379 NBI No. 2871

A STUDY OF THE LOW-BACK VOWELS AND OF CERTAIN DIPHTHONGS IN THE SPEECH OF SELECTED GROUPS IN DENTON, TEXAS

THESIS

Presented to the Graduate Council of the North Texas State University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

For the Degree of

MASTER OF ARTS

by

John Wesley Askew, Jr., B. A.

Denton, Texas June, 1962

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page LIST OF TABLES . 111 LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS . . .viii Chapter I. THE PURPOSE AND PLAN OF THE STUDY 1 Vowels and Diphthongs Studied Selection of Informants Selection of Test Words Test Method Significance of the Study II. THE VOWEL SOUND /a.7 13 Before Fricatives Before Stops Before L Before Nasals Before R THE VOWEL SOUNDS $[n]_7$ AND $[2]_7$. III. 50 Before Fricatives Before Stops Before L Before Nasals THE DIPHTHONG SOUNDS [ar7, [av7, AND []u] IV. 74 The Diphthong /a17 Before Voiced Sounds Before Voiceless Sounds The Diphthong /au/ After Fricatives After Stops After Nasals After L After \overline{R} The Diphthong []4_/ After Stops After Nasals

TABLE OF CONTENTS -- Continued

v.		C	oı	NCI	JUS	31(ON	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	Page 103
APPENDIX	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	108
BIBLIOGRA	\P F	IY	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	117

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Closet</u>	5
II.	Manufacturing in Denton County, 1939, 1947, and 1954.	10
III.	Population Change, 1940-1950, Denton Rural and Denton City Areas	11
IV.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Closet</u>	14
V.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Garage</u>	1 5
VI.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Hospital</u>	16
VII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Office</u>	16
VIII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Wash</u>	17
IX.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Wasp</u>	18
Χ.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>God</u>	19
XI.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Hog</u>	20
XII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Hot</u>	21
XIII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Knot</u>	22
XIV.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Mockingbird</u>	23

LIST OF TABLES --Continued

Table							Page
XV.	Pronunciation <u>Spot</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	24
XVI.	Pronunciation <u>Doll</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	25
XVII.	Pronunciation <u>Holiday</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	26
XVIII.	Pronunciation <u>Almond</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	28
XIX.	Pronunciation <u>Barn</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	29
XX.	Pronunciation <u>Bomb</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	30
XXI.	Pronunciation <u>Donkey</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	31
XXII.	Pronunciation <u>Honest</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	32
XXIII.	Pronunciation <u>Honk</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	33
XXIV.	Pronunciation <u>Palm</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	33
XXV.	Pronunciation <u>Swamp</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	34
XXVI.	Pronunciation <u>Barbed</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	36
XXVII.	Pronunciation <u>Cart</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	37
XXVIII.	Pronunciation <u>Dark</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	38

LIST OF TABLES -- Continued

.

Table							Page
XXIX.	Pronunciation <u>Garden</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	38
XXX.	Pronunciation <u>Hard</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	39
XXXI.	Pronunciation Large	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	40
XXXII.	Pronunciation <u>Orange</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	41
XXXIII.	Pronunciation <u>Sharp</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	42
XXXIV.	Pronunciation <u>Tar</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	43
XXXV.	Pronunciation <u>Yard</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	44
XXXVI.	Pronunciation Children	Pre in	fere G ro u	ences of l ups I and	Parents II	and	47
XXXVII.	Pronunciation <u>Coffee</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	51
XXXVIII.	Pronunciation <u>Cross</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	52
XXXIX.	Pronunciation Lost	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	53
XL.	Pronunciation <u>Moth</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	54
XLI.	Pronunciation <u>Soft</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	55
XLII.	Pronunciation <u>Trough</u>	of	the	Stressed	Vowel	in	56

V

LIST OF TABLES -- Continued

Table		Page
XLIII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Catalogue</u>	57
XLIV.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Log</u>	58
XLV.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Song</u>	59
XLVI.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Strong</u>	60
XLVII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Sausage</u>	63
XLVIII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Daughter</u>	64
XLIX.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Strawberry</u>	64
L.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Crawl</u>	65
LI.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Salt</u>	66
LII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Stalk</u>	67
LIII.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Wall</u>	68
LIV.	Pronunciation of the Stressed Vowel in <u>Lawn</u>	69
LV.	Pronunciation Preferences of Parents and Children in Groups I and II	72
LVI.	Pronunciation of the Diphthong in Fire	76
LVII.	Pronunciation of the Diphthong in Time	76

LIST OF TABLES --Continued

Table							Page
LVIII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Find	77
LIX.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Fine	78
LX.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Mine	79
LXI.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Bite	80
LXII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Night	81
LXIII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Bright	81
LXIV.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Right	82
LXV.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Found	84
LXVI.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Sour	86
LXVII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Bounce	87
LXVIII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Cow	87
LXIX.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Scout	88
LXX.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Town	89
LXXI.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Mouse	90
LXXII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Now	91
LXXIII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Loud	92
LXXIV.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Dew	94
LXXV.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Duel	95
LXXVI.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Tuesday	96
LXXVII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Tulip	96
LXXVIII.	Pronunciation	of	the	Diphthong	in	Newspaper	97
LXXIX.	Pronunciation Children	Pre in	fere Grou	nces of Pa lps I and I	rer I	its and	100

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure

Page

1.	Chart	of	the	tongue	positions	of	the	vowels	
	ł	stud	lied	•					3

CHAPTER I

THE PURPOSE AND PLAN OF THE STUDY

American dialect studies have progressed rapidly within the last thirty years, but the progress seems to be concentrated within the Southern and New England areas of the United States. Though there have been studies made in other areas, they are sporadic, no work of any significance having yet been published.¹ Texas, unfortunately, is one area of rich dialectal significance which has been neglected, with the exception of Oma Stanley's work on the dialect in East Texas.² Even though that work is somewhat dated in many respects,³ few scholars have seen fit to undertake a revision of Stanley's work or a study of other areas of Texas which would be comparable to The Speech of East Texas. Several master's theses add to the small number of studies concerned with Texas dialects, notably Roy Elders' study of the

¹<u>The Linguistic Atlas of the United States and Canada</u> is in preparation, however.

²Oma Stanley, <u>The Speech of East Texas</u> (New York, 1937).

³E. S. Clifton, "Some $\llbracket u _ 7 - \llbracket j u _ 7$ Variations in Texas," <u>American Speech</u>, XXXIV (October, 1959), 190-193, found the use of $\llbracket u _ 7 - \llbracket j u _ 7$ in the <u>tune</u>, <u>duke</u>, <u>news</u> type of words to be evenly divided, whereas Stanley, p. 25, found scarcely a trace of the monophthongization of $\llbracket j u _ 7$ in the same words.

stressed back vowels in the speech of Parker County,⁴ but such studies are also too few. The present investigation was undertaken for the purpose of adding to that collection of Texas dialect studies an examination of the low-back vowels in stressed syllables, of certain diphthongs in stressed syllables, and of the change in frequency of usage of those vowels and diphthongs, occurring within recent generations in Denton, Texas.

This study concerns itself specifically with the pronunciation in stressed syllables of the low-back vowels [a, [b], [b], [o], and of the diphthongs [a], [j4],and [au]. Key words for the pronunciation of those soundsare, respectively, <u>ah</u> or <u>father</u>; <u>doll</u>, as it is pronouncedby some people,⁵ a sound between the <u>a</u> of <u>father</u> and the <u>a</u>of <u>jaw</u>; <u>jaw</u> or <u>law</u>; <u>bright</u>, <u>night</u>, <u>fine</u>, <u>time</u>; the sound of<u>you</u> as it occurs in such words as <u>new</u>, <u>tune</u>, <u>duke</u>; and <u>house</u>or <u>cow</u>. The chart in Figure 1 will show the relative tonguepositions for the pronunciation of the vowels which arebeing studied.

⁴Roy Elders, "A Study of the Stressed Back Vowels in the Speech of Parker County, Texas," unpublished master's thesis, Department of English, North Texas State University, Denton, Texas, 1949.

⁵Stuart Robertson, <u>The Development of Modern English</u>, second edition revised by Frederic G. Cassidy (New Jersey, 1954), p. 74.



Fig. 1.--Chart of the tongue positions of the vowels studied.

Since the study is limited to those selected sounds, it was not considered necessary to record test responses in narrow transcription. A worksheet was assembled which allowed several choices of vowel sounds for each test response; the response was recorded in broad transcription and no cognizance was taken of word connections.

Three families in each of two groups, a total of six families, provided informants for the test (See Appendix for detailed information about each of the informants).

⁶John S. Kenyon and Thomas A. Knott, <u>A Pronouncing Dic-</u> <u>tionary of American English</u> (Springfield, 1944), p. xiii.

Each family consisted of two adults and two to six children. The Denton group of three families, referred to in succeeding pages as Group II, consisted of families who have lived in Denton or in the surrounding area for most of their lives; Group II was to represent the colloquial standard speakers of the area. It composed a total of six adults and eight chil-The second group of families, referred to as Group I, dren. consisted of three families who had lived in or near Minneapolis, Minnesota, for most of their lives prior to living in Denton, to which they had but recently moved; Group I, a total of six adults and six children, was to represent the speakers of a dialect intrusive upon the local dialect. The purpose of the counter-testing was to discern whether an intrusive dialect could be noticeably changed by the environmental dialect.7

It was assumed that the children of each dialect group would be most subject to change since they mingled socially more often than their parents; <u>i. e.</u>, the children meet at school five times each week, at play after school and during the summer months, and at other activities which should prompt some degree of mingling of their speech habits.

Since many correlations are necessary, then, the two major groups are further divided: Group I Parents, a total

⁷Leonard Bloomfield, "Dialect Borrowing," <u>Language</u> (New York, 1933), pp. 476-495, provides an excellent treatment of the adoption of speech habits by intrusive groups and by the groups who receive the intrusion.

of six from thirty-eight to forty-eight years of age; Group I Children, a total of six from seven to eighteen years of age; Group II Parents, a total of six from thirty-seven to fortyfive years of age; Group II Children, a total of eight from six to nineteen years of age; Groups I-II Parents, a total of twelve; Groups I-II Children, a total of fourteen; and finally, Groups I-II Aggregate, a total of twenty-six. The pronunciation preferences of each division will be discussed in the study, and each preference will be shown as a numerical total and percentage of its particular division. For example, in discussion of the word <u>closet</u>, it will be seen that sixteen of the twenty-six informants chose [0,7] as the vowel; five chose $[a_7]$; and five chose $[b_7]$. The tabulation will be rendered as follows:

TABLE I

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN CLOSET

Group	[a]	[0]	[3]
I-II Aggregate	5 (19%)	5 (19%)	16 (62%)
I-II Parents	3 (25%)	2 (17%)	7 (58%)
I Parents	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)
II Parents			6 (100%)
I-II Children	2 (14%)	3 (21%)	9 (64%)
I Children	1 (17%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)
<u>II Children</u>			7 (88%)

A majority of Group I parents preferred $\boxed{a_7}$, and Group II parents preferred $\boxed{27}$ unanimously; however, a majority of Group I children preferred to round $\boxed{a_7}$ to $\boxed{b_7}$ and even

[97], while a minority of Group II children, opposed to the [97] of their parents, preferred [a7]. Elders found that 43 per cent of his informants preferred $[97]^8$ but Group II speakers chose [97] almost unanimously, 93 per cent.

The study was limited, of course, by the decision to include the younger children as informants. Words which ordinarily would be included in any study of dialect were excluded from the test for the reason that some of the younger informants would not be familiar with such words. It was necessary, then, to select common words, most of them monosyllabic, which could be assumed to be a part of the vocabulary of a six-year-old.

The words chosen, ninety in all, are taken primarily from lists in Oma Stanley's <u>Speech of East Texas</u> and Roy Elders' <u>Study of the Stressed Back Vowels in Parker County</u>, <u>Texas</u>. The words are arranged in groups according to pronunciation indicated in <u>Webster's New International Dictionary</u>, second edition, as a convenient grouping method. The choice of reference is in no way intended to endorse that pronunciation as "correct." However, the method is intended to be generally consistent with Elders' grouping method, taken from the same source, in order that more coherent comparisons may be made between conclusions in this thesis and in Elders' thesis. The vowels will be discussed not in their

⁸Elders, p. 18.

total environment but according to the sounds which immediately follow the vowel sound, as stops, fricatives, nasals, and <u>1</u> or <u>r</u>. The diphthongs $/\overline{a}u/$ and $/\underline{j}u/$ will be discussed according to the sounds which precede them, as fricatives, stops, nasals, and <u>1</u> or <u>r</u>. The diphthong $/\overline{a}u/$ will be discussed as it occurs before voiced sounds and before voiceless sounds.

The questions which were each designed to elicit a particular word as a response were presented to the isolated informant, who was allowed to assume that vocabulary and not pronunciation was being tested. The questions were presented verbally, and the informant responded verbally; the response was immediately recorded manually on the worksheet. The method was quite successful. In a few instances, noted in the Appendix, informants were affected and cautious even though they had been told that familiar, conversational pronunciation was desired. In the event that an informant seemed to be affecting the pronunciation of a word, he was tested sporadically for that word throughout the test in order to determine his true pronunciation of that word.

Since the city of Denton is experiencing rapid social and commercial expansion, its economy improving, and its ties with the cosmopolitan areas of Dallas and Fort Worth becoming more secure, there is sufficient influence to induce some affected speech. At the very least, people are more aware of dialect differences, and their speech tends to accommodate

itself to preferred social levels. For this reason, this study of speech in the city of Denton cannot be taken as truly representative of the speech of Denton County. A short history⁹ of Denton and the surrounding county will serve to illustrate that several varieties of speech habits are to be expected.

The history of the Denton township actually begins with the date of its establishment as the county seat in 1857, but the history of the county began as early as 1846. Prior to 1836 the area was a part of Red River County under Mexican government, but on October 3, 1836, it became a part of the Republic of Texas and the frontier of Fannin County, as it remained until 1846.

On January 4, 1841, the Fifth Congress authorized land grants of 640 acres to married settlers and 320 acres to unmarried settlers. The W. S. Peters Land Company was issued a contract to colonize much of North Texas, and immigrants were attracted by Peters Company agents in Kentucky, Missouri, Tennessee, Arkansas, and at all the ferry crossings on the Red River. The immigrants who arrived by way of Preston Road, the north-south national highway from Red River to Waco, saw from the Collin County Ridge a land of fine soils of various types, suitable for a varied agriculture.

⁹Ed F. Bates, <u>History and Reminiscences of Denton County</u> (Texas, 1918), is the primary source for the history of Denton County except for brief references which will be otherwise noted.

The first settlements were Bridges Settlement, started in 1843-44¹⁰ by a group of settlers from Texas and Kentucky who settled in the southeast corner of the county; Holford Prairie Settlement, started in 1844 by settlers from Bonham, Texas, and Platt County, Missouri, who settled near the present site of Lewisville; Teel Settlement, 1850, started between Little Elm and Frisco and populated by Tennesseeans; the Hawkins Settlement, 1853, started by Kentuckians near the east county line. Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana, and the Carolinas were also represented, according to Bates.

Eventually, in 1846, the area had enough voters to organize a county, and it was so organized and named after Captain John B. Denton, ranger, preacher, and lawyer who was killed in a Tarrant County Indian fight. County seat sites were changed three times, the voters finally electing the site which is now Denton. The city grew around the county seat, and after the Civil War, Reconstruction, and the unrest which followed, Denton settled down to the peaceful rhythm of an agricultural community.

The climate was favorable for agriculture, and farming and stock-raising flourished. Farming was carried on in the

¹⁰University of Texas Bureau of Business Research, <u>An</u> <u>Economic Survey of Denton County</u> (Austin, 1949), p. 103, dates Bridges Settlement as 1848, but it also indicates that a settlement, unnamed, began in the southeast corner of the county in 1843.

central and southern sandy land, and cattle were raised on the upper plains.

Railroad transportation came to Denton in 1880-1881, and it became possible for the settlers to produce items for a profitable export. Those items were solely agricultural in origin: dairy products, hides, and farm products.

Denton remained primarily an agricultural community for many years, but statistics concerning the growth of population and industry during selected years¹¹ will serve to show graphically Denton's recent expansion:

TABLE II

Criteria	1939	1947	1954
Number of establish- ments Number of wage earners Wages paid	18 181 \$146,079	29 603 \$1,021,000	33 995 \$2,947,000
Value added by Manufacture	\$668.218	\$4,683,000	\$6.634.000

MANUFACTURING IN DENTON COUNTY 1939, 1947, and 1954

As a satellite of the Dallas-Fort Worth industrial area, Denton has received attention as a possible location for many of the "footloose" manufacturers who need no specific area in which to establish their industries. As a by-product of the

¹¹The University of Texas Bureau of Business Research, <u>An Economic Survey of Denton County, Texas</u> (Texas, 1957), p. 44. industrial interests in this area, rural population has decreased, and city population has increased:

TABLE III

POPULATION CHANGE 1940-1950 DENTON RURAL AND DENTON CITY AREAS

	Popul	ation	Net	Percent		
Area	1940	1950	Change	Change		
Denton Coun	ty					
Rural	22,466	19,993	-2,473	-11.0		
Urban	16.016	21.372	+5,356	+33.4		

As a result of the Dallas-Fort Worth industrial expansion, representatives of other dialect areas have settled in this area, as, for example, have the families from Minnesota who took part in the testing for this study. Most of them have been given special training for their occupation, unlike many of the natives, who are in transition from agricultural to industrial occupations. Karl Dykema asserts, in an article in <u>American Speech</u>, that more technical occupations should change the language more rapidly, going on to say that "the impact of a different dialectal environment is bound to have some influence on the speech habits of those who are moving from one class to another."¹² The intrusive dialects, generally, are spoken in higher social strata than the native dialects. It may be of some interest to note here that Denton

¹²Karl W. Dykema, "How Fast is Standard English Changing?" <u>American Speech</u>, XXXI (May, 1956), 93. supports two universities: North Texas State University and Texas Woman's University, both of which are major academic centers. If Bloomfield's theory is applied, Denton may be said to act as a provincial speech center secondary to Dallas and Fort Worth, but, as the county seat, academic center, and industrial center of the county, its speech occupies a higher social plane than the speech of its outlying districts.¹³

The purpose of the discussion of the history of Denton is to illustrate that Denton speech, itself a transition from provincial to cosmopolitan speech, is not truly representative of the general speech of Denton County. It also serves to illustrate one other point: the native dialect composing a majority of the dialectal environment probably exerts a powerful influence upon the intrusive dialect which tends to assimilate the preferred social usages in its environment.

13Bloomfield, pp. 476-495.

CHAPTER II

THE VOWEL SOUND [a]

The sound $[a_7]$, generally heard in such words as <u>ah</u> and <u>father</u>, is a low back lax unround¹ vowel. It is made with the jaws open and the tongue low in the mouth, almost flat but with the back of the tongue slightly raised. The flat position of the tongue also characterizes $[a_7]$ and is responsible for allophones of $[a_7]$ as is the raising of the back of the tongue. Elders notes that the sound $[a_7]$ is often raised to $[b_7]$ or $[3_7]$ before \underline{r} .²

Before Fricatives

Of this group of words, <u>closet</u> and <u>office</u> were generally pronounced with $\boxed{27}$ as the vowel; <u>garage</u>, <u>hospital</u>, <u>wash</u>, and <u>wasp</u> were pronounced with $\boxed{27}$ as the vowel.

The word <u>closet</u> has been discussed as an example in Chapter I, but it is offered again for the sake of continuity.

²Elders, p. 17.

¹Elders' description is valid in the pronunciation of <u>(a)</u> in this area; however, Group I informants made the sound somewhat tense. Robertson, p. 73, indicates that the vowel is characteristically tense. John S. Kenyon, <u>American</u> <u>Pronunciation</u>, 10th ed. (Ann Arbor, 1950), p. 62, states that "the difference in tenseness is less certain for the lower vowels."

