FIRST PRINCIPLES CALCULATIONS FOR LITHIATED MANGANESE OXIDES

by

R. Prasad, R. Benedek, and M. M. Thackeray

*Chemical Technology Division,
Argonne National Laboratory

and

J. M. Wills

Theoretical Division,
Los Alamos National Laboratory,
Los Alamos, New Mexico

and

L. H. Yang

Condensed Matter Physics Division,
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, CA

RECEIVED
SEP 28 1999
OSTI

The submitted manuscript has been created by the University of Chicago as Operator of Argonne National Laboratory ("Argonne") under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government retains for itself, and others acting on its behalf, a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in said article to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, by or on behalf of the Government.

November 1998

To be published in the proceedings of the Materials Research Society Meeting held November 30 - December 4, 1998, Solid State Ionics Session.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

FIRST PRINCIPLES CALCULATIONS FOR LITHIATED MANGANESE OXIDES

by

R. Prasad*, R. Benedek*, M. M. Thackeray*, J. M. Wills**, and L. H. Yang***

ABSTRACT

First principles calculations within the local-spin-density-functional theory (LSDFF) framework are presented of densities of electronic states for MnO, LiMnO₂ in the monoclinic and orthorhombic structures, cubic LiMn₂O₄ spinel and λ -MnO₂ (delithiated spinel), all in antiferromagnetic spin configurations. The changes in energy spectra as the Mn oxidation state varies between 2+ and 4+ are illustrated. Preliminary calculations for Co-doped LiMnO₂ are presented, and the destabilization of a monoclinic relative to a rhombohedral structure is discussed.

INTRODUCTION

First principles methods, particularly those based on local-density-functional theory (LDFT), are becoming a valuable tool for elucidating the structure and electrochemical properties of candidate cathode materials for Li insertion batteries. LDFT total energy calculations in conjunction with cluster expansions have recently been employed [1,2] to investigate phase stability and Li ordering in the layered oxide Li_xCoO₂, currently used in commercial Li-ion batteries. Some of the present authors have recently performed LDFT calculations to investigate the structure of the two monoclinic phases of the vanadium gamma bronze, Li_{1+x}V₃O₈ [3], a material also under consideration as a possible cathode material. A

* Chemical Technology Division, Argonne National Laboratory

** Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico

*** Condensed-Matter Physics Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

great deal of experimental attention is currently being devoted to lithiated Mn oxides, owing to their practical advantages compared to the Co-based system. Improved theoretical understanding would benefit this effort.

A complication for Mn oxides is the strongly magnetic character of Mn ions. Although density functional theory calculations show that spin polarization has a small effect for Li_xCoO_2 (total energy reduction of order 0.01 eV/formula unit [1] relative to non-spin polarized calculations), which is most likely also the case for the vanadium γ -bronze [3], calculations for monoclinic LiMnO_2 [4], show an energy reduction of several tenths of an eV per formula unit in spin-polarized treatments. Spin-polarization is therefore essential to obtain the most realistic description of Mn oxides. In recent work [5], Mishra and Ceder have performed an extensive first-principles study of manganese oxides in several crystal structures at different levels of lithiation both with and without spin polarization. They find that the relative stabilities and structures of the different phases are well described in calculations based on the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [6], a formulation in which the LSDFT is augmented by gradient corrections. For all of the structures on which they made comparisons, antiferromagnetic configurations were favored over ferromagnetic configurations in the GGA-based calculations.

In this paper, densities of electronic states are presented for several standard Mn oxides in the antiferromagnetic state with Mn oxidation state between 2 and 4, which illustrate the behavior at various levels of filling of the majority spin e band. Preliminary calculations for Co-doped Mn dioxides are discussed, which are intended to elucidate the recent experimental finding [7] that such doping tends to stabilize the rhombohedral structure relative to the one with monoclinic symmetry.

