NUREG-0750

Vol. 42

Index 2

INDEXES TO NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION ISSUANCES

July – December 1995

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Prepared by the
Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services
Office of Administration
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001
(301/415-6844)

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *at*

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Foreword

Digests and indexes for issuances of the Commission (CLI), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel (LBP), the Administrative Law Judges (ALJ), the Directors' Decisions (DD), and the Decisions on Petitions for Rulemaking (DPRM) are presented in this document. These digests and indexes are intended to serve as a guide to the issuances.

Information elements common to the cases heard and ruled upon are:

- Case name (owner(s) of facility)
- Full text reference (volume and pagination)
- Issuance number
- Issues raised by appellants
- Legal citations (cases, regulations, and statutes)
- Name of facility, Docket number
- Subject matter of issues and/or rulings
- Type of hearing (operating license, operating license amendment, etc.)
- Type of issuance (memorandum, order, decision, etc.)

These information elements are displayed in one or more of five separate formats arranged as follows:

1. Case Name Index

The case name index is an alphabetical arrangement of the case names of the issuances. Each case name is followed by the type of hearing, the type of issuance, docket number, issuance number, and full text reference.

2. Headers and Digests

The headers and digests are presented in issuance number order as follows: the Commission (CLI), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel (LBP), the Administrative Law Judges (ALJ), the Directors' Decisions (DD), and the Decisions on Petitions for Rulemaking (DPRM).

The header identifies the issuance by issuance number, case name, facility name, docket number, type of hearing, date of issuance, and type of issuance.

The digest is a brief narrative of an issue followed by the resolution of the issue and any legal references used in resolving the issue. If a given issuance covers more than one issue, then separate digests are used for each issue and are designated alphabetically.

3. Legal Citations Index

This index is divided into four parts and consists of alphabetical or alpha-numerical arrangements of Cases, Regulations, Statutes, and Others. These citations are listed as given in the issuances. Changes in regulations and statutes may have occurred to cause changes in the number or name and/or applicability of the citation. It is therefore important to consider the date of the issuance.

The references to cases, regulations, statutes, and others are generally followed by phrases that show the application of the citation in the particular issuance. These phrases are followed by the issuance number and the full text reference.

4. Subject Index

Subject words and/or phrases, arranged alphabetically, indicate the issues and subjects covered in the issuances. The subject headings are followed by phrases that give specific information about the subject, as discussed in the issuances being indexed. These phrases are followed by the issuance number and the full text reference.

5. Facility Index

This index consists of an alphabetical arrangement of facility names from the issuance. The name is followed by docket number, type of hearing, date, type of issuance, issuance number, and full text reference.

CASE NAME INDEX

BALTIMORE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-317, 50-318 (License Nos. DPR-53, DPR-69); DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

BOSTON EDISON COMPANY
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-293 (License No. DRP-35); DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al.
 OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Ruling on Motions for Summary Disposition); Docket No. 50-440-OLA-3 (ASLBP No. 90-605-02-OLA); LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI
 MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Petition for Partial Reconsideration); Docket Nos. 70-00270, 30-02278-MLA (Byproduct License No. 24-00513-32; Special Nuclear Materials License No. SNM-247) (TRUMP-S Project); CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Petition for Reconsideration); Docket Nos. 70-00270, 30-02278-MLA (TRUMP-S Project) (Byproduct License No. 24-00513-32; Special Nuclear Materials License No. SNM-247); CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

DR. JAMES E. BAUER
 ENFORCEMENT ACTION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Approving Settlement Agreement and Dismissing Proceeding); Docket No. IA-94-011 (ASLBP No. 94-696-05-EA); LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 200 (1995)

ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR, INC.
 MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Terminating Proceeding); Docket No. 40-8681-MLA-3 (ASLBP No. 94-693-02-MLA-3) (Source Material License No. SUA-1358); LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 197 (1995)

FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-335 (License No. DPR-67); DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES NUCLEAR CORPORATION
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; PARTIAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-219; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 OPERATING LICENSE MODIFICATION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Intervention Petition); Docket No. 50-160-OM (ASLBP No. 95-710-01-OM) (Order Modifying Facility Operating License No. R-97); LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995)

OPERATING LICENSE MODIFICATION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Denial of Petition for Leave to Intervene); Docket No. 50-160-OM (ASLBP No. 95-710-01-OM) (Order Modifying Facility Operating License No. R-97); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)

OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-160-Ren (Renewal of License No. R-97); CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995); CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL; PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION (Mootness of Security Contention); Docket No. 50-160-Ren (ASLBP No. 95-704-01-Ren) (Renewal of Facility License No. R-97); LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 191 (1995)

REQUEST FOR ACTION; PARTIAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-160; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

CASE NAME INDEX

CASE NAME INDEX

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al.
OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3, 50-425-OLA-3; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)
OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Request for Discovery Concerning Ester Dixon); Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3, 50-425-OLA-3 (ASLBP No. 93-671-01-OLA-3) (Re: License Amendment; Transfer to Southern Nuclear); LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 51 (1995)

HARTSELL D. PHILLIPS, JR.
ENFORCEMENT ACTION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Dismissal Pursuant to Agreement); Docket No. IA 94-001 (ASLBP No. 94-694-05-EA) (Re: Allegation of Deliberate Violations); LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 99 (1995)

MAINE YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-309 (License No. DPR-36); DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-245; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 57 (1995); DD-95-23, 42 NRC 253 (1995)
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-336 (License No. DPR-65); DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336; DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995)

OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 50-285 (License No. DPR-40); DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
DECOMMISSIONING; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-344; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125 (1995)

RADIATION ONCOLOGY CENTER AT MARLTON
ENFORCEMENT ACTION; PREHEARING CONFERENCE ORDER (Issues and Schedules for Proceeding); Docket No. 30-32493-CivP (ASLBP No. 95-709-02-CivP) (EA 93-072) (Byproduct Materials License No. 29-28685-01); LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

ROSEMOUNT NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS, INCORPORATED
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 99900271; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 9 (1995)

SEQUOYAH FUELS CORPORATION
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket No. 40-8027; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 167 (1995)

SEQUOYAH FUELS CORPORATION and GENERAL ATOMICS
ENFORCEMENT ACTION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 40-8027-EA (Decontamination and Decommissioning Funding); CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 221 (1995)
ENFORCEMENT ACTION; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Approval of Settlement Agreement); Docket No. 40-8027-EA (ASLBP No. 94-684-01-EA) (Source Material License No. SUB-1010); LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)
ENFORCEMENT ACTION; ORDER (Modification of Protective Order); Docket No. 40-8027-EA (ASLBP No. 94-684-01-EA) (Source Material License No. SUB-1010); LBP-95-24, 42 NRC 235 (1995)

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, et al.
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-361, 50-362; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 15 (1995)

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY
REQUEST FOR ACTION; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; Docket Nos. 50-390, 50-391; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105 (1995)

CASE NAME INDEX

WESTERN INDUSTRIAL X-RAY INSPECTION COMPANY, INC., and LARRY D. WICKS
ENFORCEMENT ACTION; FINAL INITIAL ORDER (Approval of Settlement and Dismissal); Docket
Nos. 30-32190-EA, 30-32190-EA-2, IA-94-024 (ASLBP Nos. 94-699-09-EA, 95-702-01-EA-2,
95-703-02-EA); LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 205 (1995)
YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY
DECOMMISSIONING; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; Docket No. 50-029; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130
(1995)

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

CLI-95-10 **GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY** (Georgia Tech Research Reactor, Atlanta, Georgia), Docket No. 50-160-Ren (Renewal of License No. R-97); **OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL**; July 26, 1995; **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

A In this proceeding involving a license renewal application filed by the Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech), the Commission currently is considering appeals from Atomic Safety and Licensing Board order LBP-95-6, 41 NRC 281 (1995), which granted the Georgians Against Nuclear Energy's (GANE) petition for leave to intervene and admitted two contentions, one challenging the physical security at the Georgia Tech Research Reactor (GTRR), and the other alleging problems in the GTRR's management. Georgia Tech and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission Staff requested the Commission to stay discovery pending resolution of the appeals.

B In light of new facts received, the Commission lifts its earlier imposed temporary stay of discovery, vacates the Licensing Board decision on the security contention, and remands the security contention to the Board for reconsideration.

CLI-95-11 **CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI**, Docket Nos. 70-00270, 30-02278-MLA (Byproduct License No. 24-00513-32; Special Nuclear Materials License No. SNM-247) (TRUMP-S Project); **MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT**; August 22, 1995; **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER** (Petition for Partial Reconsideration)

A The Commission denies the University of Missouri's petition for reconsideration seeking a clarification that the "Site Area Emergency" classification for its MURR facility comes into play only when a fire or accident involving nuclear materials could lead to radiation exposures possibly approaching 1-rem whole-body dose at the site boundary. The Commission rules that a reference to the site boundary is already implicit in the existing "Site Area Emergency" condition. In addition, the Commission sua sponte requires the University either (i) to require evacuation of all persons (except emergency personnel) to a point at least 150 meters from the Alpha Lab whenever an Alert is declared as a result of a fire involving TRUMP-S materials or (ii) to provide the NRC Staff sufficient information to determine that the existing Emergency Plan and procedures (or any proposed modifications of the Plan and procedures) adequately protect the public within the site boundary in the case of a fire involving TRUMP-S materials.

CLI-95-12 **GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY** (Georgia Tech Research Reactor), Docket No. 50-160-Ren (Renewal of License No. R-97); **OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL**; October 12, 1995; **MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

A The Commission considers the appeal of an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board decision, LBP-95-6, 41 NRC 281 (1995), which granted a request for intervention and for hearing on an application submitted by the Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech), and admitted two contentions. In a previous order, CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995), the Commission remanded one contention to the Board. The Commission denies the appeals by Georgia Tech and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Staff, and affirms LBP-95-6, finding that the Petitioner meets threshold requirements for standing and an admissible contention.

B For standing, a petitioner must allege a concrete and particularized injury that is fairly traceable to the challenged action and likely to be redressed by a favorable decision.

C To derive standing from a member, an organization must demonstrate that the individual member has standing to participate, and has authorized the organization to represent his or her interests.

D Unless there has been a clear misapplication of the facts or law, the Licensing Board's judgment that a party has established standing is entitled to substantial deference.

