10
4-9-96 (J&J)

LBL-37979
UC-1600

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT DIVISION

Comparison of DOE-2 with Measurements in the Pala Test Houses

R. Meldem and F. Winkelmann

July 1995

**ENERGY
AND ENVIRONMENT
DIVISION**

DISCLAIMER

While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Department of Energy (DOE) nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California (The Regents), nor the California Institute for Energy Efficiency (CIEE), nor any of CIEE's sponsors or supporters (including California electric and gas utilities), nor any of these organizations' employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represent that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by DOE or any agency thereof, or The Regents, or CIEE, or any of CIEE's sponsors or supporters. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of DOE or of any agency thereof, of The Regents, of CIEE, or any of CIEE's sponsors or supporters, and the names of any such organizations or their employees shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE Contractors
from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (615) 576-8401

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

Comparison of DOE-2 with Measurements in the Pala Test Houses

**Final Report for the
*Alternatives to Compressor Cooling
in California Transition Zones*
Project**

**Submitted to
California Institute for Energy Efficiency
Berkeley CA 94720**

by

René Meldem and Frederick Winkelmann
Simulation Research Group
Building Technologies Program
Energy & Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720

July 1995

MASTER

The research reported here was funded in part by the California Institute for Energy Efficiency (CIEE), a research unit of the University of California. Publication of research results does not imply CIEE endorsement of or agreement with these findings nor that of any CIEE sponsor. This work was also supported by the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Building Technologies, Building Systems and Materials Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Comparison of DOE-2 with Measurements in the Pala Test Houses

René Meldem and Frederick Winkelmann

Simulation Research Group
Building Technologies Program
Energy & Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720

July 1995

Abstract

The predictions of the DOE-2 program for building energy analysis have been compared with measurements in the Pala test houses near San Diego. This work is part of the California Institute for Energy Efficiency "Alternatives to Compressor Cooling in California Transition Zones" project in which DOE-2 is being used for parametric analysis of cooling strategies that reduce peak electrical power in hot, dry climates. To establish the validity of DOE-2 for this kind of analysis the program was compared with room air temperature measurements in a "low-mass" house with conventional insulated stud wall construction and a "high-mass" house with insulated concrete walls. To test different aspects of the DOE-2 calculation, four different unconditioned thermal configurations of these houses were considered: unshaded windows, shaded windows, white exterior surfaces, and forced night ventilation. In all cases DOE-2 agreed well with the air temperature measurements, with a mean deviation between simulation and measurement ranging from 0.2 to 1.0 K depending on configuration and type of house. Using a development version of DOE-2 comparisons with inside surface temperature measurements were also made. These comparisons also showed good agreement.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

1. Introduction

In the California Institute for Energy Efficiency "Alternatives to Compressor Cooling" project cooling strategies are being investigated that avoid the large and sporadic electrical peaks associated with compressor-based air conditioning of houses in California transition climates. As part of this project thermal measurements on test houses at the Pala site near San Diego were made by the UCLA Energy Laboratory (Givoni and Labib, 1995). We report here on a comparison between these measurements and the predictions of version 2.1E of the DOE-2 computer program for building energy analysis (Winkelmann et al., 1993). The goal of the comparison was to establish the accuracy of DOE-2 for thermal analysis of the types of residential structures that are being investigated in this project.

In Section 2 we describe the buildings that were measured. Section 3 gives an overview of the Pala climate. The DOE-2 input is discussed in Section 4. In Section 5 we describe some of the analyses that were performed to determine the sensitivity of the buildings to a number of key parameters such as cloud cover, ground surface temperature, and infiltration rate. In Section 6 we show and discuss comparisons between DOE-2 and room air and surface temperature measurements for different configurations of the low-mass and high-mass test houses, including window shading, light-colored exterior surfaces, and night ventilation. Finally, we give our conclusions in Section 7.

2. Building Description

The Pala site, located 75 km north of San Diego, has eight test buildings that were originally built in 1981 to study passive solar heating strategies. **Figure 1** shows the layout of the buildings.

Three of the buildings were measured for the Alternatives to Compressor Cooling project:

- (1) a house with conventional stud wall construction, called here the "low-mass house."
- (2) a house of the same geometry, but with 4-inch thick concrete walls with exterior insulation, called here the "high-mass house."
- (3) a medium-mass house with a clerestory.

In the following we consider only the first two of these, the low-mass and high-mass houses, which are identical except for the construction of the exterior and interior walls. Each house has two rooms and an attic. **Figure 2** shows a perspective drawing with the roof removed. **Figure 3** shows sections and **Figure 4** shows the floor plan.

Figure 1. Layout of the test houses on the Pala site (from Clinton, 1983).

Figure 2. Perspective drawing of house with attic removed (from Clinton, 1983).

Figure 3. Section through low-mass building (from Clinton, 1983).

Figure 4. Floor plan showing location of inside air temperature sensors. The doorway between the rooms was open during the measurements.

Figure 4 also shows the locations of the inside air temperature measurement points. There are two measurement points in each room located midway between floor and ceiling. The following temperatures were also measured for each room: globe temperature, inside surface temperature of the three exterior walls, inside surface temperature of the ceiling, and the surface temperature of both sides of the interior wall.

Each house is roughly square with a floor area of 27 m². The interior wall separating the two rooms has an open doorway. Above these rooms is a vented attic with a roof that extends 0.46 m beyond the walls on all sides. The floor is a carpeted, 10-cm thick concrete slab on grade. The windows are single glazed with aluminum frame, have a total glazed area of about 2.8 m², and are equally distributed in area among the four exterior walls.

The low-mass house has stud-wall construction. The exterior walls consist of stucco, building paper, R-11 (1.94 m²K/W) fiberglass insulation and interior gypsum drywall. The ceiling is gypsum drywall and studs, with R-19 (3.35 m²K/W) fiberglass insulation on the attic side. The interior wall between the rooms is an uninsulated stud wall with gypsum drywall sheathing.

The high-mass house has 10-cm thick solid concrete walls with exterior rigid foam insulation and stucco that, according to Clinton (1983), yields the same overall U-value as the walls of the low-mass house. The interior wall between the two rooms is also 10-cm thick concrete.

Table 1 summarizes the geometrical data, which is based on on-site measurements of the as-built houses. Table 2 gives surface properties, U-values, and glazing characteristics, which unless indicated otherwise, are the same for both houses. Table 3 gives the thermophysical properties of the construction materials. With the exception of the surface absorptances, the thermal properties of the materials that were used in the simulations were not measured but are based on data from the DOE-2 library for materials that Clinton (1983) indicates were used in the Pala houses.

