Submitted for publication
INEL-95/00448
CONF-9506106--1

Laser-Produced Plasma Measurement of Thermal Diffusivity of Molten Metals¹

Yong W. Kim^{2,3} and Chung Sik Park³

RECEIVED

NOV 21 1995

OSTI

-
1. Paper presented at the Fourth Workshop on Subsecond Thermophysics, June 27-29, 1995, Köln, Germany.
 2. Department of Physics, Lewis Laboratory, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015 USA
 3. Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *W*

ABSTRACT

We have shown that a laser-produced plasma plume which is representative in elemental composition of the condensed phase target can be reproducibly generated if the movement of the surface due to evaporation is kept in pace with the thermal diffusion front propagating into the bulk. The resulting mass loss is then strongly controlled by the thermal diffusivity of the target matter, and this relationship has been exploited to measure the thermal diffusivity of metallic alloys. We have developed a novel RF levitator-heater as a contamination-free molten metal source to be used as a target for LPP plume generation. In order to determine the mass loss due to LPP excitation, a new high sensitivity transducer has been constructed for measurement of the resulting impulse imparted on the specimen. The impulse transducer is built onto the specimen holder within the levitation-assisted molten metal source. The LPP method has been fully exercised for measurement of the thermal diffusivity of a molten specimen relative to the value for its room temperature solid. The results for SS304 and SS316 are presented together with a critique of the results. A numerical modeling of the specimen heating in the molten metal source and the physical basis of the new method are also presented.

KEY WORDS: laser-produced plasmas; molten metals; thermal diffusivity; impulse transducer; RF heating; levitation-assisted molten metal source; SS304; SS316.

1. INTRODUCTION

When a material surface is excited by a carefully shaped high power laser pulse, a plasma plume can be reproducibly generated, which is representative in elemental composition of the condensed phase target. The movement of the target surface by evaporation remains in pace with the thermal diffusion front propagating into the bulk when such a representative laser-produced plasma (LPP) is generated. This presents two fundamentally new opportunities: a) any condensed-phase materials can be characterized for elemental composition and thermophysical and mechanical properties [1-4]; b) vapor plumes of arbitrary composition can be created from arbitrary designer-blend targets for nanoscale deposition on a substrate [3,5,6].

We have developed a rule of thumb for producing such representative plasma plumes through rigorous basic research, and implemented a novel analysis methodology and instrumentation for real-time in-situ composition determination of molten metallic alloys [7,8]. The mass loss per LPP excitation is highly correlated with the thermal diffusivity of the target matter [3]. This relationship has been exploited to measure thermal diffusivity [4]. Potentially, the strategy of measurement by laser produced plasmas can be extended to many other thermophysical and mechanical properties of any condensed matter over a wide range of temperature.

2. REPRESENTATIVE LPP PLUME PRODUCTION

A sustained interaction of elemental metallic surface with a pulsed laser at $1.06\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ with power densities in the range of 10^9 to $10^{11}\text{ W}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ can produce supercritical plasma plumes whose plasma frequency exceeds the laser frequency. The electron density is in excess of 10^{21} cm^{-3} and the temperature may rise beyond several hundred electron volts in the core at its peak [2,3,9]. The radiative transfer in the plume may be optically thick so that the conventional plasma diagnostic methods often become inadequate. The

plasma properties are determined from the second harmonic generation, measurement of ion acoustic wave frequency, plasma spectroscopy and numerical simulation [3]. Streak photography shows two distinct stages of the plasma plume development: a "fast ion" plasma with the radial expansion velocity reaching $2.5 \times 10^7 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and a plasma core which evolves more slowly. A strong shock wave develops due to the expansion of the plasma core into the remnant gaseous atmosphere of the fast ion plasma (or the preexisting background gas). The shock speed may be as high as $5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$.

Once a weakly ionized plasma is formed at the surface, direct heating of the vapor plume by the laser beam is initiated through the inverse Bremsstrahlung process. At sufficiently large laser power densities, the plasma enters into the supercritical state some time during the laser pulse, which then prevents the laser from reaching and heating the target surface directly.

