AIM

Materials Needs and Opportunities
in the Pulp and Paper Industry

Advanced Industrial Materials
(AIM) Program

August 1995

Office of Industrial Technologies
U.S. Department of Energy

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

α

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**MATERIALS NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES
IN THE PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRY**

Date Published: August 1995

NOTICE: This document contains information of a preliminary nature. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Industrial Technologies
Advanced Industrial Materials
(AIM) Program
ED 22 04 00 0

P. Angelini, Compiler

Prepared by the
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6065
managed by
MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS, INC.
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400

MASTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE (C. A. Sorrell and S. F. Sobczynski)	1
1. WOOD PREPARATION	3
1.1 WOOD YARD OPERATIONS	3
1.2 DEBARKING	3
1.3 CHIPPING	4
1.4 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS	4
2. PULP PREPARATION	4
2.1 MECHANICAL PULPING	5
2.1.1 Groundwood	5
2.1.2 Mechanical Refining	5
2.2 CHEMICAL PULPING	7
2.2.1 Digester	9
2.2.2 Recovery Boiler	9
2.2.3 Chemical Recovery System	11
2.2.4 New Processes	11
2.3 RECYCLED PAPER SYSTEMS	13
2.4 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS	13
3. BLEACHING	14
3.1 CURRENT BLEACHING METHODS	15
3.2 NEW PROCESSES	15
3.3 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS	16
4. PAPERMAKING	16
4.1 STOCK PIPING AND HEADBOX	17

4.2	INTERMEDIATE STRUCTURES/DRYERS	18
4.3	PAPER FINISHING AND HANDLING	19
4.4	NEW PROCESSES/GENERAL ISSUES	19
4.5	MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS	20
5.	OTHER TECHNOLOGIES	20
5.1	MOTORS/GENERATORS/TURBINES	20
5.2	SENSORS AND CONTROLS	21
5.3	MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS	22
6.	ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS/WASTE HANDLING AND MINIMIZATION	22
6.1	MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS	23
7.	WEAR	23
8.	CORROSION	26
9.	STRUCTURAL AND OTHER ASPECTS	29
10.	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	31
11.	APPENDIX	32

PREFACE

ADVANCED INDUSTRIAL MATERIALS (AIM) PROGRAM (C. A. Sorrell)

The Department of Energy's (DOE) Office of Industrial Technologies (OIT) supports research and development (R&D) in industry, the DOE national laboratories, and in universities to develop energy efficient, environmentally-acceptable industrial technologies. The Office of Industrial Technologies is working with seven energy-intensive industries to develop R&D roadmaps that will facilitate cooperative government-industry efforts to achieve energy-efficient, environmentally-acceptable, sustainable industries of the future. The forest products industry is one of the industries with which OIT is working to develop an R&D roadmap.

The Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program of the Office of Industrial Technologies sponsors long-term, directed research on materials that will enable industry to develop and utilize more energy-efficient, sustainable processes and technologies. The purpose of the study described in this report was to identify the material R&D needs and opportunities for the pulp and paper mill of the future.

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE (S. F. Sobczynski)

During the past 12 years, DOE has, together with industry support, provided funding for the development of technologies that could have a significant impact on the energy efficiency, productivity, and environmental compliance of paper and paperboard manufacture. The paper industry has played an important role in the guidance of this R&D program.

An exciting new task is being undertaken to develop a more comprehensive and formalized strategic plan for DOE's paper program. This new plan, entitled Pulp and Paper Mill of the Future, will address the paper mill of the future.

The program will impact all National Energy Strategy (NES) goals. These include:

1. Economic Growth
 - enhance U.S. position in global markets
 - maintain U.S. competitiveness
2. Energy Security
 - encourage the use of renewable energy resources
 - promote energy self-sufficiency
3. Environmental Improvement
 - promote environmentally acceptable processes
 - maximize the utilization of resources

The program is also consistent with the mission of DOE, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, and Office of Industrial Technologies (OIT). The mission of OIT is to facilitate research, development, and demonstration of energy-efficient, waste-reducing industrial technologies which are ultimately factors of successful global productivity and competitiveness.

The program plan will be responsive to industry needs. The capital intensiveness of processes will be reduced. One goal is to have the enhanced technologies be more cost effective and less capital intensive. The objective will be to improve productivity and to reduce energy consumption. The environmental impact of current and future processing methods will also be minimized. The goal is to develop improved processing methods which will reduce effluents, air emission, and/or solid waste. The program will be structured to maximize the impact by leveraging industrial and federal budgets. In general, the industry is evolutionary, not revolutionary, and has low R&D budgets which are generally ~1% of sales. DOE will leverage its funding with that from industrial and other federal programs and will seek joint ventures with sustained efforts to introduce new technologies.

Critical technologies will be evaluated that will address the near-, mid-, and long-term needs of the paper industry and those that would best benefit from federal sponsorship. The technology needs that are identified should span the spectrum from fundamental, or basic research knowledge, to applied R&D activities encompassing pilot plants or commercial demonstrations. The program plan will have a time-phased approach: (1) in the near term (to year 2000), where appropriate, demonstrate particularly attractive technologies that are currently available but underutilized; (2) in the mid term (to year 2010), refine existing laboratory-scale concepts and develop these technologies with pilot-scale demonstrations; and (3) for the long term (to year 2020), develop completely improved new processes and materials and focus on areas with very substantial energy savings potential.

The major focus of the program will be on four general process areas. These include (1) chemical pulping and recovery, (2) mechanical pulping, (3) papermaking, and (4) advanced processes and systems that reduce environmental impact.

THE MISSION STATEMENT FOR THE DOE PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE

The mission of the DOE program is to enable the paper industry to increase production, reduce energy consumption, reduce operating costs, and meet environmental goals by 2020. The program will identify and then fund R&D to develop, improve, and demonstrate retrofit and advanced technologies and, if the demonstrated economics and market needs then warrant, to facilitate the commercial utilization of these advances in the pulp, paper, and paperboard industry in the United States. The U.S. paper industry, universities, and national laboratories who conduct paper R&D have collectively and convincingly shown that there is a significant opportunity for advances in pulp, paper, and paperboard production processes of the future that will: conserve large amounts of energy, maintain low overall costs, increase resource utilization, decrease environmental impacts of these technologies, and lower safety or health risks to the public compared to those of currently practiced technologies.

DOE's role is to stimulate and nurture the research, development, demonstration, and communication of technology advances by the pulp and paper sector and to help industry realize the full potential of these technology advances, with as little investment and cost to the Government as required to take advantage of the great promise of these new technology advances for serving the public interest in the optimization of the use of our resources.

MATERIALS NEEDS AND OPPORTUNITIES IN THE PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRY*

P. Angelini, Compiler

1. WOOD PREPARATION

An important initial step in paper manufacture is the collection and processing of large logs into a form which can be subsequently handled and made into pulp. Major steps in wood preparation include wood yard operations, debarking, and chipping. Problems in the wood yard may affect the entire pulp and papermaking process.

1.1 WOOD YARD OPERATIONS

Logs are customarily delivered to the wood yard via trucks, trains, barges, or river flotation. These usually have been de-limbed and cut to the correct size for further processing. Operations in the wood yard involve miscellaneous grapplers for holding and moving these logs. If the logs are not cut to the proper size, high-speed saws or knives are used to cut them.

Issues

Materials issues in the wood yard are generally not related to corrosion or elevated temperatures but to wear caused by erosion and abrasion. Bark contains significant quantities of soil and sand and sometimes water or saltwater, as well as contaminants such as nails, fence wire, etc. Transport chutes, belts, saws, and knives can suffer excessive wear from contamination introduced with the raw materials and also from certain types of hard wood.

Although materials used presently are almost always metallic, many ceramic materials possess the requisite strength and hardness to provide greater wear resistance. These include aluminum dioxide, zirconia-toughened alumina (ZTA), silicon nitride, silicon carbide, titanium diboride, and zirconia, along with traditional "hard metals" like cobalt-bonded tungsten carbide. Considerations for potential application are cost effectiveness (e.g., whether the reduced wear rate and lower consequent downtime for parts replacement justify the higher costs of ceramics); the ability to manufacture the requisite sizes; and durability during handling (material toughness).

1.2 DEBARKING

Debarking of the logs is required since the outer bark of trees usually does not contain fibrous materials and, consequently, is a contaminant in pulp and paper processes. Debarkers generally are open-ended cylinders through which the logs are rotated, rubbing against themselves and/or

*Research sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy, Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies, Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program, under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400 with Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.

the wall of the cylinder. The bark removed by the rubbing action drops through slots in the cylinder wall and can be burned for energy recovery.

Issues

Abrasion by hard particulates, such as silicates retained as sand or dirt in the tree bark, causes wear of the debarking equipment.

1.3 CHIPPING

The debarked logs require further size reduction for processing. The operation for size reduction is called chipping and utilizes a large disk rotating on a horizontal axis or, alternatively, may use a drum configuration. The face of the disk, or the wall of the drum, is fitted with cutting knives that slice across the log, forming chips that pass through slots in the disk (or drum wall). The chipped wood is screened to remove large knots and oversized chips, which are separately reduced in size by mechanical means, and to remove fines, which are burned or pulped separately.

Issues

The saw blades in the slasher deck are subject to high impacts. The life of the cutting blades on the chipper is reduced if hardwoods are used. If the chipper and slasher are inoperative, chips from the storage yard are substituted. This may result in the introduction of rocks into subsequent processing steps with detrimental effects.

1.4 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

- Metals, ceramics, and/or surface treatments or coatings with improved abrasion and impact resistance
- Improved materials and/or surface treatments to provide greater impact-resistant edges for chipper blades, saws, knives, and slasher deck blades
- Materials and/or surface treatments for improved abrasion resistance for log transport, chutes, debarkers, and recycle paper handling

2. PULP PREPARATION

The objective of the pulping process is to separate the cellulose fibers from the other components of the wood. Both chemical and mechanical pulping processes are used. Each pulping process is designed to yield a specific set of feedstock characteristics, which are set by the product being manufactured (newsprint, tissue, pasteboard, etc.).

Grinder mechanical pulping involves grinding of wet logs against an abrasive grindstone, often at temperatures of 100°C (212°F) or slightly higher, to mechanically separate the fibers. Refiner mechanical pulping incorporates the wood chips from the chipping operation into the interface

between two counter-rotating surfaces which contain a series of corrugations that mechanically degrade the chip and expose the cellulose fibers. Thermomechanical pulping (TMP) involves presteaming or a mild chemical pretreatment of the wood chips to make them soft prior to fiber separation. Although TMP requires somewhat more energy, less fiber destruction occurs and higher quality pulp results. Chemical pulping generally involves digestion of the wood chips in solutions of sodium sulfide/sodium hydroxide (the Kraft process). This may involve elevated temperatures [up to 170°C (340°F)] and pressures [up to 1 MPa (150 psi)]. After digestion, the pulp slurry is rapidly released from the pressure vessel through a vent onto a blow plate, with depressurization occurring instantaneously. Several other chemical pulping processes are used, such as the "sulfite" process, almost all of which utilize some sulfur-containing chemicals.

The materials issues depend on the type of pulping. Mechanical, or refiner pulping, causes wear from abrasion/erosion on the components, whereas chemical pulping results in exposure of containment materials to corrosive and erosive wear from the solutions/slurries.

The materials presently in common use are metals, except for the abrasive wheel in grinder mechanical pulping. Some fiber-reinforced plastics and other organic-based materials are utilized for vessel or pipe, pump, and valve linings.

2.1 MECHANICAL PULPING

In mechanical pulping, wood fibers are separated from each other by rolling, rubbing, or teasing, either against themselves or against a harder, specially designed surface. Chemical additions or the application of heat and pressure can be used to increase the quality of the pulp; however, the predominant pulping forces are mechanical. Mechanical pulping can be divided into two main areas: groundwood and disk refining.

2.1.1 Groundwood

Until recently, groundwood was the predominant means of mechanical pulping. The most common version is stone groundwood, in which pulp is formed by pressing debarked logs against a rotating grindstone, as shown in Fig. 1(a) [from Metals Handbook]. Water sprays are directed onto the stone, or ceramics, to prevent the fibers from burning, and the pulp is removed in the form of a slurry. Other variations include pressurized groundwood, in which pulping occurs at elevated temperature, and chemi-groundwood, in which a chemical pretreatment is used.

Issues

Wear resistance is the major materials concern in the groundwood process. Synthesized ceramic elements for grinding surfaces may be superior to stone grinding surfaces in some applications.

2.1.2 Mechanical Refining

Pulping by mechanical action in a rotating-disk attrition mill, called a refiner, as shown in Fig. 1(b) [from Metals Handbook], is widespread in the industry. Presteaming, with and without additional chemical treatment, may be used to enhance the fibrillization process. The refiner utilizes the action of two closely spaced disks as one rotates with respect to the other, or as they both rotate in opposite directions. Wood chips are fed into the gap between the disks at the

ORNL-DWG 93M-5103

ORNL-DWG 93M-5104

Figure 1. Schematic diagram of
(a) groundwood machine and (b) disk refiner
(from Metals Handbook).

rotation axis and are reduced to fibers as they move radially through the gap. The faces of the disks are covered with plates containing raised bars (3 mm x 6 mm x 25 cm), the bars being large near the entry point of the chips, and increasingly finer as the outer radius of the plate is approached. Plates spin at ~1800 rpm with an axial gap of 0.5 to 1.2 mm. Refiners are usually powered by electric motors of up to 30,000 to 40,000 hp. Water is introduced with the chips in order to prevent oxidation of the wood. Refiner plates can be either cast or wrought, but most are cast. The alloys used are generally a white cast iron or cast stainless steel.

Issues

Corrosion associated primarily with presteaming, condensates containing sulfur-bearing acids and chlorides, and wear of refiner plates affect pulp quality and process efficiency. Pounding of the bar edges results in lessening of the refining capability of the system. Pitting of the bars by cavitation erosion is a major factor affecting materials selection for disk refiners. In addition, dashing of plates which can occasionally come in contact due to inadequate clearance (with the resultant formation of grooves and serrations on the face of the plates) is a severe issue.

Improved materials or surface treatments which provide extended bar life and resist contact damage are important development needs for refiner plates.

Larger diameter, higher speed refiner operation is also a goal for current and future systems because of the energy benefits involved. Lighter weight refiner plate materials suitable for higher rotation rates would tend to decrease the stresses on the disks. At higher rotation rates, fracture toughness of the refiner disk materials is also a very significant issue. If cracks occur in the disks, they may grow rapidly resulting in catastrophic failure and significant damage to the systems. Life prediction modeling of these systems is extremely important in order to minimize risk of materials failure and downtime. At increased system throughputs and greater rotation rates, cavitation between refiner plates may also become more severe, and greater cavitation resistance may be necessary in the plate materials.