Table 1: Room geometrical data

Volume [m ³]	33.11
Floor area [m ²]	13.58
Exterior wall area ^a [m ²]	25.41
Interior wall area ^b [m ²]	10.22
Ceiling area [m ²]	13.58
Glazed area [m ²]	1.40
Window/wall ratio	5.5%
Window/floor ratio	10.3%

- a. Including windows
- b. Excluding doorway

Table 2: U-values and other building properties

U-value of exterior walls, high-mass house [W/m ² K] ^a	0.56
U-value of exterior walls, low-mass house insulated portion [W/m ² K] ^a	0.41

U-value of exterior walls, low-mass house framed portion [W/m ² K] ^a	0.87
Solar absorptance, original exterior walls ^b	0.60
Solar absorptance, white-painted exterior walls ^b	0.36
Solar absorptance, original roof ^b	0.88
Solar absorptance, white-painted roof ^b	0.40
U-value of the floor [W/m ² K] ^a	1.09
U-value of the ceiling [W/m ² K] ^a	0.26
U-value of interior wall, high-mass house [W/m ² K] ^a	3.15
U-value of interior wall, low-mass house unframed portion [W/m ² K] ^a	1.80
U-value of interior wall, low-mass house framed portion [W/m ² K] ^a	0.86
Glass solar transmittance at normal incidence [W/m ² K] ^a	0.84
Leakage area [m ²] ^b	0.0068

a. From Clinton (1983)

b. From on-site measurements

Table 3: Thermophysical properties of materials

DOE-2 code	Description	Thickness [m]	Conductivity [W/mK]	Density [kg/m ³]	Specific heat [J/kg-K]
CC03	Heavy weight concrete (4 in)	0.1016	1.31	2243	837
GP01	Plaster board (0.5 in)	0.0127	0.16	801	837
IN02	R-11 fiberglass	0.0901	0.043	10	837
IN03	R-19 fiberglass	0.1557	0.043	10	837
IN44	Expanded polyurethane (1.25 in)	0.0318	0.023	24	1590
PW04	Plywood (5/8 in)	0.0159	0.115	545	1213
SC01	Stucco (1.0 in)	0.0254	0.721	2659	837
WD04	Wood (3.5 in)	0.0889	0.115	513	1381

3. Climatic Data

The Pala facility is located 33 km from the coast in northern San Diego County. Winters and summers have generally clear skies and high solar radiation. Summer daytime highs are typically in the 25-35C range and nighttime lows are in the 15-20C range, so that there is a large day-night temperature swing. Winds generally come from the west and are highest in the afternoon as sea breezes blow inland.

An on-site weather station measured ambient drybulb temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, wind direction, and global (direct plus diffuse) horizontal solar radiation. These measurements were averaged or integrated to obtain hourly values, then put

through the DOE-2 weather processor to produce a weather file for use in the DOE-2 simulations. Using the model of Erbs, Klein and Duffy (1982) hourly horizontal diffuse solar radiation was calculated from the global horizontal solar radiation, extraterrestrial solar radiation, and sun position and added to the weather file. **Figures 5 and 6** show typical distributions of the resulting temperature, wind speed and solar data for periods in July and September.

Figure 5: Typical Pala weather in July

Typical September Weather
09/01/94 - 09/10/94

Figure 6: Typical Pala weather in September

Hourly atmospheric pressure, which is required by DOE-2 for the infiltration calculation but was not measured on site, was obtained from the weather file for El Toro, the nearest National Weather Service location. Initially, cloud cover, which is required for calculating long-wave radiation from the sky, was also obtained from El Toro but, as discussed in Section 5.7, the El Toro cloud cover was found not to be applicable to Pala and so a method was devised to estimate cloud cover from on-site pyranometer measurements.

4. DOE-2 Modeling of the Houses

The complete DOE-2 input for the baseline low-mass house configuration is given in Appendix A. The input for the high mass house is the same with two exceptions: (1) concrete rather than stud-wall construction is used for the exterior walls and interior wall of the high-mass house; and (2) the location of the shading surface that accounts for the shading by neighboring houses is different. In this section we describe some particular considerations involved in setting up the input models.

Each house was divided into three thermal zones corresponding to the two rooms and the attic. This allows separate air temperatures to be calculated for each zone.

The walls of the low-mass house were modeled with two different constructions, one representing the framed area and another representing the insulated area. Based on construction pictures before sheathing we estimated that the framed area is 20% of the total area. The same ratio was used for the gable ends, the ceiling, and the roof.

To approximate the heat exchange due to air flow between the rooms the open doorway was modeled as a massless "air wall" with a high U-value of $11.3 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$. The heat transfer across the doorway is modeled as $UA\Delta T$, where A is the doorway area and ΔT is the temperature difference between the rooms. This is the same type of equation used by DOE-2 to calculate the heat transfer across the solid portion of the interior wall.

It was judged that the low-mass house is significantly shaded only by the building on its eastern side (see Figure 1). Similarly, the high-mass building is significantly shaded only by the building on its western side. Consequently, shading surfaces at the location of these buildings have been input. The shading by other buildings on the site and by the surrounding low-lying hills was considered to be negligible.

The heat gain from data logging equipment in the houses was estimated to be only a few watts and has, therefore, been neglected.

5. Exploratory Sensitivity Analyses

In the initial phase of this work a number of significant discrepancies were observed between the DOE-2 predictions and the measurements of inside air temperature. To help resolve these discrepancies we performed analyses with DOE-2 in which key input parameters were varied to determine the sensitivity of the results to those parameters. The outcome of this effort was to obtain more accurate measurements of some parameters, to improve the DOE-2 input description, or to improve the DOE-2 calculation. In the following we describe the key sensitivity analyses that were carried out. A description of the calculation procedures currently used in DOE-2 is given in BESG (1981) and Winkelmann et al. (1993).

5.1 Infiltration rate

Infiltration air flow rate was not continuously monitored as part of the regular measurement protocol. However, potentially high afternoon wind speeds and large inside-outside temperature differences can lead to high infiltration loads. It was decided to make a one-time measurement of the infiltration rate using a blower door, which determines the effective leakage area. This leakage area is then used in DOE-2 to calculate infiltration air flow as a function of wind speed. **Figure 7** illustrates the sensitivity to infiltration rate. Shown are the inside air temperatures for the high-mass house for loose construction (0.87 ACH at 10 mph), for tight construction (0.04 ACH at

10 mph), and for the measured air change rate (0.43 ACH at 10 mph). We see that the tight house is about 1.5C hotter than the loose house.

Figure 7: Sensitivity of the inside air temperature of the high mass house to infiltration rate

5.2 Ground surface absorptance

Ground surfaces are typically dark so that solar radiation reflected from the ground is usually not an important heat source. However, the ground at Pala is bare, light-colored gravel with potentially high reflectance. Initial DOE-2 runs using a default ground absorptance of 0.8 showed lower inside air temperatures than measured. We decided to measure the ground absorptance by taking the ratio of readings from a pyranometer facing the ground vs. facing the sky. We obtained an absorptance of 0.55. For the high-mass building with unshaded windows **Figure 8** shows the sensitivity of inside air temperature to ground absorptance for absorptance values of 0, 0.55 (measured) and 1.0. We see a temperature variation of about 1.5C over this range.

High Mass - Ground Absorptance Sensitivity Analysis 07/24/93 - 07/27/93

Figure 8: Sensitivity of the inside air temperature of the high mass house to ground absorptance

5.3 Ground surface temperature

To calculate the long-wave radiation from the ground DOE-2 normally assumes that the ground surface temperature is the same as the outside air temperature. But because the ground at Pala is bare and the incident solar is high, especially in the cooling season, the surface temperature can be much higher than the air temperature, which would cause DOE-2 to seriously underpredict the long-wave flux from the ground and, therefore, to underpredict the inside air temperature. Indeed, initial comparisons with measurements showed DOE-2 about 1C too low during the day and about 0.5C too low at night. To resolve this discrepancy we added an approximate ground surface temperature calculation to DOE-2 in which the ground is modeled as a 1-m thick horizontal dirt "roof" with the measured ground surface absorptance of 0.55, with an "inside air temperature" equal to the (calculated) deep ground temperature, and with measured outside air temperature and solar radiation. **Figure 9** compares results given by the default ground surface temperature model vs. the improved, "hot ground," model.

