LA-SUB--95-141

ASSESSMENT OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGIES
TO DISCOVER AND CHARACTERIZE
WASTE SITES

Prepared by
Environmental Research Institute of Michigan

11 March 1992

Final Report 242900-8-T on Subcontract 9-XC2-Y9152-1 with Los Alamos National Laboratory

Attention: Edward Van Eeckhout, Allan Connor, Hugh Murphy, Dora Vigil

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *db*

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

ASSESSMENT OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGIES
TO DISCOVER AND CHARACTERIZE
WASTE SITES

Table of Contents

1.0 THE PROBLEM	7
2.0 EXISTING CAPABILITIES	10
3.0 A SYSTEMS APPROACH	25
4.0 SPECIAL SENSOR TECHNOLOGY/PROCESSING	33
5.0 CASE STUDIES	37
6.0 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS	40
APPENDIX: REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS	42

List of Tables

2.1	Multispectral Images from Landsat and SPOT as of March 1992
2.2	Spectral Regions
2.3	Landsat Thematic Mapper Bands
2.4	Comparison of Landsat Multispectral Scanner, Thematic Mapper, and SPOT
2.5	Example Catalogue of Spectral Imaging Satellite Systems in the World
2.6	Example Catalogue of Radar Imaging Satellite Systems in the World
2.7	Example Catalogue of Radar Imaging Aircraft Systems in the World
2.8	Example Catalog of Film Camera Imaging Satellite or Aircraft Systems in the World
3.1	Example of Matching Target Observables to Signature Observables to Sensors
3.2	Definitions of Sensor Codes listed in the far right column of Table 3.1
4.1	Example of Method for Comparing Sensor Alternatives
A.1	Definitions of Sensor Codes listed in the far right column of Tables A.9.x.x.
A.2	DOE Problem Description
A.3	DOE Problem Set vs. Problem Element
A.4	Problem Elements vs. Information Elements
A.5	Information Elements vs. Target Observables
A.6.1-2	Example of One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets
A.7	Example of Information Elements for One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets

List of Tables (cont.)

- A.8.1-2 Example of Target Observables and Signature Observables for One Information Element Associated with One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets
- A.9.1.1-3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Site Location" Problem Element
- A.9.2.1-3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing Low Level Waste (LLW) and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element
- A.9.3.1-3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Contaminated Soils-Mixed Waste" Problem Element
- A.9.4.1-6 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element
- A.9.5.1-3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Sludges in Tanks" Problem Element
- A.9.6.1-2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics" Problem Element
- A.9.7.1-9 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element

List of Figures

3.1 Interplay of Studies

ASSESSMENT OF REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGIES
TO DISCOVER AND CHARACTERIZE
WASTE SITES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Identification and characterization of waste sites at DOE facilities presents severe challenges. Optical and radio wave remote sensing technologies, especially as implemented from aircraft and satellites, provide an important, highly cost-effective complement to on-site, invasive, and other intensive approaches. Extensive development of these technologies for defense and civilian applications has occurred over the last several decades. There is now a broad array of satellite and aircraft sensors available to the civilian community. While it is clear that no single remote sensing approach will, or even all approaches in concert, will solve all site characterization problems, it is equally clear that remote sensing approaches will be valuable. Work is needed to identify the phenomenologies and sensors and to develop the methodologies best suited to location and characterization of DOE (or other) waste sites either by remote sensing alone or by remote sensing in concert with other approaches.

This report provides:

- An overview of available and anticipated sensor systems and currently existing data over a number of DOE sites.
- A summary of promising phenomenologies and sensors to address waste site location and characterization problems.
- An initial plan for selecting and evaluating remote sensing approaches and sensors for application to the DOE waste site problem.

1.0 THE PROBLEM

The Department of Energy (DOE) has initiated an effort to remediate waste sites at all of its facilities in a campaign of environmental restoration. The effort includes all available means to identify, reclaim, and restore these lands to as close to their original state as can be expected. Once the sites and problems have been located and characterized, and an achievable restoration/remediation program has been established, efforts to reclaim the environment will begin.

The sequence of activities anticipated for DOE waste site restoration are listed in the DOE 1989 Applied Research, Development, Demonstration, Testing and Evaluation (RDDT&E) draft plan as:

- strategic planning,
- characterization,
- assessment,
- remediation, and
- compliance monitoring.

This report concerns the Characterization phase.

Individual DOE waste sites vary from plant discharge outfall sites, which can be as small as 10 square meters, to landfills ranging in size from 1,000 to 10,000 square meters. DOE waste sites are distributed throughout the U.S., where climatic and vegetative conditions vary from humid and sub-tropical, as at the Savannah River Site in South Carolina, to arid, as at the Hanford site in Washington State. Soils range from silt and clay, as at the Fernald site in Ohio, to alluvial fill at Sandia National Laboratory in Albuquerque, to basaltic sheet flows at Hanford. Depths of ground water vary from zero to well over 600 meters. Wastes include organics such as solvents and lubricating oils, heavy metals such as chromium, mercury, and lead, and radioactive materials, including uranium and transuranic materials. Special problems to be considered in characterization include:

- concentrated waste forms such as in buried tanks and pits,
- soil and groundwater contamination,
- ground safety hazards for workers,
- intrusive nature of some current methods,
- high cost of drilling holes, and
- requirement for long-term monitoring.

Recognizing that many of these problems cannot be solved in conventional ways, the DOE has called for special innovative technologies in the RDDT&E plan. Transferring technology from DOD and other applications, upgrading existing sensors/methods, and developing new technology are all appropriate; technology that is now available should be demonstrated to determine its utility.

The Los Alamos National Laboratory has been asked by DOE's Office of Technology Development to assess the capabilities of current technology to locate and characterize waste sites and to then make recommendations. A workshop was hosted by Los Alamos in Dallas, Texas, on August 29-30, to review current technology and then make recommendations for use of noninvasive ground-based geophysical technology to characterize waste sites. Technologies were presented and ranked in terms of the development needed. The technology development areas that were expected to have the most benefit to DOE for the least cost are (with highest benefit to cost ratio first):

- data fusion,
- electromagnetic methods,
- ground penetrating radar,
- seismic methods,
- gravity methods, and
- magnetic methods.

Remote sensing methods can be utilized in a comprehensive approach with historical and ancillary data, traditional ground-based methods, and a plan for coordinated coverage. Remote sensing methods are especially attractive in that they involve no safety hazards to workers on the ground and do not destroy evidence nor damage the environment any further. They can be cost-effective because some currently available methods are readily applied and relatively inexpensive to the user.

The Environmental Research Institute of Michigan (ERIM) has been asked by Los Alamos to prepare this report as input to the Los Alamos effort. It provides suggestions for non-invasive methods to discover and characterize waste sites, focusing on optical and radio-wave remote sensing technologies, especially those which can be applied from aircraft and satellites.¹ It is a preliminary assessment of current and proposed non-invasive

¹ Remote sensing is sometimes considered to include seismic and nuclear approaches. These were considered in the Dallas workshop, but are only mentioned here.

technology that was not fully covered at the Dallas workshop. Recommendations are summarized at the end of this report.

2.0 EXISTING CAPABILITIES

The report starts with a partial catalogue of existing data sources, descriptions of relevant current systems, and a selected bibliography on remote sensing.

2.1 Catalogue of Existing Data Sources

Data archives/bases exist for a number of satellite imagery sources. Data on broad-area coverage by low resolution systems such as AVHRR is generally available and imagery will be especially useful with maps in a Geographic Information System (GIS)-type of system. It is highly likely that mid-level resolution satellite systems such as Landsat and SPOT will be important contributors to detecting waste sites and monitoring them for indicators of change. Data bases for these are quite easy to access and are discussed below.

A number of airborne systems offer better resolution than is available from the majority of satellite systems and some archives exist back to the 1940s. However, these data are harder to locate and access than that from satellite systems. Users need to rely heavily on personal knowledge and contacts and word-of-mouth as most information from airborne systems has not been well-documented. Some specific sources are discussed below.

2.1.1 Multispectral Data

A data search was made for this project covering the period 1972-1992. The search provided data that exemplifies the kind of information available. Data bases for three types of systems were searched, the Landsat TM (Thematic Mapper) and Multispectral Scanner (MSS) databases maintained by EOSAT, the SPOT Image Customer Service database, and ERIM's own database of multispectral aircraft collections.