METHOD

Spin-polarized (LSDFT) as well as non spin-polarized (LDFT) calculations were performed with the full potential linearized muffin-tin orbital (FP-LMTO) code developed by J. M. Wills [8]. Additional calculations were done with the plane wave pseudopotential code developed by Lin Yang [3], which is presently limited to LDFT. Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials are used in the plane wave calculations, with a cutoff energy of 70 Ry. The plane wave code is highly efficient numerically, and enables the treatment of large cells, up to at least several dozen atoms. The FP-LMTO code achieves high numerical precision in self-consistent solutions to density functional theory, but is not as readily applied to large cells as the plane-wave formulation.

RESULTS

Electronic Spectra

Most Mn oxides undergo transitions to antiferromagnetic states at Neel temperatures that are below room temperature; disordered local moments with short range antiferromagnetic correlations exist above T_N . Figure 1 shows densities of electronic states calculated with the FP-LMTO method for antiferromagnetic MnO (rocksalt structure), LiMnO_2 in the orthorhombic and monoclinic structures, LiMn_2O_4 in the (cubic) spinel structure and delithiated spinel (Mn_2O_4). The calculations employ experimentally determined lattice constants and internal atomic coordinates. A small unit cell (two formula unit) approximant to the experimentally observed antiferromagnetic spin structure [9] is used for orthorhombic LiMnO_2 . In the case of monoclinic LiMnO_2 [10], we used the AF3 configuration identified by Singh [4] as energetically

Fig. 1. Densities of electronic states for rocksalt MnO (a) , LiMnO₂, in the monoclinic (b) and orthorhombic (c) structures, spinel (d) and delithiated spinel (e), calculated with the FP-LMTO method. Antiferromagnetic structures assumed in all cases. See text for discussion.

favorable. For spinel and delithiated spinel, we arbitrarily assigned two of the four Mn atoms per primitive unit cell as up spins and the other two as down spins. The pyrochlore- structure Mn sublattice of these systems is known to frustrate antiferromagnetic order, and results in large

magnetic unit cells for spinel-derived structures [11,12]. The high temperature cubic atomic structure [13] was adopted for LiMn_2O_4 spinel; the recently measured [12,14] atomic unit cell (tetragonal or orthorhombic) below the Verwey transition [T_W -290K] is complex. The zeroes on the abscissa axes in Fig. 1 denote Fermi levels. The lowest-lying states in each of the five systems correspond to the filled oxygen $2p$ bands. The Mn d -bands straddle the Fermi level and are therefore only partially occupied. This band ordering is at variance with Hartree-Fock calculations [15], where the filled Mn- d bands lie below the O- p bands, as a consequence of large spin-splitting (~ 25 eV). The spin splitting of the Mn d -bands calculated within LSDFT is only about 3 eV. Photoemission experiments and configuration-interaction (CI) calculations [16], which show a d -band near the Fermi edge, appear to corroborate the band ordering predicted by LSDFT.

The majority spin t_{2g} band is filled for all the systems represented in Fig. 1. The central issue for the application of these materials to Li batteries is the structural instabilities that develop as the filling of the majority spin e_g band is varied during the lithiation cycle. The majority spin e_g and t_{2g} bands are both filled in rocksalt MnO, in which Mn adopts the maximum magnetic moment $5 \mu_B$. (This system is included for comparison, but of course has no significance for batteries). The lithiated manganese dioxide systems, monoclinic and orthorhombic LiMnO_2 , correspond to a higher Mn oxidation state, 3+, and e_g is therefore only half filled. The Jahn-Teller distorted Mn-O coordination octahedra in these systems split the occupied and unoccupied e_g bands and results in a gap (a small one in the case of the orthorhombic structure, but we have not employed the full antiferromagnetic unit cell for this system [9]). If LiMn_2O_4 spinel is considered to possess an equal mixture of 3+ and 4+ Mn ions,

only half of those ions prefer a JT-distorted environment, whereas all Mn environments are equivalent in the assumed cubic structure. It is possible that LSDFT calculations for the low temperature antiferromagnetic structure [12,14], which are not presently computationally feasible because of its large unit cell, would yield a gap; however, residual disorder of the Mn charge states appears to persist in this system down to the lowest temperatures [12]. In the case of the fully delithiated spinel $\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4(\lambda\text{-MnO}_2)$, the e_g level is completely empty, and a gap is observed between it and the filled t_{2g}

Despite the energy gaps that appear in Fig. 1, these systems should not be regarded as band insulators. The observed polaronic character of electron transport indicates that electron localization effects that are beyond the scope of LSDFT are significant for Mn oxides. Nevertheless, the work of Mishra and Ceder [5] provides evidence that density functional theory can yield realistic total energies and relative stabilities of competitive Mn oxides structures.

