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ABSTRACT

Static leaching experiments have been performed to determine the influence of penetrating gamma radiation on the reaction of simulated nuclear waste glass in tuff groundwater at 90°C. Both the leachates and the reacted glass monoliths were analyzed to characterize the reaction. Radiation was seen to acidify the leachates, but the high bicarbonate content of the groundwater prevented the pHs from dropping below 6.4. The glass reaction tended to raise the pH. Glass based on SRL 165 black frit and PNL 76-68 glass compositions were leached. The SRL 165 type glasses were quite durable and unaffected by radiation [NL(B) ~4 g/m² after 273 days at all exposure rates]. The PNL 76-68 glasses were much less durable, with the durability decreasing as the exposure rate was increased [NL(B) was about 20 g/m² after 278 days at 1 x 10³ R/h for both ATM-1c and ATM-8 glasses].

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
INTRODUCTION

An extensive series of experiments has been performed to determine the influence of penetrating gamma radiation on the reaction of simulated nuclear waste glass in tuff groundwater. The Yucca Mountain Project (YMP) is studying the suitability of the volcanic tuff beds at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, for the isolation of nuclear waste. While the horizon under study has been characterized as hydrologically unsaturated [1], the waste package performance must be understood under the unlikely by possible condition of water intrusion into the repository. The high post-closure temperature will prevent liquid water from entering the repository for several hundred years, though water vapor may exist. Water which may eventually enter the repository will be subjected to weak gamma radiation fields generated by the waste. The air in the repository will have been irradiated at high doses for many years and will affect the groundwater chemistry. The behavior of the waste in such groundwater must be understood to project the long-term performance of the repository.

Experiments have been performed over a four-year period to measure the effect of radiation on the leaching behavior of simulated nuclear waste in a saturated environment relevant to the tuff repository [2-5]. In this report we provide a comparison of the results and discuss the influence or radiation dose on glass reaction.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experiments were similar to the MCC-1 static leach tests [6] and were performed at 90°C under gamma exposures of $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, $1 \times 10^4$ R/h, $1 \times 10^3$ R/h, or without radiation for up to 278 days. SRL 165 black frit
doped with uranium, referred to as SRL U glass, or with uranium, neptunium, plutonium, and americium, referred to as SRL A glass, ATM-1c glass, and ATM-8 glass, which contains uranium, neptunium, and plutonium, were reacted. While neither of these glasses represent actual compositions that will be used to encapsulate waste, they represent a diverse range of glass reactivities and should be useful in understanding the effects of radiation on leaching. Repository reference groundwater from well J-13 was prereacted with pulverized tuff rock at 90°C to produce the leachant, which is referred to as the EJ-13 solution. The glass and leachant compositions have been presented elsewhere [7]. The experiments were performed in 304L stainless steel vessels having a volume near 22 mL. An amount of leachant was added to attain a glass surface area/leachant volume ratio (SA/V) near 0.3 cm⁻¹ and an air/leachant volume ratio near 0.3. Polished tuff wafers were added to some vessels as well.

The final leachates were analyzed for pH, anions (ion chromatography), cations (ICP, AA), and transuranics (α-counting). The reacted glass samples were measured for weight loss and the surfaces analyzed using SEM with EDS and SIMS. Some of the results are presented below, with an emphasis on the effects of radiation on the glass reaction.

RESULTS

The final leachate pH values are plotted vs. the reaction time for experiments with SRL A and ATM-8 glasses at the various exposure rates in Figure 1. The pHs of experiments with SRL U glass were identical to those with SRL A glass, within experimental error. The pHs of the nonirradiated experiments are higher than that of the initial leachant (which varied
between 7.6 and 8.1) for both glass types. This is due to the production of hydroxide ions accompanying the alkali release from the glass. Irradiated experiments are acidified relative to the nonirradiated experiments due to the radiolytic production of nitric acid. Burns et al. have proposed an expression for the nitric acid production in a similar two phase vapor/liquid system in which the amount of acid produced is proportional to the exposure [8]. Thus, more acid is expected to be produced at higher exposure rates for the same reaction time. This is observed in Figure 1 where the rate of acidification decreases as:

\[
2 \times 10^5 \text{ R/h} > 1 \times 10^4 \text{ R/h} > 1 \times 10^3 \text{ R/h}
\]

for both glass types.

Blank experiments (without glass) were acidified to pHs below 7 at all exposure rates, though the pH is prevented from dropping below 6.4 by the high bicarbonate concentration in the groundwater (~120 ppm). The glass reaction produces sufficient hydroxide to partially neutralize the acid and maintain a more neutral pH. The pH, therefore, reflects the balance between acidification due to radiolysis and basification due to the glass reaction. At long reaction times, the pH appears to approach a common steady-state value for all exposure rates, near 7 for SRL A glass and 7.5 for ATM-8 glass. The higher "final" pH of the experiments with ATM-8 glass indicate a greater extent of glass reaction, since the nitric acid production is the same for both glass types.

