DOE/PC/94207--T6

Quarterly Progress Report

High Temperature Electrochemical Polishing of H₂S

from Coal Gasification Process Streams

Grant DE-FG22-94-PC94207

October 1, 1995 - December 31, 1995

by

Professor Jack Winnick

Georgia Institute of Technology

School of Chemical Engineering

Atlanta, GA 30332-0100

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

W

Project Objectives

Coal may be used to generate electrical energy by any of several processes, most of which involve combustion or gasification. Combustion in a coal-fired boiler and power generation using a steam-cycle is the conventional conversion method; however total energy conversion efficiencies for this type of process are only slightly over 30%¹. Integration of a gas-cycle in the process (combined cycle) may increase the total conversion efficiency to 40%¹. Conversion processes based on gasification offer efficiencies above 50%¹.

H₂S is the predominant gaseous contaminant in raw coal gas. Coal depending on the type and area of extraction can contain up to 5 wt% sulfur, which is converted to gaseous H₂S during gasification. Problems arise due to the corrosive nature of H₂S on metal components contained in these cycles. Because of this, H₂S concentrations must be reduced to low levels corresponding to certain power applications. For example, an integrated coal gasification-combined cycle (IGCC) process producing electricity from coal at nearly 50% overall efficiency¹ incorporates gas turbines that cannot tolerate H₂S levels above 100 ppm. Coal gasification/Molten Carbonate Fuel-Cell(MCFC) systems, achieving conversion efficiencies around 60%², function properly only if H₂S is below 1 ppm.

An advanced process for the separation of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) from coal gasification product streams through an electrochemical membrane is being developed using funds from this grant. H₂S is removed from the syn-gas stream, split into hydrogen, which enriches the exiting syn-gas, and sulfur, which is condensed from an inert sweep gas stream, Figure 1. The process allows removal of H₂S without cooling the gas stream and with negligible pressure loss through the separator. The process is made economically attractive by the lack of need for a Claus process for sulfur recovery. To this extent the project presents a novel concept for improving utilization of coal for more efficient power generation.

Past experiments using this concept dealt with identifying removal of 1-2% H₂S from gases containing only H₂S in N₂³, simulated natural gas^{4,5}, and simulated coal gas⁶. Data

obtained from these experiments resulted in extended studies into electrode kinetics and electrode stability in molten melts^{7,8,9}. The most recent experiments evaluated the polishing application (removal of H₂S below 10 ppm) using the Electrochemical Membrane Separator (EMS). H₂S removal efficiencies over 90% were achieved at these stringent conditions of low H₂S concentrations proving the technologies polishing capabilities.

Other goals include optimization of cell materials capable of improving cell performance. Once cell materials are defined, cell experiments determining maximum removal capabilities and current efficiencies will be conducted.

Also, a model theoretically describing the preferred reduction of H₂S, the transport of S²⁻, and the competing transport of CO₂ will be investigated. The model should identify the maximum current efficiency for H₂S removal, depending on variables such as flow rate, temperature, current application, and the total cell potential.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Introduction

The Electrochemical Membrane Separator (E.M.S.), the focus of experimental work, purges a fuel gas contaminated with H₂S. This is done by reducing the most electro-active species in the gas stream. In this case, H₂S is reduced by the following:

A membrane which contains sulfide ions in a molten salt electrolyte will act to transport the ions across to the anode. If the membrane is impermeable to H₂ diffusion from the cathode side, an inert sweep gas can be used to carry the vaporous oxidized sulfur downstream to be condensed.

Processes to remove H₂S typically rely on low-to -ambient temperature adsorption, followed by sorbent regeneration and Claus plant treatment for conversion of H₂S to a salable by-product, sulfur. Although effective, this type of removal is very process-intensive as well as energy-inefficient due to low temperature operation. Gasification streams generally range from 500°C - 1000°C, requiring cooling before and reheating after process gas sweetening. Although these technologies have proven capable of meeting H₂S levels required by MCFC, there are several disadvantages inherent to these processes^{10,11}.

Alternative high temperature methods are presently available, but process drawbacks including morphological changes in catalytic beds¹² or inefficient molten salt sorbent processes¹³ negate savings incurred through energy efficient removal temperatures.

An electrochemical membrane separation system for removing H₂S from coal gasification product streams is the subject of this investigation. The high operating temperature, flow-through design, and capability of selective H₂S removal and direct production of elemental

sulfur offered by this process provide several advantages over existing and developmental H₂S removal technologies.

