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For a better understanding of these tables, the methods by which the cases are computed are discussed first. The importance of the buckling modulus is pointed out.

I. EXPLANATION OF THE METHODS

Aircraft design methods differ from other structural engineering methods in the selection of slender beams. Since the former came into being at a time when structural engineering had already reached certain standards, it is not surprising that the stress analysis in aircraft design was largely influenced by the other. But it is surprising that Müller-Breslau, for instance, annexed the column tests in his work "Graphical Statics of Building Construction" on the subject of aircraft design. The slender spars of braced wings, the slender members of steel-tube bodies and of the ribs no longer permit the calculation of members in rough approximation first for bending and then for buckling, but the analysis had to correspond to actual loading conditions, that is, combined bending and column stress. The importance attached to this subject in past years is readily seen from a glance at the old Z.F.M. (Zeitschrift für Flugtechnik und Motorluftschifffahrt). For example, in 1918 and 1919 these problems were treated by Gümbel, Pröll, Trefftz, Müller-Breslau, Reissner, Ratzersdorfer, Arnstein, Koenig, etc. But the authors really dealt merely with the problem shown in the present report below no. 4 with corresponding applications.

It is true that in its principal beams modern aircraft design again tends toward short columns, but even so, column-effect problems remain to be solved.

*"Tafel einiger Knickbiegefälle." Luftfahrtforschung, vol. 18, nos. 2 and 3, March 29, 1941, pp. 86-94.
Column effect and its counterpart, bending tension, deals with the case of concurrent longitudinal and transverse forces acting on a member. Hütte and various other manuals contain tables which give the transverse forces, moments, deflections, and various other data for bending forces on the straight member with constant bending stiffness. These forces are supplemented by the effect of the moment $F_y$, where $P$ denotes the longitudinal force and $y$ the deflection at any one point. The relation between curvature radius $\rho$ and bending forces reads:

\[ \frac{1}{\rho} = -\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -\frac{M_x}{EJ} \]

where $M_x$ is the moment of all bending forces dependent upon beam ordinate $x$, $EJ$ the bending stiffness, and $y$ the deflection of the neutral axis. (See fig. 1.) Dividing moment $M_x$ into a portion ($M_x$) due solely to the transverse forces and a portion affected only by $P$, affords $M_x = M_x + F_y$, which, posted in the foregoing relation, gives

\[ k^2 y'' + y = -\frac{M_x}{F} \]

with $k^2 = \frac{EJ}{F}$. (The investigations apply to constant bending stiffness $EJ$ and constant longitudinal force $F$. ) The simplest way of solving the differential equation is as follows: The homogeneous differential equation is expressed as $y = C e^{ax}$; the values $C$ are coefficients to be defined later and must satisfy the boundary conditions of the problem, while $a$ establishes the connection between the formula and the original equation (1). The complete differential equation is solved either by power formulas, the coefficients of which are determined by comparison, or else by others, for instance, trigonometrical ones, depending upon the character of the right side of equation (1). The majority of problems shown in the tables were solved by this method. The right side of equation (1) shows that the law of superposition holds for all loads applied transverse to the neutral axis. Each loading condition is to be computed for applied longitudinal force $P$. 
The law of superposition can be made clever use of in the calculation of a beam with constant bending stiffness and longitudinal force, the beam being transversely loaded by several, arbitrarily many, concentrated loads. This merely requires expressions for deflections and moments of a beam transversely loaded by one concentrated load, as found under no. 13.

Under a concentrated load \( Q_0 \), the moment and the deflection to the left of the concentrated load is

\[
M_x = Q_0 \frac{k \sin \alpha}{\sin \alpha} \sin \varphi
\]

\[
y = \frac{Q_0}{P} \left( k \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \alpha} \sin \varphi - \frac{d}{l} x \right)
\]

and to the right

\[
M_u = Q_0 \frac{k \sin \alpha}{\sin \alpha} \sin \psi
\]

\[
v = \frac{Q_0}{P} \left( k \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \alpha} \sin \psi - \frac{d}{l} u \right)
\]