DIGESTS

ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

- E A presumption of standing based on geographic proximity may be applied in cases involving nonpower reactors where there is a determination that the proposed action involves a significant source of radioactivity producing an obvious potential for offsite consequences. Whether and at what distance a petitioner can be presumed to be affected must be judged on a case-by-case basis, taking into account the nature of the proposed action and the significance of the radioactive source.
- F A contention must include a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted, a brief explanation of the bases of the contention, and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion that support the contention, together with references to those specific sources and documents on which the petitioner intends to rely to prove the contention. The petitioner must also demonstrate the existence of a genuine dispute with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact.
- G As part of its licensing and oversight responsibilities, the Commission may consider the adequacy of a licensee's corporate organization and the integrity of its management. The past performance of management may help indicate whether a licensee will comply with agency standards.
- H Allegations of management improprieties or lack of "integrity" must be of more than historical interest: they must relate directly to the proposed licensing action.
- CLI-95-13 PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY (Trojan Nuclear Power Station), Docket No. 50-344; DECOMMISSIONING; October 12, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A The Commission decides that under Citizens Awareness Network v. NRC, 59 F.3d 284 (1st Cir. 1995), the Licensee is not required to halt its substantially completed Large Component Removal Project (LCRP), but finds that the Licensee cannot conduct any further "major dismantling" of the Trojan facility until final NRC approval of the Trojan decommissioning plan, thus restoring effect to the NRC's pre-1993 interpretation of its 1988 decommissioning rules.
- B The NRC will exercise its enforcement discretion and not halt a substantially completed Large Component Removal Project (LCRP): where both the Licensee and the NRC Staff have prepared safety analyses that conclude that the LCRP presents no undue risk to public health and safety; where the party seeking to stop the LCRP has failed to ask for a hearing in a timely fashion; where the balance of harm to the parties does not weigh heavily against either party; and where there will be an opportunity for a hearing on the remaining 99% of the decommissioning plan.
- C In some limited cases, NRC Staff review of a Licensee's preliminary environmental document may satisfy the requirement for an Environmental Assessment.
- D Where the radioactivity involved in a Licensee's LCRP is only 1% of the facility's total nonfuel radioactivity, halting further dismantling at the facility pending final decommissioning plan approval gives ample effect to a court decision concerned that the "decommissioning plan approval process" should be followed before "the actual decommissioning activities are already completed[]."
- CLI-95-14 YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY (Yankee Nuclear Power Station), Docket No. 50-029; DECOMMISSIONING; October 12, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A On remand from the First Circuit Court of Appeals, the Commission holds that the Court's decision (Citizens Awareness Network v. NRC, 59 F.3d 284 (1st Cir. 1995)), reinstating the NRC's pre-1993 decommissioning policy, requires issuance of a notice of opportunity for an adjudicatory hearing on the Yankee NPS decommissioning plan. The Commission directs the Licensee to inform it promptly of the steps it will take to come into compliance with the reinstated rule. The Commission notes that NRC regulations prohibit Yankee Atomic from conducting further major dismantling or decommissioning activities until after completion of the hearing process.
- B The NRC has defined "major dismantling" under the 1988 regulations as "major structural changes to radioactive components of the facility or other major changes" See 53 Fed. Reg. 24,018, 24,025 (1988) ("Statement of Considerations," 1988 decommissioning rule).
- C Under the Commission's pre-1993 interpretation of its 1988 decommissioning regulations, a nuclear power plant licensee may not conduct major decommissioning activities prior to final NRC approval of a decommissioning plan.
- D Prior to 1993, the Commission had consistently interpreted its 1988 regulations on decommissioning as requiring an adjudicatory hearing prior to the NRC's final approval of a licensee's decommissioning plan.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

- E A licensee's argument that the NRC's provision of an adjudicatory hearing on a previously approved decommissioning plan may result in financial hardship to the licensee due to decommissioning delays, does not excuse the Commission from providing a meaningful remedy to effectuate a Court of Appeals decision.
- F Where a Court of Appeals has recognized in its decision that a licensee has virtually completed major decommissioning of a nuclear power plant, but that a continued removal of radioactive material will continue to pose safety and health questions, the NRC considers itself duty bound to take the only action available to it that gives meaning to the Court's decision — provide an adjudicatory hearing on the licensee's decommissioning plan in accordance with the Commission's pre-1993 interpretation of its regulations.
- CLI-95-15 GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3, 50-425-OLA-3; OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; November 21, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A The Commission reviews an interlocutory Atomic Safety and Licensing Board decision made orally on the record (Sept. 6, 1995, Tr. at 13,154-58), ordering the Licensee to produce notes taken by the Licensee's attorney on communications with a Licensee employee. The Commission concludes that the notes are protected under the attorney-client privilege, and vacates the Licensing Board's order.
- B Typically, discovery orders can be reviewed on appeal following a final judgment, and a claim of privilege is not alone sufficient to justify interlocutory review.
- C Immediate review may be appropriate in exceptional circumstances, when the potential difficulty of later unscrambling and remedying the effects of an improper disclosure of privileged material would likely result in an irreparable impact.
- D The attorney-client privilege protects from discovery confidential communications from a client to an attorney made to enable the attorney to provide informed legal advice. The privilege is applicable when a corporation is the client.
- E Key to application of the attorney-client privilege is a showing that the communication was made for the corporation to obtain legal advice, that it was made confidentially, and that it was not disseminated beyond those with a need to know.
- F Not every communication by an employee to counsel is privileged. Communications made for business or personal advice are not covered by the privilege. Privileged communications concern matters within the scope of the employee's duties.
- G The attorney-client privilege protects only the communications of facts from client to attorney, not the underlying facts themselves.
- CLI-95-16 SEQUOYAH FUELS CORPORATION and GENERAL ATOMICS (Gore, Oklahoma Site), Docket No. 40-8027-EA (Decontamination and Decommissioning Funding); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; December 14, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
- A The Commission reverses the portion of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board's Order, LBP-95-5, 41 NRC 253 (1995), that entered a provision in a protective order restricting the NRC Staff from referring confidential information obtained through discovery to other NRC offices without first obtaining Board approval. The Commission vacates that provision and directs the Board to enter a new provision in accordance with this opinion.
- B The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board may not place itself in the position of deciding whether the NRC Staff should be permitted to refer information obtained through discovery to NRC investigatory staff offices.
- C The licensing board performs the important task of judging factual and legal disputes between parties, but it is not an institution trained or experienced in assessing the investigatory significance of raw evidence.
- D The regulation permitting the Board to enter protective orders, 10 C.F.R. § 2.740, is procedural and may not be read to enlarge the Licensing Board's authority into areas that the Commission has clearly assigned to other offices.
- CLI-95-17 CURATORS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, Docket Nos. 70-00270, 30-02278-MLA (TRUMP-S Project) (Byproduct License No. 24-00513-32; Special Nuclear Materials License No. SNM-247); MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; December 14, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Petition for Reconsideration)

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

- A The Commission denies the Intervenor's petition for reconsideration of an order (CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)) imposing a condition upon the University of Missouri regarding its TRUMP-S experiments. The Commission rules that the NRC Chairman had sufficient authority to approve CLI-95-11 despite the absence of a three-person Commission; the Commission's acknowledgment in CLI-95-11 that the site of the experiments is highly accessible to the public did not necessitate a reexamination of the safety of the TRUMP-S Project; and a challenged licensing condition, imposed by the Commission in CLI-95-11, regarding the Licensee's actions during an Alert is adequate to protect the public.
- B Pursuant to section 3 of the NRC Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, an order may be issued on the authority of only one Commissioner rather than the quorum of three called for by the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974.
- C An amendment to an Emergency Plan is unnecessary if it would not enhance the public safety and would not make a requirement previously imposed by a Commission order any more enforceable than it already is.
- D Counsel's derogatory description of the NRC Staff constitutes intemperate, even disrespectful, rhetoric and is wholly inappropriate in legal pleadings.
- E An adjudicator at the Commission has the authority to delegate to the NRC Staff the responsibility of verifying that the licensee or applicant has responded adequately to a license condition imposed by the adjudicator. Because meetings between NRC Staff and a licensee under such delegated authority are public, such delegation does not deprive Intervenor of an opportunity to know what communications transpire between the University and Staff, or to know the basis of any Staff determination, or to contest such determination. The Intervenor would receive advance notice of, and would be permitted to attend, such meetings.
- F If the Intervenor disagrees with conclusions reached at a meeting between Staff and licensee regarding whether the licensee had complied with the Commission's licensing conditions, the Intervenor may seek further agency action by filing a petition with the Commission pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.206. The Staff response to such a petition would be subject to the ultimate oversight of the Commission itself.

DIGESTS

ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDS

LBP-95-14 GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (Georgia Tech Research Reactor, Atlanta, Georgia), Docket No. 50-160-OM (ASLBP No. 95-710-01-OM) (Order Modifying Facility Operating License No. R-97); OPERATING LICENSE MODIFICATION; July 31, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Intervention Petition)

A In a proceeding involving the proposed conversion of fuel in a research reactor from high-enriched fuel (HEU) to low-enriched fuel (LEU), the Licensing Board accepts the standing of the Petitioner for intervention based on standing established by that Intervenor in an ongoing license-renewal proceeding (subject to confirmation that the member upon whom the Intervenor relied in the renewal proceeding also seeks representation in the instant proceeding). The Board sets schedules for the filing of proposed contentions and responses thereto.

B Under certain circumstances, even if a current proceeding is separate from an earlier proceeding, the Commission may refuse to apply its rules of procedure in an overly formalistic manner by requiring that petitioners participating in the earlier proceeding must again identify their interests to participate in the current proceeding. Georgia Power Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), LBP-91-33, 34 NRC 138 (1991).

LBP-95-15 GEORGIA POWER COMPANY, et al. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3, 50-425-OLA-3 (ASLBP No. 93-671-01-OLA-3) (Re: License Amendment; Transfer to Southern Nuclear); OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; August 3, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Request for Discovery Concerning Ester Dixon)

A The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board held that a secretary's communications, recorded in a note by her employer's attorney, are unlikely to be discoverable because they are privileged communications of a client to an attorney. However, the Board ordered the in camera inspection of the notes before reaching a final determination concerning the specific factual circumstances present in this case and the applicability of the purposes of the attorney-client privilege.

