ASET

APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

Keywords: Resistance Welding
Solid-State Welding
Vessel Fabrication

Retention: Permanent

**UPSET WELDED 304L AND 316L VESSELS
FOR STORAGE TESTS (U)**

by

W. R. Kanne, Jr.

W. R. Kanne, Jr.

ISSUED: April 1966

U. Lee P... Jr.
Authorized Derivative Classifier

April 15, 1996
Date

SRTC

SAVANNAH RIVER TECHNOLOGY CENTER, AIKEN, SC 29808
Westinghouse Savannah River Company
Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC09-88SR18035

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

12

DOCUMENT: WSRC-TR-96-0087

TITLE: UPSET WELDED 304L AND 316L VESSELS FOR
STORAGE TESTS (U)

APPROVALS

S. L. West, TECHNICAL REVIEWER
MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY SECTION

DATE: May 7, 1996

D. T. Rankin, MANAGER
MATERIALS COMPATIBILITY & JOINING GROUP
MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY SECTION

DATE: May 7, 1996

T. L. Capeletti, MANAGER
MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY SECTION

DATE: 5/7/96

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION	1
VESSEL DESIGN, MATERIAL, AND MACHINING	2
WELDING PROCEDURE DEVELOPMENT AND VESSEL FABRICATION	3
QUALIFICATION OF VESSELS FOR STORAGE TESTING	4
LOADING AND STORAGE TESTING	5
CONCLUSIONS	5
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	5
REFERENCES	6
TABLES	
I. Status of F-Series Vessels	7
II. Test Results for 304L and 316L Vessels	8
FIGURES	
1. Vessel Design	9
2. Electrode Design	10
3. Fixtures for Welding Vessels	11
4. Data Acquisition Trace	12
5. Fabrication of Vessels	13
6. Finished Vessel	14
7. Hydrostatic Pressure Tests	15
8. Pneumatic Pressure Tests	16
9. Metallographic Preparation of Vessels	17
10. Tube Attachment Weld	18
11. Metallographic Analysis of 304L Vessel F-13	19
12. Metallographic Analysis of 316L Vessel F-29	20
13. Hardness Traverse across Weld in 304L Vessel F-13	21
14. Hardness Traverse across Weld in 316L Vessel F-29	22
15. Plot of Hardness Data	23
16. Upset Welded Vessels	24

UPSET WELDED 304L AND 316L VESSELS FOR STORAGE TESTS (U)

W. R. Kanne, Jr.

SUMMARY

Two sets of vessels for tritium storage tests were fabricated using upset welding. A solid-state resistance upset weld was used to join the two halves of each vessel at the girth. The vessels differ from production reservoirs in design, material, and fabrication process. One set was made from forged 304L stainless steel and the other from forged 316L stainless steel. Six vessels of each type were loaded with a tritium mix in November 1995 and placed in storage at 71°C. This memo describes and documents the fabrication of the twelve vessels.

INTRODUCTION

Resistance upset welding is being evaluated as an improved process for fabrication of reservoirs. This welding process is attractive because it is reliable and employs equipment that is easy to operate, maintain, and control. Furthermore, the solid-state welds produced by this process have improved mechanical properties and metallurgical structure, compared to fusion welds. Integrity of the upset welding process has been previously demonstrated for several configurations by destructive and nondestructive testing, Ref. 1, 2 and 3.

Vessels fabricated by resistance upset welding are being placed in long-term tritium storage tests as a final demonstration of weld integrity. The storage tests are part of establishing the technical basis for the application. One set of upset welded vessels was placed in tritium storage in 1984 as an initial demonstration of tritium containment capability. Storage of these vessels has proceeded without incident. These vessels are cylindrical in shape and were made from bar stock 304L stainless steel. Two of these vessels were removed from storage after 5.5 years and destructively evaluated. No detrimental effects of the tritium storage were observed. Two of the cylindrical vessels were removed in 1995 and the remaining two are scheduled to be removed in 1996. Two sets of upset welded vessels fabricated from forged 21-6-9 stainless steel were placed in storage in October 1992. Storage has proceeded without incident for these 12 vessels.

To complement the vessels previously placed in storage, vessels fabricated using resistance upset welding were made from forged 304L and 316L stainless steel. These two sets of vessels are the first forged 304L and 316L vessels fabricated for storage using resistance upset welding. This report documents the fabrication process and storage of the twelve vessels fabricated from forged 304L and 316L stainless steel using solid-state resistance upset welding.

VESSEL DESIGN, MATERIAL, AND MACHINING

Upset welded vessels, Figure 1A, were designed to minimize machining costs. The weld joint configuration of the two hemishells was designed to accommodate upset welding. The hemishells, Figure 1B, were machined at Savannah River. Tubes for the vessels were obtained from SRS production supply and were of 21-6-9 material. Capability for joining the 21-6-9 tubes to the 304L and 316L bodies was confirmed on test pieces prior to start of the program.