Figure 1. Single-Cell View of the Electrochemical Membrane Separator

Quarterly Research

Electrolyte Management

Electrolyte losses associated with molten carbonate salts is a persistent problem. The mechanism of such losses is not fully understood; CO₂ evolution and reactions with cell materials are possible mechanisms.

Proposed mechanisms for the loss of electrolyte in the E.M.S. are:

- 1) Material reactions upon process-gas seal formation:

- 2) Evaporation at the anode due to a deficiency of CO₂:

- 3) Evaporation into the surroundings:

with lithium carbonate, comprising 62 mole% electrolyte, being the least stable (larger K).

Quantitative evaporation studies were performed duplicating full-cell conditions¹⁴. Mechanism (2) & (3) were investigated with evaporation by mechanism (3) entailing the predominant amount of electrolytic losses; 24 hours of exposure evolved on average 30 grams of electrolyte leaving a crystalline residue of Li₂O₂ & Li₂O by:

After extended real-time experimentation utilizing stainless steel as housing materials, electrolyte diffusion into the housings apparently occurred. This was evidenced during post-mortem analysis; the housings were heated to ~650°C in order to burn-off excess volatiles used for surface sterilization. After thermal cycling crystals appeared on the housing surface;

approximately 1.5 grams of the material was recovered for analysis by crystallographic techniques. X-ray diffraction revealed that the crystals were almost pure lithium carbonate Figure 2. One might suspect that potassium carbonate would be apparent as well, in a ratio similar to the molar composition of the electrolyte (62 mole% lithium, 38 mole% potassium).

Mechanism (2) would give a suitable explanation for this phenomenon since diffusion of Li_2O would occur more readily than Li_2CO_3 , based on molecular size. This also explains preferential diffusion of lithium species compared to potassium species by comparison of equilibrium constants; dissolution of lithium carbonate is a factor of 10 higher than potassium carbonate.

The conclusion drawn from these findings accentuates the necessity for sealing the electrolyte/housing interface with an electrically as well as chemically inert material of minimum porosity. Continued use of alumina should provide the adequate substrate if the proper technique can be found for inducing a thin, non-porous layer on the stainless steel surface.

Sturdy seals were obtained recently by coupling aluminum foil gaskets with aluminum paint(29 wt%) to increase adherence to the housing surface.

Figure 2. X-ray Diffraction of Crystal Growth on Stainless Steel Housings

Cathode Materials

From results obtained in previous studies, the path to a commercial process is now clear. The primary unresolved components are the cathode and membrane. The cathode must be chemically and mechanically stable in the sulfide/carbonate/hydrogen sulfide environment, and be electronically conductive at 650°C. Lithium-doped NiO has already proven effective in polishing applications; however, the Ni phase transition to liquid $\text{Ni}_{3+x}\text{S}_2$ when contacting gas with >100 ppm H_2S , requires alternate cathode materials. Co, which converts to Co_8S_9 in-situ, has recently been shown¹⁵, using Scanning Electron Microscopy (S.E.M.), to be morphologically stable in full cell testing with 100 ppm H_2S . The microscopic view revealed adequate porosity and pore size were maintained in the harsh cell environment. Production of cobalt electrodes in the laboratory is ongoing; consistently manufacturing these electrodes with a pore size and arrangement comparable to the Ni electrodes has been accomplished, although current attempts have been less successful.

Dry pressing the cobalt powder ($d_p = 2$ microns) with ~ 40 wt% binder (methylethylcellulose) stabilized the metal in disk form to accomplish the burnout and sintering steps. Stress fractures often occur due to the non-uniform release of binder and apparent temperature inequality within the furnace. These concerns involve the physical aspects of dry pressed powders. Non-uniform distribution of binder material incorporated within the cobalt particles creates particle segregation in certain regions; local density gradients due to disproportionate binder distribution effects the final electrode morphology (i.e. pore size, pore distribution, tortuosity, and crystallographic stability). Adjustments dealing with binder content and temperature cycling will be the impetus of future membrane production as well as improvements in dry-pressing of the cobalt-binder system.

Eventual scale-up of the E.M.S. system must incorporate cobalt electrodes; bench-scale experiments have confirmed that consistent inlet H_2S levels are difficult to control. This would be detrimental in a full-scale operation where a high local H_2S density could result in electrode destruction.