Therefore, if a beam is under transverse load \( Q_3, Q_4, \) and \( Q_5 \) in addition to the longitudinal force \( P \) (fig. 2), the moment at point \( x_0 (\varphi_0 = \frac{x_0}{k}) \) is:

\[
M_{x_0} = \frac{k \sin \varphi_0}{\sin \alpha} (Q_3 \sin \eta_3 + Q_4 \sin \eta_4 + Q_5 \sin \eta_5)
\]

and the deflection

\[
y_0 = \frac{k \sin \varphi_0}{P \sin \alpha} (Q_3 \sin \eta_3 + Q_4 \sin \eta_4 + Q_5 \sin \eta_5)
\]

\[\quad - \frac{x_0}{P l} (Q_3 d_3 + Q_4 d_4 + Q_5 d_5)
\]

As a result of transverse loads \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) with \( P \) (fig 2), the moment at point \( u_0 = l - x_0 (\psi_0 = \frac{u_0}{k}) \) is
It is possible to establish the deflection

\[ \delta = y_0 + v_0 \]

This problem is naturally much more difficult if longitudinal forces are applied at the same points as the transverse forces. In this case the use of an expanded Clapeyron equation such as cited by Müller-Breslau in his "Graphical Statics," vol. 2, 2, p. 643, is recommended. Examples for such problems may be found in the Z.F.M., 1920, p. 283.

A second method for column stress calculation is given because the final results appear in substantially different form. Instead of trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, a quotient appears which gives the effect of \( P \) in the denominator. Bleich, for example, computes the central moment of a beam loaded, as in case no. 3, according to formula

\[ M_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{8} l^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1.032}{F_p/F - 1} \right) = \frac{1}{8} \frac{F_p/F + 0.032}{F_p/F - 1} \]

But no advantage accrues from this method except for symmetric load cases.
A third method is based upon the application of the "passive energy" or the principle of virtual speed (Föppl, "Drang and Zwang," vol. I, p. 61). At first sight the idea seems attractive, since the energy of the longitudinal forces \( X \) the deflection can be discounted in first approximation. But the application of the method involves a great number of difficulties.

According to this principle the deflection of a beam follows from the relation

\[
1 \ \delta_{ik} = \int \frac{M_i M_k}{E J} \, dx
\]

The method is best explained by the example illustrated in figure 3.

The work performed in the beam is computed after the actual load \( Q \) and \( P \) is applied on the beam deflected under load 1. It affords

\[
1 \ \delta = \int (\frac{Q x + P y}{E J}) (l x) \, dx
\]

or

\[
\delta = \int_{0}^{l} (\frac{Q x}{E J}) (x) \, dx + \int_{0}^{l} (\frac{P y}{E J}) (x) \, dx
\]

The first integral is the same as that obtained from computing the beam deflection under transverse load \( Q \); let us call it \( \delta_0 \). The second integral is a function of the looked-for deflection \( \delta \).

Herewith the relation can be transformed into

\[
\delta \left( 1 - \int_{0}^{l} \frac{P y x}{E J} \, dx \right) = \delta_0
\]  

(2)

The expression
can be found by trial; \( y \) is expressed in a formula

\[
\frac{\dot{y}}{\delta} = \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{2l} \right)
\]

which satisfies among others the boundary conditions for deflection at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = l \) as well as for the tangent at \( x = l \).

For constant \( P \) and \( EJ \) it affords

\[
\frac{P}{EJ} \int_0^l x \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{2l} \right) \, dx = \frac{P}{EJ} \frac{4l^2}{\pi^2} = 4 \frac{P}{PE}; \quad PE = \frac{EJ \pi^2}{l^2}
\]

The result is a very close approximation; hence

\[
\delta \left( 1 - 4 \frac{P}{PE} \right) = \delta_0; \quad \delta = \frac{\delta_0}{1 - 4 \frac{P}{PE}}
\]

Very small values \( \delta_0 \) are accompanied by an appreciable deflection \( \delta \) if the denominator expression is likewise very small. If the denominator becomes equal to zero, it affords an expression for the stability condition of this problem, which is generally known.

It gives

\[
P_k = \frac{PE}{4} = \frac{EJ \pi^2}{4l^2}
\]

Tension bending can also be very readily computed by this method. With \( P \) indicating a tension, equation (2) reads:
Whether a column effect case according to this method, is easy or difficult to compute depends upon the success with the formula for \( \frac{\gamma}{\delta} \). The Fourier analysis can be used by analyzing the expression for the deflection without the effect of \( P \) according to Fourier.

