**The Role of Plants and Animals
in Isolation Barriers at
Hanford, Washington**

S. O. Link
L. L. Cadwell
K. L. Petersen
M. R. Sackschewsky
D. S. Landeen

September 1995

Prepared for
the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Richland, Washington 99352

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Summary

The Hanford Site Surface Barrier Development Program was organized in 1985 to test the effectiveness of various barrier designs in minimizing the effects of water infiltration; plant, animal, and human intrusion; and wind and water erosion on buried wastes, and in minimizing the emanation of noxious gases. Plants will serve to minimize drainage and erosion, but present the potential for growing roots into wastes. Animals burrow holes into the soil, and the burrow holes could allow water to preferentially drain into the waste. They also bring soil to the surface which, if wastes are incorporated, could present a risk for the dispersion of wastes into the environment. This report reviews work done to assess the role of plants and animals in isolation barriers at Hanford. It also reviews work done to understand the potential effects from climate change on the plants and animals that may inhabit barriers in the future.

Plant studies have been done on community analysis, root characteristics, water balance, and water and wind erosion. Community analyses are reviewed in the context of native plants, invasive aliens, community dynamics, and revegetation efforts. Roots are examined with respect to water balance, other root functions, and intrusion into wastes. Water balance studies have been done at various locations on site, including McGee Ranch, the 300 North grass site, the *Artemisia tridentata*/*Pseudoroegneria spicata* community, and at Lower Snively field. Numerous lysimeter studies have examined the role of vegetation in water balance. Work has been reviewed to quantify the relationship plants have on water and wind erosion.

Animal studies have focused on burrowing effects on soil water balance and the transport of buried wastes to the surface. This has been done for small and large mammals on site. Studies done to determine the role of animals on waste transport to the surface are reviewed.

Studies on the role of plants and animals on barriers and climate change at Hanford were reviewed. Climate change studies have focused on assessing the potential range of climate conditions barriers may be exposed to over thousands of years and the potential consequences of such exposure on the plants and animals that may inhabit a barrier, and the water balance of a barrier. Climate change studies indicate that the present interglacial may last another 10,000 years, with the continental glacial ice reaching its maximum extent (important for the Pasco Basin because of its potential for contributing to catastrophic flooding) 100,000 years or more into the future.

The optimal plant community for barrier function is one composed of deep-rooted perennial shrubs and grasses. Deep-rooted perennial shrubs and grasses will minimize the risk of water drainage into the wastes and minimize erosion. The establishment of such a community in the face of the encroachment of invasive alien species is critical for proper barrier function. Investigations into the characteristics of new invasive alien species are needed. Continued research on community dynamics, rooting characteristics, transpiration, and the effects of plants on wind and water erosion is needed to gain confidence in barrier designs. This information needs to be integrated into simulation models of ecological processes to predict the ability of the barrier to function in the future under worst-case scenarios.

Little research has been done on the effects vegetation may have on infiltration of barrier surfaces. Infiltration depends on soil structure, which is determined by vegetation over time. There is a need to investigate the relationship between vegetation and soil development to properly understand soil physics and infiltration characteristics.

Conclusions drawn from animal studies indicate that animals are not likely to compromise the water relations of the barrier. It should be cautioned, however, that this conclusion is based on short-term studies.

Confidence in the ability of isolation barriers to isolate hazardous wastes from dispersion into the environment will require long-term integrated studies. Such studies will have to develop an understanding of how ecosystems develop over time and how this will influence surface stability and hydrologic properties of barriers.

Acknowledgments

Funding was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy's Environmental Restoration Program under contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830. We thank Dr. W. H. Rickard for his efforts to improve this manuscript and Dr. G. W. Gee for his continued support of this research.

Contents

Summary	iii
Acknowledgments	v
1.0 Introduction	1.1
1.1 Hanford Site: Physical Description	1.2
1.2 Plants and Animals Affecting Barrier Design	1.4
2.0 Plants	2.1
2.1 Community Analysis	2.1
2.1.1 Native Plants	2.1
2.1.2 Invasive Aliens	2.4
2.1.3 Community Dynamics	2.4
2.1.4 Revegetation	2.6
2.2 Roots	2.7
2.2.1 Root Function	2.7
2.2.2 Intrusion Into Wastes	2.10
2.3 Water Balance	2.10
2.3.1 Admix	2.11
2.3.2 McGee Ranch	2.11
2.3.3 300 N Grass	2.12
2.3.4 <i>Artemisia/Pseudoroegneria</i> Community	2.12
2.3.5 Lower Snively Field	2.12
2.3.6 Lysimeter Studies	2.13
2.4 Soil Erosion	2.18
2.4.1 Erosion by Water	2.18
2.4.2 Erosion by Wind	2.19
3.0 Animals	3.1
3.1 Small Mammals	3.1
3.2 Medium-Size Mammals	3.3
3.3 Soil Moved to the Surface by Mammals	3.5
4.0 Climate Change	4.1
4.1 Effects of Climate Change on Plants and Animals	4.1
4.2 Predictions	4.3
5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations	5.1
6.0 References	6.1

Figures

1.1	Permanent Isolation Barrier at Hanford	1.2
1.2	The Hanford Site in South-Central Washington State Showing Locations of Study Areas Relevant to the Permanent Isolation Barrier Program	1.3
2.1	Cumulative Storage Change in the Small-Tube Lysimeters	2.16
3.1	Mean Seasonal Percent Change in Soil Moisture Around Badger Burrows at the End of Each of the Four Calendar Quarters for 1989	3.5
4.1	Normal Monthly Average Precipitation (cm) for Selected Individual Weather Stations in the Western United States for the Period 1931-1960	4.4
4.2	Major Grassland, Steppe and Shrub Types of Northwestern North America	4.5
4.3	Predicted Vegetation and Precipitation Changes in Regions Surrounding the Hanford Site Under More Meridional Flow Conditions than at Present	4.8
4.4	Predicted Vegetation and Precipitation Changes in Regions Surrounding the Hanford Site Under More Zonal Flow Conditions than at Present	4.9

Tables

2.1	Native Plant Species of McGee Ranch and the 200 Areas Plateau (n), Invasive Species (i), and Species Used to Revegetate the Prototype Barrier (r)	2.2
2.2	Summary of Canopy Cover Provided by Wild Plants at Various Places in the Columbia River Plain Hanford Site and Number of Plant Species in Each Botanical Category	2.4
2.3	Greatest Observed Rooting Depth of Species at the Hanford Site that Could Live on Barrier Surfaces	2.8
2.4	Results of Analysis of Variance for Storage Change, Evapotranspiration, and Drainage in the Small-Tube Lysimeters	2.17

