UCRL-JC-121232
PREPRINT

Localized Dryout: An Approach for Managing the Thermal Hydrological Effects of Decay Heat at Yucca Mountain

Thomas A. Buscheck
John J. Nitao
Lawrence D. Ramspott

RECEIVED
MAR 28 1996
OSTI

This paper was prepared for submittal to the
Materials Research Society
Boston, MA
November 27 - December 1, 1995

November 1995

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

LOCALIZED DRYOUT: AN APPROACH FOR MANAGING THE THERMAL-HYDROLOGICAL EFFECTS OF DECAY HEAT AT YUCCA MOUNTAIN

THOMAS A. BUSCHECK*, JOHN J. NITAO*, AND LAWRENCE D. RAMSPOTT**

*Earth Sciences Division, LLNL, **TRW (all at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, L-206, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94551)

ABSTRACT

For a nuclear waste repository in the unsaturated zone at Yucca Mountain, there are two thermal loading approaches to using decay heat constructively—that is, to substantially reduce relative humidity and liquid flow near waste packages for a considerable time, and thereby limit waste package degradation and radionuclide dissolution and release. "Extended dryout" achieves these effects with a thermal load high enough to generate large-scale (coalesced) rock dryout. "Localized dryout" (which uses wide drift spacing and a thermal load too low for coalesced dryout) achieves them by maintaining a large temperature difference between the waste package and drift wall; this is done with close waste package spacing (generating a high line-heat load) and/or low-thermal-conductivity backfill in the drift. Backfill can greatly reduce relative humidity on the waste package in both the localized and extended dryout approaches. Besides using decay heat constructively, localized dryout reduces the possibility that far-field temperature rise and condensate buildup above the drifts might adversely affect waste isolation.

INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy is investigating the feasibility of disposing of radioactive wastes, including spent nuclear fuel (SNF) from electrical utilities and wastes stored at federal facilities, in the unsaturated zone (UZ) at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. To be feasible, the waste isolation system must limit the release and transport of radionuclides in those wastes to the accessible environment. Waste isolation is affected by three key factors: (1) the ambient relative humidity in the UZ is high ($RH \approx 98-99\%$) and is therefore corrosive for most candidate waste package (WP) materials; (2) ambient fracture flow is highly variable in time and space; and (3) radioactive decay heat significantly affects fluid flow for any practical areal mass loading (AML, expressed in metric tons of uranium per acre, MTU/acre). Two thermal loading strategies have been proposed to manage the thermal-hydrological (T-H) effects of decay heat:

Minimally heated (MH) repository: Select an AML and a thermal load distribution that limit (1) heat-driven vapor and condensate flow and (2) far-field temperature rise.

The MH strategy relies on performance attributes other than decay heat (such as high-performance WP materials and capillary/diffusion barriers) to counter the effects of high RH and fast fracture flow.

Constructively heated (CH) repository: Select an AML and a thermal load distribution that use decay heat to substantially reduce RH and fracture flow near WPs. The CH strategy relies on demonstrating that heat, vapor, and liquid flow (including heterogeneous fracture flow) near WPs are dominated by heat conduction and are therefore predictable.

This paper addresses only the CH strategy. We have identified two CH approaches:

Extended dryout (ED) approach: Use a high AML (>60 MTU/acre) to drive a large fraction of the pore water (in the rock) from the repository as a whole. The high areal thermal power density associated with such an AML creates a thick superheated dryout zone (coalesced between emplacement drifts) and maintains above-boiling temperatures and low RH in the repository rock (and on WPs) for thousands of years [1-3].

Localized dryout (LD) approach: Maintain a temperature difference between the WP and drift wall large enough to reduce RH on the WP. This is done with close axial WP spacing (generating a high line-heat load) and/or the use of low-thermal-conductivity backfill in the drift. Wide drift spacings and low to intermediate AMLs ($<50-60$ MTU/acre) are used to (1) prevent the boiling zones from coalescing between drifts (thereby limiting condensate buildup above the drifts) and (2) limit far-field temperature rise (as in the MH strategy). This is the first paper describing the LD approach.

