~~XXXX~~ RMIS View/Print Document Cover Sheet ~~XXXX~~

This document was retrieved from the Boeing ISEARCH System.

Accession #: D196055049

Document #: SD-WM-ETP-159

Title/Desc:

IMPROVING SAMPLING RECOVERY TASK PLAN

Sta 4

SEP 12 1995

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1

1. EDT No 612538

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Characterization Field Engineering		3. From: (Originating Organization) Characterization Equipment Design		4. Related EDT No.: N/A	
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: 75230/N4054		6. Cog. Engr.: R. J. Blanchard		7. Purchase Order No.: N/A	
8. Originator Remarks: <i>INITIAL RELEASE</i> Reference ETN 95-0050				9. Equip./Component No.: N/A	
11. Receiver Remarks:				10. System/Bldg./Facility: 200 General	
				12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A	
				13. Permit/Permit Application No.: N/A	
				14. Required Response Date: N/A	

15. DATA TRANSMITTED								
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	(F) Approval Designator	(G) Reason for Transmittal	(H) Originator Disposition	(I) Receiver Disposition
1	WHC-SD-WM-ETP-159	N/A	0	Improving Sampling Recovery Task Plan	SQ	1	1	

16. KEY					
Approval Designator (F)		Reason for Transmittal (G)		Disposition (H) & (I)	
E, S, Q, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-5, Sec.12.7)		1. Approval	4. Review	1. Approved	4. Reviewed no/comment
		2. Release	5. Post-Review	2. Approved w/comment	5. Reviewed w/comment
		3. Information	6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)	3. Disapproved w/comment	6. Receipt acknowledged

17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)									
(G)	(H)	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN
1	1	Cog. Eng. R. J. Blanchard	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12	R. N. Dale	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12
1	1	Cog. Mgr. D. W. Hamilton	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12	G. L. Ralston	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12
1	1	QA M. L. McElroy	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-07	R. E. Raymond	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12
1	1	Safety J. A. Harvey	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-07	J. S. Schofield	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12
3	—	J. L. Smalley	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12				
3	—	G. J. Bogen	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12				
3	—	G. P. Janicek	<i>[Signature]</i>	9/11/95	S7-12				

18. Signature of EDT Originator <i>[Signature]</i> 9/11/95		19. Authorized Representative Date J. S. Schofield 9/11/95		20. Cognizant Manager Date D. W. Hamilton 9/11/95		21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No. ☐ Approved ☐ Approved w/comments ☐ Disapproved w/comments	
---	--	---	--	--	--	---	--

RELEASE AUTHORIZATION

Document Number: WHC-SD-WM-ETP-159, REV 0

Document Title: Improving Sample Recovery

Release Date: 9/12/95

This document was reviewed following the
procedures described in WHC-CM-3-4 and is:

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

WHC Information Release Administration Specialist:

Kara M. Broz

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy. Available in paper copy. Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this report, contact:

Westinghouse Hanford Company - Document Control Services
P.O. Box 1970, Mailstop H6-08, Richland, WA 99352
Telephone: (509) 372-2420; Fax: (509) 376-4989

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT

1. Total Pages *18*

2. Title

Improving Sample Recovery

3. Number

WHC-SD-WM-ETP-159

4. Rev No.

0

5. Key Words

Core Sampling, RMCST 2, 3, & 4, Sample Improvements, TSAP Samplers/Bit, Data Collection

6. Author

Name: R. J. Blanchard

[Signature]
Signature

Organization/Charge Code 75230/N4054

7. Abstract

This Engineering Task Plan (ETP) describes the tasks, i.e., tests, studies, external support and modifications planned to increase the recovery of the recovery of the waste tank contents using combinations of improved techniques, equipment, knowledge, experience and testing to better the recovery rates presently being experienced.

