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ABSTRACT

Si (100) wafers were implanted with Al at 500°C to high doses at multi-energies and were oxidized in 1 atm flowing oxygen at 1000°-1200°C. The morphology, structure, and oxidation behavior of the implanted and oxidized Si were studied using optical microscopy, atomic force microscopy, and cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy in conjunction with selected area electron diffraction and energy dispersive x-ray analysis. Large Al precipitates were formed and embedded near the surface region of the implanted Si. The oxidation rate of the Al-implanted Si wafers was lower than that of virgin Si. The unique morphology of the implanted Si results from rapid Al diffusion and segregation promoted by hot implantation. The reduction of the oxidation rate of Si by Al implantation is attributed to the preferential oxidation of Al and formation of a continuous diffusion barrier of Al₂O₃.

INTRODUCTION

Oxidation studies of Si implanted with various impurities have been documented [1-4]. The list of the impurities includes Pb, Ag, Ti, Co, Fe, Sn, Ge, B, As, and P. In general, the implanted impurities give rise to an increased oxidation rate relative to that observed for unimplanted Si. The mechanism responsible for the accelerated oxidation varies depending upon the phase and structural characteristics of the implanted Si and the segregation behavior of the impurities. For example, impurities such as Pb, Ag, and Ti segregate to the vicinity of the SiO₂/Si interface during oxidation; implantation-induced lattice damage is attributed to the oxidation rate change [1]. Ge is rejected by SiO₂ and is accumulated as a Ge-rich interphase between SiO₂ and Si during oxidation, which modifies the interfacial reaction rate [2]. Impurities such as B segregate into SiO₂ during growth which increases the diffusive process in the oxide [3]. And implants such as As and P readily segregate into Si which accelerate the interfacial reaction [4]. It has been demonstrated that ion implantation provides a unique means to modify the oxidation of silicon and to study the processes responsible for the morphological and structural development during implantation and oxidation treatments.

Documented studies of Al implantation of Si have focused primarily on the structural and electrical characteristics of Si [5,6]. This paper summarizes our investigation of the effect of high-dose Al implantation on the morphology, structure, and oxidation behavior of Si. The processes responsible for the morphological evolution and for the decreased oxidation rate of Al-implanted Si are discussed.
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EXPERIMENTAL

Single crystal Si (100) was sequentially implanted with Al using an Extrion high-current implanter to \(2.25 \times 10^{17}/\text{cm}^2\) at 143 keV, \(7.4 \times 10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\) at 72 keV, and \(3.2 \times 10^{16}/\text{cm}^2\) at 35 keV. The substrate temperature was maintained at around 500°C during implantation. The doses and the ion energies were selected to achieve an implant concentration distribution of 20 at.% from the surface to a depth of 350 nm based upon computer simulation using the PROFILE code [7]. The morphological, structural, and phase characteristics of the implanted samples were determined by optical microscopy, atomic force microscopy (AFM), and cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (XTEM) in conjunction with selected area electron diffraction (SAD) and energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis.

Oxidation of the Al-implanted Si samples was performed in 1 atm dry oxygen flowing at 500 SCCM at 1000°C-1200°C. Virgin Si was also oxidized simultaneously for comparison. The oxide thickness was determined by XTEM for implanted samples or by ellipsometry for virgin Si. The samples after oxidation were also investigated using XTEM, SAD, and EDX.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) are optical and AFM micrographs of the Al-implanted Si sample. Fig. 1(a) indicates the presence of precipitates with a large population density and varying size distribution (0.5-2 μm) in the surface region of the implanted Si. The precipitates are embedded in the Si sample with the free surface slightly below that of the substrate, as depicted in Fig. 1(b).

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1** (a) Optical and (b) atomic force micrograph of Al-implanted Si.

A bright-field XTEM image from the Al-implanted Si is presented in Fig. 2(a). SAD patterns taken from regions A and B in Fig. 2(a) are shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(c), respectively. The band of dark contrast in Fig. 2(a), which extends from the surface to about 650 nm beneath, corresponds to the implanted region. The thickness of the implanted region nearly doubles that of the value from simulation by the PROFILE code. It is postulated that this inconsistency may arise from the channeling effect as well as from Al diffusion during implantation. The dark contrast results from implantation-
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induced lattice damage of the Si sample. Due to sufficient dynamic lattice recovery during ion implantation the Si retained not only its crystallinity, but also its (100) orientation in the implanted region, as indicated in Fig. 2(b). No additional deflection spots are present in Fig. 2(b), which suggests that region A in Fig. 2(a) is single crystal Si.

Fig. 2(a) reveals the cross-sectional morphology of the precipitate (region B) illustrated in the optical and AFM micrographs. EDX analysis (spectrum not shown here) indicated the presence of only Al in the pocket. The SAD pattern from this region (Fig. 2(c)) corresponds to single crystal A with a [011] zone axis (same as the Si substrate). Early studies of Al implantation of Si were conducted at temperatures below 500°C; Al precipitates are observed only upon annealing the implanted Si above 600°C [5,6]. Al has a very low solubility and is a fast diffusing species in single crystal Si [6,8]. Ion-beam irradiation is known to significantly enhance the rate of diffusion and segregation of implants even in binary covalent systems such as SiC [9,10]. The combined thermal and irradiation effects are therefore responsible for the formation of Al precipitates in Si implanted with high-doses of Al at the temperature used here. The morphology of the Al precipitates concurs with an equilibrium configuration which minimizes surface/interface energy of the system.