Material for the vessels was obtained from special forging runs at the Oxnard facility. Forgings were made to the specifications for Rocky Flats part no. 14837. Material specifications were P12044-F for 304L forgings and P32343-C for 316L forgings. Mechanical properties for the forgings, measured by Oxnard, were:

<u>PROPERTY</u>	<u>304L</u>	<u>316L</u>
Yield Strength, psi	59,000	69,000
Tensile Strength, psi	95,000	96,000
Elongation, %	62	55
Reduction of Area, %	88	87
Grain Size, ASTM #	3	4

Hardness of the forged material was measured at the weld joint after machining of the shells. Hardness was RB-95 for the 304L forgings and RB-97 for the 316L forgings. The small difference in hardness indicated that the same upset welding procedure could be used for both materials.

Vessel hemishells were cleaned before welding using either the standard Nitradd cleaning process, Ref. 4, or an Oakite cleaning procedure, Ref. 5. The two procedures were used to accommodate a Los Alamos request that half of the vessels of each material be cleaned by the new Oakite process. The cleaning process used for each vessel is shown in Table I, along with a description of how each vessel was used.

Fixtures for the girth upset weld are shown in Figures 2 and 3. Electrodes carry the force and current to the weld joint area. A sleeve (drawing EES-22045-R2-004) positions the electrodes in vertical alignment.

WELDING PROCEDURE DEVELOPMENT AND VESSEL FABRICATION

A total of 31 vessels were welded during development of the welding process and fabrication of the vessels for loading, Table I. The first ten were used for development and the next two were for confirmation of the process using reject shells. Numbers 13 through 31 were made by the same procedure. Of these, two were examined metallographically, two were pneumatically burst tested, and twelve were loaded with a tritium mix.

Parameters for the girth welds were adapted from those used for a previous set of 21-6-9 storage vessels. The joint design was modified from that used previously to improve weld quality by using a chamfer on the outside surface and increasing the OD slightly to eliminate the possibility of undercutting of the external upset.

As a result of the evaluation of the welds on the initial vessels, a final joint design (as shown in Figure 1B) and a final set of welding conditions were chosen. The same welding parameters, shown below, were used for both the 304L and the 316L vessels.

Current, amperes	62,700 $\pm$ 1300
Force, pounds	42,500 $\pm$ 300
Time, seconds	one, in six equal pulses of 10 hertz each

Welding current was measured using Duffers meter #82591 with its coil around the secondary loop of the Large Resistance Welder. The welding current was achieved with a percent heat setting of 70 to 72 using tap #1 on the transformers. Parameters were monitored during the welds using a Macintosh based data acquisition system. Force, current, voltage, and motion are plotted as a function of time. One of the plots is shown in Figure 4. Original data acquisition plots are printed in color with the parameters color coded. The six pulses are clearly visible in the voltage trace. The current meter holds the peak RMS current which increases slightly during the initial stages of the weld as the electrical resistance of the weld joint decreases. The force decreases slightly during the weld as the weld head moves down. Motion of the weld head shows that the deformation of the weld joint takes place during the last two pulses of the weld cycle.

Vessel components before welding consist of a top and bottom hemishell and a tube as shown in Figure 5A. The hemishells were joined at the girth using the welding process described above. The tubes were attached to the body using a side-bonded reclamation procedure. This procedure was demonstrated on test pieces to assure that the difference in materials could be accommodated. Hydrotest and metallographic results confirmed that there was no effect of using 21-6-9 stainless

steel tubes with the 304L or 316L bodies. The welding parameters for the tube attachment welds were 2250 pounds force with approximately 11,000 amperes current for 25 cycles (of 60 hertz).

Weld upset (extrusion of metal) occurs during girth welding and results in as-welded vessels as shown in Figure 5B. The weld upset is visible as a ring around the girth. This upset was machined off and a step was machined on the top of the vessel. The resulting completed vessels appeared as shown in Figure 6.

During development of the welding procedure, four vessels were pressure tested to failure using the hydrostatic pressurization equipment in Building 723-A. All four of these failed away from the weld in the curved region of the body as shown in Figure 7. Excellent weld strength was thereby demonstrated for a variety of welding conditions. Metallographic examination of the welds was used to access the weld configuration and bond quality. The force and current were varied to maximize weld quality.

QUALIFICATION OF VESSELS FOR STORAGE TESTING

All vessels were nondestructively examined using leak testing, proof testing, and X-ray radiography. Results are given in Table II. All vessels, both those used for the storage test and those used for destructive tests, passed all nondestructive tests. Detailed results of the proof tests and leak tests are documented in Ref. 6. Vessel length and the internal vessel volume were measured as an indication of the consistency of the finished vessels. Length and volume measurements were not as consistent as experienced previously.