8. RELEASE STAMP

OFFICIAL RELEASE
BY WHC

DATE SEP 12 1995

Sta. 4

IMPROVING SAMPLE RECOVERY

WHC-SD-WM-ETP-159
REVISION 0

Prepared by:

R.J. Blanchard

Approved By:

Date

R. J. Blanchard
Cognizant Engineer

9-11-95

D. W. Hamilton, Manager
Characterization Equipment Design

9/11/95

J. A. Harvey
Safety

9/11/95

M. L. McElroy
Quality Assurance

9-11-95

Concurrence:

G. J. Bogen 9-11-95

R. N. Dale 9/11/95

G. P. Janicek 9/11/95

J. F. McCormick 9-11-95

G. L. Ralston 9/11/95 per telecon

J. L. Smalley 9/11/95

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Scope	3
2.1	Objectives	3
2.2	Deliverables	4
2.2.1	Key Parameters	4
2.2.2	Data Collection	4
2.2.3	Data Base	4
2.2.4	Data Reduction/Analysis	4
2.2.5	Improvement Changes	5
2.2.6	Studies/Tests	5
2.2.7	Quarterly Reports	6
2.2.8	Data Storage	6
3.0	Description	7
3.1	Physical Description	7
3.1.1	Rotary Mode Sampling	7
3.1.2	Push Mode Sampling	7
3.1.3	Auger Sampling	7
3.2	Engineering Tasks	8
4.0	Organization	8
4.1	Rotary Mode Core Sampling	8
4.2	Organization for Push Mode Core Sampling	9
4.3	Organization for Auger Sampling	10
5.0	Schedule	10
6.0	Cost Estimate	10
7.0	Quality Assurance	10
8.0	References	11
	Appendix A	12
	Appendix B	13
	Appendix C	14
	Figure 1	15
	Figure 2	16
	Figure 3	17

1.0 Introduction

Characterizing the waste in the underground storage tanks at the Hanford Site is supported by sampling the tanks to obtain representative samples for analysis. This is done using numerous techniques and equipment that has been developed for use in the environment and field conditions at the Hanford site. To date the recovery of samples using the equipment available is unacceptable and needs to be improved.

2.0 Scope

2.1 Objectives

The objectives of this plan are to increase the recovery of the waste tank contents using combinations of improved techniques, equipment, knowledge, experience and testing to better the recovery rates presently being experienced, (i.e., rotary mode sampling, 38% and auger sampling, 50%). This task plan will be updated on a regular basis to reflect new modifications and tests as well as the completion of existing items, i.e., test reports, additional references, changes in organizations and schedule dates. To achieve this goal, the following steps will be taken; Engineering will, prepare test plans to investigate procedural and hardware changes to improve recovery. These tests will be performed in the test labs i.e., 305 building or off-site, test site i.e., rock slinger pit, 200W area, and in actual field sampling tasks.

Implement procedural and hardware changes recommended by outside experts, including the U.S. Corps of Engineers (USCOE) and the Tank Sampling Assistance Panel (TSAP), and as a result of testing.

Continue to evaluate and test off-the-shelf commercial sampling tools, and seek commercial advice and assistance.

Develop a sampling matrix to assist with sampler selection and operating parameters for each type or combination of wastes types needing recovery to define the waste characteristics.

Operations will, prior to starting field work, continue to improve planning and gathering of helpful data prior to sampling to improve the tool, techniques and sampling location selection. This is to include, but not be limited to increased use of photography, video and historical data. Provide support for the Sampling Activities Technical Team (SATT) meetings to assist with the planning and selection of techniques and equipment for each sampling task planned.

Operations will, in the field, make necessary procedural changes to include improvements to procedures equipment. Examples already incorporated are; changing out the sampler when waste transition layers are encountered (USCOE and TSAP recommendation), sampling on a continuous bases once sampling has started (USCOE recommendation), increasing the rotational speed that can be used without nitrogen purge

gas, and using the push mode sampler in the Rotary Mode Core Sample Truck when soft layers can be pushed instead of rotary sampled.

Use the assistance of outside sampling experts that have been qualified to accompany, observe and recommend. In addition, provide for the observation and review of sampling practices by "Expert Drillers" to help with improved sample recovery and practices.

2.2 Deliverables

2.2.1 Key Parameters

A letter report will be issued that identifies key parameters associated with core and auger sampling. Key parameters are defined as those variables and waste characteristics that might affect recovery of the tank waste media.