ERIM is a frequent user of Landsat and SPOT so it has on-line access to these data base systems; however, these sensor data are also commercially available to anyone via customer service representatives: for Landsat contact EOSAT Customer Services Department 800/344-9333 or 301/522-0537 and for SPOT contact SPOT Image Corporation Customer Service 703/620-2200. ERIM's aircraft multispectral information file has been generated from a number of projects, and includes information archived at ERIM, those of other contractors and

the government. Many of the images and digital data bases involved are of relatively high resolution. (Further information is available).

Table 2.1 shows the number of "cloud free" (< 10%) Landsat (TM and MSS) images found over several DOE sites since 1972. It also shows the number of "cloud-free" images acquired by SPOT since the first SPOT satellite launch in 1986. A Landsat scene covers about 185 x 185 km on the ground and SPOT 60 x 60 km.

Table 2.1. Multispectral Images from LANDSAT, SPOT and Aircraft as of March 1992

DOE Facility	# of LANDSAT-TM Scenes (Cloud-Free)		# of SPOT Scenes (Cloud-Free)	
	1972-1992	1990-1992	1986-1992	1990-1992
Hanford, Washington	54	0	212	38
Idaho Falls, Idaho	50	0	42	13
Oak Ridge, Tennessee	37	3	31	11
Fernald, Ohio	38	4	34	8
Rocky Flats, Colorado	94	1	71	17
Savannah River, Georgia	68	5	31	9
Los Alamos, New Mexico - N	87	1	14	9
Los Alamos, New Mexico - S	71	0	14	10
Nevada Test Site, Nevada - NN	90	0	146	72
Nevada Test Site, Nevada - NW	127	0	176	84
Nevada Test Site, Nevada - NE	124	0	235	100
Nevada Test Site, Nevada - SW	150	0	81	33
Nevada Test Site, Nevada - SE	123	1	123	56

A number of airborne multispectral (MS) scanner collections have been made over DOE facilities, the majority by EG&G. ERIM has MS scanner data over Savannah River and Nevada Test Site from its M7 instrument. Most aircraft scenes cover a smaller area on the ground than a SPOT scene. The area usually depends on the image resolution. Details about all of these data are available.

2.1.2 Aircraft Data

A variety of other historical data elements are available. World War II aerial photos (some of which may still be classified) can be obtained from the National Archives. One may have to obtain authority to review the image data. The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) and the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS) both possess image repositories that range back into the 1950s through the 1980s.

Even if those data do not cover the DOE sites, the USDA agencies may have data that could help define the properties of the surrounding areas. Photographic data is available through the USDA Aerial Photographic Field Office in Salt Lake City, Utah, at 801/524-5856. They have color IR and black and white photography of the U.S. available at scales from 1:20K to 1:60K. Prices are \$5 per photo index and \$4 per line index. Currently, it takes about 4-6 weeks to process a request.

More recent photographic image data can be obtained from the National High Altitude Photography (NHAP) and the National Aerial Photography (NAP) repositories. Both of these photo repositories are maintained by the EROS Data Center (EDC), of the U.S. Geological Survey. States and local authorities also may have image data of the areas surrounding the DOE reservations/restricted sites and possibly of areas within the boundaries of the sites as well.

NHAP 1:40K scale black and white and color IR products acquired between 1980 and 1987 may be located by contacting the EDC in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, 605/594-6969. The EDC also has NAP Program Imagery which is mostly black and white flown between 1987 and the present at 1:20K scale. NAP is not complete so it may not be available for specific areas. The EDC also has NASA Large Format Camera and Skylab S-190B photographic data. It acts as the agent for acquisition of Soviet data, but not other foreign data, for federal agencies. Prices are \$6 for a black and white contact print, and up to \$65 for a 40" x 40" color enlargement. Normally it requires two to three weeks to comply with a request.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is known to have flown several aerial photography collection missions in the early 1970s using the RF-4C with multiple cameras and color film types to reveal pollutants being dumped into major waterways. One of the authors of the report in-hand personally flew one such mission from

Puget Sound to Lake Washington waterways in 1974 and knows of collections in the San Francisco Bay area. There may be others; thus, this data source should be examined further.

Further information can be obtained from the EPA's Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) at Vint Hill Farms Station, near Warrenton, Virginia at 703/349-8970. It has a limited coverage of 1:3K to 1:12K scale imagery. This photography can be relatively expensive but the EPIC can do a search of the data base to tell whether it has coverage quickly. The contracting and copying of data will take additional time. EPIC has color and color IR products including the RF-4C imagery mentioned above.

2.1.3 Needed Work

For the satellites systems mentioned in 2.1.1, a computerized data search should be done using the coordinates of each DOE site. This should yield enough relevant information to decide which images to view. For aircraft data, the participants in collections should be consulted to obtain a list of dates and sites. Making such a list will be relatively easy, but obtaining detailed information may require considerable effort.

Having located image sources, the next step is to focus on image content. It is important to make clear what is expected to be extracted from images to "discover" and "characterize" waste sites. The following definitions could be used:

Discover

- to detect waste sites, and
- to estimate areal extent of waste sites;

Characterize

- to locate pit or trench boundaries,
- to estimate depth of disturbed (excavated and backfilled) soil,
- to locate buried containers in three-dimensions,
- to estimate container contents,
- to determine whether containers are leaking,
- to locate contaminants from leaking containers in three-dimensions,
- to estimate environmental impact of waste sites upon adjoining lands, and
- to estimate geological structure and hydrological properties of the waste sites and their immediate vicinity, including depth to ground water.

It is essential that image analysts and phenomenologists visually sample each type of data to assess potential value in terms of these definitions. Acquisition of imagery should proceed on the basis of their recommendations.

2.2 Current Capabilities Description

This section provides a discussion of remote sensing systems by example. First, Landsat and SPOT are discussed; they are now being used for a number of environmental assessments including waste site discovery and characterization.

2.2.1 Landsat and SPOT

Landsat and SPOT are multispectral systems that operate in the spectral regions shown in Table 2.2. This table, based, in part, on Table 12-11 of the Manual of Remote Sensing (pg. 548; see section 2.3, this report), gives a convenient reminder of what bands of the spectrum give what environmentally relevant information, and of the detector types currently required to exploit this information. The Landsat series began with the launch of Landsat 1 in 1972. Landsats 1 and 2 carried a Multispectral Scanner (MSS) and a 3-band Return Beam Vidicon (RBV) system. Landsat 3 carried an MSS and a higher resolution panchromatic RBV system.² Landsat 4, launched in 1982, carried an MSS and the Thematic Mapper (TM), which provided a major increase in capabilities. Landsat 5 is a duplicate of Landsat 4. Landsat 6, carrying an Enhanced Thematic Mapper (ETM; see Table 2.4), but no MSS, is scheduled for launch in January 1993. Table 2.3 (based on Table 12-12 of the Manual of Remote Sensing, pg. 549) shows the seven bands of the TM sensor on Landsats 4 and 5, along with Instantaneous Field of View (IFOV)³ in meters. Table 2.4 gives comparative information, Landsat MSS vs. TM versus SPOT.

As presented in section 2.1 of this report, historical Landsat TM and SPOT data can easily be obtained; the Landsat MSS database is both longer in duration and more extensive, but less reliably accessible. Data from the TM and SPOT systems can be merged to produce composite images having the benefits of the spectral and spatial characteristics of each system. Change detection can also be performed with each system's imagery and

² The 3-band RBV system is regarded as a technical failure. The panchromatic RBV system does not appear to have been a technical failure, but has not been extensively used.

³ The IFOV is the smallest area on the ground for which the instrument reports a value of radiance.

between TM and SPOT. Algorithms are available for land use categorization/classification with special features such as seasonal corrections and routines to emphasize changes of interest.

There are a number of other multispectral systems, mostly with coarser resolution than TM and SPOT along with satellites having other types of sensors such as radar, as well as airborne systems.

2.2.2 Catalogue

This section contains partial catalogues of data from some currently available systems. They are not all inclusive having been gathered only from information sources readily available to ERIM. It is recommended that these types of charts be completed, along with the search for imagery discussed in Section 2.1. This is not a large effort, especially if approached "top down" so that details are gathered only for those systems with high promise for remote sensing of waste sites.

Table 2.5 shows Landsat and SPOT, as well as other multispectral imaging satellite systems. Table 2.6 is a catalogue of radar imaging satellite systems. There are also a number of airborne multispectral and radar systems. Table 2.7 is a catalogue for airborne radar. Such a table could be prepared for airborne multispectral systems. A number of satellites and aircraft carry or have carried film cameras and a few examples are given in Table 2.8.