Sixteen of the twenty-six informants chose $\begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ as the vowel; five chose $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$; and five chose $\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$

TABLE IV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN CLOSET

Group	[a]	[10]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	5 (19%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%) 2 (14%) 1 (17%) 1 (12%) 1 (12%)	5 (19%) 2 (17%) 2 (33%) 3 (21%) 3 (50%)	16 (62%) 7 (58%) 1 (17%) 6 (100%) 9 (64%) 2 (33%) 7 (88%)

A majority of Group I parents preferred $[a_{2}]$, and Group II parents preferred $[9_{2}]$ unanimously; however, Group I children definitely preferred the rounded vowels $[b_{2}]$ (50%) and $[9_{2}]$ (33%); Group II children, on the other hand, showed a slight interest in the unrounded $[a_{2}]$ (12%). Group II informants, in general, chose $[9_{2}]$ almost unanimously, 93 per cent.

³C. K. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," <u>American Speech</u>, XVII (February, 1942), 38, cited by Elders, p. 18, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," <u>American</u> <u>Speech</u>, XI (February, 1936), 71, lists 2, as the vowel.

⁴It may be of interest to note that the informants were almost equally divided between the French pronunciation $\boxed{3}$, and the Anglicized $\boxed{a_3}$.

TABLE V

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN GARAGE

Group	[a]	[0]	[9]
I-II Aggregate	24 (93%)	2 (07%)	
I-II Parents	12 (100%)		
I Parents	6 (100%)		
II Parents	6 (100%)		
I-II Children	12 (86%)	2 (14%)	
I Children	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	
II Children	7 (88%)	1 (12%)	

Table V, above, shows that the only variations from $[a_7 \text{ to } n_7 \text{ were made by children. Tabulation of Group II speakers indicates that <math>[a_7 (93\%)$ in garage is almost invariable in the native dialect of Denton.⁵

The vowel in <u>hospital</u> (first syllable) shows even less variation. One of twenty-six informants chose $[b_7]$; the others chose $[a_7]$:⁶

⁵G. P. Krapp, <u>The Pronunciation of Standard English in</u> <u>America</u> (New York, 1919), pp. 60-61, indicates that /a:/ is the dominant pronunciation in General American speech. The statement would require qualification in order to apply to this area: /a:/ is usually present preceding /3/, but the vowel is not frequently lengthened to that degree when it precedes /a:/.

⁶Raven I. McDavid, "Low-Back Vowels in the South Carolina Piedmont," <u>American Speech</u>, XV (April, 1940), 146, shows [**a**] to be predominant in the South Carolina Piedmont. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, finds <u>7</u> prevalent in that area. Krapp, p. 58, records both <u>7</u> and <u>7</u> in General American speech.

TABLE VI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HOSPITAL

Group	[a]	[0]	[9]
I Children*	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	
*This was tl	le only group s	howing variatio	n.

It is apparent that $\boxed{a_{.}}$ is invariably the vowel in the initial syllable of <u>hospital</u> in the native dialect.

The word <u>office</u> was pronounced with $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{-7} \\ by \\ two informants, with <math>\begin{bmatrix} b_{-7} \\ by \\ by \\ twenty-one informants: \end{bmatrix}^7$

TABLE VII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN OFFICE

Group	[a]	[0]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children	2 (08%) 2 (17%) 2 (33%)	3 (12%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%) 2 (14%) 1 (17%)	21 (80%) 9 (75%) 3 (50%) 6 (100%) 12 (86%) 5 (83%)
II Children		1 (12%)	7 (88%)

⁷Hans Kurath, "American Pronunciation," <u>Society for</u> <u>Pure English Tract No. XXX</u> (New York, 1928), p. 287, mentions that / *a* / occurs in <u>office</u> "not infrequently" in Western speech. McDavid, p. 148, finds / *a* / the prevalent initial vowel in <u>office</u> in the South Carolina Piedmont. Kenyon, p. 182; Kenyon and Knott, p. 303; and Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, all show / *b* / predominant in their respective areas of investigation. Although 33 per cent of Group I parents preferred $[a_7]$, the majority of Group I children preferred $[J_7]$ (83%), all of the children choosing rounded vowels. Group II parents preferred $[J_7]$ unanimously, but Group II children showed some preference for $[b_7]$ (12%), the majority choosing $[J_7]$ (88%). Ninety-three per cent of Group II speakers chose $[J_7]$ as the vowel in <u>office</u>.

<u>Wash</u> shows little variation: twenty-four speakers chose [a], and only two chose [b]?

TABLE VIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN WASH

Group	[a]	[07	[9 7
I-II Aggregate	24 (93%)	2 (07%)	
I-II Parents	12 (100%)		
I Parents	6 (100%)		
II Parents	6 (100%)		
I-II Children	12 (86%)	2 (14%)	
I Children	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	
II Children	7 (87%)	ī (13%)	

Children, as was the case with the pronunciation of garage, showed the only variation from 27 to 707.

⁸Sarah T. Barrows, "Watch, Water, Wash," <u>American Speech</u>, IV (July, 1929), 301, says that wash is preferred with /a/in Iowa. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, lists /a/ as prevalent. Krapp, p. 59, lists both /a/ and /9/ in General American speech, and Kenyon and Knott, p. 470, show /a/ and /9/ as the first two preferences. Thirteen speakers chose $[a_7]$ in wasp; ten chose $[b_7]$; and three chose $[J_7:9]$

TABLE IX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN WASP

Group	[a]	[0 7	[97
I-II Aggregate	13 (50%)	10 (39%)	3 (11%)
I-II Parents	8 (67%)	2 (17%)	2 (17%)
I Parents	6 (100%)	0 (77d)	0 (774)
11 Farents	2 (35%)	2 (55%)	2 (55%)
1-11 Children	5 (30%)	8 (57%)	I (07%)
I Children	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	2 (200)
11 Unilaren	2 (38%)	4 (50%)	<u> </u>

Group I parents preferred [a] without variation as the vowel in <u>wasp</u>, but Group II parents were evenly divided in the choice of [a], [b], or [c]. Both Group I children and Group II children showed some tendency to select [b]as the vowel.

Before Stops

Doctor, God, hot, knot, mockingbird, and spot were preferred with [2] by most speakers. Hog was preferred with [].

Informants preferred no variation from the choice of $\angle a$ as the vowel in the first syllable of <u>doctor</u>.

⁹Elders, p. 18, records [9] (63%), [6] (13%), [a] (13%) in Parker County. Stanley, p. 19, says that the vowel in wasp is usually rounded to [6] or [9] in East Texas. McDavid, p. 148, and Thomas, "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, show [a] as the preference in their areas. Slight variation was shown in the pronunciation of <u>God</u>. Twenty-two speakers preferred $\boxed{27}$; four speakers preferred $\boxed{57}$:10

TABLE X

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN GOD

Group	[a]	[0]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	$\begin{array}{cccc} 22 & (85\%) \\ 10 & (83\%) \\ 5 & (83\%) \\ 5 & (83\%) \\ 12 & (86\%) \\ 4 & (67\%) \\ 8 & (100\%) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 4 & (15\%) \\ 2 & (17\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 2 & (14\%) \\ 2 & (33\%) \end{array}$	

Although the predominant pronunciation was $\begin{bmatrix} a_2 \end{bmatrix}$ for both groups, 33 per cent of Group I children preferred the rounded $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \end{bmatrix}$ while only 17 per cent of Group I parents preferred $\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \end{bmatrix}$. Seventeen per cent of Group II parents preferred $\begin{bmatrix} b_2 \end{bmatrix}$,

¹⁰Argus Tresidder, "Notes on Virginia Speech," <u>American</u> <u>Speech</u>, XVI (April, 1941), 118, shows [**0**] slightly predominant over [**2**] with a few speakers choosing [**9**]. Elders, p. 29, records [**2**] (100%) as the vowel in <u>God</u>. Stanley, p. 17, says that the vowel in <u>God</u> is never rounded in East Texas speech. McDavid, p. 146, records a preference for [**2**]. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, finds [**2**] predominant, but he records [**9**] as the preference in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 70. Katherine Wheatley, "Southern Standards," <u>American Speech</u>, IX (February, 1934), 39, says that [**9**] and [**9**] are common in the cultivated speech of the Southerner. Kenyon, p. 184, states that <u>God</u> regularly has [**2**] in General American speech. Krapp, p. 57, says that both [**2**] and [**9**] are common in General American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 186, list [**2**] and [**0**] as most frequent and [**9**] as less frequent. but 100 per cent of Group II children preferred the unrounded vowel [a].

The pronunciation of <u>hog</u> indicated a preference for $\boxed{27}$ in this word. One speaker preferred $\boxed{27}$; five chose $\boxed{07}$; and twenty speakers preferred $\boxed{27}$:11

TABLE XI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HOG

Group	ra7	[0]	[9]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	1 (04%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)	5 (19%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%) 2 (14%) 2 (33%)	20 (77%) 8 (67%) 2 (33%) 6 (100%) 12 (86%) 4 (67%) 8 (100%)

Group II consistently chose $\boxed{97}$ as the vowel in <u>hog</u>. Only 33 per cent of Group I parents chose $\boxed{97}$ while 50 per cent preferred $\boxed{107}$ and 17 per cent preferred the unround vowel

11 Leonard Bloomfield, "The Stressed Vowels of American English," Language, XI (April, 1935), 108, cited in Elders, p. 19, indicates that [9] is used in homely words like hog. McDavid, p. 148, shows a slight preference for [9] over [9] in the South Carolina Piedmont. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, finds [9] dominant in downstate New York while [9] alone is pronounced in hog in upstate New York as shown in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 70. Wheatley, p. 40, says [9] and [9] are the usual pronunciations of the vowel in hog in the South. Elders, p. 19, says that hog usually has [9] in Parker County, and Stanley, p. 17, states that the tendency in East Texas is to round the vowel but that both unrounded and rounded low back vowels are common. Kenyon and Knott, p. 205, list [9], and [9]. $[a_7]$. Group II children, however, pronounced <u>hog</u> with rounded back vowels, 67 per cent choosing $[o_7]$ and 33 per cent choosing $[o_7]$.

Hot was generally pronounced with $[a_7]$. Only three speakers chose $[b_7]$ while twenty-three speakers chose $[a_7]^{12}$

TABLE XII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HOT

Group	[a]	[0]	<u>[]]</u>
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	$\begin{array}{cccc} 23 & (89\%) \\ 10 & (83\%) \\ 4 & (67\%) \\ 6 & (100\%) \\ 13 & (93\%) \\ 5 & (83\%) \\ 8 & (100\%) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & (11\%) \\ 2 & (17\%) \\ 2 & (33\%) \\ 1 & (07\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \end{array}$	

Group II unanimously preferred \boxed{a} in <u>hot</u>. Group I speakers generally preferred \boxed{a} , but 33 per cent of Group I parents chose \boxed{b} ; however, only 17 per cent of Group I children showed a preference for \boxed{b} .

¹²Elders, p. 18, regularly finds [a] as the vowel in <u>hot</u>, and Stanley, p. 16, says that the vowel regularly appears in East Texas as a short [a]. McDavid, p. 146, records [a] alone in <u>hot</u>, and Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 69, finds [a] without variation. Kenyon, p. 184, finds [a] regularly in General American Speech, and Krapp, p. 57, finds [a] in all sections except New England. Kurath, "American Pronunciation," p. 287, cited in Elders, p. 18, says that "The most salient features of the Western type of speaking are . . the unrounded vowel of father, only shorter, in <u>hot</u> . . . " Kenyon and Knott, p. 208, <u>list</u> [a] as the preference, with [b] as an Eastern and Southern variation.

<u>Knot</u> was pronounced with $\lceil \alpha \rceil 7$ as the vowel almost without variation. One speaker preferred $\lceil \beta \rceil 7$, and twenty-five preferred $\lceil \alpha \rceil 7$:¹³

TABLE XIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN KNOT

Group	[a]	[~~]	[0]
II Children*	7 (88%)	1 (12%)	

* This is the only group which showed variation.

There was a great deal of variation in the pronunciation of the word <u>mockingbird</u> (first syllable).¹⁴ Twelve speakers preferred $\lceil \alpha \rceil$; five speakers preferred $\lceil \beta \rceil$; eight speakers preferred $\lceil 2 \rceil$; and one speaker did not respond.¹⁵

¹³Elders, p. 18, and Stanley, p. 16, regularly find [a] in words of this class. Kenyon, p. 184, says that <u>knot</u> regularly has [a] in General American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 245, show [a] in <u>knot</u> with [b] as an Eastern and Southern preference.

¹⁴As $\boxed{27}$ was the expected Group II response, it is interesting to note that half of Group II parents preferred $\boxed{27}$ and that the informants who responded with $\boxed{27}$ were women. Extensive cross-testing failed to disestablish this pronunciation as the conscious one, and it must be concluded that the pronunciation of $\boxed{27}$ in <u>mockingbird</u> was a normal pronunciation for these informants.

¹⁵Elders, p. 19, records $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$ (70%) in mockingbird. McDavid, p. 147, cited in Elders, p. 19, finds $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$ as the initial vowel in mockingbird. Kenyon, p. 184, states that the word regularly has $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$ in General American speech but that $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$ is not infrequent. Kenyon and Knott, p. 283, show the order of preference to be $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$, $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$, and $\int \mathcal{O}_{1}^{7}$.

TABLE XIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN MOCKINGBIRD

Group	[a]	[0]	[9]
I-II Aggregate	12 (48%)	5 (20%)	8 (32%)
I-II Parents	8 (67%)	2 (17%)	2 (17%)
I Parents	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	
II Parents	3 (50%)	1 (17%)	2 (33%)
I-II Children	4 (31%)	3 (23%)	6 (46%)
I Children	2 (40%)		3 (60%)
II Children	2 (25%)	3 (37%)	3 (37%)

Group I parents preferred the unrounded $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ (83%), but Group I children showed a tendency to round the vowel to $\begin{bmatrix} -9.7 \\ (60\%) \end{bmatrix}$. Group II parents were evenly divided in their choice between an unrounded and a rounded vowel, but Group II children preferred the latter.

Pronunciation of <u>spot</u> showed little variation from the choice of [a] as the vowel, but there were variations in the quality of the vowel; two Group I parents pronounced <u>spot</u> with a fronted [a], or [a], which is a sound produced with the tongue more forward in the mouth, nearly in the position of [a]. Twenty-two of the informants chose [a]; two informants chose [a]; and two informants chose [a]?

¹⁶Elders, p. 18, says that \boxed{a} is usually found without variation in <u>spot</u> in Parker County; Kenyon, p. 184, finds \boxed{a} regularly in General American speech; and Kenyon and Knott, p. 403, list \boxed{a} with \boxed{b} as an Eastern and Southern variant.

TABLE XV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SPOT

Group	[a • 7*	[a]	[n]
I-II Aggregate	2 (08%)	22 (84%)	2 (08%)
I-II Parents	2 (17%)	9 (75%)	1 (08%)
I Parents	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	
II Parents		5 (83%)	1 (17%)
I-II Children		13 (93%)	1 (07%)
I Children		5 (83%)	1 (17%)
II Children		8 (100%)	

*Variation of [a]

Before L

Those test words in which the vowel was succeeded by $\underline{1}$, <u>doll</u>, <u>holiday</u>, and <u>hollow</u>, were pronounced with $\underline{/a_{.}}$ as the vowel in the initial syllable with little other variation, except $\underline{/b_{.}}$. $\underline{/a_{.}}$ is often rounded slightly to $\underline{/b_{.}}$ before $\underline{1}$ in such words.

Eighteen speakers preferred 27 as the vowel in <u>doll</u>, and eight speakers preferred $27:^{17}$

¹⁷Stanley, p. 17; Elders, p. 20; McDavid, p. 146; and Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, and

TABLE XVI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN DOLL

Group	[a]	7	[9]
I-II Aggregate	18 (70%)	8 (30%)	
I-II Parents	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	
I Parents	2 (33%)	4 (67%)	
II Parents	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	
I-II Children	12 (86%)	2 (14%)	
I Children	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	
II Children	<u>7 (88%)</u>	1 (12%)	

Group I parents preferred [n] (67%) as the vowel in <u>doll</u>, but Group I children preferred [a] (83%), only 17 per cent

"Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 72, all encounter [A] as the preferred vowel in <u>doll</u>. Charles K. Thomas, <u>An</u> <u>Introduction to the Phonetics of American English</u> (New York, 1947), pp. 216-241, indicates the following vowel preferences for the word <u>doll</u>:

Area	First	Second	Third
Eastern New England	[a]	[~¤]	[]]
The Middle Atlantic Area	[a]		
The North Central Area	[a]	[~a_ 7	
Western Pennsylvania	[]]7		
The Southern Mountain Area	[a]	[]3 7	
The Southwest Coastal Area	ra7		

Wheatley, p. 40, says that $\boxed{2}$ and $\boxed{2}$ are the usual vowel pronunciations for <u>doll</u> in the speech of the South. <u>Doll</u>, "as some people pronounce (it)," is used by Robertson, p. 74, as a key word for $\boxed{0}$. Krapp, p. 58, says that he finds both \boxed{a} and $\boxed{2}$ in General American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 133, list the preferences \boxed{a} , \boxed{b} , and $\boxed{2}$, in that order. choosing $[n_7]$. Group II parents preferred $[a_7]$ (67%), but 33 per cent preferred a rounded vowel while only 12 per cent of Group II children chose the rounded vowel $[n_7]$.

Most speakers, twenty-two, preferred $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ in <u>holiday</u>, but four pronounced the word with $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ as the vowel:¹⁸

TABLE XVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HOLIDAY

Group	Ζ	a_7		~ \$7	[3]
I-II Aggregate	22 (8	35%)	4	(15%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
I-II Parents	10 (8	33%)	2	(17%)	
I Parents	4 (6	57%)	2	(33%)	
II Parents	6 (:	100%)			
I-II Children	12 (8	36%)	2	(14%)	
I Children	5 (8	33%)	1	(17%)	
II Children	7 (8	38%)	1	(12%)	

Thirty-three per cent of Group I parents preferred to round the vowel in the initial syllable to [0] while 67 per cent chose [a]; Group I children, however, preferred the vowel [a] (83%), and only 17 per cent chose [N]. Group II parents chose [a] unanimously, but Group II children showed some rounding, [N] (17%), preferring [a] (88%).

¹⁸Elders, p. 20, notes only one variation from $[a_7]$ to $[b_7]$ in <u>holiday</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p.72, shows negligible variation from $[a_7]$. Kenyon and Knott, p. 206, list $[a_7]$ first, with the Eastern and Southern choice $[b_7]$.

Pronunciation of the word <u>hollow</u> showed no variation at all from the vowel sound $\sqrt{\alpha_27.19}$

Before Nasals

Anticipation of a nasal sound [M] or [N] in all of the words in this group, <u>almond</u>, <u>barn</u>, <u>bomb</u>, <u>donkey</u>, <u>honest</u>, <u>honk</u>, <u>palm</u>, <u>swamp</u>, led to nasalization of the vowel preceding the nasal. At the same time that the speech organs were preparing the vowel sound, the velum was lowering in order to permit articulation of the nasal sound; both sounds, in effect, were being produced at the same time, and the result was a nasalized vowel.

<u>Almond</u> was pronounced in several ways.²⁰ The initial vowel sound occurred as $[\tilde{a}_{.7}, [\tilde{b}_{.7}, [\tilde{3}_{.7}], \text{ and } [\tilde{a}_{.7}]]$. Eleven of the speakers preferred $[\tilde{a}_{.7}]$; nine speakers chose $[\tilde{b}_{.7}]$; only two speakers preferred $[\tilde{3}_{.7}]$; and a total of four speakers chose $[\tilde{a}_{.7}]^{21}$

¹⁹Stanley, p. 17, finds that [a] is invariable in <u>hol-low</u>; Elders, p. 20, finds [a] (100%); Thomas, "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 73, records [a] in the speech of all informants. Krapp, p. 58, records the occurrence of both [a] and [3] in General American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 206, show [a] as the preference, with [b] as an Eastern and Southern variant.

²⁰Pronunciation occurred as / 2 mon7, /2011, and as / amon(d), / amon(d), / almon(d), / jomon(d), and / jmon(d).