Responsible Individual(s): Roy Blanchard and George Janicek
Completion Date: August 16, 1995

2.2.2 Data Collection

Data from the following sources and activities will be collected and compiled in a central file;

- X-rays of each core segment
- Sampling Data sheets
- Laboratory extrusion reports
- Operations logs
- Engineering logs
- Strip charts/Data logger files
- Sampling Activities Technical Team meeting minutes

Responsible Individual(s): Roy Blanchard
Completion Date: Ongoing

2.2.3 Data Base

A data base of all key parameters will be established and maintained updated in a timely manner to account for all sampling data generated during the sampling tasks.

Responsible Individual(s): Roy Blanchard
Completion Date: Ongoing

2.2.4 Data Reduction/Analysis

Field sampling data and testing data will be analyzed for the purpose of determining how key parameters affect sample recovery, and to identify additional data needs (including tests and studies), and to identify changes in equipment and procedures that will increase sample recovery.

Recommended drilling parameters will be presented at the SATT meetings before each sampling task.

Responsible Individual(s): TSAP members and SATT
Completion Date: Prior to the start of each core.

2.2.5 Improvement Changes

Engineering Change Notices (ECN) will be prepared and released to implement TSAP and SATT recommended changes to improve sample recovery. The following is a list of initial modifications that have been identified (additional items will be added when identified). Initial recommendations to be incorporated are;

- A) Modification to incorporate "Slow Rotation" capabilities on Rotary Mode Core Sample Truck #2.

Responsible Individual(s): Jeff Smalley
Deliverable: Work Package, Ready for work
Completion Date: October 13, 1995

- B) Modification to incorporate rotation mode in core sample truck #1.

Responsible Individual(s): Jeff Smalley
Completion Date: On hold

2.2.6 Studies/Tests

Numerous tests and studies will be performed to help identify and quantify the effects of different key parameters on sample recovery. The following is a list of initial studies and tests that have been identified (additional items will be added when identified);

- A) "Rotary Mode Core Sampling Percent Volume Sampler Recovery Engineering Study", WHC-SD-WM-ES-352.

Responsible Individual(s): Robert Dale
Deliverable: Release Engineering Study
Completion Date: September 29, 1995

- B) "Phase 'A' Test Plan for New Core Samplers", WHC-SD-WM-TP-379.

Responsible Individual(s): Greg Bogen/Jim McCormick
Deliverable: Released Test Plan
Completion Date: July 26, 1995

- C) "Test Plan For Auger Sampler Improvements", WHC-SD-WM-TP-357, Rev.0

Responsible Individual(s): Peter Francis
 Deliverable: Released Test Plan
 Completion Date: April 27, 1995

"Test Report for Auger Sampler Improvement Testing", WHC-SD-WM-TRP-241, Rev. 0

Responsible Individual(s): Keith Witwer
 Deliverable: Released Test Report
 Completion Date: August 11, 1995

- D) "Engineering Feasibility Study, Addition of slow rotation capabilities without purge gas, RMCST", WHC-SD-WM-ES-TBD

Responsible Individual(s): Jim Criddle
 Deliverable: Release Engineering Study
 Completion Date: October 31, 1995

- E) "Qualification Test, TSAP large opening drill bit", WHC-SD-WM-QT-TBD

Responsible Individual(s): Greg Bogen/Greg Ralston
 Deliverable: Release Qualification Test
 Completion Date: October 31, 1995

2.2.7 Quarterly Reports

Quarterly reports will be issued to documents the core and auger sampling recovery trends. Reports issued will be supporting documents and follow the guidelines of section 3.2 concerning "Engineering Task Number" (ETN).

Responsible Individual(s): Roy Blanchard
 Deliverable: Quarterly Report
 Completion Date: See Schedule, section 5.0

2.2.8 Data Storage

The data produced under this task plan will be released and controlled by the Document Control Services (DCS). Engineering Task Numbers (see section 3.2) will be used to provide traceability and tracking. Document Control Services is responsible for maintaining the data base that controls all design data generated under this ETP.