Table 2.2. Spectral Regions

Region	Wavelength (μm)	Detectors	Phenomenology
Ultraviolet	0.3-0.4	Photographic film, bird and insect vision	Vegetation, especially flowers, shadows filled in by diffuse illumination
Visible	0.4-0.7	Human vision, silicon detectors, photographic film	Natural/true color composite images, water penetration, chlorophyll reflection/absorption
Near Infrared	0.7-1.0	Silicon detectors, IR sensitive film	Reflection from internal cell structure of plant cells, health and vigor or stress of plants
Short-Wave IR (SWIR)	1.0-2.5	Lead Sulfide, Platinum Silicide Schottky barrier diodes	Water content, snow/ice/cloud discrimination, geology, emission from hot (450 K or more) objects, wide range of other applications
Mid-Wave IR (MWIR)	3.0-5.0	Indium Antimonide, Mercury Cadmium Telluride	Mixture of reflected and emitted radiation from warm objects
Long-Wave IR (LWIR)	8.0-14.0	Mercury Cadmium Telluride	Emission from warm (300 K) objects, some geology

Table 2.3. Landsat Thematic Mapper Bands

Band	Wavelength (μm)		IFOV ⁴ (m)
	Lower	Upper	
1 - blue	0.45	0.52	30
2 - green	0.52	0.60	30
3 - red	0.63	0.69	30
4 - NIR	0.75	0.90	30
5 - SWIR	1.55	1.75	30
6 - LWIR	10.4	12.5	120
7 - SWIR	2.08	2.35	30

⁴ Instantaneous Field of View; the smallest ground area for which the sensor makes a discrete measurement.

Table 2.4. Comparison of Landsat Multispectral Scanner, Landsat Thematic Mapper, and SPOT HRV Sensors

Landsat Multispectral Scanner

Spectral Range: 0.5-1.1 μm
 Number of Spectral Bands: 4 (2 V, 2 NIR)
 Spectral Bandwidth: 0.1 μm (V and NIR) to 0.3 μm (NIR)
 Instantaneous Field of View⁵: 79 meter
 Ground Swath Width: 185 km
 Radiometric Resolution: 6 bits
 Data Rate: 15 Mbps
 Platform: Landsat Spacecraft (916 km altitude)

Landsat Thematic Mapper

Spectral Range: 0.45 to 13 μm
 Number of Spectral Bands: 7 (3 V, 1 NIR, 2 SWIR, 1 LWIR)*
 Spectral Bandwidth: 0.06 μm (V) to 4.5 μm (LWIR)
 Instantaneous Field of View: 30 meter* (120m for LWIR)
 Ground Swath Width: 185 km
 Radiometric Resolution: 8 bits*
 Data Rate: 85 Mbps
 Platform: Landsat Spacecraft (705 km altitude)
 *Plus an additional Panchromatic band (0.4 to 0.9 μm)
 with 15 meter ground resolution on Landsat 6

SPOT

Spectral Range: 0.50 to 0.90 μm
 Number of Spectral Bands: 3 (2 V, 1 NIR) plus Panchromatic
 Spectral Bandwidth: 0.08 μm to 0.21 μm
 Instantaneous Field of View: 20 meter (spectral) and 10m (panchromatic)
 Ground Swath Width: 60 km
 Radiometric Resolution: 8 bits
 Data Rate: 48 Mbps
 Platform: SPOT Spacecraft (832 km altitude)

⁵ The smallest ground area for which the sensor produces a discrete measurement.

Table 2.5. Example Catalogue of Spectral Imaging Satellite Systems in the World

Name	Country	Band/Spectral Characterization		Resolution	Average Ground Coverage/Image	Part of World Covered ⁷	Repeat Rate at any Point on Earth
SPOT HRV	France	1 or 3	Panchromatic VNIR	10m 20m	60 x 60 km	all	26 da nadir 1,4 or 5 da off nadir
--	India	--	(Landsat MSS-like)	--	--	all	44 da
JERS-1 (scheduled launch 2/92, research satellite)	Japan	8 1	VNIR (4) SWIR (4) (one pair stereo) SAR (L band)	18 x 24 m 18 m	75 x 75km	all	44 da
MOS - 1 (MESSR)	Japan	4	VNIR	50 m	100 x 100 km	all	17 da
MOS - 1 (VTIR)	Japan	4	V (1) TIR (3)	900 m 2700 m	1500 x 1500 km	all	1-2 da (?)
AVHRR	USA	5	VNIR (2) TIR (3)	1.1 km	1500 x 1500 km	all	1-2 da
Landsat MSS	USA	4	VNIR	79m	185 x 185 km (100 x 100 nmi)	all	16 da
Landsat TM	USA	7	VNIR (4) SWIR (2) TIR (1)	30m 30m 120m	185 x 185 km (100 x 100 nmi)	all	16 da
--	"Soviet"	--	(Landsat MSS-like)	--	--	--	--

⁷ All implies all but near polar regions.

Table 2.6. Example Catalogue of Radar Imaging Satellite Systems in the World

Name	Country	Band/Spectral Characterization	Resolution	Average Ground Coverage/Image	Part of World Covered	Repeat Rate at any Point on Earth
Radarsat ⁸	Canada	HH-5.30 GHz	--	--	NA	NA
ERS-1	European Space Agency	L-band/VV-5.3 GHz	25-28 m	99.6w (km)	NA	NA
JERS-1	Japan	HH-1.275 GHz	--	--	NA	NA
Almaz-1	"Soviet"	HH-3.0 GHz	15-30 m	20-350/40 L (km)	NA	NA
COSMOS 1870	"Soviet"	S-Band SAR	25-30 m	--	NA	NA
EORSAT	"Soviet"	passive used with RORSAT	--	--	NA	NA
OKEAN-1	"Soviet"	SLR, VV, 9.56 GHz	1.5 km	460 km swath	NA	NA
RORSAT	"Soviet"	active non-SAR	40 m	--	NA	NA
EOS-SAR ⁹	USA	Polar 1.20 GHz VV,HH 5.0 GHz VV,HH 9.0 GHz	--	--	NA	NA
Magellan	USA	2.385 GHz	--	--	Venus	NA
SEASAT	USA	HH-1.275 GHz	--	--	NA	NA
SIR-A	USA	L-band / HH-1.275 GHz	20-30m	--	NA	NA
SIR-B	USA	L-band / HH-1.275 GHz	20-30m	--	NA	NA
SIR-C/X-SAR ¹⁰	USA	Polar - 1.25 GHz Polar - 5.30 GHz VV - 9.606 Hz (X-SAR)	30 m	15-90 w (km) 15-40 w (km) for X-SAR	NA	NA

⁸ Scheduled for launch in 1995.

⁹ Unfunded due to high cost; Phase B design exists.

¹⁰ Awaiting flight in 1993.

Table 2.7. Example Catalogue of Radar Imaging Aircraft Systems in the World

Name	Country	Band/Spectral Characteristics	Resolution	Average Ground Coverage/Image	Part of World Covered	Repeat Rate at Any Pt on Earth
AuSAR	Australia	X-band	--	--	--	--
CCRS/(CV-580)	Canada	HH/VV?/5.3 GHz Polar/X-band	5-20 m	16.4-63 (km)	--	--
IRIS	Canada	X-Band SAR	--	--	--	--
CASSAR	China	Polar/X-band	--	--	--	--
VARAN-S	France	HH/VV/9.375 GHz	3 m	11.9 (km)	--	--
E-SAR (DO228)	Germany	Polar?/L, C&X-band	2 m	3 km	--	--
AMRS/Soviet (IL-18)	"Soviet"	Polar/L-band SAR-25 Polar/.167 GHz SAR 180 VV/X-band SLR-3 HH/VV Ku-band SLR-0.8	15-25 m	30-120 (km)	--	--
RSRE - SAR	U-K	X-band	--	--	--	--
DCS/ERIM (CV-580)	USA	Ku-band	--	--	--	--
NADC/ERIM (P-3)	USA	Polar/1.25 GHz Polar/5 GHz Polar/10 GHz	2.4 m	--	--	--
NASA/JPL (CV-990)	USA	Polar/1.224 GHz	10-13 m	7 w (km)	--	--
NASA/JPL (DC-8)	USA	Polar/0.44 GHz Polar/1.25 GHz Polar/5 GHz	10-13 m	10 w (km)	--	--
SARLAB (CV-580)	USA	--	--	--	--	--
PHARUS/Delft U of T		Polar/C-band	--	--	--	--

Table 2.8. Example Catalogue of Film Camera Imaging Satellite or Aircraft Systems in the World

Name	Country	Band/Spectral Characteristics	Resolution	Average Ground Coverage/Image	Part of World Covered	Repeat Rate at Any Pt on Earth
Film Cameras Available via Soyuzkarta	"Soviet"	--	5 m/line pair	--	All but USSR and poles	--
S-190A Skylab 1973	USA	Multispectral B&W Color CIR	80 m/line pair	--	--	--
S-190B Skylab 1973	USA	Color CIR B&W	10 m/line pair	--	--	--
National High Altitude Photographic Program (NHAP) on U-2 type	USA	Natural Color CIR	2 feet	10 x 10 miles	USA	3 years
Large format camera on Space Shuttle 1985	--	Color CIR B & W	Best is 5 m/line-pair	--	--	--

2.2.3 Availability

The number of systems listed points to an important fact: there are a number of standard remote sensing systems available, many of which collect imagery that can be obtained by the public. However, only if the imagery can be used in waste discovery and characterization is it relevant to the discussion here. Section 3 develops a method for determining what is useful. To complete the information provided in Section 2, a brief bibliography of ERIM's selected readings on remote sensing for environment is included next.