²¹Kenyon and Knott, p. 13, list [2.7, [32.7, and frequently [32]Mand in New England. Stanley, p. 19, says that the pronunciation in East Texas is [32: Man]. Elders, p. 21, shows the variations [a]man(dy, [D]man(dy, [a:man(dy, [3:man]), and the favorite [32]Man(dy (60%).
TABLE XVIII

Group	<u>[ã]</u>	<u>[</u>	<u>[</u> õ <u>7</u>	Æ 37
I-II Aggregate	11 (43%)	9 (35%)	2 (08%)	4 (15%)
I-II Parents	8 (67%)		1 (08%)	3 (25%)
I Parents	5 (83%)		1 (17%)	
II Parents	3 (50%)			3 (50%)
I-II Children	3 (21%)	9 (64%)	1 (07%)	1 (07%)
I Children	1 (17%)	4 (66%)		1 (17%)
II Children	2 (25%)	5 (63%)	1 (12%)	

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN ALMOND

The pronunciation of <u>almond</u> by Group I parents showed that they preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$ rather consistently, only 17 per cent choosing another vowel, $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$. Group II parents were evenly divided in their choice between $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$, the fronted variant of $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$. Group I children showed a decided preference for $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$; 17 per cent chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$, and 17 per cent chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$. Group II children exhibited approximately the same degree of variation; the majority chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$ (63%), and 25 per cent chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$, 12 per cent choosing $\begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ -7 \end{bmatrix}$.

 $[\widetilde{\mathbf{n}}_{7}]$ was preferred as the vowel in <u>barn</u> by nineteen speakers; seven speakers chose $[\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{7}]^{22}$

²²Elders, p. 23, says that 67 per cent of his informants preferred [N] in <u>barn</u>. He also mentions that Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 34, "records a predominance of [N] in <u>barn</u>." However, Elders is mistaken; ninety informants chose [A:], and only fifteen chose [N:] in <u>barn</u>. The other words which Elders lists are also pronounced predominantly with [A:] instead of [N:]. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 68, records 22 of 48 speakers with fronted [A] before <u>r</u>. Robertson, p. 394, says that

TABLE XIX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN BARN

Group	<u>[</u> ã]	[ĨÕŢ	[<u>э</u>]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	7 (27%) 6 (50%) 5 (83%) 1 (17%) 1 (07%) 1 (17%)	19 (73%) 6 (50%) 1 (17%) 5 (83%) 13 (93%) 5 (83%) 8 (100%)	

Group I parents generally chose $[\tilde{a}_{...7}]$, a few preferring $[\tilde{b}_{...7}]$; Group II parents chose $[\tilde{b}_{...7}]$, and only 17 per cent favored $[\tilde{a}_{...7}]$. Group I children preferred $[\tilde{b}_{...7}]$, only 17 per cent selecting $[\tilde{a}_{...7}]$ as the vowel. Group II children showed no variation from the choice of $[\tilde{b}_{...7}]$.

<u>Bomb</u> was preferred with $\sum \tilde{k} / \tilde{k}$ as the vowel by eight of the informants; eighteen chose $\sum \tilde{a} / 2^3$

 $\boxed{a.7}$ in <u>barn</u> in the New York City area, parts of the Ohio Valley, and Texas, tends to shift to \boxed{N} or $\boxed{9.7}$; "New England differs strikingly," says Robertson, in that $\boxed{a.7}$ shifts toward $\boxed{a.7}$ in those areas where <u>r</u> is lost. Kenyon and Knott, p. 39, list \boxed{ar} , Eastern and Southern $\boxed{a:7}$.

²³Elders, p. 21, records $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} & 7 \\ 87\% \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} & 7 \\ 03\% \end{bmatrix}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} /\tilde{b} & m/ \\ 10\% \end{bmatrix}$. Stanley, p. 17, cited by Elders, p. 21, says that $\begin{bmatrix} /\tilde{b} & m/ \\ m/ \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} /\tilde{b} & nm/ \\ m/ \end{bmatrix}$ are the only East Texas pronunciations of bomb. McDavid, p. 148, records $\begin{bmatrix} /a & 7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ predominant, then $\begin{bmatrix} /a & 7 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ and less frequently $\begin{bmatrix} /3 & 7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$. Krapp, p. 58, records both $\begin{bmatrix} /a & 7 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} /3 & 7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ in General American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 52, list $\begin{bmatrix} a & 7 \\ a \end{bmatrix}$.

TABLE XX

أليذه فالكافي بمحمد والمتحد وتخذ ومحمد فالمتحد والمتحد والمحمد والمحمد والتكريب والتخر		والأخذاء الكالية فيستعف والألانية ومنتعاد ومستعانها واستعاراتها والمستك	
Group	[ã.]	[iõ]	<u>[</u>]]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 (30%) 4 (33%) 1 (17%) 3 (50%) 4 (29%) 3 (50%) 1 (12%)	• •

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN BOMB

Seventeen speakers preferred $[\widetilde{67}]$ in <u>donkey</u>; six preferred $[\widetilde{6}_7]$; and three speakers chose $[\widetilde{5}_7]$:²⁴

²⁴C. K. Thomas, <u>Phonetics of American English</u>, pp. 216-241, indicates the following vowel preferences for <u>donkey</u>:

Area	First	Second	Third
Eastern New England	[a]	[n]	[°]7
The Middle Atlantic Area	[1]	[a]	
The South	[0]	[a]	
The North Central Area	[]]	[a]	
The Southern Mountain Are	ea [] 7		

TABLE XXI

Group	_~ã_ 7	[<i>\b</i>]	<u>[</u>]]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	6 (23%) 4 (33%) 4 (67%)	17 (66%) 7 (58%) 2 (33%)	3 (11%) 1 (09%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children	2 (14%) 1 (17\%)	5 (83%) 10 (72%) 3 (50%)	1 (17%) 2 (14%) 2 (33%)
II Children	1 (12%)	7 (88%)	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN DONKEY

While Group I parents preferred the unrounded $[\tilde{a}_{,}, 0.1]$ 33 per cent choosing $[\tilde{b}_{,}, 0.1]$ Group I children exhibited a shift to the rounded vowels, $[\tilde{b}_{,}, (50\%)]$ and $[\tilde{5}_{,}, (33\%)]$. Group II parents preferred $[\tilde{b}_{,}, (83\%)]$, 17 per cent choosing $[\tilde{5}_{,}, 0.1]$ but Group II children occasionally chose $[\tilde{a}_{,}, (12\%)]$, the majority preferring $[\tilde{b}_{,}, (88\%)]$.

The pronunciation of <u>honest</u> showed few of the characteristics of the other words of this group. Variant pronunciations were limited to three instances with $\tilde{\nu}7$ as the vowel while twenty-one speakers preferred the vowel $\tilde{\nu}7$; two speakers made no response:²⁵

The Central Midland [9] The Northwest [9] The Southwest Coastal Area [9]

²⁵Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, records \boxed{a} alone in honest. Wheatley, p. 42, says that \boxed{a} is considered vulgar in the South. Kenyon and Knott, p. 207, list \boxed{a} , Eastern and Southern preference \boxed{b} .

TABLE XXII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HONEST

Group	[ã]	` <i></i> `` <i>õ</i> _	<u>[~~7</u>]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children* II Children*	21 (87%) 10 (83%) 5 (83%) 5 (83%) 11 (91%) 5 (100%) 6 (86%)	3 (13%) 2 (17%) 1 (17%) 1 (17%) 1 (09%) 1 (14%)	

* One speaker did not respond.

A majority of the speakers in every group preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \end{bmatrix}$ as the vowel in <u>honest</u>. Only a trace of each group, except Group I children, preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \end{bmatrix}$.

[57] was chosen as the vowel in <u>honk</u> by thirteen speakers; [87] was chosen by ten speakers; and [37] was chosen by three speakers:²⁶

²⁶Elders, p. 22, records $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}} & 7 \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}} & 7 \\ \hline \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}} & 7 \\ \hline \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}} & 7 \\ \hline \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}} & 7 \\ \hline \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}} & 7 \\ \hline \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal$

Area	First	Second	Third
Eastern New England	[a]	[07	[`]]
The Middle Atlantic Area	[a_7	[1]	
The North Central Area	[]]	[n]	[a_]
The Central Midland	[] 27		
The Northwest	[0]	[b]7	

TABLE XXIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HONK

Group	[ã]	[ñ]	[~ <i>3</i>]
I-II Aggregate	3 (11%)	10 (39%)	13 (50%)
I-II Parents	2 (17%)	4 (33%)	6 (50%)
I Parents	2 (33%)	2 (33%)	2 (33%)
II Parents		2 (33%)	4 (67%)
I-II Children	1 (07%)	6 (43%)	7 (50%)
I Children	1 (17%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)
II Children	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3 (37%)	5 (63%)

Group I parents showed an even division of choice of the three sounds $[\tilde{a}_{,}], [\tilde{b}_{,}]$, and $[\tilde{j}_{,}]$. Group II parents, however, chose $[\tilde{j}_{,}]$ (67%) as the vowel in honk, the remainder choosing $[\tilde{b}_{,}]$. Group I children exhibited a tendency to shift toward the rounded vowels $[\tilde{b}_{,}]$ (50%) and $[\tilde{j}_{,}]$ (33%). Group II children chose only the rounded vowels $[\tilde{b}_{,}]$ (37%) and $[\tilde{j}_{,}]$ (63%).

The pronunciation of the word <u>palm</u> showed that ten of the speakers preferred $[\tilde{\nu}]$, and sixteen preferred $[\tilde{a}]$:

TABLE XXIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN PALM

Group	<u>[</u> ã7	<u> </u>	Γ <i>õ</i> 7
I-II Aggregate	16 (61%)	10 (39%)	-
I-II Parents	9 (75%)	3 (25%)	
I Parents	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	
II Parents	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	
I-II Children	7 (50%)	7 (50%)	
I Children	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
II Children	4 (50%)	4 (50%)	

Both groups of parents preferred $[\tilde{a}_{...,\tilde{a}_...,\tilde{a}...,\tilde{a}_...,\tilde{a}},...,\tilde{a}$

 \tilde{a}_7 was chosen as the vowel in <u>swamp</u> by seventeen of the speakers while eight chose \tilde{b}_7 and one chose \tilde{b}_7 :²⁷

TABLE XXV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SWAMP

G rou p	[ã]	~~~~ 7	[3 7
I-II Aggregate	17 (66%) 9 (75\%)	8 (31%) 3 (25%)	
I Parents	6 (100%)) (こ)が) ス (こ)が)	
I-II Children	5 (50%) 8 (57%)	5 (50%) 5 (36%)	1 (07%)
I Children II Children	3 (50%) 5 (63%)	2 (33%) 3 (37%)	1 (17%)

²⁷Elders, p. 21, records $[\tilde{3}7 (37\%), [\tilde{a}7 (33\%), [\tilde{o}7 (30\%)]$. Stanley, p. 19, says that the vowel in <u>swamp</u> habitually rounds to $[\tilde{0}7 \text{ or }]$ in East Texas. Kenyon, p. 183, regularly finds $[\tilde{a}.]$ in General American speech, but " $[\tilde{3}]$ is common on the Western Reserve." McDavid, p. 148, shows [3] slightly predominant over [a.]. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 72, shows [a] predominant. Kenyon and Knott, p. 415, list several preferences: [a], [3], [a], [b].

Before R

The pronunciation of the vowel before r, slightly raising the vowel in anticipation of r, led to several variations in words of this group: barbed, barn, cart, dark, garden, hard, large, orange, sharp, tar, and yard.²⁸

²⁸Elders, p. 22, found [n] to be predominant in such words. Referring to the pronunciation of [a] before r, he cites several authors: Stanley, p. 18, usually found [b] but sometimes found [a]; Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 33, says that the position of $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ \end{bmatrix}$ is unstable in that area of New York and that an r following the sound lengthens the sound, but a dropped r causes the sound to be considerably lengthened. [a] often approaches the quality of [b], but without the characteristic rounding of [b]. Thomas, quoted by Elders, p. 23, says that the shift toward the rounded vowel is "in striking contrast to the shift toward $[\underline{A}:]$ in New England and parts of upstate New York." Kurath, p. 293, says that the sound now before r in Southern speech is usually rounded to [b]. Wheatley, p. 41, says that the cultivated Southerner pronounces such words as <u>hard</u> and <u>mark with</u> [a:b] while some Easterners pronounce the words with 74:<7.

Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 34, records [a:7 predominant_in_words of the kind listed above: **La:**] _ **i>:**/ 15 8 barn 90 13 dark 8 garden 11 48 10

In "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 68, Thomas records these results: .-

5

	[a < r 7	[ar]
barn	-22-	- 26
dark	12	5
garden	11	124
hard	4	2
large	7	7
yard	7	23

34

large

sharp

Kenyon and Knott, op. cit., list all of those words with <u>ar</u>7 and ES <u>a:</u>7.

Thirteen speakers preferred $[a_7]$ in <u>barbed</u>; ten chose $[b_7:^{29}]$

TABLE XXVI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN BARBED

Group	[a]	[10]	[0]
I-II Aggregate	13 (50%)	10 (39%)	3 (11%)
I-II Parents I Parents	8 (67%) 6 (100%)	2 (17%)	2 (17%)
II Parents	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	1 (ogd)
I Children	o (57%) 3 (50%)	5 (50%) 2 (33%)	1 (17%) 1 (17%)
II Children	2 (25%)	5 (63%)	1 (12%)

A full discussion of the word <u>barn</u> is given in an earlier part of this chapter, page 29.

²⁹Most of the adult speakers seemed to conclude that the pronunciation **[D45**] was evidence of substandard speech habits, and they carefully avoided the pronunciation in every instance. The younger informants, however, dropped the <u>r</u> without hesitation, and one youngster, when asked to repeat the word he had just pronounced, said emphatically, **[bab, Warr**].

Six speakers preferred $[a_7]$ in <u>cart</u>, one of whom pronounced <u>cart</u> with the fronted $[a_7]$; fifteen preferred $[b_7]$; five chose $[9_7]$:³⁰

TABLE XXVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN CART

Group	[a]	[0]	[o]7
I-II Aggregate	6 (23%)	15 (58%)	5 (19%)
I-II Parents	5 (42%)	6 (50%)	1 (08%)
I Parents	5* (83%)	1 (17%)	
II Parents		5 (83%)	1 (17%)
I-II Children	1 (07%)	9 (64%)	4 (29%)
I Children	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	
<u>II Children</u>		4 (50%)	<u> 4 (50%)</u>
* One informa	ant used front	ed / a /, or / a	\$7.

While 83 per cent of Group I parents preferred $[a_{2}]$ as the vowel in <u>cart</u>, 83 per cent of Group I children preferred the rounded vowel $[b_{2}]$, and only 17 per cent chose the $[a_{2}]$ of their parents. Group II parents preferred $[b_{2}]$ (83%), and Group II children were evenly divided in their choice between $[b_{2}]$ and $[c_{2}]$.

Six speakers pronounced \boxed{a} in <u>dark</u>; eighteen chose \boxed{b} ; and two preferred $\boxed{2}$:

³⁰Elders, p. 24, records the equal appearance of $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$ in cart in the speech of Parker County. In this study there were no instances of pronunciation in which the r was dropped from cart, except in the pronunciation of those children, noted in the Appendix, who had a slight speech impediment due to the nonretroflexion of the r.

TABLE XXVIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN DARK

Group	[a]	[0]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	6 (23%) 6 (50%) 5 (83%)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 (07%) 1 (08%)
II Parents I-II Children	i (17%)	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & (66\%) \\ 13 & (93\%) \\ 6 & (93\%) \end{array}$	1 (17%) 1 (07%)
II Children		6 (100%) 7 (88%)	1 (12%)

Eighty-three per cent of Group I parents preferred $[\mathcal{A}_{2}]$ in <u>dark</u>, but Group I children preferred $[\mathcal{D}_{2}]$ alone. The majority of Group II parents preferred $[\mathcal{D}_{2}]$ (66%), and Group II children definitely preferred $[\mathcal{D}_{2}]$ (88%), only 12 per cent choosing $[\mathcal{D}_{2}]$.

Seven speakers preferred $[a_7]$ in <u>garden</u>; eighteen speakers preferred $[b_7]$; and one speaker preferred $[c_7]$.

TABLE XXIX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN GARDEN

Group	[a]	[10 7	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents	7 (27%) 6 (50%) 5 (83%) 1 (17%)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 18 & (70\%) \\ 6 & (50\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 5 & (83\%) \end{array} $	l (03%)
I-II Children I Children II Children	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & (07\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \end{array} $	12 (86%) 5 (83%)	1 (07%)

The word <u>hard</u> shares many of the characteristic trends in pronunciation which the other words of this group show. Ten speakers chose 2^{2} as the vowel in <u>hard</u>; ten speakers chose 2^{6} ; and six speakers chose 2^{2} .

TABLE XXX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN HARD

Group	[a]	[0]	[0]
I-II Aggregate	10 (39%)	10 (39%)	6 (22%)
I-II Parents I Parents	6 (50%) 5 (83%)	4 (33%) 1 (17%)	2 (17%)
II Parents	1 (17%)	3 (50%)	2 (33%)
I-II Children	4 (28%)	6 (44%)	4 (28%)
I Children	3 (50%)	2 (33%)	1 (17%)
II Children	1 (12%)	4 (50%)	<u> </u>

Group I parents preferred $[a_7]$ in this word as in the others, only 17 per cent choosing $[b_7]$. Group II parents preferred $[b_7]$. Group I children preferred $[a_7]$, but 33 per cent chose $[b_7]$ and 17 per cent chose $[c_7]$. Group II children showed a greater tendency toward rounding than any other group, 50 per cent choosing $[b_7]$ and 38 per cent choosing $[c_7]$, only 12 per cent preferring the unrounded $[a_7]$.

Ten speakers preferred [a] in <u>large</u>; thirteen preferred [b]; and three preferred [c] as the vowel:

³⁰Wheatley, p. 41, says that the cultivated Southern speaker prefers 2a/ in <u>hard</u>. Krapp, p. 60, states that 2a:7 usually occurs in <u>hard</u> in General American speech.

TABLE XXXI

Group	[a]	[10 7	[0]
I-II Aggregate	10 (39%)	13 (50%)	3 (11%)
I-II Parents	7 (58%)	4 (33%)	1 (09%)
I Parents	6 (100%)		
II Parents	1 (16%)	4 (67%)	1 (16%)
I-II Children	3 (21%)	9 (64%)	2 (15%)
I Children	3 (50%)	3 (50%)	
II Children		6 (75%)	2 (25%)

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN LARGE

Group I parents preferred [a] alone in <u>large</u>. Group II parents showed these variations: [b] (67%), [9] (16%), and [a] (16%). Group I children were evenly divided in their choice between [a] and [b]. Group II children preferred [b] (75%) while showing some preference for [9](25%).

The word <u>orange</u> presented the most variant pronunciation in this group. One speaker chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \end{bmatrix}$ as the vowel; twelve chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \end{bmatrix}$; two chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{3} \end{bmatrix}$; and eleven speakers preferred the vowel $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{0} \end{bmatrix}$; 3^{1}

³¹Pronunciation of the word <u>orange</u> with **[0**] as the initial vowel is an unusual one. Informants were retested for pronunciation of this word, but **[0]** was invariably the response, perhaps the result of a habitual spelling pronunciation. These variations occurred: **[077d3]**, **[071nd3]**, **[D71nd3]**, **[D7nd3]**, **[07nd3]**, and **[071nd3]**. Elders, p. 24, records **[0]** (73%), **[2]** (27%), and the variant pronunciations **[D7nd3]** and **[27nd3]**. Stanley, p. 19, mentions that such words as <u>orange</u> may have as an initial vowel

TABLE XXXII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN ORANGE

Group		[ã]		[~~~ 7		~3 7		[ô 7
I-II Aggregate	1	(03%)	12	(47%)	2	(07%)	11	(43%)
I-II Parents		•	6	(50%)	1	(08%)	5	(42%)
I Parents			1	(17%)			5	(83%)
II Parents			5	(83%)	1	(17%)		
I-II Children	l	(07%)	6	(43%)	1	(07%)	6	(43%)
I Children			1	(17%)			5	(83%)
II Children	1	(12%)	5	(63%)	1	(12%)	1	(12%)

G rou p	I	parents	and	chil	dren	pre	eferre	ed [~õ]	7 as	the	initia	al
vowel	in	orange,	, 17	per	cent	in	each	group	choo	sing	[~~]7	88

"All gradations . . from an unrounded **[a]** . . . to an excessively rounded **[]** . . . " McDavid, p. 146, records **[a]** as the vowel. C. K. Thomas, "American Dictionaries and Variant Pronunciations," <u>American Speech</u>, XIV (October, 1939), 175-180, makes the following observations concerning the pronunciation of <u>orange</u>:

Area	[a 7	/ ~ 07	/ ` 97
New England	-46-	7	- 8-
Lower New York	185	2	3
Upper New York	115	180	467
New Jersey	83	6	-
Pennsylvania	59	21	37
Virginia	63	-	-
Florida	50	3	5
Tennessee	71	5	Ž
Ohio	2	11	29
Illinois	1	15	16
Louisiana	59	8	1
Texas	43	13	8
Colorado	1	13	17
Arizona	3	20	34
California	7	21	43

Tresidder, p. 117, records / 27 for 38 speakers, / 07 for 7 speakers, and / 97 for 55 speakers. Wheatley, p. 43, states the only variant. Group II parents and children preferred the same sound; Group II parents chose $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}_{7}]$ (83%) and $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{j}}_{7}]$ (17%), and Group II children produced the variations $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{n}}_{7}]$ (63%), $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_{7}]$ (12%), $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{j}}_{7}]$ (12%), and $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{o}}_{7}]$ (12%).