Two major decay-heat-driven T-H issues may affect waste isolation for the ED approach (the first must be addressed for all AMLs; the second is unique to the ED approach):

Coupled T-H-M-C effects: Thermal-hydrological-mechanical-chemical effects in the near and far field must be addressed regardless of AML; however, their impact on waste

isolation may depend on AML. The effects of particular concern are (a) alteration of the vitric nonwelded Paintbrush tuff (PTn) unit, which may reduce its ability to attenuate (in time and space) net infiltration to the repository and (b) alteration of the basal vitrophyre (TSw3) unit, which may influence whether water perches in (or immediately above) that unit and may reduce the mechanical stability of the drifts in the repository.

Condensate buildup above the boiling zone: Condensate and infiltration flux may be held up by the thick superheated dryout zone created by a high-AML repository.

The LD approach (like the MH strategy) tends to limit far-field T-H-M-C effects and allows condensate to drain through the repository; neither of these results is as readily achieved with the ED approach. The LD approach can be implemented by a wide range of thermal design options, ranging from those that never cause above-boiling temperatures to those in which the WP temperature is above boiling for thousands of years.

A major concern for radionuclide containment is how water contacts a WP, thereby affecting its integrity and (if containment is breached) affecting radionuclide dissolution and eventual transport to the water table. The degradational mechanisms of greatest concern for WP integrity, such as stress and pitting corrosion or microbial attack, require the presence of liquid water. The rates for many of these mechanisms increase, in general, with temperature and relative humidity.

The two primary modes of water contact on the WP are (1) advective liquid-phase flow and (2) condensation of water vapor that forms a liquid film on the WP. The critical factor for the second mode is the relative humidity RH on the WP. Relative humidity is given by

$$RH = \frac{P_v}{P_{\text{sat}}(T)}, \quad (1)$$

where P_v is the local vapor pressure and P_{sat} is the local saturated vapor pressure. If 100% of the gas phase is water vapor, as is the case for boiling conditions, then $P_v = P_g$, where P_g is the total gas-phase pressure. For example, if $P_v = P_g = 1$ atm and $T = T_{\text{wp}} = 225^\circ\text{C}$, then (from steam tables) $P_{\text{sat}} = 25.48$ atm and $RH_{\text{wp}} = 3.9\%$. The assumption that $P_v = 1$ atm is reasonable if there is enough fracture connectivity and conductivity so that bulk permeability $k_b > 1$ millidarcy. If there were no fractures in the repository rock, then we would have $k_b \ll 1$ millidarcy, and P_v would be much higher than 1 atm; this would increase RH by a corresponding factor. An important question, resolvable with *in situ* thermal tests [4], is whether fracture conductivity and connectivity are sufficient to prevent substantial pressurization of the gas phase near WPs.

Regardless of whether mobile liquid water is present, ambient RH at the repository horizon is high (~98–99%). If RH were reduced enough, WP corrosion rates would be minimal [5]. Moreover, even for breached WPs, waste-form dissolution (and radionuclide release) would be minimal if no mobile liquid water were present. There are two ways to reduce RH on the WP:

1. Drive a large fraction of the ambient pore water (in the rock) away from the drifts. (This reduces RH in the rock; RH on the WP can be no greater than RH in the rock.)
2. Maintain a large temperature difference between the (hotter) WP and the (cooler) drift wall. (This makes RH on the WP lower than RH in the rock at the drift wall.)

The primary means of driving pore water away from the drifts are drift ventilation and decay-heat-driven drying; the latter of which requires above-boiling rock temperatures.

Even if decay heat does not reduce RH in the rock, it is still possible to substantially reduce RH on the WP itself for a considerable time. A reduction in RH between the drift wall and WP (ΔRH_{drift}) arises from the temperature difference ΔT_{drift} between these locations. This effect (the "drift- ΔRH effect") occurs in addition to any reduction in RH resulting from rock dryout (ΔRH_{rock}). Assuming uniform P_v in the drift, RH on the WP is given by

$$RH_{\text{wp}} = RH_{\text{dw}} \frac{P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{dw}})}{P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{wp}})}, \quad (2)$$

where RH_{dw} is RH in the rock at the drift wall, and T_{dw} and T_{wp} are the drift wall and WP temperatures. For example, if $T_{\text{dw}} = 80^\circ\text{C}$, $T_{\text{wp}} = 100^\circ\text{C}$, and $RH_{\text{dw}} = 98.4\%$ (ambient RH), then we have $RH_{\text{wp}} = 46\%$.