The release of various species from the glass into the leachate is indicative of the extent of reaction. The normalized elemental mass losses of boron, silicon, and sodium are shown plotted vs. the reaction time for SRL A and ATM-8 glasses in Figures 2a-2f at the various exposure rates.
The release of these species from SRL A glass is not affected by irradiation, with NL(Na) > NL(B) = NL(Si). Analysis of the reacted SRL U glasses showed the altered surfaces to be depleted in both sodium and boron relative to the bulk glass, while the silicon concentration remained constant [5]. The reaction profiles for Na and B as measured by SIMS mirror the normalized release values in that B is depleted to a greater depth than Na.

The normalized elemental mass loss of all elements is greater from ATM-8 glass than from SRL A glass, and the mass loss is influenced by irradiation. The release of all elements increases with the exposure rate as nonirradiated < 1 × 10^3 R/h < 2 × 10^5 R/h; and the elemental releases decrease as NL(Na) > NL(B) > NL(Si) at all exposures.

The release of transuranic elements was measured for SRL A (Am, Np, Pu) and ATM-8 (Np, Pu) glass as unfiltered, filtered (50 Å filter), and acid strip fraction.

Neptunium was found in both the filtered and unfiltered fractions. No neptunium was plated onto the stainless steel or sorbed onto the tuff wafer. The concentration of neptunium is plotted against the reaction time for different exposure rates in Figures 3a and 3b for SRL A and ATM-8 glasses. The neptunium concentration is low in experiments with SRL A glass, and the results of duplicate experiments show relatively large deviations. Nevertheless, the neptunium release does not vary significantly with the exposure rate for SRL A glass. ATM-8 glass, on the other hand, showed marked differences in the neptunium concentration at different exposure rates, with the concentration increasing with the exposure rate. The plutonium concentration in the filtered fraction is shown in Figures 4a
and 4b for SRL A and ATM-8 glasses. The plutonium solubility limit is very sensitive to the pH, and only experiments irradiated at $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, which reached the lowest pH values, have a significant concentration of plutonium in the aqueous phase. Plutonium is preferentially plated onto the stainless steel vessel surface in other experiments with both glass types.

**DISCUSSION**

The primary effect of gamma radiation in these experiments is through the radiolytic production of nitric acid. An equation describing the production of nitric acid in a moist air/liquid water system has been given by Burns et al., which takes the following form at low exposures:

$$N = 2.9E-5 \times C_o \times R \times G \times Dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $N$ is the molar concentration of nitric acid produced, $C_o$ is the initial concentration of nitrogen, $R$ is the air/liquid volume ratio, $G$ is the radiolytic yield, $D$ is the dose rate, and Mrad d^{-1}, and $t$ is the exposure rate, in days. Using the results of the experiments irradiated at $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, a $G$ value of 2.4 was obtained. Substituting the experimental values $C_o = 3.6E-2M$, $R = 0.3$, and $G = 2.4$, Eq. (1) is reduced to

$$N(M) = 5.95E-7 \times Dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The nitric acid production is therefore predicted to occur at $2.86 \mu M$ day$^{-1}$ at an exposure rate of $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, $0.14 \mu M$ day$^{-1}$ at $1 \times 10^4$ R/h, and $0.01 \mu M$ day$^{-1}$ at $1 \times 10^3$ R/h. Bicarbonate in the tuff groundwater...
inhibits acidification below about 6.4, however, and so the pH difference between irradiated and nonirradiated experiments is limited to about 2 pH units (the difference between the pH of the starting water and 6.4). This small pH difference may be sufficient to alter the solubility limits of some species and so perhaps alter the reaction rate. The leachates are predicted to be acidified to pH 6.4 after less than 1 day, about 3 days, or after 29 days at exposure rates of $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, $1 \times 10^4$ R/h, or $1 \times 10^3$ R/h, respectively. Figure 1a shows the experiments with SRL A glass to be acidified to near pH 6.5 after 28 days at $2 \times 10^5$ R/h. Experiments with ATM-8 glass are acidified to a pH near 6.6 after only seven days at $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, but to be acidified less at longer reaction times.

