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ABSTRACT
Uniaxial tensile and cyclic fatigue data are reported for a commercial grade of silicon carbide whisker-reinforced alumina matrix composite (SiC/Al2O3) tested at room and elevated temperatures. The data show that addition of short SiC (30 vol%) in Al2O3 can significantly increase room temperature tensile strength and resistance to cyclic fatigue of monolithic Al2O3 by ~40% and ~100%, respectively, bringing the high-cycle fatigue strength (~10^6 cycle range) to ~95% of its tensile strength. These dramatic improvements in tensile and fatigue behavior were attributed to the presence of SiC, which effectively inhibited cyclic fatigue crack growth. The composite further exhibited excellent retention of tensile and cyclic fatigue strengths at elevated temperatures as high as 1000°C for practical engineering applications.

INTRODUCTION
Studies (Becher et al., 1984; Wei et al., 1985; Tiegs et al., 1985) show that addition of short SiC whiskers in Al2O3 can significantly improve the strength and fracture toughness of composite materials for structural applications at high temperatures. This paper is intended to generate baseline data of a commercial composite material for component design and analysis use and to aid in understanding beneficial features, inherent weaknesses, and limitations that are associated with this composite.

Tensile strength of SiC/Al2O3 composite has been investigated to aid in material development using four-point bending (Tiegs et al., 1986). However, uniaxial tensile and cyclic fatigue properties of the composite are virtually unknown. Therefore, elevated temperature mechanical properties were investigated for the commercial SiC/Al2O3 ceramic composite tested under monotonic and tension-tension cyclic fatigue loading in uniaxial mode using solid rod specimens. Results are compared with those obtained previously for monolithic Al2O3 to examine the extent of the beneficial effects due to SiC reinforcement as well as limitations at elevated temperatures.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS
Material and Specimen
The composite material used in this experiment was a commercial grade of hot-pressed SiC-reinforced Al2O3 matrix composite containing nominally 30 Vol% of short SiC whiskers (~1-µm diam x ~25-µm length) dispersed throughout the matrix. The average diameter of Al2O3 matrix grains was ~3 µm. Several square tiles (153 mm x 153 mm x 19 mm), commercially designated as PAD-AS34W, were procured from CERCOM of Vista, California.

A detailed NDE inspection by ultrasonic scanning was performed on each tile before specimen fabrication. Figure 1a shows an image of an ultrasonic scan on a focal plane at a depth of about 2.5 mm from the surface of tile "A" (Lot No. 4-318-6, 1989 vintage). Large dark spots discernible in Fig. 1a indicate voids or imperfections which were suspected to be either SiC clumps or agglomerates of matrix grains. Note that images of the large dark spots were exaggerated in the technique with the actual sizes being much smaller. Eight buttonhead specimens (Fig. 2) were machined from each tile, and each specimen was identified with a tile designation letter preceding a serial number.

Fig. 1 - (a) Result of ultrasonic scanning on a focal plane 2.5 mm below the surface of tile A and (b) that of tile B.
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number. Some of specimens made from tile A revealed small, shallow, craters on the specimen surface under a light microscope. The specimens were tested in the as-machined condition with no surface polishing. Interestingly, none of the specimens initiated fracture from the surface defects.

Additional tiles were procured subsequently for fatigue testing, being identified as tiles B, C, D, and E. These tiles were obtained from another lot (Lot No. 4-442-X, 1990 vintage), showing more uniformly dispersed whisker mixing compared to tile A. Results of ultrasonic scanning inspection showed these tiles were virtually devoid of large defects, as shown in Fig. 1b for tile B. Examination showed all specimens fabricated from the second lot were virtually unblemished except machining marks.

**Testing Equipment and Method**

All testing was performed using a closed-loop controlled electrohydraulic testing machine equipped with a set of hydraulically operated self-aligning couplers integrated in the load-train assembly shown in Fig. 3. The self-aligning couplers were essential components in the load train assembly to minimize specimen bending in the reduced gage section. Because of space limitation, details of the mechanisms and operation of the couplers are referred to elsewhere (Liu and Brinkman, 1986).