If the central moment of a beam loaded as in case no. 3, is computed by this method, it affords first for the deflection

\[
\delta_m = \frac{5}{384} \frac{E}{J} \frac{P_e}{P} - 1
\]

and for the moment

\[
M_{\text{max}} = \frac{5}{8} \frac{P_e}{P} + 0.0281
\]

which is in good agreement with Bleich's result.

II. MODULUS OF BUCKLING UNDER COLUMN EFFECT

Occasionally it happens that comparatively short columns must be analyzed for column effect where \( \sigma = \frac{P}{F} \) is higher than the break between Tetmayer's straight line and Euler's hyperbola in the \( \sigma = f(\lambda) \) diagram. In such cases it has been found practical to replace \( E \) modulus by the buckling modulus. (See the writer's article "Column Testing," Luftfahrtforschung, vol. 17, no. 10, 1940, pp. 306-313.) To retain the \( E \)-modulus in such a case would afford much too great a safety relative to the column load according to Euler's hyperbola, while from pure buckling tests it is known that the member can take up only one load according to the Tetmayer straight line.
Under comparatively low longitudinal stresses \( \frac{P}{F} \) the E-modulus can be used even if certain parts of the section due to combined bending and longitudinal stress assume values within Tetmayer's range. In such cases the effect is the opposite to that of pure crippling of short columns, that is, the bending factor becomes effective. The bending factor takes into consideration the experience with bending tests where it was found that the measured \( M_B \) was greater than computed according to the expression \( \sigma_B W \), where \( \sigma_B \) is the material strength from tensile tests.

Translation by J. Vanier, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Problem graph identification</th>
<th>Deflection ( \frac{d^2 y}{d x^2} )</th>
<th>Tension bending ( P \cdot y = B \cdot \sin \varphi - \frac{M_y}{I} )</th>
<th>Compression bending ( P \cdot y = B \cdot \cos \varphi - \frac{M_y}{I} )</th>
<th>Moments</th>
<th>Constants ( A ) and ( B )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Graph 1" /></td>
<td>( \varphi = \frac{x}{k}; \alpha = \frac{1}{k}; k = \sqrt{\frac{EI}{P}} )</td>
<td>( y'' = -\frac{M_y}{P} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} \right) )</td>
<td>( y'' = \frac{M_y}{P} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} - 1 \right) )</td>
<td>( M_y = M_y \left( \frac{1}{I} \right) ) ( + P \cdot y )</td>
<td>( B = M_y \left( \frac{1}{I} \right) ) ( + \frac{M_y}{\sin \alpha} ) ( \text{Compression} ) ( \text{Tension} ) ( \alpha = 180^\circ )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 2   | ![Graph 2](image2)         | \( P \cdot y = B \cdot \sin \varphi - Q \cdot z \) | \( y'' = -\frac{M_y}{P} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} \right) \) | \( y'' = \frac{M_y}{P} \left( \frac{\alpha}{\sin \alpha} - 1 \right) \) | \( M_y = M_z \left( \frac{1}{I} \right) \) \( - Q \cdot z \) | \( B = \frac{Q}{\cos \alpha} \)
| 3   | ![Graph 3](image3)         | \( P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - \frac{k}{2} (l - 2x) \) | \( y'' = -A \sin \varphi + B \cos \varphi - \frac{k}{2} (l - 2x) \) | \( y'' = -A \cos \varphi - B \sin \varphi \) \( + gk^2 \) | \( M_y = \frac{k}{2} (l \cdot x - z^2) + P \cdot y \) | \( A = \frac{B}{\cos \alpha} \)
| 4   | ![Graph 4](image4)         | \( P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi \) | \( y'' = -A \sin \varphi + B \cos \varphi \) | \( y'' = -A \cos \varphi - B \sin \varphi \) \( + gk^2 \) | \( M_y = \frac{k}{2} (l \cdot x - z^2) + M_x + (M_y - M_z) \left( \frac{x}{l} \right) \) \( + P \cdot y \) | \( B = gk^2 \tan \alpha \)
| 5   | ![Graph 5](image5)         | \( P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi \) | \( y'' = -A \sin \varphi + B \cos \varphi \) | \( y'' = -A \cos \varphi - B \sin \varphi \) \( + gk^2 \) | \( M_y = \frac{k}{2} (l \cdot x - z^2) - M_y \left( \frac{1}{l} \right) \) \( + P \cdot y \) | \( A = gk^2 \tan \alpha \)}
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Problem graph identification</th>
<th>Deflection</th>
<th>Moments</th>
<th>Constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>$P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - \frac{g}{2}(l - x - z^2) + M_x + g \cdot k^3$</td>
<td>$M_x = \frac{g}{2}(l - x - z^2) - M_x + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A = + g \cdot k^3 - M_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi - \frac{g \cdot k^3}{2}(l - 2 \cdot x)$</td>
<td>$M_x = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - g \cdot k^3$</td>
<td>$B = (g \cdot k^3 - M_x) \cdot \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi - \frac{g \cdot k^3}{2}(l - 2 \cdot x) + (M_x - M_x) \cdot \frac{k}{l}$</td>
<td>$M_x = \frac{k}{2}(l - x - z^2) - M_x + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A = + g \cdot k^3 - M_x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi - \frac{g \cdot k^3}{l}(l - 2 \cdot x) + (M_x - M_x) \cdot \frac{k}{l}$</td>
<td>$M_x = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - g \cdot k^3$</td>
<td>$B = (M_x - M_x) \cdot \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Slight curved member