A persistent ΔT_{drift} arises because the rows of WPs act like line-heat loads that impose a temperature increase on top of the temperature rise ΔT_{rock} in the repository rock; ΔT_{rock} depends

primarily on AML and the thermal conductivity K_{th} of the mountain [1-3]. Because ΔT_{drift} depends only on lineal mass loading (LML, expressed in MTU/m of drift) and the thermal properties of the drift, ΔT_{drift} is increased by high LML and/or the use of low- K_{th} granular backfill in the drift. Proving that heat flow in the backfill is dominated by conduction establishes that ΔT_{drift} is predictable. Note that Eq. (2) is applicable when P_v on the WP is in equilibrium with P_v in the rock at the drift wall. Nonuniform (or episodic) rewetting of the drift by heterogeneous fracture flow may locally (or temporarily) cause RH to be higher than predicted by Eq. 2.

Whether liquid-phase flow reaches a WP is also a concern. An important issue for WP integrity involves the scenario of liquid water reaching and evaporating on a WP, leaving a salt buildup on the WP. The RH necessary for condensation of an aqueous surface film on the WP will depend on the composition and relative abundances of hygroscopic salts on the WP. The critical relative humidity RH_{crit} for significant aqueous corrosion is sensitive to this effect [6]; the absence of salts on the WP could result in $RH_{crit} > 90\%$. Keeping liquid-phase flow away from the WP would limit the precipitation of salts on the WP and thereby keep RH_{crit} high, which would greatly increase WP lifetimes and the duration of radionuclide containment. A suitable backfill might significantly reduce the likelihood of salt precipitation on the WP.

NUMERICAL MODELS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Model calculations were carried out using the V-TOUGH and NUFT codes [7-9], which simulate the three-dimensional coupled transport of water, vapor, air, and heat in fractured porous media. Our models include all major hydrostratigraphic units in the UZ, which are assumed to be horizontal and of uniform thickness; the initial and boundary conditions were the same as those used in past studies [1-3]. We assumed $k_b = 280$ millidarcy, and we used an initial vertical liquid saturation profile based on a zero net infiltration flux of meteoric water; however, a wide range of other conditions have also been considered [2]. The atmospheric RH is assumed to be 100%, so the model allows no loss of moisture by vapor diffusion to the atmosphere. Because actual (desert) RH is much lower than 100%, the model underrepresents this loss. This neglected loss may be large for high AMLs, which can steepen the temperature gradient near the ground surface by a factor of 50 relative to ambient conditions. The effect of this assumption is offset (to some degree) by the assumption of zero net infiltration flux.

Drift-scale T-H behavior is represented by a two-dimensional model that incorporates the geometric details of the WPs and emplacement drifts in a cross section transverse to the drift axes. Because this model effectively assumes an infinite repository area, it is applicable to the region not affected by cooling at the repository edge. Calculations for the repository edge were carried out with a two-dimensional hybrid model that imbeds a drift-scale model in a mountain-scale model. To determine the boundaries between the LD and ED domains (with respect to thermal design parameters), we considered AMLs of 6 to 120 MTU/acre, drift spacings L_d of 25 to 400 m, and LMLs of 0.2 to 1.25 MTU/m. An oldest-fuel-first receipt scenario with 26-yr-old SNF and a mix of 40 BWR WPs and 21 PWR WPs was assumed for the decay-heat-generation curve. We also considered the effect of aging the SNF to ages of 40, 60, 100, and 200 yr. Cases in which the drift is backfilled at 100 yr were compared with those with no backfill.