Production of an LPP plume whose composition is the same as that of a multi-element target is necessary for the both types of measurements mentioned above. If the velocity of the surface movement is small compared with the thermal diffusion velocity, the evaporation takes place preferentially for those species of high evaporation rates. The result would be an LPP plume whose elemental composition is different from that of the bulk. As the surface heating rate is raised and the movement of the bulk surface is speeded up, the elemental dependence of the evaporation rate basically disappears. If the laser heating rate is further increased, the laser beam becomes eventually disconnected from the surface due to its direct coupling to the plasma as stated earlier. The plasma emission spectrum becomes dominated by continuum emissions due to the plasma processes, in contrast to the atomic contributions.

The criterion is then to choose the laser pulse power profile in such a way that the movement due to evaporation of the bulk surface is kept in pace with the thermal

diffusion front advancing into the interior of the target. The LPP plume becomes representative of the bulk in elemental composition. Such a representative plasma is always spectroscopically productive and robust.

The physics of LPP production discussed above have been established through a critical comparison of theoretical predictions by numerical simulation [2,9] with detailed laboratory measurements [3,9]. An important conclusion which can be constructed from the numerical code so verified is the rule of thumb. The rule highlights the importance of the rate of mass removal from the surface.

3. BASIS FOR THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY DETERMINATION

Given that the conditions of the rule of thumb are met, the rate of mass removal scales as the thermal diffusivity of the target matter, subject to the energy cost of evaporation and the LPP plume's ability to disperse away from the target surface so that the evaporation process can continue. This means that the thickness of surface ablation grows inversely with the heat of formation and decreases with increasing molecular weight. The scaling of the measured thickness of ablation for four different solid targets, aluminum, copper, manganese and lead, with the elemental and thermophysical properties is given by Eq. (1) [4]:

$$\theta = C D_T^\alpha M^\beta H_f^\gamma, \quad (1)$$

Here θ denotes the thickness in cm, D_T the thermal diffusivity in units of $\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$, M the molar weight, and H_f the heat of formation in $\text{J} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$. $C = 11.07 \pm 0.45$, $\alpha = 0.91 \pm 0.01$, $\beta = -\alpha$, and $\gamma = -1$.

Given the density, heat of formation and the molar weight, determination of the thermal diffusivity of a molten metal in a given thermal state consists of measuring

the ablative mass loss per laser excitation. The mass loss can be obtained by measuring the impulse on the molten metal target.

It is now possible to extend this as a general method of thermal diffusivity measurement for arbitrary materials. The specimen may be an alloy composed of many elemental species. Here, its elemental composition is determined by time- and space-resolved spectroscopy of the LPP plume while the ablative mass loss is determined by measuring the impulse imparted on the target by an LPP plume. The analysis is not restricted to metallic substances.

4. NUMERICAL MODELING OF LEVITATION-ASSISTED MOLTEN METAL SOURCE

In-situ molten metal analysis requires a new calibration protocol for two reasons: i) the interaction of a laser pulse with molten metal is distinctly different from that with a solid specimen because of the absence of energy expenditures for melting the liquid phase and the differences in transport properties and optical reflectance between the two phases; ii) the conventional analysis procedure of comparing the spectral response of a solid specimen to excitation by spark discharges with those of so-called "like" standards of solid form cannot be applied to molten metal analysis because upon melting the certified elemental composition of a standard specimen is quickly lost in time due to evaporation, contamination from crucibles or gas-phase reactions at the surfaces.

For solid specimen analysis, on the other hand, the calibration procedure had evolved over several decades through the process of multiple measurements by independent laboratories, the key ingredient being the use of certified "like" standards. It is therefore prudent to maintain a clear linkage between the LPP analysis of molten metals and the solid specimen standards. The new calibration protocol which we have developed for molten metal analysis is to capture a solidified specimen of molten metal immediately

after each LPP excitation. Such a solidified specimen is then analyzed by the conventional methods to close out the calibration loop.

We have thus developed a crucible-free molten metal source, which consists of an RF levitator-heater for momentary generation of molten metallic specimens [3,4]. The levitation-assisted molten metal source, described previously [3], is powered by a 15 kW RF power generator, operating at frequencies from 70 to 200 kHz. The RF coil has the basic levitator-heater design with three turns and a fourth turn in reverse at the top. The diameter of the levitator-heater coil is about 3.0 cm at its maximum. A metallic rod specimen is placed vertically just below the minimum-B point. The top end is heated to melting while supported by the levitation field; this prevents the molten metal from spilling over. A 5.0 cm solid rod specimen of 6 to 9 mm in diameter is first mounted on a specimen holder in order to begin the process of momentary creation of a molten metal specimen.