Membrane Materials

Several membranes have been utilized in the EMS since its genesis. MCFC tiles used by Weaver¹⁶, sulfided in-situ, resulted in micro-crack development; however MCFC tile technology will be used in scale-up applications of electrochemical separations, due to success in real-time MCFC. The technology has been refined, but replication in our laboratory is expensive and inefficient for bench-scale testing. Tape-cast membranes of MgO were somewhat more efficient but inconsistent laboratory replication proved detrimental¹⁷; however, tape casting with zirconia particles still remains a viable option. The most promising membranes for bench-scale experiments have been manufactured zirconia membranes purchased from Zircar Corporation. Polishing of the gasification streams with these membranes confirms their usefulness; however micro-cracks are still evident from S.E.M. analysis¹⁵, Figure 3, and must be controlled. Alternative laboratory-densified membranes with fiber mats replicating the preprocessed membranes from Zircar Corporation are also being investigated. Positive removal results (over 90% H₂S removal) have been obtained from full-cell testing with these membranes¹⁸; however, more work is needed to improve the manufacturing techniques. Dry pressing zirconia particles admixed with binder has been the most recent attempt to produce a viable membrane material as well as tape-casting sub-micron ZrO₂ particles in a solvent-binder system. Run 24 & 25 this quarter utilized a fabricated membrane and a tape-cast membrane respectively, which provided a consistent ionic pathway between electrodes as well as segregating process gases from sweep gases.

Zirconia Cloth-Stabilized Matrices

Continued cell testing will be done utilizing the purchased zirconia membranes along with laboratory-densified zirconia membranes containing zirconia fibers. Laboratory-densified membranes which have proven successful in the past¹⁸ will be tested further. One reason for this is financial. Conventional purchased zirconia membranes cost approximately \$ 150.00 per

membrane (7.62 cm (3 in) x 7.62 cm (3 in) x 0.063 cm (0.025 in)), compared to \$ 10.00 per membrane (7.62 cm (3 in) x 7.62 cm (3 in) x 0.092 cm (0.036 in)) for self-produced membranes.

Figure 3. S.E.M. View of a Purchased Zirconia Membrane

Laboratory-densified membranes consist of two knit zirconia mats (7.62 cm (3 in) x 7.62 cm (3 in) x 0.0762 cm (0.030 in)) purchased from Zircar Corporation. The initial porosity of the mats is approximately 85%. The objective is to fill (rigidize) the knit to a porosity of approximately 65%, which is essential, according to past experiments, in order to operate efficiently¹⁹. The material used to rigidize the membrane is an aqueous suspension of sub-micron zirconia particles. The combination of mats are submerged in a container of rigidizer, then placed under a vacuum to evacuate the pores. Once pores are relieved of gaseous fillers, the rigidizer infiltrates the pores.

In previous manufacturing, after the infiltration step, the membrane(cloth plus aqueous suspension of sub-micron zirconia particles) was placed on a flat Teflon surface, covered with weighing paper, and constrained from curling by a metal mesh. More recent techniques relied on the wet matrices being placed atop a thin wire bent into a square configuration and attached to a speed controlled rotor; the rotating of the free body should allow a faster more uniformly dry body(matrices) compared to previous techniques.

Once dry, the membrane is analyzed for void fraction and reprocessed if found unacceptable. In the cell, the 65% porous membrane with infiltrated electrolyte prevents cross-over of harmful amounts of hydrogen and allows a low-resistance path for sulfide diffusion and migration. In order to reach such porosity, the method of rigidization must be performed several times, each reducing the porosity by approximately 10%. Every cycle requires drying, placing mechanical stress on the membrane. The fabricated membrane meeting the 65 % porosity performed adequately in full- cell testing¹⁸.

Thus far improvements in laboratory-densified manufacturing techniques consist of: i) Adding zirconia rigidizer into a knit-zirconia matrix under an extended vacuum (>5 min.). This drastically decreases porosity , reducing the number of steps required to fabricate the membrane. ii) Suspending the mat during drying to increase diffusion since both faces of the mat are exposed, decreasing solvent diffusion distance and iii) vertically rotating the suspended body to uniformly distribute the suspension of zirconia particles throughout the membrane.

Preliminary manufacturing using the rotary dryer failed due to handling problems; Figure 4 reveals an S.E.M. view of membrane cracks connected to these problems. Improvements in the process between steps i and ii are being handled with a more efficient rotor frame, stabilizing the cloth mat prior to the infiltration of the zirconia particles therefore creating a more desirable transition from the vacuum chamber to the rotary drying stage. Processing of membranes with this technique will continue.