The final pH is less acidic than predicted by nitric acid production because the glass reaction raises the pH. This is seen in the non-irradiated experiments, which reach pHs above 9 for both SRL A and ATM-8 glass. The glass reaction partially neutralizes the nitric acid produced. Hydroxide is produced equimolarly with the release of alkalis but is consumed by network dissolution reactions. The glass reaction proceeds at a maximum rate early and slows with time while the radiolytic production of nitric acid proceeds at a constant rate. Early in the reaction, the glass reaction may overwhelm the nitric acid production and raise the pH. This is seen to occur in the ATM-8 experiments irradiated at $1 \times 10^3$ R/h after 28 days. At longer times the glass reaction had slowed and the pH drops. Eventually the two rates may become similar and the pH attain an apparent steady-state value. This appears to happen in experiments with SRL A glass
near a pH of 7 and with ATM-8 glass at a pH near 7.5. Nonirradiated experiments will show increasing pH values until the reaction stops or until the pH becomes buffered by secondary phase formation.

The rate of the SRL A reaction is not influenced by irradiation, as measured by elemental release rates. This reflects the high durability of this glass composition in tuff groundwater. The release of species from ATM-8 glass is greatly increased with irradiation. It is generally found that silica glasses are more reactive at higher pHs than at near neutral pHs due to the greater solubility of silica above pH ~ 9.5. However, the glass reactions may also be inhibited by the formation of precipitated metal oxy-hydroxide species on the surface. These species are generally more soluble in neutral or acidic solutions and an enrichment of metal species was detected in the nonirradiated samples. The SRL A and ATM-8 samples are undergoing further characterization using analytical electron microscopy to determine how the secondary phases that make up the reacted layer are affected by the different irradiation conditions.

Irradiation was also seen to produce nitrite ions in the solution at all exposure rates [2,4,5,7] which indicates the solution Eh is reduced from the starting leachant. Figure 5 shows the nitrite/nitrate concentration ratio plotted vs. the total exposure for experiments without glass. The presence of glass increases the ratio slightly. The solution is seen to be reduced at low total exposures but to approach the Eh of the starting leachant (which corresponds to a ratio of zero) at higher exposures. This may indicate a transient nature of the solution reduction or an influence of the pH. The rate of reaction does not correlate with the solution Eh.
CONCLUSIONS

Static leach experiments using simulated nuclear waste glasses based on the composition of SRL 165 black frit and PNL 76-68 were performed under gamma radiation fields of $2 \times 10^5$ R/h, $1 \times 10^4$ R/h, and $1 \times 10^3$ R/h, and in the absence of radiation. The SRL 165 type glasses reacted similarly under all radiation fields while the PNL 76-68 type glasses reacted to a greater extent in the high radiation fields. The leachate pHs remained between 7 and 9 for the SRL glasses and between 7.5 and 9.5 for the PNL glasses. The behavior of the PNL glasses is contrary to that expected from the silica solubility as a function of leachate pH, and may point towards a secondary influence of radiation. The lack of effect of radiation on the SRL 165 glass reaction in the present experimental conditions may be due to the low reactivity of the SRL 165 type glasses, or may be the results of different processes controlling the reaction compared to the ATM-8 glass. Further studies are in progress to assess the effect of radiation on glass reaction at more repository-relevant R values (smaller volumes of water).
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Final Leachate pH for Experiments with (a) SRL A Glass, or (b) ATM-8 Glass Irradiated at (●) 2 x 10^5 R/h, (▲) 1 x 10^4 R/h, (▼) 1 x 10^3 R/h, or (□) Nonirradiated vs. the Reaction Time.

Figure 2. Normalized Elemental Mass Loss for Experiments Irradiated at (●) 2 x 10^5 R/h, (▲) 1 x 10^4 R/h, (▼) 1 x 10^3 R/h, or (□) Nonirradiated vs. the Reaction Time: (a) Boron from SRL A, (b) Boron from ATM-8, (c) Silicon from SRL A, (d) Silicon from ATM-8, (e) Sodium from SRL A, and (f) Sodium from ATM-8.

Figure 3. Concentration of Neptunium-237 in the Leachate vs. Reaction Time for (a) SRL A Glass, or (b) ATM-8 Glass in Experiments Irradiated at (●) 2 x 10^5 R/h, (▲) 1 x 10^4 R/h, (▼) 1 x 10^3 R/h, or (□) Nonirradiated.

Figure 4. Concentration of Plutonium-239 in the Leachate vs. Reaction Time for (a) SRL A Glass, or (b) ATM-8 Glass in Experiments Irradiated at (●) 2 x 10^5 R/h, (▲) 1 x 10^4 R/h, (▼) 1 x 10^3 R/h, or (□) Nonirradiated.

Figure 5. Nitrite/Nitrate Concentration Ratio in Leachate for Experiments Without Glass, Irradiated at (●) 2 x 10^5 R/h, (▲) 1 x 10^4 R/h, and (▼) 1 x 10^3 R/h vs. Reaction Time.
Fig 1A

Fig 1B