2.3 JOURNALS/TEXTS ON REMOTE SENSING - A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

A Guide to Remote Sensing: Interpreting Images of the Earth. S.A. Drury, Oxford University Press, 1990

Applied Remote Sensing. C.P. Lo. Longman, 1986.

Classification of Remotely Sensed Images. Ian L. Thomas. Adam Hilger, 1987

Geocarto International. ISSN 1010-6049.

Geographic Information Systems. Aster Publishing. ISSN 1051-9858.

GIS World. ISSN 0897-5507.

IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing. (ISSN 0196-2892)

Image Interpretation in Geology. S.A. Drury. Allen and Unwin, 1987.

International Journal of Remote Sensing. Taylor DeFrancis. ISSN 0143-1161.

International Journal of Remote Sensing. Taylor DeFrancis. ISSN 0269-3798.

Introduction to Remote Sensing. James B. Campbell. Guilford Press, 1987.

Introduction to the Physics and Techniques of Remote Sensing. Charles Elachi, Wiley, 1987.

Introductory Digital Image Processing: A Remote Sensing Perspective. John R. Jensen. Prentice-Hall, 1986.

Manual of Remote Sensing, 2nd Edition. R.N. Colwell, D.S. Simonett, F.T. Ulaby, J.E. Estes, G.A. Thorley.
American Society of Photogrammetry, Falls Church, VA. ISBN 0-937294-41-1 (Volume 1). ISBN 0-937294-42-X (Volume 2).

Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing. American Society of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing. ISSN 009-1112.

Physical Fundamentals of Remote Sensing. Erwin Shanda. Springer-Verlag, 1986.

Physical Principles of Remote Sensing. W.G. Recs. Cambridge University Press, 1990.

Principles of Remote Sensing. Paul J. Curran, Longman, 1985.

Remote Sensing and Image Interpretation. Thomas M. Lillesand. Wiley, 1987.

Remote Sensing Digital Image Analysis: An Introduction. J.A. Richards. Springer-Verlag, 1986.

Remote Sensing in Hydrology. E.T. Engman. Chapman and Hall, 1991.

Remote Sensing in Soil Science. Michel A. Mulders. Elsevier, 1987.

Remote Sensing of Environment. Elsevier. ISSN 0034-4257.

Remote Sensing of Ice and Snow. Dorothy K. Hall. Chapman and Hall, 1985.

Remote Sensing: Methods and Applications. Michael R. Hord, 1986.

Remote Sensing: Principles and Interpretation. Floyd F. Sabins. Elsevier, 1987.

3.0 A SYSTEMS APPROACH

It is the opinion of ERIM, as well as most others who have considered sensor capabilities to address this problem, that there is no one "magic" trick for a remote sensing application that satisfies the DOE detection and characterization task. Remote sensing has much to contribute, but the real task is to decide which sensor(s) to use and how. There is a real need for a systems analysis of the total problem; that is, what are the problems, what can solve them, how well, and for how much effort? The systems analysis discussed here involves only non-invasive optical and radio-wave methods. At most sites, invasive and other remote sensing techniques will be used as well, so an additional study should be conducted on the trade-offs indicated and sampling procedures that result from considering all sensing approaches.

A critical element in the systems study is to compare the actual components of the problem which must be solved to phenomena that sensors can address to the specific sensors that may provide the solution. Section 3.1 gives an example of matching problems to sensors and Section 3.2 discusses a cost benefit methodology to compare alternative acceptable solutions. Section 3.3 outlines a plan for evaluating sensors in systematically characterizing a site. Section 3.4 addresses a time schedule for the plan.

3.1 EXAMPLE OF MATCHING PROBLEMS TO SENSORS

An example assessment was made by a group of ERIM sensor technologists to demonstrate the need to match problems to sensor solutions. Table 3.1 shows one of many "matrices," generated in that assessment. The first column lists the "DOE Problem Set" or problems listed in DOE's RDDT&E document, (2.2.1.6 being the need for remote, in situ, or other on-site methods for local characterization of contaminants). The "problem elements" are the topics listed earlier in this report as problems to be addressed at waste sites. The next column on the table gives "information elements" that represent specific information which is needed to provide answers/inputs to the problem elements. Table 3.1 focuses on subsurface contaminants (problem element) and location of tanks (information element).

The "target observables" were selected by ERIM as being those that represent the physical target phenomena which may be used to locate buried tanks. These were matched to "signature observables" or the information provided by the sensor. The "sensor" column shows typical sensors that could provide the information. A

Table 3-1. Example of Matching Target Observables to Signature Observables to Sensors

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 → 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics Subsurface Contaminants →	 Location of Tanks → Characterization of Tanks 3-D Mapping of Tanks Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches Locate Contaminants in Groundwater Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils Characterize Soils Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	 Vegetation Stress Soil Moisture Content Changes Soil Compaction Changes Desert "Varnish" Disruption Anomalous Vegetative Growth Exothermic Heat Sources Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators Local Surface Contour Indicators Soil/Mineral Discoloration Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	 Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly Sensible Temperature Anomaly Height/Relief (E-O/SAR) Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly	 TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Pan,E-O Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3D Laser TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Pan,E-O Daedalus, M7

Table 3.2. Definitions of Sensor Codes listed in the far right column of Table 3.1

AVIRIS	Airborne Visible & InfraRed Imaging Spectrometer. An image-forming multispectral scanner, operated on an aircraft by NASA JPL, having over 100 spectral channels. One of the first "hyperspectral scanners."
Comm. SAR, P3	Any of a number of commercially available SAR sensors such as the one flown by DOD's P3 aircraft.
Daedalus	Daedalus Enterprises manufactures a line of multispectral scanners for airborne operation.
Hyper	Hyperspectral scanners. A generic imaging scanner that operates with about a hundred to a few hundred spectral channels, compared to a multispectral scanner which has two to a few tens of channels.
IWT	A Convair 580T aircraft and SAR system operated by ERIM as an Intelligent Weapons Technology testbed.
M7	A multispectral scanner with 16 channels developed by ERIM. The channels are located in the UV-visible, near IR, short-wave IR, and thermal IR regions of the spectrum. A large measure of flexibility to shift/relocate the spectral location of the channels exists. Currently flown on ERIM aircraft.
Pan E-O	A generic panchromatic E-O camera on aircraft platform.
PRT5/10	Hand held thermal infrared radiation thermometers. The Barnes Engineering PRT 5 and 10, although no longer available, are prototypical of this class of instruments.
SPOT	The SPOT multispectral satellite.
TM	The Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM).
3-D Laser	A generic 3-D Laser Radar.

ground rule for this exercise was that the sensors listed had to be currently operational with products demonstratable now or expected to be so within two years. Sensors selected were meant to be illustrative of a type, not necessarily the most preferred of a type. Definitions of sensor codes are given on Table 3.2. Details of this work and all matrices are given in the appendix.

It is essential that this type of logic be exercised to make useful recommendations about a sensor suite for waste site problems. The type of matrix shown in Table 3.1 identifies the sensors that can provide the "benefit". Next, the cost of sensors is discussed.

3.2 A COST-BENEFIT METHODOLOGY

Having identified the sensor(s) that address the problem(s), the cost-benefit analysis should proceed. This requires that benefits and costs be quantified. Although the quantifications of benefits and costs often seem artificial or contrived and at best, approximate, ERIM has found that this type of analysis is very useful in providing a framework to make decisions about what future technologies to give priority funding.

To do the analysis, potential sensor solutions to each problem are assigned a benefit rating and cost value. Some examples of benefit are: percentage of the problem that would be expected to be solved by the sensor; or categorical ratings of good/OK/bad when compared to other possible sensors; or amount in square meters of waste site area "characterized." Cost can also be very approximate; order of magnitude dollars are often adequate for decision making. Sometimes even categories such as high/medium/low are adequate. However, both development and operational costs need to be considered for technology development comparisons.