Figure 4. S.E.M. View of a Self-Fabricated Zirconia-Cloth Membrane

Dry Pressing

Dry pressing of sub-micron zirconia particles with a percentage of binding material corresponding to a desired porosity has been attempted. Stages in dry pressing include i) the filling of a circular 3 inch diameter carbon steel die with the zirconia particle binder mixture, ii) compacting and shaping of the zirconia binder admixture, and iii) ejection of the pressed disk. Pressing can be accomplished by uni-axial pressing Figure 5a or isostatic pressing Figure 5b. Uni-axial pressing was the technique of choice for initial compression experiments due to ease and availability.

In dry-pressing , pressure produced by moving the die punches compacts the zirconia-binder powder mixture into a cohesive disk with a certain shape and micro-structure according to

the extent of applied pressure and binder content. Generally pressures range from 10 - 200 MPa although above 10 MPa rate of densification decreases rapidly; binder content is between 2 and 12 vol%²⁰. Dry-pressing of the zirconia-methocel system was accomplished with a pressure of 20 MPa and a binder content of ~ 50 vol%. A high membrane porosity necessitates a higher than normal binder content although inherent problems in binder burnout are inevitable.

Figure 5 Dry-Pressing with an a) Isostatic Stress Loading and b) Uni-axial Stress Loading

Initial attempts at membrane manufacture by dry-pressing showed promise. Figure 6, an S.E.M. view of the sintered dry-pressed zirconia membrane, reveals an acceptable membrane surface and pore distribution; membrane porosity was ~ 60 % with an average pore size of 1 micron. Work must continue on obtaining a more uniform membrane surface creating parallelism between membrane, electrode, and housing materials as well as alleviating stress fractures during binder burnout and sintering which can lead to cracks. Also reduction in membrane thickness must be addressed in order for the dry-pressed membranes to be a viable options for E.M.S. bench-scale experiments; three experiments yielded a membrane of thickness ~ 0.2 cm. Previous membranes utilized successfully in the E.M.S. removal system had a thickness of ~ 0.5 mm.

Figure 6. S.E.M view of a Dry-Pressed Membrane

Tape casting

Tape casting is the process of forming a film of controlled thickness under a blade onto a supported substrate. Tape-casting provides a smooth membrane surface as well as a thin (0.01 - 1 mm), flat, uniform, and somewhat compressible membrane²⁰. Membranes produced by dry-pressing and extrusion have a less smooth surface below 2 mm in thickness, consist of breakage, non-uniform density, and a non-parallelism between surfaces can be a problem; therefore tape cast membranes if manufactured properly could produce viable membranes for the E.M.S. system, shown in Figure 7. Tape-cast membrane stability throughout the duration of run 25 shows strong support for continued casting efforts.

Tape-casting can be performed continuously or as a batch process. Industrial applications utilize the high volume capacity from continuous casting for multilayer ceramic

electronic packaging, multilayer capacitors, piezoelectric devices, thick and thin film insulators, and catalyst supports²⁰. Low volume bench-scale requirements (i.e. electrochemical matrices) are ideal for batch production. Examples of batch components used industrially and on our bench-scale membranes are given in Table I and II respectively.

Figure 7. S.E.M. of Tape-Cast Membrane for Bench-scale Experimentation

Table I. Examples of Compositions of Tape-Casting Slurries²⁰ (Industrial)

Component	Alumina Tape	Comp. (vol%)	Titanate tape	Comp. (vol%)
Ceramic powder	Alumina powder*	27	Titanate powder*	28
Liquid system	Trichlorethylene	42	Methylethyl ketone	33
	Ethanol	16	Ethanol	16
Deflocculant dispersant	Menhaden fish oil	1.8	Menhaden fish oil	1.7
Binder	Polyvinyl butyral	4.4	Acrylic	6.7
Plasticizer	Polyethylene glycol	4.8	Polyethylene glycol	6.7
	Octyl phthalate	4.0	Butyl benzyl phthalate	6.7
Surfactant			Cyclohexanone	1.2

* < 5 μ m, includes sintering aids, grain growth inhibitor

Table II. Example of Composition of Tape-Casting Slurry (Bench-Scale)

Component	Zirconia Tape	Comp. (vol%)
Ceramic powder	Zirconia powder*	16.3
Liquid system	Toluene	47.9
	Denatured Ethyl alcohol	13.2
Deflocculant dispersant	M-111X	4.5
Binder	Vinyl	8.9*
Plasticizer	Unknown	4.6*
Surfactant	Unknown	4.6*

* compositions are a best guess from characteristic values given by metoramic sciences, inc., see Table IV

Borosilicate membranes

Alternate matrix materials also have been investigated including microporous glass membranes with porosities of 0.2 and 0.02 microns, shown in Figure 8 and a thickness of 60 microns exceeding the probable limit of the bench-scale E.M.S. set-up provisions; thus far, these membranes have shown chemical instabilities with the electrolytic melt. Several experiments to illuminate the chemical stability of these membranes in the molten salt electrolytic melt showed

a trend of membrane dissolution throughout the melt. Without a stable matrices to entrain the electrolyte, leakage will occur reducing the ionic pathway between electrodes which is detrimental to E.M.S. performance. Future tests with these membranes are not scheduled.