| ![Image](image8.png) | $P \cdot y = P \cdot \frac{P \cdot f}{P \cdot \frac{\pi}{l}} \cdot \sin \pi \frac{x}{l} + A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi$ | $M_x = P \cdot u + P \cdot y$ | $A = 0; \ B = 0$ |
| ![Image](image8.png) | $P \cdot k \cdot y' = P \cdot \frac{P \cdot f}{P \cdot \frac{\pi}{l}} \cdot \cos \pi \frac{x}{l} - A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi$ | $M_x = P \cdot \frac{P \cdot f}{P \cdot \frac{\pi}{l}} \cdot \sin \pi \frac{x}{l} + A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi$ | $A = 2 \cdot P \cdot f \cdot k^3$ |

Slight curved member

| ![Image](image9.png) | $P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - P \cdot \xi (l - x - z^2) - 2 \cdot P \cdot k \cdot \xi$ | $M_x = P \cdot u + P \cdot y$ | $A = 2 \cdot P \cdot f \cdot k^3$ |
| ![Image](image9.png) | $P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi - P \cdot \xi (l - 2 \cdot x)$ | $M_x = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - 2 \cdot P \cdot k \cdot \xi$ | $B = 2 \cdot P \cdot f \cdot k^3 \cdot \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$ |

Curvature equation for $P = 0; u = \xi \cdot (l - x - z^2) + g \cdot \frac{k}{l}$