Responsible Individual(s): Roy Blanchard
 Deliverable: All Documents generated under this ETP
 Completion Date: See schedule, section 5.0

3.0 Description

3.1 Physical Description

3.1.1 Rotary Mode Sampling

The rotary mode core sampling system will be modified physically and/or procedurally to incorporate improvements determined from the various studies and tests noted in section 2.2. Recommendations for improving the process and equipment have been made by the TSAP (after their February 14-14, 1995 meeting) and by the USCOE (reference USCOE report dated February 1995). These recommendations are being evaluated and new samplers and bits developed to test for improvements. The results of these tests, and actual field results will be incorporated into the system operating procedures where applicable. The idea and plan is to increase the available tools (sampler types, bits, and procedures) to allow as much operator flexibility as possible to obtain core samples that will meet our needs for tank characterization quality objectives.

See figures 1, 2 & 3 for new configurations of samplers being tested per section 2.2.6 B.

3.1.2 Push Mode Sampling

The improvements that are discovered and incorporated into the rotary mode core sample trucks, noted in section 3.1.1, will be incorporated into the push mode where applicable, especially in the area of using a variety of samplers. In addition, for the push mode truck the addition of the capability to rotate either in a bumping mode or in a slow rotational mode would greatly open up the operating window for using this truck in tanks where the need for rotating to obtain samples is required or desired.

3.1.3 Auger Sampling

Since the auger sampler was developed in early 1991, the intended use has been expanded way beyond its original mission. As a result the present recovery figures do not meet expectations and in certain sample media types the sample obtained will not remain in the auger assembly during retrieval operations. Modifications to the present system design have been developed and are being tested per section 2.2.6 C. The results of these tests will be incorporated into the design and sampling procedures to improve the recovery capabilities. See reference 7 for details of recommendations.

3.2 Engineering Tasks

Those items associated with "Improving Sample Recovery" and noted in this ETP will fall under the Engineering Task Number (ETN) 95-0050. The activities are subdivided into common sub-tasks, denoted by the same ETN plus an alpha character suffix (e.g., 95-0050-A).

<u>Sub-task</u>	<u>Suffix</u>
Key Parameters	A
Data Collection	B
Data Base	C
Data Reduction/Analysis	D
Improvement Changes	E
Studies/Tests	F
Quarterly Reports	G
Data Storage	H
TSAP Reports/minutes	I
SATT meeting minutes	J

The support of the Tank Sampling Assistance Panel (TSAP) will be required to review our progress and to continue to help develop methods and equipment to help obtain the most cost effective and timely ways to improve our sampling recovery (see appendix A for Charter and membership). This team is made up of recognized experts in the field of sampling in hazardous waste environments and their experience and backgrounds can be tapped to help solve our present sampling short comings. The principles of concurrent engineering will continue to be practiced by assembling the Tank Sampling Technical Team (SATT) on a regular basis to discuss the results of previous sampling efforts and upcoming plans.

The purpose of these meetings is to assemble the total team that will be involved with the sampling task and review the plans rational for the new task and the lessons learned from the previous sampling efforts. See appendix B for the SATT charter and membership.

The presently defined tasks noted in section 2.2 will require the support of a variety of organizations and will be defined in detail in sections 4.0 and 5.0, in the form of Cost Account Plan - Work Sheets, and schedules.

4.0 Organization

4.1 Rotary Mode Core Sampling

The rotary mode organization chart is noted below. The Characterization Project Operations manager, Randy Ni, is supported By his direct report, Jim Lee, Manager of Truck Sampling. Characterization Field Engineering support is provided by John Schofield, Manager who directly reports to Rick Raymond, Manager of Characterization Equipment Engineering, and by Characterization Equipment Design, Managed by Dennis Hamilton. Randy

Ni and Rick Raymond report to Len Ermold, Director, TWRS Characterization Project. The field cognizant engineers for the rotary mode trucks are, Andy Mousel, RMCST #2, Ted Jarecki, RMCST #3, and Troy Farris, RMCST #4. In addition, Rob Dale and Robert Stubbs assist with RMCST #2.

4.2 Organization for Push Mode Core Sampling

The push mode core sampling organization chart is essentially the same as the Rotary mode organization chart with the exceptions of the differences in Cognizant Field engineer assignments under John Schofield. The cognizant engineer for push mode sampling is Andy Cockrell with assistance from Frank Reitz.