The incorporation of advanced ceramic materials may yield improved component lifetimes in mechanical or refiner pulping operations. This is especially true for the refiner plates, where there has been discussion of the use of tungsten carbide plates. Although this material is much more resistant to wear than the currently used metals, its density is so high that, at the higher speeds currently in vogue, the rotational energy involved would be excessive. Consequences of a catastrophic failure are serious at these energy levels. The use of ceramics, which are less dense than the metals currently used (especially the tungsten carbides) would provide greater wear resistance, use less energy, and have less dangerous consequences during failure. Several tough ceramics such as partially stabilized zirconias with K_{Ic} values over 25 MPa $\sqrt{m}$ (23 ksi $\sqrt{in.}$) have recently been developed and may have the required durability.

2.2 CHEMICAL PULPING

The predominant chemical pulping process used in the United States is the Kraft process. The Kraft process incorporates a system to recover the sodium hydroxide and sulfide, burn off the lignin and other organics, and at the same time provide steam and electrical power. This is done by separating out the pulp from the slurry and injecting the concentrated residual liquid (black liquor) into a recovery boiler where it is burned. Some of the sodium and sulfur follows the gas path as fume, deposits on upper heat-transfer surfaces, is separated in the electrostatic precipitator, and some is captured on the bottom of the boiler as sodium carbonate and sodium sulfide, which form as a molten stream ("smelt") at the bottom of the boiler. There are three main areas in the chemical pulping process: the digester (see Fig. 2), and the recovery boiler and the chemical recovery system (see Fig. 3) [from Metals Handbook]. Current materials of choice are carbon steel for nominal service and type 304 for cases where high-velocity streams are involved. The limits of steels to handle chlorides in alkaline sodium sulfide solutions are of concern due to the buildup in the concentration of these chemicals. Extended delignification processes involve adding more sodium sulfide and sodium hydroxide partway through the process, resulting in the possibility of increased corrosion or stress corrosion cracking.

Materials issues are mainly related to corrosion, especially in the boiler itself where elevated temperatures and highly corrosive species abound. Heat-exchanger tubes carry water into the boiler for heating, and these must not be allowed to leak or burst, as the smelt/water reaction can be explosive. It is therefore critical that tube leaks be prevented in the boiler.

ORNL-DWG 93M-5100

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of digester.

ORNL-DWG 93M-5101

Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the recovery boiler and the chemical recovery system.

2.2.1 Digester

In the digester, an alkaline sulfide liquor dissolves (digests) the lignin from wood fibers in a batch process or a continuous process. After digestion, the treated chips pass through a washing and cooling zone. The spent pulping solution (black liquor) is extracted from the top of this zone, and the chips are extracted from the bottom of the zone through a blow valve to the blow tank. The release and impact fiberize the chips. After leaving the digester, the black liquor is concentrated to 60% solids in multiple evaporators.

Issues

Adding a second point of introduction of the delignification chemicals in the digester tank can cause significant changes to the material behavior and requirements. For example, introducing pulping chemicals in the middle of the digester tanks could lead to enhanced corrosion effects. Carbon steel would probably not be sufficient, and even type 304 stainless steel may undergo stress corrosion cracking. Corrosion/erosion of metallic components including pipes, valves, pumps, etc., by sodium hydroxide/sulfide slurries also occurs.

With an appropriate filter, it should be possible to easily, rapidly, and economically remove a significant fraction of the liquid from various process washings and from black liquor. The filters would be metal-based and are expected to be easily cleaned by vibration or back-flushing. These filters will have a very long lifetime, and the waste stream will be reduced by many orders of magnitude. Reverse osmosis membranes may also be useful as they would require less than one-half the energy required by multiple-effect evaporation.

Significant wear may occur in the blowdown vessel for the digested pulp. The target plate of the blow unit, upon which the digested pulp/slurry is directed, experiences the most significant wear problem.

2.2.2 Recovery Boiler

The boiler is used to incinerate black liquor while providing steam for cogeneration and plant processes. The main by-product of the recovery boiler is CO_2 . Smelt, consisting principally of molten Na_2CO_3 and Na_2S , is formed at the bottom of the boiler. If boiler tubes leak or burst, then there is a possibility for an explosion due to the stream reaction between water and the molten smelt. In the United States, there are 1 to 2 explosions per year due to this effect. Thus, the integrity of the pressure tubes is extremely important.

Recovery boilers are expected to operate 358 d/year with about a 1-week downtime for servicing. About 50% of the mills in the United States have only one boiler. This means that if the boiler is not operating, the entire mill is shut down.

One of the developments which has occurred over the last 10 years has been the introduction of composite tubes (stainless-steel-clad carbon steel tubes developed and evaluated previously in Europe) in the boilers. Prior to that time, the material of use was carbon steel which had 2-cm studs on the outer surface to enhance the attachment and solidification of smelt onto the tube in order to provide corrosion protection. Spray metallized tubes have also shown limited success. The lifetime of the metallized layer ranges between 3 to 5 years; however, corrosion

products and tube cleaning processes tend to remove the protective coating. Composite tubes have solved many of the corrosion problems except at the port openings.

The operational characteristics of these recovery boilers are different from utility boilers. There is:

1. more material deposited on the surface of tubes, leading to reduced heat transfer (but in the lower part of the furnace, these deposits keep the tubes cool and corrosion rates low);
2. localized thinning and erosion of tubes due to the presence of particles (sulfides) in the gas streams;
3. molten salt corrosion attack of materials; and
4. lower superheat temperature [pulp and paper $\sim 480^{\circ}\text{C}$ (900°F); utility $\sim 540^{\circ}\text{C}$ (1000°F)].

Limitations are due to molten chemical attack of tubes in the superheater section of boilers. The maximum steam temperature is kept low enough to prevent corrosion in the superheater tubes due to melting of salt deposits and resultant molten salt corrosion. Salt deposits melt at temperatures between 510°C (950°F) and 565°C (1050°F).

Issues

The most challenging materials problems stemming from this process are associated with processing the spent (black) liquor. Typical problems include attack on the fireside of boiler tubes associated with molten salts, corrosion of water tubes in lower furnace sections under a frozen smelt layer, corrosion of the smelt spout, and stress-assisted corrosion on the waterside of boiler tubes. The use of uncooled ceramic spouts (given proper thermal shock, toughness, and other properties) may result in improved corrosion resistance and avoiding the risk of explosions.

Localized corrosion occurs at the air inlet ports normally located near the bottom of the boiler. Corrosion of stainless and nickel-base materials also occurs. The corrosion effects need to be determined and improvements made in materials and design.

Because it is so important that the boiler tubes not leak, a means of monitoring and measuring the thickness of tubes is required. Such a system would be extremely useful as a means to reduce accidents and resulting downtime. The current procedure is to develop a grid of points which can be checked with hand-held ultrasonic testing equipment.

Waterside corrosion fatigue cracking of materials (stress-assisted cracking) occurs in the lower part of the furnace, generally near weld attachments. Fissures grow underneath external welds from inside the tube to the outside. The mechanism responsible for this phenomenon needs to be determined and life prediction models developed. In addition, improved nondestructive evaluation methods need to be developed to detect waterside stress corrosion cracking. Current X-ray instruments cannot access needed locations without stripping off refractory.

Methods and devices for detection of external cracking of composite tubes located in the lower part of the furnace are needed. Cracks tend to grow and form through the stainless layer. The

cause of the cracking needs to be determined and materials evaluated under various environmental conditions. External cracking of the composite tubes in the lower furnace due to stress corrosion cracking or thermal fatigue cracking results in significant downtime and repair costs.

Thermal fatigue cracking has also been found in composite tube butt welds in the lower furnace walls. Cracks extended approximately 0.5 mm into the carbon steel portion of the weld. Cracks were present in tubes at locations between 1 and 10 m from the bottom of the boiler.

Thermal fatigue cracking of composite tubes has also been found at the spout openings and at the liquid smelt-gas interface near the bottom of the boiler. Many tubes crack at a level between 2 to 5 cm above and below the normal location of the liquid smelt level. Since repair of the tubes is difficult and tubes would normally be replaced if cracked, one solution is to use metallized sections initially. More extensive testing of the integrity of tubes, however, is required.

Refractory coverings on the floor of the furnace are being evaluated to reduce exposures of the floor tubes. Ceramic tiles, tested in boilers, have buckled up to 50 cm above the floor level. High-alumina refractories corroded in less than 1 year.

Another option which has been available to industry for many years is chromized tubing for both the superheater section and the lower part of the boiler. A diffusion coating is used and the chrome replaces the iron in the material. There is a high percentage of chromium on the surface of the tube (30 to 50%), but the Cr concentration decreases rapidly, the depth being only ~0.2 mm. Some chromized superheater tubes have experienced severe pitting. Concerns regarding chromized tubes include loss of coating by erosion or mechanical cleaning and the inability to detect fractures or penetrations in the coating layer.

Significant materials issues also exist in recovery boiler superheater tubes. These tubes encounter the hottest environments and are often alloyed for corrosion resistance. If process conditions form molten deposits on the surfaces of tubes, attack is extremely rapid. In some cases, type 310/carbon steel composite tubes have been installed.

2.2.3 Chemical Recovery System

The smelt, consisting primarily of Na_2CO_3 and Na_2S , is dissolved in water to make "green liquor." This solution is causticized with slaked lime to convert Na_2CO_3 to NaOH , and the resultant "white liquor" is returned to the pulp digester.

Issues

More conventional corrosion problems are associated with the processing of the green and white liquors, including caustic corrosion and cracking, sulfur acid-induced wastage, acid-cleaning of heat-exchanger surfaces, and corrosion/erosion caused by steam impingement.

2.2.4 New Processes

Several new pulping processes are under evaluation, most of which are of the "chemical" variety. They are largely aimed at eliminating the environmental problems associated with the use of

sulfur-containing chemicals. Sodium-bearing species are involved in some of these processes, as in the soda-anthraquinone process. Other processes utilize enzymatic, biological, or organic acid solvent attack on the wood structure to accomplish the pulping, and the corrosion consequences of these processes are not yet fully explored.

Black liquor gasification is a new process wherein the liquor is not burned in a recovery boiler but pyrolyzed and reacted with steam to form a fuel gas and inorganic salts. The MTCI pulse-enhanced steam reformer consists of multiple resonance tube pulse combustors with the resonance tubes immersed in a bubbling fluidized bed reactor. The heat required for gasification is provided by burning a portion of the product gas in the pulse combustor and transferring heat through the resonance tubes into the fluidized bed.

The major benefit associated with the MTCI process is that the inorganic salts are recovered in solid form (i.e., no melt is formed). The solid inorganics are dissolved to produce a sodium carbonate solution that is used to remove hydrogen sulfide from the raw product gas, thereby producing green liquor for return to the pulping process.

A wide range of biomass materials has been successfully converted in laboratory experiments. A large-scale pilot facility has been operated using rejects from a recycle mill as its feedstock. A near-commercial-scale facility for processing black liquor is under construction.

Economically useful gases may be separated from gasified black liquor. Development work is currently in progress to fabricate inorganic membranes to separate hydrogen from gasified coal at temperatures of 700°C (1300°F) to 1000°C (1830°F). Such membranes will be useful because more hydrogen is produced from the black liquor than is needed for the combustion process. The process economics could perhaps be improved by separating some of the hydrogen for sale as a separate product. There may be other valuable gases that could also be separated for sale as a marketable product.

Issues

Recycling of process streams can affect the corrosion behavior. For example, the concentrating effect of various processes leads to an increase in the thiosulfate concentration and also the concentration of chlorine in the liquids. Development of an economical process to remove chloride salts from the liquor cycle would be of great benefit.

Advanced processing methods can also lead to significant effects related to materials. Materials selection and needs must be introduced early in the process to enable the process to be successful and not result in failure or dangerous situations. Sulfur-free pulping, for example, can result in a more bleachable pulp and reduce the concentration of sulfur-bearing emission gases.

Materials issues with respect to black liquor gasification processes include sulfidation and/or alkali corrosion of metal tubes immersed within the bed, tube erosion, and refractory life.

Membranes may enable the separation of black liquor into a source of economically useful chemicals. Black liquor is such a complex mixture that, to date, no economical method has been found to achieve the separation. It should be possible to fabricate inorganic membranes with graded pore sizes that could be used to separate fractions of the black liquor containing different

size chemical molecules. In addition, membranes may also be used to improve the quality and Btu content of gases produced from new black liquor gasification processes.

2.3 RECYCLED PAPER SYSTEMS

Much paper is recycled, especially newsprint, with the amount incorporated into the new paper being a function of many variables. These include the type of fibers (most may be recycled only a few times before losing significant strength and causing loss of quality of the new paper), the amount available, local regulations, etc. The operations involved are separation out of contaminants (rocks, wire, etc.) and improper paper types, de-inking, and shredding/digestion.

Recycling of post-consumer paper products constitutes an ever-increasing source of the pulp used in the U.S. papermaking industry. However, the paper generally comes from varied sources, and the identity of the inks is unknown. There are problems with deposits of the inks on equipment. Chemicals used to remove ink from fibers cause a strength degradation to occur. Material from de-inking can account for as much as one-fourth of the volume of received recycled paper.

Issues

Wear problems may be particularly severe in the handling of recycled paper products which may be contaminated by abrasive materials, metal shards, and other debris harmful to the processing equipment. De-inking involves the use of chemicals that are relatively aggressive to metallic containment systems. Materials issues in these operations are again erosion and corrosion, sometimes aggravated by unwanted biological growths brought in by recycle paper.

Other key issues include effects of incineration on materials, removal of heavy metals from solutions, and safe landfill disposal and treatment of wastes.