Four of the series of vessels made for storage were destructively examined as a final qualification of the vessels. Two were pneumatically pressurized to failure in the H-area facility. Both vessels, one of each material, failed in the body away from the weld area, Figure 8, indicating good weld strength.

A second vessel of each material, in addition to the one that was pressure tested, was sectioned for metallography. The overall configuration of the sectioned vessels is shown in Figure 9. Metallographic quality of the reclamation weld joining the 21-6-9 stainless steel stems to the 304L or 316L bodies was confirmed, as shown in Figure 10. The vessels were sectioned through the opposite sides with the most and least upsetting to see the extremes in weld quality. Girth weld metallographic quality is shown in Figure 11 for 304L and Figure 12 for 316L. Quality of the welds is very good. The notch visible on the internal upset of these parts is undesirable, but has not been shown to cause any detrimental effects. High magnification photographs of the best and worst interface areas, left and right sides of Figures 11C and 12C, indicate only a small amount of visible interface. Flow lines are present in

the 316L metallographic section, Figure 12. These flow lines provide a clear illustration of the movement of the metal during upset welding.

A hardness traverse was run across a metallographic section of each material, Figures 13 and 14. The hardness data is plotted in Figure 15. It can be seen that the hardness of the forged material is maintained across the weld area. This indicates the strength gained by forging is maintained in the weld area. A fusion weld, by comparison, would lose considerable strength in the weld area compared to the forged vessel material, Ref. 1. If these vessels had been fabricated using fusion welding (gas tungsten arc or electron beam welding), the weld area strength would have been reduced significantly.

LOADING AND STORAGE TESTING

Six 304L and six 316L vessels were loaded November 2-5, 1995. The two sets of vessels are shown in Figure 16 prior to loading. Both sets are stored at 71°C in the Materials Test Facility, Building 232-H.

CONCLUSIONS

Design, procedure development, fabrication, nondestructive testing and destructive testing of forged 304L and 316L upset welded vessels for storage testing was completed. Finished vessels qualified in all respects for tritium loading and storage. The vessels were loaded and are currently in storage at 71°C. These two sets of vessels are the first forged 304L and 316L vessels fabricated using resistance upset welding. Examination of these vessels following storage will be used to evaluate the acceptability of the upset welding process for long-term tritium service.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This program was funded jointly by the Los Alamos National Laboratory and the Albuquerque Operations Office. Leo Michels of Los Alamos provided direction for the program. Material for fabrication of the vessels was forged at the EG&G Oxnard Facility.

The program was carried out by the Applied Science and Engineering Department of the Savannah River Technology Center. Metallography was done by Building 723-A Metallographic Laboratory personnel under the direction of Tina Stefek. Proof and leak testing of vessels was done by Building 723-A High Pressure Laboratory personnel under the direction of Wayne Good.

Processing of the vessels by the Tritium department was directed by Jim Dollar and Bob Alexander. Storage of the vessels is being directed by Ed Majzlik and Tonya Dominguez.

REFERENCES

1. W. R. Kanne, Jr., "Solid-State Resistance Welding of Cylinders and Spheres", Welding Journal, p 33-38, May 1986.
2. W. R. Kanne, Jr., "Upset Welding Large Spherical Containers, WSRC-TR-91-57, March 1991.
3. W. R. Kanne, Jr., "Upset Welding Process for 21-6-9 Spherical Vessels (U)", WSRC-TR-93-015, February 1993.
4. "Cleaning and Packaging Stainless Steel Components", Equipment and Materials Technology Department Procedure Manual L9.3-4152, Rev. 0, December 3, 1991.
5. W. R. Kanne, Jr., "Oakite Procedure for Immersion Cleaning of Stainless Steel Parts, Rev. 1/4/94.
6. "EES Proof / Leak Test Data Sheet (U)", Basis No. SRT-SPS-940177, Record Nos. 445-457, 600-606, September 1994.