Al-implanted Si exhibits an oxidation behavior quite different from virgin Si in terms of the oxidation rate as well as the characteristics of the oxidation products. Summarized in Table 1 are the thickness values of the oxidation layers grown on Al-implanted (determined by XTEM) and on virgin Si (measured by ellipsometry) under various oxidation conditions. The oxidized samples are relatively smooth despite the distinct surface morphology of the as-implanted Si. One exception is the sample oxidized at 1200°C which has a thicker oxide around the Al pocket region. For this reason, a thickness range is given in this table for the sample oxidized at this temperature. As seen in Table 1, the oxidation rate of Si is reduced by Al implantation, in contrast to implantation by many other metallic species [1-4].
Table 1. Thickness of the oxidation layer grown on Al-implanted and virgin Si under various oxidation conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oxidation Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Oxidation Time (hours)</th>
<th>Oxide Thickness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Implanted Si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>270-400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The oxidation results of Al-implanted and virgin Si indicate that Al plays a unique role in modifying the oxidation process of Si. The effect of Al implantation on the morphological and phase development of the oxidation layer has been investigated using XTEM and EDX. Shown in Fig. 3 is a bright-field XTEM image of the Al-implanted Si sample after oxidation at 1000°C. The region with dark contrast (indicated by arrows) corresponds to the oxidation layer (110 nm thick) and the contrast difference clearly suggests the low ion-milling rate of the oxide phase. While SAD analysis of this region was unsuccessful due to a thickness limit, EDX analysis of this region, shown in Fig. 4, indicated the presence of only Al and O. These results strongly suggest that the oxide formed is Al₂O₃. Although the oxidation layer grown at 1000°C is relatively smooth, XTEM analysis of certain regions where large Al pockets were once present indicated some structural and phase heterogeneity with Al₂O₃ grains extending into Si beneath the average baseline of the oxide/substrate interface.

The oxidation treatment at 1000°C resulted in the formation of precipitates with sizes ranging from nano to submicron dimensions in the Si substrate beneath the oxidation layer, as shown in Fig. 3. EDX analysis of the relatively large precipitates revealed the presence of only Al. In addition, the oxidation treatment also led to the removal of implantation-induced lattice damage in the implanted and
unoxidized region as evidenced by the light contrast in this region (Fig. 3). This observation of formation of precipitates and damage recovery upon high-temperature treatment is consistent with previous work [5,6].

Shown in Figs. 5 and 6 are bright-field XTEM images of Al-implanted Si samples oxidized at 1100°C and 1200°C, respectively. As illustrated, the oxidation layer consists of two sub-layers in both cases. Based upon the EDX analysis of these regions, the outer layer of dark contrast is Al₂O₃ and the inner layer of light contrast is SiO₂. The region beneath the oxidation layer after the oxidation treatment at these two temperatures exhibits structural features similar to those after 1000°C oxidation exposure.

This investigation strongly indicates that oxidation of Al-implanted Si proceeds first by oxidation of Al to form a continuous layer of Al₂O₃ with the reaction interface as a diffusion sink for Al and the Al pockets in the surface region as an Al reservoir. Once Al in the pockets is depleted for the formation of Al₂O₃, oxidation of Si will take place to form SiO₂. These two stages of oxidation of Al-implanted Si can be understood from the thermodynamic and kinetic standpoints. Thermodynamically speaking, the Gibbs free energy of the formation of Al₂O₃ is about 25% more negative compared with SiO₂ per mole of oxygen in the temperature range of 1000°C-1200°C. Al should be selectively (or preferentially) oxidized by oxygen when co-existing with Si. The much stronger affinity of Al for oxygen than Si has been illustrated through its effective role in gettering oxygen in single crystal Si and the reduction of SiO₂ by Al [5,6]. Kinetically speaking, Al is in a molten state at the oxidation temperatures. The surface as well as bulk diffusivity of Al will be high, which are the favorable conditions for the formation of a continuous Al₂O₃ layer and rapid refurbishment of Al from the Al pockets. The depletion of Al will lead to formation of vacancy and ultimately microscopic porosity in the Al/Si interface region where Al pockets once existed. This vacancy or porosity generation process is postulated to be responsible for the very light contrast observed in the oxidation layer in the region where a pocket of Al has been consumed by oxidation. Al-implanted Si exhibits a reduced rate of oxidation.
oxidation compared to virgin Si. Specifically, the thickness ratio of the oxidation layers formed on Al-implanted and on virgin Si is 0.60 at 1000°C (6 hours), 0.64 at 1100°C (6 hours), and 0.68-1.0 at 1200°C (5 hours). The reduction in the oxidation rate can be attributed to the formation of a diffusion barrier of Al₂O₃ through which oxygen diffuses less rapidly than through SiO₂ [11].

CONCLUSIONS

Ion implantation of Si with high-dose Al at 500°C resulted in the formation of a unique structure and morphology. Si retained its single crystallinity and orientation; numerous pockets of single crystal Al were also formed, both of which can be attributed to irradiation-enhanced diffusion and segregation. Oxidation of Al-implanted Si resulted in the formation of a continuous layer of Al₂O₃, and when Al in the Al pocket is consumed, the formation of SiO₂. These oxidation processes are consistent with thermodynamic and kinetic considerations. The preferential oxidation of Al and formation of an Al₂O₃ diffusion barrier are responsible for the reduced oxidation rate of Al-implanted Si compared with virgin Si.
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