B When the client is a corporation, the attorney-client privilege applies to communications by any corporate employee regardless of position when the communications concern matters within the scope of the employee's corporate duties and the employee is aware that the information is being furnished to enable the attorney to provide legal advice to the corporation. Upjohn Co. v. United States, 449 U.S. 383, 396-97, 101 S. Ct. 677, 685-86 (1981).

C When a claim of attorney-client privilege is made for a document containing a simple report of facts, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board may examine the document further in order to ascertain whether granting privilege to the document is consistent with the purposes of the attorney-client privilege.

LBP-95-16 HARTSELL D. PHILLIPS, JR. (West Virginia), Docket No. IA 94-001 (ASLBP No. 94-694-05-EA) (Re: Allegation of Deliberate Violations); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; September 19, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Dismissal Pursuant to Agreement)

A The Board dismissed this case by adopting a settlement agreement reached by Mr. Phillips and the Staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. The Settlement occurred after Mr. Phillips pled guilty to a one-count Superseding Information stating a violation of law. The terms of the agreement, which the Board adopted, provided for Mr. Phillips to be suspended from participation in the nuclear industry for a period of time.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDS

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDS

- LBP-95-17 CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, et al. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-440-OLA-3 (ASLBP No. 90-605-02-OLA); OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; October 4, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Ruling on Motions for Summary Disposition)**
- A** The Licensing Board grants the Intervenor's motion for summary disposition in this proceeding involving a license amendment to remove from the facility technical specifications the schedule for the withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens.
 - B** Because Appendix H of Part 50 is legislative in character, the rules of interpretation applicable to statutes are equally germane to determining that regulation's meaning. 1A Sutherland, Statutory Construction § 31.06 (5th ed. 1992).
 - C** Where the meaning of a regulation is clear and obvious, the regulatory language is conclusive and we may not disregard the letter of the regulation. We must enforce the regulation as written.
 - D** We may not read unwarranted meanings into an unambiguous regulation even to support a supposedly desirable policy that is not effectuated by the regulation as written. See 2A Sutherland, Statutory Construction § 46.01 (5th ed. 1992).
 - E** To discern regulatory meaning, we are not free to go outside the express terms of an unambiguous regulation to extrinsic aids such as regulatory history. Aids to interpretation only can be used to resolve ambiguity in an equivocal regulation, never to create it in an unambiguous one.
- LBP-95-18 SEQUOYAH FUELS CORPORATION and GENERAL ATOMICS (Gore, Oklahoma Site Decontamination and Decommissioning Funding), Docket No. 40-8027-EA (ASLBP No. 94-684-01-EA) (Source Material License No. SUB-1010); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; October 26, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Approval of Settlement Agreement)**
- LBP-95-19 GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (Georgia Tech Research Reactor, Atlanta, Georgia), Docket No. 50-160-Ren (ASLBP No. 95-704-01-Ren) (Renewal of Facility License No. R-97); OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL; November 1, 1995; PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION (Mootness of Security Contention)**
- A** With respect to a contention challenging the physical security of the site during the 1996 Summer Olympic Games, the Licensing Board determines that the Applicant's proposed removal of fuel from the site prior to the Olympic Games and not replacing it until after the Olympic Games makes the contention moot, notwithstanding the Applicant's failure to remove other radioactive materials under the control of the State of Georgia as an Agreement State (concerning which the Board lacks jurisdiction).
 - B** Mootness is not necessarily dependent upon a party's views that its claims have been satisfied but, rather, occurs when a justiciable controversy no longer exists.
- LBP-95-20 ENERGY FUELS NUCLEAR, INC., Docket No. 40-8681-MLA-3 (ASLBP No. 94-693-02-MLA-3) (Source Material License No. SUA-1358); MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT; November 3, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Terminating Proceeding)**
- LBP-95-21 DR. JAMES E. BAUER (Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities), Docket No. IA-94-011 (ASLBP No. 94-696-05-EA); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; November 13, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Approving Settlement Agreement and Dismissing Proceeding)**
- LBP-95-22 WESTERN INDUSTRIAL X-RAY INSPECTION COMPANY, INC., and LARRY D. WICKS, Docket Nos. 30-32190-EA, 30-32190-EA-2, IA-94-024 (ASLBP Nos. 94-699-09-EA, 95-702-01-EA-2, 95-703-02-EA); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; November 16, 1995; FINAL INITIAL ORDER (Approval of Settlement and Dismissal)**
- LBP-95-23 GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (Georgia Tech Research Reactor, Atlanta, Georgia), Docket No. 50-160-OM (ASLBP No. 95-710-01-OM) (Order Modifying Facility Operating License No. R-97); OPERATING LICENSE MODIFICATION; November 22, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Denial of Petition for Leave to Intervene)**
- A** In a proceeding involving the proposed conversion of fuel in a research reactor from high-enriched fuel (HEU) to low-enriched fuel (LEU), the Licensing Board determines that the single petitioner for intervention has standing but has not proffered an acceptable contention and, accordingly, denies the petition for leave to intervene.
 - B** Where there are two ongoing proceedings involving the same facility, an intervenor in the first proceeding need not reiterate its statement of standing in the second proceeding but may instead rely on its standing in the earlier proceeding.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARDS

LBP-95-24 SEQUOYAH FUELS CORPORATION and GENERAL ATOMICS (Gore, Oklahoma Site Decontamination and Decommissioning Funding), Docket No. 40-8027-EA (ASLBP No. 94-684-01-EA) (Source Material License No. SUB-1010); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; December 18, 1995; ORDER (Modification of Protective Order)

LBP-95-25 RADIATION ONCOLOGY CENTER AT MARLTON (Marlton, New Jersey), Docket No. 30-32493-CivP (ASLBP No. 95-709-02-CivP) (EA 93-072) (Byproduct Materials License No. 29-28685-01); ENFORCEMENT ACTION; December 20, 1995; PREHEARING CONFERENCE ORDER (Issues and Schedules for Proceeding)

A In a civil penalty proceeding, the Licensing Board enters a Prehearing Conference Order setting forth issues in controversy and establishing schedules for the proceeding.

B Although recognizing the Staff's broad discretion in determining the amount of a civil penalty, results reached in other cases may nonetheless be relevant in determining whether the Staff may have abused its discretion in this case. A nexus to the current proceeding would have to be shown, and differing circumstances might well explain seemingly disparate penalties in various cases.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

DD-95-13 ROSEMOUNT NUCLEAR INSTRUMENTS, INCORPORATED (Eden Prairie, Minnesota), Docket No. 99900271; REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 5, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

- A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denies a petition by Paul M. Blanch that requested certain action with regard to Rosemount Nuclear Instruments, Incorporated (Rosemount). The petition requested that: (1) Rosemount immediately inform all users of safety-related transmitters in accordance with the requirements of 10 C.F.R. Part 21 of the shelf-life limitations of its pressure transmitter sensor-cell fill-oil and that the fill-oil may crystallize if the transmitters are exposed to temperatures of less than 70°F, and provide all available information to each licensee for evaluation; (2) the NRC take "prompt and vigorous" enforcement action against Rosemount for knowingly and consciously failing to provide notification as required by 10 C.F.R. Part 21 of these issues and that a separate violation be issued for each defect and failure to provide the required notice; and (3) the NRC consider escalated enforcement action due to the repetitive nature of the alleged violations.

DD-95-14 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY, et al. (San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 2 and 3), Docket Nos. 50-361, 50-362; REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 24, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

- A The Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, denies a petition filed on September 19, 1994, and supplemented by letters dated December 2 and December 7, 1994, by Mr. Richard M. Dean requesting a shutdown of the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS). The request was based on concerns regarding the closure of the Pacific Coast Highway and the recent financial losses incurred by Orange County as related to the County's ability to effectively participate in emergency evacuation plans in the event of an emergency at SONGS.

DD-95-15 GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (Georgia Tech Research Reactor, Atlanta, Georgia), Docket No. 50-160; REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 31, 1995; PARTIAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

- A The Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, partially denies a petition dated October 23, 1994, filed by Ms. Pamela Blockey-O'Brien (Petitioner). This Partial Director's Decision also considered subsequent letters from the Petitioner dated November 12 and December 4, 1994, February 21, February 23, March 6, March 28, April 19, May 18, June 27, and July 18, 1995. The Petitioner requested (1) the shutdown and decontamination of the Georgia Tech Research Reactor, (2) the revocation of liquid radioactive material release authority to all licensees, (3) the revocation of licenses that use the principle of as low as reasonably achievable, (4) the termination of transportation of radioactive material by mail, and (5) the modification to posting requirements for radioactive material. After a review of the Petitioner's concerns, the Acting Director concluded that the Petitioner's concerns, addressed to date, do not raise substantial health and safety concerns warranting the requested actions. The reasons for the partial denial are fully set forth in the Partial Director's Decision.

DD-95-16 NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY (Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-245; REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 2, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

- A The Director of the Office of Enforcement has denied a petition filed by Clarence O. Reynolds requesting that the NRC take immediate escalated enforcement action with regard to Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 on the basis of alleged discriminatory actions taken against him. Specifically, Mr.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

DIGESTS

ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

Reynolds requested that multiple Severity Level II and III violations be issued against the Millstone Unit 1 Maintenance Department, that suspension of Maintenance Department management be instituted pending a complete investigation, and that he be immediately reinstated as maintenance mechanic pending completion of the investigation. The reasons for the denial are fully set forth in the Decision.

DD-95-17 NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY (Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336; REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 2, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Enforcement has denied petitions filed by Anthony J. Ross requesting that the NRC take escalated enforcement action with regard to violations at Millstone Nuclear Power Station arising from alleged discriminatory acts committed by his supervisors. Mr. Ross asks that the NRC issue Severity Level II and III violations and other sanctions against the supervisors who committed the alleged acts of discrimination, and that Severity Level I violations be issued against senior managers for failing to rectify the problem. The reasons for the denial are fully set forth in the Decision.

DD-95-18 GENERAL PUBLIC UTILITIES NUCLEAR CORPORATION (Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station), Docket No. 50-219; REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 4, 1995; PARTIAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation denies in part a petition dated September 19, 1994, filed with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) by Reactor Watchdog Project, Nuclear Information and Resource Service (NIRS), and Oyster Creek Nuclear Watch (Petitioners), requesting that the NRC take action with respect to the General Public Utilities Nuclear Corporation (GPUN or Licensee) Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (OCNGS). The petition requests that the NRC: (1) immediately suspend the OCNGS operating license until the Licensee inspects and repairs or replaces all safety-class reactor internal component parts subject to embrittlement and cracking, (2) immediately suspend the OCNGS operating license until the Licensee submits an analysis regarding the synergistic effects of through-wall cracking of multiple safety-class components, (3) immediately suspend the OCNGS operating license until the Licensee has analyzed and mitigated any areas of noncompliance with regard to irradiated fuel pool cooling as a single-unit boiling-water reactor (BWR), and (4) issue a generic letter requiring other licensees of single-unit BWRs to submit information regarding fuel pool boiling in order to verify compliance with regulatory requirements, and to promptly take appropriate mitigative action if the unit is not in compliance.