Sol-Gel membranes

Testing commenced with SiO₂ Sol-Gel membranes with an engineered pore structure. Chemical testing proved detrimental to the membrane morphology similar to the boro-silicate membranes. The mechanism for SiO₂ dissolution is unknown. Future chemical testing should provide evidence of Sol-Gel membrane usefulness for real-time experiments. An advantage of these membranes involves the ability to manipulated pore structure providing a better ionic pathway as well as electrolytic distribution throughout system components.

Figure 8. S.E.M. View of a Borosilicate Membrane

Membrane Thickness

Once effective membranes have been developed, other variables such as thickness of the membrane will be investigated in high-flow rate experiments in order to lower the resistance to sulfide migration. The rate of mass transport corresponding to sulfide migration through the membrane is given by:

$$i = \frac{nFD (C_c - C_a)}{\delta} \quad (3)$$

where n is the charge number, F is Faraday's constant, D is diffusion coefficient, δ is the membrane thickness, and C is the concentration of sulfide species at the cathode and anode. At high process-gas flow rates, mass transfer from the gas is equivalent to the limit of diffusion through the membrane, given by:

$$i_l = \frac{nFD C_c}{\delta} \quad (4)$$

The limiting current is a measure of the maximum rate at which sulfide can be transported through the membrane, and occurs when $C_a = 0$. Equation (4) reveals that a thinner membrane creates a higher limiting current for the transport of sulfide. This is extremely important at high flow rates to insure diffusion from the bulk process-gas is the only limiting factor.

Optimization of membrane thickness and porosity will be investigated at high flow rates during full-cell testing using a singular laboratory-densified membrane, thickness ~ 0.38 mm (0.015 in) as well as tape cast and dry-pressed membranes discussed earlier.

Quarterly Summary

Membrane manufacturing coupled with full-cell experimentation was the primary focus this quarter. A tape-casted zirconia membrane was developed and utilized in one full-cell experiment (run 25); run 24 utilized a fabricated membrane purchased from Zircar Corporation. Table III gives an outline of the membrane materials as well as other components used for experiments 24 & 25.

Two full-cell experiments (24 & 25) served a three-fold purpose: 1) testing the electrochemical membrane separators ability to concentrate CO₂; 2) testing the electrochemical membrane separators ability to remove H₂S; and 3) testing modifications of the experimental apparatus.

Table III. Experimental Components

Run	Temp. °C	Cathode	Anode	Membrane	Housings	Electrolyte
24	650	Lithiated NiO	Lithiated NiO	Fabricated (ZrO ₂)	Stainless Steel (316)	(Li _{0.62} K _{0.38}) ₂ CO ₃
25	650	Lithiated NiO	Lithiated NiO	Tape-cast (ZrO ₂)	Stainless Steel (316)	(Li _{0.62} K _{0.38}) ₂ CO ₃

Run #24

A fabricated zirconia membrane was utilized in run 24. Housings were stainless steel(316) with aluminum foil gaskets as process-gas seals. Electrodes consisted of ERC Ni electrodes oxidized at 650 C prior to cell configuraton.

Upon full-cell start-up the initial temperature was set at 450 C. Cathode and anode gas flow rates were 156 cc/min N₂ and 103 cc/min N₂ respectively. Once the cell temperature reached 490 C, 4.0 grams of electrolyte was added; a temperature increase to 590 C resulted in

electrolytic melting coupled with total process-gas seal development (i.e. volumetric flow in = volumetric flow out). Cathodic flow was adjusted to 204 cc/min; 45 cc/min was comprised of fuel gas (4% CO₂, 15% CO, and 10% H₂) and the remaining 159 cc/min of N₂. The anodic inlet gas consisted of 103 cc/min N₂, 20 cc/min CO₂. Eventual cell temperature reached 650 C.