2.4 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

Mechanical Pulping

- Improved ceramics for rotating grindstones

Mechanical Refiner

- Lighter weight metals, ceramics, and composites for high-rotation-rate refiner plates
- Surface treatments (hard surface on ductile matrix) to improve wear and cavitation resistance and to increase toughness of refiner plates
- Corrosion/erosion testing of candidate refiner plates in the presence of steam and cavitation
- Improved bearing materials and failure diagnostics for disk refiners

Digester

- Digester vessel liner/weld overlay materials to combat caustic stress corrosion cracking and corrosion from caustic sulfide solutions

- Modeling of digester corrosion processes to optimize design and operating parameters
- Improved target blow plate materials to resist corrosion, cavitation, and wear by effluent from the digester

Recovery Boiler

- Sulfidation-resistant superheater and reheater tube materials and coating systems (including ceramics) to attain higher steam temperatures
- Studies of corrosion mechanisms leading to stress-assisted corrosion of water-wall tubes
- Sensors to monitor thinning and degradation of recovery boiler tubes
- Recovery boiler tube materials with improved resistance to stress-assisted waterside corrosion and fireside attack by pyrosulfates or other molten salts, molten sulfates, or pyrosulfates
- Abrasion-resistant materials for handling recycled paper
- Corrosion thermal shock and impact-resistant ceramics for uncooled water-free smelt spouts

New Processes

- Assess corrosion processes in gasifiers being developed for recovery of black liquor chemicals
- Membranes to improve the quality and Btu content of gases produced from black liquor gasification processes
- Process or materials to remove chlorides and potassium from the liquor cycle

3. BLEACHING

Pulps vary considerably in their color after pulping, depending on the wood species, method of processing, and extraneous components. For many paper types, particularly printing grades, the digested wood pulp is subjected to various oxidative (oxygen, hydrogen peroxide, or ozone) or chlorine-containing chemicals to effect an increase in the brightness or whiteness. There are basically two types of bleaching operations: those that chemically modify the chromophobic groups by oxidation or reduction but remove very little lignin or other substances from the fibers, and those that complete the delignification and remove pitch and some carbohydrate materials. The processes take place at low temperatures, inasmuch as the cellulose fibers are in waterborne suspension.

Materials issues are largely related to the corrosiveness of the chemical solutions, some of which are at $\text{pH} < 2$, others at $\text{pH} > 12$. Metals, as well as fiber-reinforced plastics, are utilized routinely.

3.1 CURRENT BLEACHING METHODS

Bleach plants have become more corrosive over the past 20 years as mills have "closed" wash water systems and reduced effluent volumes. Many mills have turned to higher alloy stainless steels, nickel-base alloys, and titanium for better corrosion resistance. Metals are chosen over nonmetals for moving equipment, such as washers. Metals are stronger, tougher, have better fatigue properties, and, if they have sufficient corrosion resistance, require virtually no maintenance. However, the more corrosion-resistant alloys are more costly, and the challenge is to choose an alloy with just enough resistance to avoid corrosion problems.

Issues

Pulp mill bleach plants have traditionally used austenitic stainless steels because of their combination of good corrosion resistance and weldability. Current materials for bleaching equipment also include titanium alloys. Some issues include evaluating the behavior of titanium alloys in alkaline environments since titanium alloys have high corrosion rates in alkaline peroxides.

Concern over dioxins in effluents is mandating the switch from chlorine-type bleaching chemicals to oxygenated bleaching chemicals. Hot acid chloride solutions are difficult for certain types of steels to handle. There has been significant concern about titanium in alkaline peroxide solutions. Substitution of chlorine dioxide for chlorine does decrease effluents, but it also increases corrosion problems. New bleaching using superoxygenated compounds may shift corrosion problems to polymer materials rather than metals. There are no data on how these plastics will perform in the presence of superoxygenated compounds.

A low-pressure drop-cleanable filter can be used to easily, rapidly, and economically remove a significant fraction of the bleach from the pulp. Multiple washing cycles can be used to reduce the concentration of the bleach in the pulp to the desired level. Reverse osmosis can be used to separate concentrated chlorinated waste liquors in order to reduce corrosion problems in pulping systems. This will contribute significantly toward having an economical closed-cycle system for the pulp operation. The bleach can be concentrated, removed, purified, and returned to the bleaching cycle. The water removed from the bleach solution can be recycled for multiple washings to reduce the amount of bleach remaining in the pulp. This will contain most of the bleach in the bleach cycle and significantly reduce the potential for corrosion in other parts of the processing plant.

3.2 NEW PROCESSES

Because of the large amount of water required to be removed from the dilute bleaching slurries and the incompatibility of the chlorine-containing chemicals with other process streams at the paper plant, many alternative bleaching processes are being evaluated. The main driver of change in the bleaching operation, however, is the need for reduction of the amount of chlorinated organic compounds to minimize the consequences of disposal or release to the environment of these troublesome materials. These alternative processes may utilize oxygen, ozone, or chlorine dioxide. This change from chlorine compounds to oxygenated materials for bleaching should lessen the corrosion problems for metals. Technological questions related to joining and long-term structural integrity are also issues which need to be resolved.

Issues

The new superoxygenated bleaching processes are thought to be less corrosive; however, the corrosion characteristics of various materials are not known. The use of polymers should be explored. However, there are almost no data on these materials. Another aspect to the low use of polymer-based material relates to industrial acceptance of unfamiliar materials.

The substitution of chlorine by chlorine dioxide is another process change which can substantially affect the behavior of materials. Chlorine dioxides can lead to higher corrosion rates. Even some of the most advanced nickel-base alloys are at their limit when chlorine dioxide is present in the pulp.

The wide range of chemical conditions and the use of species which are aggressively corrosive suggests that there may be certain specific applications where ceramic materials would prove effective. These would most likely be in the form of coatings on the vessels and piping, valves, etc.

3.3 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

- Evaluation of currently used austenitic stainless steels and titanium alloys in peroxide and ClO_2 environments
- Identification of new alloys better able to withstand corrosion caused by oxygenated bleaching materials
- Corrosion-resistant weld filler materials and improved welding practices
- Evaluation of ceramics, ceramic coatings, and polymeric materials as replacements for metallic alloys in some applications
- Cleanable filters and membranes to separate bleach from pulp

4. PAPERMAKING

The pulp fibers in suspension are concentrated to the desired degree and fed into a box (the headbox) which contains a slit opening. The slurry is metered out of the slit (slice) onto a plastic (nylon or polyester) mesh "wire." A wet paper web is formed by drainage of the majority of the water from the slurry. The wet paper web is then transferred onto a "felt" and pressed between rolls to achieve further dewatering by mechanical pressure. The paper web is then transferred by the "felts" to the dryer section where it is dried in contact with a series of hot, steam-heated rolls. Coatings may be applied to the dry paper sheet at the dry end. The paper is cut (slit) with knife blades as required for proper sizing. A schematic diagram of the papermaking line is shown in Fig. 4.

The corrosion characteristics of this unit process can be fairly benign with the pH ranging from 4 to 10, the O_2 level as well as the dissolved salts concentration being low, and the chlorine

Figure 4. Schematic diagram of headbox and forming section of a paper-making machine (from Metals Handbook).

concentration in the low ppm range (typically 20 to 50 ppm for bleached pulp). Current materials used include carbon steel, stainless steel, and nickel-base alloys.

Other general issues include iron deposits which may discolor paper and microbial deposits which may affect the quality of the paper.

4.1 STOCK PIPING AND HEADBOX

Structural materials of the headbox and rolls are nearly all metallic. The slurry is often supported on an organic (plastic) webbing material or on an organic felt.

Corrosion levels are generally low. However, in closed systems, severe corrosion problems may develop due to increased thiosulfate levels, especially for carbon steel parts. Corrosion may occur on the slice lips of the headboxes. Fiber deposits found on the slice lips of the headboxes may contain a high concentration of chloride and lead to severe corrosion, which may require replacement of carbon steel parts with nickel-base alloys.

Issues

Materials issues involve corrosion and erosion/abrasion, as the solution carrying the cellulose fibers still contains several chlorine-containing compounds. These may cause pitting attack on metals, particularly where surface deposits form on such areas as the slit orifice and the rolls, and in the latter case may lead to catastrophic failure and costly subsequent paper line shutdowns for replacement. Durability of components in the headbox is an area where improvements may be made.

There are many applications where ceramic components may be introduced into this unit operation including the slit edge in the headbox, the knife edge on the coater, the slitter knives, and perhaps as rolls or roll coatings. However, the large size of rolls will present a challenge to ceramic manufacturers. Ceramic bearings for long life at high speeds in poor lubrication environments may also yield improvements. Several advanced ceramic bearing materials are now in production, all based on silicon nitride. Bearings made from these materials are finding use in extreme environments in high-speed machine tool spindles, where they allow speeds impossible with metallic bearings, because the oil lubrication is spun out of the bearing race. Papermaking machine screen baskets, which are subject to corrosion fatigue and corrosion erosion, appear to be a good application for ceramic fiber composites.

4.2 INTERMEDIATE STRUCTURES/DRYERS

Intermediate structures in the papermaking line including rolls, webs, felts, wires, etc., are designed to create undamaged paper pulp web and to remove as much water as possible before entering the dryer section.

Suction rolls draw water from the paper by vacuum. These rolls are probably one of the highest cost capital equipment items in the pulp and paper plants. Their cost is approximately \$1 million each.

Paper is dried by passing it through top and bottom rolls. The rolls are heated with steam and are normally made from cast iron. Metallic and microbiological deposits are of concern from the viewpoint of paper discoloration. Opportunities exist for improved materials which are not as brittle or that are lighter than the present materials.

The critical properties which felts must possess include the ability to hold water and the ability to prevent rewetting of the paper. Currently, felts are made from needle-punched non-woven nylon with possibly some other polymeric fibrous materials. Wires are usually made from nylon. The type of weave is also important in determining the durability of the wire. A set of felts on typical papermaking machines costs approximately \$30,000 each and is generally replaced once per month and drying fabrics every 6 months.

Issues

Increasing quality, minimizing waste, and process control lead to the need for improved materials in both the process equipment and sensing devices. A need also exists to improve and control the distribution of pulp on the wire mesh belt. In addition, microbiological deposits need to be controlled since dislodged deposits can cause damage to the paper web.

The need exists for felts and wires to be more wear resistant and be able to operate at higher temperatures. At the present time, the thickness of the outermost fiber in contact with the rolls and ceramic plates is measured as a way of determining the necessity to replace the felt.

Suction rolls are typically made from hardenable duplex stainless steels and are subject to corrosion fatigue cracking. Cracking is sometimes traceable to cosmetic weld repairs, to defects in the casting, and to drill holes. Needs exist for alloys with improved corrosion and mechanical characteristics, manufacturing improvements in the casting process, and modeling. Suction rolls are very expensive, and failures occur due to cracking and corrosion. When these rolls fail, much

damage may be done to adjacent parts of the papermaking machine. When dryers fail, 3 or 4 other dryers may be damaged. New versions of suction rolls are being introduced, and innovations are concentrating on structure and new alloys.

4.3 PAPER FINISHING AND HANDLING

Coatings are applied at very high speeds to various types of paper products. For example, clay, latex, and whitening agents are applied to paper to produce printing surfaces. Typically, the speed of the paper through the machines depends on the product type being produced. For example, newsprint may be produced at a speed of 7.5 m/s (1500 ft/min) to 25 m/s (5000 ft/min). However, the speed of paper which is coated is in the range of 2000 to 3000 ft/min on the machine.

Issues

The efficiency and uniformity of applying the coating is an opportunity for improvement. The clay-bearing solution must be uniformly distributed on the take-up roll without having skipped regions. The clay solution must then be uniformly transferred and applied to the paper supported by a backing roll. The interactions of the doctor blade with the backing roll must also be considered. There is also a need to understand the fundamentals and dynamics of the process to improve efficiency.

4.4 NEW PROCESSES/GENERAL ISSUES

The impulse drying process under development at the Institute of Paper Science and Technology employs a heated roll press to activate a more efficient water removal mechanism. During the process, wet paper is brought into contact with a hot-press roll, typically heated to between 200°C (400°F) and 400°C (700°F), while pressures between 3 MPa (400 psi) and 5 MPa (700 psi) are maintained in the sheet for times between 15 to 30 ms.

Issues

Durability of ceramic coatings on steel rolls in impulse dryers is a concern. Good wear resistance is required. Current operating temperature is approximately 200°C (400°F). Higher temperatures, 260°C (500°F) to 315°C (600°F), and pressures are desirable. The survivability of the ceramic coatings needs to be tested. Ceramic coatings need to be applied to full-size rolls to evaluate the materials, coating technology requirements, and the behavior of the rolls tested in a pilot facility. Alternate ceramic materials coatings and application methods need to be evaluated.

The ceramic coated steel roller requires a lubricated hydrostatic element located inside the roll. This element must have good wear resistance at high temperatures, 260°C (500°F) to 315°C (600°F). Scaleup will result in higher loads on presses, increased demand on lubrication, and increased wear. Felt properties also influence impulse drying efficiency.

Roll pressure shoes are of various sizes. However, a typical shoe has a pressure-bearing length of approximately 50 cm. This may result in pressures in the 14 MPa (2000 psi) range on the rubber rolls and presses.

4.5 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

- Materials with improved abrasion resistance for doctor blades, slitters, drums, and screen baskets
- Improved lubricity between the pressure shoe and the roll
- Materials with improved corrosion fatigue resistance for headbox components
- Materials with improved wear and/or corrosion resistance for press rolls
- Surface treatments to control residual stresses and improve corrosion fatigue properties of suction rolls
- Structural analysis and design modifications to reduce cyclic loading
- Cast stainless steels with improved stress-corrosion resistance
- New ceramics or ceramic coatings with improved resistance to cleaning chemicals
- Life prediction modeling
- More ductile replacement for cast-iron dryer rolls
- Higher modulus (stiffness) material for impulse dryer rolls
- More durable felts and wires
- Improved, high-performance oils and greases for bearings

5. OTHER TECHNOLOGIES

5.1 MOTORS/GENERATORS/TURBINES

The paper and pulp industry is a significant user of power but also supplies, through cogeneration, a significant percentage (58%) of its power needs. Energy conversion efficiency is very important for the overall economics of papermaking. Utility power quality and energy conversion efficiency affect all paper and pulp unit operations from the wood yard, to papermaking, to environmental/waste minimization.

Issues

The pulp and paper industry's reliance on sensitive electronic systems for such important functions as data processing, communications, and process control has necessitated a new concern toward the quality of the electric power supply. Voltage sags are the most common power quality problem affecting facilities. Voltage sags occur during faults on the power system. Since

these faults cannot be completely prevented, facilities must make sure their critical loads are not impacted by the resulting voltage. Power quality problems can produce significant cost, schedule, and performance impacts at paper and pulp facilities that require continuous power quality. The rapid response of a flywheel motor/generator system ensures no interruption of continuous manufacturing processes, electronic equipment, and computer facilities. The flywheel energy storage system has lower cost than comparable sized battery systems. Improved permanent magnets will also improve the overall round-trip efficiency of energy conversion. High-efficiency axial gap generators can improve the overall conversion efficiency of cogeneration systems. The high-efficiency axial gap motor can result in less power consumption compared to existing electric motors.

5.2 SENSORS AND CONTROLS

Currently, the need for continuous on-line process sensors is great throughout the paper industry. Almost every aspect of the pulp and papermaking process could benefit from improved, on-line sensors and control systems. Several examples are listed below.