B The December 13, 1994 Supplemental Petition requests that the NRC: (1) suspend the license of the OCNGS until the Petitioners' concerns regarding cracking are addressed, including inspection of all reactor vessel internal components and other safety-related systems susceptible to intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCC) and completion of any and all necessary repairs and modifications; (2) explain discrepancies between the response of the NRC Staff, dated October 27, 1994, to the Petition of September 19, 1994, and the time-to-boil calculations for the FitzPatrick plant; (3) require GPUN to produce documents for evaluation of the time-to-boil calculation for the OCNGS irradiated fuel pool; (4) identify redundant components that may be powered from onsite power supplies to be used for spent fuel pool cooling as qualified Class 1E systems; (5) hold a public meeting in Toms River, New Jersey, to permit presentation of additional information related to the petition; and (6) treat the Petitioner's letter of December 13, 1994, as a formal appeal of the denial of Petitioners' request of September 19, 1994, to immediately suspend the OCNGS operating license.

C After review of the issues related to cracking of reactor internal components raised by Requests (1) and (2) of the September 19, 1994 Petition, and Request (1) of the December 13, 1994 Supplemental Petition, the petition is denied with respect to these requests because the issues raised by the Petitioners are being adequately addressed already. A Director's Decision concerning the issues related to irradiated fuel pool cooling and fuel pool boiling, raised by Requests (3) and (4) of the September 19, 1994 Petition and Requests (2), (3), and (4) of the December 13, 1994 supplemental Petition will be issued upon completion of NRC Staff's review regarding those matters. Petitioner's request for a public meeting and for treatment of their letter of December 13, 1994, as a formal appeal of the NRC Staff's denial of their request of September 19, 1994, for immediate suspension of the OCNGS operating license, was denied by letter dated April 10, 1995.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

DD-95-19 BOSTON EDISON COMPANY (Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station), Docket No. 50-293 (License No. DRP-35); REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 31, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation grants in part and denies in part a petition dated March 10, 1995, submitted by Mary Elizabeth Lampert and sixty-two other individuals pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.206, and which requests action with regard to the Pilgrim Nuclear Power Station (Pilgrim), operated by the Boston Edison Company (Licensee).

B Petitioners' request that the NRC not permit restart of Pilgrim until repairs are performed and corrective action is taken with respect to a number of certain reactor internals, parts, and components was denied because all potential problems identified by Petitioners had been satisfactorily addressed by the Licensee. Petitioners' request to terminate the NRC policy of issuing notices of enforcement discretion to reactor licensees was denied. Petitioners' request for a public meeting in Plymouth, Massachusetts, was granted.

DD-95-20 TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (Watts Bar Nuclear Plant), Docket Nos. 50-390, 50-391; REQUEST FOR ACTION; September 13, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Enforcement denies a petition dated February 25, 1994, filed with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) by George M. Gillilan (Petitioner), and supplemented by letters dated June 16, June 28, July 6, 1994, and February 24 and 28, 1995, requesting enforcement action pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.206 (petition). The petition requested that the NRC: (1) immediately impose a \$25,000 per day fine on Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) until all reprisal, intimidation, harassment, and discrimination actions involving the Petitioner are settled to his satisfaction, and (2) appoint an independent arbitration board to review all past DOL suits and EEO complaints filed against TVA concerning Watts Bar.

B After an evaluation of the petition, the Director concluded that the Petitioner's claims are unsubstantiated and that enforcement action is not necessary at this time.

DD-95-21 SEQUOYAH FUELS CORPORATION (Gore, Oklahoma Facility), Docket No. 40-8027; REQUEST FOR ACTION; October 23, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards denies in part a petition dated March 11, 1995, filed with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) by Native Americans for a Clean Environment (NACE), requesting that the NRC take action with respect to the Sequoyah Fuels Corporation (SFC) facility in Gore, Oklahoma. The petition requests that the NRC: (1) reverse the NRC Staff's decision to permit SFC to proceed with site characterization without submitting a final Site Characterization Plan (SCP) by issuing an Order or a Confirmatory Action Letter obliging SFC to submit a final SCP by a date certain; (2) obtain a copy of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) title search or perform a title search of all property used in connection with the SFC license in order to clarify the identity and ownership of all property subject to NRC License No. SUB-1010; (3) issue an order forbidding SFC, Sequoyah Fuels International Corporation, Sequoyah Holding Corporation, or any other associated corporation that holds title to property under NRC License No. SUB-1010 from transferring any interest in any of its property before SFC applies for and receives a license amendment authorizing transfer; and (4) before issuing any such license amendment, find reasonable assurance that any entity acquiring an interest in the SFC property fully understands the nature of the liabilities and responsibilities it is undertaking for cleanup and long-term care of the site and that it has the financial capability to carry out those responsibilities.

B The Petitioner's request that SFC be ordered to submit a written final SCP by a date certain is denied. Petitioner's request that NRC perform a title search of property subject to NRC License No. SUB-1010 was satisfied by EPA's provision of a copy of the title search it had performed. Action on Petitioner's request for an order forbidding the transfer of any interest in land subject to NRC License No. SUB-1010 before SFC applies for and receives a license amendment permitting such transfers is unnecessary because applicable regulations address Petitioner's concerns. Likewise, Petitioner's request that, before granting such a license amendment application, NRC ensure that potential purchasers of property be subject to NRC License No. SUB-1010 to be fully apprised of their obligations for site remediation and long-term care and that NRC ensure that such potential purchasers are financially qualified to do so, is unnecessary because applicable regulations address Petitioner's concerns.

DIGESTS
ISSUANCES OF DIRECTORS' DECISIONS

DD-95-22 MAINE YANKEE ATOMIC POWER COMPANY (Maine Yankee Atomic Power Plant), Docket Nos. 50-309 (License No. DPR-36); OMAHA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT (Fort Calhoun, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-285 (License No. DPR-40); BALTIMORE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (Calvert Cliffs, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-317, 50-318 (License Nos. DPR-53, DPR-69); NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY (Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 2), Docket No. 50-336 (License No. DPR-65); FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-335 (License No. DPR-67); REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 6, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has denied a petition filed by John F. Doherty, J.D., requesting that six pressurized-water reactors be shut down and that the steam generator tubes at each of those plants be inspected. The petition is based on a recent inspection of the Maine Yankee plant using the Point Plus system which allegedly revealed steam generator tubes on the verge of rupture. Because the other plants identified in the petition were built by the same manufacturer and are of similar operating age, Mr. Doherty asks that they be shut down and immediately inspected using the Point Plus probe system. The reasons for the denial are fully set forth in the Decision.

DD-95-23 NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY COMPANY (Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), Docket No. 50-245 (License No. DPR-21); REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 19, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206

A The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has denied a petition by Anthony J. Ross. The Petitioner requested that the NRC take enforcement action against certain individuals at Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 1 for deliberate misconduct in connection with the site paging and site siren evacuation alarm system in the facility maintenance shop. Following a review of the issues raised by the Petitioner, the Director has concluded that no substantial health and safety issues have been raised that would warrant the action requested by the Petitioner.

B The following technical issue is discussed: emergency plans.

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

CASES

- Admiral Insurance Co. v. United States District Court for the District of Arizona, 881 F.2d 1486, 1491 (9th Cir. 1989)
 exceptional circumstances for purposes of interlocutory review; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 184 (1995)
- Admiral Insurance Co. v. United States District Court, 881 F.2d 1486, 1492 (9th Cir. 1989)
 standard for application of attorney-client privilege; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 53 (1995)
- Ampicillin Antitrust Litigation, 81 F.R.D. 377, 385 n.9 (1978)
 applicability of attorney-client privilege to corporate status report or minutes of a meeting; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 (1995)
- Ampicillin Antitrust Litigation, 81 F.R.D. 377, 385, 387 (D.D.C. 1978)
 relevance of communication to particular legal problem in application of attorney-client privilege;
 CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 n.12 (1995)
- Armed Forces Radiobiology Institute (Cobalt-60 Storage Facility), ALAB-682, 16 NRC 150, 153-54 (1982)
 geographic proximity as basis for standing to intervene in nonpower reactor licensing actions;
 CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116, 117 (1995)
- Bieter Co., 16 F.3d 929, 931-32 (8th Cir. 1994)
 exceptional circumstances for purposes of interlocutory review; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 184, 185 (1995)
- Bowen v. Georgetown University Hospital, 488 U.S. 204, 208-09 (1993)
 retroactive effect of new rules; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 134 n.4 (1995)
- Citizens Awareness Network v. NRC, 59 F.3d 284 (1st Cir. 1995)
 prevention of decommissioning prior to final Commission approval of decommissioning plan;
 CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 126 (1995)
 reinstatement of NRC decommissioning policy; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 131 (1995)
- Citizens Awareness Network v. NRC, 59 F.3d 284, 294 (1st Cir. 1995)
 hearing rights on license amendments; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 149 (1995)
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1), CLI-93-21, 38 NRC 87, 92 (1993)
 judicial concepts of standing applied in NRC proceedings; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Command Transportation, Inc. v. Y.S. Line (USA) Corp., 116 F.R.D. 94, 96 (D. Mass. 1987)
 application of attorney-client privilege when it promotes flow of information from corporation to counsel; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 n.12 (1995)
- Connecticut National Bank v. Germain, 503 U.S. 249, 253-54 (1992)
 interpretation of unambiguous language in a regulation; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- Consolidated Edison Co. of New York (Indian Point, Units 1, 2, and 3), CLI-75-8, 2 NRC 173, 175 (1975)
 standard for institution of show-cause proceedings; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 18 (1995); DD-95-15, 42 NRC 38 (1995); DD-95-19, 42 NRC 97 (1995); DD-95-21, 42 NRC 179 (1995); DD-95-23, 42 NRC 257 (1995)
- Consumers Power Co. (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-74-3, 7 AEC 7, 12 (1974)
 standing to intervene in informal proceedings based on participation in earlier proceedings;
 LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 6-7 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

