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SUMMARY

An investigation has been made of the effect of aerodynamic heating on propeller-blade temperatures. The blade temperature rise resulting from aerodynamic heating was measured and the relation between the resulting blade temperatures and the outer limit of the iced-over region was examined. It was found that the outermost station at which ice formed on a propeller blade was determined by the blade temperature rise resulting from the aerodynamic heating at that point.

INTRODUCTION

The National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics has conducted an investigation of aerodynamic heating of propeller blades to determine if a relation exists between the resulting blade temperatures and the outermost blade station on which ice will form.

Such data, it is believed, will permit a satisfactorily accurate definition of the region over which ice forms on the propeller blade and facilitate the development of dependable ice-prevention equipment for the airplane propeller.

Pilots have reported that the formation of ice may be minimized on propellers by operating the engine at maximum speed. It has been anticipated that the results of the present investigation would determine whether this method of obtaining partial ice protection depended upon the effect of centrifugal force or aerodynamic heating.

The temperature rise of an airfoil was measured by Brun (reference 1) but, owing to the velocity gradient along the propeller blade and the dissimilarity of the shape and size of blade sections along the radius, calculations of the temperature rise of a propeller from the
airfoil data are laborious and probably not dependable. The temperature gradient along the radius results in a heat flow in the same direction, the prediction of which is highly involved. An attempt was made in the present investigation to establish a comparatively simple relation between the atmospheric conditions and the velocity of the outermost propeller blade station on which ice will form. Attention is directed to the formula

\[ \Delta T = \frac{V^2}{2Jg\varepsilon p} \]  (1)

which can be developed for the region of zero velocity or stagnation pressure region from reference 1. In this equation, \( \Delta T \) is the temperature rise in \( \theta T \), \( V \) the velocity in feet per second, \( J \) the mechanical equivalent of heat, \( g \) the acceleration of gravity, and \( \varepsilon p \) the specific heat at constant pressure. When the constants are put in numerical form, equation (1) becomes

\[ \Delta T = \left( \frac{V}{109.5} \right)^2 \]  (2)

At the leading edge of an airfoil the aerodynamic heating is due solely to adiabatic compression, while rearward from this point friction heating becomes the major contributing factor. The investigation reported in reference 1 shows that the temperature rise of the airfoil rearward from the leading edge will be less than the quantity given by equation (1). It was anticipated, therefore, that since the tests of the present investigation were to be conducted on a solid aluminum blade, the measured blade temperature rise at the leading edge would be less than the calculated adiabatic rise, due not only to the flow of heat along the radius, referred to above, but also to a chordwise heat transmission.

It was acknowledged that still another factor might influence the propeller-blade temperature rise. Inasmuch as the blades are known to be subject to vibrational stresses and the absorption of the vibrational energy by internal friction produces heating, some temperature rise from this cause at model points might be observed. The internal friction heating, although probably small in metal propellers, might be considerable where plastic compositions or wood are employed.
In order to obtain data on the regions over which ice forms on propeller blades, an icing investigation was made in conjunction with the blade temperature measurement tests.

PROCEDURE

The preliminary icing tests were conducted on the Lockheed 12A airplane, which is shown during a test in figure 1. The airplane was equipped with constant-speed, hydraulic, two-blade, 8-foot 10-inch propellers. The ice tests were made with the airplane on the ground. The icing conditions were simulated by discharging very small water drops from a number of spray nozzles located in front of the rotating propeller. Satisfactory ice formations were obtained when the air temperature was between 150° and 220° F and when the relative humidity was high. With these conditions a rime type of ice was obtained, as is seen in figure 2. Data were recorded on propeller speed, outermost radius station at which ice formed, and the ambient air temperature. Observations were made on the nature of the outer end of the ice formation in order to determine whether the extent of ice in the radial direction was limited by centrifugal force or by aerodynamic heating.

The blade temperature measurements were also made on the ground but with the use of the engine propeller test stand, which is shown in figure 3. The propeller which was employed is identified as having a fixed pitch, 10-foot 6-inch diameter, and employing an R.A.F. 6 section. Thermocouples constructed from No. 40 B.&S. copper and constantin wire were used in making the temperature-rise measurements. The propeller blade on which the measurements were made is shown in figure 4(a). The thermocouple mounting at which the adiabatic temperature rise of the air was observed was located at the 60-inch radius station and is shown in figure 4(b). The junction of the thermocouple shown in figure 4(b) was suspended in air by the thermocouple wires at the open end of a small balsa-wood box. Blade-temperature-rise measurements were made at the 60-, 48-, 36-, and 24-inch stations.

The cold junctions for the thermocouples were located on a balsa-wood block which extended forward about 6 inches from the propeller hub. A view of the cold-junction block
The results of the icing tests are given in table I. The end of the ice formations at the outermost station was a smooth, clear glaze. When ice was thrown off the blade at noro centrally located radial stations, the end of the remaining formation was a rough, granular rim ice. The presence of glaze ice at the outermost end of the formation indicates that the temperature there had been raised and that at points radially beyond this point ice was probably prevented by the effect of aerodynamic heating.