Having assigned each problem such as "tank location indicated by soil moisture content change" and each sensor solution such as "characteristic spectral signature from M7" a benefit and cost, then a DOE "scenario" would be chosen. The scenario is based on knowledge of the site problems, policies and priorities, and represents the relative value of each of the various waste site problems. One way of quantifying the scenario is to assign a percentage of the total problem that each problem represents. A priority ranking for each problem can also be used. Again, these only need be approximate to help guide the analysis.

To compare one sensor suite against another, quantities like percentage of the total problem solved are compared to cost, for each suite. To assess the benefit/cost of new technology, the expected benefit of some new development is compared to that for existing technology being sure to include operational plus development costs. To evaluate more than one new technology, their relative benefit/cost ratios are compared.

3.3 PLAN FOR EVALUATING SENSOR USE IN DISCOVERY AND CHARACTERIZATION OF WASTE SITES

The following plan is an implementation of systems engineering methodology that allows intervention at selected intervals to determine whether the activity should proceed. It is recommended that this type of procedure be implemented as a "test characterization." The plan is organized in nine steps, some of which have already been partially completed by the DOE.

Step 1 - Select one or more suitable GIS. Because it is likely that data will be forthcoming from a number of sources, it is suggested that a GIS be the central receiver of all data. In this way, information can be geo-coded and tracked over time. Information would include historical data on waste site disposals and other events, geological/hydrological profiles, cultural features, imagery and maps. It is likely that more than one GIS will be needed and even that multiple GIS may be needed for some sites in order to handle the mix of high and low resolution, and point, vector, and raster data. These GIS should be compatible with each other. A modest image processing set-up to produce change detection images would be very useful. Determination of data analysis needs and restoration personnel's requirements should be conducted to determine what features would be useful for them.

Step 2 - Select sites for test cases. Selection of several sites vice one is preferred because the process should be demonstrated on a wide variety of contaminant and contaminant storage problems. Also, the site selection should include site(s) where the contaminant and storage media are already well understood and site(s) where contaminants are only believed to be stored. To minimize cost for this test, these sites should be relatively close to each other. Nearly contiguous sites would be ideal, separated enough to avoid interaction among the sites. A separate group of such sites should also be tested where the geographical background (vegetation, climate, soils, hydrology, geology) is different.

Step 3 - A comprehensive analysis of the potential observables. This analysis would be conducted through discussions with site specialists, in addition to specialists on the contaminant/storage containers, and specialists on sensors. The purpose of this effort is to identify those phenomena that a sensor may interrogate/collect that could be used as evidence that a contaminant and/or its container are present.

Step 4 - Collect all historical data. Data from interviews with old-timers and others at the sites is needed to obtain historical knowledge. It should be entered into the GIS to generate a temporal analysis of the site. This, along with hydrological, topographical and geological data should yield a substantial understanding of sites and their differences.

Step 5 - Check. At this point, an assessment of the results of the preceding activities should be conducted. The purpose is to review the usefulness of what has been accomplished and whether there is sufficient motivation to continue.

Step 6 - Comprehensive evaluation of sensor characteristics and sensitivities. At this point, consider and then select the particular sensors that demonstrate capability for discovering and characterizing contaminants and containers present at the test sites. The actual mix would be based on expected cost/benefit criteria.

Step 7 - Second check. A second assessment is suggested at this point because in the next step, image data are collected and the cost of collecting data with sensors is relatively high compared to the previous activities.

Step 8 - Collect and analyze data needed to evaluate sensor capabilities. In this step, data are collected. If possible, historical imagery and other information would be used. If not, new collection may be needed. In this step, the process of comparing problems to sensor solutions is verified and validated. The effectiveness of the sensors is assessed individually and in concert with others to provide evidence of newly discovered problems or provide information about known problems.

Step 9 - Implement the process at more sites, as required. It is likely that the test sites do not include some waste problems of interest and do not include all representative geographical areas. The process should be repeated, as required, to include these. Also, another test at a similar facility would be appropriate to evaluate repeatability.

3.4 TIME SCHEDULE

In theory, the systems plan just presented assumes that there is adequate time to stop to test a new sensor concept, attempt to collect and merge data to check for practical problems, etc. In this way, maximum information goes forward to select a sensor suite or to a decision to improve a technology. It is rare and certainly not true in this case, that the community can wait one to two years to do the study needed.

There is a need for specialized field experiments to test new proposed sensor-application relationships and for research into sensor/image processing modifications to current technology. There is also a need to demonstrate practical aspects of sensor tasking and to try innovative data merging. Ideally, these activities would follow and be guided by the systems study just described. However, in the interest of time, it is recommended that special sensor investigations and case study demonstrations be done in parallel with the assessment of current sensor systems.

Thus, it is essential that the results of sensor technology work, systems studies and case study demonstrations be continually integrated. All three types of work have already been initiated and it may be reasonable to merge them about one year from now for an integrated site demonstration (See Figure 3.1).

Section 4.0 contains some of ERIM's ideas about what technologies may be fruitful and Section 5.0 contains recommendations for case study demonstrations. Section 6.0 summarizes report recommendations.

Figure 3.1. Interplay of Studies

4.0 SPECIAL SENSOR TECHNOLOGY/PROCESSING

It is recommended that a cross-section of the remote sensing community and sensor developers/phenomenologists be contacted to suggest and prioritize sensor approaches for this problem. Of particular interest is what sensors would be particularly useful, what new development is needed, and what new data analysis might be suggested. Because of the time-critical nature of the problem it should be limited to sensors already available or in development, and not "blue sky" approaches.

4.1 AN EXAMPLE

Table 4.1 shows an example of input from ERIM specialists. The observables shown on the table were presented to the specialists who were asked to suggest "good" sensor solutions, noting whether the technology was available now, and noting their confidence in the suggestion. They were told to consider the following observables:

- detection of waste sites,
- estimation of the planar dimensions of waste sites,
- location of pit or trench boundaries,
- estimation of depth of disturbed (excavated and backfilled) soil,
- three-dimensional location of buried containers,
- determination of whether containers are leaking, and
- estimation of environmental impact of waste sites upon adjoining lands.

In summary the specialists were most confident or certain that existing multispectral systems could contribute to all observables except those requiring ground penetration. They were also certain about the capability to do the various tasks shown on the table with differential absorption LIDAR as available now, and with laser-induced fluorescence and multispectral LIDAR which are still in development.

The specialists suggested SAR texture analysis, use of multiple frequencies and multiple polarizations to detect sites, estimate site dimensions and locate boundaries as well as evaluate surrounding environmental

Table 4.1. Example of Method for Comparing Sensor Alternatives

	OBSERVABLES							Available Now	Confidence in Expected Performance
	Detect Site	Est. Site Dimensions	Locate Pit Boundaries	Est. Depth of Dist. Soil	3-D Container Location	Detect Container Leaks	Surrounding Env. Impact		
Multispectral Passive Sensor (vis to IR)	X	X	X			X	X	X	MOST
Multispectral LIDAR	X	X							MOST
Differential Absorption LIDAR	X	X						X	MOST
Laser Induced Fluorescence	X	X	X			X	X		MOST
SAR Image Texture Analysis (segment.)	X	X	X				X	X	
Multifrequency SAR segment	X	X	X				X	X	
Polarimetric SAR	X	X	X				X	X	
Airborne UHF Wideband Ground Penetrating Radar	X	X	X	X	X	X			
LWIR (thermal)	X	X	X	X	X	X		X	
Active LIDAR (3-D)		X	X					X	LEAST

impact. The specialists were moderately confident, but not certain, that these currently available radar technologies would work. They also commented that ground penetrating radar could perform the first three observables while also determining the depth of disturbed soil, 3-D location of containers and detecting container leaks. However, such a radar is not commercially available at this time. Again, they were only moderately confident and felt that controlled experiments are needed to confirm their conjecture.

The specialists were also moderately confident that LWIR (thermal) capabilities could contribute to the detection and location tasks, with technology available now. The ERIM specialists were the least certain about currently available active (3-D) LIDAR sensitivity to locate and estimate dimensions of pits and their boundaries.

These are just examples of the utility of the recommended approach. A comprehensive survey of the community should be conducted as is currently being planned for DOE workshops.

In the process of preparing this report, a number of suggestions have come forward from ERIM specialists. They are presented next, in no particular order.