Evaluation of theoretical CO₂ removal from the process-gas (cathode-gas) with applied current was the first test conducted on the Electrochemical Membrane Separator (E.M.S.) full-cell run; percentage of CO₂ removal compared to the theoretical value determines system permanence. Application of 100 mA to the cell caused process-gas CO₂ levels to decrease by 100% of the theoretical value. 100% of the theoretical value is necessary before H₂S application. Internal resistance was ~ 3Ω; an acceptable value is 1Ω. 1.5 grams of electrolyte was added to reduce membrane resistance. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show cathodic CO₂ reduction and anodic CO₂ production with applied current respectively, Figure 11 gives a potential step analysis at variable currents; 100% CO₂ reduction, 25 % CO₂ production are evidenced.

Shut-down of run 24 eventually occurred after 480 hours (20 days) of operation due to several electrical connection failures.

Although H₂S removal was not attempted with this experiment the cell apparatus proved acceptable for future full-cell experimentation.

Run #25

Four ZrO₂ tape-cast membranes were combined for use in the E.M.S. system. The slurry to produce these membranes in a batch-wise process consisted of 10 grams ZrO₂ powder (d_p ~ 0.2 μm) mixed with 6.64 grams of a binder-solvent system (B-73305, characteristics of which are given in Table IV), coupled with 0.1 grams of surfactant/dispersant (M-1114). Mixture of the ceramic, binder-solvent system is based on the desired membrane characteristics; optimal porosity is in the 50 to 70% range with pore diameters of 0.1 microns.

Figure 9. Cathodic Removal of CO₂ with Applied Current

Figure 10. Anodic Production of CO₂ with Applied Current

Figure 11. Total Cell Potentials with Applied Current

The ceramic loading into the binder-solvent system necessary for obtaining a ceramic matrices of 50% porosity is calculated as follows:

$$\text{Grams of B73305} = \left(\frac{\text{grams of ZrO}_2}{\text{density of ZrO}_2 \left(\frac{\text{grams}}{\text{cm}^3} \right)} \right) \times \left(\frac{\text{density of binder solids} \left(\frac{\text{grams}}{\text{cm}^3} \right)}{\text{wt\% binder solids} \left(\frac{\text{grams of binder solids}}{\text{grams total}} \right)} \right) \quad (5)$$

Table IV. Characteristics of the Metoramic Science Binder-Solvent System B-73305

Resin Type	Vinyl
Typical Composition (wt%)	61.3 Toluene 15.3 Denatured ethyl alcohol 23.4 Other additives: polymer, surfactants, plasticizers, adhesion, and porosity modifiers
Typical Properties	Binder solids 22.3 wt% Binder Viscosity 550 cps Binder Specific Gravity 0.88 Binder Solids Specific Gravity 1.0 Wt per Gallon 7.33 lbs. (3.33 kg)
Appearance	Clear to slightly hazy-yellow solution

Four membranes of 50% porosity were successfully manufactured in a batch-wise process. The dimensions of each membrane are as follows in Table V:

Table V. Tape-cast Membrane Dimensions

Membrane	Weight (grams)	Area (cm x cm)	Thickness (mm)
1	1.362	5.08 x 5.08	0.29
2	1.458	5.08 x 5.08	0.30
3	1.440	5.08 x 5.08	0.29
4	1.339	5.08 x 5.08	0.30

The 3.47 grams of electrolyte needed to saturate the combination ceramic membranes was pressed uni-axially into a 3.81 cm diameter disk at 5 MPa prior to cell assembly.

Porous cathode and anode Ni electrodes were obtained from Energy Research Corporation. Both electrodes were lithiated then oxidized at 650 C. Since NiO was used as a cathode material H₂S levels over 50 ppmv could not be applied to the cell due to the phase transition previously evidenced from solid-conductive NiO to the molten-conductive Ni_{3+x}S₂. Housing materials consisted of 316 stainless steel with a pressed aluminum disk as a process-gas sealant; adherence of process seals to the housings was aided by applying aluminum paint(29 wt% Al).

Upon full-cell start-up the initial temperature was set at 150 °C. Binder-burnout was evidenced by smoke and organics in the exhaust. Cathode and anode gas flow rates were 35 cc/min N₂ and 45 cc/min N₂ respectively. Temperature was increased in 10 °C intervals, starting from 150 °C, as binder volatiles dissipated. Binder volatiles were not apparent after holding the temperature at ~ 470 C (just below the electrolytic melting point of 490 C) for more than 12 hours. A temperature increase to 600 C resulted in electrolytic melting coupled with total process-gas seal development (i.e. volumetric flow in = volumetric flow out). Cathodic flow was adjusted to 225 cc/min; 18 cc/min was comprised of fuel gas (3.3% CO₂, 1.2% CO, 4.86% H₂, and 5.98% H₂O after water-gas shift) with the balance N₂. The anodic inlet gas consisted of 40 cc/min N₂ and 7 cc/min CO₂.

