Conf-941013--26

UCRL-JC-116965
PREPRINT

Comparison of the Calculated and Measured Stability of a NbTi Cable-In-Conduit Conductor

Robert L. Wong and Chris T. Yeaw

This paper was prepared for submittal to
the IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity and
the Applied Superconductivity Conference
Boston, MA
October 16-21, 1994

October 13, 1994

The logo of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, featuring a stylized 'L' symbol and the text 'Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory' arranged in a V-shape.

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Comparison of the Calculated and Measured Stability of a NbTi Cable-In-Conduit Conductor

Robert L. Wong

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA

Chris T. Yeaw

Nuclear Engineering and Engineering Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

Abstract—Calculated curves of cable-in-conduit conductor energy stability margins vs. current are compared to experimental curves obtained at Oak Ridge National Laboratory for NbTi single triplex conductors. The conductors ranged in length from 1.8 m to 4.8 m, and had no imposed helium flow. The initiating heat pulse was applied for 16.7 ms over the entire conductor length.

The calculated stability curves display the large decrease in energy margin from the low current and high energy margin "well-cooled" stability region, to the high current and low energy margin "ill-cooled" region that was determined experimentally. The calculated "limiting current" of 250 A (boundary between the ill-cooled and well-cooled regions) also agrees with experiment. The multi-valued stability margins measured for lengths of 3.1 and 3.8 m could not be obtained by the computer model. Excluding the multiple stabilities, the calculated margins are generally 30% lower than the experimental values.

The decrease in energy margin in the ill-cooled region was found to be due to the low critical to operating temperature difference (2.2 K at 250 A). This prevents an initiating pulse in the ill-cooled region from being able to generate significant Joule heating without quenching, limiting the energy margin.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lue, Miller, and Dresner (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL) [1,2] made stability measurements on NbTi single triplex CICC conductors, applying the initiating heat pulse to the full conductor length using an embedded soldered heater wire. Dresner obtained analytic estimates of the limiting current [3], and for the magnitude of the thermally induced sonic waves without friction [4].

Models have been formulated that do predict multiple stabilities. In order for a model to predict multiple stabilities it must have the ability to induce turbulent flows, since laminar heat transfer coefficients are not a function of velocity (Graetz problem). Bottura and Minervini [5] developed an approximate model that uses the average induced velocity at the quench initiation region (no flow stagnation).

Manuscript received October 19, 1994.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

Their model compares favorably with the ORNL tests. Kamitani, et.al. [6] developed a computer model that solves all of the basic conservation equations. They generated curves containing multiple stabilities. Possibly their large mesh size (1 m long elements with a 1 m long initial quench zone, IQ) made the multiple stability solutions possible. The CICC code [7] used in the present analysis was able to calculate multiple stabilities for the US-DPC conductor quench initiating near the inlet end [8]. The limiting current predicted by this calculation agreed with experiment. Initiation near the end of the conductor generated the necessary turbulent helium flow after the pulse for multiple stabilities. The low copper/superconductor ratio (1.17) and the low copper RRR (27) provided conditions for the existence of an ill-cooled region, even with Nb₃Sn.

II. ANALYSIS

The simulations were made using computer code CICC [7], which describes the conductor using the one-dimensional energy, momentum, and mass conservation equations in the helium, and the axisymmetric conduction equations in the solid. Calculated stability curves of energy margin vs. current were compared with experimental curves from single NbTi triplex conductors obtained by Lui and Miller and presented in Fig. 6 of [2]. The conductor perimeters are listed in [1]. The tests used had a field of 7.0 T, an initial helium temperature of 4.2 K, an initial pressure of 5.0 atm, and no imposed helium flow. Conductor lengths of 1.8 m, 3.1 m, 3.8 m, and 4.8 m were considered. The heat pulse was applied to the strand over the entire conductor length for 16.7 ms.

Figs. 1-4 show the experimental and the calculated stability curves for the 1.8 m, 3.1 m, 3.8 m and 4.8 m long conductors. Generally, the calculated results are about 30% below the experimental values. However the code was not able to calculate the multiple stabilities experimentally found in the 3.1 and 3.8 m length conductors. The available cable-space enthalpy, cable-space internal energy, and conductor strand energy are also shown. The available strand energy, which contributes less than 1% to the cable-space energy, includes the energy of the heater wire and the solder attaching the heater to the strands. The energies are all integrated from 4.2 K to current sharing temperature [9]. The helium enthalpy is evaluated at a pressure of 5 atm and the internal energy is evaluated at a density of 140.6 kg/m³.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

(4.2 K, 5 atm). These curves provide a measure of how well the conductor uses the available helium energy.