1.0 Introduction

The Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) and other contractors on site (Westinghouse Hanford Company, Kaiser Engineering, Bechtel Hanford Company) have been working together to develop long-term isolation barriers for the near-surface disposal of hazardous wastes on the Hanford Site. The Hanford Site contains 10.3% of all low-level waste (Fisher 1986) and much of the high-level nuclear waste in the United States. Research on the development of waste isolation barriers at the arid Hanford Site has been ongoing since the mid-1980s (Gee et al. 1993a, Link et al. 1994a). The barriers are being designed to hydrologically isolate the buried wastes for thousands of years (Gee et al. 1992). The prototype barrier design consists of a layer of fine-textured soil overlying multiple layers grading from sand to basalt riprap (Gee et al. 1993a). The capillary break concept has been investigated as a way to minimize water infiltration into buried waste. A capillary break is essentially a layer of fine topsoil over loose rock (Richards 1950). Such a configuration restricts rapid downward movement of water and tends to store water in the upper soil, where it can be readily returned to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration. A capillary break is one of the major design features of the permanent isolation barrier (Figure 1.1) and has been investigated extensively at Hanford (Gee et al. 1993b, Wing and Gee 1993).

All factors that could contribute to waste dispersal should be carefully considered when designing long-term isolation barriers (Waugh et al. 1994a). Wastes can be dispersed by water, wind, plants, and animals. Plants and animals have significant effects on surface layers and can, potentially, compromise a barrier. Thus, it is important to determine how plants and animals affect the soil water balance, the stability of the surface subjected to wind and water erosion, and the potential for biointrusion into the waste (Wing 1992, Gee et al. 1993a, Link et al. 1994b). Given that the barrier must last for at least 1000 years, it is also important to determine the effects of climate change on the species composition of plant and animal communities (Petersen et al. 1993). Our review of the role of plants and animals on isolation barriers at Hanford includes summaries of studies of plant community dynamics; root intrusion; the influence of plants and animals on wind erosion, water erosion, infiltration, and surface water budgets; and the effects of climate change on plants and animals likely to inhabit the barrier. Figure 1.2 shows the locations of field studies discussed in this review.

This review summarizes knowledge about the plants and animals that might be found on barrier structures at Hanford. It is focused on studies performed for the Hanford Protective Barrier program, but will include information from other Hanford studies. This work is not a comprehensive review of plants and animals on the Hanford Site. A comprehensive review of plants and animals on the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology reserve can be found in Rickard et al. (1988), and a comprehensive list of plant species for Hanford in Sackschewsky et al. (1992).

S9210014.1

Figure 1.1. Permanent Isolation Barrier at Hanford

1.1 Hanford Site: Physical Description

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Hanford Site (46° 35' N, 119° 44' W) is in south-central Washington state. The Hanford Site is 1480 km² in area and varies in elevation from 120 to 1200 meters above sea level (m.a.s.l.). The climate is semiarid with hot dry summers and cool wet winters (Stone et al. 1983). The prototype barrier is located on the 200 Area Plateau at 223 m.a.s.l. (see Figure 1.2). Average yearly precipitation at the nearby Hanford Meteorological Station is about 162 mm, falling mostly in the fall and winter. Snowfall ranges from trace amounts to 137 cm, but averages 35 cm. Precipitation increases with elevation in the Rattlesnake Hills. Average yearly precipitation at an elevation of 553 m is 260 mm. Barriers will all be located at low elevations (approximately 200 m) at Hanford.

The soils in the 200 Area Plateau are coarse-textured alluvial sands covered by a mantle of wind-deposited fine sands of the Quincy soil series (mixed, mesic Xeric Torripsammments) (Gee et al. 1992). Soils from McGee Ranch, used in the upper layers of the prototype barrier, are silt loams (Hajek 1966, Gee 1987).

Figure 1.2. The Hanford Site in South-Central Washington State Showing Locations of Study Areas Relevant to the Permanent Isolation Barrier Program

1.2 Plants and Animals Affecting Barrier Design

The natural vegetation of the Hanford Site is representative of the semi-arid shrub-steppe ecoregion of southeastern Washington (Daubenmire 1970). The dominant woody plants are short-stature, widely spaced desert shrubs; *Artemisia tridentata* (sagebrush) and *Purshia tridentata* (bitterbrush) are the most common. The herbaceous understory consists mostly of grasses, especially *Poa sandbergii* (Sandberg's bluegrass) and *Bromus tectorum* (cheatgrass) (Cline et al. 1977a, Rickard and Sauer 1982, Rickard 1988).

Agricultural settlement of the area that became the Hanford Site began in the mid 1800's. The native plant communities were fragmented by plowing and stressed by overgrazing, accelerating the spread of imported Eurasian weeds. Irrigated fields, abandoned in the early 1940s, have been revegetated with alien annual plants, particularly *B. tectorum*, *Sisymbrium altissimum* (tumble mustard), *Holosteum umbellatum* (jagged chickweed), and *Salsola kali* (Russian thistle). These plants have also invaded places that have never been plowed (Brandt and Rickard 1994) and are now an integral part of the Hanford flora. Since 1940, alien plants have extended their domains, aided by ground-disturbing activities such as road building: pipeline, trench, and well digging; and construction of electrical transmission lines. Burrowing mammals such as *Taxidea taxos* (badger), *Spermophilus townsendii* (ground squirrel), *Thomomys talpoides* (pocket gopher), and *Perognathus parvus* (pocket mouse) are relevant to this study because they can dig into the barriers.

2.0. Plants

Plants have significant effects on upper layers and can potentially compromise a barrier (Link et al. 1994a). Thus, it is important to determine how plants affect water infiltration, soil water storage, and evapotranspiration. Plants help stabilize surface soils from wind and water erosion; however, deeply rooted plants can also intrude into buried wastes (Wing 1992, Gee et al. 1993a). These issues will be addressed in the context of research conducted on plant community structure, root intrusion, water balance, water erosion, wind erosion, and revegetation.

2.1 Community Analysis

Community analysis includes species composition, examination of relative abundance of vascular plants at Hanford, and revegetation efforts that are relevant to barrier concerns. Table 2.1 lists selected dryland vascular plant species found at McGee Ranch and the 200 Areas Plateau, including those that could invade the area and species used to revegetate the prototype barrier [after Price and Rickard (1973), Sackschewsky et al. (1992), Waugh et al. (1994a) and Link et al. (1994b)]. The species listed are identified with scientific and common names. Table 2.2 summarizes the canopy cover on the Columbia River plain.