<p>| <img src="image9.png" alt="Image" /> | $P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - P \cdot \xi (l - x - z^2) - 2 \cdot P \cdot k \cdot \xi$ | $M_x = P \cdot u + P \cdot y$ | $A = 2 \cdot P \cdot f \cdot k^3$ | $B = 2 \cdot P \cdot f \cdot k^3 \cdot \tan \frac{\alpha}{2}$ | $\alpha = 180^\circ$ | $\alpha = 180^\circ$ | $\alpha = 360^\circ$ | $\alpha = 360^\circ$ |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr.</th>
<th>Problem graph identification</th>
<th>Deflection $\frac{dy}{dx}$ and $\frac{d^2y}{dx^2}$</th>
<th>Moments $M_s$</th>
<th>Constants $A$ and $B$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Slight curved member as $q$</td>
<td>$P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z)$</td>
<td>$M_s = \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z) + P \cdot \xi (l - x - z^2) + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A = +k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) - M_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi$</td>
<td>$M_s = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$B = k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k^2 \cdot y'' = -A \cdot \cos \varphi - B \cdot \sin \varphi + k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$M_{\text{max}} = k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) \cdot \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right)$ in center</td>
<td>$\alpha = 180^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Slight curved member as $q$</td>
<td>$P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z)$</td>
<td>$M_s = \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z) + P \cdot \xi (l - x - z^2) - M_s \left(1 - \frac{x}{l}\right) + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A = +k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) + M_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot \xi (l - x - z) + M_s \left(1 - \frac{x}{l}\right) - k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$M_s = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$B = k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi$</td>
<td>$M_{\text{max}} = k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) \cdot \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right)$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 257^\circ 30'$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k^2 \cdot y'' = -A \cdot \cos \varphi - B \cdot \sin \varphi + k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$M_s = \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z) + P \cdot \xi (l - x - z) + M_s \left(1 - \frac{x}{l}\right) + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A = +k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) - M_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha}{2} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\sin \frac{\alpha}{2}} - 1\right)$</td>
<td>$M_s = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$B = [k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) - M_s] \times \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Slight curved member as $q$</td>
<td>$P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z)$</td>
<td>$M_s = \frac{g}{2} (l - x - z) + P \cdot \xi (l - x - z) + M_s + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A = +k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) - M_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \cdot \sin \varphi + B \cdot \cos \varphi$</td>
<td>$M_s = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$B = [k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) - M_s]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k^2 \cdot y'' = -A \cdot \cos \varphi - B \cdot \sin \varphi + k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi)$</td>
<td>$M_{\text{max}} = k^2 (g + 2 P \cdot \xi) \cdot \left(\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right)$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 360^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot y = A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi - Q \frac{d^2}{l} \cdot z - M_1 \frac{1 - \xi}{l} - M_2 \frac{1 - \xi}{l}$</td>
<td>$M_s = Q \frac{d}{l} \cdot u + M_1 \frac{1 - u}{l} + P \cdot y$</td>
<td>$A_1 = M_1; A_2 = M_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A_1 \cdot \sin \varphi + B_1 \cdot \cos \varphi - Q \frac{d}{l} \cdot k + M_1 \frac{k}{l} - M_2 \frac{k}{l}$</td>
<td>$M_s = A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi$</td>
<td>$B_1 = Q \frac{\sin \xi \cdot \sin \eta}{\sin \alpha} \operatorname{tg} \frac{\alpha}{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(parabola)</td>
<td>$P \cdot k^2 \cdot y'' = -A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi - B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi$</td>
<td>$M_{\text{max}} = \frac{Q}{l} \cdot k \cdot \sin \xi \cdot \sin \eta + M_1 \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \alpha} + M_2 \frac{\sin \xi}{\sin \alpha}$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 180^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nr.</td>
<td>Problem graph identification</td>
<td>Deflection ( y ) (P time value)</td>
<td>Deflection ( \frac{d^2 y}{d x^2} ) (P-k) ( n )</td>
<td>Moments ( M_r ) ( M_s = -E \cdot J \frac{d^2 y}{d x^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>( \phi = \frac{x}{k}; \psi = \frac{u}{k}; \zeta = \frac{b}{k}; \eta ) = ( \frac{d}{k} )</td>
<td>( P \cdot y = A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi - Q \cdot \frac{d}{l} \cdot x + M_1 \left(1 - \frac{x}{l}\right) )</td>
<td>( P \cdot k \frac{d^2 y}{d x^2} = -A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi )</td>
<td>( M_x = Q \cdot \frac{d}{l} \cdot x - M_1 \left(1 - \frac{x}{l}\right) + P \cdot y; \quad M_y = Q \cdot \frac{b}{l} \cdot u - M_1 \frac{u}{l} + P \cdot v )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( \phi = \frac{x}{k}; \psi = \frac{u}{k}; \zeta = \frac{b}{k}; \eta ) = ( \frac{d}{k} )</td>
<td>( y ) - and ( v ) - same as under 13.</td>
<td>( P \cdot k \frac{d^2 y}{d x^2} = -A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi - B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi )</td>
<td>( M_x = A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi ); ( M_y = A_2 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_2 \cdot \sin \varphi )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>( \phi = \frac{x}{k}; \psi = \frac{u}{k}; \zeta = \frac{b}{k}; \eta ) = ( \frac{d}{k} )</td>
<td>( y ) - and ( v ) - same as under 13.</td>
<td>( P \cdot k \frac{d^2 y}{d x^2} = -A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi - B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi )</td>
<td>( M_x = A_1 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_1 \cdot \sin \varphi ); ( M_y = A_2 \cdot \cos \varphi + B_2 \cdot \sin \varphi )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moment for $P = 0$

$\alpha_1 = \frac{a_1}{k}$; $\alpha_2 = \frac{a_2}{k}$; $\alpha_3 = \frac{a_3}{k}$

---

**Problem 17**

**Deflection**

- $y = y_1$
- $y = y_2$
- $y = y_3$

**Moments**

- $M_1 = M_{11} + P \cdot y_1$
- $M_2 = M_{22} + P \cdot y_2$
- $M_3 = M_{33} + P \cdot y_3$