A summary of the calculated stability curves for the four conductor lengths is shown in Fig. 5. Since the laminar heat transfer coefficient that exists in the stagnation region (where the quench initiates) is not a function of velocity, there is little difference in the calculated stability of the four different length conductors. Small differences do develop in the well-cooled region below the 250 A limiting current due to differences in frictional flow resistance. The low friction in the 1.8 m conductor allows the helium to expand in response to the pressure generated during the pulse, making the helium enthalpy available. At 200 A the energy margin of the 1.8 m conductor is near the available cable-space enthalpy, indicating efficient use of the available enthalpy. The 4.8 m conductor has enough friction to prevent expansion during the pulse, so only the cable-space internal energy is available. At 200 A the energy margin of the 4.8 m conductor is near the available cable-space internal energy, indicating efficient use of the available energy. As the current increases above 250 A (ill-cooled region) the heat pulses become weaker, and the generated pressures become too weak to drive the helium expansion so the variation in stability for the different lengths vanishes. The large decrease in energy margin in the ill-cooled region decreases the efficiency of the conductor to the point that only 20% of the available internal energy is utilized.

Ref. [9] characterizes the well-cooled and ill-cooled stability regions, and investigates the reasons why the TPX/TF Nb₃Sn conductor stability curve does not exhibit the large decrease in energy margin from the well-cooled to ill-cooled regions that is exhibited by the ORNL conductor. In summary the energy margin in the well-cooled region is high since (in a marginal quench), the initiating heat pulse is stronger than the Joule heating after the pulse. The margin in the ill-cooled region is low since the initiating heat pulse is weaker than the Joule heating after the pulse. The limiting current occurs when the initiating heat pulse is the same strength as the Joule heating. It was concluded that the entire TPX/TF stability curve is well-cooled because the large 5.8 K critical to operating temperature difference allows the initiating heat pulse to generate a significant amount of Joule heating, even at high currents, while remaining stable. Being able to operate stably with Joule heating during the heat pulse extends the well-cooled region into the high current range that would otherwise have been ill-cooled.

In contrast the ORNL NbTi conductor has a critical to operating temperature difference of only 2.2 K at 250 A. This low critical to operating temperature difference does not allow Joule heating during the pulse if the conductor is operating much above the 250 A limiting current. Hence the Joule heating contribution to the pulse heating can be ignored in determining the limiting current. At 250 A in a marginal quench, the initiating heat pulse has the same

strength as the Joule heating after the pulse (Fig. 6). In agreement, the 250 A limiting current is at the low current end of the ill-cooled region of the stability curve (Fig. 5). Fig. 6 does show a significant amount of Joule heating during the pulse; but by 275 A, there is no Joule heating during a marginally stable pulse. Therefore the region above 250 A is ill-cooled, with the energy margin dropping an order of magnitude from the well-cooled value.

Even with the low critical to operating temperature difference, high energy margins and multiple stabilities could be obtained in the ill-cooled region with a high turbulent heat transfer coefficient. However the present ORNL conductor model cannot generate the turbulent convection that is necessary due to the simplifying assumptions that the cable-space geometry, superconductor parameters, and current are uniform in the conductor; and that the thermodynamic parameters of the strand and the helium vary only axially. The symmetry of this model causes the flow stagnation region from any thermally induced flows to remain stationary at the middle of the conductor length. The laminar heat transfer coefficient there (Fig. 7) is essentially only a function of time.

Since the model is symmetric and there is no imposed velocity, only the presence of significant induced sonic velocities could generate the helium velocities necessary for turbulent convection. Dresner [4] analytically showed that sonically induced flow could attain speeds of a few meters per second. However to obtain an analytic solution, he had to neglect friction. Figs. 8 and 9 show the sonically induced pressures and velocities in the middle of the conductor length. The short 1.8 m length has the least frictional damping. However even with this short conductor, the velocities in the middle of the conductor length are less than 0.002 m/s, much less than the 0.1 m/s necessary to transition to turbulent flow.