2.1.1 Native Plants

The Hanford Site resides within the sagebrush-bunchgrass *A. tridentata*/*Agropyron spicatum* [*Pseudoregneria spicata*] vegetation zone as described by Daubenmire (1970). The plant communities present in the parts of the Hanford Site in which most of the permanent isolation barriers will be constructed have been further delineated as *A. tridentata*/*P. sandbergii*/*B. tectorum* and *A. tridentata*/*P. tridentata*/*P. sandbergii* community types (Cline et al. 1975). The common native species of McGee Ranch include the shrubs (*Grayia spinosa*, *A. tridentata*, and *Chrysothamnus nauseosus*), several species of forbs (*Machaeranthera canescens*, *Sphaeralcea munroana*, *Astragalus carinus*, and *Helianthus cusickii*), and the perennial grasses (*P. sandbergii*, *Sitanion hystrix*, and *Oryzopsis hymenoides*).

Other native species found in the 200 Area Plateau that potentially could grow on barrier surfaces include the shrubs (*Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus*, *P. tridentata*) and perennial bunchgrasses (*Stipa comata*, *S. hystrix*, *O. hymenoides*, *Sporobolus cryptandrus*, and *Koeleria cristata*). Common native annual species include *Ambrosia acanthicarpa* and *Descurainia pinnata*. The characteristic perennial and annual forbs that occur in both the native communities and in disturbed areas are described in Price and Rickard (1973) and in Sackschewsky et al. (1992).

Table 2.1. Native Plant Species of McGee Ranch and the 200 Areas Plateau (n), Invasive Species (i), and Species Used to Revegetate the Prototype Barrier (r)

Scientific Name	Common Names	Notes
<i>Abronia mellifera</i>	White sand verbena	n
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	Yarrow	n
<i>Agropyron dasytachyum</i>	Thickspike wheatgrass	n,r
<i>Ambrosia acanthicarpa</i>	Bur ragweed	n
<i>Amsinckia tessellata</i>	Tessellate fiddleneck	n
<i>Astragalus carinus</i>	Buckwheat milkvetch	n
<i>Astragalus purshii</i>	Woolley-pod milkvetch	n
<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>	Big sagebrush	n,r
<i>Balsamorhiza careyana</i>	Carey's balsamroot	n
<i>Brodiaea douglasii</i>	Brodiaea	n
<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	Cheatgrass	i
<i>Centaurea diffusa</i>	Diffuse knapweed	i
<i>Centaurea maculosa</i>	Spotted knapweed	i
<i>Centaurea solstitialis</i>	Yellow starthistle	i
<i>Chenopodium leptophyllum</i>	Slimleaf goosefoot	n
<i>Chaenactis douglasii</i>	Hoary false-yarrow	n
<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>	Gray rabbitbrush	n,r
<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	Green rabbitbrush	n
<i>Comandra pallida</i>	Pale bastard toadflax	n
<i>Conyza canadensis</i>	Horseweed	n
<i>Crepis atrabarba</i>	Slender hawksbeard	n
<i>Cryptantha circumscissa</i>	Matted cryptantha	n
<i>Cryptantha pterocarya</i>	Winged cryptantha	n
<i>Cymopteris terebinthinus</i>	Turpentine cymopteris	n
<i>Delphinium nuttallianum</i>	Upland larkspur	n
<i>Descurainia pinnata</i>	Western tansymustard	n
<i>Erigeron filifolius</i>	Threadleaf fleabane	n
<i>Erigeron pumilus</i>	Shaggy fleabane	n
<i>Erodium cicutarium</i>	Filaree	i
<i>Erysimum asperum</i>	Rough wallflower	n
<i>Gilia leptomeria</i>	Great Basin gilia	n
<i>Grayia spinosa</i>	Spiny hopsage	n

Table 2.1. (contd)

Scientific Name	Common Names	Notes
<i>Helianthus cusickii</i>	Cusick's sunflower	n
<i>Koeleria cristata</i>	Prairie junegrass	n
<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	Prickly lettuce	i
<i>Lappula redowskii</i>	Western stickseed	n
<i>Layia glandulosa</i>	White-daisy tidytips	n
<i>Lepidium perfoliatum</i>	Clasping pepperweed	i
<i>Leptodactylon pungens</i>	Prickly phlox	n
<i>Lomatium grayi</i>	Desert parsley	n
<i>Machaeranthera canescens</i>	Hoary aster	n
<i>Melilotus alba</i>	White sweetclover	i
<i>Melilotus officinalis</i>	Yellow sweetclover	i
<i>Microsteris gracilis</i>	Pink microsteris	n
<i>Oenothera pallida</i>	Pale evening primrose	n
<i>Oryzopsis hymenoides</i>	Indian ricegrass	n,r
<i>Penstemon acuminatus</i>	Sand beardtongue	n
<i>Phacelia linearis</i>	Threadleaf scorpionweed	n
<i>Phlox longifolia</i>	Longleaf phlox	n
<i>Poa ampla</i>	Sherman big bluegrass	r
<i>Poa sandbergii</i>	Sandberg's bluegrass	n,r
<i>Pseudoroegneria spicata</i>	Blue-bunch wheatgrass	r
<i>Psoralea lanceolata</i>	Scurf pea	n
<i>Purshia tridentata</i>	Bitterbrush	n
<i>Salsola kali</i>	Russian thistle	i
<i>Salvia dorrii</i>	Gray-ball sage	n
<i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>	Tumblemustard	i
<i>Sitanion hystrix</i>	Squirreltail grass	n,r
<i>Sphaeralcea munroana</i>	Munro's globe-mallow	n
<i>Sporobolus cryptandrus</i>	Sand dropseed	n
<i>Stipa comata</i>	Needle-and-thread grass	n,r
<i>Thelypodium laciniatum</i>	Cutleaf lady'sfoot mustard	n
<i>Townsendia florifer</i>	Daisy	n
<i>Tragopogon dubius</i>	Yellow salsify	i

Table 2.2. Summary of Canopy Cover Provided by Wild Plants at Various Places in the Columbia River Plain Hanford Site and Number of Plant Species in Each Botanical Category

Botanical Category	Cold Creek, 200 Area ^(a)		B-C Cribs, 200 Area ^(b)		Supply System, 300 Area ^(c)	
	%cover	#species	%cover	#species	%cover	#species
Desert Shrubs	20	5	18	2	26	4
Bunchgrass	13	5	2	1	10	2
Perennial Forbs	< 1	10	1	8	4	9
Annual Grass ^(d)	12	2	19	2	56	2
Annual Forb ^(d)	< 1	14	14	9	28	16
Total	46	36	54	22	124 ^(e)	33
<p>(a) Data from Rickard and Schöler 1988.</p> <p>(b) Data from Cline et al. 1977a.</p> <p>(c) Washington Public Power Supply System. Data from Rickard and Sauer 1982.</p> <p>(d) Annual grass and annual forb canopy varies greatly from year to year depending upon rainfall.</p> <p>(e) Exceeds 100% cover because of overlapping layers of canopy.</p>						

2.1.2 Invasive Aliens

In the 1800s, settlers introduced a number of invasive alien plant species to the Columbia Basin. These species, mostly annuals, are now important components of the Hanford Site flora (Sackschewsky et al. 1992, Brandt and Rickard 1994). Most notable of these are *B. tectorum*, *S. kali*, and *S. altissimum*. However, a number of other species are very common, including *Lactuca serriola* and *Tragopogon dubius*. Knapweed species have become established on the Hanford Site, including *C. solstitialis*, *Centaurea repens*, *Centaurea diffusa*, and *Centaurea maculosa*, of which *C. diffusa* is most common (Roché and Roché 1988). The range and the population sizes of these species appear to be expanding in the Columbia Basin, and they may spread to barrier surfaces in the future.