One speaker preferred [2] in <u>sharp;</u> eight preferred [7]; and seventeen preferred [9]:

TABLE XXXIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SHARP

Group	[a]	[m 7	[]27
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	1 (03%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)	8 (31%) 6 (50%) 5 (83%) 1 (17%) 2 (14%) 2 (33%)	17 (66%) 5 (42%) 5 (83%) 12 (86%) 4 (67%) 8 (100%)

Seventeen per cent of Group I parents chose $[a_7]$, but the majority preferred $[b_7]$ (83%). Group II parents preferred $[5_7]$ (83%), 17 per cent choosing $[b_7]$. Only 33 per cent of Group I children preferred the $[b_7]$ of their parents; the majority preferred $[5_7]$ (67%). Group II children chose $[5_7]$ unanimously.

that <u>orange</u> is always pronounced with $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ in the South. Kenyon, p. 181, says that the prevailing General American pronunciation of <u>orange</u> is $\begin{bmatrix} 321na3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Kenyon and Knott, p. 306, list $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ b \end{bmatrix}$. Five speakers chose $\boxed{227}$ as the vowel in <u>tar</u>; eleven of the speakers chose $\boxed{107}$; and ten preferred $\boxed{27}$:³²

TABLE XXXIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN TAR

Group	[a]	[10]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	5 (18%) 3 (25%) 3* (50%)	11 (43%) 7 (58%) 3 (50%)	10 (39%) 2 (07%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	2 (14%) 1 (17%) 1* (12%)	$\begin{array}{c} 4 & (67\%) \\ 4 & (28\%) \\ 3 & (50\%) \\ 1 & (12\%) \end{array}$	2 (33%) 8 (57%) 2 (33%) 6 (75%)
* One / 4 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			

Group I parents were evenly divided in their choice between [a,7] and [b,7] as the vowel in <u>tar</u>. Group II parents preferred [b,7] (67%), but 33 per cent chose [-9,7]. Group I children preferred [b,7], and 33 per cent chose [-9,7], the group tending to round the vowel more often than their parents. [-9,7] (75%), [b,7] (12%), and [a,7] (12%) characterized the pronunciation of Group II children.

The pronunciation of <u>yard</u> is typical of most of the words of this group. Ten speakers preferred $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ \end{bmatrix}$ as the vowel; thirteen speakers preferred $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ \end{bmatrix}$; and three speakers chose $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ \end{bmatrix}$:

³²Krapp, p. 61, generally finds 22:7 in <u>tar</u> in General American speech.

TABLE XXXV

Group	[a]	[10 7	[37
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	10 (39%) 6 (50%) 5 (83%)	$\begin{array}{ccc} 13 & (50\%) \\ 5 & (42\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \end{array}$	3 (11%) 1 (08%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4 (66%) 8 (57%) 2 (37%)	1 (17%) 2 (14%)
II Children	+ (01/0)	6 (75%)	2 (25%)

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN YARD

Group I parents chose $[a_7]$ (83%), and Group II parents preferred $[b_7]$ (66%). Group I children generally preferred the unrounded vowel $[a_7]$ (67%), but 33 per cent chose the rounded $[b_7]$. Group II children showed a preference for the rounded vowels: $[b_7]$ (75%) and $[0_7]$ (25%).

Group II Summary

(1) Before fricatives. $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ was preferred in <u>garage</u> (93%), <u>hospital</u> (100%), and <u>wash</u> (93%). $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ was almost invariable in <u>closet</u> (93%) and <u>office</u> (93%). $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (50%) was prevalent in <u>wasp</u>, but $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (36%) appeared frequently and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (14%) occasionally.

(2) Before stops. **[2]** was preferred in <u>doctor</u> (100%), <u>God</u> (93%), <u>hot</u> (100%), <u>knot</u> (93%), and <u>spot</u> (93%), but **[3]** was predominant in <u>hog</u> (100%). <u>Mockingbird</u> exhibited a great deal of variation: **[3]** (36%) and **[3]** (36%) appeared equally; **[6]** (28%) appeared less frequently. (3) Before <u>1</u>. **[Q**₂**7** was preferred in <u>doll</u> (79%), <u>holi-</u> <u>day</u> (79%), and <u>hollow</u> (100%).

(4) Before nasals. $[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{7}]$ was generally pronounced in <u>barn</u> (93%) and <u>donkey</u> (86%). $[\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{7}]$ was preferred in <u>bomb</u> (71%) and <u>honest</u> (79%). <u>Honk</u> was most often pronounced with $[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{7}]$ (64%), but $[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{7}]$ (36%) was a frequent pronunciation. $[\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{7}]$ (57%) was generally preferred in <u>palm</u> and <u>swamp</u>, but $[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{7}]$ (48%) was frequent. $[\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{7}]$ (36%) and $[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{7}]$ (36%) appeared equally in <u>almond</u>; $[\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{7}]$ (21%) was pronounced less frequently.

(5) Before r. [N7, often rounded to [97, was preferred in <u>barn</u>, (93%), <u>cart</u> (64%), <u>dark</u> (79%), <u>garden</u> (86%), <u>hard</u> (50%), <u>large</u> (71%), <u>orange</u> (71%), and <u>yard</u> (71%). <u>Sharp</u> was generally pronounced with [97 (93%). <u>Barbed</u> was usually pronounced with [07 (57%), but [36%) was a frequent pronunciation. <u>Tar</u> was pronounced with [07 (57%) and [36%). (36%).

Group I Summary

(1) Before fricatives. $[a_7]$ (92%) was preferred in <u>garage</u>, <u>hospital</u>, and <u>wash</u>. <u>Wasp</u> was generally pronounced with $[a_7]$ (67%) but often with $[b_7]$ (33%). $[b_7]$ (42%) was a frequent pronunciation in <u>closet</u>, but $[a_7]$ (33%) and $[-3_7]$ (25%) were often pronounced. <u>Office</u> was preferred with $[-3_7]$ (67%). (2) Before stops. [4] was preferred in doctor (100%), God (75%), hot (75%), knot (100%), and spot (92%). [9]
(50%) and not infrequently [9] (42%) was pronounced in hog. Mockingbird was most often pronounced with [4] (58%), but
[9] (25%) was not uncommon.

(3) Before <u>1</u>. **[G_7** was preferred in <u>holiday</u> (75%), <u>hollow</u> (100%), and <u>doll</u> (58%) although **[N_7** (42%) was a frequent pronunciation in <u>doll</u>.

(4) Before nasals. $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ was preferred in honest (83%) and swamp (75%). $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (67%) and $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (33%) occurred in bomb and palm. $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ was the usual pronunciation in almond (50%), barn (50%), and donkey (42%), but $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ was almost equally important. Honk was preferred with $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{b} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (42%), but $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{0} \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (33%) was not uncommon.

(5) Before r. [**a**] was preferred in <u>barbed</u> (75%), <u>hard</u> (67%), <u>large</u> (75%), and <u>yard</u> (75%). [**b**] occurred in <u>cart</u> (50%), <u>dark</u> (58%), <u>garden</u> (50%), and <u>tar</u> (50%); [**a**] was almost equally important as a pronunciation in those words. <u>Sharp</u> was preferred with [**b**] (58%), but the secondary pronunciation was [**b**] (33%). <u>Orange</u> was preferred with [**6**] (83%).

Chapter Summary

The following table indicates relative choices of parents and children; a hyphen (-) indicates equal choice, and a comma (,) indicates descending order of choice:

TABLE XXXVI

Word	I Parents	I Children	II Parents	II Children
closet	a, 10, 2	10, 0, a	3	2,a
garage	a	a,10	a	a,0
hospital	a	a, 10	a	a
office	3, 9, 0	J, 10	3	2,10
wash	a	а,ю	a	a, 10
wasp	a	p,a	a-0-0	$p_i a_j o$
God	a	a	a	a,10
hog	p, z, a	3,10	2	2
hot	a,0	a,p	a	a
knot	a	a	a	а,ю
mockingbird	a,10	2,a	a, 2, 10	10-2, a
spot	a	a, 10	a, 10	a
doll	10,a	a,p	a, 0	a, 10
holiday	a, 10	а,ю	a	а, ю
almond	a, 3	10, a-XI	a-277	10,a,s
barn	a, 10	10,a	10,a	6
dmod	a,p	a-10	a-10	a, 10
donkey	a,p	10, 2,a	D, J	p,a
honest	а,ю	a	a, 10	a,p
honk	Q-10-3	10, 3, a	3,0	3,0

.

PRONUNCIATION PREFERENCES OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN IN GROUPS I AND II

Word	I Parents	I Children	II Parents	II Children
palm	a,0	a-10	a, 10	a-10
swamp	a	a, 10, 0	a-10	а, ь
barbed	a	a, 10, 2	а-ю	ю,а,э
cart	a, 10	10, a	10,0	10-0
dark	a, 6	Ø	10, a-s	10,0
garden	a,0	n,a	10, a	<i>1</i> 0, 0
hard	a,10	a, v, O	10, 0, a	10, 3, a
large	a	a-10	10, a-2	6,0
orange	0,6	0,10	<i>Ю</i> , Э	10, a-2-0
sharp	p,a	J, D	<i>I, 1</i> 0	2
tar	a-b	D, J,a	6,0	J, Q-b
yard	a,p	and	10, a-o	10,0

TABLE XXXVI -- Continued

It may be seen quite readily that the pronunciations of such words as <u>closet</u>, <u>wasp</u>, <u>hog</u>, <u>mockingbird</u>, <u>doll</u>, <u>barn</u>, <u>donkey</u>, <u>honk</u>, <u>cart</u>, <u>dark</u>, <u>garden</u>, <u>sharp</u>, and <u>tar</u> indicate the preference of Group I children for the pronunciations of Group II rather than for the pronunciations of Group I parents. In every case the vowel of Group I children is more rounded than the vowel chosen by the parental group; the vowel choice of Group I children agrees with the choice made by Group II speakers. The pronunciations of Group II children show a fairly consistent agreement with those of Group II parents.

The indication is, therefore, that the speech of Group I children is accommodating itself to the native pronunciations of words common to both groups.

CHAPTER III

THE VOWEL SOUNDS [0] AND [9]

The symbols [b] and [c] represent the vowel sounds heard, respectively, in such words as <u>doll</u>, pronounced with the lips slightly rounded, and <u>jaw</u> or <u>law</u>, with the lips fully rounded. The two vowels are generally identified by characteristic rounding of the lips, as opposed to the [a] sound, studied in Chapter II, which is characteristically unround.

[0]

The sound represented by the symbol $[N_7]$ generally occurs in the English pronunciation of such words as <u>cot</u>, <u>top</u>, <u>got</u>, and <u>fodder</u>, commonly labeled "short <u>o</u>" words. The sound may be articulated by following either of two procedures: first, the lips and tongue are posed as for the pronunciation of $[0_7]$, and then the lips are slightly unrounded and the tongue moved slightly forward; for the second procedure, the lips and tongue are posed as for the pronunciation of $[a_7]$, and the lips are then slightly rounded and the tongue slightly retracted. The sound $[b_7]$, usually an allophone of either $[a_7]$ or $[0_7]$, may then occur.

Before Fricatives

In the words of this group, <u>coffee</u>, <u>cross</u>, <u>lost</u>, <u>moth</u>, <u>soft</u>, and <u>trough</u>, [37] is usually predominant in this area, with [b7] a secondary preference. Not very frequently is [a7] heard in these words in the native dialect.

<u>Coffee</u> exhibits the approximate degree of variation in the pronunciation of words in this group. Three speakers chose \boxed{a} as the vowel; seven chose \boxed{b} ; but sixteen speakers preferred the word <u>coffee</u> with \boxed{c} as the initial vowel.¹

TABLE XXXVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN COFFEE

Group	[a]	[N]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	3 (12%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	7 (27%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	16 (61%) 6 (50%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children		4 (29%) 3 (50%) 1 (12%)	6 (100%) 10 (71%) 3 (50%) 7 (88%)

¹Elders, p. 27, gives $[\mathcal{G}]$ (93%) and $[\mathcal{B}]$ (07%) as the vowels in the first syllable of <u>coffee</u>. Stanley, p. 20, records "all gradations" of low-back vowels in such words as <u>coffee</u>. McDavid, p. 148, shows $[\mathcal{G}]$ predominant but $[\mathcal{A}]$ not infrequent in speech of the South Carolina Piedmont. In "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, Thomas gives $[\mathcal{G}]$ as the

Group I parents divided their choice evenly between $\boxed{a_2}$ and $\boxed{b_7}$ as the vowel in <u>coffee</u> (first syllable); Group I children chose equally $\boxed{b_7}$ and $\boxed{0.7}$, preferring the rounded vowels. Group II chose $\boxed{0.7}$ as the vowel almost invariably.

Two speakers chose $[a_7;$ nine chose $[b_7;$ and fifteen chose [3] as the vowel in cross:²

TABLE XXXVIII

Group	[a]	[07	[07
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	2 (08%) 1 (07%) 1 (17%)	9 (35%) 5 (36%) 5 (83%)	15 (57%) 6 (57%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children	1 (07%)	4 (29%) 4 (67%)	6 (100%) 9 (64%) 2 (33%)
II Children	1 (12%)		7 (88%)

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN CROSS

Group I parents preferred [b] in cross, and only 17 per cent chose the unround [a]; Group I children, however, showed a minority preference for [0] (33%), the majority choosing [b]. Group II was almost unanimously in favor of [0] as the vowel.

regular pronunciation, [b] occurring occasionally. Wheatley, p. 41, says that [c]: [] usually occurs in Southern coffee and that [a] in coffee is offensive to the Southern ear. Krapp, p. 59, lists both [a] and [c] in General American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 90, say that [c] prevails, then [b]and [a].

²Elders, p. 27, finds **/ N7** (03%), **/ Q.7** (03%), **/ 9**7 (94%) in <u>cross</u>. Stanley p. 20, records all of the low-back Three speakers preferred 27 as the vowel in <u>lost</u>; six preferred 77; and seventeen preferred 77.³

TABLE XXXIX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN LOST

Group	[a]	<u>[ω]</u>	[] 2] 7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	3 (12%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	6 (23%) 2 (17%) 2 (33%) 4 (29%) 4 (67%)	17 (65%) 7 (58%) 1 (17%) 6 (100%) 10 (71%) 2 (33%) 8 (100%)

Group I parents preferred $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ (50%) but showed some tendency to round the vowel to $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ (33%), or even to $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ (17%). $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ was the preference of Group I children (67%), and 33 per cent chose the rounded $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, both choices showing the tendency of Group I children to favor the rounded vowels. Group II chose $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ alone.

vowels in such words. Kenyon, p. 182, gives $\boxed{27}$ as the preferred General American pronunciation. Kenyon and Knott, p. 109, list $\boxed{27}$ and $\boxed{67}$, in that order.

³Elders, p. 27, records [9]7 (80%) and [0]7 (20%) in <u>lost</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, shows [9]7 to be predominant, with an occasional [0]7. Kenyon, p. 182, records [9]7 as the prevailing pronunciation of the vowel in <u>lost</u> in General American speech. Kurath, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 288, finds [9]7 almost invariable in <u>lost</u> in Western speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 260, list [9]7, [0]. Three speakers chose $[a_7]$ as the vowel in <u>moth</u>; twelve chose $[b_7]$; and eleven preferred $[3_7]$:⁴

TABLE XL

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN MOTH

Group	[a]	[10]	[9]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	3 (12%) 2 (16%) 2 (33%) 1 (07%) 1 (17%)	12 (46%) 5 (42%) 3 (50%) 2 (33%) 7 (50%) 4 (67%) 3 (38%)	$\begin{array}{cccc} 11 & (42\%) \\ 5 & (42\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 4 & (67\%) \\ 6 & (43\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 5 & (62\%) \end{array}$

The majority of Group I parents preferred $[N_7]$ (50%), but 33 per cent chose the vowel $[a_7]$. Sixty-seven per cent of Group I children preferred $[N_7]$ as the vowel, 17 per cent choosing $[a_7]$ and 17 per cent choosing $[9_7]$. Group II informants chose only the rounded vowels: Group II parents chose $[9_7]$ (67%) and $[N_7]$ (33%); children chose $[9_7]$ (62%) and $[N_7]$ (38%).

⁴Elders, p. 28, finds (97 (60%), (67 (27%), and (47) (13%) in moth. McDavid, p. 147, finds (9) predominant, and (4) occasional. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, records (9) alone in moth. Kenyon, p. 182, shows (9) predominant in moth. Krapp, p. 59, lists both (4) and (9) in General American speech. Kurath, p. 288, says that (9) is invariable in moth in Western speech. Bloomfield, op. cit,, p. 109, cited in Elders, p. 27, found (9) in moth to be predominant in Central Western speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 287, list (9) and (9) as the order of preference in pronunciation of moth. Four speakers preferred $[a_7]$ as the vowel in <u>soft</u>; seven speakers preferred $[n_7]$; and fifteen chose $[3_7]$:⁵

TABLE XLI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SOFT

Group	[a]	[07]	[3]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	4 (15%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%) 1 (07%) 1 (07%)	7 (27%) 4 (33%) 3 (50%) 1 (17%) 3 (21%) 2 (33%) 1 (12%)	15 (58%) 5 (42%) 5 (83%) 10 (72%) 3 (50%) 7 (88%)

Group I parents chose equally [a] and [b]. Group I children, however, preferred [3] (50%), 33 per cent choosing [b]. Group II chose [3] as the vowel, [b] appearing occasionally.

Three speakers chose $[a_7]$ as the vowel in <u>trough</u>; five speakers chose $[b_7]$; and sixteen speakers chose $[c_7]$; two speakers failed to respond:⁶

⁵Elders, p. 27, records [**9**] (90%), McDavid, p. 147, finds [**9**] predominant, <u>soft</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, records [**9**] (approximately 90%) and [**10**] (approximately 10%) in <u>soft</u>. Thomas, <u>Phonetics of American English</u>, p. "usual American vowel" in <u>soft</u> is [**9**]. "usual American vowel" in <u>soft</u> is [**9**]. says that the customary vowel in <u>soft</u> in the South is [**9**]. and that [**2**] in <u>soft</u> is offensive. Kenyon, p. 182, says that [**9**] prevails in General American speech. Kurath, p. 288, says that [**9**] in <u>soft</u> is invariable in Western speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 397, list [**9**], [**0**].

⁶Elders, p. 27, records **[J]** (83%) and **[D]** (17%) in the word <u>trough</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York,"

TABLE XLII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN TROUGH

Group	[a_7	[10]	[] <u>]</u>]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children* II Children*	3 (12%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	5 (21%) 2 (17%) 2 (33%) 3 (25%) 3 (60%)	16 (67%) 7 (58%) 1 (17%) 6 (100%) 9 (75%) 2 (40%) 7 (100%)

*One informant failed to respond.