CASES

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

CASES

- Curators of the University of Missouri, LBP-91-31, 34 NRC 29, 130 (1991)
delegation of oversight authority to NRC Staff; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.4 (1995)
- Detroit Edison Co. (Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant, Unit 2), LBP-78-11, 7 NRC 381, 386, aff'd, ALAB-470, 7 NRC 473 (1978)
nexus requirement for litigation of allegations of management improprieties; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 120 (1995)
- Dollar Savings Association v. Eisen (In re METCOA, Inc., fdba The Pesses Co.), Case No. B83-00415, Adv. No. B85-0092, slip op. at 17-18 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio Nov. 18, 1986)
effect of bankruptcy proceeding on decommissioning funding; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 158 (1995)
- Duke Power Co. (Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2), CLI-83-31, 18 NRC 1303, 1305 (1983)
standard for application of attorney-client privilege; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 53 (1995)
- Duquesne Light Co. (Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-109, 6 AEC 243, 244 (1973)
weight given to licensing board rulings on standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116 (1995)
- First Chicago International v. United Exchange Co., 125 F.R.D. 55, 57 (S.D.N.Y. 1989)
application of attorney-client privilege to communications resulting from corporation's need for legal advice; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 n.12 (1995)
- Florida Power and Light Co. (St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 2), CLI-80-41, 12 NRC 650, 652 (1980)
Commission authority to decline further petitions for reconsideration; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 234 (1995)
- Friends of the River v. FERC, 720 F.2d 93, 106-08 (D.C. Cir. 1983)
NRC Staff review of licensee's preliminary environmental document as satisfaction of requirement for environmental assessment; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 127 n.3 (1995)
- Georgia Power Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-93-16, 38 NRC 25, 30, 31 (1993)
Commission authority to make determinations on adequacy of licensee's corporate organization and management integrity; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 120 (1995)
- Georgia Power Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-94-15, 40 NRC 319, 321 (1994)
standard for grant of interlocutory review; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 184 (1995)
- Georgia Power Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), CLI-94-5, 39 NRC 190, 193 (1994)
standard for grant of interlocutory review; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 184 (1995)
- Georgia Power Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), LBP-91-33, 34 NRC 138, 141 (1991)
standing to intervene in informal proceedings based on participation in earlier proceedings; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 6-7 (1995); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 217 (1995)
- Georgia Power Co. (Vogtle Electric Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), LBP-93-18, 38 NRC 121, 124, 125 (1993)
discovery of privileged material; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 52 (1995)
- Gulf States Utilities Co. (River Bend Station, Unit 1), CLI-94-10, 40 NRC 43, 47-48 (1994)
weight given to licensing board rulings on standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116 (1995)
- Gulf States Utilities Co. (River Bend Station, Unit 1), CLI-94-10, 40 NRC 43, 51 (1994)
pleading requirements at contention admission stage; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 118 (1995)
- Gulf States Utilities Co. (River Bend Station, Unit 1), CLI-94-10, 40 NRC 43, 52 (1994)
effect of Staff's favorable finding on management integrity on the litigability of that issue; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 120 (1995)
- Hamlin Testing Laboratories, Inc., 2 AEC 423, 428 (1964), aff'd sub nom. Hamlin Testing Laboratories v. AEC, 357 F.2d 632 (6th Cir. 1966)
licensee's past performance as determinant of licensee's management qualifications; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 120 (1995)
- Harper & Row Publishing Co. v. Decker, 423 F.2d 487, 492 (7th Cir. 1970), aff'd, 400 U.S. 348 (1971)
standards for grant of attorney-client privilege; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 185 (1995)
- Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821, 831-32 (1985)
completion of significant decommissioning prior to NRC approval of plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 128 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX
CASES

- Houston Lighting and Power Co. (Allens Creek Nuclear Generating Station, Unit 1), ALAB-535, 9 NRC 377, 390-96 (1979)
organizational standing based on membership; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-549, 9 NRC 644, 646-47 (1979)
organizational standing, basis for; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Houston Lighting and Power Co. (South Texas Project, Units 1 and 2), LBP-86-15, 23 NRC 595, 627, aff'd, ALAB-849, 24 NRC 523 (1986)
inappropriate language in legal pleadings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.1 (1995)
- Howe v. Smith, 452 U.S. 473, 483 (1981)
interpretation of unambiguous language in a regulation; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- Hurley Medical Center (One Hurley Plaza, Flint, Michigan), ALJ-87-2, 25 NRC 219, 236-37 (1987)
hearing rights on civil penalties; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 239 (1995)
- Kelley v. Selin, 42 F.3d 1501, 1508 (6th Cir. 1995)
injury-in-fact standard for grant of standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Leucadia, Inc. v. Reliance Insurance Co., 101 F.R.D. 674, 679 (S.D.N.Y. 1983), cert. denied, 490 U.S. 1107 (1989)
application of attorney-client privilege to counsel's factual investigation for purpose of rendering legal advice; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 n.12 (1995)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), CLI-91-2, 33 NRC 61, 73 n.5 (1991)
decommissioning activities prior to final Commission approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 127 (1995); CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 132 (1995)
- Long Island Lighting Co. (Shoreham Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1), ALAB-900, 28 NRC 275, 288, review declined, CLI-88-11, 28 NRC 603 (1988)
statutory construction of regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 143 (1995)
- LTV Securities Litigation, 89 F.R.D. 595, 601 (N.D. Tex. 1981)
application of attorney-client privilege where lawyer's role is investigatory; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 188 (1995)
- LTV Securities Litigation, 89 F.R.D. 595, 602 (N.D. Tex. 1981)
application of attorney-client privilege to communications resulting from corporation's need for legal advice; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 n.12, 188 (1995)
- Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 112 S. Ct. 2130, 2136 (1992)
injury-in-fact standard for grant of standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife, 112 S. Ct. 2130, 2142-43 n.7 (1992)
geographic proximity as basis for standing to intervene in nonpower reactor licensing actions; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116 (1995)
- Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), CLI-85-9, 21 NRC 1118, 1137, aff'd sub nom. In re Three Mile Island Alert, Inc., 771 F.2d 720 (3d Cir. 1985), cert. denied, 475 U.S. 1082 (1986)
Commission authority to make determinations on adequacy of licensee's corporate organization and management integrity; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 120 (1995)
- Metropolitan Edison Co. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1), CLI-82-31, 16 NRC 1236, 1239-40 (1982)
licensing board authority over NRC Staff; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 225 (1995)
- Midlantic Bank v. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, 474 U.S. 494 (1986)
effect of bankruptcy proceedings on environmental protection of public health and safety; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 155 n.6 (1995)
- Northern Indiana Public Service Co. (Bailly Generating Station, Nuclear-1), ALAB-204, 7 AEC 835, 837-38 (1974)
inappropriate language in legal pleadings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.1 (1995)
- Northern States Power Co. (Pathfinder Atomic Plant), LBP-90-3, 31 NRC 40, 43 n.1, 45 (1990)
geographic proximity as basis for standing to intervene in nonpower reactor licensing actions; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

CASES

- Northern States Power Co. (Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-107, 6 AEC 188, 193 (1973), aff'd on other grounds, CLI-73-12, 6 AEC 241 (1973), aff'd sub nom. BPI v. AEC, 502 F.2d 424 (D.C. Cir. 1974)
weight given to licensing board rulings on standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116 (1995)
- Pacific Gas and Electric Co. (Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-600, 12 NRC 3, 8 (1980)
late participation by a state; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 158 n.5 (1995)
- Pennsylvania Welfare Department v. Davenport, 495 U.S. 552, 557-58 (1990)
statutory construction of regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 143 (1995)
- Philadelphia Electric Co. (Fulton Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-657, 14 NRC 967, 978-79 (1981)
dismissal of proceedings with prejudice, circumstances appropriate for; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 198 (1995)
- Philadelphia Electric Co. (Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3), ALAB-216, 8 AEC 13, 20-21 (1974)
litigability of attacks on statutory requirements; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 193 (1995)
- Portland General Electric Co. (Pebble Springs Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-273, 1 NRC 492, 494 (1975)
weight given to licensing board rulings on standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116, 117 (1995)
- Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (North Coast Nuclear Plant, Unit 1), ALAB-662, 14 NRC 1125 (1981)
dismissal of proceedings with prejudice, circumstances appropriate for; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 198 (1995)
- Public Service Co. of Indiana (Marble Hill Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-316, 3 NRC 167, 170-71 (1976)
litigable issues, determinant of; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 118 (1995)
- Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-91-3, 33 NRC 49, 50-51 (1991) ; LBP-90-20, 31 NRC 581, 587-88 (1990)
delegation of oversight authority to NRC Staff; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.4 (1995)
- Public Service Co. of New Hampshire (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2), LBP-89-32, 30 NRC 375, 436, 650 (1989, aff'd as to this ruling, CLI-90-3, 31 NRC 219, 251 (1990), aff'd sub nom. Massachusetts v. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 924 F.2d 311 (D.C. Cir.), cert. denied, 502 U.S. 899 (1991).
delegation of oversight authority to NRC Staff; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.4 (1995)
- Resolution Trust Corp. v. Cityfed Financial Corp., 57 F.3d 1231, 1242 (3rd Cir. 1995)
consideration of regulatory history in interpretation of regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 147 n.21 (1995)
- Reves v. Ernst & Young, 122 L. Ed. 2d 525, 535 (1993)
interpretation of unambiguous language in a regulation; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- Rubin v. United States, 449 U.S. 424, 430 (1981)
interpretation of unambiguous language in a regulation; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station), CLI-92-2, 35 NRC 47, 61 n.7 (1992)
prevention of decommissioning prior to final Commission approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 127 (1995); CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 132 (1995)
- Sacramento Municipal Utility District (Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station), CLI-93-12, 37 NRC 355 (1993)
major decommission activities prior to hearing on proposed plan; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 134-35 (1995)
- Samaritan Foundation v. Goldfarb, 176 Ariz. 497, 862 P.2d 870, 877 (1993)
characterization of an employee as a witness rather than as a client, for purposes of determining attorney-client privilege; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

