**OAK RIDGE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY**

LOCKHEED MARTIN

**Radiological Survey Results
at Building 22,
Washington Navy Yard,
Washington, D.C.
(WSN001)**

**R. E. Rodriguez
R. D. Foley
M. S. Uziel**

MANAGED BY
LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
FOR THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UCN-13873 (38 6-95)

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (423) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

HEALTH SCIENCES RESEARCH DIVISION

**Radiological Survey Results at Building 22, Washington Navy Yard,
Washington, D.C. (WNS001)**

R. E. Rodriguez
R. D. Foley
M. S. Uziel

Date Issued—March 1996

Work performed by the
Measurement Applications and Development Group
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Prepared for
Washington Navy Yard
under budget and reporting code 47W109301

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6285
managed by
LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY RESEARCH CORP.
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under contract DE-AC05-96OR22464

Investigation Team

R. D. Foley – Measurement Applications and Development Group Leader
M. E. Murray – Radiological Program Leader
R. E. Rodriguez and R. D. Foley – Survey Team

Author Affiliations

R. E. Rodriguez, R. D. Foley, and M. S. Uziel are members of the Measurement Applications and Development Group of the Health Sciences Research Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Lockheed Martin Energy Research Corp., Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

CONTENTS

FIGURES	v
TABLES	vii
ABSTRACT	ix
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. SURVEY METHODS	1
3. SURVEY RESULTS	5
4. SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS	6
REFERENCES	7

FIGURES

1	Diagram showing general outline of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard	2
2	Exterior view of the west wall of the open bay area, Building 22, Washington Navy Yard	3
3	View from open bay area looking east at entrance to electronic instrument maintenance area	4
4	Looking south at cover to pit in open bay area	4

TABLES

1	Concentrations of radionuclides in sediment and water collected from a pit in the open bay area of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard	6
---	--	---

ABSTRACT

A radiological survey was conducted in a portion of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C., on December 13, 1995. The survey was performed because former employees thought the area surveyed had some previous association with radioactive material. Employees remembered seeing radiation signs in the area and indicated that personnel occupying this area wore dosimeters. Two rooms in the survey area were surrounded by 1-ft-thick poured concrete walls and similar 6-in.-thick ceilings, and situated on top of a 1-ft-thick concrete slab, a configuration commonly used for radiation shielding in industrial radiography facilities.

The radiological survey showed no gamma, beta-gamma, or alpha measurements above typical background levels. Low background radiation levels within the building indicated that even if low-level contamination were present beneath the tile, or larger amounts of contamination beneath the concrete slab, it poses no radiological hazard to building inhabitants under the present conditions. Further investigation may be required before drilling or demolition of the concrete slab. No photon radiation fields from sealed gamma sources or x-ray sources were detectable at the time of the survey. Gamma spectrometry analysis revealed no gamma emitters above typical background concentrations in one sediment and one water sample collected from a pit in the open bay area.

1. INTRODUCTION

A radiological survey was conducted in a portion of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C., on December 13, 1995. The survey was performed by the Measurement Applications and Development Group, Health Sciences Research Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) at the request of ORNL's Office of Safety and Health Protection. A general outline of Building 22 is shown in Fig. 1 where shading indicates the portion of the building that was surveyed. An exterior view of Building 22 is shown in Fig. 2 and interior views in Figs. 3 and 4.

Based on information from former employees, the area surveyed was suspected of having some previous association with radioactive material. Employees remembered that radiation signs were formerly posted in the area and dosimeters were worn by personnel occupying the area. Also, it is believed that at one time radium dial painting was conducted in this building or in some other building in the Navy Yard. Two rooms in the survey area were surrounded by 1-ft-thick poured concrete walls and similar 6-in.-thick ceilings, a configuration commonly used for radiation shielding in industrial radiography facilities. A small 70 kVp x-ray machine was observed in the building. According to some of the workers, it is used intermittently to x-ray incoming mail packages.

Building 22 consists of an original load-bearing masonry brick building built in the 1860s and additions added in 1916 and 1926. The additions included a two-story shed on the north side and a five-story-high building with a crane rail to the south.¹ The building currently measures approximately 266 by 164 ft (43,624 ft²) and is used for electronic instrument maintenance, office space, storage, and racquetball courts. The floor is typically exposed concrete. Beginning at Column Line 8 (a line drawn between columns numbered 8, Fig. 1), a 1-ft-thick concrete slab has been poured on top of the existing concrete floor. The concrete slab extends to Column Line 13 (approximately) where the floor begins tapering down to what appears to be the original concrete floor. In the surveyed area, all slab areas were covered with floor tiles and one room was covered with carpet; original concrete flooring was present in the open bay area.

2. SURVEY METHODS

A description of typical methods and instrumentation providing guidance for the conduct of this survey is presented in *Procedures Manual for the ORNL Radiological Survey Activities (RSA) Program*.² Guidelines for the use and calibration of instruments are given in *Measurement Applications and Development Group Guidelines*.³ All direct-measurement results presented in this report are gross readings; background radiation levels have not been subtracted. Similarly, background concentrations have not been subtracted from radionuclide concentrations measured in the environmental samples.

BUILDING 22

Fig. 1. Diagram showing general outline of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard.
Shading indicates the surveyed area.

ORNL-PHOTO 411-96

Fig. 2. Exterior view of the west wall of the open bay area, Building 22, Washington Navy Yard.

ORNL-PHOTO 412-96

Fig. 3. View from open bay area looking east at entrance to electronic instrument maintenance area.

ORNL-PHOTO 413-96

Fig. 4. Looking south at cover to pit in open bay area.