DISCUSSION OF MODEL RESULTS

A primary concern for the ED approach is whether condensate buildup above the boiling zone has a deleterious effect on waste isolation. For both the ED and LD approaches, this buildup is affected by several key factors: (1) whether (and by how much) the decay-heat-steepened temperature gradient near the ground surface increases the exfiltration flux to the atmosphere (moisture loss by advective and diffusive vapor transport), (2) the infiltration flux, (3) the rate at which pore water in the rock is vaporized and transported above the boiling zone (where it condenses), and (4) how effectively liquid-phase drainage around the boiling zone (or zones) mitigates condensate buildup above the boiling zone(s). Factors 1 through 3 determine the liquid-phase flux that reaches the top of boiling zone(s); factor 4 influences how much of that flux drains around (and below) the boiling zone(s). The ED approach (and, to a lesser extent, the LD approach) may increase exfiltration flux (factor 1). The LD approach will limit condensate flux (factor 3) and prevent the boiling zones from coalescing between drifts, thereby allowing condensate to drain between the drifts (factor 4).

Figure 1a,c gives the maximum vertical distance $\Delta Z_{\max}$ of the upper boiling front from the repository horizon as a function of AML for various SNF ages. The boiling zones are initially cylindrical (centered at the drift axis) with a radius equal to $\Delta Z_{\max}$; after they coalesce (in the ED approach), the coalesced zone is tabular (centered at the repository horizon) with a vertical thickness of $2\Delta Z_{\max}$. Examination of the temperature fields for a wide range of cases shows that uncoalesced (cylindrical) boiling (that is, localized dryout) persists as long as $\Delta Z_{\max} < L_d/2$; coalesced (tabular) boiling (that is, extended dryout) occurs for $\Delta Z_{\max} > L_d/2$. This observation permits us to distinguish between the LD and ED domains in Fig. 1a,c. Figure 2 gives the maximum AML ($AML_{\max}$) for uncoalesced boiling as a function of SNF age for two values of LML. The range of AMLs amenable to the LD approach is increased by (1) wider drift spacings (higher LMLs), (2) SNF aging, and (3) drift ventilation.

Another benefit of wide drift spacing (high LMLs) is that peak WP (and drift-wall) temperature T_{peak} is insensitive to AML (Fig. 1b,d). This means that WPs could be emplaced in every other drift, and the decision of whether to fill the remaining drifts deferred until enough information about T-H behavior was available from *in situ* thermal tests [4] and repository performance monitoring. Because T_{peak} in the near field is insensitive to AML for high LMLs, similar near-field environment (NFE) design assumptions concerning T_{peak} will be applicable to a wide range of thermal loading (and WP emplacement) options. These results also indicate that T_{peak} in the near field is insensitive to proximity to the repository edge; NFE design assumptions concerning T_{peak} will therefore be similar for the entire repository area. Another advantage for high LMLs is that the total length of emplacement drifts depends on LML and is independent of AML (and repository area). Some of the potential cost savings in constructing a high-AML repository could also be realized in a low-AML repository that uses the same LML.

Figure 1. (a) Maximum vertical distance $\Delta Z_{\max}$ of the upper boiling front from the repository horizon and (b) peak temperature on the WP as a function of AML for various SNF ages, LML = 0.63 MTU/m, no backfill, bulk permeability $k_b = 280$ millidarcy, and vapor diffusion tortuosity factor $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 0.2$. Curves are also shown (c,d) for LML = 1.25 MTU/m and WP spacing = 6 m. In (a) and (c), the curve separating the ED and LD domains corresponds to $\Delta Z_{\max}$ equal to one-half the drift spacing $L_d/2$.

Still another benefit of wide drift spacing is that the drift- ΔRH effect is maximized for any given AML. Figure 3b shows this effect for a 40-MTU/acre example of the LD approach. Higher LMLs increase ΔT_{drift} and thereby increase ΔRH_{drift} . This increase in ΔRH_{drift} occurs even though far-field temperatures are nearly identical for these cases (not shown in Fig. 3) and near-field temperatures are similar after about 2000 yr (Fig. 3a). Thus, it is possible with the LD approach to substantially reduce RH on the WP while limiting far-field temperature rise.

We analyzed the effect of a granular backfill on ΔRH_{drift} for the LD approach (Fig. 4). We assumed that the intergranular porosity of a crushed PTn tuff backfill can be treated like fractures in the equivalent continuum model [2] with $k_b = 40$ darcy. The hydrological properties of the granular porosity are assumed to be that of the intact rock matrix, and the drying curves measured by Peters et al. [10] are assumed to be applicable to matrix imbibition; both assumptions probably overrepresent the tendency of water to be wicked back to the WP and are therefore conservative. For most of the calculations, the dry and wet values of K_{th} were assumed to be one-half the intact PTn values (0.305 and 0.425 $\text{W m}^{-1} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$, respectively).