4.3 Organization for Auger Sampling

The organization for auger sampling is noted below. The Characterizations Project Operations manager, Randy Ni, is supported by his direct report, Wally Kennedy, manager Field Sampling. Characterization Field Engineering support is provided by John Schofield, manager who directly reports to Rick Raymond, Manager of Characterization Equipment Engineering, and by Characterization Equipment Design, managed by Dennis Hamilton. Randy Ni and Rick Raymond report to Len Ermold, Director, TWRS Characterization Project. The field cognizant engineer for auger sampling is Chad Esvelt.

5.0 Schedule

A detailed schedule of the tasks noted in this task plan is provided in Appendix C. The schedule has been reviewed and approved by each responsible individual on the concurrence list in Appendix D. Signatures on the concurrence list designates agreement with the schedule shown in Appendix C and is acceptance of the responsibility to perform to the schedule.

6.0 Cost Estimate

The cost for the tasks noted in this Engineering Task Plan is controlled by Dennis Hamilton, Manager of Characterization Equipment Design is the Cost Account Manager for these tasks.

7.0 Quality Assurance

The approval designator for the tasks noted is SQ, requiring review and approvals by safety and quality organizations. The activities noted in this plan fall under criteria numbers T0.1, T3.6, T4.3, T4.4 and T5.3 in the document approval matrix of WHC-CM-3-5, dated August 7, 1995.

8.0 References

1. WHC-CM-3-5, Document Control and Record Management Manual, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
2. USCOE, Geotechnical Report, "Evaluation of Sampling Tools and Activities used for Characterization of the Hanford Single Shell High Level Tanks Waste", February 1995.
3. WHC, April 7, 1995, Letter, L.F. Ermold to L. Erickson, "Response to transmittal of United States Army Corps of Engineers Report - Evaluation of Sampling Tools and Activities used for Characterization of the Hanford High Level Tank Wastes".
4. WHC, Engineering Study, WHC-SD-WM-ES-352 Rev. 0, "Rotary Mode Core Sampling Percent Volume Sampler Recovery Engineering Study", Rob Dale.
5. WHC, Test Plan, WHC-SD-WM-TP-379 Rev. 0, "Phase 'A' Test Plan for New Core Samplers", Greg Bogen.
6. WHC, Test Plan, WHC-SD-WM-TP-357 Rev. 0, "Test Plan For Auger Sampler Improvements", Peter Francis.
7. WHC, Test Report, WHC-SD-WM-TRP-241, Rev. 0, "Test Report for Auger Sampler Improvement Testing", Keith Witwer.
8. WHC, Engineering Study, WHC-SD-WM-ES-TBD Rev. 0, "Engineering Feasibility Study, Addition of Slow Rotation Capabilities without Purge Gas, RMCST", Greg Ralston
9. WHC, Qualification Test, WHC-SD-WM-QT-TBD Rev. 0, "TSAP Large Opening Drill Bit", Greg Bogen/Greg Ralston
10. WHC, Design Specification, WHC-SD-WM-SDS-004, Rev. 0, "Design Specification for Core Sampling Tools", Greg Bogen
11. WHC, Engineering Study, WHC-SD-WM-ES-353, Rev. 0, "Engineering Study for Multiple Core Samplers", Greg Bogen.

TANK SAMPLING ASSISTANCE PANEL (TSAP)
(UPDATED AS OF 8/4/95)

CHARTER:

Help Westinghouse Hanford Company determine the most cost affective and timely way to Improve WHC's ability to recovery Waste Tank Samples to satisfy the needs of our customers.

This team will be made up of recognized experts in the field of sampling in Hazardous waste environments so that their experience and backgrounds can be tapped to improve the present WHC sampling systems and where necessary recommend additions to complete the Characterization Program objectives. The team will work very close with the "Sampling Activity Technical Team" and assist and propose system modifications and procedures improvements. One of the first tasks after becoming familiar with the WHC present sampling systems will be to review the recommendations made by the USACE from their January 4 & 5, 1995 visit.