2.1.3 Community Dynamics

Plant community dynamics describe the changes that occur within a plant community over time. These changes may include alterations of the mixture of plant species within the community, changes in the abundance and density of individual species, and the introduction and extinction of species. These changes can occur over both short-term and long-term time scales. Short-term changes in species composition are related to disturbance and the introduction of alien species. Long-term changes in plant communities usually occur in response to climatic changes. Climatically induced changes in the plant community could significantly alter long-term barrier performance, especially if the new conditions and

resulting plant community do not match the design criteria of the protective barrier (Sackschewsky et al. 1991). For example, if the climate becomes significantly wetter, deep-rooted plants such as trees could become established on the barrier surface. The roots of these plants may significantly stress the capillary break structure of the protective barrier, and could eventually intrude into the buried waste. Conversely, these plants would greatly increase the amount of water extracted from the soil through transpiration (Link et al. 1994a).

The vegetation on engineered covers will change over time. Understanding the bounds for these changes is important in designing protective barriers that are expected to function for hundreds to thousands of years. The plant community is expected to go through many successional cycles during the lifetime of the barrier. The first cycle will occur at the time of initial barrier construction and revegetation. Numerous additional cycles will occur in response to both human-induced and natural disturbances, such as fire. The plant community will also shift over time in response to changes in climatic conditions, such as changes in temperature and precipitation. Climate change and disturbances can alter the numbers, types, and diversity of species, and may be accompanied by changes in water extraction rates. Even under the present climate, and without disturbance, there is significant seasonal and year-to-year variation in factors such as species abundance, biomass production, and transpiration rates in response to variation in precipitation and temperature (Waugh et al. 1994b).

Without revegetation efforts to create a perennial plant community on new barrier surfaces, plant community dynamics will likely follow a chronosequence described in Waugh and Link (1988) for disturbed areas. Their analysis was based on observations contained in Rogers and Rickard (1977). The initial dominant plant found on disturbed burial sites is *S. kali*, which becomes less abundant as *B. tectorum* becomes dominant. Other species that established themselves include *P. sandbergii*, *S. altissimum*, and *C. nauseosus*. The oldest disturbed surfaces were dominated by *B. tectorum* and *C. nauseosus*. The current prototype barrier was planted with perennials and is not expected to go through a disturbance sequence. The alien grass *B. tectorum* is an important component of local plant communities. Although this species is usually associated with disturbed areas (i.e., Harris 1967, Daubenmire 1975), it is also becoming established within areas that have not been highly disturbed in the past (Brandt and Rickard 1994). *Bromus tectorum* establishes itself in areas that have been disturbed mechanically, by fire, or by heavy grazing, primarily because it extracts water from the soil faster and earlier than native species (Harris 1967, Harris and Wilson 1970, Daubenmire 1975, Melgoza et al. 1990). *Bromus tectorum* was first documented in the State of Washington in 1893, and has since rapidly spread throughout the Columbia Basin and most of the western United States (Klemmedson and Smith 1964).

Prior to European settlement of the Columbia Basin, fire was the only major disturbance to which local plant communities were exposed. The native population did not engage in agricultural activities, there were no large, congregating ungulates to cause intensive grazing pressure (Mack and Thompson 1982), and there were few annual species capable of dominating disturbed communities. Therefore, the original successional pattern following fire would have consisted of a temporary loss of *A. tridentata*. *Artemisia tridentata* is susceptible to fire because it cannot resprout after burning (Daubenmire 1975). Native bunchgrasses resprout after fire, and low intensity burning is a temporary

setback to these species (Franklin and Dyrness 1973). The native bunchgrasses are highly susceptible to grazing (Mack and Thompson 1982), whereas *A. tridentata* is not (Franklin and Dyrness 1973). Therefore, a combination of fire and grazing removes both native dominant components from the community, and allows *B. tectorum* to invade and become established. The litter production of *B. tectorum* communities tends to be several times higher than that of native stands (Rickard et al. 1977), resulting in an increase in the incidence and intensity of fires. Once established, *B. tectorum* may never relinquish its position, thus arresting the normal successional pattern at what would be considered an early stage in most plant communities (Rickard and Sauer 1982). The only native species that have been noted to become established in *B. tectorum* fields after 50 years are *C. nauseosus* and *S. cryptandrus* (Daubenmire 1975, Brandt and Rickard 1994).

2.1.4 Revegetation

At the Hanford Site, early revegetation efforts focused on stabilizing the surface against erosion and discouraging roots from entering buried wastes. Cline and Uresk (1979) established shallow-rooted annuals (*B. tectorum*) instead of deep-rooted perennials to stabilize soil surfaces and preclude the intrusion of *S. kali* roots into buried radioactive wastes. This required nitrogen fertilization and irrigation in the fall, and soil stabilization with straw to prevent wind erosion.

At McGee Ranch, Waugh et al. (1994c) documented community dynamics after revegetation over 5 years on disturbed soils receiving differing amounts of gravel admix and water. Native perennial shrubs and grasses were seeded into the area. These included *Agropyron sibericum*, *Agropyron dasystachyum*, *O. hymenoides*, *Poa canbyi*, *Festuca ovina*, *C. nauseosus* var. *albicaulis*, *P. tridentata*, *A. tridentata*, and *G. spinosa*. The perennials that survived were the grasses, *A. sibericum* and *A. dasystachyum* (only in irrigated plots) and the shrub, *A. tridentata*. *Bromus tectorum* and *S. kali* invaded the study area from adjacent areas. The percent cover of *B. tectorum* increased over the observation period and the cover of *S. kali* first increased then decreased to less than 5% cover. In the ambient precipitation plots, after 5 years *B. tectorum* was dominant with minor cover by *S. kali* and *A. tridentata*. This is a common result on disturbed grounds at Hanford.