High Mass - Ground Surface Temperature Sensitivity Analysis
07/25/93 - 07/27/93

Figure 9. Sensitivity of high-mass house to ground surface temperature.

A target house can also absorb long-wave radiation from neighboring buildings and so it is necessary to know their surface temperatures. To avoid explicit modeling of each neighboring house we assume that their surface temperatures are close to the calculated ground surface temperature. That this is a fair assumption is illustrated in **Figure 10**, which compares the ground surface temperature to the surface temperatures of the high-mass house, which are expected to be close to those of the neighboring houses.

High Mass - Outside Surface Temperatures
07/19/93 - 07/24/93

Figure 10. Predicted outside surface temperatures of high-mass house. Ground surface temperature and outside air temperature are shown for comparison.

5.4 Foundation heat transfer

The heat transfer from the building to the ground through the slab-on-grade is calculated by DOE-2 as $UA\Delta T$, where U is the conductance of the slab, A is its area, and ΔT is the temperature difference between the inside air and the ground. This formulation is over-simplified in that it ignores 2-dimensional conduction effects (especially those at the edge of the slab) and does not account for the effect of the building itself on the below-slab ground temperature. Consequently, we decided to test the difference between this simple model and a more accurate model in which ground heat fluxes calculated with a 2-dimensional finite difference model (Huang, 1988; Shen, 1988) are read into DOE-2 using input functions. The results are shown in Figure 11.

Low Mass - Ground Heat Transfer Model Sensitivity Analysis
06/10/94 - 06/15/94

Figure 11. Sensitivity of low-mass house to slab heat transfer model.

5.5 Warm-up period

Initial comparisons for the high-mass house showed that differences between DOE-2 and measurements were often large during the first few days of simulation, then diminished as the simulation period progressed. This was not observed for the low-mass house. An example is shown in **Figure 12**. This effect was traced to the "warm-up" period in DOE-2. This is a period of seven days over which the simulation is repeated over and over with the same weather profile to allow the building to reach "steady-state." The DOE-2 results are then reported for the following time period using the corresponding weather data. The warm-up period is needed since the starting values of temperatures and heat flows that the program uses never match the actual values at the beginning of the simulation.

The conclusion from Figure 12 is that a warm-up period of 11 days is more appropriate for the high-mass building. Therefore, in all comparisons that are reported here we show only results after at least 11 days of simulation. A needed improvement to DOE-2 is to have the program automatically adjust the warm-up period depending on the heat

capacity of the building, which is the primary determinant in how long the building takes to reach steady state.

Figure 12. Effect of the DOE-2 warm-up period on the high-mass house with shaded windows.

5.6 Solar fractions

DOE-2 uses a weighting-factor method to calculate loads. For the solar load this requires that the user specify so-called "solar fractions," which correspond to the fraction of solar radiation that is transmitted into a space that is absorbed by each of the interior surfaces of the space. If not input, the program assigns solar fractions such that 60% of the solar is absorbed by the floor and the remaining 40% is assumed to be distributed over the other surfaces according to their area. Since, in actuality, the solar fractions vary hour to hour according to sun position and sky conditions (whereas only one fixed set of solar fractions is used by DOE-2) and since these fractions also depend on the absorptance of surfaces, not just their area, they represent a well-defined source of uncertainty in DOE-2.

To determine the error associated with solar fractions we modeled the low-mass house with three ways of choosing solar fractions: using the default values, using values

weighted by surface area, and using values weighted by the product of surface area and surface absorptance. **Figure 13** shows the results. We conclude that the choice of solar fractions for the houses studied is not critical.

Figure 13. Sensitivity of low-mass house with unshaded windows to choice of solar fractions. AWF corresponds to solar fractions obtained by area weighting. AAWF corresponds to solar fractions obtained by area*absorptance weighting.

5.7 Cloud cover

DOE-2 uses hourly cloud cover to calculate the long-wave radiance of the sky and the diffuse solar irradiance from the sky on tilted surfaces. The first is important to the long-wave heat balance on the Pala houses, especially at night. The second is important to the solar radiation absorbed by the exterior walls and transmitted through the windows. Since cloud cover was not measured on site, it was first decided to use the cloud cover from the El Toro weather station, which is 16 km from the coast and 75 km from Pala. However, El Toro is foggier than Pala, especially in the summer when marine fog is a common occurrence near the coast. We were thus constrained to use available on-site weather measurements and so devised the following cloud cover model:

When the sun is up the fraction of the sky covered by clouds is approximated by the following ratio suggested by Lomas et al. (1994):

$$[(\text{diffuse horizontal solar irradiance}) / (\text{total horizontal solar irradiance})]^2$$

At night, the pyranometer signal is used. Unlike during the day, the nighttime signal is negative (because the pyranometer is cooled at night by net long-wave radiation loss) and the clearer the sky the more negative the signal is. Clear conditions give *less* long-wave radiation from the sky than overcast conditions, resulting in *more* heat loss from the pyranometer and, therefore, a *larger* negative signal. This is illustrated in **Figure 14**, which shows the pyranometer output for a six-day period in July. Note that when the pyranometer signal is small at night the corresponding temperature profile is relatively flat, which is a supporting indication that a marine cloud layer (fog) is present that is stabilizing the temperature. This figure also shows the cloud cover (in tenths of sky covered by clouds) resulting from this model.

Figure 14. July weather showing cloud cover as obtained from the pyranometer signal (labeled GH Solar/25). The cloud cover varies from 0 for clear skies to 10 for overcast skies. In this plot the pyranometer signal has been divided by 25 when it is positive (daytime) and multiplied by 5 when it is negative (nighttime).

6. Comparison of DOE-2 with Measurements

To test the accuracy of DOE-2 we compared the DOE-2 predictions for the inside air temperature with measurements for four of the building configurations that were measured in 1993 and 1994. The configurations, which apply to both the low- and high-mass houses, are:

1. Baseline configuration in which the windows are closed and unshaded, the exterior walls and roof have their original color, and there is no ventilation.
2. Same as (1) but all windows except the north window are shaded.
3. Same as (2) but with the roof and exterior walls painted white.
4. Same as (3) but with fan-forced ventilation at night.

Table 4 summarizes the configurations.

Table 4. Summary of configurations for DOE-2 vs measurement comparisons

Configuration	Special feature	Figure No.	Mean deviation [K]	
			Low-mass	High-mass
1. Original color, unshaded windows, no ventilation	Baseline	15	0.5	0.4
2. Original color, shaded windows, no ventilation	Shaded windows	16	0.4	0.2
3. White surfaces, shaded windows, no ventilation	White roof and walls	17	1.1	0.4
4. White surfaces, shaded windows, night ventilation	Night ventilation	18	0.9	0.6

The quantity chosen for comparison is the average temperature of the two inside air temperature sensors in the north room. We chose this single temperature as representative for the following reasons:

1. DOE-2 assumes the air in each room is fully mixed so that the air temperature at a given time is the same at all points in the room.
2. The measured temperature difference between the two sensors in the north room is always small (less than 0.5C) for both buildings and for all configurations, which justifies taking the average. The south room sensors also show a small temperature difference.

3. The measured air temperature difference between the rooms is always small (less than 0.5C) because of air exchange through the open doorway is large enough to equalize the temperatures. The same small temperature difference is observed in the DOE-2 predictions.

The comparison results are shown in **Figures 15 to 18**. As a measure of the level of agreement for each configuration, Table 4 gives the mean deviation — the absolute value of the difference between DOE-2 prediction and measurement averaged over the time period displayed in the figures. For all configurations, for both the low-mass and high-mass houses, excellent agreement is observed between predictions and measurements.

