shape of plume 104, the types of contaminants in plume 104, the subsurface geology of the area, fluid flow rates in the area and drilling economics dictate the dimensions, the numbers of injection and extraction wells, and the orientation of apparatus 120, 150. For example, where plume 104 is situated partly or wholly in saturated zone B, horizontal wells may be preferable to help prevent spreading of plume 104 during treatment. The injection and extraction wells of the apparatus may be oriented at angles other than those shown in Figs. 3 and 4, or the extraction wells may be omitted.

Treatment of plume 104 is initiated after placement of the remediation apparatus. The treatment process will be described with reference to a remediation apparatus 120 with a nutrient-supplying apparatus 50 as illustrated in Fig. 3. However, it will be understood that similar procedures are employed with apparatus 10 and any convenient injection/extraction well system.

The baseline microbial characteristics of the subsurface environment are determined before treatment. Site characterization data are obtained from test site core samples, and may include such analyses as acridine orange direct counts, aerobic heterotrophic plate counts, phospholipid fatty acid measurements, DNA probes, fluorescent antibody probes, plasmid frequency, total phosphorus and organic carbon, total nitrogen (measured by the Kjeldahl method, where an estimate of the total amount of nitrogen in an organic substance is made by measuring the amount of ammonia that can be formed from it, or other suitable techniques), nitrate, and so forth. Growth of subsurface microorganisms may be evaluated by visual inspection of groundwater samples, for example, subjective evaluation of sample turbidity and surface film growth in sample containers.

If desired, the site may be initialized by activating pump 136 to extract air from extraction well system 130 and establish a flow gradient across plume 104. The optimum extraction rate depends on the subsurface soil conditions and is best established by a modest degree of experimentation and observation for each particular site. Pump 136 draws gas, indicated generally by arrows 144 (arrows 168 of Fig. 4), from injection well 126 across plume 104 into extraction well 134.

At suitable intervals, off-gas samples are withdrawn at testing module 140 and assayed. The types of assays depend on the nature of the contaminants in plume 104, and may include tests for methane, oxygen, and hydrocarbon concentration, total-volatile organic contaminants (VOC), TCE, polychloroethylene (PCE), and potential breakdown products of TCE and PCE (including cis-DCE, trans-DCE,

vinyl chloride, and carbon dioxide). Alternatively, module 140 may include means for conducting the analyses. Contaminants may be removed before venting the off-gas to the atmosphere or recycling to input shaft 124, such as by filtering through an activated carbon filter.

- 5 Pump 136 is operated until sampling confirms that steady state concentrations have been reached.

After steady state offgas contaminant concentrations are reached, pump 128 injects a gas-vapor mixture, supplied by apparatus 50, into injection well 126 while pump 136 continues to draw offgas  
10 from extraction well 134. The optimum flow rates of pumps 128 and 136 depend on the soil type. In order to maintain a flow gradient across plume 104, the extraction rate of pump 136 is preferably the same or higher than the injection rate of pump 128. However, depending on the nature and amounts of contaminants in plume 104,  
15 hazardous contaminants removed by abiotic processes such as air stripping may need to be filtered from the extracted off-gas for subsequent disposal, increasing the expense of the remediation process. Nonhazardous end products of contaminants degraded in situ may simply be left in place. In addition, it is preferred that substantially all  
20 the nutrients supplied by apparatus 50 are dispersed throughout the treatment region rather than withdrawn via extraction well 134. Therefore, the extraction rate is chosen to optimize the supply of nutrients to plume 104 while minimizing air stripping, preferably to a value no more than approximately 20% higher than the injection rate.  
25 By way of example, for sandy soils where the extraction rate of pump 136 is 240 SCFM (standard cubic feet/min; about 6.8 m<sup>3</sup>/min or 6.8 x

10<sup>3</sup> L/min), the preferred injection rate of pump 128 is approximately 200 SCFM (about 5.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min).

5 The mixture of carrier gas and nutrient vapor is drawn across plume 104 to stimulate growth and reproduction of indigenous microorganisms. The increased availability of nutrients due to injection of the carrier gas and nutrient vapor mixture stimulates greatly increased growth and reproduction of indigenous subsurface microorganisms. These microorganisms, in turn, degrade the contaminants in plume 104.