Issues

Because it is so important that the boiler tubes not leak, a means of monitoring and measuring the thickness of tubes is required. Currently, this is performed off-line by ultrasound. Coating deposits of smelt material on the tubes cause variations in the signals, and the method can produce misleading data if the tubes are very thin. It is these weak areas that need to be identified. In addition, the test is performed in a statistical manner which means that not all of the tube is inspected. A robotic device is needed to monitor the entire tube. The device on the outer part of the tube would have to meet stringent requirements since the space between tubes is limited and the surface is irregular due to surface deposits. Such a system would be extremely useful as a means to reduce accidents and resulting downtime.

Motor current analysis can be used to predict bearing failure.

Although paper strength requires off-line destructive measurements, stiffness can be determined on moving webs by measuring the velocity of ultrasound. Transducers mounted in fluid-filled wheels are used to make out-of-plane (two-dimensional) ultrasound velocity measurements on paper webs moving in the nip between two such wheels. Comparisons of the arrival times of echo and transmitted pulses with and without the paper web in the nip provide a measure of the transit time and caliper. Timing requirements are very stringent (in the nanosecond range), and variations in the roundness or thickness of the fluid-filled wheels lead to noise in the signal. Currently, the signal is integrated over one or more wheel rotations to minimize these effects.

The opportunities related to the fluid-filled wheels include improving roundness, thickness uniformity and radius, wear properties, and endurance.

In-plane ultrasound velocity measurements use bimorph transducers mounted in the surface of aluminum cylinders or rolls. The needs include improving the wear behavior of the transducers and improvement in the means for electrical and signal communication with sensors located on rotating rolls. At present, communication is performed by using slip rings. Other means of signal communication, such as fiber-optic methods, may be practical.

5.3 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

Motors/Generators/Turbines

- Pitting-resistant materials for scrubbers
- Sulfur-resistant materials for gas turbines

Sensors/Nondestructive Evaluation

- Robotic inspection techniques to evaluate boiler tube integrity
- Motor current analyses to predict motor/generator/bearing failures
- On-line sensors to measure moisture content, thickness, stiffness, web and paper quality, and fiber properties and orientation
- Improve properties of fluid-filled rubber rolls (roundness, thickness uniformity, and wear) used in stiffness measurements
- Improve wear behavior of slip rings

6. ENVIRONMENTAL ASPECTS/WASTE HANDLING AND MINIMIZATION

Environmental and energy concerns are playing an increasing role in the design and operation of paper and pulp mills. The short-term approach has been to add scrubbers, precipitators, holding ponds, etc., to control effluents. However, the longer-term solutions will invariably lead to major modifications of current procedures or replacement technologies (e.g., the minimization or elimination of malodorous sulfur compounds and chlorine). Plant "closure" is also leading to increased concentrations of deleterious chemicals, resulting in new and unexplored corrosion concerns.

There are several stages involved in waste processing and minimization. Issues are related to toxicity, color, etc. Reduction of these parameters can occur in biotreatment stages, but little is known about the specific bioprocesses that occur. For example, it is not known if nutrients should be added or specific compounds that are toxic to the waste treatment bacteria removed, etc. This is a wide open area, but little is known and little is being done. There may also be opportunities for novel separations techniques. Plants produce solid wastes that include heavy metals. These sludges are dewatered using a screw press. Currently, the waste is landfilled or burned.

Issues

Biotreatment processes are anticipated new technologies to treat waste, including sludges. Key issues include: (1) development of improved biotreatment processes, including the effects of specific biological bacteria, nutrients, environment, and removal of specific and general chemicals

from the waste stream; (2) development of separations technologies including chemical, biological and physical separation methods; and (3) treatment of sludges. Sludges may contain elements that were absorbed by trees during growth and impurities introduced during the pulp and papermaking process.

Materials issues in these operations are again erosion and corrosion. Corrosion appears to be a larger concern, especially as the solutions are evaporated and the corrosion-inducing ions (chlorine, etc.) become more concentrated. The ash produced from incineration may also pose wear problems.

6.1 MATERIALS REQUIREMENTS

- Membranes suitable for reverse osmosis or nanofiltration to separate volatile compounds
- Engineered porous materials for filtration of liquid waste streams
- Inorganic membranes to remove deleterious chemicals to reduce concentration and permit plant "closure"
- Improved, cavitation-resistant materials for pumps
- Materials with improved abrasion resistance in ash transport chutes and pipes

7. WEAR

From the harvesting of trees to the use of paper products in packaging and printing, wear and friction unquestionably are important issues for the pulp and paper industry. In the pulp and paper process, nearly every form of wear may be encountered: abrasive wear, erosive wear, wear by slurries, cavitation wear (in pumps), sliding wear, rolling contact wear, galling and scuffing, fretting wear, and impact wear.

Strategies for dealing with wear issues in industrial environments usually fall into the following categories:

1. accept the existing situation and plan for periodic maintenance to replace worn parts;
2. alter the process conditions to make the wear-producing environment less severe;
3. replace wear-critical portions of the machine with more durable parts, inserts, or surface treatments; and
4. replace the whole process or machine with one that does not have the same costly wear problems.

Specific Wear Problems

As noted above, there are a great number of wear problems in the pulp and paper industry. Some of these are related to corrosive environments whose influence may accelerate the wear processes involved. Shown in Table 1 are several specific process areas and components where the wear behavior of materials is important to carry out the process, and opportunities for materials improvements exist.

Table 1. Wear — needs and opportunities

Unit operation	Wear site/s	Opportunities
Wood preparation	Debarkers Chipper - cutting blades Log transport - chutes - blades Saws Knives Slasher deck - saw blades Recycle paper handling	Improved materials providing greater impact-resistant edges for chipper blades, saws, knives, and slasher deck blades Materials with improved abrasion resistance for log transport chutes, debarkers, and recycle paper handling
Pulp preparation (mechanical) (chemical)	Groundwood Refiner - disks/plates - bearings Digester blow plates Recovery - pipes - fluidized bed gasifier	Improved ceramics for rotating grindstones Improved materials for refiner plates providing extended bar life and resistance to contact damage Refiner materials suitable for higher rotation rates with lower mass and higher fracture toughness, high-speed bearings Materials providing improved corrosion/erosion resistance for digester blow plates
Bleaching	Pumps	Abrasion-resistant materials for pumping high-consistency pulp slurries

Table 1. (Continued)

Unit operation	Wear site/s	Opportunities
Papermaking	Headbox (especially outer section) Fabric felt (knuckle) Wire belts Doctor blades Knives Rolls Slitters Drums Screen baskets Impulse drying - internal slider Slip rings	Materials with improved durability for the header box and intermediate structures Materials with improved abrasion resistance for doctor blades, slitters, drums and screen baskets
Other technologies		
Environmental aspects/waste handling and minimization	Pumps Boilers - ash transport chutes - pipes	Improved materials for cavitation resistance in pumps and improved abrasion resistance in ash transport chutes and pipes

8. CORROSION

Corrosion is an inherent problem both in the processing of wood fiber to make pulp and in the processing of pulp to make paper and related products. Although years of corrosion studies and alloy development underpin the present papermaking processes, corrosion remains the most visible and costly materials consideration in the design and operation of these processes. It is therefore relevant to review corrosion concerns based on contacts with representatives of the industry, to prioritize these concerns in terms of energy and economic considerations, and to examine the areas of corrosion expertise within Department of Energy (DOE) facilities that have potential applicability to pulp and paper requirements. This review is intended as a first step in identifying R&D needs and opportunities that will improve the corrosion performance of materials for pulp and paper production. Shown in Table 2 are specific process areas, components, and materials opportunities where corrosion is important.

Table 2. Corrosion - needs and opportunities

Unit operation	Corrosion site/s	Opportunities
Wood preparation		
Pulp preparation (mechanical)	Refiner - disks/plates	Materials suitable for higher rotation rates with lower mass and increased resistance to sulfuric acid corrosion and chloride pitting in the presence of steam
(chemical)	Digester - vessel walls - pipes - pumps	Modeling of corrosion processes in digester to minimize corrosion through design considerations Liner materials and/or weld overlays with improved corrosion resistance to caustic corrosion and caustic stress corrosion cracking
	- Blow plate	More economical blow target plate materials which will resist corrosion/erosion by pulp-containing liquors
	- Tube and shell heat exchangers	Stainless steel heat exchanger tubes with improved resistance to caustic and chloride cracking (and acids used for cleaning) On-line corrosion monitoring and diagnostic techniques

Table 2. (Continued)

Unit operation	Corrosion site/s	Opportunities
Pulp preparation (continued)	Recovery boiler - water wall tubes and studs in furnace	Boiler tube materials and surface coatings with improved resistance to hydrogen sulfide and stress-assisted waterside corrosion
Chemical (continued)	Hearth zone - primary air ports - superheater tubes - smelt spout - floor Recovery gasifier (new) - water wall tubes - ceramic liner - gas turbine	Oxidation/sulfidation-resistant superheater tube materials and surface coatings suitable for service at higher steam temperatures Studies of external stress-assisted corrosion mechanisms of boiler tubes in the furnace hearth and floor Corrosion-resistant ceramics for uncooled water-free smelt spouts Assess corrosion processes in gasifiers being developed for recovery of black liquor chemicals Ceramics and alloy resistant to hot surface containing gasifier gases
Bleaching	Washer - deck - drum - evaporation/ condensation sites	Determination of corrosion mechanism of titanium in alkaline peroxide solutions Selection of optimized alloy systems for ClO_2 bleaching environments Development of more corrosion- resistant weld filler materials and welding practices for aggressive bleaching environments (currently there are issues with ClO_2 and these may increase with superoxides)

Table 2. (Continued)

Unit operation	Corrosion site/s	Opportunities
Papermaking	Headbox - apron	More cost-effective and durable alloys with pitting resistance against reactive contaminants and cleaning chemicals
	- slice lips	
	Suction press roll - shell	Surfacing treatments to ameliorate residual stresses and improve corrosion fatigue properties Development of cast stainless steels with improved stress corrosion and fatigue resistance
	Press rolls	New metals or ceramic structural or coating materials with improved resistance to stream showers and cleaning chemicals
	Screen basket	Materials with improved resistance to fatigue and wear
Other technologies	Scrubbers, precipitators, and stacks	Materials to resist chloride pitting (dew point corrosion)
Environmental aspects/waste handling and minimization	Incinerators Gas separators and absorbers	Materials resistant to heavy metals associated with effluents

9. STRUCTURAL AND OTHER ASPECTS

Structural components (chutes, rolls, vessels, and pipes) and other items such as motors, generators, turbines, and sensors are important to pulp and paper facilities since they affect all unit operations. Cogeneration of electrical power at pulp and paper mills, for example, accounts for nearly 58% of all the electricity utilized by the industry. Electric motors are used throughout plants. The power level of motors can range from less than 1 to over 30,000 hp. Advances in these areas can improve energy efficiency and reduce downtime. Structural components are also present throughout pulp and paper facilities. Issues in these areas are not directly wear or corrosion controlled. Life prediction methodology is very important to long-term operation. Activities including identifying mechanisms, determining appropriate models, developing data bases, and conducting confirmatory tests are all necessary in order to improve structural components. This review is intended as a first step in identifying research and development needs and opportunities that will improve the performance and integrity of structural and other components for pulp and paper production. Shown in Table 3 are specific process areas, components, and materials opportunities related to these areas.

Table 3. Structural and other aspects - needs and opportunities

Unit operation	Location	Opportunities
Wood preparation		
Pulp preparation (mechanical)	Refiner - disks/plates	Refiner materials suitable for higher rotation rates with lower mass and higher fracture toughness and high-speed bearings
(chemical)	Digester	Life prediction modeling including stress, strain, fracture, hydrodynamic effects, and vibration analyses
	Recovery boiler - composite tubes	Modeling of digester to identify areas where significant corrosion could occur
	Recycling (new)	Nondestructive evaluation technique to monitor cracks and integrity of tubes and weldments
Bleaching	Washing	Membranes to improve quality and Btu content of gases from black liquor gasification processes
	Vessels Piping Valves	Cleanable filters and membranes to separate undesired components from bleaching effluents
		Polymer-base structural materials and coatings
		Joining and long-term structural integrity of materials
		Ceramic coatings

Table 3. (Continued)

Unit operation	Location	Opportunities
Papermaking	Suction press roll	Structural analyses and design modifications to reduce cyclic loads Improved manufacturing processes including casting, joining, and machining Life prediction modeling
	Dryer rolls	More ductile replacement for cast-iron rolls
	Impulse dryer roll	Higher modulus (stiffness) to remove necessity of hydrostatic element within roll Improved ceramic coatings on rolls
	Paper coaters	Develop clay solutions and roll materials with improved sticking and releasing characteristics and model coating process
Other technologies	Motors	Materials resulting in more efficient motors and motor controller
	Motors/generators	Motor current analyses to predict motor/generator/bearing failures
	Pulp preparation - recovery boiler	Robotic inspection techniques to verify boiler tube integrity On-line sensors and control to monitor and control the web and paper Sensors and controls to measure web and paper properties including stiffness Improved materials needed which permit communication with sensors placed on rotating rolls Determine fiber properties including length and orientation on-line
Environmental control/waster handling and minimization	Digester	Filters and membranes to reduce waste stream and minimize evaporative processes
	Waste processing	Membrane materials for separating volatile compounds and for removing undesired constituents of liquid waste streams

10. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special thanks is due to all participants in the meetings and workshops held with respect to the preparation of this report. Summaries of these meetings are listed in the Appendix.

The author also thanks P. J. Wenzel for compiling the Appendix, D. L. Balltrip for preparing the report, K. Spence for editing the report, and G. R. Carter for performing the quality assurance review.

11. APPENDIX

During the past 12 years, the U.S. Department of Energy has, together with industry support, provided funding for the development of technologies that could have a significant impact on the energy efficiency, productivity, and environmental compliance of paper and paperboard manufacture. The paper industry has played an important role in the guidance of this research and development program. An exciting new task is being undertaken to develop a more comprehensive and formalized strategic plan for DOE's paper program.