Evaluation of theoretical CO₂ removal from the process-gas (cathode-gas) and anode CO₂ evolution with applied current was the first test conducted on the Electrochemical Membrane Separator (E.M.S.) full-cell run; percentage of CO₂ removal/evolution compared to the theoretical value determines system permanence. Application of 100 mA to the cell caused process-gas CO₂ levels to decrease by 100% of the theoretical value which is necessary before H₂S application. Internal resistance was measured by current interrupt and found to be ~ 3Ω; an acceptable value is 1Ω (0.4 grams of electrolyte were added to the cell). A current step method was performed in order to determine CO₂ removal, Figure 12, CO₂ evolution, Figure 13, as well

as the potential profile, Figure 14 at varying currents. H₂S addition to the cell occurred once cell stability was proven.

Figure 12. Cathode CO₂ level vs. Applied Current

Figure 13. Anode CO₂ Level vs. Applied Current

Upon addition of H₂S, process gas equilibrated to 3.46% CO₂, 1.25% CO, 5.81% H₂O, 4.68% H₂, 49.26 ppmv H₂S, 0.74 ppmv COS. The molten-electrolyte - process-gas equilibrium given by:

creates a conversion of carbonate ions to sulfide ions dependent on the partial pressure of H₂S above the electrolyte; equilibrium electrolyte content consisted of 99.33 mol% (Li_{0.68}K_{0.32})₂CO₃, 0.67 mol% (Li_{0.68}K_{0.32})₂S.

Figure 14. Run 23 Carbonate Transport: Total Cell Potentials vs. Applied Current

Limiting current density analysis within the E.M.S. system shows that the gas-phase limiting current density was 0.891 mA/cm² while the membrane limiting current density was

2.64 mA/cm². This reveals the expedience of ionic transport through the membrane which is two times greater than gas-phase diffusion. Cathode and anode electrode areas were both 7.91 cm²; therefore the maximum applicable current to the cell for H₂S removal was 7 mA before exceeding the limiting current based on bulk-gas diffusion of H₂S. Stoichiometric current needed to remove 50 ppmv H₂S down to 5 ppmv H₂S was 1.46 mA.

Upon equilibration of the electrolyte and process-gas species (H₂S inlet ~ H₂S outlet at varying flow rates) current was applied to the cell to test H₂S removal capabilities; some electrolytic polishing of gaseous species remained evident. Figure 15 & Figure 16 show inlet and outlet H₂S levels at varying currents. Effective H₂S removal did occur; however, both Figure 15 & Figure 16 reveal no further decrease in outlet H₂S at currents above the limiting H₂S current of 7 mA. This is a result of the parasitic reaction, CO₂ removal, taking precedence over H₂S removal due to the concentration advantage (on the order of 10³ more CO₂ molecules than H₂S molecules). Figure 17 shows cell potentials with applied current. Again evidence of carbonate transport can be ascertained from comparing the cell potentials for H₂S removal and the Nernst potential for carbonate transport; potentials in the proximity of the limiting current (7 mA) are in the range for carbonate transport.

Problems occurred soon after introduction of H₂S to the E.M.S. system due to the high concentration initially sent into the system. Approximately 90 ppmv H₂S was released into the system which eventually proved detrimental by creating the proper conditions at the (Li)NiO electrode surface for a phase transition to Ni_{3+x}S₂. Post-Mortem analysis did not reveal a decrease in electrode diameter although this decrease is a consistent testament to the phase transition; however the cathode material used for this experiment was a NiO wire mesh replacing the usual porous solid material. This consistent decrease in electrode diameter can be explained from the previous mentioned phase transition creating a molten conductive phase, Ni_{3+x}S₂, causing pore structure collapse; therefore, little area for electron transfer reactions. X-ray diffraction of the cathode revealed evidence of this conversion, Figure 18, by matching a fraction of the electrode to heazlewoodite (Ni₃S₂).

Removal of 90% inlet H₂S could have been hindered by the electrode conversion. The problem must be associated with pore structure collapse upon cathode phase transition making diffusion of species to the electrode-electrolyte interface difficult; therefore creating a more negative total cell potential by increasing the mass transfer overpotential.