Because the outer limit of the ice formation appears to have been determined by aerodynamic heating, the assumption has been made that the temperature of the outermost station on which ice formed was 320°F. In this way a comparison was possible between the blade temperature and the calculated air temperature based on the adiabatic temperature rise as given by equation (2). It is noted that the difference between the blade temperature and the calculated temperature was between 80 and 130°F during icing tests. These results indicate that the outermost point at which ice will form on a propeller blade is determined by the aerodynamic heating, but that the point cannot be determined precisely on a basis of the adiabatic equation.

The results from the temperature rise measurements are shown in table II. In figure 6 the blade temperature rise of the various points along the blade is plotted against propeller speed. The temperature rise as calculated from equation (2) and the measured air temperature rise at the 60-inch blade station were also plotted in figure 6.

The data indicate that the aerodynamic heating re-
results in a temperature rise which is about $10^\circ F$ less than the rise given by

$$\Delta T = \frac{V^2}{2Jgc_p}$$

The similarity between the results obtained in the icing tests and in the temperature measurements is noted, and it is concluded that the observed effects will be manifest on other propellers when operated in flight. Inasmuch as internal friction apparently did not contribute in a measurable degree to the blade heating, it has been concluded that the temperature of blades which are made from steel or other metals having low internal friction losses and high thermal conductivity will have about the same temperature rise as that which was observed on the aluminum blade.

The empirical equation

$$V = \sqrt{2Jgc_p (42 - T)}$$

(3)

expresses the relation between the maximum velocity in feet per second of a propeller-blade element on which ice will form and the temperature in $^\circ F$ of the ambient air. The blade-element velocity to be used in equation (3) is the vector sum of the propeller element rotational speed and the airplane air speed.

It is believed that the results of the present investigation will be useful in designing ice-protection equipment more accurately than is now possible. It will be noted, furthermore, that the numerous reports of pilots having removed or prevented ice on the propeller blade by increasing the propeller speed have been given a fundamental basis.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The temperature rise of airplane-propeller blades resulting from aerodynamic heating has a direct effect upon the extent to which ice will form on the blade.

2. The outermost blade element at which ice will form
at an air temperature $T\ (\text{OF})$ will have a velocity of

$$V = \sqrt{2\text{g}_{\text{cp}} (42 - T)} \text{ fps}$$

Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Langley Field, Va., July 29, 1940.
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TABLE I. - OBSERVATIONS OF THE FORMATION OF ICE ON PROPELLER BLADES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air temperature ($^\circ$F)</th>
<th>Propeller speed (rpm)</th>
<th>Outermost blade station at which ice formed (in.)</th>
<th>Velocity of blade radius station of (3) (fps)</th>
<th>Calculated adiabatic temperature rise, $\Delta T$ ($^\circ$F)</th>
<th>$T_A$ (a) ($^\circ$F)</th>
<th>$T_A - 32$ (b) ($^\circ$F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) $T_A$ is the observed air temperature + $\Delta T$, and represents the calculated air temperature at the leading edge of the propeller blade.

(b) Difference between calculated air temperature at the leading edge and the freezing point of water.
TABLE II.—DATA RELATED TO THE TEMPERATURE RISE OF PROPELLER BLADES DUE TO AERODYNAMIC HEATING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Propeller rotation ( N ) (rpm)</th>
<th>Velocity of 60-inch radius blade at ( V ) (fps)</th>
<th>Calculated adiabatic temperature rise of air at 60-inch radius blade station ( \Delta T_a ) (°F)</th>
<th>Observed temperature rise of air due to adiabatic heating (°F)</th>
<th>Observed temperature rise of blade at station (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>( R = 60 ) in.</td>
<td>( R = 48 ) in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1044</td>
<td>547</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1208</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1423</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1604</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>50.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1785</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1069</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1227</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1422</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1640</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1804</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1966</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Two views of Lockheed 12A airplane. Ice was formed on the rotating propellers of the airplane while on the ground.
Figure 2. - Two views of ice formation during ground run. The rime type ice may be seen on the propeller spinner.
Figure 3.— Propeller test stand. (The temperature of the blade and air at the blade leading edge were measured with this equipment.)
Figure 4a.— Propeller blade on which blade temperatures were measured. The light strips are insulating and binding material for the thermocouple wires.

Figure 4b.— The thermocouple mounting at the 60-inch radius station by which the air temperature rise was measured. The box-like mounting was made of balsa.
Figure 5a. - The hub of the propeller on which the temperatures were measured, showing the thermocouple cold junctions at the center.
Figure 5b.- The thermocouple commutator rings and brush assembly. Two brushes were used on each collector ring.
Figure 6.- The relation of propeller-blade temperature rise to propeller speed for a 10-foot 6-inch aluminum R.A.F. 6 section propeller.
Figure 7.- The relation between propeller-blade temperature rise and blade radius station for several rotation speeds. The adiabatic temperature rise of the air is also shown for 2,000 rpm.