6.1 Baseline configuration

Figure 15 shows the baseline configuration, in which the windows are unshaded and the walls and roof have their original color (solar absorptance of 0.60 for the walls and 0.88 for the roof). The windows and exterior door are closed at all times so that there is no ventilation.

DOE-2 report LS-E, "Space Monthly Load Components," (not shown) indicates that the dominant source of heat gain in this case is solar gain through the windows. Therefore, this configuration is primarily a test of DOE-2's ability to calculate the solar radiation incident on the windows (beam radiation from the sun and diffuse radiation from the sky and ground), the transmission of this radiation by the glazing, the absorption of the transmitted radiation by the interior surfaces and the associated heating of these surfaces, and the resultant convective heat transfer from these surfaces to the room air (which occurs with a time delay that is related to the building's heat capacity). Because agreement is observed for both the low-mass and high-mass houses, which have significantly different heat capacities, we conclude that DOE-2 is properly accounting for thermal mass effects.

Figure 15. DOE-2 vs. measurement for Configuration 1: original outside color, unshaded windows and no ventilation.

6.2 Shaded windows

Figure 16 shows the second configuration, which is the same as the previous one except that the south, east and west windows are covered by exterior shades to reduce solar gain. The shades are attached at the top of the window and slope outward at a 20° angle so that the bottom of the shade is 28 cm from the 67-cm high window. The shades are 12 cm wider than the window and have an opaque aluminized finish on both sides.

The shades reduce the overall solar gain by about 80%. We note that DOE-2 calculates the blockage by the shades of beam radiation from the sun and diffuse radiation from sky and ground but does not consider the reflection of solar radiation by the underside of the shades into the windows, although this effect is expected to be small.

The DOE-2 load component report indicates that the heat transfers for this configuration are roughly equally divided between solar gain, exterior wall conduction, window conduction, ceiling conduction (attic to room heat transfer), and floor conduction. The infiltration heat transfer is relatively small. This configuration is, therefore, a test of the program's ability to simulate exterior solar shading and envelope conduction.

6.3 White exterior surfaces

Figure 17 shows the third configuration, which is the same as the previous one except that the exterior opaque surfaces have been painted white, reducing the roof absorptance from 0.88 to 0.40 and the wall absorptance from 0.60 to 0.36.

This configuration, taken together with the previous configuration, is a test of DOE-2's ability to calculate (1) the solar radiation absorbed by walls and roof (which requires accurate calculation of the intensity of beam and diffuse radiation on surfaces of different orientations) and (2) the fraction of the absorbed radiation that is conducted into the rooms, either directly through the exterior walls or indirectly through the attic. This fraction is sensitive to the outside air film conductance, which DOE-2 calculates as a function of wind speed, wind direction and surface-to-air temperature difference using an empirical correlation recently determined by Yazdanian and Klems (1994).

Figure 16. DOE-2 vs. measurement for low-mass house (top) and high-mass house (bottom) for Configuration 2: original outside color, shaded windows and no ventilation.

Figure 17. DOE-2 vs. measurement for low-mass house (top) and high mass house (bottom) for Configuration 3: white outside color, shaded windows and no ventilation.

6.4 Night ventilation

Figure 18 shows the fourth and final configuration, which is the same as the previous one except that the rooms are ventilated at 30 air changes per hour from 7pm to 7am. The ventilation is produced by a fan in a window of the south room that exhausts air drawn in through an open window in the north room after passing through the open doorway between the rooms. (When the fan is off both of these windows are closed.) The air flow rate was determined by measuring the air velocity profile across the area of the fan (Givoni and Labib, 1995).

This configuration shows that DOE-2 correctly calculates forced convective cooling of the building mass.

6.5 Inside surface temperature

As an additional test of the accuracy of DOE-2 we show in **Figure 19** the inside surface temperatures of the north room for the low-mass building, Configuration 1. The inside surface temperature calculation in DOE-2 is a recent addition to the program that allows determination of mean radiant room temperature, which can be an important consideration for occupant comfort. As for the inside air temperatures, we see good agreement with the measurements. A comparable level of agreement is seen for the other configurations.

7. Conclusions

The comparison results show that DOE-2 is in excellent agreement with the measurements for all of the configurations for both the low-mass and high-mass houses. The cases considered are representative of the kind of real-world houses that are the subject of investigation in the Alternatives to Compressor Cooling project. Therefore, DOE-2 can be expected to give accurate results for the calculation of the basic heat transfer processes and cooling loads in these houses. It should be noted, however, that the validation described here only applies to cases when the houses are unconditioned. Further validation of DOE-2 is required to test its accuracy in modeling houses conditioned by evaporative cooling or other mechanical systems.

As a result of this work we make three recommendations for improving DOE-2:

1. The warm-up period in DOE-2, which is now a fixed seven days, should be made to depend on the effective heat capacity of the building being modeled, so that the higher the heat capacity the longer the warm-up period. It should be possible to extract a measure of the effective heat capacity from the thermal properties of the building materials or from the weighting factors that are calculated by DOE-2.
2. The simple UAΔT ground heat transfer model should be replaced by a dynamic model that takes into account 2-D conduction effects, especially those at the edge

of the foundation. This model could be based on the finite-difference formulation (Huang, 1988; Shen, 1988) that was used to determine the slab heat transfer fluxes that were inserted into DOE-2 for this validation effort.

3. To improve the estimate of long-wave radiation from the ground, the ground surface temperature should be calculated rather than assuming it equals the outside air temperature. This calculation should account for the shading of the ground by the target building and neighboring obstructions.

Finally, we make the following recommendations for additional measurements that would have been useful in validating DOE-2:

1. Because envelope loads are sensitive to long-wave radiation, we recommend that on-site weather stations include a pyrometer to measure horizontal IR irradiance from the sky. The pyrometer measurements could also be used to develop or validate sky IR models, which typically depend on cloud cover and atmospheric humidity.
2. As an aid in checking foundation heat transfer models, the ground temperature should be measured at different depths near and away from the building. To check the ground surface temperature model, the ground temperature should be measured just below the surface.
3. Measurements of the attic air temperature and attic surface temperatures would allow validation of the attic heat transfer model. Although the attics in the both the high-mass and low-mass test buildings are fairly well decoupled from the rooms below because of the R-19 ceiling insulation, it would have been worthwhile to do a direct validation of the DOE-2 attic calculation since spaces of this kind, with their high surface temperatures and, consequently, high levels of IR radiation exchange, can be difficult to model.
4. The thermophysical properties of the building materials (density, specific heat and conductivity) and material surface properties (emissivity and solar absorptance) should be measured since they directly effect the basic heat transfer mechanisms of absorption, radiation, conduction and storage.

8. Acknowledgments

We thank Baruch Givoni and Tarek Labib of UCLA for providing the measurements and assisting in their interpretation; Fred Buhl of LBNL for putting together the weather files; Joe Huang of LBNL for providing the 2-D foundation heat fluxes; Markus Koschenz of EMPA (Switzerland) for developing the DOE-2 inside surface temperature calculation; and Karl Brown, CIEE program manager, for guidance and support.

Figure 18. DOE-2 vs. measurement for low-mass house (top) and high mass house (bottom) for Configuration 4: white outside color, shaded windows and night ventilation.

Figure 5. DOE-2 vs. inside surface temperature measurements for the north room of the low-mass house, Configuration 1 (original outside color, unshaded windows and no ventilation). "South Interior Wall" refers to the north-room side of the partition separating the two rooms.