Future experiments will be conducted with the proper electrode phase (Li)Co present at the cathode to insure correct cell operation. Co is completely stable in the cell environment.

Tape-cast membrane materials will continue to be used due to there stability throughout the duration of Run #25.

Run #25 was shut-down after 552 hours(23 days) of operation.

Figure 15. H₂S inlet/outlet vs. Applied Current

Figure 16. H₂S inlet/outlet vs. Applied Current

Figure 17. Cell Potentials vs. Applied Current for H₂S Removal

Figure 18. X-ray Diffraction Pattern of Run 25 Cathode Material

Conclusion

The Fossil Energy Advanced Research Program requires high temperature separations to remove environmental contaminants from post-combustion flue gases as well as pre-combustion process gases. This project is aimed at the latter: the removal of hydrogen sulfide from coal gas at gasifier temperatures. This development would enable a simplification of the entire gasification scheme by permitting a one-step removal of hydrogen sulfide and production of elemental sulfur. Energy savings accrue due to the high temperature processing.

The DOE programs relating to gasification for power production have as their goal the more efficient, clean paths toward affordable energy from coal. Gas clean-up accounts for nearly one-third of the cost of this conversion. Simplification and economization will benefit the entire effort.

Project Output

Current experiments are based on improving selective removal from low initial H₂S concentrations (100 ppm and 20 ppm H₂S). High flow rate effects, membrane stability and selectivity, and electrode morphology characterizes present studies, with recent results showing over 90% H₂S removal with applied current.

Experimental results presented are based on two experiments with initial H₂S concentrations of 100 ppm and 20 ppm. To represent probable industrial conditions, variables such as flow rates, selectivity of the membranes, and process-gas seals, were of primary concern in these experiments. Maximum removals of H₂S are reported on a zero current basis, thus compensating for any chemical scrubbing effects of the non-equilibrium electrolyte species in the membrane. Percent H₂S removal with applied current exceeded 80% and in some cases reached 90%, given by:

$$\%H_2S \text{ Removal} = \frac{(\text{Outlet } H_2S_{\text{zero current}} - \text{Outlet } H_2S_{I_{\text{applied}}})}{(\text{Outlet } H_2S_{\text{zero current}})} \times 100 \quad (7)$$

Cell housing materials used for both experiments were a machineable ceramic (MACOR). Cathode and anode electrodes consisted of Ni, oxidized in situ to form NiO. Process-gas seals developed, in-situ, by placing aluminum foil gaskets on both sides of the electrolyte filled membrane. Al oxidation initially forms Al_2O_3 which on reaction with Li, contained in the electrolyte, forms $LiAlO_2$.

The membrane for the 100 ppm experiment, used two tapes of MgO and one mat of zirconia cloth. Acrylic binders used in the MgO tapes (Metoramics K565-4 binder system) were burned out under an O_2 atmosphere at $350^\circ C$ and the $(Li_{0.62}K_{0.38})_2CO_3$ eutectic-composition electrolyte was added with the cell at run temperature. Inlet gases were passed through a stainless steel shift reactor, allowing them to equilibrate before passing through the cell. Gas compositions at 973K after the shift reactor were 14.3% CO_2 , 50.8% CO , 4.8% H_2O , 30.1% H_2 , and 100 ppm H_2S .

H_2S removal vs. applied current, with a cathodic flow rate of 88 cc/min., is presented in Figure 19. H_2S removals of 90% were achieved with H_2S current efficiencies of 5%.

The membrane for 20 ppm experiments was purchased from Zircar Corporation, consisting of yttria-stabilized zirconia in a rigid form at 66% porosity. The advantages of these membranes are consistently uniform porosities and no warping. A cold pressed disk of the Li/K eutectic carbonate electrolyte, placed between the cathode housing and the Zircar membrane, provided a stable start-up configuration. Inlet gases equilibrated to 5.8% CO_2 , 25.6% CO , 6.7% H_2O , and 65.3% H_2 , after the water-gas shift reactor. The electrolyte sulfide concentration equilibrated in-situ to 0.11 mole%.

Temperature remained constant ($650^\circ C$) while flow rates varied from 170 cc/min. to 814 cc/min. H_2S removal at all flow rates exceeded 80% with applied current as shown in Figure 20; Current efficiencies were ~ 35%.

Figure 19. H₂S Current and Removal Efficiency vs. Current Density; 100 ppm inlet H₂S

Cathodic Flow Rate = 88 cc/min

Figure 20. H₂S Current and Removal Efficiency vs. Current Density; 20 ppm inlet H₂S

Parametric values are in cc/min