Information from meetings and workshops held with representatives of the pulp and paper industry, materials and equipment manufacturers, universities and institutes, U.S. DOE, and DOE national laboratories, as well as some textbooks, was used in the development of this program and to gather information in support of this report. A listing of these meetings and workshops follow:

- Opportunities and Needs for Materials in the Pulp and Paper Industry - visit by personnel from the Institute of Paper Science and Technology, November 1992, Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- Opportunities and Needs for Materials in the Pulp and Paper Industry, December 10, 1992, Oak Ridge, Tennessee
- Bowater Pulp and Paper Plant, December 1992, Calhoun, Tennessee
- Institute of Paper Science and Technology, December 1992, Atlanta, Georgia
- Pulp and Paper Mill of the Future Workshop, February 3-5, 1993, Reston, Virginia
- Joint AFPA-BLRBAC Maintenance Seminar, April 7-8, 1993, Atlanta, Georgia
- Government/Industry Pulp and Paper Workshop, August 12-13, 1993, Portland, Oregon
- Pulp and Paper Mill of the Future - An Information Exchange, September 8-10, 1993, University of Maine, Orono

MEETING TITLE: Opportunities and Needs for Materials in the Pulp and Paper Industry
Visit by personnel of the Institute of Paper Science Technology

SPONSOR: Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program

LOCATION: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

DATE: November 1992

The objective of this meeting was for the Institute of Paper Science Technology (IPST) personnel to identify critical materials issues related to the pulp and paper industry. The information was used to develop a preliminary needs assessment and to help define future planning meetings for the Materials Needs Assessment. Attendees included staff from ORNL, IPST, and DOE program managers.

MEETING TITLE: Opportunities and Needs for Materials in the Pulp and Paper Industry

SPONSOR: Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program

LOCATION: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee

DATE: December 1992

The goal of this meeting was to identify new applications of materials for pulp and paper processing. Information will be used to develop a program plan for the Office of Industrial Technologies, Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program. An agenda and list of attendees follows.

AGENDA

"Opportunities and Needs for Materials in the Pulp and Paper Industry"

Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program
Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)
Building 6008

Hosted By: Peter Angelini

Thursday, December 10, 1992

<u>Time</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Person</u>
8:30	Welcome	Peter Angelini ORNL
8:40	Introduction and Meeting Goals	Charlie A. Sorrell DOE-AIC Materials Program
8:55	Pulp and Paper Industry Programs	Stan F. Sobczynski DOE-Pulp and Paper Program
9:40	Background on ORNL	Anthony C. Schaffhauser ORNL
10:10	Break	
10:25	Industrial Perspective	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Andritz Sprout Bauer - Bowater - Champion International - Georgia-Pacific - Thermo-Chem - Westvaco Corporation - Weyerhaeuser Paper Company - University of Wisconsin-Platteville - Institute of Paper Science & Tech. 	William L. Bohn John Griffey LeRoy Hershey David C. Bennett Karl T. Morency K. Durai-Swamy William Steedman W. B. A. "Sandy" Sharp Peter Gorog Ronald A. Yeske Jeff Colwell Maclin Hall David Orloff
12:00	Lunch (Catered)	
1:00	Continue Industrial Perspective Session	
2:30	Discussion session on materials needs including: Corrosion/Metallic Coatings; Wear; Heat Transfer/Insulation; Structural Materials (welding, fatigue, stress); Ceramics/Coatings; Chemical Processing; Environmental; Nondestructive Evaluation (sensing/controls); and other areas of particular interest.	All (Jack DeVan, Jim Keiser, Charles Yust, Tom Kollie, Tom Zacharia, Gus Aramayo, Chuck Brinkman, Bob Lauf, Doug Fain, Charlie Byers, Steve Hildebrand, Dan McGuire, Dan McDonald)
4:30	Adjourn	
7:30	Dinner	

*AGENDA***"Opportunities and Needs for Materials in the Pulp and Paper Industry"**

Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program
Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)
Building 6008

Hosted By: Peter Angelini

Friday, December 11, 1992

<u>Time</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Person</u>
8:30	Continuation of Discussion Session on Materials Needs (if not completed the previous day) followed by Discussion and Report on Selected Topics	All
10:30	Break	
10:45	Continuation of Discussions	
12:00	Lunch (Catered)	
1:00	Summary session	All
2:30	Adjourn	
2:30	Tours of Facilities available	

OPPORTUNITIES AND NEEDS FOR MATERIALS IN THE PULP AND PAPER INDUSTRY

December 10, 1992

ATTENDEES LIST

Moonis Ally, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Peter Angelini, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Chuck R. Brinkman, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Charles H. Byers, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Jefferey A. Colwell, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
John V. Coyner, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Janet H. Cushman, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
David Dawson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Jack H. DeVan, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Doug E. Fain, K-25
Elmer Fleischman, EG&G Idaho, Inc., INEL
John E. Griffey, Bowater, Inc.
Maclin S. Hall, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
LeRoy Hershey, Bowater, Inc.
Eugene E. Hoffman, Department of Energy/Oak Ridge
James R. Keiser, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Robert J. Lauf, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Dan W. McDonald, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Karl T. Morency, Georgia-Pacific Corp.
Ronald L. Musselman, Andritz Sprout Bauer
Mitch Olszewski, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Dave Orloff, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
Arvid E. Pasto, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
David A. Searce, Thermo-Chem, Inc.
Anthony S. Schaffhauser, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
W.B.A. "Sandy" Sharp, Westvaco Corp.
Philip S. Sklad, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Stanley F. Sobczynski, Department of Energy/HQ
Charles A. Sorrell, Department of Energy/HQ
Joan K. Young, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories
Charles S. Yust, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Thomas Zacharia, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

MEETING TITLE: Bowater Pulp and Paper Plant

SPONSOR: Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program

LOCATION: Calhoun, Tennessee

DATE: December 1992

The purpose of this meeting was to tour the Bowater Pulp and Paper Plant in order to gain familiarity with the papermaking process.

MEETING TITLE: Institute of Paper Science and Technology

SPONSOR: Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program

LOCATION: Atlanta, Georgia

DATE: December 1992

The purpose of this meeting was to tour the Institute of Paper Science and Technology Research facilities to become familiar with capabilities.

MEETING TITLE: Pulp & Paper Mill of the Future Workshop

SPONSOR: U. S. Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies

LOCATION: Hyatt Regency, Reston, Virginia

DATE: February 3-5, 1993

The purpose of this meeting was to gather information used to assist in the development of the Office of Industrial Technologies (OIT) Paper Mill of the Future Program Plan and acquaint attendees with the on-going program being conducted in pulp and paper R&D. This information will be used to strengthen the current Pulp and Paper R&D Program. The objectives of the workshop were to obtain meaningful input to the OIT Pulp and Paper Program Plan, to inform the paper industry of the development of this plan, to present the mission of the Office of Industrial Technologies to the paper industry, to provide the opportunity for industry, universities, and national laboratories to share technology needs and capabilities, and to provide a mechanism for a continuing dialogue with the paper industry. Attendees included personnel from the paper industry, its suppliers, universities, private R&D institutes, the DOE, and national laboratories. A draft of this report "Materials Needs and Opportunities in the Pulp and Paper Industry" was distributed for comments and review at the meeting. An agenda and list of attendees follows.

"Final Edition"
25 Jan 1993

PULP & PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE WORKSHOP

Sponsor: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
Location: Hyatt Regency Reston, (703)-709-1234, FAX (703)-709-2281

February 3-5, 1993

Revised Agenda

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 1993

10:00 am Registration
(Note: Lunch not provided)

The Paper Industry Vision of the Future

1:00 pm	Welcome to Participants <i>S. Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy</i>
1:15 pm	The Federal Role Today in Technology Development <i>A. Streb, U.S. Department of Energy</i>
2:00 pm	The Paper Industry Today and Its Needs of the Future <i>Speaker: Dr. D. Raymond, Weyerhaeuser Paper Company</i>
2:45 pm	Workshop Objectives <i>D. Wiley, U.S. Department of Energy</i>
3:00 pm	Breakout Group Assignments <i>S. Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy</i>
3:15 pm	Breakout Sessions -(Groups A, B, C, D): Each group will address The Vision for the Paper Mill of the Future
5:00 pm	FEEDBACK PRESENTATIONS Vision for Paper Mill of the Future (Groups A, B, C, D)
6:30 pm	Adjourn
7:00 pm - 8:30 pm	Reception - hors d'oeuvre and cash bar (Dinner on your own)

(Agenda, Page 2 of 3)

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1993

7:00 am - 8:00 am

*Continental Breakfast***Industry Drivers**

8:00 am

Breakout Group Assignments
S. Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy

8:15 am

Group E - Energy Supply
Group F - Process Efficiency
Group G - Waste Reduction

10:30 am

Break

10:45 am

FEEDBACK PRESENTATIONS

Vision for Industry Drivers (Groups E, F, G)

12:00 pm

*Lunch***Third Generation R&D***Speaker: W. E. "Pat" Clarke, Arthur D. Little, Inc.***Vision for Pulp and Paper Technologies**

2:00 pm

Breakout Assignments
S. Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy

2:15 pm

*Breakout Sessions*Group H: Pulping, TMP, CTMP
Group J: Bleaching
Group K: Chemical Recovery
Group L: Papermaking, Other

5:30 pm

Adjourn

6:30 pm

Cash Bar

7:00 pm

*Dinner***Competitive Factors in the International Pulp and Paper Industry***Speaker: Dr. R. Slinn, AFPA*

(Agenda, Page 3 of 3)

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1993

7:00 am - 8:00 am	<i>Continental Breakfast</i>
8:00 am	<i>Announcements/Workshop Assignments</i>
8:15 am	<i>FEEDBACK PRESENTATIONS</i>
	<i>Vision for Pulp and Paper Technologies (Groups H, J, K, L)</i>
10:30 am	<i>Wrap up</i> <i>S. Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy</i>
10:45 am	<i>Closing Remarks</i> <i>D. Swink, U.S. Department of Energy</i>
11:30 am	<i>Adjourn - Lunch</i>

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE WORKSHOP

February 3-5, 1993

ATTENDEES LIST

Cyrus Aidun, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Robert Allen, Department of Energy/Boston
 James Anderson, Herty Foundation Development
 R. Anderson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Peter Angelini, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Peter Ariessohn, Weyerhaeuser Paper Company
 A. D. Armstrong, Georgia-Pacific Corp.
 Karl Ayers, The Mead Corp.
 Sujit Banerjee, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Robert Bareiss, Bareiss Associates
 Richard Barker, Union Camp Corp.
 Joe Barsin, Goraveiken
 Paul Bayer, Energetics, Inc.
 Alexander Bonsu, International Paper
 David Boron, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
 Joseph Bozell, National Renewable Energy Laboratory
 Taz Bramlette, Sandia National Laboratories
 L. Busker, Beloit Corp.
 Charles Byers, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Robert Chappell, Department of Energy/Golden
 Helena Chum, National Renewable Energy Laboratory
 W. E. Clarke, Arthur D. Little
 John Clement, The Babcock and Wilcox Company
 Jeffrey A. Colwell, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Charles Covino, General Magnaplate Corp.
 Bruce Cranford, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Waste Reduction
 Oscar Crisalle, University of Florida
 Harold Davis, Monadnock Process Engineering
 Jack DeVan, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Richard Diehl, Textron Defense Systems
 Donald Dimmel, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Darryl Dodson-Edgars, Boise Cascade Corp.
 Patricia J. Dollar, Corporation on Resource Recovery and the Environment
 Elisabeth M. Drake, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
 K. Durai-Swamy, MTCI/ThermoChem
 Richard Ellis, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Jeff Empie, Institute of Paper Science and Technology, Inc.
 Ronald Estridge, James River Corp.
 Douglas E. Fain, Sr., Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 John Fildes, BIRL/Industrial Research Laboratory
 Jack Firkins, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Elmer Fleischman, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
 W. Frederick, Oregon State University

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE WORKSHOP

February 3-5, 1993

ATTENDEES LIST (continued)

Arthur Fricke, University of Florida
 Simon Friedrich, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
 Joseph Genco, University of Maine
 Gary Gettmann, Georgia-Pacific Corp.
 Bob Giese, Giese and Associates
 Don Gilmore, TAPPI
 Peter Gorog, Weyerhaeuser Paper Company
 Thomas Grace, T. M. Grace Company, Inc.
 William Griffith, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Maclin Hall, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Marquita Hill, University of Maine
 Harold Hintz, Westvaco Corp.
 Patricia Hoffman, Energetics, Inc.
 Robert H. Horton, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Jeffrey Hsieh, Georgia Institute of Technology
 Ehr Ping HuangFu, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
 Peter J. Ince, Department of Agriculture Forest Service
 Robert Jacobs, ABB Combustion Engineering
 Keith Johnson, Scott Paper Company
 Richard Johnson, BIRL/Industrial Research Laboratory
 Todd Johnson, Babcock and Wilcox
 Jay Keller, Sandia National Laboratories
 Michael Kelley, Advanced Refractory Technologies
 Robert Kinstrey, RUST Engineering
 Michael Kocurek, Herty Foundation Development
 John Koning, Department of Energy Forest Products Laboratory
 David Kraske
 Gopal Krishnagopalan, Auburn University
 Veli Lapinoja, Georgia-Pacific Corp.
 Perry Lindstrom, National Critical Materials Council
 Earl W. Malcolm, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Amal Mansour, MTCI
 Momtaz Mansour, MTCI
 R. C. Massey
 Thomas McDonough, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
 Ronald McHugh, Department of Energy
 Robert McIlroy, Babcock and Wilcox
 Jim Mills, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
 Phill Mitchell, KAMYR
 Algis Mockaitis, Stone Container Corp.
 Richard Murphy, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Jon Myers, P. H. Glatfelter Company

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE WORKSHOP**February 3-5, 1993****ATTENDEES LIST**
(continued)

James Neff, BETZ
Ogbemi Omatete, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Gregory Ondich, Department of Energy, Environmental Protection Agency
David Orloff, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
Ralph Overend, National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Michael Pearce, General Magnaplate
Frank H. Pichette, Scott Paper Company
John Pinkerton, NCASI
Shalendra Porwal, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories
John Racine, Stone and Webster
Arthur J. Ragauskas, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
Ram Ramarao, Empire State Paper Research Institute
G. Julian Reid, TAPPI
David Rolfe, S. D. Warren Company
Niel Rossmeyssl, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
Alan W. Rudie, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
H. Rumph, Orion CEM, Inc.
Francis Ruppel, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
James Rushton, O'Neal Engineering, Inc.
Rolf Ryham, Ahlstrom Recovery, Inc.
Henry Said, ThermoChem, Inc.
Peter Salmon-Cox, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
Robert Salter, Osmotek, Inc.
Dale Schaefer, Sandia National Laboratories
A. C. Schaffhauser, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Linda Schilling, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
Martin Schroeter, Herty Foundation Development
William Scott, Miami University
Barry Seidel, BE&K
Peter Seifert, Black Clawson Company
W.B.A. Sharp, Westvaco Corp.
Thomas Single, James River Corp.
Philip S. Sklad, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
John M. Smuk, Potlatch Corp.
Lucinda B. Sonnenberg, Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc.
Charles A. Sorrell, Department of Energy, Office of Industrial Technologies
Louis Sousa, Bureau of Mines
Richard Steiger, Stone and Webster Engineering Company
Frank Stodolsky, Argonne National Laboratory
David Streit, Proctor and Gamble Cellulose
Paul Stuart, BEAK Consultants, Ltd.
Samuel Suh, Champion International

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE WORKSHOP**February 3-5, 1993****ATTENDEES LIST****(continued)**

Raymond Taylor, Georgia-Pacific Corp.
William Thompson, Bailey Controls Company
Matthew Van Hook, AFPA
Allan Walsh, J. H. Jansen Company
Theodore Wegner, Department of Agriculture, Forest Services, Forest Products Laboratory
Henry Wells, University of Minnesota
Torsten Wesslen, Foxboro
William Wilkinson, Stone Container Corp.
James R. Whetstone, National Institute of Standards and Technology
Bernard Yaros, Scott Paper Company
Joan Young, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Charles S. Yust, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Erdoğan Yuzak, Champion International

MEETING TITLE: Joint AFPA-BLRBAC Maintenance Seminar
(American Forest Products Association and
Black Liquor Recovery Boiler Advisory Committee)

SPONSOR: Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program

LOCATION: Sheraton Airport Hotel, Atlanta, Georgia

DATE: April 7-8, 1993

The topics of the seminar were inspection methods, procedures, and results. Information was presented that allowed knowledge to be gained relative to problems (corrosion, scc, etc) in black liquor recovery boilers, how the inspection programs were geared to control the problems and what non-destructive examination approaches were being used to periodically evaluate the boiler components. An agenda for this meeting follows but no attendance list was provided from the seminar.