After backfill is emplaced at $t = 100$ yr, T_{wp} increases abruptly from 100 to 210°C (Fig. 4a), decreasing RH_{wp} from 62 to 2.7% (Fig. 4b). Because of the low AML (24 MTU/acre), negligible RH reduction results from rock dryout (ΔRH_{rock} , Table I). Because of the larger ΔT_{drift} , backfill results in a much larger ΔRH_{drift} than when backfill is not used. At $t = 10,000$ yr, $RH_{\text{wp}} = 71$ and 93% for backfill and no backfill, respectively (Fig. 4b). An important benefit of backfill is that RH_{wp} may remain low until T_{wp} is quite low. With backfill (at $t = 21,000$ yr), $RH_{\text{wp}} = 81\%$ and $T_{\text{wp}} = 34^\circ\text{C}$; with no backfill (at $t = 715$ yr), $RH_{\text{wp}} = 81\%$ and $T_{\text{wp}} = 77^\circ\text{C}$; backfill can therefore substantially reduce the T_{wp} associated with a given RH_{wp} .

Figure 2. The maximum AML (AML_{max}) for uncoalesced boiling as a function of SNF age. Combinations of AML and SNF age lying below a given curve result in uncoalesced (cylindrical) boiling zones (that is, localized dryout); combinations lying above a given curve result in coalesced (tabular) boiling zones (that is, extended dryout). Higher LMLs (and larger L_d) and older SNF increase the range of AMLs amenable to the LD approach. The effect of emplacing older SNF may also be achieved (with younger SNF) by ventilating the emplacement drifts to remove water vapor (and its associated latent heat) from the drifts and from the repository rock.

Figure 3. (a) Temperature and (b) relative humidity on the WP surface for AML = 40 MTU/acre, 26-yr-old SNF, no backfill, bulk permeability $k_b = 280$ millidarcy, and vapor diffusion tortuosity factor $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 0.2$ for three values of LML.

We analyzed other backfill types, including 10-darcy sand. On the basis of this analysis, we identified five potentially beneficial performance attributes for backfill:

1. Persistent large temperature difference (ΔT_{drift}) between the WP and the drift wall that substantially reduces RH on the WP. The reduction in RH is most effective if ΔT_{drift} is predictable and if the backfill does not strongly wick moisture back to the WP.
2. Capillary barrier that attenuates focused liquid flux (including fracture flow).
3. High heat capacity (relative to air) and high ΔT_{drift} that evaporates the liquid flux and equilibrates P_v in the drift with that in the adjacent repository rock.
4. Limited liquid contact on the WP, which prevents evaporative salt buildup.
5. Limited moisture contact on the WP, which reduces radionuclide dissolution and release, and limited moisture content in the backfill, which reduces transport in the drift.

We also analyzed the effect of backfill on ΔRH_{drift} for the ED approach (Fig. 4). After backfill is emplaced at $t = 100$ yr, T_{wp} increases abruptly from 148 to 266°C (Fig. 5a), reducing RH_{wp} from 22 to 1.8% (Fig. 5b). Table I summarizes ΔRH_{rock} and ΔRH_{drift} . Because the 24- and 80-MTU/acre cases share the same LML and because ΔT_{drift} is proportional to LML (except for early time, when thermal radiation in the drift is also sensitive to absolute temperature), ΔT_{drift} is the same in both cases. The ratio $RH_{\text{wp}}/RH_{\text{dw}}$ is insensitive to AML for $t > 2000$ yr. [From Eq. 2, we have $RH_{\text{wp}}/RH_{\text{dw}} = P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{dw}})/P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{wp}})$.] Later, the difference in absolute temperature between the two cases decreases, so that $P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{dw}})/P_{\text{sat}}(T_{\text{wp}})$ depends primarily on ΔT_{drift} ; therefore, $RH_{\text{wp}}/RH_{\text{dw}}$ depends primarily on LML and the thermal properties of the backfill and is insensitive to AML, drift spacing, and location in the repository (i.e., proximity to the edge).