Testing was conducted at ambient and elevated temperatures to 1200°C. Specimens were heated by a compact induction heater. Tensile strain was directly measured from the uniform gage section using strain gages for room temperature testing only or an in-house developed mechanical strain extensometer (Liu and Ding, 1993). A view from the transducer end of the extensometer installed at the test position is shown in Fig. 3. The heating element in the near side of the heating coil is removed so that the other SiC susceptor placed immediately behind the specimen is visible. The output signals from the load cell and strain transducers were plotted on an XY-plotter to establish the relationship between stress and strain. The values of elastic moduli were then determined directly from the stress-strain curves.

All testing was performed either in monotonic tension for fast fracture or in cyclic tension-tension for fatigue. Monotonic tensile testing was run at a stressing rate of 2100 MPa/min and cyclic fatigue testing was run at a much higher rate of 21,000 MPa/min so that a fatigue test could be completed in a reasonable period of time. A triangular wave form as shown in Fig. 4a was used in cyclic fatigue tests. If the test specimen showed no imminent failure after completing a block of 30,000 to 100,000 cycles at a given constant peak stress, the peak stress was increased intermittently in steps of about 30 MPa until failure occurred, as shown in Fig. 4b.

**TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Six specimens in "A"-series were tested in pure tension at room temperature. Three specimens (A1 to A3) were instrumented with strain gages. Remaining three (A4 to A6) were tested with a mechanical strain extensometer for the purpose of cross calibration. The average value of the elastic modulus at room temperature determined from the three strain gage data was 398.7 GPa and that
precycling were done exhibited by the pilot specimen, additional tests were conducted on tensile fracture strength. To validate the high fatigue performance, Therefore, the data (filled squares) are plotted in Fig. 4.

Brinkman, Suresh, higher than that of monolithic alumina by about 40% (Liu and Brinkman, 1987). The tensile strength was reproducible within a scatter of ±5.5%. Although a reliable value of the Weibull modulus can not be determined based on six tests, the test data implied that the Weibull modulus would be high for this material.

An exploratory cyclic fatigue test was then performed using specimen A7. Since little was known about the fatigue behavior of this composite material, a cyclic stress amplitude (CSA) of 330 MPa, equivalent to about 75% of the tensile fracture strength, was used as a starting point. Each time after a block of 30,000 to 100,000 cycles was completed, the CSA was increased intermittently in steps until fatigue failure occurred. Specimen A7 failed after completing 617 cycles at the ultimate CSA of 414 MPa, which was about 96% of the tensile fracture strength. To validate the high fatigue performance exhibited by the pilot specimen, additional tests were conducted on two specimens of a different origin, tile D. The number of cycles to failure at each ultimate CSA are 9,964 cycles at 446 MPa and 13,456 cycles at 432 for specimens D1 and D2, respectively (Table 1). Because the ultimate CSA attained in the fatigue tests are within the scatter of the tensile strength, fatigue damage due to intermediate cycling below the ultimate CSA is assumed to be of insignificance. Therefore, the data (filled squares) are plotted in Fig. 5 as if no precycling were done to the specimens. The three unfilled square symbols indicate the test conditions before the three specimens were cycled to failure at the highest CSA level attained. The arrow attached to the unfilled symbols indicates that the fatigue life would be longer if the test was continued. To facilitate comparison, the fatigue data of monolithic alumina reported previously by our laboratory (Liu and Brinkman, 1987) are included in Fig. 5 also.

The linear lines representing the average fatigue behavior were determined by the least squares method. Due to the limited information, the fatigue behavior of the composite beyond 10^6 cycles must be considered to be tentative. Figure 5 shows that the fatigue curve of the composite was practically flat compared to that of monolithic alumina. The difference in fatigue behavior was obviously attributed to the strengthening by SiC whiskers that have effectively inhibited the slow crack growth being promoted by cyclic fatigue. The flatness of the fatigue curve implied that little or no fatigue induced crack growth was occurring in the specimen until the applied cyclic stress exceeded a critical value which must be high enough to fracture the SiC whiskers. In the case of this composite material, the critical level of cyclic stress to initiate a crack growth may exceed as high as 90% of its tensile fracture strength.