4.2 OTHER SUGGESTIONS

- Develop special FLIR processing to detect change in emitted radiance due to leaking buried tanks.
- Measure changes in the thermal behavior of the area surrounding buried tanks with developing multispectral or hyperspectral sensors.
- Measure differences in the thermal inertia of different waste sites as compared to background.
- Detect areas of heavy metal contamination by multispectral analysis (from aircraft); if area large enough use Landsat or SPOT.
- Detect changes in land contours by repeating surveys using interferometric SAR systems that are being developed.
- Improve data processing from currently available ground penetrating radar to determine whether the sensor has potential to detect buried objects.
- Develop a robotic platform to test for changes in gaseous emissions from tanks.
- Develop an aircraft testbed employing multiple sensors selected especially for waste problems.
- Test the HYDICE or other hyperspectral scanner technologies for detection of a number of chemicals.
- Retrofit sensitive, invasive ground-based chemical detectors on aircraft or other platforms.
- Implement sub-pixel analysis or other pattern recognition/target detection methods on appropriate image data sets.
- Review current Landsat and SPOT data processing, automatic or supervised classification to see what enhancements might be appropriate for waste problems.
- Employ change detection and image fusion technologies with Landsat, SPOT, maps and other imagery (radar, optical).

- Use a GIS to update maps, categorize and merge data from multiple sensors and data bases.

Much more detail is available on all of these suggestions as well as those of Table 4.1. This detail can be provided on request.

4.3 TAKING ADVANTAGE OF OTHER STUDIES

Many environmental surveys based on airborne and satellite-based data have been conducted for county land use/waste disposal inventories, wildlife population studies, disease/infestations in forests, agricultural land monitoring and the like. Other studies have been made by DOD and NASA looking for buried objects. These studies have utilized observables similar to those for waste site discovery characterization, so individuals who have been involved in these studies are a resource. It is recommended that selected studies be reviewed and individuals contacted to determine:

- which sensors added what information,
- how sensors were used together,
- how often to revisit an area, and
- what resolutions or spectral bands were needed.

5.0 CASE STUDIES

Two case studies are recommended. One uses historical data and one involves new data collection.

5.1 CASE STUDY - HISTORICAL DATA

A recent review of historical imagery, ground truth data, and auxiliary information has shown that good historical information is available for Los Alamos waste sites. Imagery is available from the time the sites began to be used and documentation is good for the historical period. The purpose of a historical case study at Los Alamos would be to demonstrate data fusion methods, that is, how a GIS system could be used to fuse a number of data sources to monitor the sites, detect changes and begin site characterization.

Sources of data are:

- Multispectral, IR imagery,
- Photography,
- Radar imagery,
- Gamma ray and chemical detection data,
- Ground truth,
- Meteorological, geographical and hydrological data,
- Soil samples,
- Conversations with "old-timers" about waste disposal locations
- Los Alamos remediation project data files, and
- Any relevant scientific experiments that have taken place at the site.

The difficulty here is likely to be which data to include, rather than a lack of data. Special studies should include:

- Demonstrations of use of GIS for site characterization,
- Creative processing of existing imagery to highlight waste problems,
- Image fusion,
- Suggestions of useful graphic output, and
- Indicators of change.

It should be mentioned that among DOE facilities, Los Alamos does not have the most serious waste problems. However, there are numerous interesting features at the sites, more than are likely to be covered in any case study. As a demonstration of data fusion technology Los Alamos offers an excellent choice because of the good quality of ground truth. Also, since the Los Alamos remediation team is available on a daily basis, the demonstration could be authentic and current.

A preliminary look at the data indicate that there is enough coverage from the various sensor sources to piece together some typical trends to adequately demonstrate a remote sensing methodology. However, to study true synergistic applications, new imagery will have to be collected in a coordinated fashion. To obtain geographic and waste type variation, several sites will have to be considered. The next case study addresses that.

5.2 CASE STUDY - NEW COLLECTION

There are numerous reasons for a new data collection and many purposes can be served by a well-planned one. New collections are needed to obtain:

- time coincident coverage by different sensors,
- use of new experimental sensors,
- additional ground truth data, commensurate with the signature and sensing involved
- digital data forms that are not normally saved, such as radar phase histories,
- imagery that is collected frequently enough to observe changing phenomena, and
- imagery that is of the appropriate type to observe the phenomena.

The result of a new collection would be to:

- determine what sensors can adequately and accurately measure which phenomena,
- demonstrate the benefit of data fusion, and
- provide a demonstration of a proposed multisensor/multisource characterization methodology.

A few recommendations for the collection are as follows:

- Good historical data will be important to be able to demonstrate any new change.
- Ground truth is very important to be able to understand the remote sensing data.

- Existing satellite systems can be tasked cheaply; if budget permits, adding aircraft collection would give flexibility of collection times and opportunities to examine other phenomena and use new experimental sensors.
- Collection should cover all seasons of the year.
- Once set in place, some parts of the collection effort could continue each year.

Finally, each DOE facility offers unique geography and physical plant description with numerous waste sites to be observed. The collection should task a small subsample of waste sites very frequently to observe phenomena that require frequent coverage. A larger sample of the waste sites can be tasked less frequently to observe geographic variation.

5.3 SCHEDULE

The demonstration using Los Alamos historical data can begin immediately and could be completed in 2-3 months. The new collection should take one year to cover all seasons and then repeat. About one month should be allocated to solicit collection requests from the various collection participants with an additional month allocated for planning and data requests. Of course, the initiation time depends on the availability of sensors used.

6.0 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

It is unlikely that there is a single remote sensing technique that can, by itself, discover and characterize waste sites. However, it is expected that some requirements can be met using a suite of appropriate sensors along with collateral information. Data fusion, especially based on GIS systems, will likely have high payoff.

At this time, the remote sensing community has not verified a sensor collection/processing strategy for the waste site problem. Rather than concentrating on advanced sensor technology development, it is recommended that existing sensor systems be emphasized including improved packaging and processing techniques. Environmental agencies and the military/intelligence community have studied similar problems; it is recommended that DOE obtain their input and involvement.

A systems study is recommended; observables must be defined, matched to sensor capabilities; and a cost-benefit analyses made. An inventory of sensors and historical imagery is essential but it needs to be selective. "Old-timers" at the sites are also valuable information sources on site locations and history. First-cut recommendations need to be made about

- what sensor/processing technologies should be examined,
- what GIS systems should be used to combine information, and
- what case study collections should be made.

A recommended approach includes an element of overall area monitoring at relatively low resolution, followed by focussed satellite collection at higher resolution with appropriate ground truth data collection. For area monitoring, improved processing and change detection algorithms for Landsat and SPOT are expected to have high payoff. GIS-created maps from all information and image fusion are also likely to be of benefit.

Sensor solutions that are recommended as having the most promise for the observables discussed in this report are:

- multispectral, especially IR or hyperspectral, for thermal effects, vegetative change, leaks, and contaminants,
- radar for depth, dimension, and texture, and
- LIDAR, laser fluorescence, and hyperspectral for chemical effluents.

The value of some of these recommendations need to be validated. ERIM also recommends that some thought be given to platforms. While DOE data collections have utilized sensors on low flying aircraft, high flying aircraft, satellites and other means are all possibilities. There is much room for innovation, such as:

- robotic platforms for sensitive (unsafe) areas,
- chemical detectors on aircraft, and
- specially designed aircraft platforms to collect simultaneous signatures of various kinds.

Two case studies are recommended. The first is a Los Alamos historical data study to demonstrate the merging of information to yield new inferences. The second is a new collection to demonstrate sensor capabilities and develop integrated strategies. These practical demonstrations can provide feedback to on-going systems studies and other special sensor experiments or research.

From the two case studies, systems studies and the knowledge gained through pooled data/information/experiments sponsored by all interested agencies, sufficient experience should have been gathered to apply the lessons to other site characterizations. Following a plan similar to the one suggested in section 3.3, trial characterization should be implemented at selected DOE sites.

APPENDIX: REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Table A.1. Definitions of Sensor Codes listed in the far right column of Tables A.9.x.x

AVIRIS	Airborne Visible & InfraRed Imaging Spectrometer. An image-forming multispectral scanner, operated on an aircraft by NASA JPL, having over 100 spectral channels. One of the first "hyperspectral scanners."
Comm. SAR, P3	Any of a number of commercially available SAR sensors such as the one flown by DOD's P3 aircraft.
Daedalus	Daedalus Enterprises manufactures a line of multispectral scanners for airborne operation.
Hyper	Hyperspectral scanners. A generic imaging scanner that operates with about a hundred to a few hundred spectral channels, compared to a multispectral scanner which has two to a few tens of channels.
IWT	A Convair 580T aircraft and SAR system operated by ERIM as an Intelligent Weapons Technology testbed.
M7	A multispectral scanner with 16 channels developed by ERIM. The channels are located in the UV-visible, near IR, short-wave IR, and thermal IR regions of the spectrum. A large measure of flexibility to shift/relocate the spectral location of the channels exists. Currently flown on ERIM aircraft.
Pan E-O	A generic panchromatic E-O camera on aircraft platform.
PRT5/10	Hand held thermal infrared radiation thermometers. The Barnes Engineering PRT 5 and 10, although no longer available, are prototypical of this class of instruments.
SPOT	The SPOT multispectral satellite.
TM	The Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM).
3-D Laser	A generic 3-D Laser Radar.