Group I parents preferred $\begin{bmatrix} a_{-7} \\ (50\%) \end{bmatrix}$, but half of the group chose rounded vowels, $\begin{bmatrix} b_{-7} \\ (33\%) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0_{-7} \\ (17\%) \end{bmatrix}$. Group I children, however, all chose rounded vowels, $\begin{bmatrix} b_{-7} \\ (60\%) \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0_{-7} \\ (40\%) \end{bmatrix}$. Group II speakers preferred $\begin{bmatrix} 0_{-7} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ alone.

Before Stops

Of <u>catalogue</u>, <u>log</u>, and <u>chocolate</u>, the first two were regularly pronounced with $\boxed{9}$. <u>Chocolate</u> was invariably pronounced with \boxed{a} in the first syllable.

Twenty-two speakers preferred $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$ in the last syllable of <u>catalogue</u>; three chose $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$; one chose $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$?

p. 36, records $\boxed{2}$ (approximately 90%) and \boxed{b} (approximately 10%) in trough; in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, he finds $\boxed{2}$ alone in trough. Kenyon and Knott, p. 440, list $\boxed{2}$, \boxed{b} .

⁷Elders, p. 29, finds $\boxed{27}$ (90%), $\boxed{b7}$ (10%) in <u>cata-logue</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, records 29 instances of $\boxed{a7}$, 8 of $\boxed{b7}$, and 5 of $\boxed{27}$ in

TABLE XLIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN CATALOGUE

Group	[a.]	[07	[9]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	1 (04%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)	$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & (11\%) \\ 2 & (17\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 1 & (07\%) \\ 1 & (07\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \end{array}$	22 (85%) 9 (75%) 4 (66%) 5 (83%) 13 (93%) 5 (83%) 8 (100%)

All groups preferred $\boxed{27}$ in the third syllable of <u>catalogue</u>.

Pronunciation of the vowel in the first syllable of <u>choc</u>-<u>olate</u> was invariably $\sqrt{a_7}$.⁸

Nineteen speakers chose [3] in log; five chose [b]; and two chose [a]?

catalogue; in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 70, he records two instances of $[a_i]$, three of $[b_i]$, and eleven of [catalogue. Kenyon and Knott, p. 12, list [catalogue], and [catalogue].

⁸Elders, p. 28, records **[a]** (97%) and **[b]** (03%) in <u>chocolate</u>. Stanley, p. 17, says that of the "short o" words that he tested, <u>chocolate</u> and <u>mock</u> alone showed **[3]** or **[b]** in East Texas speech. McDavid, p. 146, shows **[a]** to be predominant in the South Carolina Piedmont. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, finds **[3]** alone; in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 69, Thomas shows that **[3]** is predominant but that **[3]** occurs occasionally. Krapp, p. 57, says that with the exception of New England, **[3]** in <u>chocolate</u> is general in American speech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 81, cited in Elders, p. 28, list **[3]**, **[b]**, and **[3]** as Southern pronunciation preferences for chocolate.

⁹Elders, p. 29, records [**9**] (70%), [**b**] (17%), [**a**] (13%) in <u>log</u>. Stanley, p. 17, says that rounded and unrounded

TABLE XLIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN LOG

Group	[a]	[07	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	2 (08%) 2 (17%) 2 (33%)	5 (19%) 2 (17%) 1 (17%) 1 (17%) 3 (21%) 3 (50%)	19 (73%) 8 (66%) 3 (50%) 5 (83%) 11 (79%) 3 (50%) 8 (100%)

low back vowels are found in <u>log</u> in East Texas, but that the tendency is to round the vowel. Kenyon, p. 184, finds [A_] to be general in General American speech, and [M] the New England variant. William C. Greet, "American Speech Records at Columbia University," <u>American Speech</u>, V (June, 1930), 333-358, finds [J] in <u>log</u> in Lamar County and Stony, Texas. William C. Greet, "A Phonographic Expedition to Williamsburg, Virginia," <u>American Speech</u>, VI (February, 1931), 164, says that there is a tendency to unround [J] to [A] in <u>log</u> in Williamsburg. McDavid, p. 148, finds [A] and [J] almost evenly distributed with [J] slightly favored. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, records 198 instances of [A], 27 of [A], and 20 of [J] in <u>log</u>; in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 70, he records 51 instances of [J], 9 of [A], and 2 of [M]. Wheatley, p. 40, says that [J] and [J] are the usual vowel choices for log in the South. Katherine Wheatley and Oma Stanley, "Three Generations of East Texas Speech," <u>American Speech</u>, XXXIV (May, 1959), 91, affirm that Southern log is [J0]. Krapp, p. 57, finds both [A] and [J] in log in General American epeech. Kenyon and Knott, p. 258, list [J], (A], and [D], in that order. Thomas, <u>Phonetics of American English, op. cit.</u>, pp. 216-241, indicates the following vowel preferences for the word log: Eastern New England, [A], [D], (D]; the Middle Atlantic area, [A] (Philadelphia), [J], (D]; the Southern Mountain area, [J]; the Central Midland, [J]; the Northwest, [J], [D], [D]; the Central Midland, [J]; the Northwest, [J], [D], [D]; the Southwest Coastal area, [J]. Group I parents showed some preference for $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (33%) although the majority chose $\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ (50%). Group I children, however, evenly divided their choices between $\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -9 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ as the vowel in log. Group II preferred $\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ almost exclusively.

Before Nasals

Song and strong, the words chosen to represent this group, were pronounced generally with $\int \delta_7$ although there were a great many variations within the informant groups.

Fourteen speakers chose $[\vec{b}]$ as the vowel in <u>song;</u> one speaker chose $[\vec{a}]$; and eleven speakers chose $[\vec{3}]$:¹⁰

TABLE XLV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SONG

Group	[ā7		[3 7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children	1 (04%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)	14 (54%) 6 (50%) 4 (67%) 2 (33%) 8 (57%) 3 (50%)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 11 & (42\%) \\ 5 & (42\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 4 & (67\%) \\ 6 & (43\%) \\ 3 & (50\%) \end{array} $
II Children		5 (62%)	3 (38%)

¹⁰McDavid, p. 148, gives 52 instances of **[9]** and 23 of **[0]** for test pronunciations of the word <u>song</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, records **[9]** predominant, **[0]** frequent; **[0]** occurs less frequently in upstate New York, according to "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 72. Kurath, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 288, says that Western speech almost invariably has **[9]** in <u>song</u>. Kenyon and Knott, p. 399, list **[9]** and **[0]**; Southern preference is **[9]**. Group I parents preferred [**b**]7 (67%), but 17 per cent chose [**a**]7 and 17 per cent chose [**5**]7. Group I children showed a greater preference for the rounded vowels, evenly dividing their choices between [**b**]7 and [**5**]7. Although 33 per cent of Group II parents chose [**b**]7, the majority chose [**5**]7, a preference which Group II children did not share. Group II children chose [**b**]7 (62%), only 38 per cent choosing [**5**]7. Nineteen speakers chose [**b**]7 in strong; five chose [**a**]7; and two chose [**5**]7;¹¹

TABLE XLVI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN STRONG

Group	[ã	7	[0]		[3]
I-II Aggregate	5 (19	9%) 19	(73%)	2	(08%)
I-II Parents	3 (25	5%) 8	(67%)	1	(08%)
I Parents	3 (50	0%) 3	(50%)		• •
II Parents		5	(83%)	1	(17%)
I-II Children	2 (14	4%) 11	(79%)	1	(07%)
I Children	1 (17	7%) 4	(66%)	1	(17%)
II_Children	1 (12	2%) 7	<u>(88%)</u>		

Group I parents chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\rho} \end{bmatrix}$ equally in <u>strong</u>, but a majority of Group I children preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\rho} \end{bmatrix}$ (67%), and 17 per cent chose a more rounded vowel, $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\sigma} \end{bmatrix}$. Group II parents

¹¹Elders, p. 29, records [97 (83%) and [07 (17%) in the word strong. McDavid, p. 148, shows [9] to be the predominant pronunciation, but he also indicates the speakers use both [a] and [9] frequently. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 72, shows that [9] is the vowel in strong in New York, Kenyon and Knott, p. 410, list [9] and [9] with the Southern preference [a].

60

preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{b}} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \end{bmatrix}$ (83%) as did Group II children (88%), but 12 per cent of Group II children chose the unrounded vowel, $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{a}} \\ \boldsymbol{\delta} \end{bmatrix}$.

[]]

Many allophones of the sound [2] exist because of the relatively energetic pronunciation required of the sound. The tongue is low, though somewhat higher than for [a] or [b], and raised to a hump in its back portion; the lips are rounded; but the muscles of both tongue and lips are more tense than for [a] or [b]. A lack of tenseness, rounding of lips, or incorrect positioning of the tongue often leads to the substitution of [a] or [b] for [2].

Before Fricatives

Although the vowel sound in the first syllable of the words <u>sauce</u> and <u>sausage</u> seems to be similar in both words, there was exhibited a great deal of variation in the actual pronunciation of the words.

 $[3_7]$ was chosen by thirteen speakers as the vowel sound in <u>sauce</u>; [67] was chosen by twelve; and $[62_7]$ was chosen by one speaker:¹²

¹²Elders, p. 32, finds **[9]** (77%), **[0]** (20%), and **[2]** (03%) in <u>sauce</u>. McDavid, p. 147, shows 65 instances of **[0]**, 10 of **[0]** in the word <u>sauce</u> in the South Carolina Piedmont. In "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, Thomas lists **[9]** alone in <u>sauce</u>, but in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, he records **[9]** 228 times, **[0]** 16 times in <u>sauce</u>. Kenyon and Knott, p. 375, list only **[9]**.

TABLE XLVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SAUCE

Group	[a7	[0]	[3]
I-II Aggregate	1 (04%)	12 (46%)	13 (50%)
I-II Parents	1 (08%)	6 (50%)	5 (42%)
I Parents	1 (17%)	5 (83%)	· · · · ·
II Parents		1 (17%)	5 (83%)
I-II Children		6 (47%)	8 (57%)
I Children		4 (67%)	2 (33%)
II Children		2 (25%)	6 (75%)

Eighty-three per cent of Group I parents preferred $[b_7]$ as the vowel in <u>sauce</u>, and 17 per cent chose the unrounded $[a_7]$. Sixty-seven per cent of Group I children preferred $[b_7]$, but the remainder chose the rounded $[3_7]$ (33%). Group II parents showed these preferences: $[3_7]$ (83%) and $[b_7]$ (17%). Group II children showed similar preferences: $[3_7]$ (75%) and $[b_7]$ (25%), showing a more decided tendency toward $[b_7]$.

Seventeen speakers chose $[3_7]$ in <u>sausage</u>; six chose [67]; and three chose $[67]^{13}$

13/97 is invariable in <u>sausage</u> in Parker County speech, according to Elders, p. 32. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 36, records [97 96 times, [97] 18 times in <u>sausage</u>; in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 71, he records [97] 194 times, [97] 20 times in <u>sausage</u>. In the South, says Wheatley, p. 41, the vowel in <u>sausage</u> is [97]. Kenyon and Knott, p. 375, list [97], [97], and [97].

TABLE XLVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SAUSAGE

Group	[a]	[07	[]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	3 (11%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	6 (23%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%) 3 (21%) 3 (50%)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 17 & (66\%) \\ 6 & (50\%) \\ 16 & (100\%) \\ 11 & (79\%) \\ 3 & (50\%) \\ 8 & (100\%) \end{array} $

Group I parents were divided in their choice, half choosing [a] and half choosing [b]. Group I children were also divided, but half chose [b] and half chose [5], rounding the vowels. Group II chose [5] alone.

Before Stops

Of the three words in this group, <u>daughter</u>, <u>strawberry</u>, and <u>water</u>, the first, <u>daughter</u>, was preferred with [b]7. <u>Strawberry</u> was generally pronounced with [c]7, <u>water</u> with $[a_7]$.

Sixteen speakers preferred [b] in <u>daughter</u>; five chose [3.7]; and five chose [3.7]:¹⁴

¹⁴Elders, p. 32, records **[97** (93%), **[07** (07%) in <u>daughter</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 69, records **[97** almost invariably in <u>daughter</u>. Krapp, p. 84, mentions that **[3:7** in <u>daughter</u> is a provincialism. Kenyon and Knott, p. 116, list only **[9]**.
TABLE XLVIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN DAUGHTER

Group	[a]	[0]	[27
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	5 (19%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%) 2 (14%) 2 (33%)	16 (62%) 7 (58%) 3 (50%) 4 (67%) 9 (64%) 3 (50%) 6 (75%)	$5 (19\%) 2 (17\%) 2 (33\%) 3 (22\%) 1 (17\%) 2 (25\%) }$

Group I parents were divided between $[a_7]$ and $[b_7]$ as the vowel. Group I children chose $[b_7]$ (50%) but showed some interest in the unrounded $[a_7]$ (33%). Group II parents preferred the rounded vowels, $[b_7]$ (67%) and $[c_7]$ (33%). Group II children made almost the same choice, $[b_7]$ (75%) and $[c_7]$ (25%).

Twenty speakers chose $\boxed{9}$ as the vowel (first syllable) in <u>strawberry</u>; five speakers chose $\boxed{0}$; and one chose \boxed{a} :

TABLE XLIX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN STRAWBERRY

Group	[a]	[0]	[3]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	1 (04%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)	5 (19%) 2 (17%) 2 (33%) 3 (21%) 3 (50%)	20 (77%) 9 (75%) 3 (50%) 6 (100%) 11 (79%) 3 (50%) 8 (100%)

Group I parents preferred [3] (50%), 33 per cent choosing [607], and 17 per cent choosing [627]. Group I children divided the choice between [607] and [37]. Group II preferred [37] alone.

Pronunciation of the word <u>water</u> showed no variation from $\sqrt{-2.7}$.¹⁵

Before 1

Of these words, <u>crawl</u>, <u>stalk</u>, and <u>wall</u>, <u>7</u> was the most characteristic pronunciation, with <u>67</u> a secondary preference. <u>Salt</u> was almost invariably preferred with <u>67</u>.

Eighteen speakers preferred [9] in crawl; eight speakers preferred [6]:

TABLE L

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN CRAWL

Group	[a]	[07	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children		8 (31%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%) 5 (36%) 4 (67%) 1 (12%)	18 (69%) 9 (75%) 3 (50%) 6 (100%) 9 (64%) 2 (33%) 7 (88%)

¹⁵/<u>9</u>7 in water does not occur in Parker County, but Elders, p. 32, finds /**2**/ (83%) and /**N**/ (17%). Stanley, p. 19, finds /**4**/ regularly. Kenyon, p. 185, finds /**4**/ "very common" in General American speech, and /**N**/ is "frequent." Thomas, <u>Phonetics of American English</u>, op. cit., p. 119, says, "<u>Water</u> usually has /**9**/ along the Atlantic Coast and in the South. Further inland, /**N**/ becomes more frequent, and eventually, as we move west, /**4**/ becomes predominant. In California and along the Oregon coast, [**9**]/ again predominates." Half of Group I parents chose [b]7, and half chose [3]7. Group I children chose [b]7 (67%), only 33 per cent choosing [3]7. Group II showed little variation from [3]7.

For the vowel in <u>salt</u>, twenty-three of the informants chose $\sqrt{-0.7}$; three chose $\sqrt{-0.7}$:

TABLE LI

`**a_**7 **b**7 **9**7 Group I-II Aggregate 3 1 (12%) (88%) 23 I-II Parents (08%) 11 (92%) I Parents 1 (17%)(83%) 5 **II** Parents 6 (100%)I-II Children 2 (17%)12 (83%) I Children 2 (33%) 4 (67%) II Children 8 (100%)

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN SALT

Barrows, op. cit., p. 301, cited in Elders, p. 32, says that in Iowa *Q*, is generally pronounced in water. The following authors, all cited in Elders, p. 32, find *Q* predominant in their respective areas of investigation: McDavid, p. 147, Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 35, and "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 74; and Bloomfield, op. cit., p. 109. Kenyon and Knott, p. 470, list *Q*, *Q*, *Q*, and *D*, in that order of preference.

¹⁶Salt is often found with [0] (23%), but mostly with [3] (77%), says Elders, p. 33. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 73, records 8 instances of [3], 3 of [0] in <u>salt</u>. Kenyon and Knott, p. xxxviii, state that in words in which the sound occurs before 1 plus a consonant, as in <u>salt</u>, the variants [a] and [b] are very common; however, [3] is the only pronunciation listed for <u>salt</u> on page 373.

Thirty-three per cent of Group I children showed a preference for $[a_7;$ otherwise, the pronunciation $[b_7]$ was almost invariable.

Sixteen speakers preferred [3] in <u>stalk</u>; seven chose [0]; and three chose [a]¹⁷

TABLE LII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN STALK

Group	[a.]	[0]	[0]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	3 (11%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	7 (27%) 3 (25%) 3 (50%)	16 (62%) 6 (50%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children		4 (29%) 4 (67%)	6 (100%) 10 ((71%) 2 (33%) 8 (100%)

Half of Group I parents chose $[a_7]$; the other half chose $[b_7]$. Thirty-three per cent of Group I children preferred $[c_9]$, the majority preferring $[b_7]$ (67%). Group II preferred $[c_9]$ alone.

Eighteen speakers chose $\boxed{27}$ as the vowel in wall; seven chose $\boxed{07}$; and one chose $\boxed{27}$:¹⁸

¹⁷Elders, p. 33, records **[9]** (87%), **[0]** (13%) in <u>stalk</u>. Thomas shows approximately the same percentages in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 69. Kenyon and Knott, p. 405, list only **[9]**.

¹⁸In <u>wall</u>, **[9]** is predominant, **[0]** occasional (10%), says Elders, p. 33. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 37, records **[9]** alone in <u>wall</u>. Kenyon and Knott, p. 469, show only **[9]**.

TABLE LIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN WALL

Group	[a]	[07	[37
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	1 (04%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)	7 (27%) 3 (25%) 2 (33%) 1 (17%) 4 (29%) 2 (33%) 2 (25%)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Group I parents preferred [9,7] (50%), but 33 per cent of the group preferred [9,7]. Thirty-three per cent of Group I children preferred [9,7], but the majority chose [9,7] (67%). The speakers of Group II almost invariably chose [9,7], only 25 per cent of Group II children choosing [9,7].

Before Nasals

Lawn was the only word included in this group.

Fourteen speakers preferred $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{o}}_{7};$ six chose $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{o}}_{7};$ and six chose $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}_{7}:^{19}]$

¹⁹Elders, p. 33, indicates that his investigation shows that speakers in Parker County preferred $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 7 \\ 57\% \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 7 \\ 13\% \end{bmatrix}$ in pronunciation of the word lawn. Kenyon and Knott, p. 250, list only $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$.

TABLE LIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE STRESSED VOWEL IN LAWN

Group	[ã.]	[``` 7	[3 7		
I-II Aggregate	6 (23%)	14 (54%)	6 (43%)		
I-II Parents	4 (33%)	7 (58%)	1 (09%)		
I Parents	4 (67%)	2 (33%)			
II Parents		5 (83%)	1 (17%)		
I-II Children	2 (14%)	7 (50%)	5 (36%)		
1 Unildren	2 (33%)	3 (50%)	1 (17%)		
<u>11 Children</u>	4 (50%)	4 (50%)			

Thirty-three per cent of Group I parents preferred $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}]$, but the majority chose $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}]$ (67%). Group I children preferred $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}]$ (50%), but 33 per cent chose $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}]$. Group II parents preferred $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}]$ (83%), 17 per cent choosing $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}]$. The choice of Group II children was evenly divided between $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{a}}]$ and $[\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}]$.

Group II Summary

As a general statement, it may be said that Group II speakers, the native speakers, showed these preferences:

[0]

(1) Before fricatives. **[9]** was preferred in <u>coffee</u> (93%), <u>cross</u> (93%), <u>lost</u> (100%), <u>moth</u> (64%), <u>soft</u> (87%), and trough (100%). **[9]** (36%) was an important secondary pronunciation in <u>moth</u>.

(2) Before stops. <u>Chocolate</u> was preferred with **[2]** (100%). Both <u>catalogue</u> and <u>log</u> were preferred with **[3]** (93%).