The survey was designed to detect any radioactive anomaly that might be present in the survey area. Gamma radiation and beta-gamma radiation were measured on floors in the shaded areas (Fig. 1). Measurements were taken underneath the carpet at several locations, but floor tiles were not removed. Alpha measurements were taken only on the original concrete floor in the open bay area because all other surveyed areas were covered with new tile or carpet.

Gamma radiation was measured with a sodium iodide (NaI) scintillation probe connected to a Victoreen Model 490 Thyac III ratemeter. The detector was held approximately 2 in. above the surface. Because NaI gamma scintillators are energy-dependent, measurements made with this instrument were normalized to Bicron microrem meter measurements in order to estimate gamma dose equivalent rates in $\mu\text{rem/h}$.

A Bicron miniscaler/ratemeter with a Geiger-Mueller (GM) pancake detector was used to detect beta-gamma radiation. After characterization of the detectors with known beta fields,⁴ beta radiation detection levels in counts per minute were converted to dose rates in millirads per hour.

Direct alpha radiation measurements were made with an ORNL alpha survey meter connected to a zinc sulfide (ZnS) scintillation probe. Counts per minute were recorded for a direct, 60-s measurement and converted to disintegrations per minute (dpm) per 100 cm² using the instrument-specific conversion factor.

One sediment sample (SE1) and one water sample (SW1) were collected from a pit in the open bay portion of the building. Gamma spectrometry analysis was performed to determine if any gamma emitters were present in the samples. The location of the pit is shown in Fig. 1 and a photograph of the pit cover in Fig. 4.

3. SURVEY RESULTS

Gamma radiation dose equivalent rates in the surveyed area ranged from 4 to 8 $\mu\text{rem/h}$. No measurements above typical background were detected during the gamma survey. The slight elevations in outdoor gamma levels near the exterior brick (10 to 12 $\mu\text{rem/h}$) can be attributed to naturally occurring radioactive substances present in brick, cinder blocks, and other such materials used in building construction.

Similarly, no beta-gamma dose rates above typical background were detected inside the rooms surrounded with thick poured concrete walls, along the path leading from these rooms to the open bay area, or in the surveyed section of the open bay. No elevated beta-gamma levels were detected under the carpet, which was pulled up in several locations. No floor tiles were removed.

Likewise, no elevated alpha radiation measurements were detected in the surveyed section of the open bay. All radiological survey results are indicated on Fig. 1.

Gamma spectrometry analysis of the sediment and water samples revealed no gamma emitters above typical background levels. Concentrations of ^{238}U , ^{235}U , ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th , and ^{137}Cs are shown in Table 1. Cesium-137, which is not ordinarily detectable, can frequently be found in roof drains, ditches, and along the driplines of buildings where runoff containing normal atmospheric fallout is concentrated. This small amount of ^{137}Cs is of no radiological concern.

Table 1. Concentrations of radionuclides in sediment and water collected from a pit in the open bay area of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard

Sample ^a	Radionuclide concentration ^b				
	^{238}U	^{235}U	^{226}Ra	^{232}Th	^{137}Cs
<i>Sediment Sample (units: pCi/g)</i>					
SE1	0.74±0.11	<0.10	0.29±0.04	0.24±0.04	0.13±0.01
<i>Water Sample (units: pCi/mL)</i>					
SW1	0.05±0.05	<0.01	<0.01	0.02±0.01	^c

^aSample location is shown on Fig. 1.

^bIndicated counting error is at the 95% confidence level ($\pm 2\sigma$).

^cNot analyzed.

4. SIGNIFICANCE OF FINDINGS

The radiological survey conducted December 13, 1995, on portions of Building 22 at the Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C., showed no gamma, beta-gamma, or alpha measurements above typical background levels. Low background radiation levels within the building indicated that even if low-level contamination were present beneath the tile, or larger amounts of contamination beneath the concrete slab, it poses no radiological hazard to building inhabitants under the present conditions. Further investigation may be required before drilling or demolition of the concrete slab. No photon radiation fields from sealed gamma sources or x-ray sources were detectable at the time of the survey. Gamma spectrometry analysis revealed no gamma emitters above typical background concentrations in one sediment and one water sample collected from a pit in the open bay area.

REFERENCES

1. Smith, Hinchman & Grylls Associates, Inc., *Existing Facility Evaluation*, Naval Sea Systems Command Headquarters, Washington Navy Yard, Washington, D.C., June 1995.
2. T. E. Myrick, B. A. Berven, W. D. Cottrell, W. A. Goldsmith, and F. F. Haywood, *Procedures Manual for the ORNL Radiological Survey Activities (RASA) Program*, ORNL/TM-8600, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., April 1987.
3. *Measurement Applications and Development Group Guidelines*, ORNL-6782, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., Oak Ridge Natl. Lab., January 1995.
4. R. L. Coleman, *Beta Dose Rate Evaluations with a Geiger-Mueller Pancake Detector*, M.S. Thesis, Univ. of Tenn., August 1993.

DISTRIBUTION

1. B. A. Berven
2. R. D. Foley
3. M. E. Murray
- 4-6. R. E. Rodriguez
7. R. E. Swaja
8. M. S. Uziel
- 9-10. Safety and Health Special Projects Group
- 11-15. MAD Records Center
16. Central Research Library
17. ORNL Technical Library, Y-12
- 18-19. Laboratory Records Dept.
20. ORNL Patent Section

21. Office of Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, DOE Oak Ridge Operations Office, P.O. Box 2001, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8600
- 22-25. E. Freese, Director, Safety and Environmental Department, Headquarters, Naval District Washington, Building 200, Washington, DC 20374
- 26-27. Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831