Other revegetation efforts at Hanford have successfully re-established deep-rooted perennial shrubs (*A. tridentata* and *G. spinosa*) on the disturbed Basalt Waste Isolation Project (BWIP) areas (Brandt et al. 1990, Brandt and Rickard 1990). These species were successfully established as seedlings. Recent efforts by Brandt et al. (1992) demonstrated successful revegetation with grasses in BWIP areas. They prepared seedbeds in the fall, testing various combinations of McGee Ranch soils, fertilizer, compost and wood chips. They seeded *P. sandbergii*, *S. hystrix*, *S. comata*, and *Melilotus alba*. The highest density of *P. sandbergii* occurred where seedbed treatments consisted of a control (no treatments) and only fertilizer disked into the soil. They also recorded the presence of *S. kali* and *B. tectorum* in the study plots.

Prototype Vegetation Establishment

The prototype barrier surface and surrounding disturbed areas were revegetated in the fall of 1994. Revegetation work was done separately to establish perennial shrubs and to establish perennial grasses.

Revegetation with perennial shrubs was done by collecting shrub seeds, growing seedlings, and then transplanting the seedlings on the surface of the prototype barrier. Seeds of *A. tridentata* and *C. nauseosus* were collected from McGee Ranch on December 23, 1993. The entire inflorescence of *A. tridentata* and the fruits of *C. nauseosus* were harvested and stored in plastic bags in the field. The material was transported to the Arid Lands Ecology (ALE) laboratory facility, taken out of the plastic bags, and placed on table tops to dry. It was stored in the dark and at room temperature until shipped to a nursery. The seed was cleaned by April 12 for germination. All the seed was sown on May 4, 1994. Germination was complete by about June 10, with germination success of 40% for *C. nauseosus* and 60% for *A. tridentata*. Seedlings were grown in 164 cm³ tubes (Gee et al. 1994). On November 7, planting was initiated. Twenty-seven hundred holes were drilled at a density of one hole m⁻² on the prototype surface. Two seedlings were placed in each hole. There were 1350 *C. nauseosus* and 4050 *A. tridentata* seedlings planted. The seedlings were approximately 20 cm tall.

Perennial grasses were established by hydroseeding the barrier surface and surrounding slopes. The hydroseeding mix included seeds, fertilizer, mulch and a tacking agent. The native perennial grass seed mixture included *P. sandbergii*, *A. dasystachyum*, *O. hymenoides*, *Poa ampla*, *S. comata*, *P. spicata*, and *S. hystrix*. The fertilizer was applied as 67 kg ha⁻¹ (60 lb acre⁻¹) of total nitrogen, 67 kg ha⁻¹ of available phosphoric acid (P₂O₅), and 67 kg ha⁻¹ of soluble potash (K₂O) in solution. The mulch was applied as 2240 kg ha⁻¹ of Eco-Fibre 100% virgin wood fiber. A degradable glue was added to the mulch as a tacking agent at 67 kg ha⁻¹. The hydroseeding was applied on November 10 with the above material in a slurry form. The material was mixed with water using power augers in a large tank on a truck, then dispersed under pressure from large hoses onto the ground.

2.2 Roots

For the prototype barrier design, in which fine soils overlie graded layers, the optimal root distribution is one in which roots fully exploit the fine-soil layer for maximum water extraction. However, growth of deep-rooted plants on the barrier presents the possibility of root intrusion into the wastes and subsequent biotic transport of hazardous materials to the barrier surface. Knowledge of root depth, growth, biomass, root length density, vertical and horizontal dispersion patterns, root/soil interactions, and water uptake patterns is needed to model and predict the removal of soil water through transpiration and the role of roots in the uptake of wastes (Link et al. 1993).

2.2.1 Root Function

Rooting depth and dispersion are controlling factors determining soil water dynamics. Accumulation of water deep in the upper profile of the prototype barrier will depend on the depth of the rooting zone. Table 2.3 summarizes rooting depth observations from eight studies at Hanford. Rooting depth

Table 2.3. Greatest Observed Rooting Depth of Species at the Hanford Site that Could Live on Barrier Surfaces

Site	Species	Soil Type	Root Depth (cm)	Reference
Pit 29 Trench	<i>Artemisia tridentata</i>	sandy-loam to sand	230	Waugh et al. (1994a)
PUREX Trench	<i>A. tridentata</i>	sandy-loam to sand	170	Waugh et al. (1994a)
	<i>Purshia tridentata</i>		260	
PUREX Pit Face	<i>Ambrosia acanthicarpa</i>	sandy-loam to sand	132	Waugh et al. (1994a)
	<i>Salsola kali</i>		137	Waugh et al. (1994a)
	<i>Sisymbrium altissimum</i>		97	Waugh et al. (1994a)
Lower Snively	<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	silt-loam	80	Cline et al. (1977b)
Lower Snively	<i>Bromus tectorum</i>	silt-loam	60	Downs et al. (1993)
McGee Ranch	<i>A. tridentata</i>	silt-loam	200	Link et al. (1994c)
	<i>Grayia spinosa</i>		200	
300 N Grass	<i>B. tectorum</i>	loamy-sand to sand	50	Link et al. (1990a)
	<i>Poa sandbergii</i>		35	Link et al. (1990a)
200 Area	<i>Chrysothamnus nauseosus</i>	sandy-loam to sand	240	Klepper et al. (1978)
FLTF	<i>A. tridentata</i>	silt to rock with depth	300	Campbell (1991)
200 Area	<i>C. nauseosus</i>	sandy-loam to sand	183	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>A. tridentata</i>	sandy-loam to sand	200	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>S. kali</i>	sandy-loam to sand	172	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>A. acanthicarpa</i>	sandy-loam to sand	162	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>P. tridentata</i>	sandy-loam to sand	300	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Aster canescens</i>	sandy-loam to sand	155	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Stipa comata</i>	sandy-loam to sand	160	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Oryzopsis hymenoides</i>	sandy-loam to sand	125	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Cymopterus terebinthinus</i>	sandy-loam to sand	145	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>G. spinosa</i>	sandy-loam to sand	195	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Eriogonum niveum</i>	sandy-loam to sand	150	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus</i>	sandy-loam to sand	160	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Lactuca serriola</i>	sandy-loam to sand	85	Klepper et al. (1985)
	<i>Balsamorhiza careyana</i>	sandy-loam to sand	150	Klepper et al. (1985)

is highly dependent on the species, ranging from 35 cm for *P. sandbergii* to 300 cm for *P. tridentata*. Roots of *A. tridentata* have been observed at a depth of 230 cm in a sandy-loam to sandy soil (Waugh et al. 1994a), and 300 cm in completely wetted barrier soil (Campbell and Gee 1990, Campbell 1991). Roots of *C. nauseosus* have been observed at a depth of 240 cm (Klepper et al. 1978). *Bromus tectorum* rooting depth has been observed down to 80 cm in silt-loam soils (Cline et al. 1977b) and 50 cm in sandy soils (Link et al. 1990a). More water will accumulate deep in the profile if shallow-rooted plants, such as *B. tectorum*, dominate the surface than if deep-rooted plants dominate (Cline et al. 1977b, Link et al. 1990b, Gee et al. 1992).