CASES

- San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC, 751 F.2d 1287, 1310 (D.C. Cir. 1984), vacated in part and reh'g en banc granted on other issues, 760 F.2d 1320 (1985); aff'd en banc, 789 F.2d 26, cert. denied, 479 U.S. 923 (1986)
- NRC review and approval of changes in schedule for withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- Securities and Exchange Commission v. Gulf & Western Industries, Inc., 518 F. Supp. 675, 681 (D.D.C. 1981)
- showing necessary for application of attorney-client privilege; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 (1995)
- Sequoyah Fuels Corp. (Gore, Oklahoma Site), CLI-94-12, 40 NRC 64, 75 n.22 (1994)
- geographic proximity as basis for standing to intervene in nonpower reactor licensing actions; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116, 117 (1995)
- Sequoyah Fuels Corp., LBP-93-25, 38 NRC 304 (1993), aff'd, CLI-95-2, 41 NRC 179, 192-93 (1995)
- burden on proponent of motion for dismissal of a proceeding with prejudice; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 198 (1995)
- Sequoyah Fuels Corp. and General Atomics (Gore, Oklahoma Site), CLI-94-12, 40 NRC 64 (1994)
- hearing rights on civil penalties; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 239 (1995)
- Sholly v. NRC, 651 F.2d 780, 791 (D.C. Cir. 1980)
- hearing rights on license amendments; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 140 (1995)
- Southern Railway Co. v. Lanham, 403 F.2d 119 (5th Cir. 1968)
- in camera examination of allegedly privileged notes; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 54 (1995)
- Statement of Policy on Conduct of Licensing Proceedings, CLI-81-8, 13 NRC 452, 456 (1981)
- Commission policy on settlement of contested proceedings; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 154 (1995)
- Sullivan v. Finkelstein, 496 U.S. 617, 632 (1990)
- validity of revisionist history as regulatory history; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 147 n.21 (1995)
- Texas Utilities Electric Co. (Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station, Unit 2), CLI-93-10, 37 NRC 192 (1993)
- standard for application of mootness; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 195 (1995)
- Trammel v. United States, 445 U.S. 40, 51 (1980)
- application of attorney-client privilege to communications resulting from corporation's need for legal advice; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 188 (1995)
- Tulsa Gamma Ray, Inc., LBP-91-40, 34 NRC 297 (1991)
- hearing rights on civil penalties; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 239 (1995)
- Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC, 711 F.2d 370, 383 (D.C. Cir. 1983)
- completion of significant decommissioning prior to NRC approval of plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 128 (1995)
- Union of Concerned Scientists v. NRC, 735 F.2d 1437, 1451 (D.C. Cir. 1984)
- hearing rights on license amendments; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 140, 142, 149 (1995)
- United States v. Clark, 454 U.S. 555, 560 (1982)
- interpretation of unambiguous language in a regulation; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- United States v. Pierce Auto Freight Lines, 327 U.S. 515, 535 (1946)
- Commission authority to decline further petitions for reconsideration; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 234 (1995)
- Upjohn Co. v. United States, 449 U.S. 383, 389-96 (1981)
- standards for grant of attorney-client privilege; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 184, 185, 188 (1995)
- Upjohn Co. v. United States, 449 U.S. 383, 396-97, 101 S. Ct. 677, 685-86 (1981)
- standard for application of attorney-client privilege; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 53 (1995)
- Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-522, 9 NRC 54, 57 (1979)
- organizational standing based on proximity of member's recreational activities; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 117 (1995)
- Virginia Electric and Power Co. (North Anna Power Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-522, 9 NRC 54, 57 n.5 (1979)
- weight given to licensing board rulings on standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 116 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX
CASES

- Warth v. Seldin, 422 U.S. 490, 511 (1975)
 organizational standing, basis for; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2), DD-84-7, 19 NRC 899, 923 (1984)
 standard for institution of show-cause proceedings; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 97 (1995)
- Washington Public Power Supply System (WPPSS Nuclear Project No. 2), DD-84-7, 19 NRC 899, 924 (1984)
 standard for institution of show-cause proceedings; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 18 (1995); DD-95-15, 42 NRC 38 (1995); DD-95-21, 42 NRC 179 (1995); DD-95-23, 42 NRC 257 (1995)
- Wilderness Society v. Griles, 824 F.2d 4, 11 (D.C. Cir. 1987)
 injury-in-fact standard for grant of standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)
- Wrangler Laboratories, LBP-89-39, 30 NRC 746, 788 (1989, rev'd on other grounds, ALAB-951, 33 NRC 505 (1991)
 delegation of oversight authority to NRC Staff; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.4 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

- 10 C.F.R. 1.12(d)
authority of Office of Inspector General; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 226 n.6 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 1.36(a)
authority delegated to Office of Investigations; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 226 n.6 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.107(a)
withdrawal of license applications after issuance of notice for hearing; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 198 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.202
hearing rights on enforcement actions; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 101 (1995)
standard for institution of show-cause proceedings; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 179 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.203
licensing board review of settlement agreements; LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 201 (1995)
settlement agreements on enforcement actions; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 101 (1995)
weight given to Staff position on settlement agreements on enforcement orders; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 154 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.206
county participation in emergency evacuation; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 15-19 (1995)
discrimination against licensee employee for raising safety concerns, request for action on; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 57-60 (1995); DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61-66 (1995)
discrimination against licensee employee for raising safety concerns; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105-10 (1995)
embrittlement and cracking of safety-class reactor internal components; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67-77 (1995)
forum for seeking action on safety concerns; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 (1995)
notification of equipment defects, requirement for; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 6-14 (1995)
radioactive release concerns about research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 21-45 (1995)
reactor internal components, deterioration of; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78-97 (1995)
site paging and siren evacuation alarm system, facility maintenance shop shutdown of; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 253-58 (1995)
standard for institution of show-cause proceedings; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 44 (1995)
steam generator tube rupture potential at Combustion Engineering-designed plants; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 248-52 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.713(a), (c)(1)
inappropriate language in legal pleadings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.1 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(a)(1)
applicability to concerns expressed about settlement agreements; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 157 n.1 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(a)(3)
amendment of intervention petitions; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 113 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(b)
contention pleading requirements in informal proceedings; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 7 (1995); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 217 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(b)(1)
amendment of intervention petitions; LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 216 (1995)
contention pleading requirements in initial hearing request; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 6-7 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

REGULATIONS

- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(b)(2)
 - contention admissibility standards in operating license renewal proceedings; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 3 (1995)
 - contention requirement for intervention; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 117 (1995)
 - pleading requirements at contention admission stage; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 121 (1995)
 - scope of litigable issues; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 193, 195 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(d)
 - contention pleading requirements in informal proceedings; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 7 (1995); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 217 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714(d)(2)
 - contention admissibility standards in operating license renewal proceedings; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 3 (1995)
 - contention requirement for intervention; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 117 (1995)
 - scope of litigable issues; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 193, 195 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.714a
 - appeals of licensing board rulings on intervention; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 2 (1995); CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 113 (1995); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 219 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.715(c)
 - late participation by a state; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 158 n.5 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.740(b)(1)
 - matters on which discovery may be obtained; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 185 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.740(b)(2)
 - discovery of trial preparation materials; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 52 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.740(c)
 - protective order to control disclosure and use of confidential discovery material obtained by Staff; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 223, 224, 226 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.752(c)
 - deadline for filing objections to civil penalty rulings; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 241 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.759
 - Commission policy on settlement of contested proceedings; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 154 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.760
 - finality of licensing board decision approving settlement agreement; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 155 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.764
 - effectiveness of partial initial decisions; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 196 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.786
 - finality of licensing board decision approving settlement agreement; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 155 (1995)
 - review of partial initial decisions; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 196 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.786(b)(1)
 - deadline for filing petitions for review; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 149 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.786(b)(2)-(3)
 - content and length of petitions for review; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 149 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.786(g)(1)-(2)
 - standard for grant of interlocutory review; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 184 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.790(b)
 - delay of discovery; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 223, 224 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.802
 - forum for challenges to NRC rules; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 44 n.43 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 2.1241
 - Commission policy on settlement of contested proceedings; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 154 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 8.4
 - municipal jurisdiction over NRC-regulated facilities; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 31 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 19.12
 - violations of; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 243 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

REGULATIONS

- 10 C.F.R. Part 20
 - airborne effluent releases from Georgia Tech research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 24, 25 (1995)
 - verification of compliance with requirements of; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 37 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 20.303
 - radioactive materials releases to sanitary sewer systems; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 30 n.13 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 20.2003
 - radioactive materials releases to sanitary sewer systems; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 30 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 21.1
 - notification of defects in safety-related equipment; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 10 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 21.3
 - definition of "deviation" and "defect"; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 10 n.1 (1995)
 - supplier responsibility to inform customers of equipment defects or deviations; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 12 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 21.21
 - reporting of spent fuel pool cooling system design concerns; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 86 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 21.21(a)
 - responsibility for evaluating equipment deviations and compliance failures; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 10 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 21.21(a)(3)(i)
 - definition of "failure to comply" relative to safety-related equipment; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 10 n.1 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 21.21(b)
 - safety-related equipment supplier responsibilities for defective equipment; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 10-11 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 30.10
 - deliberate misconduct in violation of; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 101 (1995)
 - recurring violations by radiation safety officer; LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 208, 211 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 35.25(a)(1)
 - violations of; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 243, 244, 245 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 35.31, 35.32
 - violations of; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 244 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.4
 - definition of Site Area Emergency; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 48 (1995)
 - standard of decommissioning for release of property for unrestricted use; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 178 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.36
 - decommissioning funding requirements; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 159 n.6, 163 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.41(f)
 - requirement for informing Staff about bankruptcy; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 158 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.42
 - decommissioning funding requirements; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 159 n.6 (1995)
 - standard of decommissioning for release of property for unrestricted use; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 178 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.42(c)(2), (d)
 - transfer of property subject to NRC license; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 177 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.42(e)
 - termination of license for uranium conversion facility; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 170 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.42(f)(1)
 - decommissioning plan requirements; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 174 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.42(f)(4)(i)
 - site characterization requirements for decommissioning plan; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 173 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 40.46
 - transfer of property subject to NRC license, conditions on; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 178 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX REGULATIONS