Jahn- Teller Distortion

Technically the most difficult regime for first principles calculations is that for which both 3+ and 4+ Mn oxidation states are present, as for example in $\text{Li}_x\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_4$ ($0 < x < 1$). In such systems, a disordered arrangement of JT distorted octahedra typically occurs in conjunction with a frustrated antiferromagnetic spin configuration. In several spinel-based Systems, notably those of the Li-Mn-O ternary system, static JT distortions are observed when the mean Mn oxidation state is below about 3.5 [17]. An additional complication is the order-disorder transitions on the Li sublattice, which have been addressed theoretically in the context of Co oxides [1,2], but not Mn oxides. The treatment of all these features simultaneously, i.e., the JT distortions, the

antiferromagnetic structure, and the Li configuration at arbitrary x , pose a severe challenge to theory.

The "endpoints" with mean Mn valence very close to either 3 or 4 are relatively more tractable from the standpoint of first principles calculations than the mixed valent regime. In the case of Mn(3+), e.g. in monoclinic [4] and orthorhombic [9] LiMnO_2 , the JT-distortions are uniform throughout the crystal, and the antiferromagnetic structure is unfrustrated, which lead to a relatively small unit cell. In the case of Mn 4+, although the magnetic unit cell may be frustrated (e.g., in λ - MnO_2 [11]), JT distortions are absent, and even non-spin-polarized LDFT calculations may be adequate for some purposes. Thus, we find excellent agreement between calculated internal coordinates and experiment in non-spin-polarized pseudopotential LDFT calculations for α - Mn_2O_4 (hollandite), as well as for the electrochemical potential of an inserted Li atom; that work will be presented elsewhere.

Co doping of layered LiMnO_2

The mixed valent regime is crucial to the operation of Li batteries. A practical difficulty is the limited cycle lifetime of Mn-based systems resulting from JT-induced structural transformations [17], which has motivated the exploration of strategies to suppress the JT distortion. The recent discovery [7] that the rhombohedral (α - NaFeO_2) structure of LiMnO_2 is stabilized with respect to the (JT distorted) monoclinic form by substitution of only 10% Co suggests that JT-distortion suppression may be possible even at mean Mn valences well below 3.5.

The detailed mechanism for this suppression has yet to be established. Experience with the somewhat analogous JT system perovskite LaMnO_3 may be relevant in this context: (i) only 20% divalent doping (Sr) on the La sublattice suppresses the cooperative JT phase transition [18], and (ii) Co substituted on the Mn sublattice is divalent [19]. These results suggest that modest levels of divalent cobalt in $\text{Li}(\text{Co}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x})\text{O}_2$ might be sufficient to suppress the JT distortion.

We have begun FP-LMTO calculations on $\text{Li}(\text{Co}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x})\text{O}_2$ to elucidate the effect of Co doping. Both the monoclinic and the rhombohedral structures were considered, and the AF3 [4] anti ferromagnetic spin configuration was assumed. The dopant calculations employ one Co atom in a 16 atom cell ($x = 0.25$). Calculations for 8-atom undoped cells ($x = 0.0$) were also performed. For the undoped case, non-spin-polarized and ferromagnetic as well as antiferromagnetic configurations were investigated. These calculations (as well as those presented above for the electronic densities of states) are based on the LSDFT, and do not include the GGA [5,6]. Our calculations have thus far been restricted to the experimental atomic coordinates [10] for the monoclinic structure and the LDFT-predicted [20] atomic coordinates for rhombohedral LiMnO_2 , and lattice relaxation was not considered either in the doped or the undoped cases.