Table I

STATUS OF F-SERIES VESSELS

<u>Vessel</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>Material</u>	<u>Cleaning</u> <u>Process</u>	<u>Vessel Use</u>
F-1	316L	Nitradd	Burst in 723-A
F-2	316L	Nitradd	Metallographically examined
F-3	304L	Nitradd	Metallographically examined
F-4	304L	Nitradd	Burst in 723-A
F-5	304L	Nitradd	On hold
F-6	304L	Nitradd	Metallographically examined
F-7	316L	Nitradd	Burst in 723-A
F-8	316L	Nitradd	On hold
F-9	316L	Nitradd	On hold
F-10	316L	Nitradd	Burst in 723-A
F-11	316L	Nitradd	On hold: reject shells (thin wall on top shell)
F-12	304L	Oakite	On hold: reject shells (grooves inside surface)
F-13	304L	Nitradd	Storage quality: metallographically examined
F-14	304L	Nitradd	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-15	304L	Nitradd	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-16	304L	Nitradd	On hold: Discolored stem
F-17	304L	Oakite	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-18	304L	Oakite	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-19	304L	Oakite	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-20	304L	Nitradd	Storage quality: burst in H-area
F-21	304L	Nitradd	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-22	316L	Oakite	Storage quality: burst in H-area
F-23	316L	Nitradd	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-24	316L	Nitradd	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-25	316L	Oakite	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-26	316L	Oakite	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-27	316L	Oakite	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-28	316L	Nitradd	Storage quality: loaded for storage
F-29	316L	Nitradd	Storage quality: metallographically examined
F-30	316L	Nitradd	Storage quality: available
F-31	316L	Nitradd	Storage quality: available

Table II
TEST RESULTS FOR 304L AND 316L VESSELS

<u>Test</u>	<u>No. of Vessels</u>		<u>Result</u>
	<u>304L</u>	<u>316L</u>	
Leak Test	14	17	No Leaks
Proof Test	14	17	No Failures
X-Ray	14	17	OK
Shrinkage	9	10	0.125 ± 0.017 in.
Internal Volume	9	10	29.0 ± 0.8 cc
Hydrostatic Burst	1	3	Failure in Body at ~45,000 psi
Pneumatic Burst	1	1	Failure in Body at ~45,000 psi
Metallography	3	2	Excellent Bond

A. Vessel assembly with final machining.

B. Top Cap. (bottom cap same without 1/16 inch hole or counterbore for tube)

Figure 1. Vessel design. (from drawing EES-22045-R3-006)

63/ Finish all Surfaces Except the .25 Dia Hole

Large F46 Electrode

Matl: Class II Copper Req'd: 1

EES-22045-R4-005-A

Figure 2. Electrode design. (bottom and top electrode identical)

Figure 3. Fixtures for welding vessels. Electrodes in foreground showing top and bottom view. Alignment sleeve behind electrodes. (0.8X, EE53183)

Figure 4. Data acquisition trace of weld motion (top), current, force, and voltage (bottom).

A. Shells and tube before welding (0.7X, EE53656)

B. As-welded vessel (0.8X, EE53215)

Figure 5. Fabrication of vessels.

Figure 6. Finished vessel (external upset removed and top step machined). (2.0X, EE53184)

A. Vessel F-1 made from 316L stainless steel (1X, EE53179)

B. Vessel F-4 made from 304L stainless steel (1X, EE53183)

Figure 7. Hydrostatic Pressure Tests

A. 304L, Face view of fracture

B. 304L, Side view (vessel F-20)

C. 316L, Face view of fracture

D. 316L, Side view(vessel F-22)

Figure 8. Pneumatic pressure tests to failure of vessels taken from the series made for storage. These vessels were chosen with maximum internal volume (minimum upset and therefore minimum strength) from the set used for storage. Note that the failure occurred away from the upset girth weld, indicating good weld strength. (1X, S-20600,1; S-20553,4)

A. Vessel before sectioning (1.0X, EE53765)

B. Sectioned vessel (1X, EE53698)

C. Vessel before sectioning (1.0X, EE53796-1)

D. Sectioned vessel (1X, EE53796-2)

Figure 9. Metallographic preparation of vessels from the series made for storage. A and B above are 304L vessel F-13 and C and D above are 316L vessel F-29. Vessels were sectioned through maximum and minimum upset (note difference in configuration of internal upset on left and right sides).

Figure 10. Tube attachment weld joining 21-6-9 stem to 316L body. (20X, EE53180)

A. Left side at 10X (EE53766-2)

B. Left side at 50X (EE53767-1)

C. High Magnification (200X, EE5367-2 & EE53768)

Figure 11. Metallographic analysis of 304L vessel F-13.

A. Left side at 10X (EE53799)

B. Left side at 50X (EE53801-1)

C. High Magnification (200X, EE53800 & EE53801-2)

Figure 12. Metallographic analysis of 316L vessel F-29.

Figure 13. Hardness traverse across the weld in 304L vessel F-13. (50X, EE54196)

Upset Girth Weld Forged 316L Knoop Hardness

Figure 14. Hardness traverse across the weld in 316L vessel F-29. (50X, EE54197)

96X01890.02.AIL

Figure 15. Plot of hardness data from Figures 10 & 11 shows that there is very little change in the hardness of the forged material due to the welding process.

A. 304L vessels (0.5X, EE53651)

B. 316L vessels (0.5X, EE53650)

Figure 16. Upset welded vessels from the sets prepared for storage tests.