Figure 4. (a) Temperature and (b) relative humidity on the WP surface and in the rock at the upper drift wall for AML = 24 MTU/acre, 26-yr-old SNF, LML = 0.63 MTU/m, $L_d = 100$ m, bulk permeability $k_b = 280$ millidarcy, and vapor diffusion tortuosity factor $\tau_{\text{eff}} = 0.2$. (c, d) Same as (a, b) except for AML = 80 MTU/acre and $L_d = 30$ m. Curves are shown for backfill emplaced at $t = 100$ yr and no backfill. Temperature and relative humidity at the upper drift wall with no backfill is very similar to that shown for the case with backfill.

Table I. Relative humidity reduction contributed by repository rock dryout (ΔRH_{rock}) and by the drift- ΔRH effect (ΔRH_{drift}) for LML = 0.63 MTU/m and crushed PTn tuff backfill

AML		time(yr)							
		60	100	2000	10,000	20,000	40,000	60,000	100,000
24 MTU/acre.	$RH_{\text{wp}}(\%)$	46	2.7	53	71	80	89	92	94
	$T_{\text{wp}}(^{\circ}\text{C})$	118	210	69	42	34	29	27	26
	$RH_{\text{dw}}(\%)$	82	96	98.6	98.5	98.4	98.4	98.4	98.4
	$RH_{\text{wp}}/RH_{\text{dw}}$	0.56	0.03	0.54	0.72	0.81	0.90	0.94	0.96
	$\Delta T_{\text{drift}}(^{\circ}\text{C})$	17	131	15	7.1	4.3	2.1	1.4	0.9
	$\Delta RH_{\text{rock}}(\%)$	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$\Delta RH_{\text{drift}}(\%)$	36	94	46	27	18	9	6	4
	$\Delta RH_{\text{total}}(\%)$	52	96	46	27	18	9	6	4
80 MTU/acre	$RH_{\text{wp}}(\%)$	19	1.8	33	46	59	78	90	94
	$T_{\text{wp}}(^{\circ}\text{C})$	153	266	127	64	47	35	31	28
	$RH_{\text{dw}}(\%)$	27	30	54	64	73	87	96	98
	$RH_{\text{wp}}/RH_{\text{dw}}$	0.70	0.06	0.61	0.72	0.81	0.90	0.94	0.96
	$\Delta T_{\text{drift}}(^{\circ}\text{C})$	12	131	15	7.1	4.3	2.1	1.4	0.9
	$\Delta RH_{\text{rock}}(\%)$	71	69	44	34	25	11	2	0
	$\Delta RH_{\text{drift}}(\%)$	8	28	21	18	14	9	6	4
	$\Delta RH_{\text{total}}(\%)$	79	97	65	52	39	20	8	4

For the LD approach, ΔRH_{drift} is always the major contributor to RH reduction on the WP (Table I) everywhere in the repository (including the edge). For the ED approach, ΔRH_{rock} can play a major role in RH reduction during the first 20,000 to 40,000 yr in the central half of the repository and during the first 5000 yr at the repository edge. For the 80-MTU/acre repository, ΔRH_{drift} becomes the major contributor to RH reduction for $t > 60,000$ yr in the central half of the repository and for $t > 5000$ yr at the edge. At late time, RH reduction depends primarily on the thermal and hydrological properties of the backfill (notably K_{th} and rewetting diffusivity) and is insensitive to AML, drift spacing, and location in the repository. At late time, RH reduction for a high-AML repository is similar to that of a low-AML repository having the same LML.

CONCLUSIONS

There are two thermal loading approaches to using decay heat constructively in a repository located in the unsaturated zone at Yucca Mountain. In both approaches, decay heat reduces relative humidity and liquid flux near waste packages (WPs) for a considerable time, and thereby limits WP degradation and radionuclide dissolution and release. The extended dryout (ED) approach achieves these effects by using an areal mass loading (AML > 60 MTU/acre) high enough to develop a thick superheated dryout zone (coalesced between emplacement drifts), and thereby drive pore water away from the drifts. The localized dryout (LD) approach achieves these effects by maintaining a large temperature difference between the WP and the drift wall. The LD approach uses close axial WP spacing (generating a high line-heat load) in widely spaced drifts and an AML (<50–60 MTU/acre) that (1) is low enough that the boiling zones do not coalesce between drifts, thereby limiting condensate buildup above the drifts, and (2) limits far-field temperature rise. Higher lineal mass loadings (LML), wider drift spacings, and older spent nuclear fuel (SNF) increase the range of AMLs amenable to the LD approach. Both the ED and LD approaches rely on demonstrating that heat, vapor, and liquid flow near WPs are dominated by heat conduction and are therefore predictable.