Careful examination of Fig. 5 indicated that data points that represented the fatigue resistance of tile D generally fell on the upper side of the fatigue curve, whereas those made from tile A fell on the lower side, probably due to material variation. A plausible explanation can be made when comparing the two ultrasonic scanning images shown in Fig. 1. Large process defects detected in Fig. 1a were clearly detrimental to the fatigue resistance of specimen A7.

Results of fatigue tests at 1000, 1100, and 1200°C are summarized in Table 1 and data are plotted in Fig. 6. Again, an exploratory test at 1000°C was performed initially for specimen B1 which was cycled to a CSA of 250 MPa as a starting point. The CSA was raised to 275 MPa after completing ~10^6 cycles at 250 MPa. The specimen failed after completing an additional 194,000 cycles, bringing to a total of 1.256 x 10^6 cycles to failure. To determine the fatigue behavior at 1000°C, several specimens were tested at various levels of peak stresses above 250 MPa. Because of the data scatter,
Fatigue data for both monolithic (Lin, 1991) and composite materials tested at 1200°C are compared in Fig. 6. The four data points for the composite material correlate well linearly along the line determined by the least squares fit. Using the linear relationship as a guide, all data points can be bracketed in a scatter band as shown in Fig. 6, suggesting that 1200°C fatigue behavior of monolithic Al₂O₃ and that of SiC₁/Al₂O₃ are about the same. The beneficial effects of SiC whiskers reinforcement apparently degenerated entirely at 1200°C.

The fatigue behavior of the composite may be characterized by the following simple power-law relationship:

\[ \sigma = a N_f^\beta \]  
(1)

where \( \sigma \) is the cyclic stress amplitude in MPa, \( N_f \) the number of cycles to failure, \( a \), and \( \beta \) are functions of temperature as

\[ a = 429.83, \quad \beta = -0.0017 \quad \text{for} \quad T = 20°C \]  
(2)

\[ \log a = 0.55325 \pm 0.18312 + 4.62(\pm 0.2345) - 2.5784 \left( \frac{T}{1000} \right)^2 \]  
(3)

\[ \beta = 0.88757 - 2.0164 \left( \frac{T}{1000} \right) + 1.1498 \left( \frac{T}{1000} \right)^2 \]  
(4)

for \( 900°C < T \leq 1200°C \).

Fatigue behavior beyond \( 10^6 \) cycles must be regarded as tentative due to the lack of data greater than \( 10^6 \) cycles.

Although not shown here, discernible plastic strain ratchetting, i.e., accumulation of small plastic strain (small permanent elongation) occurring in each loading cycle, was observed in cyclic stress-strain curves for all tests of the composite material at temperatures above 1100°C. It is speculated that the enhanced fatigue resistance by SiC whiskers may have been degenerated or negated by the strain ratchetting at high temperatures. Information concerning the strain ratchetting behavior for monolithic Al₂O₃ at 1200°C is currently not available for comparison and further discussion.

The average values of elastic modulus at elevated temperatures were determined from the stress-strain curves and summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 7. The error bar indicates one standard deviation. A dramatic decrease in the value of elastic modulus occurred as temperature increased from 1100 to 1200°C, and this behavior might be correlated to the ratchetting behavior discussed in the preceding section.
FAILURE ANALYSIS

Results of optical (OM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) examinations are illustrated in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively, for specimen A1 tested in tensile fast fracture and specimen A7 in cyclic fatigue at room temperature. Both specimens fractured from internal defects indicated by a black arrow as shown in Figs. 8a and 9a. High magnification photos revealed that specimen A1 fractured from a huge single grain of ~70 μm (Fig. 8b) and specimen A7 from an agglomerate of large grains (Fig. 9b). X-ray analyses indicated these grains were all pure Al2O3. Absence of SiC whiskers inside the Al2O3 agglomerates suggested that poor SiC whisker dispersion in the region apparently failed to inhibit the overgrowth of Al2O3 grains. Inherent low fracture toughness of monolithic Al2O3 apparently facilitated fracture initiation. The macroscopic features of fracture surfaces for specimen A1 tested in tensile fast fracture and specimen A7 tested in cyclic fatigue are virtually the same. This observation strongly supports the earlier theory that SiC whiskers can effectively inhibit slow crack growth. Few whisker pullouts were observed, indicating excellent bonding between the whisker and matrix.