Table A.2 DOE Problem Description

- 2.2.1.1 Need for Better Characterization of Subsurface Geohydrologic Features and Properties** - Characterization of subsurface geology, hydrology, geochemistry/geophysics, and biological activity.
- 2.2.1.2 Need for Better Characterization and Sampling Strategy** - Site characterization, strategic planning for data collection (sampling) and data utilization. Includes geostatistical analyses.
- 2.2.1.3 Need for Characterization of Inactive Facilities** - Design, construction features, current physical condition and contamination levels of facilities.
- 2.2.1.4 Need for Characterization of Underground Storage Tanks** - Mixed wastes stored in underground storage tanks (single-shelled storage tanks (SSTS)).
- 2.2.1.5 Need for Better Analytical Procedures for Some Radionuclides and Complex Organic Mixtures** - Site characterization → soil, water, geo-phase samples, waste samples.
- 2.2.1.6 Need for Remote, In Situ, or Other Onsite Methods for Local Characterization of Contaminants** - Subsurface contamination → buried concentrated waste forms (ex. tanks, trenches) dispersed contaminants in soil and water. Real-time monitoring of ambient volatile organics and toxic metals.
- 2.2.1.7 Need for Understanding Contaminant Distribution and Behavior in Subsurface Environment** - Develop ways to optimize characterization of a given site; Develop sufficient understanding of subsurface processes; Basis for measuring and demonstrating that remediation measures are effective.

Table A.3 DOE Problem Set vs Problem Element

Problem Element	2.2.1.1	2.2.1.2	2.2.1.3	2.2.1.4	2.2.1.5	2.2.1.6	2.2.1.7
Site Location			X			X	
Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes							X
Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				X		X	X
Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes			X			X	X
Sludges in Tanks				X		X	
Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics and Inorganics							X
Subsurface Contaminants			X			X	X

Table 1.4 Problem Elements vs Information Elements

Information Elements	Problem Elements						
	Site Locations	Soils-Basins/ Ponds Containing LLW & Hazardous Wastes	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive & Hazardous Wastes	Sludges in Tanks	Ground Water Contaminated w/Radionuclides , Organics and Inorganics	Subsurface Contaminants
Location of Tanks	X			X	X		X
Characterization of Tanks				X	X		X
3-D Mapping of Tanks					X		X
Identify Concentrated Wastes in Trenches			X	X			X
Locate Contaminants in Ground Water		X		X		X	X
Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils		X	X	X		X	X
Characterize Soils (			X				X
Location of Berms, Fences, LOCs	X	X		X			X
Detect/ID Cultural Litter, Scrap	X			X			X

Table A.5 Information Elements vs Target Observables

Target Observables	Location of Tanks	Characterization of Tanks	3-D Map of Tanks	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches	Locate Contaminants in Ground Water	Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Characterize Soils	Locate Berms/Fences/LOC	Detect/ID Cultural Litter/Scrap
Vegetation Stress/Vigor	X			X	X	X	X	X	
Soil Moisture Content Changes/Drainage	X			X	X	X	X	X	
Soil Compaction Changes	X				X	X	X	X	X
Desert "Varnish" Disruption	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Anomalous Vegetation Growth	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X
Exo/Endothermic Heat Sources/Sinks	X	X		X	X	X	X		
Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators	X	X				X			
Local Surface Contour Indicators	X	X		X	X				
Soil/Mineral Discoloration	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
Tank/Cavity 3-D Profile			X					X	
Principle Chemical Constituents							X		
Fences/Posts/Footings								X	
Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	X							X	X
Surface/Subsurface Metals									X

A.6.1 Example of One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 2.2.1.7	<div> Need for Remote, In Situ, or Other Onsite Methods for Local Characterization of Contaminants </div>				

A.6.2 Example of One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW				
2.2.1.4	and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive				
	and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with				
	Radionuclides, Organics, and				
	Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants				

A.7 Example of Information Elements for One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants	<div>Location of Tanks</div> <div>Characterization of Tanks</div> <div>3-D Mapping of Tanks</div> <div>Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches</div> <div>Locate Contaminants in Groundwater</div> <div>Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils</div> <div>Characterize Soils</div> <div>Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs</div> <div>Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap</div>			

A.8.1 Example of Target Observables and Signature Observables for One Information
Element Associated with One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7					
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	<u>Subsurface Contaminants</u> →	<u>Location of Tanks</u> →	Desert "Varnish" Disruption		
		Characterization of Tanks	Vegetation Stress		
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes		
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches	Soil Compaction Changes		
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Anomalous Vegetative Growth		
		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Exothermic Heat Sources		
		Characterize Soils	Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators		
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Local Surface Contour Indicators		
		<u>Detect/Id.</u> Cultural Litter/Scrap	<u>Soil/Mineral</u> Discoloration		

A.8.2 Example of Target Observables, Signature Observables, and Sensors for One
Information Element Associated with One Problem Element Derived from DOE Problem Sets

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 → 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	<u>Subsurface Contaminants</u> →	<u>Location of Tanks</u> →	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper
		Characterization of Tanks		Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan,E-O
		Characterize Soils	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10
		<u>Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap</u>	Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (E-O/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3D Laser
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Pan,E-O

A.9.1.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors
Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Site Location" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics Subsurface Contaminants	Location of Tanks Location of Berms/Fences/LOC Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Vegetation Stress Soil Moisture Content Changes Soil Compaction Changes Desert "Varnish" Disruption Anomalous Vegetative Growth Exothermic Heat Sources Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators Local Surface Contour Indicators Soil/Mineral Discoloration Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Change	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly Sensible Temperature Anomaly Height/Relief (E-O/SAR) Pan Contrast Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3-D Laser Pan E-O TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O Daedalus, M7

**A.9.1.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors
Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Site Location" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location →	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
2.2.1.2		Location of Berms/Fences/LOC →		Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes	Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Soil Moisture Content Changes	RCS/Clutter Signature Change	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.4				Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
2.2.1.5	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes ←		Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
2.2.1.6				RCS/Clutter Signature Change	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes		Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
				Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
	Sludges in Tanks		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	RCS/Clutter Signature Change	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
				E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	Pan E-O
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Change	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
				Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Subsurface Contaminants		Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Pan Contrast Change	Pan E-O
				Point/Extended Signatures	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
			Fences/Posts/Footings		

**A.9.1.3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors
Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Site Location" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location →	Location of Tanks	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.2		Location of Berms/Fences/LOC	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes	Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap →			
2.2.1.4			Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.5	→ Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes			E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	Pan E-O
2.2.1.6				Pan Contrast Change	Pan E-O
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
		Surface/Subsurface Metal	Point/Extended Signatures	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3	
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants				

**A.9.2.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements
Derived from "Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing Low Level Waste (LLW) and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location		Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.2		Locate Contaminants in Ground Water			
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes		Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Location of Berms,Fences,LOC	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (E-O/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3-D Laser Pan E-O
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

**A.9.2.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements
Derived from "Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing Low Level Waste (LLW) and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location	Locate Contaminants in Ground Water	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing	Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils			
2.2.1.4	LLW and Hazardous Wastes		Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Berms,Fences,LOC	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes		Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
	Subsurface Contaminants		Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

A.9.2.3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements
Derived from "Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing Low Level Waste (LLW) and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor	
2.2.1.1	Site Location	Locate Contaminants in Ground Water	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3	
2.2.1.2	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes					
2.2.1.3		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3	
2.2.1.4						
2.2.1.5	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Berms,Fences,LOC	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3	
2.2.1.6						
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes		Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.	
	Sludges in Tanks					
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O	
	Subsurface Contaminants		Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10	
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O	
			Fences/Posts/Footings	Point/Extended Signatures	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3	

**A.9.3.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Contaminated Soils-Mixed Waste" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes →	Identify Concentrated Waste in in Trenches →	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Characterize Soils (consituents/distrb.)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Subsurface Contaminants		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (EO/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3D Laser Pan E-O