10 Injection of the gas-vapor mixture continues until contaminant concentrations in plume 104 are reduced to acceptable levels. The time required for treatment depends on the size of plume 104, the concentration of contaminants in the plume, the local subsurface soil conditions, the types of microorganisms at the site, and the flow rates  
15 of pumps 128 and 136. Soil, groundwater and off-gas samples may be collected and tested at intervals during treatment for measuring concentrations of the contaminants at various positions in plume 104, concentrations of subsurface microorganisms, temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, and oxidation-reduction potential.  
20 VOC analyses may be performed on groundwater and off-gas samples, including measurements of methane, and potential daughter products of the contaminants. Bacterial counts may be obtained by any convenient method.

25 Apparatus 50 supplies a mixture of vapor-phase phosphates and carrier gas to the site. The phosphate vapor provides phosphorus, a necessary nutrient for growth and reproduction of the subsurface microorganisms. The gas is selected depending on the types of

contaminants that are found in plume 14. For many types of contaminants, air is preferred because of its low cost. However, other gases including oxygen ( $O_2$ ), nitrogen ( $N_2$ ), nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ), ammonia ( $NH_3$ ), methane ( $CH_4$ ) and propane ( $CH_3CH_2CH_3$ ) may be added if desired. For contaminants that are biodegradable by aerobic microorganisms, the carrier gas is air, oxygen-enriched air, or a nitrogen-oxygen mixture. For contaminants that are degradable by anaerobic microorganisms, the mixture contains nitrogen. Sites contaminated with gasoline, oils and other similar hydrocarbons may need only vapor-phase phosphates and air. When plume 14 contains chlorinated solvents, carbon (methane, propane, carbon dioxide) may be added to provide energy to support the growth of suitable microbial communities. By way of example, if plume 104 contains TCE, a suitable gas mixture includes air and methane in a concentration of less than approximately 5 vol.%, as described in aforementioned patent application Serial No. 07/935,950.

Preferably, the nutrients supplied by apparatus 50, together with the nutrients already available at the site, optimize the growth of suitable contaminant-degrading microorganisms and the contaminant degradation rate. Microorganisms are known to contain carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus in approximately constant relative concentrations, known as the "bulk" or "biomass" C:N:P ratio. Since the microorganisms utilize carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus as nutrients in approximately the same proportions as the bulk C:N:P ratio, optimum growth and reproduction of a microbial community is seen when these elements are available in approximately that ratio. Use of the bulk C:N:P ratio for process control allows regulation of

the microbial community and contaminant degradation rate to maximize contaminant decay without overstimulating or clogging the formation. The C:N:P ratios of living organisms are generally similar, however, different types of microorganisms may differ in their ability to utilize various nutrients. The uptake of nutrients by microorganisms may also depend on the ambient environmental conditions, including the types of contaminants present at the site, soil pH, oxidation-reduction potentials and availability of water. In addition, differential absorption of various nutrients by the soil may affect the availability of those nutrients throughout the site. Thus, the optimum C:N:P ratio of the injected gas-vapor mixture is site-specific, and is best selected based on the types of soil present at the site, the types of microorganisms and the types of contaminants to be degraded.

Alternatively, the site may be assayed to determine the availability of needed nutrients, and only those nutrients which are needed to stimulate the growth of suitable contaminant-degrading microorganisms are supplied. According to Liebig's Law of the Minimum, those essential nutrients for which the ratio of supply to demand reaches a minimum will be the first to be removed from the environment. Therefore, the growth of a microbial community is limited by the supply of the least-abundant nutrient, generally phosphorus. The composition of the gas-vapor mixture may be adjusted to provide additional phosphorus (and other nutrients as needed) so that the C:N:P ratio of the available nutrients at the site is approximately equal to the bulk C:N:P ratio.

During treatment, the composition of the gas-vapor mixture may be adjusted depending on the results of the above-described tests.



For example, the flow rate of pump 128 may be changed to inject more or less of the gas-vapor mixture, the concentration of phosphorus in the mixture may be increased to stimulate growth of the microbial community and increase the contaminant degradation rate, the phosphorus concentration may be reduced to prevent clogging, and so forth.