Chairperson: Don Moak, Consultant
Water Development Hanford, Inc

Co-Chairperson: Roy Blanchard, Senior Principle Engineer
Characterization Equipment Engineering, WHC

Members;

Jim McCormick - Consultant
Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC)

Christopher Koerner - Consultant (P.E.) - TCAP Member
Radian Corporation

Jack Powers - Consultant (P.E.) - TCAP Member

Mark Vispi, USACE
Manager, Earth Quake Engineering & Earth Science,
Waterways Experiment Station

Joe Gatz, Consultant
Retired from Waterways Experimental Station
Supervisory Geologist, Chief Engineering Geology Branch
(new member)

Jakcobus (Jake) Archuletta - Los Alamos National Laboratory

Paul Hernandez - DOE-RL

John Silko - Geologist, DOE-RL
(new member)

Greg Bogen, Engineer
Characterization Equipment Engineering, WHC

SAMPLING ACTIVITIES TECHNICAL TEAM (SATT)
(updated as of 4/14/95)

Charter:

Review field sampling process/procedures/equipment for areas of improvement to increase sample recovery percentage and efficiency of operations. Determine solutions to system problems and track performance. Specify in pre-sampling meetings the "Sampling Plan and Requirements" which consider recovery requirements, tank waste profile and type, best sequence/procedure, results of past sampling efforts, etc.

The concept of the team is to be a FOCUSED concurrent effort of ALL involved functions, i.e., Characterization Equipment Engineering, Characterization Plant Engineering (Cognizant Engineer's), Characterization Technical Support (TCP/DQO/Tank profiles), 222-S Laboratory, Operations (Operators), Geotechnical Engineering, and DOE-RL.

Co-Chairpersons, Roy Blanchard and John Schofield.
Secretary - NEED TO REPLACE

Members (Primary);

Characterization Equipment Improvements - Jeff Smalley

Characterization Plant Engineering - Robert Dale/Ted Jarecki/Andy
Cockrell/Troy Farris

Characterization Plans, Coordination and Reports - Jaiduk Jo

222-S Laboratory - Keith Fuller

Geotechnical Engineering Services - Jim McCormick

DOE-RL - Paul Hernandez
- John Silko

Operations - Jim Lee/Wally Kennedy
- Operators

Characterization Maintenance - Bill Shoemake

Characterization Prog. Man., Operations Interface - George Stanton

ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	EARLY START	EARLY FINISH	FY95											
			SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG
KEY PARAMETERS	1SEP95	29SEP95												
DATA COLLECTION (ONGOING)	1SEP95	30AUG96												
DATA BASE (ONGOING)	1SEP95	30AUG96												
DATA REDUCTION / ANALYSIS	1SEP95	30AUG96												
IMPROVEMENT CHANGES														
(A) SMALLEY / ECN PREPARATION	1SEP95	29SEP95												
(B) SMALLEY / WORK PACKAGE	20CT95	20OCT95												
STUDIES / TESTS														
(C) BOGAN	27APR95A	27APR95A												
(A) DALE	1SEP95	29SEP95												
(B) BOGAN	1SEP95	1SEP95												
(C) WINTER	1SEP95	29SEP95												
(D) CRIDDLE	1SEP95	31OCT95												
(E) RALSTON	1SEP95	31OCT95												
QUARTERLY REPORTS														
SUBMIT FIRST QUARTER REPORT		30NOV95												
SUBMIT SECOND QUARTER REPORT		29FEB96												
SUBMIT THIRD QUARTER REPORT		31MAY96												
SUBMIT FOURTH QUARTER REPORT		30AUG96												
DATA STORAGE	1SEP95	30AUG96												