- 10 C.F.R. 50.4
standardization of document submission requirements; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 147 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.5
evacuation alarm system shutdown by supervisor as violation of; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 254 (1995)
sanctions against employers for engaging in discriminatory conduct toward employee whistleblowers;
DD-95-17, 42 NRC 62 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.7
discrimination against licensee employee for raising safety concerns; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 106 (1995)
employee suspension as violation of; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 60 (1995); DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61-62, 66
(1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.21(a)
licensing requirements for performing medical therapy at research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 40 n.36
(1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.36
inclusion of schedule for withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens in technical
specifications; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 139, 140 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(1)
reasonable assurance finding on adequacy of emergency planning for nuclear power plant licensing;
DD-95-14, 42 NRC 16 (1995)
weight given to Federal Emergency Management Agency finding on adequacy of emergency planning
for nuclear power plant licensing; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 16 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(8)
evacuation alarm system shutdown by supervisor; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 254 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.54(q)
emergency plan requirement for licensing nuclear power plants; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 16 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.54(s)(1)
deadline for submission of state and local emergency plans; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 16 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.55a
inservice inspection programs, licensee obligation to implement; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 73 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.55a(a)(2) and (3)(i)
approval of core shroud repair as alternative to requirements of ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel
Code; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 82 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.55a(g)
licensee reporting requirements for inservice inspection programs; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 75 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.59
decommissioning activities allowed prior to approval of plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 127 (1995)
design defects in boiling water reactor fuel pool cooling systems; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 70 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.63
station blackout vulnerability at Pilgrim; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 90 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.64
conversion of research reactor fuel from high-enriched uranium to low-enriched uranium; LBP-95-23, 42
NRC 216 (1995)
limits on use of high-enriched uranium in research reactors; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 6 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.75
prevention of decommissioning prior to final Commission approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-13,
42 NRC 126-27 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 50.82
prevention of decommissioning prior to final Commission approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-13,
42 NRC 126-27 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix A, Criterion 63
design defects in boiling water reactor fuel pool cooling systems; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 70 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III
design defects in boiling water reactor fuel pool cooling systems; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 70 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

REGULATIONS

- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix E, IV.E.9
evacuation alarm system shutdown by supervisor; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 254 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix H, § II
statutory construction of; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 144 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix H, § II.B.3
NRC review and approval of changes in schedule for withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 139, 141-43, 145, 146, 147 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix H, § II.C.3.a-f (1974)
proposed schedules that differ from those specified in; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 146 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix H, § II.C.3.g
NRC approval of schedules that differ from those specified in the regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 146 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix R
emergency light maintenance without a work order; DD-95-17, 42 NRC 62 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 51.53, 51.95
prevention of decommissioning prior to final Commission approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 126-27 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 70.4
definition of Site Area Emergency; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 48 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 71.0(b)
jurisdiction over packaging and transportation of radioactive materials; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 43 n.43 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. Part 100, Appendix A, § III
definition of "capable fault"; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 38 n.33 (1995)
- 10 C.F.R. 150.20
prohibition on participation in licensed activities; LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 209 (1995)
suspension from participation in licensed activities in NRC jurisdiction; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 101, 102 (1995)
- 44 C.F.R. Part 350
Federal Emergency Management Agency review and approval authority over nuclear power plant emergency plans; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 16-17 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

STATUTES

Atomic Energy Act, 63, 42 U.S.C. § 2093
 licensing board approval of settlement agreements; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 155 (1995)

Atomic Energy Act, 81, 42 U.S.C. § 2111
 licensing board review of settlement agreements; LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 201 (1995); LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 209 (1995)

Atomic Energy Act, 161b, i, and o, 42 U.S.C. 2201(b), (i), and (o)
 licensing board approval of settlement agreements; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 102 (1995); LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 155 (1995); LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 201 (1995); LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 209 (1995)

Atomic Energy Act, 161(c), 42 U.S.C.A. § 2201(c)
 NRC investigatory and information-gathering authority; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 226 n.6 (1995)

Atomic Energy Act, 182a, 42 U.S.C. § 2232(a)
 technical specification requirements for operating licenses; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 139, 140, 142-43 (1995)

Atomic Energy Act, 189a, 42 U.S.C. § 2239(a)
 hearing rights changes in schedule for withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens;
 LBP- 95-17, 42 NRC 139, 142, 143 (1995)

hearing rights on decommissioning plans; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 134 (1995)

hearing rights on operating license modification; LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 216 (1995)

standard for grant of a hearing; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 115 (1995)

Atomic Energy Act, 274j, 42 U.S.C. § 2021(j)
 Commission authority over Agreement States; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 194 (1995)

Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, 201(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 5841
 authority of chairman to act alone in an emergency; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 231 (1995)

Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, 206
 notification of defects in safety-related equipment; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 10 (1995)

Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, 210/211
 creation of list of employees who filed complaints as discrimination; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 107 (1995)

Inspector General Act, 5 U.S.C. App. § 7(a)
 authority of Office of Inspector General; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 226 n.6 (1995)

NRC Reorganization Plan No. 1 of 1980, 3, 5 U.S.C. App. I, § 3
 authority of chairman to act alone in an emergency; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 231 (1995)

Solid Waste Disposal Act, 3008(h), 42 U.S.C. § 6928(h)
 decontamination and decommissioning of uranium conversion facility; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 170 (1995)

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

STATUTES

LEGAL CITATIONS INDEX

OTHERS

- Fed. R. Evid. 501
 conflicts between state and federal applications of attorney-client privilege; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 187 (1995)
- Model Code of Professional Responsibility, DR 3.5, Comment, in ABA/BNA Lawyers' Manual on Professional Conduct at 01:151
 inappropriate language in legal pleadings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.1 (1995)
- Model Code of Professional Responsibility, Rule 7-101(A)(1), in ABA/BNA Lawyers' Manual on Professional Conduct at p. 01:338 (1995)
 inappropriate language in legal pleadings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 233 n.1 (1995)
- 1A Sutherland, Statutory Construction § 31.06 (5th ed. 1992)
 applicability of statutory construction to regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 143 (1995)
- 2A Sutherland, Statutory Construction § 46.01 (5th ed. 1992)
 interpretation of unambiguous language in a regulation to further desirable policies not effectuated by the regulation; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 145 (1995)
- 2A Sutherland, Statutory Construction § 46.05 (5th ed. 1992)
 contextual considerations in statutory constructions applied to regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 143 (1995)
- Webster's Third New International Dictionary 1819 (1971)
 definition of "proposed" schedule; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 146 (1995)

SUBJECT INDEX

ADJUDICATORY BOARDS

authority over Staff action; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 221 (1995)

ADJUDICATORY PROCEEDINGS

NRC Staff status in; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

ALARA PRINCIPLE

challenges to; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

ATOMIC ENERGY ACT

Commission authority to consider adequacy of corporate organization and management integrity;

CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

applicability to secretary's communication to employer; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 51 (1995)

applications and scope of protection; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)

document containing a simple report of facts; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 51 (1995)

standard for grant of; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)

ATTORNEY CONDUCT

ad hominem attacks in legal pleadings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

BANKRUPTCY

effect on environmental protection of public health and safety; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

BYPRODUCT MATERIALS LICENSE

deliberate misconduct, sanction for; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 99 (1995)

CIVIL PENALTIES

aggregation of violations in determining; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

Staff discretion in determining; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

CONTAINMENT

hardened wetwell vent system venting of radioactive effluents in atmosphere; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

CONTENTIONS

admissibility standards in license renewal proceedings; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

pleading requirements for; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

requirement for intervention; LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)

CONTENTIONS, LATE-FILED

applicability of admissibility standards to intervenors' concerns about settlement agreement; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

CORROSION

See Intergranular Stress Corrosion Cracking

DECOMMISSIONING

major dismantling prior to Commission approval of plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125 (1995)

major dismantling prior to final NRC approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130 (1995)

reinstatement of NRC pre-1993 policy; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130 (1995)

DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING

release from liabilities in exchange for pledging all assets; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

SUBJECT INDEX

SUBJECT INDEX

DECOMMISSIONING PLANS

hearing rights on; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130 (1995)
site characterization plan requirements; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 167 (1995)

DEFINITIONS

"capable fault"; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)
component "deviation" or "defect"; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 9 (1995)
"failure to comply"; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 9 (1995)
"NRC-licensed activities"; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 99 (1995)

DISCOVERY

interlocutory review of rulings on; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)
protected materials, treatment of evidence of wrongdoing in; LBP-95-24, 42 NRC 235 (1995)
secretary's communication to her employer; LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 51 (1995)
stay of; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

DISCRIMINATION

against licensee employees for raising safety concerns; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 57 (1995); DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995)
licensee creation of list of employees who filed complaints as; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105 (1995)

DISMISSAL OF PROCEEDING

with prejudice, showing necessary for; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 197 (1995)

DOSE

whole-body, at site boundary of research facility; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

DRYWELL

liner corrosion vulnerability at Pilgrim; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

EARTHQUAKE ZONES

capable faults near Georgia Tech research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

EDDY-CURRENT TESTING

steam generator tubes; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

EMBRITTLEMENT

safety-class reactor internal components; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

EMERGENCY NOTIFICATION SYSTEM

site paging and siren evacuation alarm system; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 253 (1995)

EMERGENCY PLANNING ZONE

research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

EMERGENCY PLANS

research facility using special nuclear materials; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)
research reactor, amendment of; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)
state and local government financial capabilities for implementation; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 15 (1995)

EMPLOYEES

See Licensee Employees

ENFORCEMENT

discretion to allow major dismantling to proceed pending approval of decommissioning plan; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125 (1995)

See also Notice of Enforcement Discretion

ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

prohibition on licensed activities; LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 200 (1995)

ENFORCEMENT ORDERS

weight given to Staff position on settlement agreements; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

NRC Staff review of licensee's preliminary environmental document as satisfaction of requirement for; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125 (1995)

EQUIPMENT, SAFETY-RELATED

maintenance without a work order; DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995)
notification of defects in; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 9 (1995)

SUBJECT INDEX

EVACUATION

- highway closure concerns; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 15 (1995)
- zone for research facility; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

FIRE

- special nuclear materials involved in; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

FUEL

- conversion from high-enriched uranium to low-enriched uranium; LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)
- research reactor conversion from high-enriched uranium to low-enriched uranium; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995)

FUEL RODS

- corrosion vulnerability at Pilgrim Station; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

HEARING RIGHTS

- on civil penalties; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)
- on decommissioning plans; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130 (1995)
- on operating license amendments; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

INSPECTION

- licensee inservice programs; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)
- steam generator tubes; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

INTERESTED STATE

- late participation in proceedings; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

INTERGRANULAR STRESS CORROSION CRACKING

- safety-class reactor internal components; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