9. References

BESG (1993) DOE-2 Engineers Manual, Version 2.1A. Building Energy Simulation Group, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, and Solar Energy Group, Los Alamos National Laboratory. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report no. LBL-11353.

Clinton, J.R. (1983) Pala passive solar project.

Erbs, D.G., Klein, S.A., and Duffie, J.A. (1982) Estimation of the diffuse radiation fraction for hourly, daily, and monthly average global radiation. *Solar Energy*, 28:292

Givoni, B. and Labib, T. (1995) Building monitoring in Pala. California Institute for Energy Efficiency report.

Huang, Y.J., Shen, L.S., Bull, J.C., and Goldberg, L.F. (1988) Whole-house simulation of foundation heat-flows using the DOE-2 program. *ASHRAE Trans.* 94-2.

Lomas, K.J., Eppel, H., Martin, C. and Bloomfield, D. (1994) Empirical validation of thermal building simulation programs using test room data. International Energy Agency report.

Shen, L.S., Huang, Y.J., and Poliakova, J. (1988) Calculation of building foundation heat loss using superposition and numerical scaling. *ASHRAE Trans.* 94-2.

Winkelmann, F.C., Birdsall, B.E., Buhl, W.F., Ellington, K.L., Erdem, A.E., Hirsch, J.J. and Gates, S. (1993) DOE-2 Supplement, Version 2.1E. Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory report no. LBL-34947.

Yazdanian, M. and Klems, J.H. (1994) Measurement of the exterior convective film coefficient for windows in low-rise buildings. *ASHRAE Trans.* 94-2.

DOE-2.1E input for the low-mass house, Configuration 1

```
INPUT  LOADS      OUTPUT-UNITS = METRIC  ..
```

TITLE

LINE-1 * Input Prototype for the PALA House *

LINE-2 * Pala-El Toro 1993 and 1994 Weather *

LINE-3 * Low Mass House *

LINE-4 * 20% framed area *

LINE-5 * *

11

\$-----

PARAMETER

\$-----

\$ COMMENTS

```
$
$ 1. U-EFFECTIVE is calculated as follows: we assumed that the wall was
$ 0-1 ft deep in the ground and is uninsulated,  $0.41 \cdot (17.5 + 16.7/2) = 10.6$ 
$ The heat loss through the floor is  $0.032 \cdot 146.15 = 4.7$ , The sum is 15.3
$ Btu/h F.  $FDNUEFF = 15.3 / (8.75 \cdot 16.7) = 0.1045$ 
$
```

\$ 2. The window frame dimensions have been estimated from photographs of the houses.

3. THIS FILE REPRESENTS THE BASE CASE FOR THE SIMULATION.

5. THIS AGREEMENT SHALL BE GOVERNED BY THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF TEXAS.

\$ 4. An improved ground surface temperature model is used.

```

$
FLRAREA = 146.15      $ Half Estimated Floor Area 292.3/2 $
HOUSVOL = 1169.2      $ Half Estimated Volume = FLRAREA*WALLHT $
PERIM = 68.5          $ Estimated Perimeter $
IWALLAREA = 133.6     $ Estimated Interior Wall Area $
ROOFZ = 8.0           $ Estimated Z Coordinate of the Roof $
ROOFHT = 9.25         $ Estimated Length of the Roof $
ROOFWD = 16.7         $ Estimated Width of the Roof $
NWALLWD = 16.7        $ Estimated North Wall Width $
SWALLWD = 16.7        $ Estimated South Wall Width $
EWALLWD = 8.75        $ Estimated East Wall Width $
WWALLWD = 8.75        $ Estimated West Wall Width $
WALLHT = 8.0          $ Estimated Wall Height $
SHADEHT = 8.0         $ Estimated Shade Height $
INFILT = .0005        $ Estimated Infiltration $
FDNUEFF = .1045       $ Estimated U-Value of the Underground-Floor $
WALLABS = 0.60        $ on site measurements
ROOFABS = 0.88        $ on site measurements
FRAMEPER = 0.2
GTCODE = 1000

```

```
$ WALLABS = 0.25 $ $ off-white
$ ROOFABS = 0.25 $ $ off-white
$ FSLABL = FSLABL0 $ $ 1/2 slab with carpet
$ FSLABL1 = BSLABL0 $ $ 1/2 exposed slab
```

• •

```
$ --- end of parameters -----
```

RUN-PERIOD			
	APR 04 1994 THRU APR 30 1994	\$ unshaded & closed	94
	JUN 06 1994 THRU JUN 18 1994	\$ unshaded & closed	94

..

DIAGNOSTIC CAUTIONS, WIDE, ECHO, SINGLE-SPACED ..
ABORT ERRORS ..

BUILDING-LOCATION

LATITUDE = 32.73 LONGITUDE = 117.17 T-Z = 8 ALT = 326
 AZIMUTH = 0
 SHIELDING-COEF = 0.19
 TERRAIN-PAR1 = .85 TERRAIN-PAR2 = .20
 WS-TERRAIN-PAR1 = .85 WS-TERRAIN-PAR2 = .20
 WS-HEIGHT = 18

..
 LOADS-REPORT VERIFICATION = (ALL-VERIFICATION)
 \$ SUMMARY = (ALL-SUMMARY)
 HOURLY-DATA-SAVE=FORMATTED
 ..

\$-----
 \$----- Loads Schedules -----
 \$-----

OCCSCH SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 (ALL) (1,24) (0) ..

\$-----
 \$ The following shading schedule is set for each house.
 \$-----

SHADCO = SCHEDULE THRU MAY 31 (ALL) (1,24) (0.80)
 THRU OCT 31 (ALL) (1,24) (0.60)
 THRU DEC 31 (ALL) (1,24) (0.80)
 ..

\$-----
 \$----- Constructions -----
 \$-----

\$ ground properties, from Joe Huang for default dry soil \$
 GNDMAT-1 =MATERIAL THICKNESS=2.0
 CONDUCTIVITY=0.70
 DENSITY=115
 SPECIFIC-HEAT=0.28

GNDLAY-1 =LAYERS MATERIAL=(GNDMAT-1) THICKNESS = (3) I-F-R=.3 ..

GNDCON-1 =CONSTRUCTION LAYERS=GNDLAY-1
 ABSORPTANCE=0.55 ..

WINDOWGT = GLASS-TYPE GLASS-TYPE-CODE = GTCODE
 FRAME-ABS = 0.7
 FRAME-CONDUCTANCE = 3.037 ..

HIMX_W = LAYERS MATERIAL=(SC01,IN33,CB02) ..
 \$ exterior wall: stucco, building paper, 5/8" plywood, R-11 batt, 0.5" gyp board
 LOMX_W = LAYERS MATERIAL=(SC01,BP01,PW04,IN02,GP01) ..
 LOMF_W = LAYERS MATERIAL=(SC01,BP01,PW04,WD04,GP01) ..
 HIMI_W = LAYERS MATERIAL=(CB02) ..
 \$ interior wall: 0.5" gyp board, wood or air , 0.5" gyp board
 LMIF_W = LAYERS MATERIAL=(GP01,WD04,GP01) ..
 LMIA_W = LAYERS MATERIAL=(GP01,AL21,GP01) ..
 \$ interior ceiling: R-19 batt, 0.5" gyp board
 HILING = LAYERS MATERIAL=(IN03,GP01) ..
 \$ exterior roof: asphalt shingle, building paper, 5/8" plywood
 HIROOF = LAYERS MATERIAL=(AR03,BP01,PW04) ..
 \$ floor: 1-ft earth, 4-in concrete, carpet+pad
 HIFLOR = LAYERS MATERIAL=(GNDMAT-1,CB02,CP02) ..
 \$ gable ends
 HIGABL = LAYERS MATERIAL=(SC01,BP01,PW04) ..