JOINT AFPA - BLRBAC MAINTENANCE SEMINAR

APRIL 7, 1993, 10 AM - 5 PM

APRIL 8, 1993, 8 AM - NOON

SHERATON ATLANTA AIRPORT HOTEL
1325 VIRGINIA AVENUE, ATLANTA, GEORGIA

SESSION I Fundamentals of a Planned Inspection Program

- Key elements and the application of an organized planning process to optimize a maintenance inspection

- Elements of a Successful Inspection Program
 - Jim Jordan, ABB C-E
- Possible Discussion (No paper)
 - To be announced
- Preparation for Repair - A Contractor's Perspective
 - Ron Blodgett, ABB C-E
- The Inspection and the National Board Inspection Code (NBIC)
 - Barry Bobo, Hartford Steam Boiler Inspection and Insurance Company
- Panel Discussion

SESSION II Visual Inspection Techniques

- Modern Visual Inspection Techniques to Assure Reliability and Detect Problems on a Timely Basis
 - Application of Inspection Knowledge, Equipment and Techniques
 - Carl Lohstroh, Weyerhaeuser
 - Modern Visual Inspection Techniques Recovery Boilers
 - Gunnar Thorslund, Tampella
 - Panel Discussion

SESSION III NDT/DT Inspection Techniques

- Managing a NDT/DT Program to Assure Where to Inspect, How to Assure the Quality of Inspection Training and Certification
 - (Tentative) Training and Certification to Accepted Standards
 - Jacques Brignac, ABB C-E
 - Non-Destructive Examination (NDE)
 - Ralph Murphy, Babcock & Wilcox
 - The Use of Non-Destructive Testing in a Recovery Boiler
 - Jim Treat, Longview Fibre *Inspection*
 - Corrosion Inspection of Recovery Boilers
 - W. B. A. Sandy Sharp, Westvaco Corp
 - Panel Discussions

JOINT AFPA - BLRBAC MAINTENANCE SEMINAR
APRIL 7, 1993 10:00 AM - 5:00 PM
APRIL 8, 1993 8:00 AM - 12:00 NOON

- Page 2 -

SESSION IV SPECIAL INSPECTION TECHNIQUES

- Understanding the Special Mechanisms of near Drum Corrosion and Stress Assisted Cracking/Corrosion and the Techniques for Inspection
 - Near Drum Corrosion, the Problem
 - Doug Singbill, PAPPRICAN
 - How to Inspect for "NDC"
 - Charles Guzi, Lamda Technology USA
 - Stress Assisted Corrosion, the Problem
 - Joan Barna, Babcock & Wilcox
 - X-Ray Inspection Techniques for Stress Assisted Corrosion
 - Lloyd Sutton, INDT
 - Panel Discussion

SESSION V Evaluation of Inspection Data and Long Term Planning

- How can the Inspection Results be Evaluated to Develop Proactive Maintenance Program
 - Computer Analysis and Trending
 - Tom Ridgeway, TCRI
 - Mill Perspective on Inspection Evaluation and Maintenance Planning
 - Jim West, Weyerhaeuser
 - Inspection Data Managed for Enhanced Predictive Maintenance
 - Tom Enright, ABB C-E
 - Panel Discussion

MEETING TITLE: Government/Industry Pulp and Paper Workshop

SPONSOR: Office of Industrial Technologies and Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM)
Program

LOCATION: Portland, Oregon

DATE: August 12-13, 1993

The purpose of this effort was to identify further needs and opportunities for improved materials and process equipment of the pulp and paper industry. This information will be used by the Office of Industrial Technology and the Advanced Industrial Concept Division of DOE to plan new initiatives to support Paper Mill 2020. This workshop is being held to identify the most serious problems that occur during pulp and paper processing resulting from the use of suboptimal materials and process equipment. The goal of the workshop was to obtain an in-depth identification of needs and specific recommendations for joint laboratory/industry research projects. Attendees included representatives from large integrated northwest pulp and paper mills, paper industry machinery manufacturers, regional academic institutions and technical associations for the pulp and paper industry. Laboratory staff knowledgeable of both materials issues and pulp and paper technology were invited. An agenda and list of attendees follows.

WORKSHOP AGENDA

Government/Industry Workshop on Opportunities for New Materials and Processes in Pulp and Paper Processing

August 12, 1993

TOURS -- Non-paper industry participants -- General mill tours are intended for workshop participants who wish to be introduced to and gain familiarity with pulp and paper process methods. Northwest paper mill participants should attend in the afternoon.

Location: Portland Downtown Holiday Inn

7:00 a.m. LEAVE FROM DOWNTOWN PORTLAND HOLIDAY INN LOBBY FOR LONGVIEW, WA -- Prior reservation only, meet at Portland Downtown Holiday Inn Lobby at 7:00 a.m., or drive on your own.

Location: Longview, WA -- see map

8:30 a.m. - TOUR OF LONGVIEW FIBRE
11:00 p.m.

11:15 - TOUR OF NORTH PACIFIC PAPER (NORPAC)
12:30 p.m.

1:00 - RETURN RIDE/BOX LUNCH
2:00 p.m.

WORKSHOP SESSION BEGINS -- All

Location: 3rd Floor, 500 NE Multnomah (across from Lloyd Center and Portland Downtown Holiday Inn)

2:00 p.m. INTRODUCTIONS/AGENDA
(Joan K. Young, Pacific Northwest Laboratory)

2:15- REVIEW OF PAPER MILL 2020 INITIATIVE
2:45 p.m. (Stan Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy)

2:45 - WELCOME/Advanced Industrial Materials Program Objectives
3:00 p.m. (Charles A. Sorrell, U.S. Department of Energy)

3:00 - REVIEW OF PREVIOUS AICD EFFORTS -- Candidate Materials and Processes
3:45 p.m. (Peter Angelini, Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

- 3:45 - GENERAL INDUSTRY PERSPECTIVE — General Trends in Pulp and Paper
4:15 p.m. Production (Richard Haynes, U.S. Forest Service)
- 4:30 - TOUR OF OLD GROWTH STAND (1 hr. & 20 min. away, some van space 8:00
p.m. available with prior notice. We will stop for dinner in route.)

August 13, 1993

- 8:00 a.m. COFFEE/INTRODUCTIONS^(a)
- 8:15 - PAPER INDUSTRY/SUPPLIERS PERSPECTIVE (contd.) — Corrosion, Wear, and
9:15 a.m. Other Problems in the Pulp and Paper Process Technology (Weyerhaeuser, Boise
Cascade, James River, Simpson, Mead Corporation, others)
- 9:15 - OPEN DISCUSSION — All paper mill participants have the opportunity to discuss
10:30 a.m. problems and technical concerns.
- 10:30 - DOE NATIONAL LABORATORY CAPABILITIES
11:45 a.m.
- Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Energy and Materials Programs (Bill Samuels)
 - Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Advanced Industrial Materials Program (Peter Angelini)
 - Sandia National Laboratory, Programs (Bob Carling)
 - Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Programs (Bob Neilson)
 - Los Alamos National Laboratory, Programs (Gerald Maestas)
 - National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Programs (Helena Chum)
 - Other
- 12:00 p.m. LUNCH PRESENTATION — CRADAs and Working with the DOE National
Laboratories
- 1:00 - DOE NATIONAL LABORATORY CAPABILITIES (contd.)
2:30 p.m.
- 2:30 - • Finnish Pulp and Paper Industry (Christina Hagstrom-Nasi, TEKES)
4:30 p.m. • Pulp and Paper Research at IPST Engineering and Paper Division (David Orloff, Institute of Paper Science and Technology)
- 4:30 - DISCUSSION/WRAP-UP
5:00 p.m.

(a) Breaks taken as time permits.

GOVERNMENT/INDUSTRY PULP AND PAPER WORKSHOP**August 12-13, 1993****ATTENDEES LIST**

Charles A. Allen, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
Peter Angelini, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Helena Chum, National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Darryl Dodson-Edgars, Boise Cascade Corporation
Dennis Forbes, James River Corporation
Bob Giese, Giese & Associates
Y. P. Fang, Simpson
Jack Goldfrank, Mead Corporation
Margaret Gorog, Weyerhaeuser Company
Peter Gorog, Weyerhaeuser Company
Rick Gustafson, University of Washington
Christine Hatstrom-Nasi, TEKES Technology Development Center
Richard Haynes, PFSL
Arlon Hunt, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Densmore Hunter, Weyerhaeuser Company
James R. Keiser, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Duane Lindner, Sandia National Laboratory
Gerry Maestas, Los Alamos National Laboratory
Robert J. McTee, Weyerhaeuser Company
Robert M. Neilson, Jr., EG&G Idaho, Inc.
David Orloff, Institute of Paper Science & Technology
Wayne Robbins, Institute of Paper Science & Technology
Thomas P. Roberts, Mead Central Research Laboratories
W. D. Samuels, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Stanley F. Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy
Charles Sorrell, U.S. Department of Energy
W. W. Vantzelfden, James River Corporation
Carol Wiseman, Boise Cascade Corporation
Ainslie T. Young, Los Alamos National Laboratory
Ray Witter, James River Corporation

MEETING TITLE: Pulp and Paper Mill of the Future - An Information-Exchange

SPONSOR: Office of Industrial Technologies and Advanced Industrial Materials (AIM) Program

LOCATION: University of Maine, Orono

DATE: September 8-10, 1993

The DOE has historically sponsored research in the pulp and paper industry under the program direction of Stan Sobczynski. DOE recognizes the need to work more closely with U.S. industry in other program areas, including, for example, materials research, recycling, and waste minimization. The National Laboratories are a resource for collaborative research with industry, and there is an increased realization of the need for the Laboratories to perform research that supports U.S. industry. For effective cooperative efforts among interested parties, each needs to understand the needs, interests, and capabilities of the others. At this meeting, industry personnel summarized the research needs of the pulp and paper industry, and colleges and others with pulp and paper technology programs made use of a poster session to present their capabilities to address these needs. The DOE National Laboratories discussed their capabilities and how they might be useful to the pulp and paper industry. An agenda and list of attendees follows.

**Pulp and Paper Mill of the Future --
an information-exchange**

September 8 - 10, 1993

University of Maine, Orono, Department of Chemical Engineering

*Sponsored by the
U.S. Department of Energy Office of Industrial Technologies
and the U.S. DOE Laboratories*

Wednesday September 8

8 am - 5 pm **Introduction to Pulp and Paper Making** for newcomers to the industry with
Dr. Joseph Genco, Univ. of Maine, followed by afternoon paper mill visit.

Wednesday Evening -- plenary session begins

6-7:30 pm Reception (*cash bar*) Jenness Hall lobby includes tour of *P&P Pilot Plant*.

Dinner on your own.

Thursday September 9

8:30 - 9 am **Welcome, Judy Bailey, Vice President, Research and Public Service, Univ of Maine**
Purpose of meeting, Stanley Sobczynski, U.S. DOE
U.S. DOE's Advanced Industrial Concepts Division -- what they do and what they
need to know about P&P needs

9- noon **Pulp and Paper Mill of the Future -- Vision Statement**
P&P industry representative presentations -- research needs in raw materials, the
environment, pulping and bleaching, paper-making, surface and structural materials,
sensors and process control, chemical recovery, recycling, materials & maintenance.

12 - 1 ***Lunch***

1-5 pm **Finish P&P industry presentations on research needs**
R&D capabilities of colleges and others working to meet industry needs -- an
overview presentation followed by a poster session served up with refreshments.