**A.9.3.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Contaminated Soils-Mixed Waste" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils -	Identify Concentrated Waste in in Trenches	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, P3
2.2.1.7	Mixed Wastes				
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils →	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Characterize Soils (constituents/distrb.)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper.
	Subsurface Contaminants		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. IWT, Comm. SAR, P3 Pan E-O
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. Pan E-O

**A.9.3.3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Contaminated Soils-Mixed Waste" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 2.2.1.7	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Identify Concentrated Waste in in Trenches	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, P3
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Characterize Soils (consituents/distrb.)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics		Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper.
	Subsurface Contaminants		Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. IWT, Comm. SAR, P3 Pan E-O
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper. Pan E-O
			Principle Chemical Constituents	Characteristic Spectral Signature	High Resolution Spectroradiometer, Hyper

A.9.4.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 → 2.2.1.7	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Tanks →	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes →	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Contaminants in Groundwater	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics	Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
	Subsurface Contaminants	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (EO/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

A.9.4.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes →	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried) →	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Contaminants in Groundwater	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics	Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs			
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Subsurface Contaminants	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-0
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (EO/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-0
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-0

A.9.4.3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes →	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Contaminants in Groundwater →	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics	Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs			
	Subsurface Contaminants	Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (EO/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

A.9.4.4 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds, Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes →	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Contaminants in Groundwater			
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics	Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs →	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Subsurface Contaminants	Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap			
		Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
			Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
			Fences/Posts/Footings	Point/Extended Signatures	IWT,Comm. SAR,P3

A.9.4.5 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 2.2.1.7	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)			
		Contaminants in Groundwater	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics	Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
	Subsurface Contaminants	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
			Surface/Subsurface Metal	Point/Extended Signatures	IWT,Comm. SAR,P3

A.9.4.6 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes →	Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)			
		Contaminants in Groundwater	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics	Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap			
	Subsurface Contaminants	Track Migration of Contaminants In Soils →	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
			Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
			Exo/Endothermic Heat Sources/Sinks	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

**A.9.5.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Sludges in Tanks" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7					
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Sludges in Tanks	Characterization of Tanks			
	Ground Water Contaminated With Radionuclides, Organics, And Inorganics	3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
	Subsurface Contaminants		Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
			Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper
			Anomalous Vegetation Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 Pan E-O
			Exo/Endothermic Heat Sources/Sinks	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Pan E-O
			Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Change	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7

**A.9.5.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Sludges in Tanks" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 2.2.1.7	Site Location				
	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Location of Tanks	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper
	Sludges in Tanks	Characterization of Tanks	Anomalous Vegetation Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 Pan E-O
	Ground Water Contaminated With Radionuclides, Organics, And Inorganics	3-D Mapping of Tanks	Exo/Endothermic Heat Sources/Sinks	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
	Subsurface Contaminants		Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Pan E-O

**A.9.5.3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Sludges in Tanks" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes	Location of Tanks			
	Sludges in Tanks →	Characterization of Tanks			
	Ground Water Contaminated With Radionuclides, Organics, And Inorganics	3-D Mapping of Tanks →	Tank/Cavity 3-D Profile (aridosols)	High Resolution Signature	Ground-Penetrating SAR
	Subsurface Contaminants				

**A.9.6.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements
Derived from "Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics" Problem Element**

DDE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 → 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated With Radionuclides, Organics, And Inorganics → Subsurface Contaminants	 Locate Contaminants in Ground Water (surface/subsurface) → Track Migration of Contaminants In Groundwater and Adjacent Soils	 Vegetation Stress/Vigor Soil Moisture Content Changes/ Drainage Soil Compaction Changes Desert "Varnish" Disruption Anomalous Vegetation Growth Exo/Endothermic Heat Sources/Sinks Local Surface Contour Indicators Soil/Mineral Discoloration	 Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly Height/Relief (E-O/SAR) Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	 TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm. SAR, Ps TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm. SAR, Ps Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm. SAR, Ps TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 Pan E-O Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm,SAR,P3,3-D Laser Pan E-O TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS,Daedalus,M7,Hyper Pan E-O

**A.9.6.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements
Derived from "Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 → 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated With Radionuclides, Organics, And Inorganics → Subsurface Contaminants	 Locate Contaminants in Ground Water (surface/subsurface) Track Migration of Contaminants In Groundwater and Adjacent Soils →	 Vegetation Stress/Vigor Soil Moisture Content Changes/ Drainage Soil Compaction Changes Desert "Varnish" Disruption Anomalous Vegetation Growth Exo/Endothermic Heat Sources/Sinks Soil/Mineral Discoloration	 Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change Characteristic Spectral Signature Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change Sensible Temperature Anomaly Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	 TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, Ps TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, Ps Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 IWT, Comm. SAR, Ps TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 Pan E-O Daedalus, M7, PRT5/10 TM, SPOT, AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7, Hyper Pan E-O

A.9.7.1 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches			
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper
		Characterize Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan,E-O
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs			
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10
			Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus,M7,PRT5/10
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (E-O/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3D Laser
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus, M7,Hyper Pan,E-O
			Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7

A.9.7.2 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants	Location of Tanks			
		Characterization of Tanks	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Tank/Soil Thermal Indicators	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (E-O/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Characterize Soils	Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs			
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap			

**A.9.7.3 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants →	Location of Tanks			
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks →	Tank/Cavity 3-D Profile (aridosols)	High Resolution Signature	Ground-Penetrating SAR
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches			
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater			
		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils			
		Characterize Soils			
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs			
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap			

**A.9.7.4 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 → 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics <u>Subsurface Contaminants</u> →				
		Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried) →	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater			
		Track Migration of Contaminants in Soils	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Characterize Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (E-O/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3,3-D Laser Pan E-O
			Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

A.9.7.5 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Elements

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Contaminants in Groundwater	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Track Migration of Contaminant In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Characterize Soils			
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
			Local Surface Contour Indicators	Height/Relief (E-O/SAR)	IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O

**A.9.7.6 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1 2.2.1.2 2.2.1.3 2.2.1.4 2.2.1.5 2.2.1.6 2.2.1.7	Site Location Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes Sludges in Tanks Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants →	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater			
		Track Migration of Contaminant In Soils →	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Characterize Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O

**A.9.7.7 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6 →	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants →	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Track Migration of Contaminant In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Characterize Soils →	Exothermic Heat Sources	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Principle Chemical Constituents	Characteristic Spectral Signature	High Resolution Spectroradiometer, Hyper.

**A.9.7.8 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Element**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants	Location of Tanks	Vegetation Stress/Vigor	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks	Soil Moisture Content Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Compaction Changes	Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Track Migration of Contaminant In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Characterize Soils			
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Change	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
			Fences/Posts/Footings	Point/Extended Signatures	IWT,Comm,SAR,P3

**A.9.7.9 Target Observables, Signature Observables, and potential Sensors Associated
with Information Elements Derived from "Subsurface Contaminants" Problem Elements**

DOE Problem Sets	Problem Element	Information Element	Target Observable	Signature Observable	Sensor
2.2.1.1	Site Location				
2.2.1.2					
2.2.1.3	Soils-Basin/Ponds Containing LLW and Hazardous Wastes				
2.2.1.4					
2.2.1.5					
2.2.1.6	Contaminated Soils - Mixed Wastes				
2.2.1.7	Burial Grounds Containing Radioactive and Hazardous Wastes				
	Sludges in Tanks				
	Ground Water Contaminated with Radionuclides, Organics, and Inorganics				
	Subsurface Contaminants	Location of Tanks			
		Characterization of Tanks			
		3-D Mapping of Tanks			
		Identify Concentrated Waste in Trenches (buried)	Soil Compaction Changes	Characteristic Spectral Signature Sensible Temperature Anomaly RCS/Clutter Signature Change	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10 IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Locate Contaminants in Groundwater	Desert "Varnish" Disruption	Characteristic Spectral Signature	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper.
		Track Migration of Contaminant In Soils	Anomalous Vegetative Growth	Characteristic Spectral Signature RCS/Clutter Signature Change E-O/SAR Signature Texture Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. IWT,Comm.SAR,P3 Pan E-O
		Characterize Soils	Ambient/Diurnal Thermal Change	Sensible Temperature Anomaly	Daedalus, M7,PRT5/10
		Location of Berms/Fences/LOCs	Soil/Mineral Discoloration	Characteristic Spectral Signature Pan Contrast Change	TM,SPOT,AVIRRIS, Daedalus,M7,Hyper. Pan E-O
		Detect/Id. Cultural Litter/Scrap	Surface/Subsurface Metal	Point/Extended Signatures	IWT, Comm, SAR, P3