XWCON = CONSTRUCTION ABSORPTANCE = WALLABS
 ROUGHNESS = 1
 LAYERS = HIMX_W ..

XWINS = CONSTRUCTION ABSORPTANCE = WALLABS
 ROUGHNESS = 1
 LAYERS = LOMX_W ..

XWFRM = CONSTRUCTION ABSORPTANCE = WALLABS
 ROUGHNESS = 1

```

LAYERS = LOMF_W ..

CEILCON = CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = HILING ..
ROOFCON = CONSTRUCTION ABSORPTANCE = ROOFABS
          ROUGHNESS = 3          $ shingle
          LAYERS = HIROOF ..
GABLCON = CONSTRUCTION ABSORPTANCE = WALLABS
          ROUGHNESS = 1
          LAYERS = HIGABL ..
IWAL_A = CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = LMIA_W ..
IWAL_F = CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = LMIF_W ..
IWALCON = CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = HIMI_W ..
AIRWALL = CONSTRUCTION U-VALUE=2.0 ..
DOORCON = CONSTRUCTION U-VALUE=.4292 ..
FLORCON = CONSTRUCTION LAYERS = HIFLOR ..

$-----
$----- Shades -----
$-----

$
SURROUND1 = BUILDING-SHADE      ROOF-POND BUILDING
                                HEIGHT = 8.0  WIDTH = 17.5
                                X = 33.4     Y = -17.5
                                AZIMUTH = 90  TILT = 90 ..

O_HANG-W1 = BUILDING-SHADE      HEIGHT = 11      WIDTH = 1.5
                                X = -1.5       Y = -19.1      Z = 7.4
                                AZIMUTH = 180  TILT = 19 ..

O_HANG-W2 = BUILDING-SHADE      HEIGHT = 11      WIDTH = 1.5
                                X = 0.00      Y = 1.6        Z = 7.4
                                AZIMUTH = 00   TILT = 19 ..

O_HANG-E1 = BUILDING-SHADE      HEIGHT = 11      WIDTH = 1.5
                                X = 16.7      Y = -19.1      Z = 7.4
                                AZIMUTH = 180  TILT = 19 ..

O_HANG-E2 = BUILDING-SHADE      HEIGHT = 11      WIDTH = 1.5
                                X = 18.2      Y = 1.6        Z = 7.4
                                AZIMUTH = 0    TILT = 19 ..

O_HANG-S = BUILDING-SHADE      HEIGHT = 1.5     WIDTH = 16.7
                                X = 00.0      Y = -17.5      Z = 8.0
                                AZIMUTH = 180  TILT = 180 ..

O_HANG-N = BUILDING-SHADE      HEIGHT = 1.5     WIDTH = 16.7
                                X = 16.7      Y = 00.0       Z = 8.0
                                AZIMUTH = 0    TILT = 180 ..

$-----
$----- Space -----
$-----

ROOMCOND = SPACE-CONDITIONS    TEMPERATURE = (74)
                                PEOPLE-SCHEDULE = OCCSCH
                                NUMBER-OF-PEOPLE = 0
                                PEOPLE-HG-LAT = 190
                                PEOPLE-HG-SENS = 230
                                INF-METHOD = S-G
                                FRAC-LEAK-AREA = INFILT
                                FLOOR-WEIGHT = 0
                                FURNITURE-TYPE = LIGHT
                                FURN-FRACTION = 0.1
                                FURN-WEIGHT = 1.0 ..

SET-DEFAULT FOR EXTERIOR-WALL  INSIDE-SURF-TEMP = YES
..
SET-DEFAULT FOR INTERIOR-WALL  INSIDE-SURF-TEMP = YES
..
SET-DEFAULT FOR UNDERGROUND-WALL INSIDE-SURF-TEMP = YES
..
SET-DEFAULT FOR DOOR

```

```

        INSIDE-SURF-TEMP = YES
..
SET-DEFAULT FOR WINDOW
        INSIDE-SURF-TEMP = YES
        GLASS-TYPE = WINDOWGT ..
$-----
$----- Building Description -----
$-----

$dummy space with roof = ground for ground surface temperature calculation
$for use in calc of IR from ground; requires modified version of DOE-2.1E

ROOMGND-1      =SPACE          AREA = 100
                                VOLUME=200
                                TEMPERATURE={64} $Pala weather file gnd temp$
                                ..

        ROOF-1      =EXTERIOR-WALL  HEIGHT = 10          WIDTH = 10
                                AZIMUTH = 0  TILT = 0
                                CONSTRUCTION = GNDCON-1      ..

$actual building

ROOM1 = SPACE          X = 0  Y = 0  Z = 0  AZ = 180
                        SPACE-CONDITIONS = ROOMCOND
                        AREA = FLRAREA
                        VOLUME = HOUSVOL ..

NWALL_F = EXTERIOR-WALL          X = -3.34          Y = 0.0          AZ = 180
                                HEIGHT = WALLHT          WIDTH = NWALLWD TIMES 0.2
                                SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.04
                                CONSTRUCTION = XWFRM  GND-REFLECTANCE = 0.45 ..

NWALL_I = EXTERIOR-WALL          X = -16.7          Y = 0.0          AZ = 180
                                HEIGHT = WALLHT          WIDTH = 13.36
                                SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.15
                                CONSTRUCTION = XWINS  GND-REFLECTANCE = 0.45 ..

NWIND = WINDOW                  $ takes the frame width into account $
                                $ window's size = 3.8*2.5          $
                                X = 8.3          Y = 3.67
                                HEIGHT = 2.34  WIDTH = 3.47
                                FRAME-WIDTH = 0.098 ..

NDOOR = DOOR                    X = 0.75          Y = 0.0
                                WIDTH = 3          HEIGHT = 7
                                CONSTRUCTION = DOORCON      ..

EWALL1_I = EXTERIOR-WALL        LIKE NWALL_I
                                X = -16.7          Y = 8.75          AZ = 270
                                SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.08
                                WIDTH = 7.00 ..

EWIND1 = WINDOW                  $ takes the frame width into account $
                                $ total window's size = 1.9*2.5          $
                                LIKE NWIND          FRAME-WIDTH = 0.153
                                X = 1.54          Y = 3.67
                                HEIGHT = 2.2  WIDTH = 1.38 ..

EWALL1_F = EXTERIOR-WALL        LIKE NWALL_F
                                X = -16.7          Y = 1.75          AZ = 270
                                SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.02
                                WIDTH = EWALLWD TIMES 0.2 ..

IWALL1F = INTERIOR-WALL        X = 0.0 Y = 8.75          AZ = 0.0
                                HEIGHT = WALLHT          WIDTH = 2.7
                                NEXT-TO = ROOM2          INT-WALL-TYPE = STANDARD
                                SOLAR-FRACTION = (0.03,0.03)
                                CONSTRUCTION = IWALL_F .. $stud portion

IWALL1A = INTERIOR-WALL        X = -2.7          Y = 8.75          AZ = 0.0
                                HEIGHT = WALLHT          WIDTH = 4.65
                                NEXT-TO = ROOM2          INT-WALL-TYPE = STANDARD
                                SOLAR-FRACTION = (0.05,0.05)
                                CONSTRUCTION = IWALL_A .. $air portion