Root dispersion under annual and perennial grasses and the shrub *A. tridentata* was assessed at McGee Ranch by Downs et al. (1991b). During this study, roots were observed along a trench wall down to a depth of 1 m. Roots were counted in 5-cm depth increments in a 1-m² area. Under areas covered by both grass and *A. tridentata*, grass roots were more common above the 20 cm depth and *A. tridentata* roots were more common below that depth.

Root biomass has been examined in several field sites at Hanford. In the *Artemisia/Pseudoroegneria* communities on ALE, root biomass ranged from 286 g m⁻² at the 0-1 dm depth to 76 g m⁻² at the 7-8 dm depth at the end of the growing season (Rickard and Vaughan 1988). Significant seasonal and year-to-year variation in root biomass was also observed. In a *B. tectorum* community (Lower Snively field), Rickard and Vaughan (1988) observed a maximum root biomass of a little over 500 g m⁻² at the 0-1 dm depth with decreasing amounts down to 7-8 dm depth. About 69% of the root biomass was located near the surface. In an *A. tridentata* community between the 200 East and 200 West Areas, Cline et al. (1977a) observed a total root mass down to 1 m of 368 g m⁻¹ with 55% of the biomass above the 30 cm depth.

Root density (biomass/volume of soil) data has been collected more recently (Klepper et al. 1985, Downs et al. 1993, Link et al. 1994c). Klepper et al. (1985) report root density values ranging from 0.14 g dm⁻³ at the 50 cm depth to 0.003 g dm⁻³ at the 200 cm depth under *A. tridentata*; 0.04 g dm⁻³ at the 50 cm depth to 0.005 g dm⁻³ at the 200 cm depth under *C. nauseosus*; 0.17 g dm⁻³ at the 50 cm depth to 0.001 g dm⁻³ at the 200 cm depth under *S. kali*; and 0.03 g dm⁻³ at the 50 cm depth to 0.009 g dm⁻³ at the 150 cm depth under *A. acanthicarpa*. Root density values of *B. tectorum* at Lower Snively field ranged from about 3.4 g dm⁻³ at the 10 cm depth to 0.2 g dm⁻³ at the 60 cm depth in May, 1990. These values are nearly twice those obtained in March (Downs et al. 1993). At McGee Ranch, root density was measured beneath *G. spinosa*, *A. tridentata*, and under annual and perennial grasses (Link et al. 1994c). Observations were taken down to a depth of 220 cm. The rooting density beneath *G. spinosa* was an order of magnitude greater than in the other two conditions. The root density under *G. spinosa* near the surface was 19 g dm⁻³ and 0.014 g dm⁻³ at a depth of 220 cm. The root density under *A. tridentata* near the surface was 0.9 g dm⁻³ and 0.1 g dm⁻³ at a depth of 195 cm. The root density under annual and perennial grasses near the surface was 0.6 g dm⁻³ and 0.2 g dm⁻³ at a depth of 195 cm. At McGee Ranch these species coexist, and it is possible that roots at depth under the annual and perennial grasses have components of the shrubs (Link et al. 1994c).

2.2.2 Intrusion Into Wastes

Over time, plants will establish on barrier surfaces, leading to the potential for roots to intrude into wastes. Root intrusion depends on the ability of roots to penetrate barrier materials (Suter II et al. 1993). Roots may transport wastes to the shoots (Hakonson et al. 1992). Decomposing roots can leave channels for the movement of water and vapors into buried wastes (Nyhan 1989).

Root intrusion into buried wastes has been studied at Hanford for many years. Deep-rooted plants [*C. nauseosus* (Klepper et al. 1978), *S. kali* (Selders 1950)] were shown to accumulate fission products as a consequence of roots penetrating wastes. Uptake of radionuclides at Hanford was further demonstrated in pot experiments with *B. tectorum* and *S. kali* (Price 1973; Routson 1975). In the past, attempts were made to prevent intrusion of roots into buried wastes by maintaining a loose rock layer between the waste and the surface soils (Cline et al. 1980). Cline et al. (1980) observed roots of *S. kali* penetrating through a gravel layer where topsoil had filtered through the rocks and the rocks were wet. The ability of roots to grow on the surfaces of wet rocks was documented by Campbell (1991), who observed *A. tridentata* roots penetrating to a depth of 3 m in clear lysimeters. Cline et al. (1980) found that when small stones were placed on top of the loose rock layer, fewer roots of *S. kali* passed through to the loose rock layer. They concluded that root growth rates were reduced by air in spaces amongst the rocks. Cline et al. (1980) also found that a design with asphalt on small rocks on top of the larger stones prevented all roots from penetrating the loose rock layer.

Caldwell (1992) suggests, from an engineering perspective, that roots can be kept out of waste zones by a filter between the rooted upper soil zone and a lower coarse rock layer or biointrusion layer. Roots will not grow in coarse rock where there is no water or soil. Others have prevented roots from entering wastes by keeping the surface barren of plants. This has been done by placing gravel on the surface and maintaining an herbicide program. This practice, unfortunately, leads to deep water infiltration (Gee et al. 1992, Burt and Cox 1993).

On the Hanford prototype barrier, the chances that roots will enter buried wastes are small because there will be a deep loose rock layer between the waste and the surface soils. As long as this zone is dry, roots are not likely to enter. Even if this zone should become wet, the asphalt layer below it should prevent roots from entering the waste below the asphalt.

2.3 Water Balance

The water balance of soils depends on plant species, plant density, size of area, transpiration, community structure, precipitation, climate, and soil type. Most studies have been done to determine the surface water balance and recharge characteristics at Hanford. These studies encompass a wide variety of plant species, communities, plant density, size of area, precipitation, climate, and soil type. The water balance studies have been done in the field in undisturbed and disturbed areas using gravimetric, neutron probe, lysimetry, and gas exchange techniques.

It has been established that areas dominated by shallow-rooted annuals such as *B. tectorum* can allow water to pass beneath the root zone which can, potentially, lead to groundwater recharge (Cline et al. 1977b). At the 45 cm depth in early July 1987, there was about 8% volumetric soil water content in an *A. tridentata*/*P. spicata* stand. This is significantly less than the 15% volumetric soil water content found in the *B. tectorum* field (Link et al. 1988). Variation in the rooting depth of deep-rooted perennials is associated with variation in soil water storage (Link et al. 1990b).

2.3.1 Admix

At McGee Ranch, a study was done to determine the effect of gravel admixtures on soil water dynamics (Vaughn et al. 1994c). The major hypothesis tested was that, even with higher-than-normal rainfall, vegetation will efficiently extract water from soils covered with small-gravel admixtures. Vegetation seasonally depleted root-zone water storage to about 6.5% volume, regardless of the amount of precipitation or the presence of gravel admixture amendments. In contrast, soil water storage over a 5-year period increased to a depth of 225 cm on plots without plants.