The heating history depends sensitively on the dimensions of the specimen as well as its thermophysical properties. Under a fixed set of operating conditions, heating of a specimen of given elemental composition is highly reproducible, provided that the placement of the specimen within the heater is strictly controlled. This reproducibility is essential to both the molten metal calibration and thermophysical property measurement. The degree to which a measurement sequence is reproduced is well demonstrated in Fig. 1, where a segment of the emission spectrum from an LPP plume off the molten metal surface is shown for five successive runs. Notice that each run starts with positioning a fresh rod specimen within the RF levitator-heater, heating it to the fluid molten state where a thin crust covering the molten top end of the specimen first breaks open, exciting the surface with a laser pulse and taking the time-resolved emission spectrum from the LPP plume. Despite the numerous steps involved in each run the overall reproducibility is excellent as demonstrated by the small

spread in the emission intensities across the entire spectrum.

The temporal history of a specimen heating in the levitation-assisted molten metal source has been modeled by means of a numerical simulation code we have developed. The result is summarized in Fig. 2 in the form of the dependence of the heating time to reach the molten state at a fixed RF power level on thermophysical properties of the specimen material. The objective here is to minimize the changes in the elemental composition of the specimen material by minimizing the heating time, and this modeling by numerical simulation provides the road map for optimal preparation of the molten specimen so as to maximize the reproducibility. Four parameters have been systematically varied: thermal conductivity, electrical resistivity, specific heat and specimen radius. The temperature dependence of each parameter is modeled according to the particular thermophysical property of steel alloys: carbon steel alloys of AISI 1002 through 1086 and stainless steel of 303, 304 and 316 series. All specimens are assumed to be 5.0 cm in length, and the reference diameter is 6.4 mm. The specimen holder plays a role as a heat sink, and this has been kept fixed in this simulation.

We note that the code prediction of the heating time has been checked against the measurement with different alloy materials. Excellent agreements have been obtained. A full detail of the modeling of the heating process in the levitation-assisted molten metal source will be presented in a separate paper [10].

5. DETERMINATION OF LPP MASS LOSS BY IMPULSE MEASUREMENT

The key step here is to determine the total mass of the laser ablated target material through measurement of the impulse imparted on the target in the process. The impulse measurement capability is built into the specimen holder by spring-loading a piezoelectric force gauge at the base [4]. The impulse from the LPP plume drives the specimen into motion, and this displacement is detected by the piezoelectric force

gauge after a characteristic time delay. For absolute determination of the impulse, the transducer is calibrated by dropping a steel bearing ball from a known distance above. The time of flight over a fixed distance interval is measured before, and after, each impact so that the coefficient of restitution can be determined and the impulse calculated. The distance of fall and the size of the ball are varied in order to change the impulse. A calibration curve is generated by measuring the maximum value of the transducer output.

The output of the impulse transducer from a molten metal specimen contains a large background signal at the frequency of the RF generator. We have developed a robust signal recovery method by which this background signal is deleted self consistently from the total transducer output, thus obtaining the true impulse data. The method has been described elsewhere [4]. A sequence of three successive LPP runs on molten SS304 specimens is shown in Fig. 2. Notice the remarkable regularity with which the impulse on the molten metal surface has been transmitted to the transducer, which is located at the bottom of the specimen holder. A measure of the impulse is defined by the first maximum of the transducer output following the laser pulse excitation.

We have thus far accomplished $\pm 2\%$ uncertainty in maximum impulse measurement for solid specimens and $\pm 4\%$ for molten metal specimens. By means of the calibration curves, the result can be converted into the impulse at the surface. The total mass loss per laser pulse can be found from the measured impulse once the elemental composition of the LPP plume and their mean velocity normal to the target surface are determined. From Ref. 4, the impulse on the target specimen due to LPP ablation may be written out as follows:

$$\text{Impulse} = \sum_{i=\text{species}} \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_0^{\infty} dv_{\perp} M_i(t) f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t) A v_{\perp}^2 \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=\text{species}} \frac{1}{2} A k_B \int_0^\infty dt n_i(t) T_i(t) \quad (3)$$