```



```

IWAL2 = INTERIOR-WALL      X = -7.35      Y = 8.75      AZ = 0.0
                           HEIGHT = 6.8      WIDTH = 3
                           NEXT-TO = ROOM2      INT-WALL-TYPE = AIR
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = (0.03,0.03)
                           CONSTRUCTION = AIRWALL ..

IWAL2A = INTERIOR-WALL     X = -7.35      Y = 8.75      AZ = 0.0
                           HEIGHT = 1.2      Z = 6.8      WIDTH = 3
                           NEXT-TO = ROOM2      INT-WALL-TYPE = STANDARD
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = (0.01,0.01)
                           CONSTRUCTION = IWAL_A ..

IWAL3A = INTERIOR-WALL     X = -10.35     Y = 8.75      AZ = 0.0
                           HEIGHT = 8.0      WIDTH = 6.35
                           NEXT-TO = ROOM2      INT-WALL-TYPE = STANDARD
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = (0.07,0.07)
                           CONSTRUCTION = IWAL_A ..

WWALL1_I = EXTERIOR-WALL   LIKE NWALL_I
                           X = 0.00      Y = 0.00      AZ = 90
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.08
                           WIDTH = 7.00 ..

WWIND1 = WINDOW            LIKE EWIND1
                           X = 3.35      Y = 3.67
                           HEIGHT = 2.2      WIDTH = 1.38 ..

WWALL1_F = EXTERIOR-WALL   LIKE NWALL_F
                           X = 0.00      Y = 7.00      AZ = 90
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.02
                           WIDTH = 1.75 ..

FND1 = UNDERGROUND-FLOOR  $ Slab floor
                           X = -16.7      Y = 0.00      Z = 0
                           HEIGHT = 8.75      WIDTH = 16.7
                           TILT = 180      CONSTRUCTION = FLORCON
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.21
                           U-EFFECTIVE = 0.110
                           FUNCTION = (*NONE*,*FNDQ*) ..

CEILING1 = INTERIOR-WALL   X = 0.00      Y = 8.75      Z = WALLHT
                           HEIGHT = 8.75      WIDTH = 16.7
                           TILT = 0
                           SOLAR-FRACTION = (0.21,0.43)
                           NEXT-TO = ATTIC      CONSTRUCTION = CEILCON ..

ROOM2 = SPACE              X = 0.00      Y = -8.75      Z = 0      AZ = 180
                           SPACE-CONDITIONS = ROOMCOND
                           AREA = FLRAREA
                           VOLUME = HOUSVOL ..

EWALL2_I = EXTERIOR-WALL   LIKE EWALL1_I
                           X = -16.7      Y = 8.75      AZ = 270 ..

EWIND2 = WINDOW            LIKE EWIND1
                           X = 3.30 ..

EWALL2_F = EXTERIOR-WALL   LIKE EWALL1_F
                           X = -16.7      Y = 1.75      AZ = 270 ..

SWALL_F = EXTERIOR-WALL    LIKE NWALL_F
                           X = 0.0      Y = 8.75      AZ = 0
                           HEIGHT = WALLHT      WIDTH = SWALLWD TIMES 0.2 ..

SWALL_I = EXTERIOR-WALL    LIKE NWALL_I
                           X = -3.34      Y = 8.75      AZ = 0
                           HEIGHT = WALLHT      WIDTH = 13.36 ..

SWIND = WINDOW             LIKE NWIND
                           X = 3.16 ..

WWALL2_I = EXTERIOR-WALL   LIKE WWALL1_I
                           X = 0.0      Y = 0.00      AZ=90 ..

WWIND2 = WINDOW            LIKE WWIND1

```

```

X = 3.45 ..

WWALL2_F = EXTERIOR-WALL    LIKE WWALL1_F ..

FND2 = U-F                  LIKE FND1 ..

CEILING2 = INTERIOR-WALL    LIKE CEILING1 ..

$ Attic    assume 1 ft2 of vents per 450 ft2 of attic space area,
$ Attic    ELF = 75% of vent area

ATTIC = SPACE                AZ = 180
                              AREA = FLRAREA TIMES 2  VOLUME = FLRAREA TIMES 2.90 $ avg height
                              INF-METHOD = S-G        FRAC-LEAK-AREA = .00243
                              FLOOR-WEIGHT = 0          ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED
                              T = (80) ..

SROOF = ROOF                X = 0.0          Y = 17.5          Z = ROOFZ
                              HEIGHT = ROOFHT        WIDTH = ROOFWD
                              SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.43
                              TILT = 19             CONSTRUCTION = ROOFCON ...

NROOF = ROOF                LIKE SROOF
                              X = -16.7          Y = 0.0          AZIMUTH = 180 ..

GABLEW = EXTERIOR-WALL      LIKE WWALL1_I  Z = ROOFZ
                              CONSTRUCTION = GABLCON
                              SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.07
                              WIDTH = 17.5        HEIGHT = 1.35 ..

GABLEE = EXTERIOR-WALL      LIKE EWALL2_I
                              CONSTRUCTION = GABLCON
                              Y = 17.5          Z = ROOFZ
                              SOLAR-FRACTION = 0.07
                              WIDTH = 17.5        HEIGHT = 1.35 ..

REPSCH_0    = SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(0) ..
REPSCH      = SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(1) ..

RB-GL      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = GLOBAL
            VARIABLE-LIST = (13,14,15,20,21,22,36,37) ..

RB-00      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = NWIND
            VARIABLE-LIST = (11,12) ..

RB-01      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = NWALL_I
            VARIABLE-LIST = (16) ..

RB-02      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = WWIND1
            VARIABLE-LIST = (11,12) ..

RB-03      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = WWALL1_I
            VARIABLE-LIST = (16) ..

RB-04      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = EWIND1
            VARIABLE-LIST = (11,12) ..

RB-05      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = EWALL1_I
            VARIABLE-LIST = (16) ..

RB-06      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = SWIND
            VARIABLE-LIST = (11,12,13) ..

RB-07      = REPORT-BLOCK
            VARIABLE-TYPE = SWALL_I
            VARIABLE-LIST = (16,17) ..