2.3.2 McGee Ranch

Two studies have been done at McGee Ranch to document water balance (Link et al. 1994c) and to gain a better understanding of how the major shrubs transpire water (Link et al. 1995a). The relationships of soil water dynamics to arid land coppice dune/swale topography and associated plants were examined under normal and enhanced precipitation (Link et al. 1994c). Three conditions were compared: coppice dunes dominated by *G. spinosa*; sparsely vegetated swales; and swales dominated by *A. tridentata*. Sparsely vegetated swales were wetter than *G. spinosa* coppice dunes or *A. tridentata* swales. The effect of enhanced precipitation on end-of-season soil water storage and profile patterns was negligible. Soil water storage was negatively correlated with the number and size of nearby plants. Root biomass was highest near the surface and decreased with depth down to 2 m. The root biomass under *G. spinosa* coppice dunes was 10 times greater than in sparsely vegetated swales or swales dominated by *A. tridentata*. Variability in soil water characteristics was more strongly associated with vegetation patterns than with topography. The swales dominated by *A. tridentata* were significantly drier than sparsely vegetated swales. This ecosystem can recycle at least two times normal precipitation to the atmosphere via evapotranspiration (Link et al. 1994c).

Ecophysiological observations were taken on *A. tridentata* and *G. spinosa* to assess how these plants respond to increasing seasonal drought (Link et al. 1995a). Observations of soil water content around individual shrubs suggested that there was less water at the shallow areas and more at the deeper areas around *G. spinosa* than around *A. tridentata*. Stem diameter dynamics revealed similar patterns for both species with diameter increases in the spring and diameter shrinkage in the summer. The final stem diameter was greater for *A. tridentata* than *G. spinosa*. Nondestructive whole plant leaf area measurements indicated that *A. tridentata* produced more leaf area than *G. spinosa*. Xylem pressure potential values were much lower by summer for *G. spinosa* than for *A. tridentata*, an indication of significant osmotic adjustment. Net photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, and transpiration were maximal in the spring and declined thereafter for both species (Link et al. 1995a).

2.3.3 300 N Grass

Comparative observations on *B. tectorum* and *P. sandbergii* were taken for phenological development, root and shoot characteristics, and water relations in the field (Link et al. 1990a). The sandy soil at this field site has a low water-holding capacity (Gee and Kirkham 1984). Stomatal conductance, transpiration, and xylem pressure potential data were collected for both species as water stress increased. Water stress developed earlier and to a greater degree in *P. sandbergii* than in *B. tectorum*. Xylem water potential was lower in *P. sandbergii* than in *B. tectorum* and the difference increased through the season. Stomatal conductance and transpiration were greater for *B. tectorum* than for *P. sandbergii*. Midday stomatal closure occurred later in the season and to a lesser degree for *B. tectorum* than for *P. sandbergii*. Alleviation of water stress by irrigation retarded phenological development in both species and delayed senescence by about 10 days. Alleviation of water stress also increased values of pre-dawn xylem pressure potential relative to stressed plants, although as the plants senesced, values decreased in the nonstressed plants (Link et al. 1990a).

2.3.4 *Artemisia/Pseudoroegneria* Community

A wildfire in August 1984 eliminated *A. tridentata* from a shrub-steppe community on the Fitzner-Eberhardt Arid Lands Ecology (ALE) reserve. *Pseudoroegneria spicata* dominated the community in subsequent years. By comparing leaf area index and soil water storage on the burned site with an adjacent unburned site containing *A. tridentata*, Link et al. (1990b) tested the hypothesis that plant community structure controls soil moisture dynamics, and that wildfire can alter the water balance in these arid landscapes. Elimination of *A. tridentata* from the landscape greatly reduced the community leaf area index. Soil water storage computed to a depth of 125 cm was not affected by the change in community structure. However, storage computed to a depth of 275 cm was significantly greater on the burned site compared to the unburned site. This difference increased with time. The leaf area index of these communities was positively correlated with soil water storage. Wildfire in shrub-steppe communities dominated by *A. tridentata* effectively removes the deep-rooted, woody component from these ecosystems. Consequently, the ability of the vegetation to remove stored soil water is inhibited by a reduction in the ability to access deeply stored soil moisture (Link et al. 1990b).

Ecophysiological characteristics, including transpiration, of *A. tridentata* were observed during an irrigation experiment to investigate the physiological mechanisms associated with flowering (Evans et al. 1991). Xylem pressure potential of stems at pre-dawn ranged from -3 in June to -5 MPa in August to October. The lowest reported value was -5.38 MPa in August. Leaf transpiration rates decreased from 10.6 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in July to 6.8 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ in August (Evans et al. 1991).

2.3.5 Lower Snively Field

Large plants remove more water from the soil than do small plants. Growth rates and biomass of *B. tectorum* were investigated at Lower Snively field in relation to water, nitrogen, and temperature by Uresk et al. (1979). Soil temperature and plant tissue nitrogen showed a strong relation with growth rates from initiation of growth to peak production. After peak production, soil temperature was related

to declining growth rates. Water stored in the soil profile had a weak relationship with growth rates. A simple model was developed, relating growth rates to soil temperature and plant tissue nitrogen (Uresk et al. 1979). Plant water uptake depends not only on the evaporative demand and stomatal conductance, but also on the ability of the plant to transmit water through its vascular system. Downs et al. (1991a) measured the hydraulic resistance of *B. tectorum* in Lower Snively soils as the plant aged, and found that hydraulic resistance increases with age.

The effect of water and nitrogen on *B. tectorum* was investigated over a growing season from November to May (Link et al. 1992a). The relationship between water, nitrogen, and growth must be determined in order to predict plant size. Plant size is positively correlated with transpiration in *B. tectorum* (Link et al. 1992a). A measure of plant size on a community scale is the leaf area index, a variable used in predicting transpiration. Leaf area index ranged from a maximum of 5.5 in the nitrogen plus water treatment to a minimum of between 1 and 2 in the nitrogen, water, and control treatments (Link et al. 1992a). Similar effects of water and nitrogen on *B. tectorum* were observed in the laboratory, where the only significant effects occurred when both water and nitrogen were added (Link et al. 1995b).

Another study was conducted between Lower and Upper Snively fields to develop a model of *B. tectorum* gas exchange for predicting transpiration (Link et al. 1992a). Data were gathered at the community scale inside a 30-cm-diameter chamber. Relationships between stomatal conductance and net photosynthesis, and the driving variables of light, vapor pressure gradient, temperature, and xylem pressure potential were parameterized. Equations successfully predicted transpiration for two days in June (Link et al. 1992a).