(3) Before nasals. $\boxed{}$ (86%) was preferred in strong. Song was pronounced equally with $\boxed{}$ (50%) and $\boxed{}$ (50%).

[9]

(1) Before fricatives. $\int \mathbf{J} \mathbf{J}$ was the preferred pronunciation in <u>sauce</u> (79%) and <u>sausage</u> (100%).

(2) Before stops. <u>Daughter</u> was pronounced with [0]
(71%) and [9] (21%). <u>Strawberry</u> was pronounced only with
[9]. <u>Water</u> was unanimously preferred with [2].

(3) Before <u>1</u>. [**9**] was preferred in <u>crawl</u> (93%), <u>stalk</u>
 (100%), <u>wall</u> (79%), and <u>salt</u> (100%).

(4) Before masals. Lawn was most often pronounced with $[\tilde{0}7 (64\%)]$, but $[\tilde{0}7 (29\%)]$ was not unusual.

Group I Summary

The preferences of Group I speakers comprise the following summary:

[0]

(1) Before fricatives. [b]7 was preferred in <u>coffee</u> (50%), <u>cross</u> (75%), <u>lost</u> (50%), <u>moth</u> (58%), <u>soft</u> (42%), and <u>trough</u> (45%). Frequently pronounced and of almost equal importance were the secondary choices $[a_7]$ and $[c_7]$.

(2) Before stops. <u>Chocolate</u> was pronounced only with $\boxed{0.7}$. $\boxed{0.7}$ was the preferred pronunciation in <u>catalogue</u> (75%) and <u>log</u> (50%), but $\boxed{0.7}$ (33%) occurred frequently in <u>log</u>.

(3) Before masals. $[\tilde{b}_{7}]$ (58%) and $[\tilde{j}_{7}]$ (33%) were preferred in song while strong was pronounced with $[\tilde{b}_{7}]$ (58%) and $[\tilde{a}_{7}]$ (33%).

[]]

(1) Before fricatives. <u>07</u> was preferred in <u>sauce</u> (75%) and <u>sausage</u> (50%), but <u>27</u> (25%) and <u>77</u> (25%) were not infrequent in <u>sausage</u>.

(2) Before stops. **[0**7 (50%) and **[0**7 (42%) were preferred in <u>daughter</u>. **[3**7 (50%) and **[b7** (42%) occurred in <u>strawberry</u>. <u>Water</u> was pronounced by Group I only with **[0.7**.

(3) Before 1. [07 (58%) and [37 (42%) were preferred in crawl. [07 (58%) and [427 (25%) were preferred in stalk. [37 (58%) and [67 (33%) were preferred in wall. Salt was pronounced predominantly with [67 (75%) by Group I informants.

(4) Before masals. Lawn was pronounced with \boxed{a} (50%) and \boxed{b} (42%).

Chapter Summary

The following table shows relative choices of parents and children of each group; a hyphen (-) indicates equal preference, and a comma (,) indicates descending order of preference:

TABLE LV

Word	I Parents	I Children	II Parents	II Children
coffee	a-10	6-0))	3,10
cross	p,a	<i>b</i> , 0	3	3,a
lost	a, 10, 0	10,0	2	2
moth	D, A, J	ю,а-э	3,10	Э, Ю
soft	a-10	J, 10, a	Э,Ю) , ID
trough	a, 10, 0	ю, Э	3	2
catalogue	3 , a-b	<i>3, 10</i>) , Ø	2
<u>log</u>	<i>э,а,ю</i>	10-2	0,0	כ
song	10,a-3	6-0	<i>), 1</i> 0	10,0
strong	Q-10	10,a-2	6,0	k,a
sauce	w,a	<i>D</i> , 0	0,10	J, 10
sausage	a-b	6-0	2	2
daughter	a-10	w,a,c	<i>D</i> , 3	ko, D
<u>strawberry</u>	2, 10, a	10-J	9	2
crawl	10-3	N, C	Э	э, ю
salt	D,a	n,a	D	D
stalk	a-10	6,0	3	3
wall	J, D, Q	٥, ٥	٥, ٢	Э, Ю
lawn	a,0	10,a,c	10,0	a-b

PRONUNCIATION PREFERENCES OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN IN GROUPS I AND II

As was the case in Chapter II with the pronunciations of words with $[a_7]$, pronunciations in this chapter of words with $[b_7]$ or $[c_7]$ indicate that Group I children show the most divergence from the speech of their parents. In the pronunciations of such words as <u>coffee</u>, <u>lost</u>, <u>soft</u>, <u>trough</u>, <u>strong</u>, <u>sausage</u>, <u>stalk</u>, and <u>lawn</u> there is a tendency on the part of Group I children to favor the more rounded vowel of Group II speakers.

The speech of Group II parents and children is generally in agreement concerning the pronunciations of all words in the test.

CHAPTER IV

THE DIPHTHONG SOUNDS [AI7, [AV7, AND []47

Perhaps the most interesting variations observed during this investigation occurred with the pronunciations of the diphthongs $\langle \mathbf{AI7}, \langle \mathbf{AV7}, \mathbf{and} \rangle \langle \mathbf{Ju7} \rangle$. Of course, being more complex sounds, the diphthongs are more vulnerable to change than are the simpler vowels. While the simpler vowels consist of only one basic sound, unchanged during its articulation, the diphthongs consist of two vowel sounds, one gliding quickly to another. If the first element of the combination is stressed more than the second element, the sonority of the diphthong is said to be falling; if the second element is stressed more than the first, the sonority of the diphthong is said to be rising. Both types are represented in this study, the falling diphthong by $\langle \mathbf{AI7} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{AV7} \rangle$, the rising diphthong by $\langle \mathbf{Ju7} \rangle$.

The Diphthong /al7

The diphthong $\langle \mathbf{aI} \rangle$, represented by such words as <u>fire</u>, <u>time</u>, <u>find</u>, <u>fine</u>, <u>mine</u>, <u>bite</u>, <u>night</u>, <u>bright</u>, and <u>right</u>, presented the two principal variations $\langle \mathbf{aI} \rangle$ and $\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$. The first variation occurs when the second element receives such a lack of stress as to be almost excluded; the second varia-

74

tion consists of the first element, stressed and lengthened to the complete exclusion of the second element.

Before Voiced Sounds

Pronunciation of <u>fire</u>, <u>time</u>, <u>find</u>, <u>fine</u>, and <u>mine</u> by the informants indicates that $\boxed{\mathbf{a}}$ is the predominant pronunciation in this area, with $\boxed{\mathbf{a}}$ as the second choice.¹

Twelve informants pronounced $[\underline{a}]$ in <u>fire</u>; ten informants chose $[\underline{a}I7;$ and four informants chose $[\underline{a}I7;^2]$

¹Thomas, <u>Phonetics</u> of <u>American English</u>, p. 141, says of this diphthong:

It may shift toward /ai/, in extreme cases even to /bi/; extreme allophones are likely to be considered substandard. In eastern Virginia, northern New York, northern New England, and Canada, an older variant /3i/ occasionally survives . . . In the Midland and South, the diphthong is often reduced to a monophthong before r . . . In other phonetic contexts, /ai/ also simplifies to /ai/, less commonly /ai/, in the South.

On page 210, Thomas says:

In the South, **[AI**] frequently simplifies to **[A:**], as in **[faintd:]]** for <u>fine time</u> and **[fap**] for <u>fire</u>. Some Southerners regard simplification of the diphthong before voiceless consonants as substandard, but such a pronunciation as **[ra:t**] for <u>right</u> is widespread. In the Southern Mountain and Central Midland areas, simplification also occurs, especially before **[r**], as in **[far**] or **[for**] for <u>fire</u>.

²Stanley, p. 29, indicates that <u>fire</u> may be pronounced with **[a:**7, **[a:**7, **[b:**7, or even **[3:**] in the speech of East Texas.

TABLE LVI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN FIRE

Group	[a.7	[a1]	[a=7		
I-II Aggregate	12 (46%)	10 (39%)	4 (15%)		
I-II Parents	4 (33%)	5 (42%)	3 (25%)		
I Parents		5 (83%)	1 (17%)		
II Parents	4 (67%)		2 (33%)		
I-II Children	8 (57%)	5 (36%)	1 (07%)		
I Children		5 (83%)	1 (17%)		
II Children	8 (100%)				

Group I parents and children preferred $/\mathbf{aI7}$ in <u>fire</u>. Only 17 per cent of each group chose $/\mathbf{aI7}$. Group II parents chose $/\mathbf{a7}$ (67%) and $/\mathbf{aI7}$ (33%), and Group II children chose $/\mathbf{a7}$ (100%).

Thirteen speakers chose $[\tilde{a}_{...}7;$ seven chose $[\tilde{a}_{...}7;$ five chose $[\tilde{a}_{...}7;$ and one chose $[\tilde{a}_{...}7]$ as the sound in <u>time</u>:

TABLE LVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN TIME

Group		[ã.7		/ãĨ7		/ à Ĩ7		/a = 7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents	13 4	(50%) (33%)	5 3	(19%) (25%)	7 5	(27%) (42%)	1	(04%)
I Parents II Parents	4	(67%)	3	(50%)	32	(50%) (33%)		
I-II Children I Children	91	(65%) (17%)	2	(14%) (33%)	2	(14%) (33%)	1 1	(07%) (17%)
II Children	8	(100%)						

Group I parents divided their choice between 237 (50%) and 237 (50%), but the pronunciation variation of Group I chil-

dren showed an unusually wide range: $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (33%), $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (33%), $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (17%), and $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (17%). Group II parents preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (67%) as a first choice and $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (33%) as a second choice. Group II children preferred one sound, $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \\ \tilde{a}\tilde{I} \end{bmatrix}$ (100%).

Thirteen speakers preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ in the word <u>find</u>; six chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_1 \end{bmatrix}$, and seven chose $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_2 \end{bmatrix}$?

TABLE LVIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN FIND

Group	[ã.7	_aĩ7	[a]=7		
I-II Aggregate	13 (50%)	6 (23%)	7 (27%)		
I-II Parents	3 (25%)	3 (25%)	6 (50%)		
I Parents		3 (50%)	3 (50%)		
II Parents	3 (50%)		3 (50%)		
I-II Children	9 (64%)	4 (29%)	1 (07%)		
I Children	1 (17%)	4 (67%)	1 (17%)		
II Children	8 (100%)				

Group I parents divided their choice evenly between \boxed{aI}_{7} and \boxed{aI}_{7} as the diphthong in <u>find</u>. Group I children preferred \boxed{aI}_{7} (67%); 17 per cent chose \boxed{aI}_{7} , and 17 per cent chose \boxed{a}_{7} . Group II parents were evenly divided in their choice between \boxed{a}_{7} and \boxed{aI}_{7} , but Group II children chose \boxed{a}_{7} unanimously.

³Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 149, records six instances of **[al]** and fourteen instances of **[al]** in <u>find</u> in downstate New York. Kenyon and Knott, p. 165, list only **[al]**. Twelve of the speakers preferred the sound $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_{...}7 \end{bmatrix}$ in the word <u>fine</u>; seven preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}^{\dagger}_{...}7 \end{bmatrix}$; six preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}^{\dagger}_{...}7 \end{bmatrix}$; and one speaker preferred $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}^{\dagger}_{...}7 \end{bmatrix}$.

TABLE LIX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN FINE

Group		[ā]		(a17		/ā [≆] 7		/aīj7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	12 5	(46%) (42%)	6 4 4	(23%) (33%) (67%)	732	(27%) (25%) (33%)	1	(04%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	5 7 7	(83%) (50%) (88%)	22	(14%) (33%)	1 4 3 1	(17%) (29%) (50%) (12%)	1 1	(07%) (17%)

Group I parents, generally choosing $[\tilde{a}I]$, showed a tendency to simplify the sound by occasionally choosing $[\tilde{a}I]$ (33%). Group I children, however, preferred $[\tilde{a}I]$, only 33 per cent of the children choosing $[\tilde{a}I]$. One informant of this group chose $[\tilde{a}I]$ (17%). Group II chose $[\tilde{a}I]$ almost unanimously, only 17 per cent of Group II parents choosing to pronounce the sound $[\tilde{a}I]$ and only 12 per cent of Group II children choosing to pronounce the sound $[\tilde{a}I]$.

Ten informants preferred the sound $\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_{.7} & \text{in mine}; \\ \tilde{a}_{.7} & \text{in mine}; \\ \text{preferred } \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_{.7}^{2} & \text{in mine}; \\ \tilde{a}_{.7}^{2} & \text{in mine}; \\ \end{bmatrix}$

⁴One informant, noted in the Appendix, often preferred the diphthongal variant / 2I / T rather than / 3I / 3I / 3.

TABLE LX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN MINE

Group		[ã]		/aĩ7		/ax7		/ a ĩ7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	10 4	(39%) (33%)	7 4 4	(27%) (33%) (67%)	8 4 2	(31%) (33%) (33%)	1	(03%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children	4 6	(67%) (43%)	33	(21%) (50%)	242	(33%) (28%) (33%)	1	(07%) (17%)
II Children	6	(75%)	-	()()()	2	(25%)		(***)/*/

Sixty-seven per cent of Group I parents chose $\boxed{a17}$ while 33 per cent chose $\boxed{a57}$ as the sound in <u>mine</u>. Group I children showed a wider variation: $\boxed{a17}$ (50%), $\boxed{a57}$ (33%), and $\boxed{a57}$ (17%). Sixty-seven per cent of Group II parents chose $\boxed{a7}$; 33 per cent chose $\boxed{a57}$. Group II children preferred $\boxed{a7}$, but 25 per cent chose $\boxed{a57}$.

Before Voiceless Sounds

The statistical trend toward monophthongization shown in the pronunciations of the preceding words is retarded slightly when the diphthong precedes a voiceless sound as in the words <u>bite</u>, <u>night</u>, <u>bright</u>, and <u>right</u>. Group I informants show an approximately similar degree of monophthongization in both sets of words, but Group II speakers show considerably less monophthongization in pronunciation of the diphthong before a voiceless consonant. Eleven speakers chose $[a_7]$ in <u>bite</u>; ten chose $[a_7]$; and five chose $[a_7]$:⁵

TABLE LXI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN BITE

Group	[a.]	[ā1 7	<u>_a=7</u>
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	11 (42%) 3 (25%) 1 (17%) 2 (33%) 8 (57%) 2 (33%) 6 (76%)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 10 & (39\%) \\ 5 & (42\%) \\ 4 & (67\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 5 & (36\%) \\ 4 & (67\%) \\ 1 & (12\%) \end{array} $	5 (19%) 4 (33%) 1 (17%) 3 (50%) 1 (07%) 1 (12%)

Sixty-seven per cent of Group I parents preferred the sound **[al7** in <u>bite</u>, but there was a tendency to slight the second element of the diphthong: seventeen per cent chose **[a-7**, and seventeen per cent chose **[a_7**. Group I children made **[a_7** (33%) a second choice to **[al7** (67%), showing a tendency to monophthongize the diphthong. Group II parents divided their choice between **[a-7** (50%), **[a_7** (33%), and **[a17** (17%). Group II children generally chose **[a_7** (76%), only 12 per cent choosing **[a17** and 12 per cent choosing **[a17**.

Twelve speakers chose **[a]** in <u>night;</u> eight chose **[a]**; and six chose **[a]**?:

⁵Stanley, p. 29, says that <u>bite</u> is likely to be pronounced with $\angle a$ when it occurs in a position not especially emphatic.

TABLE LXII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN NIGHT

Group	[a]	[a]7	[a]7
I-II Aggregate	28 (31%)	6 (23%)	12 (46%)
I-II Parents	2 (17%)	4 (33%)	6 (50%)
I Parents		4 (67%)	2 (33%)
II Parents	2 (33%)		4 (67%)
I-II Children	6 (43%)	2 (14%)	6 (43%)
I Children	• •	2 (33%)	4 (67%)
II Children	6 (75%)		2 (25%)

Group I parents preferred $\angle ai7$ (67%) to $\angle ai7$ (33%), but Group I children preferred $\angle ai7$ (67%) to $\angle ai7$ (33%). Group II parents preferred $\angle ai7$ (67%), only 33 per cent choosing the monophthong; however, Group II children preferred $\angle ai7$ (75%) to $\angle ai7$ (25%).

Nine speakers chose **[a_7** in <u>bright</u>; nine chose **[a_7**; and eight chose **[a_17**:

TABLE LXIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN BRIGHT

Group	[a.]	[ā.17	[a]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	9 (35%) 2 (16%)	8 (30%) 5 (42%) 5 (83%)	9 (35%) 5 (42%) 1 (17%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children	2 (33%) 7 (50%)	3 (21%) 3 (50%)	4 (67%) 4 (29%) 3 (50%)
<u>II Children</u>	7 (88%)	-	1 (12%)

Bright was pronounced with [ai7 (83%)] and [ai7 (17%)] by Group I parents, but Group I children chose equally [ai7]and [ai7]. Group II parents chose [ai7 (67%)] and [ai7] (33%); Group II children preferred [ai7 (88%)] and [ai7] (12%).

Eleven speakers preferred $[\underline{a}\underline{t}]$ in <u>right</u>; eight chose $[\underline{a}\underline{t}]$; and seven preferred $[\underline{a}\underline{t}]$:

TABLE LXIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN RIGHT

Group	[a.7	ai7	Laf7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 8 & (31\%) \\ 2 & (17\%) \\ 2 & (33\%) \\ 6 & (43\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 5 & (63\%) \end{array} $	7 (27%) 4 (33%) 4 (67%) 3 (21%) 3 (50%)	11 (42%) 6 (50%) 2 (33%) 4 (67%) 5 (36%) 2 (33%) 3 (37%)

Group I parents made / aI7 (67%) their preference, and / aI7 (33%) was made second choice. Group I children chose / aI7 (50%), / aI7 (33%), and the monophthong $/ a_7 (17\%)$. Group II parents chose / aI7 (67%), but 33 per cent preferred the monophthong. Group II children chose $/ a_7 (63\%)$ and / aI7 (37%).

The Diphthong /au/

The diphthong 207 is probably the favorite subject of study of many students of dialect since it has a great number

of variations occurring in widespread distribution.⁶ It seems that the diphthong developed from the Middle English simple vowel \angle \angle \angle \angle , and in its development probably acquired several different elements, according to regional idiosyncrasies, as the first element of the diphthong.⁷ The principal first element of the diphthong in the Denton area is the sound of the vowel in <u>cat</u>, or \angle \boxed . The following words, which were

⁶Thomas, <u>Phonetics of American English</u>, p. 211, says:

(AV) is more frequent along the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts, and in the Southern Mountain and Central Midland areas. **(AV)** is more frequent to the north and west of these areas. The variant **(AV)** occurs frequently in the New York City and Middle Atlantic areas, and in the South; somewhat less frequently in Eastern New England, the Central Midland, and the Southern Mountains. There is a trace of **(AV)** in the St. Lawrence valley in northern New York.

In "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 150, Thomas mentions that **AV** is unstable in downstate New York, tending to front, but without centralization. Stanley, p. 30, says that the diphthong **AV** has several variations in East Texas:

First, it is almost always longer than in many other sections of America, the lengthening being most pronounced in less literate speech. Secondly, it rarely, if ever, escapes nasalization in some degree; and nasalization, like lengthening, is most apparent among less well educated speakers where it is very strongly marked. The third notable feature is the variety of individual sounds for the first element.

He says further that the East Texas norm is probably **RU**. Robertson, p. 397, says that Eastern New England generally has **AU**; the rest of the North has **AU**; and the South and Midland have **RU**.

7Robertson, The Development of Modern English, p. 397.

considered to be illustrative of the diphthong and its variations, were included in the investigation: <u>found</u>, <u>sour</u>, <u>cow</u>, <u>bounce</u>, <u>scout</u>, <u>town</u>, <u>loud</u>, <u>mouse</u>, <u>now</u>, <u>crowd</u>, and <u>ground</u>.

After Fricatives

Pronunciations of the words <u>found</u> and <u>sour</u> showed that speakers of the native group consistently preferred 223 and that speakers of Group I generally preferred 207. The only other variations noted are 207 and 207.