Relative humidity on the WP can be reduced as a result of two effects: (1) repository rock dryout and (2) the temperature difference between the WP and drift wall ("drift- ΔRH effect"). For the ED approach, the first effect may be significant for tens of thousands of years. For both

the ED and LD approaches, the second effect is enhanced with high a LML (close axial WP spacing) and/or the use of a suitable low-thermal-conductivity granular backfill in the drift. Backfill is most effective if the vapor pressure on the WP is in equilibrium with that in the adjacent rock. The drift- ΔRH effect depends primarily on LML and the thermal properties of the drift (backfill) and is insensitive to AML, drift spacing, and location in the repository (i.e., proximity to the edge). For both the ED and LD approaches, the drift- ΔRH effect can be large for tens of thousands of years, keeping the relative humidity on the WP low until the WP temperature is quite low. Backfill may also keep liquid water from reaching and evaporating on the WP, and thereby limit salt buildup on the WP; this could help maintain a high critical relative humidity for aqueous corrosion of the WP and could thereby greatly increase WP lifetimes and the duration of radionuclide containment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge the review of Ken Jackson, the editorial assistance of Peter Murphy, and the graphical support of Rick Wooten. This work was supported by the Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project. Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

REFERENCES

1. Buscheck, T.A., and J.J. Nitao, "Repository-Heat-Driven Hydrothermal Flow at Yucca Mountain, Part I: Modeling and Analysis," *Nuclear Technology*, Vol. 104, No. 3, pp. 418-448 (1993).
2. Buscheck, T.A., and J.J. Nitao, "The Impact of Buoyant Gas-Phase Flow and Heterogeneity on Thermo-Hydrological Behavior at Yucca Mountain," American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, IL, *Proceedings Fifth International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference*, Las Vegas, NV, May 1994. Also UCRL-JC-115351, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA (1994).
3. Buscheck, T.A. and J.J. Nitao, "The Importance of Thermal Loading Conditions to Waste Package Performance at Yucca Mountain," Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA, *Proceedings Materials Research Society XVIII International Symposium on the Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management*, Oct. 23-27, 1994. Also UCRL-JC-116429, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA (1994).
4. Buscheck, T.A., and J.J. Nitao, "Thermal-Hydrological Analysis of Large-Scale Thermal Tests in the Exploratory Studies Facility at Yucca Mountain," UCRL-ID-121791, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA (1995).
5. Stahl, D., J.K. McCoy, and R.D. McCright, "Impact of Thermal Loading on Waste Package Material Performance," Material Research Society, Pittsburgh, PA, *Proceedings Material Research Society XVIII Symposium on the Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management*, Oct. 23-27 (1994).
6. Jones, D.A., *Principles and Prevention of Corrosion*, Macmillan Publishing Company, New York (1992).
7. Nitao, J.J., "V-TOUGH - An Enhanced Version of the TOUGH Code for the Thermal and Hydrologic Simulation of Large-Scale Problems in Nuclear Waste Isolation," UCID-21954, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA (1989).
8. Pruess, K., "TOUGH User's Guide," NUREG/CR-4645, Nuclear Regulatory Commission (1987).
9. Nitao, J.J., "Reference Manual for the NUFT Flow and Transport Code, Version 1.0," UCRL-ID-113520 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA (1995).
10. Peters, R.R., E.A. Klavetter, I.J. Hall, S.C. Blair, P.R. Hellers, and G.W. Gee, "Fracture and Matrix Hydrologic Characteristics of Tuffaceous Materials from Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada," SAND84-1471, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM (1984).

Technical Information Department • Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California • Livermore, California 94551