6 pm **Dinner at Black Bear Inn**
Research funded by DOE's P&P Processes program, S.Sobczynski, DOE
Major presentation being arranged
Entertainment

Friday September 10

8:30 am - noon **Capabilities and interests of the U.S. DOE National Labs -- presentations**
Discussion and closing

noon **Lunch**

*For more information, call Marquita Hill, Tel: 207/ 581-2301
or Betty Ingraham, Tel: 207/581-2281 (FAX 207/ 581-2323).*

**PULP & PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE--AN INFORMATION EXCHANGE
LIST OF SPEAKERS AS OF JULY 30, 1993**

Pulp and Paper Industry

	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Fax</u>
Vision statement		
<i>Delmar Raymond</i> , Weyerhaeuser	206/ 924-6850	924-6540
Raw materials		
<i>William Foster</i>	517/ 631-0908	631-1755
Environmental Concerns		
<i>Ron Estridge</i> , James River	804/ 649-4209	343-8592
Pulping/bleaching		
<i>Harry Hintz</i> , Westvaco	212/ 318-5412	
Paper making processes		
<i>Dan W. Manson</i> , Manson and Associates	404/ 237-3939	261-6920
Surface & structural materials		
<i>Anthony Lyons</i> , Repap Technologies	215/ 630-9630	630-0966
Sensors/process control		
<i>Gary Baum</i> , James River	414/ 729-8161	729-8161
Recycling		
<i>Tom Friburg</i> , Weyerhaeuser	206/ 924-6204	
Chemical Recovery		
<i>Tom Grace</i> , T.M. Grace Co.	414/ 734-4434	734-4505
Materials/maintenance		
<i>Sandy Sharp</i> , West Vaco	301/ 497-1330	497-1309

National Lab speakers

Oak Ridge		
<i>Peter Angelini</i> , lead talk on Sep 10	615/ 574-4565	574-6098
Los Alamos		
<i>Gerald Maestas</i>	505/ 667-3973	667-8873
Sandia		
<i>Dale Schaefer</i>	505/ 844-7937	844-4947
NREL		
<i>Estabon Chornet</i>	303/ 231-1384	
<i>(Robert Evans, contact person)</i>		
Battelle, Pacific Northwest Lab		
<i>Douglas Elliott</i>	509/ 375-2248	375-2059

DOE

Pulp and Paper Processes		
<i>Stanley Sobczynski</i>	202/ 586-1878	586-8134
Advanced Industrial Concepts Div.		
<i>Charles Sorrell</i>	202/ 586-1514	586-7114

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE - AN INFORMATION EXCHANGE**September 8-10, 1993****ATTENDEES LIST**

Said M. Abubakr, Forest Products Laboratory
Peter Angelini, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Raja G. Aravamuthan, Western Michigan University
James E. Battles, Argonne National Laboratory
Gary A. Baum, James River Corporation
Roger C. Bavoux, U.S. Department of Energy-Boston
Douglas Bousfield, University of Maine
Mike Boyle, University of Maine
Charles H. Byers, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Med Byrd, North Carolina State University
Hou-min Chang, North Carolina State University
Jack Chinn, Madison Paper Industries
Esteban Chornet, National Renewable Energy Laboratory
J. L. Clement, The Babcock & Wilcox Company
Barbara Cole, University of Maine
Karl M. Counts, Herty Foundation Development Center
Harry T. Cullinan, Pulp and Paper Research & Education Center
Al Cyr, Sr., Resource Recovery, Inc.
Robert L. Dalton, Center for Technology Transfer
Joseph E. DeWees, U.S. Department of Energy
Richard C. Diehl, Textron Defense Systems
James R. DiStefano, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Steven Edwards, Kimberly Clark Corporation
Douglas C. Elliott, Pacific Northwest Laboratory
H. Jeff Empie, Institute of Paper Science & Technology
Toshiharu Enomae, University of Maine
Ron Estridge, James River Corporation
Douglas E. Fain, Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
Lad Falat, Westvaco
Ted Farrington, Kimberly Clark Corporation
Elmer Fleischman, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
William A. Foster
Raymond C. Francis, ESPRI
W. J. Frederick, Oregon State University
Tom Friberg, Weyerhaeuser
Art Fricke, University of Florida
Thomas E. Fritz, Argonne National Laboratory
Joseph M. Genco, University of Maine
Thomas M. Grace, T. M. Grace Company, Inc.
Eric Hass, U.S. Department of Energy
Marquite Hill, University of Maine
Harold L. Hintz, Westvaco
Jeffery S. Hsieh, Georgia Institute of Technology

PULP AND PAPER MILL OF THE FUTURE - AN INFORMATION EXCHANGE

September 8-10, 1993

ATTENDEES LIST (continued)

Betty Ingraham, University of Maine
 Erdogan Kiran, University of Maine
 Andrew Klein, U.S. Department of Energy
 Dave Kraske
 Gopal A. Krishnagopalan, Auburn University
 Eric D. Larson, Princeton University
 Ryszard Lec, University of Maine
 Frank W. Lorey, Garden State Paper Company
 Tony Lyons, REPAP Technologies, Inc.
 Andrej Macek, National Institute of Standards & Technology
 Gerald Maestas, Los Alamos National Laboratory
 Earl W. Malcolm, Institute of Paper Science & Technology
 Dan W. Manson, Manson and Associates
 Stanley Marshall, University of Maine
 Steven Martin, Advanced Refractory Technologies, Inc.
 Michael E. McIlwain, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
 William T. McKean, University of Washington
 Chris Meyers, Aqua Dynamics Group Corp.
 Paul Niskanen, Lanxide Corporation
 John R. Obst, USDA FS Forest Products Laboratory
 David Orloff, Institute of Paper Science & Technology
 Jeff Paschke, Aqua Dynamics Group Corporation
 Suhas V. Patankar, Innovative Research, Inc.
 Hemant P. Pendse, University of Maine
 John G. Penniman, Paper Chemistry Laboratory, Inc.
 Delmar R. Raymond, Weyerhaeuser
 Wayne B. Robbins, Institute of Paper Science & Technology
 C. James Sample, APMA
 Dale W. Schaefer, Sandia National Laboratories
 Thomas W. Schmidt, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Jack Sibold, Coors/Golden Technology
 Philip S. Sklad, Oak Ridge National Laboratory
 Stanely Sobczynski, U.S. Department of Energy
 Daniel J. Sordet, Ames Laboratory
 Charles A. Sorrell, U.S. Department of Energy
 Edward V. Thompson, University of Maine
 James R. Thrift III, Georgia Power Company
 Thomas H. Ulrich, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
 Pertti Visuri, Ahlstrom USA
 Jake Ward, University of Maine
 Ira A. Weinstock, USDA FS Forest Products Laboratory
 Ainslie T. Young, Los Alamos National Laboratory

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1-2. Central Research Library	96. C. R. Hubbard
3. Document Reference Section	97. J. O. Hylton
4-5. Laboratory Records Department	98. M. A. Janney
6. Laboratory Records, ORNL RC	99. D. R. Johnson
7. ORNL Patent Section	100. R. R. Judkins
8-10. M&C Records Office	101. M. A. Karnitz
11. D. J. Alexander	102. J. R. Keiser
12. K. B. Alexander	103. E. A. Kenik
13. L. F. Allard	104. R. J. Lauf
14. M. Ally	105. E. Lee
15. R. Anderson	106. C. T. Liu
16-66. P. Angelini	107. R. A. Lowden
67. R. L. Beatty	108. G. M. Ludtka
68. P. F. Becher	109. L. K. Mansur
69. T. M. Besmann	110. P. J. Maziasz
70. P. J. Blau	111. D. W. McDonald
71. E. E. Bloom	112. D. J. McGuire
72. R. A. Bradley	113. R. A. McKee
73. C. R. Brinkman	114. K. L. More
74. T. D. Burchell	115. R. Murphy
75. W. H. Butler	116. R. K. Nanstad
76. C. H. Byers	117. T. A. Nolan
77. N. C. Cole	118. M. Olszewski
78. R. H. Cooper, Jr.	119. O. Omatete
79. J. V. Coyner	120. A. E. Pasto
80. D. F. Craig	121. S. Pawel
81. J. H. Cushman	122. K. P. Plucknett
82. S. A. David	123. J. B. Roberto
83. R. B. Dinwiddie, Jr.	124. F. Ruppel
84. J. R. DiStefano	125. M. L. Santella
85. D. S. Easton	126. A. C. Schaffhauser
86. D. E. Fain	127. J. H. Schneibel
87. M. K. Ferber	128. T. W. Schmidt
88. A. T. Fisher	129. V. K. Sikka
89. E. P. George	130. P. S. Sklad
90. B. G. Gieseke	131. S. Spooner
91. G. M. Goodwin	132. R. W. Swindeman
92. W. L. Griffith	133. B. Taljat
93. H. W. Hayden, Jr.	134. T. N. Tiegs
94. L. L. Horton	135. S. Viswanathan
95. J. A. Horton, Jr.	136. J. M. Vitek

137. X. L. Wang
 138. K. E. Wilkes
 139. I. G. Wright
 140. L. M. Wright
 141. T. Zacharia

142. H. W. Foglesong (Consultant)
 143. E. L. Menger (Consultant)
 144. J. G. Simon (Consultant)
 145. K. E. Spear (Consultant)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

146. ABB Combustion Engineering, 1000 Prospect Hill Road, Windsor, CT 06095

Robert Jacobs, CEP 8151-2324

147. ABB Combustion Engineering, 911 West Main Street, Chattanooga, TN 37402

Domenic A. Canonico

148. ABB Combustion Engineering, 200 Great Pond Drive, Windsor, CT 06095-0568

Mark LeBel

149. AFPA, 1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW #210, Washington, DC 20036

Matthew Van Hook

150. APMA, 2608 E. Meredith Drive, Vienna, VA, 22181

C. James Sample

151. Advanced Refractory Technologies, 7800 Foxhound Road, McLean, VA 22102

Michael Kelley

152-153. Advanced Refractory Technologies, Incorporated, 699 Hertel Avenue, Buffalo, NY 14207

Steven Martin
 Keith Blakely

154. Ahlstrom Recovery, Inc., 30 Mansell Court, Roswell, GA 30076

Rolf Ryham

155. Ahlstrom USA, 8925 Rehco Road, San Diego, CA 92121

Pertti Visuri

156. **Alabama Pine Pulp Company, Inc., P.O. Box 100, Perdue Hill, AL 36470**

Sunil Chandnani

157. **AlliedSignal Inc., Ceramic Components Division, P. O. Box 2960,
MS:T21, Torrance, CA 90509-2960**

Maxine L. Savitz

158. **American Forest and Paper Association, 1111 19th St., N.W.,
Washington, D.C. 20036**

David Cooper

159. **Ames Laboratory, 107 Metals Development, Ames, Iowa 50011**

Daniel J. Sordet

160. **Andritz Sprout Bauer, Sherman Street, Muncy, PA 17756**

Ronald L. Musselman

- 161-162. **Aqua Dynamics Group Corporation, P.O. Box 849, 330 Maple Street, Adamsville,
TN 38310**

Chris Meyers
Jeff Paschke

163. **Argonne National Laboratory, 340 L'Enfant Promenade SW, Washington,
DC 20024**

Frank Stodolsky

- 164-166. **Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439**

James E. Battles
William A. Ellingson
Thomas E. Fritz

167. **ASME, 1835 L Street, NW, Suite 906, Washington, DC 20036**

Gregory B. Barthold

168. **Auburn University, Department of Chemical Engineering, 230 Ross Hall, Auburn
University, AL 36849**

Gopal A. Krishnagopalan

169. **BE&K, 2000 International Park, Birmingham, AL 35243**

Barry Seidel

170. **BEAK Consultants, Ltd., 3285 Cavendish, Suite 610, Montreal, Quebec H4B 2L9**

Paul Stuart

- 171-172. **Babcock & Wilcox, 1562 Beeson Street, Alliance, OH 44601-2196**

Todd Johnson
Robert McIlroy

173. **Babcock & Wilcox, 2302 Parklake Drive, NE, Suite 300, Atlanta, GA 30345**

Robert Larsen

- 174-176. **Babcock & Wilcox, 20 S. Van Buren Avenue, P.O. Box 351, Barberton, OH 44203-0351**

Joan Barna
John Clement
J. William Smith

177. **Bailey Controls Company, 29801 Euclid Avenue, MS 2L8, Wickliffe, OH 44092**

William Thompson

178. **Bareiss Associates, 10301 Bellwood Avenue, New Port Richey, FL 34654**

Robert Bareiss

- 179-180. **Battelle, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, OH 43201**

Shalendra Porwal
Jeffrey Colwell

- 181-183. **Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Department of Materials Science, P.O. Box 999, Richland, WA 99352**

Douglas C. Elliott, K2-40
W. D. Samuels, K2-44
Joan Young, K6-54

184. **Beloit Corporation, Rockton R&D Center, 1165 Prairie Hill Road, Rockton, IL 61072**

L. Busker

185. **BETZ, 8811 N. Harborview Drive, Gig Harbor, WA 98335**

James Neff

186-187. **BIRL - Industrial Research Lab., Northwestern University, 1801 Maple Avenue,
Evanston, IL 60201-3135**

John Fildes
Richard Johnson

188. **Black Clawson Company, 605 Clark Street, P.O. Box 16, Middleton, OH 45042**

Peter Seifert

189. **Boise Cascade Corporation, 1300 Kaster Road, St. Helens, OR 97051**

Darryl Dodson-Edgars

190. **Boise Cascade Corporation, Technical Association of the Pulp & Paper Industry,
4435 North Channel Avenue, Portland, OR 97217**

Carol Wiseman

191-193. **Bowater, Inc., 5020 Highway 11, South, Calhoun, TN 37309**

John E. Griffey
LeRoy Hershey
Mark Rofier

194. **Center for Technology Transfer, 59 Exeter Street, Portland, Maine 04043**

Robert L. Dalton

195-197. **Champion International, West Nyack Road, West Nyack, NY 10994**

Dave Bennett
Samuel Suh
Erden Yuzak

198. **Coors/Golden Technology, 4545 McIntyre Street, Golden, CO 80403**

Jack Sibold

199. **Corporation on Resource Recovery and the Environment, 601 Pennsylvania
Avenue, NW Suite 900, Washington, DC 20004**

Patricia J. Dollar

200-202. EG&G Idaho, Inc., P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2218

Elmer Fleischman
Michael E. McLlwain
Robert M. Neilson, Jr.