Here M_i and $f_i(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}, t)$ denote the particle velocity distribution function and the mass of the i th species, respectively. The component of the particle velocity normal to the target surface is indicated by $v_\perp$. A is the area of the surface exposed to the laser excitation and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. $n_i(t)$ denotes the number density and $T_i(t)$ the temperature of the i th species in the LPP plume at time t . The second step (Eq. (3)) follows from the equipartition theorem. Notice that the collision time in the plume is of the order of one femtosecond, while the relevant dynamics in the system develop in the nanosecond time regime, and therefore the plasma remains in thermal equilibrium throughout its evolution.

The composition is obtained from the time resolved spectroscopy of the LPP plume by the method we have already developed. The mean velocity of the escaping plume species may be measured from the Doppler shifts of the elemental emission lines at the peak of the plasma temperature and density. Or, this could be a matter of obtaining the temperature of the LPP plume as a function of time, as Eq. (3) indicates. The reduction of the thermal diffusivity of the molten metal then follows from Eq. (1).

6. DETERMINATION OF RELATIVE THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY

Alternatively, the thermal diffusivity of a molten metal may be determined relative to that of the same specimen in its solid phase. This has considerable utility given the complexity associated with defining the standard materials over the extremely wide range of metal alloys that are manufactured industrywide. The levitation-assisted molten metal source facilitates the change of phase and temperature with a high degree of reproducibility. According to the basic physics contained in Eq. (3), we simply need to compare the impulses of the specimen in two different phases. Table I summarizes two

sets of measurements, one with SS304 specimens and another with SS316 specimens.

The exact surface temperature to which the molten metal was heated remains somewhat uncertain. No attempt has been made to independently measure the surface temperature to date. On the basis of the heating rate, we estimate that it was approximately 50 K above the melting point. The melting point is approximately known to be in the range of 1648 to 1723 K. In view of the fact that we operate slightly above the melting point, we put the temperature of the molten metal to be at 1720 ± 50 K.

By taking the ratio of the LPP impulses, we have found that the thermal diffusivity of the molten SS304 specimen relative to that of the same specimen in solid form at room temperature is $0.38 \pm 8\%$. We also obtain a corresponding value for SS316 of $0.33 \pm 6\%$. The differences in the absolute as well as the relative thermal diffusivity for these two stainless alloy specimens are greater than the margins of experimental uncertainties, and are therefore of a significant indicator of their different thermophysical properties.

We have found no other measurements in the literature that can be directly compared with the present results. Some inferences, however, may be made by piecing together a number of thermophysical data available in the literature. For aluminum, copper and zinc, the thermal conductivity decreases by a factor of 2 to 2.3 in going from a room temperature solid to a molten state [11,12]. The specific heat for aluminum, copper, iron, chromium and nickel increases by a factor of 1.21 to 2.04 in going from a room temperature solid to a molten metal [11-13]. The mass density for aluminum, copper, zinc and iron, on the other hand, decreases by a factor of 1.08 to 1.18 when they undergo transformation from a room temperature solid to a molten metal [14]. Combining these general trends into a scaling for the thermal diffusivity, the measured liquid to solid thermal diffusivity ratios for SS304 and SS316 appear very reasonable.

The primary sources of experimental uncertainties in the LPP impulse measurement are: i) the variability of specimen placement within the RF levitator-heater, which

affects the history of specimen heating; and ii) the composition inhomogeneity from one specimen to another. As far as the rigorousness of the methodology is concerned, the relative thermal diffusivity measurement is on an exceptionally sound footing. The measurement in a solid and molten state can be performed back to back with a same specimen. The compositions in the two phases are nearly identical except for the element-specific evaporative loss, which is subject to the length of heating time. Maintenance of a short, consistent heating time is very important here, and the facilities and numerical modeling we have developed for this investigation help meet the requirements.

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Laser-produced plasmas can be realized in many ways. Generating a representative LPP plume, however, requires a rigorous adherence to the rule of thumb. This opens up fundamentally new opportunities in materials characterization and synthesis. The plasma emissions, acoustic emissions, and mechanical events, all generated from a single LPP excitation, can provide unlimited views into a thin slice of the target surface, ranging from elemental composition to transport properties to strength and wear characteristics.