An apparatus according to the present invention was tested by drilling a horizontal well approximately 300' (about 91 m) long and 150' (about 46 m) deep below a contaminant plume (similar to well 126 of Fig. 3). The well was approximately 30' - 40' (about 9 - 12 m) below water table 102, and was used to inject air, other gases, and vapor-phase phosphates into the side. An extraction well such as well 134, approximately 175' (about 53 m) long and 75' (about 23 m) deep in vadose zone A, was used to extract residual contamination above water table 102, extract material sparged from the water table 102, and control the distribution and migration of injected nutrient gases. The site was contaminated with chlorinated solvents, necessitating the addition of carbon to provide energy to support growth of the subsurface microbial community.

Gases supplied to the site included  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  (as air),  $CH_4$ ,  $N_2O$ , and vapor-phase phosphorous (triethyl phosphate, TEP). The test included the following steps: (1) continuous vacuum extraction from well 134; (2) continuous air injection into well 126; (3) continuous injection of air containing 1 vol.%  $CH_4$ ; (4) continuous injection of air containing 4 vol.%  $CH_4$ ; (5) continuous injection of air with periodic pulses of  $CH_4$  (1 vol.%; 4 vol.%); and (6) injection of a mixture of air,  $N_2O$  and TEP with periodic pulses of  $CH_4$  (1-4

vol.%). Vacuum extraction from well 134 continued during steps (2) - (6).

Data were collected throughout the test to evaluate the progress of biodegradation at the site. Key indicators included fluorescent  
5 antibody counts of known contaminant-degrading microorganisms, DNA probes and chemical measurements to determine the expression of contaminant-degrading enzymes, measures of microorganism numbers and types, chemical measurements (including measurements of daughter products and breakdown products of contaminants) and  
10 TCE mineralization analyses.

TCE mineralization analyses proved to be a good performance indicator, correlating well with the other measures listed. Analysis consisted of a comparison of the rate of disappearance of  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled TCE in groundwater samples and controls over a period of two days.  
15  $^{14}\text{C}$ -labeled TCE was injected into sealed tubes containing 10 mL of groundwater taken from plume 104 and incubated at the original in situ temperature for 48 hours. The radioactive and nonradioactive  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration in the samples was determined using gas chromatography and gas proportional counting.

20 Phosphorus was supplied in the form of vapor-phase triethyl phosphate (TEP). TEP was selected based on its relatively high vapor pressure (1 mm at  $37.6^\circ\text{C}$ ), low toxicity and low flammability. In addition, TEP is less soluble in water, less reactive and less corrosive than many solutions of phosphorus compounds (including phosphoric  
25 acid), resulting in the potential for more uniform spread into plume 104 as well as reduced handling and engineering problems. While not wishing to be bound by theory, the presence of organic groups



suggests the possibility that microbial stimulation will be more uniform (i.e., "time released") because of the need to convert the TEP to orthophosphate through biological removal of the organic chains.

5 An initial laboratory study demonstrated that vapor-phase TEP could be utilized by the microbial community in a manner analogous to inorganic orthophosphate. TCE mineralization tests were performed with several combinations of nutrients. Mineralization rates were measured on a relative scale, with 0 being the lowest observed rate and ++++ the highest. The results were as follows:

10

		Mineralization Rate
		(0 - ++++)
15	CH <sub>4</sub> , NH <sub>4</sub>	+++
	CH <sub>4</sub> , inorganic orthophosphate	++++
	CH <sub>4</sub> , TEP	++++
	CH <sub>4</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> O	++
	CH <sub>4</sub> , NH <sub>4</sub> , TEP	+++
	NH <sub>4</sub>	+
20	CH <sub>4</sub>	0

The lowest mineralization rate (0) was for CH<sub>4</sub> alone; the highest rates (++++) were found for CH<sub>4</sub> and inorganic orthophosphate, and CH<sub>4</sub> and TEP. These results demonstrated that  
 25 vapor-phase TEP stimulated and could be utilized by the contaminant degraders in the microbial community. Therefore, TEP was selected for the vapor-phase nutrient injection (step (6)).

As discussed above, the attainable concentration of TEP vapor in the gas-vapor mixture is limited by the vapor pressure of liquid TEP. Thus, if the vapor pressure is 1 mm at the gas injection temperature, the gas-vapor mixture may contain as much as 0.13 vol.% TEP. A TEP concentration of 0.007 vol.% was selected for the test, based on optimizing the C:N:P ratio in the injected gas. Carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus were supplied in a ratio of approximately 100:50:5, similar to the bulk composition of suitable contaminant-degrading microbial cells at the test site.