Fifteen speakers showed a preference for 2237, ten for 237, and one for 237 in the word found:⁸

TABLE LXV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN FOUND

Group	<u>(</u> āṽ7	æ ə7	/2007
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	10 (39%) 6 (50%) 6 (100%)	15 (58%) 6 (50%)	1 (03%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	4 (29%) 4 (66%)	6 (100%) 9 (64%) 1 (17%) 8 (100%)	1 (07%) 1 (17%)

Group I parents did not vary from pronunciation of the diphthong $\angle av$ in the word found, and Group I children showed

⁸Greet, "A Phonographic Expedition to Williamsburg, Virginia," p. 167, notes that **[AV]** appears as **[JV]** in <u>found</u>, town, now, cow, scout, and ground. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 150, finds 54 instances of **[AV]**, 37 of **[AV]**, and 29 of **[AV]** in found in downstate New York; in "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 309, only a slight variation: $(\widetilde{av7} (67\%), (\widetilde{av3} (17\%), and (\widetilde{av3} (17\%)))$. The native group, Group II, preferred only the variant $(\widetilde{av3} (37\%))$ as the sound in found.

Eighteen speakers pronounced <u>sour</u> with \mathbb{AP}_7 , six with \mathbb{AV}_7 , one with \mathbb{AP}_7 , and one with \mathbb{AV}_7 :⁹

Thomas shows only 1 instance of **[av7** and 53 of **[av7**. Wheatley and Stanley, <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 90, mention that **[av7** showed some change to simple **[av7** in their investigation. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 150,

Thomas, "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," p. 150, provides the following charted information regarding the number of speakers choosing particular sounds:

	æv7	/av7	/av7
COW	5	5	3
crowd	15	45	25
found	37	54	29
ground	22	11	7
loud	5	5	8
now	23	38	8
scout	19	12	5

In "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 309, Thomas provides the following tabulation:

	/ 2 57	/3.17	/av7
crowd		2	21
found		l	5 3
ground		1	23
now		10	38
town		4	36

⁹The same speaker chose 207 in words of this group who chose 217 in the preceding groups of words. The speaker's educational background will be noted later in the Appendix.

TABLE LXVI

PRONUNCIATION	\mathbf{OF}	THE	DIPHTHONG	IN SO	IJR

Group		/av7		/æə7		[æo]	·	<u>/av7</u>
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	633	(23%) (25%) (50%)	18 8 2	(69%) (67%) (33%)	1 1 1	(04%) (08%) (17%)	1	(04%)
II Farents I-II Children I Children II Children	3 3	(22%) (50%)	0 10 2 8	(100%) (71%) (33%) (100%)			1 1	(07%) (17%)

Fifty per cent of Group I parents preferred the diphthong **[AV7** in <u>sour</u>; 33 per cent preferred **[2297**; and 17 per cent preferred **[2297**. Group I children showed a somewhat similar division of preference: **[AV7** (50%), **[2397** (33%), and **[AV7** (17%). Group II, however, chose **[2397** unanimously.

After Stops

Pronunciations of the words in this group, <u>bounce</u>, <u>cow</u>, <u>scout</u>, <u>town</u>, all show essentially the same characteristics; that is, Group II generally prefers 2227, and Group I parents prefer 227 while the pronunciations of Group I children vacillate between the two parental group preferences.

23 in <u>bounce</u> was the choice of fifteen informants. Ten chose 23, and one chose 23.

TABLE LXVII

PRONUNCI	LATION	OF	THE	DIPHTHONO	IN IN	BOUNCE	

Group	_ āv7	Æ 27	Æ0 7
I-II Aggregate	10 (39%)	15 (58%)	1 (03%)
I-II Parents	6 (50%)	6 (50%)	
I Farents II Parents I-II Children	6 (100%)	6 (100%) 9 (64%)	1 (07%)
I Children	4 (67%)	2 (33%)	1 (07%)
II Children		7 (88%)	1 (12%)

Group I parents chose $\langle \tilde{av} \rangle$ unanimously. Group I children generally preferred the choice of their parents, but 33 per cent chose $\langle \tilde{av} \rangle$. Twelve per cent of Group II children chose the variant $\langle \tilde{av} \rangle$, but 88 per cent preferred $\langle \tilde{av} \rangle$. Group II parents chose $\langle \tilde{av} \rangle$ without variation.

Fourteen informants chose **297** in <u>cow</u>; eight preferred **207**; and four pronounced **207**:

TABLE LXVIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN COW

Group	lau7	[æə 7	[æo 7
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	8 (31%) 5 (42%) 5 (83%) 3 (21%) 3 (5 0%)	14 (54%) 6 (50%) 1 (17%) 5 (83%) 8 (58%) 1 (17%) 7 (88%)	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 4 & (15\%) \\ 1 & (08\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 3 & (21\%) \\ 2 & (33\%) \\ 1 & (12\%) \end{array} $

Group I parents preferred $\langle av \rangle$ (83%), only 17 per cent choosing $\langle av \rangle$. Group I children were divided in their choice between $\langle av \rangle$ (50%), $\langle av \rangle$ (33%), and $\langle av \rangle$ (17%). Group II parents chose $\langle av \rangle$ (83%) and $\langle av \rangle$ (17%). Group II children showed a similar division, $\langle av \rangle$ (88%) and $\langle av \rangle$ (12%).

Pronunciation of the word <u>scout</u> is typical. Fourteen speakers chose $\underline{3237}$; ten speakers chose $\underline{327}$; and two speakers chose $\underline{3207}$:¹¹

TABLE LXIX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN SCOUT

Group	[āt7	[22:27	[æo]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents	10 (40%) 5 (42%) 5 (83%)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 14 & (54\%) \\ 6 & (50\%) \\ \end{array} $	2 (07%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)
I-II Children I Children II Children	5 (36%) 5 (83%)	8 (100%) 8 (57%) 8 (100%)	1 (07%) 1 (17%)

Group I divisions, parents and children, made identical choices: **[AU7** (83%) and **[He97** (17%). Group II speakers also made identical choices, **[He97** (100%).

11 Greet, "American Speech Records," p. 351, shows in scouts in Record 71-A, Lamar County and Stony, Texas; in Record 71-B, Stony, Texas, ita Klipple, "The Speech of Spicewood, Texas," <u>American</u> Speech, XX (October, 1945), 188, says that Speech, XX (October, 1945), 188, says that au fronting of the first element and centralization of the second, as in scouts (SK2: 457. <u>Town</u> was pronounced with $\cancel{223}$ by fourteen speakers, with $\cancel{227}$ by eight speakers, with $\cancel{2207}$ by three speakers, and with $\cancel{22}37$ by one speaker:

TABLE LXX

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN TOWN

Group	[a ir]	[æi]7	[207	ÆĨ27
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	8 (31%) 4 (33%) 4 (67%)	14 (54%) 5 (42%)	3 (12%) 3 (16%) 2 (33%)	1 (04%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children	4 (29%) 4 (67%)	5 (83%) 9 (64%) 2 (33%)	1 (17%)	1 (07%)
II Children		7 (88%)		1 (12%)

Group I parents preferred $2\sqrt[3]{av7}$ (67%) with $2\sqrt[3]{av7}$ (33%) as a second choice. Group I children chose $2\sqrt[3]{av7}$ (67%), but the second choice was $2\sqrt[3]{av3}$ (33%). Group II parents preferred $2\sqrt[3]{av3}$ (83%), only 17 per cent choosing $2\sqrt[3]{av3}$. Group II children also preferred $2\sqrt[3]{av3}$ (88%); one speaker, however, chose $2\sqrt[4]{av3}$ (12%).

After Nasals

<u>Mouse</u> and <u>now</u> were the only words included in this test group. They share generally the same characteristics regarding pronunciation of the diphthong as the words in the preceding group shared with the exception that the diphthong is here nasalized. The word <u>mouse</u> was pronounced with $2\tilde{\partial}$ by fifteen informants, with $2\tilde{\partial}$ by ten informants, and with $2\tilde{\partial}$ by one informant:¹²

TABLE LXXI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN MOUSE

Group	lāv7	[æ̃ə]	[au]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 10 & (39\%) \\ 6 & (50\%) \\ 6 & (100\%) \end{array} $	15 (58%) 6 (50%)	1 (04%)
II Parents I-II Children I Children II Children	4 (29%) 4 (67%)	6 (100%) 9 (64%) 1 (17%) 8 (100%)	1 (07%) 1 (17%)

Group I parents chose only $2\tilde{a}V7$. Group I children showed some variation from the preferred $2\tilde{a}V7$ (67%): 17 per cent preferred the diphthong with a low back first element, $2\tilde{a}V7$, and 17 per cent preferred the diphthong with a fronted first element, $2\tilde{a}V7$. Group II unanimously chose $2\tilde{a}V7$.

Eighteen speakers preferred to pronounce 2227 in the word <u>now</u>; six preferred to pronounce 227; and two preferred to pronounce 227; and two preferred to pronounce 227;

¹²The speaker who chose $/\tilde{av}/$ here is the one, noted before, who chose $/\tilde{ar}/$ as a variant of $/\tilde{ar}/$. Information given in the Appendix will explain this exception to the general pronunciation.

TABLE LXXII

Group	Late7	[æ]	[ãco]
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents	6 (23%) 4 (33%) 4 (67%)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2 (08%) 1 (08%) 1 (17%)
I-II Children I Children II Children II Children	2 (14%) 2 (33%)	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 (79\%) \\ 3 (50\%) \\ 8 (100\%) \end{array} $	1 (07%) 1 (17%)

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN NOW

Group I parents preferred to pronounce $2\sqrt[3]{07}$ (67%) in the word <u>now</u>, but the group showed a tendency toward a diphthong with a fronted first element with the pronunciations $2\sqrt[3]{07}$ (17%) and $2\sqrt[3]{07}$ (17%). Group I children preferred to pronounce $2\sqrt[3]{07}$ (50%), only 33 per cent choosing to pronounce $2\sqrt[3]{07}$; 17 per cent chose another variation, $2\sqrt[3]{07}$. Group II speakers pronounced $2\sqrt[3]{07}$ without variation.

<u>After L</u>

The only word included in this group was <u>loud</u>, in which the pronunciations of the diphthong generally stratified as 3237 or 3377, with few other variations.

Seventeen of the speakers preferred to pronounce $\cancel{3237}$ in the word <u>loud</u>, and nine of the speakers preferred to pronounce $\cancel{327}$:

TABLE LXXIII

	(Ta7			
Group	/au/	<u>[20]</u>	<u>[æo]</u>	
I-II Aggregate I-II Parents I Parents II Parents	9 (35%) 5 (42%) 5 (83%)	$ \begin{array}{cccc} 17 & (66\%) \\ 7 & (58\%) \\ 1 & (17\%) \\ 6 & (100\%) \end{array} $		
I-II Children I Children II Children	4 (29%) 4 (67%)	$ \begin{array}{c c} 10 & (71\%) \\ 2 & (33\%) \\ 8 & (100\%) \end{array} $		

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN LOUD

Group I parents generally chose $/3\sqrt{2}$ (83%) as the diphthong in <u>loud</u>, but 17 per cent preferred the diphthong with the fronted first element, $/3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}$. Thirty-three per cent of Group I children preferred the fronted first element, however. Group II parents and children chose $/3\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2}$ as the diphthong in every instance.

After R

Pronunciations of the words in this group, <u>crowd</u> and <u>ground</u>, are generally typical of the pronunciations studied in the previous groups in that the pronunciations stratify as $\boxed{3237}$ or $\boxed{3377}$.

<u>Crowd</u> was pronounced with $\boxed{\partial 2}$ by seventeen informants, with $\boxed{\partial 2}$ by seven informants, with $\boxed{\partial 2}$ by one informant, and with $\boxed{\partial 2}$ by one informant: Group I parents preferred ground with $\boxed{aV7}$ (67%), 17 per cent choosing $\boxed{aV7}$ and 17 per cent choosing $\boxed{aV7}$. Group I children showed less preference than the parents for the diphthong $\boxed{aV7}$ (50%), 33 per cent choosing the diphthong with the fronted first element, $\boxed{aV7}$, and 17 per cent choosing the variant $\boxed{aV7}$. Group II parents preferred $\boxed{aV7}$ (83%), and Group II children unanimously preferred $\boxed{aV7}$.

The Diphthong / 47

The diphthong (ju) is an interesting one. In Early Modern English the sound was (Iu) with the accent on the first element, which made it a falling diphthong. However, with a shift on stress to the second element, it became a rising diphthong.¹³ The lack of stress on the first element causes a slight constriction in the first element, (I_i) , especially after the alveolars <u>t</u>, <u>d</u>, and <u>n</u>. The constriction, increased by accomodation with the completely constricted <u>t</u>, <u>d</u>, and <u>n</u>, results in the pronunciation of the semi-vowel (j_i) . The diphthong then becomes (ju). The principal variant of the diphthong is (u_i) , a result of monophthongization or dropping of the unstressed element, ¹⁴

¹³Kenyon, <u>American Pronunciation</u>, p. 210.

¹⁴Thomas, <u>Phonetics of American English</u>, p. 213, mentions that the South makes a greater use of //, as in //u/, than any other region, but he adds that Eastern New England makes some use of //u/. He says further that elsewhere /u/ is generally predominant, with //u/ and //v/the result of conscious habit.

93

in this case $\sum_{j=7}^{7}$. Still present in some speech is the diphthong $\sum_{j=7}^{7}$.

After Stops

The words in this group, <u>dew</u>, <u>duel</u>, <u>Tuesday</u>, and <u>tulip</u>, share no typical pronunciation characteristics. The different group pronunciations are not stratified but change with each word.

Fourteen speakers preferred $\underline{\ } \underline{\ }$

TABLE LXXIV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN DEW

Group	[u.7	[]u.7
I-II Aggregate	14 (54%)	13 (52%)
I-II Parents	5 (42%)	7 (58%)
I Parents	5 (83%)	1 (17%)
II Parents		6 (100%)
I-II Children	9 (64%)	5 (36%)
I Children	5 (83%)	1 (17%)
<u>II Children</u>	4 (50%)	4 (50%)

¹⁵Robertson, p. 397, says that /Iu/ is "rather oldfashioned." However, /Iu/ is an important diphthong in some dialect regions. Nevertheless, the scope of this thesis does not admit the separate treatment of /Iu/ but only allows for the combination of /Iu/ and /Ju/ since the difference is primarily one of quality of pronunciation.

¹⁶Stanley, p. 32, says that dew is always pronounced with $/\mu/$ in East Texas. Robertson, p. 399, states that $/\mu/$ is the usual American pronunciation in <u>dew</u>, and only in the South and South-Midland does $/\mu/$ remain in all levels of natural speech. Clifton, <u>op</u>. <u>cit</u>., p. 192, says that $/\mu/$ occurs as often as $/\mu/$ in all sections of Texas in the words <u>dew</u>, <u>duel</u>, <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>tulip</u>, and <u>newspaper</u>.

Group I parents and Group I children clearly preferred $\left[u_{2} \right]$ (83%), only 17 per cent choosing the diphthong. Group II parents chose the diphthong unanimously, but Group II children chose $\left[u_{2} \right]$ and $\left[u_{3} \right] u_{3} \right]$ equally.

Thirteen speakers chose $\int \mu_{d}$ in <u>duel</u>; twelve chose $\int \mu_{d}$; one speaker did not respond:

TABLE LXXV

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN DUEL

Group	[u]	[]47
I-II Aggregate	12 (48%)	13 (52%)
I-II Parents	5 (42%)	7 (58%)
I Parents	4 (67%)	2 (33%)
II Parents	1 (17%)	5 (83%)
I-II Children	7 (54%)	6 (46%)
I Children	5 (83%)	1 (17%)
II Children*	2 (29%)	5 (71%)

* One speaker did not respond.

Group I parents generally pronounced $[u_7]$ in <u>duel</u>; only 33 per cent chose $[u_7]$. Group I children chose $[u_7]$ (83%) and $[u_7]$ (17%). Group II parents chose $[u_7]$ (83%) and $[u_7]$ (17%), but Group II children showed less preference for $[u_7]$ (71%).

Thirteen speakers preferred [427], and thirteen speakers preferred [427] in <u>Tuesday</u>:¹⁷

¹⁷Elders, p. 48, records $\angle \omega$ (10%) and $\angle \mu$ (90%) in <u>Tuesday</u>. Thomas, "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," p. 310, says that $\angle \omega$ appears most often in <u>Tuesday</u>.

TABLE LXXVI

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN TUESDAY

G rou p	[u]	[Ju7
I-II Aggregate	13 (50%)	13 (50%)
I-II Parents	6 (50%)	6 (50%)
I Parents	5 (83%)	1 (17%)
II Parents	1 (17%)	5 (83%)
I-II Children	7 (50%)	7 (50%)
I Children	4 (67%)	2 (33%)
<u>II Children</u>	3 (37%)	5 (63%)

Twenty-one informants pronounced $\underline{247}$ in <u>tulip</u>; five informants pronounced $\underline{247}$:¹⁸

TABLE LXXVII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN TULIP

Group	[u7	[m]
I-II Aggregate	21 (81%)	5 (19%)
I-II Parents	9 (75%)	3 (25%)
I Parents	6 (100%)	
II Parents	3 (50%)	3 (50%)
I-II Children	12 (86%)	2 (14%)
I Children	5 (83%)	1 (17%)
II Children	7 (88%)	1_(12%)

¹⁸Elders, p. 48, finds [4] (27%), [ju] (73%) in <u>tulip</u>.

Group I parents all chose [u] in <u>tulip</u>. Group I children generally preferred [u] (83%), but 17 per cent chose [u]. Group II parents were evenly divided in their choice between [u] and [u], but only a 12 per cent minority of Group II children chose a pronunciation other than the major pronunciation [u] (88%).

After Nasals

<u>Newspaper</u> (first syllable) was the only word tested in this group.

Seventeen speakers preferred $\angle u_7$ in <u>newspaper</u>; nine speakers chose $\angle ju_7$:

TABLE LXXVIII

PRONUNCIATION OF THE DIPHTHONG IN NEWSPAPER

Group	[u]	[]47
I-II Aggregate	17 (67%)	9 (33%)
I-II Parents	8 (67%)	4 (33%)
I Parents	6 (100%)	
II Parents	2 (33%)	4 (67%)
I-II Children	9 (64%)	5 (36%)
I Children	6 (100%)	
II Children	3 (37%)	5 (63%)

Group I chose only $[u_7]$ in <u>newspaper</u>. Group II parents chose $[Ju_7]$ (67%) and $[u_7]$ (33%); Group II children preferred $[Ju_7]$ (63%) and $[u_7]$ (37%).

Group II Summary

Group II informants indicated the following preferences:

[ai]

(1) Before voiced sounds. **[2]** was preferred in <u>fine</u> (86%), <u>find</u> (79%), <u>fire</u> (86%), <u>time</u> (86%), and <u>mine</u> (79%).

(2) Before voiceless sounds. $[a_7]$ was usually pronounced in <u>bite</u> (57%), but $[a_7]$ was a common pronunciation. $[a_7]$ (57%) and $[a_7]$ (43%) were preferred in <u>night</u>. <u>Bright</u> was preferred with $[a_7]$ (64%), but $[a_7]$ was a frequent pronunciation. <u>Right</u> was pronounced equally with $[a_7]$ (50%) and $[a_7]$ (50%).

(av7

(1) After fricatives. $\cancel{2}$ was the favorite pronunciation of the diphthong in <u>found</u> (100%) and <u>sour</u> (100%).

(2) After stops. 227 was preferred in <u>bounce</u> (93%),
 <u>cow</u> (86%), <u>scout</u> (100%), and <u>town</u> (86%).

(3) After nasals. <u>Mouse</u> and <u>now</u> were both pronounced only with **200**7.

(4) After 1. Loud was pronounced with Area (100%).

(5) After <u>r</u>. **[22]** was preferred in <u>crowd</u> (100%) and <u>ground</u> (93%).

[ju]

(1) After stops. <u>Dew and Tuesday</u> were pronounced with $\boxed{Ju7}$ (71%) and $\boxed{-u7}$ (29%). <u>Tulip</u> was preferred with $\boxed{-u7}$ (71%) and $\boxed{Ju7}$ (29%). <u>Duel</u> was pronounced with $\boxed{Ju7}$ (77%) and $\boxed{-u7}$ (23%).