The LPP method of measuring the thermal diffusivity of molten metals as presented is a powerful one in its simplicity and versatility. The fact that simultaneous determination of the thermal diffusivity can be made both for a solid and liquid state with a single specimen may provide an uncommon opportunity for rigorous first-principle studies of thermophysical properties of metallic alloys. A large body of thermal diffusivity data can be generated in the thermodynamic regimes where relevant data simply do not exist at present. For instance, by incorporating a pyrometric thermometer into the levitation-assisted molten metal source, the measurement may be

extended into higher temperatures well above the melting point of a specimen material.

We note that it is in principle possible to determine the LPP mass loss spectroscopically. This notion is derived from our established LPP method of elemental composition determination. The emission intensities by elements must be integrated over time so that a measure of the total mass loss can be obtained during a single LPP excitation of the target. This means that the thermal diffusivity measurement can in principle be achieved without any physical contact with the target specimen because use of the impulse transducer may be avoided. We are currently engaged in implementing such an approach.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge valuable contribution by Sook H. Kim with spectral analysis, assistance with equipment development and specimen preparation by John Gregoris, assistance with data reduction by Kate Zhou. This work has been supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, the American Iron and Steel Institute, the CTU 5-2 consortium of metals producers and Lehigh University. The contributions of many uncommon alloy specimens specially prepared by Carpenter Technology, Armco, Inc., Bethlehem Steel Corporation and the Timken Company for the research project are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. Y.W. Kim, in Laser-Induced Plasmas and Applications, eds. L.J. Radziemski and D.A. Cremers, Marcell Dekker, New York (1989). Chapter 8.
2. Y.W. Kim, High Temp. Sci. 26: 57 (1990).
3. Y.W. Kim, Int. J. Thermophys. 14: 397 (1993).
4. Y.W. Kim, Int. J. Thermophys., in press.
5. G.C. Hadjipanayis and R.W. Siegel, eds., Nanophase Materials, Synthesis, Properties, Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston (1994).
6. D.B. Chrisey and G.K. Hubler, Pulsed Laser Deposition of Thin Films, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1994).
7. Y.W. Kim, in Intelligent Processing of Materials, eds. H.G.N. Wadley and W.E. Eckhart (The Minerals, Metals and Materials Society, Warrendale, PA, 1990), p. 317.
8. Y.W. Kim, in Advanced Sensing, Modelling, and Control of Materials Processing, eds. E.F. Mattys and B. Kushner, The Minerals, Metals and Materials Society, Warrendale (1992). p.45-57.
9. Y.W. Kim, K.-S. Lyu and J.C. Kralik, in Current Topics in Shock Waves, ed. Y.W. Kim, AIP Conference Proceedings 208, American Institute of Physics (1990), p.353.
10. Y.W. Kim and C.H. Park, in preparation.
11. American Institute of Physics Handbook, Third Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York (1972).
12. Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 70th ed., CRC Press, Cleveland (1989).
13. Metals Handbook, American Society of Metals, Metals Park (1985).
14. Smithsonian Physical Table, Smithsonian Institution, Washington (1954).

Table I. Measured LPP impulses for SS304
and SS316 in solid and liquid phases.

<u>Specimen</u>	<u>Impulse, mV</u>
SS304, solid	3.071 ± 0.060
SS304, molten	1.164 ± 0.070
SS316, solid	3.206 ± 0.060
<u>SS316, molten</u>	<u>1.053 ± 0.040</u>

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. The measured LPP spectrum from a molten AISI 1018 target. Five successive runs are superimposed without any rescaling. Each of the five specimen rods used was heated to melting, starting from room temperature, in the RF levitator-heater in a helium atmosphere. A 6.88 J laser pulse was incident on the molten metal surface, and the time-resolved, gated spectrum was taken after a fixed delay time.

Fig. 2. The normalized time of melting as a function of four normalized thermophysical properties: thermal conductivity; electrical resistivity; specific heat; and specimen rod radius. The reference metal is SS316, whose normalized thermophysical properties are taken to be unity. In the computations, only one parameter was changed at one time while keeping others at the reference values. The temperature dependences of the parameters were taken to be those of SS316. The reference radius was set at 3.2 mm.