**Special Moments**

- $M_1 = \frac{M_{11}}{P}$
- $M_2 = \frac{M_{22}}{P}$
- $M_3 = \frac{M_{33}}{P}$

**Solution of equations**

- $M_1 = Q_1 \cdot \sin a_1 \cdot \sin (a_2 + a_2)$
- $M_2 = Q_2 \cdot \sin (a_3 + a_3)$
- $M_3 = Q_3 \cdot \sin (a_4 + a_4)$

---

**Problem 18**

**Deflection**

- $y = y_1$
- $y = y_2$
- $y = y_3$

**Moments**

- $M_1 = M_{11} + P \cdot y_1$
- $M_2 = M_{22} + P \cdot y_2$
- $M_3 = M_{33} + P \cdot y_3$

**Special Moments**

- $M_1 = \frac{M_{11}}{P}$
- $M_2 = \frac{M_{22}}{P}$
- $M_3 = \frac{M_{33}}{P}$

**Solution of equations**

- $M_1 = Q_1 \cdot \sin a_1 \cdot \sin (a_2 + a_2)$
- $M_2 = Q_2 \cdot \sin (a_3 + a_3)$
- $M_3 = Q_3 \cdot \sin (a_4 + a_4)$

---

**Problem 19**

**Deflection**

- $y = y_1$
- $y = y_2$
- $y = y_3$

**Moments**

- $M_1 = M_{11} + P \cdot y_1$
- $M_2 = M_{22} + P \cdot y_2$
- $M_3 = M_{33} + P \cdot y_3$

**Solution of equations**

- $M_1 = Q_1 \cdot \sin a_1 \cdot \sin (a_2 + a_2)$
- $M_2 = Q_2 \cdot \sin (a_3 + a_3)$
- $M_3 = Q_3 \cdot \sin (a_4 + a_4)$

---

**Constants**

$A = \pm pk^2$

$B = \pm pk^2 \cdot \sin \alpha\cdot -\cos \alpha$

$C = 0$

$D = \pm pk^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\sin \alpha}$

---

**Member buckles under**

$\nu' = 1 - \frac{a}{16 \alpha}$

$\nu'' = \frac{a}{\sin \alpha} - 1$
\[ P \cdot y = A \cdot \cos \varphi + B \cdot \sin \varphi - Q \cdot y + P \cdot x \cdot y' \]
\[ P \cdot k \cdot y' = -A \sin \varphi + B \cos \varphi - Q \cdot k + P \cdot k \cdot y' \]
\[ P \cdot k^2 \cdot y'' = -A \cos \varphi - B \sin \varphi \]

Intermediate values in range 1—2 to be treated as under Nr. 1.

Left side: range 1

\[ P \cdot y = B_1 \sin \varphi - \frac{M \cdot z}{l} \cdot x \cdot P \cdot u = B_1 \sin \varphi - \frac{M \cdot u}{l} \cdot u \]
\[ P \cdot k \cdot y' = B_1 \cos \varphi - \frac{M \cdot k}{l} \cdot k \cdot P \cdot k \cdot y' = B_1 \cos \varphi - \frac{M \cdot k}{l} \cdot k \]
\[ P \cdot k^2 \cdot y'' = -B_2 \sin \varphi \]

Range \( \delta \)

\[ M_1 = \frac{M \cdot \cos \eta \cdot \sin \theta}{\sin \alpha} \]

\[ M_2 = \frac{\cos \eta \cdot \sin \theta}{\sin \alpha} \]

The moment at B is:

\[ M_4 = M_1 \cdot \frac{\cos \eta \cdot \sin \theta}{\sin \alpha} \]

\[ M_5 = \frac{\cos \eta \cdot \sin \theta}{\sin \alpha} \]

\[ \alpha = 180^\circ \]

\[ A = M_6 \]

\[ B = M_6 \cdot \tan \zeta + \frac{Q \cdot k}{\cos \zeta} \]

\[ A = B_1 \]

\[ B = B_1 \cdot \cos \frac{\eta}{\sin \alpha} \]

\[ \alpha = 180^\circ \]
Figure 1. - Beam on two supports under longitudinal load P and transverse forces g.

Figure 2. - Beam on two supports under load P and concentrated loads Q₁ - Q₅.

Figure 3. - Built-in beam under load P and Q.