The actual conductor has a complex very non-uniform cable-space geometry. Non-uniformities are also present in the superconductor critical current characteristics, in the heater characteristics, and in the current (due to local cross-currents between strands). Also, parameter variations are likely very three-dimensional. These nonuniformities represent opportunities for high local turbulent cooling.

Multiple stabilities were experimentally measured [2] with conductor lengths of 2.3 m, 3.1 m and 3.8 m, but not with lengths of 1.8 m and 4.8 m. Initial tests were made with the longest 4.8 m length. The presence of laminar or turbulent cooling may offer an explanation for why multiple stabilities occurred for some lengths and not others. The shorter conductors were produced from the same sample by cutting sections off of the longer conductor [2]. In tests with the initial 4.8 m length, quench initiation occurred far enough away from the ends for friction to suppress any turbulent flow, so only the lower stability limit was obtained. As the conductor was cut, regions of quench initiation could have been exposed to the end of the conductor. The low frictional

resistance to the open end could have made it possible for a higher energy pulse to generate the turbulent convective cooling necessary to obtain the upper stability limit, in manner similar to the US-DPC conductor model [8].

III. CONCLUSION

The energy margin drop in the ill-cooled region is the result of the low critical to operating temperature difference of the NbTi conductor. This limits the ability of the conductor to absorb, in the ill-cooled region, a stable initiating heat pulse that includes Joule heating. Hence the conductor can only absorb the energy that increases its temperature to current sharing. The higher critical temperature of the TPX/TF Nb₃Sn conductor allows it to operate in the well-cooled region for the entire range of operating currents.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Lue, J. R. Miller, & L. Dresner, "Stability of cable-in-conduit superconductors," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 772-783, January 1980.
- [2] J. W. Lue & J. R. Miller, "Parametric study of the stability margins of cable-in-conduit superconductors: experiment," *IEEE Trans. on Magnetics*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 757-760, January 1981.
- [3] L. Dresner, "Parametric study of the stability margin of cable-in-conduit superconductors: theory," *IEEE Trans. on Magnetics*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 753-756, January 1981.
- [4] L. Dresner, "Heat-induced flows in cable-in-conduit conductors," *Cryogenics*, pp. 653-658, November 1979.
- [5] L. Bottura and J. V. Minervini, "Modeling of dual stability in a cable-in-conduit conductor," *IEEE Trans. on Magnetics*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 1900-1903, March 1991.
- [6] A. Kamitani, et.al., "Stability analysis of a forced-flow cooled superconducting coil: numerical simulation of multiple stability," *Cryogenics*, vol. 31, no. 2, February 1991.
- [7] R. L. Wong, *Program CICC, Flow and Heat Transfer in Cable-In-Conduit Conductors*, LLNL rept. UCRL-101241, 13th Symp. on Fusion Engineering, October 2-6, 1989.
- [8] R. L. Wong, et.al., *Numerical Simulation of the Stability in a Cable-In-Conduit Conductor Developed for Fusion-Magnet Applications*, LLNL rept. UCRL-JC-107258, 14th Symp. on Fusion Engineering, Sept. 30- Oct. 3, 1991.
- [9] R. L. Wong, *Stability Analysis of the TPX Toroidal Field Coil*, 1994 Applied Superconductivity Conference, October 1994.

Fig. 1. ORNL NbTi triplex conductor stability, 1.8 m conductor length

Fig. 2. ORNL NbTi triplex conductor stability, 3.1 m conductor length

Fig. 3. ORNL NbTi triplex conductor stability, 3.8 m conductor length

Fig. 4. ORNL NbTi triplex conductor stability, 4.8 m conductor length

Fig. 5. ORNL NbTi triplex conductor stability, calculated values

Fig. 6. ORNL NbTi triplex, heat generation at middle of conductor 250 A; 1.8 m, 16.7 ms, 120 mJ/cc heat pulse

Fig. 7. ORNL NbTi triplex, heat transfer coefficient at middle of conductor 250 A; 1.8 m, 16.7 ms, 120 mJ/cc heat pulse

Fig. 8. ORNL NbTi triplex, pressure at middle of conductor 250 A; 1.8 m, 16.7 ms, 120 mJ/cc heat pulse

Fig. 9. ORNL NbTi triplex, velocity at middle of conductor 250 A; 1.8 m, 16.7 ms, 120 mJ/cc heat pulse