INTERVENTION

- contention requirement for; LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)

JURISDICTION

- municipal authority over NRC-regulated facilities; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

LICENSEE EMPLOYEES

- discrimination against, for raising safety concerns; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 57 (1995); DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995); DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105 (1995)

LICENSEES

- responsibility to implement inservice inspection program; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

LICENSING BOARDS

- approval of settlement agreements; LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 200 (1995)
- authority over NRC Staff; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 221 (1995)
- weight given to rulings of; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

MAINTENANCE

- without work orders; DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995)

MANAGEMENT CHARACTER AND COMPETENCE

- Commission authority to consider adequacy of; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

MATERIALS LICENSE

- See Byproduct Materials License

MATERIALS LICENSE AMENDMENT APPLICATIONS

- withdrawal after issuance of notice for hearing; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 197 (1995)

MONITORING

- airborne radiological releases from research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

MOOTNESS

- standard for application of; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 191 (1995)

NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

- environmental assessment, satisfaction of requirement for; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125 (1995)

NOTICE OF ENFORCEMENT DISCRETION

- NRC policy on; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

NOTIFICATION

- defects in safety-related equipment; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 9 (1995)

SUBJECT INDEX

NRC REORGANIZATION PLAN NO. 1

authority of Chairman in absence of three-person Commission; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

NRC STAFF

delegation of authority to; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

discretion in determining amount of civil penalties; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

enforcement authority under settlement agreements; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

licensing board authority over; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 221 (1995)

meetings with licensee; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

status in adjudicatory proceedings; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

authority to review Department of Labor suits and Equal Employment Opportunity complaints;

DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105 (1995)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION CHAIRMAN

authority in absence of three-person Commission; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

OLYMPIC GAMES

physical security at research reactor during; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENTS

hearing rights on; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

removal of schedule for withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens from technical

specifications; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL PROCEEDINGS

contention admissibility standards; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

scope of litigable issues; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 191 (1995)

PARTS

substandard or counterfeit; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

PHYSICAL SECURITY

research reactor during Olympic Games; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995); LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 191 (1995)

PLEADINGS

inappropriate language in; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

PRIVILEGE

See Attorney-Client Privilege

PROTECTIVE ORDER

restricting NRC Staff from referring confidential information obtained through discovery to other NRC

offices; CLI-95-16, 42 NRC 221 (1995)

treatment of evidence of wrongdoing in protected discovery materials; LBP-95-24, 42 NRC 235 (1995)

QUALITY ASSURANCE

for fuel pool cooling system during loss-of-coolant accident/loss of offsite power; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

RADIATION MONITORS

backup systems; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

RADIATION SAFETY OFFICER

observation in treatment rooms; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

qualifications of; LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 205 (1995)

suspension from participation in nuclear industry; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 99 (1995)

RADIATION SURVEYS

in adjacent areas and controlled areas; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

RADIOACTIVE EFFLUENTS

venting into atmosphere; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

RADIOACTIVE RELEASES

ALARA principle; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

RADIOLOGICAL CONTAMINATION

soil and vegetation around research reactor; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

SUBJECT INDEX

REACTOR CORE

shrouds, structural integrity of; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

REACTOR VESSEL

material surveillance specimens, removal of withdrawal schedule from technical specifications;

LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

REACTORS

internal components, embrittlement and cracking of; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

safety-related internal components, age-related deterioration of; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

water-level indicator anomalies during controlled depressurization; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

See also Research Reactors

REGULATIONS

decommissioning; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125 (1995)

statutory rules of construction applied to; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

REMAND

physical security contention for reconsideration; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

RESEARCH LABORATORY

Site Area Emergency applied to; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

RESEARCH REACTORS

emergency plan amendment; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

emergency planning zones; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

fuel conversion from high-enriched uranium to low-enriched uranium; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995);

LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)

physical security during Olympic Games; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

radiological contamination from; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

site boundary, for purposes of emergency planning; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

REVIEW, INTERLOCUTORY

of discovery orders; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)

RULES

retroactive effect of; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130 (1995)

RULES OF PRACTICE

attorney-client privilege; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995); LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 51 (1995)

attorney conduct; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

contention pleading requirements; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

interlocutory review of discovery orders; CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)

mootness; LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 191 (1995)

Staff meeting with parties; CLI-95-17, 42 NRC 229 (1995)

standing to intervene; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995); LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)

SANCTIONS

dismissal of proceeding with prejudice; LBP-95-20, 42 NRC 197 (1995)

suspension from participation in nuclear industry; LBP-95-16, 42 NRC 99 (1995)

SECURITY

See Physical Security

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS

applicability of standards governing admissibility of late-filed contentions to intervenors' concerns about

settlement agreement; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

licensing board approval of; LBP-95-21, 42 NRC 200 (1995)

NRC policy on; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

NRC Staff enforcement authority under; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

objections to; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

teleconference on appropriateness of settlement stipulations; LBP-95-22, 42 NRC 205 (1995)

weight given to Staff position on; LBP-95-18, 42 NRC 150 (1995)

SUBJECT INDEX

SEWER SYSTEMS

isolation of research reactor systems from; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

SHOW-CAUSE PROCEEDINGS

standard for institution of; DD-95-14, 42 NRC 15 (1995); DD-95-21, 42 NRC 167 (1995); DD-95-23, 42 NRC 253 (1995)

SITE AREA EMERGENCY

application to research laboratory; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

SITE CHARACTERIZATION PLANS

site characterization activities prior to submission of; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 167 (1995)

SPECIAL NUCLEAR MATERIALS

fire involving; CLI-95-11, 42 NRC 47 (1995)

SPENT FUEL POOL

cooling system quality assurance; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

time-to-boil calculations; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

STANDING TO INTERVENE

injury-in-fact standard for; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

organizational, based on membership; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

organizational, geographic proximity as basis for standing to intervene in nonpower reactor licensing actions; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

participation in earlier proceeding as basis for; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)

weight given to licensing board's judgment on; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)

STATION BLACKOUT

vulnerability at Pilgrim; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION

general rules applied to regulations; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

STAY

housekeeping, of discovery; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)

STEAM GENERATOR TUBES

assessment for degradation; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

rupture potential at Combustion Engineering-designed plants; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

schedule for withdrawal of reactor vessel material surveillance specimens; LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

violations of; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 253 (1995)

TESTS

with motorized rotating pancake coil probe; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

TRANSFER OF PROPERTY

site remediation and long-term-care responsibilities; DD-95-21, 42 NRC 167 (1995)

TRANSMITTERS

Rosemount-manufactured, loss of fill-oil in; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

shelf-life limitations of sensor-cell fill-oil; DD-95-13, 42 NRC 9 (1995)

TRANSPORTATION OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS

jurisdiction over; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

URANIUM

high-enriched converted to low-enriched; LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995)

VALVES

motor-operated, verification of capability of; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

VIOLATIONS

aggregation of levels IV and V to create a level II violation; LBP-95-25, 42 NRC 237 (1995)

WHISTLEBLOWERS

harassment and intimidation for raising safety concerns; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105 (1995)

suspension for raising safety concerns; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 57 (1995); DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995)

FACILITY INDEX

FORT CALHOUN, Unit 1; Docket No. 50-285
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 6, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

CALVERT CLIFFS, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. 50-317, 50-318
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 6, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

GEORGIA TECH RESEARCH REACTOR, Atlanta, Georgia; Docket No. 50-160
 OPERATING LICENSE MODIFICATION; July 31, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Intervention Petition); LBP-95-14, 42 NRC 5 (1995)
 OPERATING LICENSE MODIFICATION; November 22, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Denial of Petition for Leave to Intervene); LBP-95-23, 42 NRC 215 (1995)
 OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL; July 26, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; CLI-95-10, 42 NRC 1 (1995)
 OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL; October 12, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; CLI-95-12, 42 NRC 111 (1995)
 OPERATING LICENSE RENEWAL; November 1, 1995; PARTIAL INITIAL DECISION (Mootness of Security Contention); LBP-95-19, 42 NRC 191 (1995)
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 31, 1995; PARTIAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-15, 42 NRC 20 (1995)

MAINE YANKEE ATOMIC POWER PLANT; Docket Nos. 50-309
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 6, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, Unit 1; Docket No. 50-245
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 2, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-16, 42 NRC 57 (1995)
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 19, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-23, 42 NRC 253 (1995)

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, Unit 2; Docket No. 50-336
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 6, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

MILLSTONE NUCLEAR POWER STATION, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. 50-245, 50-336
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 2, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-17, 42 NRC 61 (1995)

OYSTER CREEK NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION; Docket No. 50-219
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 4, 1995; PARTIAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-18, 42 NRC 67 (1995)

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Unit 1; Docket No. 50-440-OLA-3
 OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; October 4, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Ruling on Motions for Summary Disposition); LBP-95-17, 42 NRC 137 (1995)

PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION; Docket No. 50-293
 REQUEST FOR ACTION; August 31, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. § 2.206; DD-95-19, 42 NRC 78 (1995)

FACILITY INDEX

FACILITY INDEX

SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, Units 2 and 3; Docket Nos. 50-361, 50-362
REQUEST FOR ACTION; July 24, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. §2.206;
DD-95-14, 42 NRC 15 (1995)

ST. LUCIE NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, Unit 1; Docket No. 50-335
REQUEST FOR ACTION; December 6, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R. §2.206;
DD-95-22, 42 NRC 247 (1995)

TROJAN NUCLEAR POWER STATION; Docket No. 50-344
DECOMMISSIONING; October 12, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; CLI-95-13, 42 NRC 125
(1995)

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT, Units 1 and 2; Docket Nos. 50-424-OLA-3, 50-425-OLA-3
OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; August 3, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER (Request
for Discovery Concerning Ester Dixon); LBP-95-15, 42 NRC 51 (1995)
OPERATING LICENSE AMENDMENT; November 21, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER;
CLI-95-15, 42 NRC 181 (1995)

WATTS BAR NUCLEAR PLANT; Docket Nos. 50-390, 50-391
REQUEST FOR ACTION; September 13, 1995; DIRECTOR'S DECISION UNDER 10 C.F.R.
§2.206; DD-95-20, 42 NRC 105 (1995)

YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER STATION; Docket No. 50-029
DECOMMISSIONING; October 12, 1995; MEMORANDUM AND ORDER; CLI-95-14, 42 NRC 130
(1995)