```

```

HR_REP = HOURLY-REPORT
REPORT-SCHEDULE = REPSCH_0
REPORT-BLOCK = (RB-GL) ..

END ..

$ Foundation fluxes for Pala from Y.J. Huang using Huang/Chen model.
$ FdnL= 16.0 FdnW= 16.0 ICorner=4
$ U-EFFECTIVE= 0.110
FUNCTION NAME = FNDQ
LEVEL = UNDERGROUND-WALL ..
ASSIGN DOY=ID0Y UGFQ=QUGF UGWQ=QUGW ..
ASSIGN QTABL = TABLE
( 1, -169.2)( 2, -166.6)( 3, -164.5)( 4, -171.2)( 5, -166.8)
( 6, -146.8)( 7, -150.4)( 8, -157.2)( 9, -162.6)( 10, -178.7)
( 11, -187.7)( 12, -190.4)( 13, -186.2)( 14, -181.9)( 15, -188.4)
( 16, -192.8)( 17, -195.2)( 18, -194.2)( 19, -201.6)( 20, -209.2)
( 21, -217.4)( 22, -210.8)( 23, -181.8)( 24, -174.1)( 25, -171.4)
( 26, -166.6)( 27, -164.5)( 28, -164.7)( 29, -167.0)( 30, -180.1)
( 31, -185.6)( 32, -178.8)( 33, -173.6)( 34, -168.5)( 35, -165.3)
( 36, -162.8)( 37, -164.2)( 38, -149.8)( 39, -147.4)( 40, -144.3)
( 41, -142.4)( 42, -138.3)( 43, -141.0)( 44, -134.1)( 45, -128.3)
( 46, -126.2)( 47, -123.8)( 48, -124.7)( 49, -125.8)( 50, -125.5)
( 51, -124.6)( 52, -121.7)( 53, -123.5)( 54, -129.2)( 55, -111.8)
( 56, -106.0)( 57, -101.2)( 58, -96.3)( 59, -94.6)( 60, -89.0)
( 61, -88.6)( 62, -88.8)( 63, -89.9)( 64, -87.6)( 65, -85.5)
( 66, -86.5)( 67, -87.7)( 68, -89.5)( 69, -92.7)( 70, -91.8)
( 71, -90.0)( 72, -81.7)( 73, -75.5)( 74, -72.4)( 75, -73.8)
( 76, -76.9)( 77, -85.0)( 78, -86.8)( 79, -87.4)( 80, -85.2)
( 81, -77.1)( 82, -77.1)( 83, -71.9)( 84, -67.3)( 85, -66.0)
( 86, -68.2)( 87, -76.7)( 88, -89.2)( 89, -98.2)( 90, -101.6)
( 91, -89.5)( 92, -76.0)( 93, -73.5)( 94, -80.2)( 95, -86.7)
( 96, -91.3)( 97, -98.7)( 98, -110.2)( 99, -114.2)( 100, -113.0)
( 101, -117.5)( 102, -121.2)( 103, -123.0)( 104, -128.1)( 105, -140.2)
( 106, -148.2)( 107, -161.8)( 108, -174.8)( 109, -176.9)( 110, -175.0)
( 111, -163.8)( 112, -155.7)( 113, -146.1)( 114, -134.8)( 115, -150.2)
( 116, -162.2)( 117, -173.9)( 118, -186.3)( 119, -191.3)( 120, -181.2)
( 121, -171.3)( 122, -167.7)( 123, -163.1) ..
CALCULATE ..
WEEK = (DOY/3.0) + 1.0
UGWQ = 0.0
UGFQ = PWL(QTABL, WEEK)
END-FUNCTION ..

COMPUTE LOADS ..

INPUT SYSTEMS .. $ all rooms unconditioned: no heating or cooling

SYSTEMS-REPORT SUMMARY=(SS-A,SS-J)
HOURLY-DATA-SAVE=FORMATTED ..

$ SYSTEMS SCHEDULES

FAN-0 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (-1) ..
FAN-1 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,6)(0)(7,18)(1)(19,24)(0) ..
FAN-SCHED =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 (WD) FAN-1 (WEH) FAN-0 ..
FAN-NUL =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 (ALL) FAN-0 ..

HEAT-0 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (0) ..
HEAT-1 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,8) (55) (9,18) (71) (19,24) (55) ..
HEAT-2 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (55) ..
HEAT-WEEK =WEEK-SCHEDULE (MON,FRI) HEAT-0 (WEH) HEAT-0 ..
HEAT-SCHED =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 HEAT-WEEK ..

COOL-0 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (150) ..
COOL-1 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,8) (99) (9,18) (75) (19,24) (99) ..
COOL-2 =DAY-SCHEDULE (1,24) (99) ..
COOL-WEEK =WEEK-SCHEDULE (MON,FRI) COOL-0 (WEH) COOL-0 ..
COOL-SCHED =SCHEDULE THRU DEC 31 COOL-WEEK ..

R1 =DAY-RESET-SCH SUPPLY-HI=60
SUPPLY-LO=52
OUTSIDE-LO=30
OUTSIDE-HI=75 ..

```

```

SAT-RESET   =RESET-SCHEDULE   THRU DEC 31 (ALL) R1  ..

$ SYSTEM DESCRIPTION (FLOATING TEMPERATURES: NO HEATING OR COOLING)

ZAIR        =ZONE-AIR          OA-CFM/PER=20  ..

CONTROL     =ZONE-CONTROL      DESIGN-HEAT-T=72
                                DESIGN-COOL-T=74
                                HEAT-TEMP-SCH= HEAT-SCHED
                                COOL-TEMP-SCH= COOL-SCHED
                                THERMOSTAT-TYPE=PROPORTIONAL ..

ROOM1       =ZONE              ZONE-AIR=ZAIR
                                SIZING-OPTION=ADJUST-LOADS
                                ZONE-CONTROL=CONTROL  ..

ROOM2       =ZONE              ZONE-AIR=ZAIR
                                SIZING-OPTION=ADJUST-LOADS
                                ZONE-CONTROL=CONTROL  ..

ATTIC       =ZONE              ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED ..
ROOMGND-1   =ZONE              ZONE-TYPE = UNCONDITIONED ..

S-CONT      =SYSTEM-CONTROL    COOLING-SCHEDULE= COOL-SCHED
                                HEATING-SCHEDULE= HEAT-SCHED
                                HEAT-SET-T=65
                                COOL-CONTROL=CONSTANT
                                MIN-SUPPLY-T=60  ..

S-AIR       =SYSTEM-AIR        OA-CONTROL=FIXED  ..

S-FAN       =SYSTEM-FANS       FAN-SCHEDULE=FAN-NUL
                                FAN-CONTROL=SPEED
                                SUPPLY-STATIC=5.5
                                SUPPLY-EFF=.55
                                NIGHT-CYCLE-CTRL=STAY-OFF  ..

S-TERM      =SYSTEM-TERMINAL    REHEAT-DELTA-T=58
                                MIN-CFM-RATIO=0.3  ..

SYST-1      =SYSTEM            SYSTEM-TYPE=SZRH
                                SYSTEM-CONTROL= S-CONT
                                SYSTEM-FANS= S-FAN
                                SYSTEM-AIR= S-AIR
                                SYSTEM-TERMINAL= S-TERM
                                ECONO-LIMIT-T=65
                                ZONE-NAMES=(ROOM1,ROOM2,ATTIC,ROOMGND-1)  ..

REPSCH_0    = SCHEDULE         THRU DEC 31 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(0)  ..

REPSCH_UC94 = SCHEDULE         THRU APR 03 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(0)
                                THRU APR 30 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(1)
                                THRU JUN 05 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(0)
                                THRU JUN 18 (ALL) (1,24) VALUES=(1)

..
RB-GL       = REPORT-BLOCK
VARIABLE-TYPE = GLOBAL
VARIABLE-LIST = (8)  ..

RB-01       = REPORT-BLOCK
VARIABLE-TYPE = ROOM1
VARIABLE-LIST = (6)  ..

RB-02       = REPORT-BLOCK
VARIABLE-TYPE = ROOM2
VARIABLE-LIST = (6)  ..

RB-03       = REPORT-BLOCK
VARIABLE-TYPE = ATTIC
VARIABLE-LIST = (6)  ..

RB-04       = REPORT-BLOCK
VARIABLE-TYPE = SYST-1
VARIABLE-LIST = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,10,14,17,18,19,20,30,31,33,39)  ..

```

```
HR_REP = HOURLY-REPORT
      REPORT-SCHEDULE = REPSCH_UC94
      REPORT-BLOCK    = (RB-GL,RB-01,RB-02,RB-03) ..
END ..
COMPUTE SYSTEMS ..
STOP ..
```