For an air injection rate of 200 SCFM (about 5.7 m<sup>3</sup>/min or 5.7 x 10<sup>3</sup> L/min), the target flow rate of vapor-phase TEP was 0.014 SCFM (about 0.4 L/min). The molecular weight and volume of TEP at atmospheric pressure are 182.2 g/mole and 24.5 L/mole, respectively. Therefore, the flow rate of pump 56 was selected to inject 2.95 g/min (2.75 mL/min) of liquid TEP into conduit 52, or approximately 1.06 gallons/day (about 4.0 L/day) liquid TEP. A total of 100 gallons (about 380 L) of TEP was volatilized into the air-nitrogen mixture over a period of 93 days.

TCE mineralization results indicated that TEP injection performed as expected. Prior to addition of phosphorus, the sitewide 48-hour mineralization rates ranged from 0% to 3% with a mean of about 2.5%. During the addition of TEP, the sitewide 48-hour mineralization rates increased, ranging from 3% to 26% with a mean of about 15%. Upon addition of TEP, the concentration of chlorinated solvents in soil gas samples from above water table 102 dropped substantially and rapidly. These results indicate that the phosphorus stimulated contaminant-degrading microorganisms in the

groundwater, while the concentration changes above water table 102 indicate that the added phosphorus impacted a relatively large region, passing through the 30' - 40' (about 9 - 12 m) of water-saturated soil into vadose zone A.

- 5           Apparatus 10 and apparatus 50 may be used with injection and extraction wells configured to the particular site. Treatment regimens may include continuous air-phosphate injection, injection of phosphates and other nutrients (nitrogen, methane, etc.), and pulsed treatment as described in aforementioned application Serial No.
- 10   07/935,950. A mixture of vapor-phase phosphates, oxygen and methane may be injected periodically to stimulate growth and reproduction of subsurface methanotrophs, and a phosphate-oxygen mixture injected continuously. The treatment cycle is repeated until
- 15   the contaminant concentration is reduced to acceptable levels. It will be evident that, by an appropriate choice of gases and other nutrients, pulsed treatment may be used for stimulating microorganisms other than methanotrophs.

- A remediation apparatus as described above provides significant advantages over presently-available nutrient delivery techniques. The
- 20   use of volatile liquid nutrients allows the efficient, controlled delivery of the nutrients in vapor form. Because liquids typically have much higher vapor pressures than solutions of solid compounds or the solids themselves, the gas-vapor mixture can contain high concentrations of nutrients for stimulating growth of contaminant-degrading
- 25   microorganisms. The vaporized nutrients are dispersed throughout the contaminated site, avoiding the problems of plugging and microbial overgrowth that are found with liquid injection. The use of

injection and extraction wells configured to the site allows efficient delivery of the gas-nutrient vapor mixture.

Biodegradation of contaminants by indigenous subsurface microorganisms provides an effective pathway for removal of the  
5 contaminants, and use of the invention results in significant reductions in the time required to complete treatment. Furthermore, the microorganisms can access subsurface formations that may be difficult to access by air stripping alone. Microorganisms are known to biodegrade hundreds of organic compounds, including TCE, PCE,  
10 benzene, toluene, and xylene. These pollutants are widespread, and must be reduced to very low levels (less than 5 ppb) for groundwater to be considered non-polluted.

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that many changes and substitutions can be made to the preferred embodiment herein  
15 described without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention as defined by the appended claims.

## ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

An apparatus and method for supplying a vapor-phase nutrient to contaminated soil for in situ bioremediation. The apparatus

5 includes a housing adapted for containing a quantity of the liquid nutrient, a conduit in fluid communication with the interior of the housing, means for causing a gas to flow through the conduit, and means for contacting the gas with the liquid so that a portion thereof

10 evaporates and mixes with the gas. The mixture of gas and nutrient vapor is delivered to the contaminated site via a system of injection and extraction wells configured to the site. The mixture has a partial pressure of vaporized nutrient that is no greater than the vapor

pressure of the liquid. If desired, the nutrient and/or the gas may be heated to increase the vapor pressure and the nutrient concentration of

15 the mixture. Preferably, the nutrient is a volatile, substantially nontoxic and nonflammable organic phosphate that is a liquid at environmental temperatures, such as triethyl phosphate or tributyl phosphate.






Fig 4