Typical fracture surfaces of three specimens tested at elevated temperatures are shown in Fig. 10. Excluding a specimen that initiated fracture from a large internal cavity, all other specimens tested at high temperatures initiated fracture from the specimen surface or subsurface. The reason might be that all of these specimens were made from the second batch of tiles which showed uniform dispersion of SiC, according to the earlier ultrasonic examination. In the absence of large defects in the bulk, any defects located near the specimen surface are conducive to fracture initiation according to fracture mechanics. While a large agglomerate devoid of SiC, (circled areas shown in Figs. 10a and 10c) was an easy fracture initiation site, segregated SiC, clumps (indicated by arrows shown in Fig. 10b) devoid of Al2O3 were equally deleterious. Crack initiation was particularly sensitive to the situation where many SiC, clumps lying in the plane transverse to the tensile direction, as shown in Fig. 10b. While little or no flat mirror regions were discerned on the fracture surfaces of specimens tested at room temperature, well-defined flat mirror regions resulting from slow crack growth were visible on the fracture surfaces of the specimens tested at high temperatures. The area of the mirror region grew larger as temperature increased, indicating progressive weakening of SiC, reinforcement and the ultimate loss of the beneficial effect at 1200°C. Fracture was dominantly transgranular with little or no whisker pullouts for specimens tested at or below 1100°C. However, a mix mode of transgranular fracture in Al2O3 rich area and interfacial fractures in SiC, was more visible at 1200°C, indicating the degradation of whisker reinforcement. To take the full advantage of the SiC reinforcement with proven consistency in fatigue behavior, the composite can be used in structural applications at temperatures as high as 1000°C.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the limited test data, the following conclusions are made.

1. Significant increases in both tensile and fatigue strengths by about 50% and 100%, respectively, were observed in SiC whisker-reinforced Al2O3 matrix composite compared to monolithic Al2O3.

2. The enhancement of resistance to cyclic fatigue in the composite was attributed to the presence of SiC whiskers which had effectively inhibited crack growth promoted by cyclic fatigue.

3. The composite material at 1000°C still retained good tensile as well as fatigue strengths higher than the room-temperature strengths of monolithic alumina. However, the strengthening due to the SiC whiskers reinforcement degenerated as temperature increased to 1200°C.

4. The fatigue behavior of the composite material at elevated temperatures can be correlated by a simple power-law relationship between the cyclic stress amplitude and number of cycles to failure.

5. Absence of whiskers inside the Al2O3 agglomerates suggested that poor whisker dispersion in the region was responsible for the failure to inhibit the overgrowth of large single crystals and the formation of agglomerates.
Fig. 10 - Typical fracture surfaces of cyclic fatigue specimens: (a) B1 at 1000°C showing the site of fracture origin devoid of Sic; (b) C1 at 1100°C showing many segregated Sic nearly devoid of Al₂O₃; (c) D7 at 1200°C showing dominantly transgranular fracture in Al₂O₃ rich area and interfacial fracture in Sic that lie in the plane transverse to the loading direction.

6. Large internal defects such as an isolated overgrown Al₂O₃ single grain, an agglomerate of Al₂O₃ grains, and Sic clumps were easy fracture initiation sites.

7. To take the full advantage of the SiC reinforcement with proven consistency in fatigue behavior, this composite can be used in structural applications at elevated temperatures but limited to 1000°C.
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Table 1 - Results of tensile and cyclic fatigue tests on SiC\textsubscript{w}/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} at room and elevated temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \sigma ) (MPa)</td>
<td>( N_f )</td>
<td>( \sigma ) (MPa)</td>
<td>( N_f )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-6</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B1</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>B2</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>9,964</td>
<td>B3</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>13,456</td>
<td>B4</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B6</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B7</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B8</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E1</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E2</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>20,815</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1,360</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2,156</td>
<td>C5</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5,050</td>
<td>C6</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>966</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>C8</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>22,248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note a: The value represent the average of six tensile tests on specimens A1 to A6.