(2) After nasals. <u>Newspaper</u> was pronounced with $\int u \sqrt{36\%}$.

Group I Summary

Group I informants indicated the following preferences:

[a]7

(1) Before voiced sounds. Fire was pronounced with (ai7 (83%); find was preferred with (ai7 (58%)) and (ai7 (33%); fine was pronounced almost equally with <math>(ai7 (50%)) and (ai7 (42%)). Time was pronounced equally with (ai7 (42%)) and (ai7 (42%)). (ai7 (58%)) and (ai7 (33%)) were pronounced in mine.

(2) Before voiceless sounds. [AI7 was preferred in <u>bite</u> (67%), but [A7 (25%) occurred often in the pronunciation of the word. <u>Night</u> was preferred equally with [AI7 (50%) and [AF7 (50%). <u>Bright</u> was most often pronounced with [AI7 (67%), but [AF7 (33%) was not uncommon. [AI7 (58%) occurred less frequently in <u>right</u>, but [AF7 (33%) was still a secondary pronunciation.

[**a**v]

(1) After fricatives. Found occurred with $\boxed{AV7}$ (83%), and $\boxed{AV7}$ (50%) was the major pronunciation in <u>sour</u>, but $\boxed{BQ7}$ (33%) occurred frequently.

(2) After stops. (20) was preferred in bounce (83%),
 <u>cow</u> (67%), <u>scout</u> (83%), and <u>town</u> (67%).
(3) After masals. ΔV (83%) was the predominant pronunciation of the diphthong in <u>mouse</u>. <u>Now</u> occurred with ΔV (50%) and ΔV (33%).

(4) After 1. av_7 was preferred in <u>loud</u> (75%).

(5) After <u>r</u>. **[av**] was preferred in <u>crowd</u> (58%) and <u>ground</u> (58%).

After stops. <u>[4]</u>7 was preferred in <u>dew</u> (83%),
 <u>duel</u> (75%), <u>Tuesday</u> (75%), and <u>tulip</u> (92%).

(2) After nasals. <u>Newspaper</u> was pronounced with <u>[4]</u>
 (100%).

Chapter Summary

The table which follows provides an illustration of the relative choices of parents and children of each group; a hyphen indicates equal preference, and a comma indicates descending order of preference:

TABLE LXXIX

PRONUNCIATION PREFERENCES OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN IN GROUPS I AND II

Word	I Parents	I Children	II Parents	II Children
fine	ai, ai	arai	a, a ^I	a, a ^I
find	ai-ai	al, a-ar	a-a=	a
fire	ai, a ⁱ	al, af	a,aI	a
bite	ar, a-af	ai, a	ar,a,aI	a, al-ar

TABLE LXXIX --Continued

Word	I Parents	I Children	II Parents	II Children
mine	ai, ai	ai, af	a,a [±]	a, a=
night	at at	ar, ai	2º, 2	a,a#
bright	aI, aI	a1-22	a ^r , a	a,a7
right	ar,ar	aI, 2 ^I , 2	at, a	a, a=
found	av	20,223-20	æə	æə
sour	av,æð	27,22	æə	æð
bounce	au	27,223	æð	æð
COW	AV, 200	21,20,22	æə, æo	æə, æo
scout	20,20	27,20	æj	Zə
town	20; 20	at, æð	æə,æ0	æə
mouse	av	av, 22-20	æə	HI
now	av, 27-20	22, 27,20	æJ	æə
loud	20,227	2w, Zə	æð	æə
crowd	20,20	æə, av	æə	æə
ground	20,222-20	20,22	æə, æo	æə
dew	u, ju	u, ju	ju	u, ju
duel	u, ju	u, ju	ju,u	ju,ú
Tuesday	u, ju	u, ju	ju,u	ju,u
tulip	u	u, ju	u-ju	u, ju
newspaper	u	ĸ	ju, u	u-ju

It may readily be seen that Group I children exhibit the most definite tendency to depart from the speech habits of their parents and accommodate to the speech habits of another dialect group. Pronunciations by Group I children of such words as fine, find, night, bright, found, bounce, mouse, now, and crowd illustrate two points: (1) In words with [a17 the tendency is to slight the second element of the diphthong to produce 27 or even to monophthongize the diphthong to 27in order to approximate the environmental dialect level; (2) in words with /207 the tendency is to approximate the environmental dialect habit of fronting the first element to [27. However, there is no definite indication of the validity of these two points except in the pronunciations given of the words fine, night, now, and crowd. In pronunciations of the word <u>fine</u>, Group I children preferred 27, showing a tendency to monophthongize, rather than the parental pronunciation / al7; Group I children showed a similar preference in pronunciation of <u>night</u>. Pronunciations of <u>now</u> and <u>crowd</u> by Group I children showed a definite preference for the environmental 227 rather than the parental 27.

Parent-children pronunciations were rather stable in words with $\boxed{u_7}$ or $\boxed{u_7}$ except in the pronunciations of <u>dew</u> and <u>newspaper</u> by Group II children. In each case, the parental pronunciation was $\boxed{u_7}$ while the children chose $\boxed{u_7}$.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

The primary concern of this investigation has been the pronunciation of the low-back vowels or of certain diphthongs as they occurred in test words verbally received from two selected groups of informants residing in Denton, Texas. It has been the thesis of this study that a correlation of the pronunciations of the groups would establish that speakers of a dialect intrusive upon the native dialect would show some assimilation of the native speech and that the children of the speakers of the intrusive dialect would be most likely to accommodate their speech habits to the native usage.

Three families who had but recently moved to Denton from Minnesota were selected to represent speakers of the intrusive dialect; as a control group, three families who were native to Denton were chosen as representatives of the speakers of the native dialect. No attempt was made to determine whether the representation was statistically representative of the native speech; it was merely assumed that the middle-class families would generally represent their respective dialect groups.

Each family consisted of two parents and two to six children. The parents ranged in age from thirty-seven to fortyfive and in education from high-school graduate to college

post-graduate. The children ranged in age from six to nineteen years and in education from first grade enrollee to college freshman.

The testing of the speakers' pronunciations of selected words was accomplished in personal interviews in the privacy of their homes. Each informant was isolated from the others as casually as possible and was verbally presented questions which apparently tested his vocabulary although pronunciation was actually the concern of the test. It was deemed important that the informant be unaware that his pronunciation of words was being tested because it was assumed that if he thought that pronunciation were the main issue, he would likely modify his pronunciation, thereby affecting the accuracy of the test. His verbal response to the test questions was immediately recorded manually in narrow transcription on a prepared worksheet which anticipated the full range of pronunciation possibilities for the stressed vowels and for the diphthongs in the test words. Approximately an hour was required for the completion of each test.

The words which were selected as test words were all chosen on the basis that they tested the informant's choice of the low-back vowels or of the diphthongs which are normally pronounced in those words. The word list was somewhat limited because of the necessity that the words be a part of the vocabulary of a six-year-old. For that reason, the words are mostly monosyllabic.

After the testing was accomplished, a tabulation was made of the results. The tabulation was rendered as a correlative subdivision of the two major groups; such divisions as Group I-II Aggregate, Group I-II Parents, Group I Parents, Group II Parents, Group I-II Children, Group I Children, and Group II Children were measured and compared in their pronunciation choices. Although the disadvantage of such detailed division is obvious, that one speaker in a small division may control as much as 16 per cent of the division pronunciation preference, the advantage of immediate correlation more than offsets the disadvantage.

The objection may be raised that the narrow scope of a study which tests the pronunciations of as few as ninety words by no more than twenty-six informants will render its tabulation percentages only generally accurate. However, it may be recalled that the purpose of the study was not to survey the entire Denton area and specifically determine pronunciation preferences but was to measure preferences of generally representative groups and compare those preferences, determining in the process of comparison whether or not the general preferences showed any similarities. In this respect, then, the evidence points to the validity of the hypothesis that the children of the intrusive dialect speakers will show the greatest degree of accommodation of their speech habits to the speech habits of the native group.

The native group, for example, generally chose a rounded vowel in such words as <u>closet</u>, <u>wasp</u>, <u>mockingbird</u>, <u>barn</u>, <u>donkey</u>, <u>honk</u>, <u>cart</u>, <u>dark</u>, <u>garden</u>, <u>sharp</u>, and <u>tar</u>; the parents in the intrusive dialect group generally chose the unround vowel in those words; however, the children in the intrusive dialect group chose the slightly rounded to fully rounded vowels $[0_7]$ and $[0_7]$ instead of the unround $[0_7]$ of their parents.

In the pronunciations of such words as <u>coffee</u>, <u>lost</u>, <u>soft</u>, <u>trough</u>, <u>strong</u>, <u>sausage</u>, <u>stalk</u>, and <u>lawn</u>, the children of the speakers of the intrusive dialect preferred the vowel of the native speakers, a rounded vowel, rather than the unround vowel of their parents.

The pronunciation of such words as <u>fine</u> and <u>night</u> by the children of the intrusive dialect speakers, Group I Children, illustrated that they preferred the diphthong 227 or the monophthong 27 of the native speech rather than the diphthong 27 of their parents' speech. Group I children preferred the native 27 in their pronunciation of <u>now</u> and <u>crowd</u>.rather than 277 of their parents.

In pronunciation of words with $\llbracket u \rrbracket$ or $\llbracket u \rrbracket$ the parent-children choice was rather stable with the exception of the pronunciation of <u>dew</u> and <u>newspaper</u> by Group II children, in which case the parental pronunciation was $\llbracket u \rrbracket$ while the children chose the $\llbracket u \rrbracket$ of the intrusive dialect.

Generally, the Group II parent-children pronunciation was in agreement, with the possible exception of one word,

<u>lawn</u>, which was preferred with [67] by Group II parents, but which was preferred equally with [67] and [67] by the children.

Evidence indicates a consistent assimilation of the lowback rounded vowel of the native speakers by the children of Group I. On the other hand, evidence of assimilation by Group I children of the native speech habits is rather inconsistent with words pronounced with the diphthongs $\Delta I7$, $\Delta V7$, and $\Delta V7$.

APPENDIX

The following information provides pertinent data concerning informant backgrounds for Group I and Group II:

Group I

Informant number 1:

Sex: Male

Age: Thirty-nine

Education: High-school graduate

Occupation: Sales correspondent

Remarks: Informant number one is of Swedish stock. He and his wife lived for twelve consecutive years in Minneapolis, Minnesota, prior to moving to Denton, where they have lived for three years. He was interested, coopera-

tive, and responsive to the test questions.

Informant number 2:

Sex: Female

Age: Approximately thirty-eight

Education: High-school graduate

Occupation: Housewife

Remarks: Informant number 2 was of Swedish stock, her

mother being a native Swede.

Informant number 3:

Sex: Male

Age: Thirteen

Education: Enrolled in the ninth grade.

Occupation: None

Remarks: He is a son of informants 1 and 2.

Informant number 4:

Sex: Male

Age: Ten

Education: Presently enrolled in the fourth grade. Occupation: None

Remarks: He is the youngest son of informants 1 and 2. Informant number 5:

Sex: Male

Age: Approximately forty

Education: High-school graduate

Occupation: Sales service manager

Remarks: Informant number 5 is of German stock, the German influence dissipating somewhat during his generation. He had lived most of his life in Minneapolis prior to moving to Denton, where he has lived for four years. His occupation exposes him to linguistic influence from several dialect areas in which his firm transacts business.

Informant number 6:

Sex: Female

Age: Approximately thirty-nine Education: High-school graduate Occupation: Full-time housewife Remarks: Informant number 5 is of German stock; her generation, however, was less exposed to the linguistic influences of German. She is a slow, deliberate speaker who appeared to be rather lethargic.

Informant number 7:

Sex: Female

Age: Ten

Education: Enrolled in the fourth grade.

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speaker was not at ease and seemed slightly hostile.

Informant number 8:

Sex: Male
Age: Seven
Education: Enrolled in the first grade
Occupation: None
Remarks: The speaker failed to provide retroflexion for
r, and most of his vowels preceding r are back rounded
or semi-rounded.

Informant number 9:

Sex: Male

Age: Approximately forty-eight

Education: Two years of college

Occupation: Plant manager

Remarks: The parents of the speaker were native Swedes, and he recalled that some Swedish was spoken in his family. He is a native of Minneapolis, but he has lived in Denton for the past eight years. He is an energetic, cultivated speaker; he was thoroughly cooperative, responding conversationally and without hesitation.

Informant number 10:

Sex: Female

Age: Approximately forty-five

Education: High-school graduate

Occupation: Full-time housewife

Remarks: The speaker indicated that there was no strong Swedish linguistic influence in her family. She is also native to Minneapolis area. She was gracious, cooperative, and interesting.

Informant number 11:

Sex: Male

Age: Eighteen

Education: High-school senior

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speaker has been exposed to special speech training as a member of a boy's choir.

Informant number 12:

Sex: Male

Age: Fourteen

Education: High-school freshman

Occupation: None

Remarks: This speaker is the one who has often been mentioned in footnotes in this study. He attends a local preparatory school at which there is a strong British influence; he has also been specially trained in enunciation as a member of a local boy's choir. The speaker is of superior intelligence, and he seemed to view the simplicity of the test with sarcasm. His pronunciation, in some cases, was exaggerated, but cross-checking of his pronunciation preferences eliminated those exaggerations.

Group II

Informant number 13:

Sex: Male

Age: Approximately forty

Education: College graduate

Occupation: Manager of a local credit company

Remarks: The speaker is a native Texan who has lived in the Denton area for most of his life.

Informant number 14:

Sex: Female

Age: Approximately thirty-seven Education: Two years of college Occupation: Full-time housewife Remarks: The speaker is a native Texan who has lived in the Denton area for the last twenty years. The community in which she spent her childhood was ethnologically

Norwegian and German. She recalled that her parents came from Norway. Informant number 15: Female Sex: Age: Six Education: Enrolled in the first grade Occupation: None Remarks: The speaker fails to retroflex r which results in backing and rounding of vowels preceding r. Informant number 16: Sex: Male Age: Sixteen High-school sophomore Education: Occupation: None Remarks: The speaker shows little variation from the Denton norm. Informant number 17: Sex: Male Age: Forty-two Education: College graduate Occupation: Manager of a farm supply house Remarks: Speaker number 17 has lived in the Denton area since his birth. He recalls some Dutch and Irish stock in his family, but the greatest linguistic influence comes from the German farmers (approximately 25 per cent

of total farmers around Denton) with whom speaker number 17 has mingled for twenty years.

Informant number 18:

Sex: Female

Age: Approximately forty Education: College graduate Occupation: Full-time housewife Remarks: The speaker has lived in Denton for twenty years. Her mother came originally from Ohio and her father from West Texas.

Informant number 19:

Sex: Female

Age: Nineteen

Education: College sophomore

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speech of the speaker was affected in some respects because she became quickly aware that her pronunciation was being tested; however, the affected speech responses were minimized by cross checking.

Informant number 20:

Sex: Female

Age: Twelve

Education: Enrolled in the seventh grade.

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speaker was cooperative and energetic.

Informant number 21:

Sex: Male

Age: Ten

Education: Enrolled in the fifth grade.

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speaker was somewhat shy, but he quickly overcame the shyness and was responsive.

Informant number 22:

Sex: Male

Age: Seven

Education: Enrolled in the second grade

Occupation: None

Remarks: This speaker was quite energetic and playful.

His responses were sometimes distorted by emphasis.

Informant number 23:

Sex: Male

Age: Forty-five

Education: College graduate

Occupation: News editor of a local radio station. Remarks: The speaker has lived in the Denton area for the last twenty years and is a native Texan. His occupation, according to the speaker, required no special speech training other than that gained through his experience in journalism. Informant number 24:

Sex: Female

Age: Approximately forty

Education: Post-graduate

Occupation: Full-time housewife

Remarks: The speaker is presently working toward a doctorate in counseling. She has had some specialized training in speech, required for her professional preparation.

Informant number 25:

Sex: Male

Age: Eighteen

Education: High-school senior

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speaker was an excellent informant, responding quickly and conversationally.

Informant number 26:

Sex: Female

Age: Twelve

Education: Enrolled in the sixth grade

Occupation: None

Remarks: The speaker was interested, responsive, and patient. She offered several comments pertaining to the speech of the teenagers in the Denton area.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books

- Bates, Ed F., <u>History and Reminiscences of Denton County</u>, Denton, McNitzky Co., 1918.
- Bloomfield, Leonard, Language, New York, Henry Holt & Co., 1933.
- Kenyon, John S., <u>American Pronunciation</u>, 10th ed., Ann Arbor, George Wahr, 1950.
- Krapp, George Philip, <u>The English Language in America</u>, New York, The Century Co., 1925.
- Krapp, George Philip, <u>The Pronunciation of Standard English</u> <u>in America</u>, New York, Oxford University Press, 1919.
- Robertson, Stuart, <u>The Development of Modern English</u>, 2nd ed., revised by Frederic G. Cassidy, Englewood Cliffs, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1954.
- Stanley, Oma, <u>The Speech of East Texas</u>, New York, Columbia University Press, 1937.
- Thomas, Charles K., <u>An Introduction to the Phonetics of</u> <u>American English</u>, New York, The Ronald Press Co., 1947.

Articles

_____, "American Speech Records at Columbia University," <u>American Speech</u>, V (June, 1930), 333-358.

- Barrows, Sarah T., "Watch, Water, Wash," <u>American Speech</u>, IV (July, 1929), 301-302.
- Bloomfield, Leonard, "The Stressed Vowels of American English," Language, XI (April, 1935), 108-115.
- Clifton, Ernest S., "Some / 47-/ 947 Variations in Texas," <u>American Speech</u>, XXXIV (October, 1959), 190-193.
- Dykema, Karl W., "How Fast is Standard English Changing?" <u>American Speech</u>, XXXI (May, 1956), 89-95.

- Greet, William Cabell, "A Phonographic Expedition to Williamsburg, Virginia," <u>American Speech</u>, VI (February, 1931), 161-172.
- Klipple, Carmelita, "The Speech of Spicewood, Texas," <u>American</u> <u>Speech</u>, XX (October, 1945), 187-191.
- Kurath, Hans, "American Pronunciation," <u>Society for Pure Eng-</u> <u>lish Tract No. XXX</u>, New York, Oxford University Press, 1928.
- McDavid, Raven I., "Low-Back Vowels in the South Carolina Piedmont," <u>American Speech</u>, XV (April, 1940), 144-148.
- Thomas, Charles K., "American Dictionaries and Variant Pronunciations," <u>American Speech</u>, XIV (October, 1939), 175-180.
- Thomas, Charles K., "Pronunciation in Downstate New York," <u>American Speech</u>, XVII (October, 1942), 149-157.
- Thomas, Charles K., "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," <u>American Speech</u>, XI (February, 1936), 68-77.
- Thomas, Charles K., "Pronunciation in Upstate New York," <u>American Speech</u>, XI (December, 1936), 307-313.
- Tresidder, Argus, "Notes on Virginia Speech," <u>American</u> <u>Speech</u>, XVI (April, 1941), 112-120.
- Wheatley, Katherine E., "Southern Standards," <u>American</u> <u>Speech</u>, XVI (February, 1934), 36-45.
- Wheatley, Katherine E., and Stanley, Oma, "Three Generations of East Texas Speech," <u>American Speech</u>, XXXIV (May, 1959), 83-94.

Reports

- University of Texas Bureau of Business Research, <u>An Economic</u> <u>Survey of Denton County</u>, Austin, 1949, p. 1.03.
- University of Texas Bureau of Business Research, <u>An Economic</u> <u>Survey of Denton County</u>, Austin, 1957, p. 44.

- Kenyon, J. S. and Knott, Thomas A., <u>A Pronouncing Dictionary</u> of <u>American English</u>, Springfield, G. & C. Merriam Co., 1944.
- Neilson, William A., editor, <u>Webster's New International</u> <u>Dictionary</u>, 2nd ed., Springfield, G. & C. Merriam Co., 1942.

Unpublished Materials

Elders, Roy, "A Study of the Stressed Back Vowels in the Speech of Parker County, Texas," unpublished master's thesis, Department of English, North Texas State University, Denton, Texas, 1949.