The total energy difference between the rhombohedral and monoclinic $\text{Li}(\text{Co}_x\text{Mn}_{1-x})\text{O}_2$ structures in the undoped case $\Delta E_{r-m}(x = 0) = 0.18$ eV/formula unit, consistent with the known stability of the monoclinic structure [10]. We note, however, that Mishra and Ceder [5] found the ferromagnetic rhombohedral structure to be more stable than the antiferromagnetic monoclinic structure at the LSDFT level and only at the GGA level was the latter more stable.

We expect, however, that by comparing doped and undoped cases at the same level of approximation that valid trends may be discerned.

In the case of the doped system we find $\Delta E_{r-m}(x = 0.25) = 0.20$ eV/formula unit. If we take at face value both this result and that for the undoped system, it would suggest that $\Delta E_{r-m}(x \sim 0.1) = 0.0$, consistent with the experimental observation of rhombohedral structure stabilization at 10% doping [7]. Analysis of the spin up and spin down integrated charge densities in the muffin-tins of the Mn atoms; however, show them (in both monoclinic and rhombohedral structures) to be unchanged by the Co dopant, which suggests that no charge transfer takes place and the oxidation state of Co is 3+ rather than 2+. We suspect, however, that the neglect of lattice relaxation may be giving misleading results. Since the JT environment of monoclinic LiMnO_2 is unfavorable for Co, we expect that the coordinated oxygens may relax appreciably, making it favorable for neighboring Mn to reduce the Co oxidation state to 2+, and correspondingly increase the oxidation state of Mn above 3+. This conjecture, of course, must be confirmed or refuted by explicit calculation, which is now in progress.

CONCLUSION

First principles calculations for lithiated Mn oxides are most difficult in the mixed valent regime, which is the regime of greatest practical interest. A direction that we are currently pursuing is to apply such calculations to gain an understanding of how dopants may suppress the cooperative JT phase transitions that affect cycle life of Mn-based electrodes in Li batteries.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported at ANL by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. L.Y. is supported at LLNL by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract no. W-7405-ENG-48. Most of the computational work was performed at the National Energy Research Supercomputer Center.

REFERENCES

1. A. Van der Ven, M. K. Aydinol, G. Ceder, G. Kresse, and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 2975 (1998).
2. C. Wolverton and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 606 (1998).
3. R. Benedek, M. M. Thackeray, and L. H. Yang, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 10708 (1997); Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings Vol. **496**, 115 (1998).
4. D. J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 309 (1997).
5. S. K. Mishra and U. Ceder, preprint, 1998.
6. J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh, and C. Fiolhais, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 6671 (1992).
7. A. R. Armstrong, R. Gitzendanner, A. D. Robertson, and P.O. Bruce, Chem. Commun. **17**, 1833 (1998).
8. J. M. Wills, unpublished.
9. J.E. Greedan, N. P. Raju and I. J. Davidson, J. Solid State Chem. **128**, 209 (1997).
10. R. Armstrong and P.O. Bruce, Nature **381**, 499 (1996); F. Capitaine, P. Uravereau, and C. Delmas, Solid State Ionics **89**, 197 (1996).
11. J. E. Greedan, N. P. Raju, A. S. Wills, C. Morin, J. Britten, H. Dabkowska, and S. M. Shaw, Chem. Mater. **10**, 3058 (1998).
12. A. S. Wills, N. P. Raju, and J. E. Greedan, preprint 1998.
13. A. Yamada and M. Tanaka, Materials Research Bulletin, **30**, 715 (1995).
14. J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, U. Rousse, C. Masquelier, and M. Hervieu, Phys. Rev. Lett., in press (1998).

15. W. C. Mackrodt, and E.A. Williamson, *Phil. Mag. B* **77**, 1077 (1998).
16. R. Lad and V. E. Henrich, *Phys. Rev. B* **38**, 10860 (1988).
17. M. M. Thackeray, *Progress in Solid State Chem.* **25**, 1(1997).
18. B. A. A. Ellemans, B. van Laar, K. R. van der Veer, and B. O. Loopstra, *J. Solid State Chem.* **3**, 328 (1971).
19. J.-H. Park, S.-W. Cheong, and C. T. Chen, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 11072 (1997).
20. M. K. Aydinol, A. F. Kohan, U. Ceder, K. Cho and S. Joannopoulos, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 1354 (1997).