Plot Date 6SEP95
Data Date 1SEP95
Project Start 1OCT92
Project Finish 30SEP97 *

Activity Bar/Early Dates
Critical Activity
Progress Bar
Milestone/Flag Activity

Sheet 1 of 1

TASK PLAN SCHEDULE

ICF KAISER HANFORD

Date	Revision	Checked	Approved

QTY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	INTERFERENCE
1	INCH	TYPE 1 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
2	INCH	TYPE 2 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
3	INCH	TYPE 3 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
4	INCH	TYPE 4 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
5	INCH	TYPE 5 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
6	INCH	TYPE 6 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
7	INCH	TYPE 7 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
8	INCH	TYPE 8 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
9	INCH	TYPE 9 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
10	INCH	TYPE 10 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
11	INCH	TYPE 11 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
12	INCH	TYPE 12 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
13	INCH	TYPE 13 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
14	INCH	TYPE 14 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
15	INCH	TYPE 15 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
16	INCH	TYPE 16 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
17	INCH	TYPE 17 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
18	INCH	TYPE 18 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
19	INCH	TYPE 19 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
20	INCH	TYPE 20 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
21	INCH	TYPE 21 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
22	INCH	TYPE 22 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
23	INCH	TYPE 23 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
24	INCH	TYPE 24 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
25	INCH	TYPE 25 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
26	INCH	TYPE 26 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
27	INCH	TYPE 27 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
28	INCH	TYPE 28 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
29	INCH	TYPE 29 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
30	INCH	TYPE 30 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
31	INCH	TYPE 31 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
32	INCH	TYPE 32 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
33	INCH	TYPE 33 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
34	INCH	TYPE 34 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
35	INCH	TYPE 35 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
36	INCH	TYPE 36 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
37	INCH	TYPE 37 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
38	INCH	TYPE 38 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
39	INCH	TYPE 39 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
40	INCH	TYPE 40 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
41	INCH	TYPE 41 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
42	INCH	TYPE 42 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
43	INCH	TYPE 43 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
44	INCH	TYPE 44 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
45	INCH	TYPE 45 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
46	INCH	TYPE 46 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
47	INCH	TYPE 47 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
48	INCH	TYPE 48 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
49	INCH	TYPE 49 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY	
50	INCH	TYPE 50 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY

① -9505_01 TYPE 1 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY

② -9505_02 TYPE 2 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY

③ -9505_03 TYPE 3 INNER TUBE ASSEMBLY

GENERAL NOTES (UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED)

1. BREAK ALL SHARP EDGES AND REMOVE ALL BURRS
2. UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, MACHINED SURFACES TO BE 125/10
3. TO POSITION THE PISTON FOR PINNLE ROD ASSEMBLY, THE LOWER EDGE OF THE U-RING GROOVE MUST BE 0.50 - 0.70 INCHES INSIDE THE INNER TUBE PIN THREAD. LUBRICATE U-RING GROOVE AND U-RING SURFACE WITH PART NO. 9505_16.
4. LUBRICATE WITH PART NO. 9505_17, HAND TIGHTEN UNSCREW, REMOVE EXCESS LUBRICANT, RE-TIGHTEN, TORQUE TO 30 - 50 FOOT POUNDS.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED:

DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES

DECIMALS

FRACTIONS

TOLERANCES

XX ± .05

XXX ± .010

NO TOL SCALE SHOWN

DATE

05/23/95

DRAWN

HMP

CHECKED

DESIGN

QD Design and Fabricating, Inc.

4555 Highway 104, Suite 100, On the Hill, New Mexico 87101

WESTINGHOUSE HANDFORD COMPANY

PROTOTYPE INNER TUBE ASSEMBLIES

SIZE

D

SCALE

NONE

SHEET

1

OF

3

MATERIAL, INC.

9505_10 TYPE 2 CASE, FULL CLOSURE

9505_11 TYPE 3 CASE, FULL CLOSURE

9505_06 TYPE 1 CORELIFTER CASE

9505_05 TYPE 1,2,3 INNER TUBE

✓ JOK INTER TUE PM

—BOTH INHER TYPE XXX

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED: DIMENSIONS ARE IN INCHES TOLERANCES DECIMALS ANGOULAR .XX ± .05 1 ± 0.5 .XXX ± .010 DO NOT SCALE DRAWING		QD Design and Fabricating, Inc. 4358 Rayford Circle, Oak Lake City, Utah 84117 Phone (801) 519-5016	
DRAWN HMP DATE 05/23/95		WESTINGHOUSE HANDFORD COMPANY PROTOTYPE INNER TUBE ASSEMBLIES	
CHECKED	SIZE D	DWG NO. 9505	REV
DESIGN	SCALE NONE	SHEET 3 OF 3	