203. ESPRI, SUNY, College of Environmental Science and Forestry, 1 Forestry Drive,
Syracuse, NY 13210

Raymond C. Francis

204. Empire State Paper Research Institute, 310 Walters, SUNY-ESF, Syracuse,
NY 13210

Ram Ramarao

205. Energetics, Incorporated, 7164 Gateway Drive, Columbia, MD 21046

Paul Bayer

206. William A. Foster, 3000 Scarborough Lane, Midland, MI 48640

207. Foxboro, 6659-L Peachtree Industrial, Norcross, GA 30092

Torsten Wesslen

208. Garden State Paper Company, 669 River Drive Center 2, Elmwood Park,
New Jersey 07407

Frank W. Lorey

209. Georgia Institute of Technology, School of Materials Engineering, 778 Atlantic
Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332-0245

Jeffery S. Hsieh

210. Georgia-Pacific, 8480 Sentinae Chase Drive, Roswell, GA 30076

Gary Gettmann

211-214. Georgia-Pacific Corp., 133 Peachtree Street, NE, Atlanta, GA 30303

A. D. Armstrong
Veli Lapinoja
Karl T. Morency
Raymond Taylor

215. Georgia Power Company, 21st Floor, 333 Piedmont Avenue, Atlanta, GA 30308

James R. Thrift III

216. Giese & Associates, P.O. Box 4154, Kent, WA 98032

Bob Giese

217. P. H. Glatfelter Company, 228 S. Main Street, Spring Grove, PA 17362

Jon Myers

218. Samuel Goldberg, 9208 Burning Tree Road, Bethesda, MD 20817

219. Goraveiken, 8008 Corporate Center Drive, Charlotte, NC 28226

Joe Barsin

220. T. M. Grace Company, Incorporated, 2517 S. Harmon Street, Appleton, WI 54915

Thomas Grace

221-224. Herty Foundation Development, P.O. Box 7798, Savannah, GA 31418

James Anderson

Karl M. Counts

Michael Kocurek

Martin Schroeter

225-229. Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, EG&G Idaho, P.O. Box 1625, Idaho Falls, ID 83415-2218

Elmer Fleischman

John E. Flinn

D. Kunerth

E. S. Peterson

Thomas H. Ulrich

230-231. Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, P.O. Box 1625, M/S 2208, Idaho Falls, ID 83409

Charles A. Allen

Jim Mills

232. Innovative Research, Inc., 2800 University Avenue SE, Minneapolis, MN 55414

Suhas V. Patankar

233-247. **Institute of Paper Science & Technology, Inc., 500 10th Street, N.W., Atlanta, GA 30318**

Cyrus Aidun
 Sujuit Banerjee
 Donald Dimmel
 Richard Ellis
 H. Jeff Empie
 Jack Firkins
 Maclin S. Hall
 Robert H. Horton
 Earl W. Malcolm
 Thomas McDonough
 Dave Orloff
 Authur J. Ragauskas
 Wayne Robbins
 Alan W. Rudie
 Lucinda B. Sonnenberg

248. **International Paper, Long Meadow Road, Tuxedo, NY 10987**

Alexander Bonsu

249. **International Paper, P.O. Box 160707, Mobile, AL 36616**

Russell Andrews

250. **International Paper, P.O. Box 2787, Mobile, AL 36652-2787**

Subhash Pati

251-252. **James River Corporation, 349 N.W. 7th Avenue, Camas, WA 98607-2090**

Dennis Forbes
 Ray Witter, Manager, Pulping Recovery & Utilities Engr.

253. **James River Corporation, 4th and Adams, Camas, WA 98607**

W. W. Vantzelfden

254-255. **James River Corporation, Corporate R & D, P.O. Box 899, Neenah WI 54957-0899**

Gary A. Baum
 William Steed

256-257. **James River Corporation**, P.O. Box 2218, Richmond, VA 23217

Ronald Estridge
Thomas Single

258. **J. H. Jansen Company**, P.O. Box 630, Woodinville, WA 98072

Allan Walsh

259. **KAMYR**, 101 Ridge Street, Glens Falls, NY 12801

Phill Mitchell

260-261. **Kimberly Clark Corporation**, 2100 West Winchester Road, Neenah,
WI 54957

Steven Edwards
Ted Farrington

262. **David Kraske**, P.O. Box 673, Canton, ME 04221

263-264. **Kvaerner Pulping**, 8008 Corporate Center Drive, Charlotte, NC 28226

Joe Barsin
Bo Oscarsson

265. **Lanxide Corporation**, Government Development Programs, 1300 Marrows Rd.,
P.O. Box 6077, Newark, DE 19714-6077

Paul Niskanen

266. **Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory**, 1 Cyclotron Road, Mail Stop 90-2024, Berkeley,
CA 94720

Arlon J. Hunt

267. **Arthur D. Little**, Acorn Park, Cambridge, MA 02159

W. E. Clarke

268-269. **Los Alamos National Laboratory**, P.O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87545

Gerald Maestas, MS F643
Ainslie T. Young, G756

270. **MIT Energy Laboratory**, E40-453, MIT, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139
Elisabeth M. Drake
- 271-272. **MTCI**, 5570 Sterrett Place, Suite 20, Columbia, MD 21044
Amal Mansour
Momtaz Mansour
273. **MTCI - ThermoChem**, 13080 Park Street, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
K. Durai-Swamy
274. **MacMillan Bloedel**, P. O. Box 336, Pine Hill, AL 36769
Wallace Baxter
275. **Madison Paper Industries**, P.O. Box 129, Madison, Maine 04950
Jack Chinn
276. **Manson and Associates**, 1230 Brookhaven Park Place, Atlanta, GA 30319
Dan W. Manson
277. **R. C. Massey**, 400 Mablsen Street, Alexandria, VA 22314
278. **Mead Central Research Laboratories**, Materials Engineering, Eighth and Hickory Streets, P.O. Box 1700, Chillicothe, OH 45601
Thomas P. Roberts
- 279-280. **Mead Corporation**, Courthouse Plaza N.E., Dayton, OH 45463
Karl Ayers
Jack Goldfrank
281. **Miami University**, 244 Gaskill Hall, Oxford, OH 45056
William Scott
282. **Monadnock Process Engineering**, 37 Champney Street, Groton, MA 01450
Harold Davis

283. NCASI, 260 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016

John Pinkerton

284-285. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), Gaithersburg, MD 20899

Andrej Macek, Physics Building, Room B 312
James R. Whetstone, B312, Bldg. 221

286-290. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, 1617 Cole Boulevard, Golden, CO
80401-3393

Joseph Bozell
Esteban Chornet
Helena L. Chum
Eric Hass
Ralph Overend

291-292. North Carolina State University, Box 8005, Raleigh, NC 27695-8005

Med Byrd
Hou-min Chang

293. O'Neal Engineering, Inc., P.O. Box 10269, Greenville, SC 29603

James Rushton

294. Oregon State University, Dept. of Chemical Engineering, Gleesm 103, Corvallis,
OR 97331

W. J. Frederick

295. Orion CEM, Inc., 2939 Flowers Road S. #130, Atlanta, GA 30341

H. Rumph

296. Osmotek, Inc., 30636 Peterson Road, Corvallis, OR 97333

Robert Salter

297. PFSL, Box 3890, Portland, OR 97331

Richard Haynes

298. Paper Chemistry Laboratory, Inc., P.O. Box 930, Carmel, NY 10512

John G. Penniman

299. **PAPRICAN**, 3800 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver B.C., Canada, V6S2L9

Douglas Singbeil

- 300-301. **Parson & Whittemore, Inc.**, P. O. Box 65, Perdue Hill, AL 36470

Sunil Chandnani
Adam Melton

302. **Potlatch Corp.**, Cloquet, MN 55720

John M. Smuk

303. **Princeton University**, Center for Energy & Environmental Studies, Princeton,
NJ 08544-5263

Eric D. Larson

304. **Procter & Gamble Cellulose**, P.O. Box 8407, Memphis, TN 38108-0407

David Streit

305. **Pulp & Paper Research & Education Center**, 242 Ross Hall, Auburn University,
Alabama 36849

Harry T. Cullinan

306. **REPAP Technologies, Inc.**, 2650 Eisenhower Avenue, Valley Forge, PA 19482-0766

Tony Lyons

307. **Resource Recovery, Inc.**, 220 Washburn Road, P.O. Box 1016, Caribou,
Maine 04736

Al Cyr, Sr.

308. **RUST Engineering**, P.O. Box 101, Birmingham, AL 35201

Robert Kinstrey

309. **Sandia National Laboratories**, Department 8701, Livermore, CA 94551

Duane Lindner

- 310-311. Sandia National Laboratories, Division 8361, P.O. Box 969, 7011 East Avenue,
Livermore, CA 94551-0969

Taz Bramlette
Jay Keller

312. Sandia National Laboratories, 1515 Eubank, S.E., Albuquerque, NM 87185-5800

Dale W. Schaefer, Division 1810

313. Sandvik Steel Company, P. O. Box 1220, Scranton, PA 18501-1220

James M. Noble

- 314-315. Scott Paper Company, Scott Plaza III, Philadelphia, PA 19113

Keith Johnson
Bernard Yaros

316. Scott Paper Company, 3120 Riverside Avenue, Marinette, WI 54143

Frank H. Pichette

317. Simpson, Tech. Dept. Manager, 400 Mill Street, Oregon, OR 97068

Y. P. Fang

- 318-319. Stone & Webster, Advanced Systems Devt. Service, 245 Summer Street, Boston,
MA 02210

John Racine
Richard Steiger

- 320-322. Stone Container Corporation, 8170 S Madison, Burr Ridge, IL 60521

Algis Mockaitis
Max Moskal
Chuck Timko

323. Stone Container Corporation, 1979 Lakeside Parkway, Tucker, GA 30084

William Wilkinson

324. TAPPI, P.O. Box 105113, Technology Park/Atlanta, Atlanta, GA 30348

Don Gilmore

325. **TAPPI**, 15 Technology Parkway S., Norcross, GA 30092
G. Julian Reid
326. **TEKES Technology Development Center**, Box 69, SF-00101, Helsinki, Finland
Christine Hatstrom-Nasi
327. **Textron Defense Systems**, Coal Technology, 2385 Revere Beach Parkway, Everett, MA 02149
Richard C. Diehl
328. **ThermoChem, Incorporated**, 5570 Sterrett Place, Suite 21, Columbia, MD 21044
Henry Said
329. **ThermoChem, Incorporated**, 5570 Sterrett Place, Suite 210, Columbia, MD 21044
David A. Searce
- 330-331. **U.S. Dept. of Energy - Boston**, 1 Congress Street, Boston, MA 02114
Robert Allen
Roger C. Bavoux
- 332-361. **U.S. Department of Energy/Headquarters**, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585
Stan Blazewecz, EE-221, 5F-035/FORS
David Boron, Office of Industrial Technologies
Cliff Carwile, EE-222, 5F-035/FORS
Bruce Cranford, Office of Industrial Waste Reduction
Sidney Diamond, EE-34, 5G-064/FORS
Sara Dillich, EE-232, 5F-059/FORS
Christine Ervin, EE-1, 6C-016/FORS
Simon Friedrich, Office of Industrial Technologies
Thomas Foust, EE-222, 5F-035/FORS
Pat Hoffman, EE-232, 5F-043/FORS
Ehr Ping HuangFu, EE, Office of Industrial Technologies
Theo Johnson, EE-221, 5F-035/FORS
Douglas E. Kaempf, EE-234, 5F-043/FORS
Susanne Leonard, EE-222, 5F-035/FORS
Matthew J. McMonigle, EE-234, 5F-058/FORS
William A. Obenchain, EE-231, 5G-067/FORS
William P. Parks, Jr., EE-221, 5F-035/FORS
Marsha L. Quinn, EE-223, 6H-034/FORS
Scott L. Richlen, EE-221, 5F-035/FORS

Neil Rossmeissl, Office of Industrial Technologies
 Peter H. Salmon-Cox, EE-23, 5F-059/FORS
 Kurt Sisson, EE-222, 5F-035/FORS
 Merrill Smith, EE-70, 6A-025/FORS
 Stanley F. Sobczynski, EE-231, 5F-059/FORS
 Charles A. Sorrell, EE-232, 5F-059/FORS
 Denise F. Swink, EE-20, 6B-052/FORS
 Tina VanSickle, EE-233, 5F-059/FORS
 Gideon Varga, EE-221, 5F-035/FORS
 Daniel E. Wiley, EE-233, 5F-059/FORS
 Valri Wright, EE-222, 5F-035/FORS

362-366. U.S. Department of Energy, 19901 Germantown Road, Germantown, MD 20585

James P. Carr, FE-72, 3035/270
 Robert J. Gottschall, ER-131, J-322/GTN
 Helen Kerch, ER-131, J-322/GTN
 Richard Peavy, DP-4.1, GP-180/FORS
 F. W. Wiffen, ER-533, G-258/GTN

367. U.S. Department of Energy/Oak Ridge, Building 4500N, Mail Stop 6269, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6269

E. E. Hoffman

368. U.S. EPA/ORD/OEETD, 401 M Street SW, Washington, DC 20460

Gregory Ondich

369-374. USDA FS Forest Products Laboratory, 1 Gifford Pinchot Drive, Madison, WI 53705

Said M. Abubakr
 Peter J. Ince
 John Koning
 John R. Obst
 Theodore Wegner
 Ira A. Weinstock

375-376. Union Camp Corporation, P.O. Box 3301, Princeton, NJ 08543-3301

Richard Barker
 Dave Crowe

377. University of Florida, Chemical Engineering, Gainesville, Florida 32611

Oscar Crisalle

378. University of Florida, Room 429, Gainesville, Florida 32611

Art Fricke

379. University of Maine, Chemistry Department, 5706 Aubert Hall, Orono,
Maine 04469-5706

Barbara Cole

380-381. University of Maine, Department of Industrial Cooperation, 117 Boardman Hall,
Orono, Maine 04469-5711

Mike Boyle
Jake Ward

382. University of Maine, Electrical Engineering, Orono, ME 04469

Ryszard Lec

383-390. University of Maine, 5737 Jenness Hall, Orono, ME 04469-5737

Douglas Bousfield
Toshibaru Enomae
Joseph M. Genco
Marquita Hill
Betty Ingraham
Erdogan Kiran
Hemant P. Pendse
Edward V. Thompson

391. University of Maine, Pulp & Paper Foundation, 5737 Jenness Hall, Orono,
ME 04469-5737

Stanley Marshall

392. University of Minnesota, 2004 Falwell Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108

Henry Wells

393. University of Washington, College of Forestry, Seattle, WA 98115

Rick Gustafson

394. University of Washington, Department of Paper Science and Engineering, Chem.
Engineering Dept., BF-10 Seattle, WA 98195

William T. McKean

395. S. D. Warren Company, Research Laboratory, Westbrook, ME 04092

David Rolfe

396. Western Michigan University, McCracken Hall, Kalamazoo, MI 49008

Raja G. Aravamuthan

397. Westvaco, 299 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10171

Harold L. Hintz

- 398-399. Westvaco Corp., 11101 John Hopkins Road, Laurel, MD 20707-0000

Lad Falat

W.B.A. Sharp

400. Weyerhaeuser Company, Energy R&D, Tacoma, WA 98401

Densmore Hunter

- 401-402. Weyerhaeuser Company, 32901 Weyerhaeuser Way South, Federal Way, WA 98003

Margaret Gorog, Materials R&D, WTC-1C39

Peter Gorog, WTC-2H22

- 403-405. Weyerhaeuser Company, Tacoma, WA 98477

Peter Ariessohn, WTC-2H22

Tom Friberg, WTC-2D19

Robert J. McTee, WTC 1C39

406. Weyerhaeuser Company, Weyerhaeuser Technology Center, WTC 1B24, Tacoma, WA 98477-0001

Delmar R. Raymond

407. Weyerhaeuser Company, P.O. Box 1830, Columbus, MI 39703-1830

Joe May

408. David G. Wirth, 14390 W. 30th Avenue, Golden, CO 80401

- 409-411. Department of Energy, Office of Scientific and Technical Information, Office of Information Services, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

For distribution by microfiche as shown in DOE/OSTI-4500, Distribution Category UC-310 [Industrial Programs (General)]