2.3.6 Lysimeter Studies

Lysimeters are soil-filled containers of various sizes and configurations and are used to measure water flow through soil (Gee et al. 1992). Lysimeter studies were initiated at Hanford in the 200 East area in 1971 using two 18-m-deep containers, one open at the bottom and the other closed. They were filled with sandy soil, and were probably populated by *S. kali* (Gee et al. 1992). Detailed records of vegetation were not kept in early studies, but Gee et al. (1992) conclude that they were vegetated during much of their history. Recharge rates were estimated to be $< 2 \text{ mm y}^{-1}$ (Routson and Johnson 1990) and 5 mm y^{-1} (Jones 1978), suggesting that they were vegetated. In contrast, recharge rates have been measured as high as 204 mm y^{-1} in gravel-covered lysimeters without plants (Waugh et al. 1991). Fayer et al. (1986) simulated the lysimeters using an estimated plant cover of up to 30% and a rooting depth of 3 m, and concluded that plants extract significant amounts of water. Their estimate of soil water storage was small, suggesting no recharge, and was very close to an observed value obtained in 1985 by Routson et al. (1988). This lysimeter had many scurf pea roots (*Psoralea lanceolata*) near the surface (Gee et al. 1992).

The effect of plants on soil water dynamics was investigated in sand-filled lysimeters at the Buried Waste Test Facility from 1985 to 1989 (Rockhold et al. 1990, Gee et al. 1992). Without plants, recharge ranged from 111 to 40 mm y^{-1} . When the lysimeters were planted with *B. tectorum*, recharge

was measured at 62 mm y^{-1} , and when planted with *S. kali*, recharge ranged from 10 to 0 mm y^{-1} . Recharge is higher with *B. tectorum* than with *S. kali* because *S. kali* is deep-rooted and able to make use of nearly all stored water, while *B. tectorum* is shallow-rooted and thus unable to access as much water (Gee et al. 1992).

ALE Lysimeters

Soil water dynamics have been observed since 1986 using lysimeters on the ALE reserve. The lysimeters are located at an elevation of 300 m.a.s.l. and receive an average precipitation of 230 mm, which is 42% higher than in the 200 Areas Plateau. Soils are silt-loam. Two of the lysimeters were vegetated with an undisturbed community in which *A. tridentata* was the dominant species. Two other lysimeters were dominated by *P. spicata*. From 1987 to 1990 there has not been any recharge in these lysimeters (Campbell 1991). The dominant species are deep-rooted and occupy the entire soil volume of the lysimeters (1.5 m deep) (Gee et al. 1991, Gee et al. 1992).

FLTF Lysimeters

The Field Lysimeter Test Facility (FLTF) is located near the Hanford Meteorological Station and was designed to evaluate engineered soil and plant covers for barriers (Gee et al. 1989; Campbell et al. 1990). Planted vegetation is similar to that of McGee Ranch and is dominated by *A. tridentata*, *B. tectorum*, *O. hymenoides*, and *P. sandbergii*. Through 1992 there was not any drainage from vegetated or bare lysimeters, even under enhanced precipitation of 320 mm y^{-1} (Campbell and Gee 1990b, Gee et al. 1992). Vegetated lysimeters extract significantly more water from the soil reserve than does soil evaporation alone (Gee 1993).

An effort was made to separate plant transpiration from soil evaporation by measuring the transpiration rate of *A. tridentata* with a stem flow gauge. Flow rates or transpiration rates were obtained diurnally for several days in the summer. The highest rate was between 15 and 20 g $H_2O\ h^{-1}$ (Link et al. 1992a).

Two simulation models were compared for their ability to predict soil water storage dynamics with and without *A. tridentata* in weighing lysimeters: the soil water infiltration and movement (SWIM), and the simulation of production and utilization of rangelands (SPUR-91) models (Link et al. 1993). These models made adequate predictions. The range of root mean square error values for the two models was 7.0 to 19.8. This compares well with the range reported by Fayer et al. (1992) for the bare soil data sets of 8.1 to 22.1.

Small-Tube Lysimeters

The small-tube lysimeter (STLF) experiments were designed to test the effects and interactions among soil surface conditions, vegetation, and different levels of precipitation on soil water balance in a simulated isolation barrier system. The design of the lysimeters allows for quantitative measurement of soil water storage, evapotranspiration, and deep drainage over time, and for instantaneous, real-time

measurements of evapotranspiration. Results of some of these experiments are summarized here, and described in detail in other publications (Link et al. 1992a, Waugh et al. 1991, Sackschewsky et al. 1993, Sackschewsky et al. 1995).

The small-tube lysimeter facility was constructed in the fall of 1988, and soil water balance was monitored from its construction through the fall of 1992. Several concurrent experiments were conducted to test the effects of different erosion control practices, sand deposition on the surface, and different subsurface treatments, including alternative capillary break configurations and impermeable layers. The focus of this summary will be on how vegetation interacts with different erosion control practices and with different amounts of precipitation to affect the soil column water balance.

Each lysimeter is 169 cm long, with a 30.4-cm-internal-diameter (ID) well casing fitted with an end-cap at the bottom and an aluminum lifting collar at the top. The entire lysimeter is placed vertically inside a 35.6-cm-ID PVC tube. Water storage changes are determined by weighing the lysimeter and calculating the difference between the current weight and the initial weight. Drainage is determined by collecting water from a small polymer tube fitted to a threaded drain hole in the end-cap at the bottom of the lysimeter. Evapotranspiration (ET) is calculated as a residual from the equation: $ET = P - S - D$, where P is the total water input, S is the change in water storage, and D is drainage. The lysimeters were filled by hand, starting with a 15-cm drainage layer graded from 1-cm pea gravel at the bottom to 1.27- to 0.85-mm sand at the top. Overlying the drainage layer is 1.5 m of silt-loam soil. The interface between the sand and the silt forms the capillary break portion of the simulated isolation barrier.

Three different soil surface configurations were evaluated: plain soil, a gravel mulch, and a gravel admixture. In the gravel mulch treatment lysimeters, the upper 7 cm of soil were replaced with a layer of gravel (1 to 3 cm in diameter). In the gravel admix treatment lysimeters, 30% (by weight) gravel was uniformly mixed into the upper 20 cm of soil prior to placement in the lysimeters. One half of the lysimeters received only ambient precipitation, and the other half received ambient precipitation plus regular supplemental irrigation to bring the total water input up to 2 times the normal monthly average precipitation during the first 2 years or 3 times the normal biweekly precipitation during the last 2 years. One-half of each of the surface configuration X precipitation treatment lysimeters were kept free of vegetation and the other half were planted with *B. tectorum* during the fall in 1989 and 1990. The *B. tectorum* successfully reseeded itself in the vegetated lysimeters during 1991 and 1992. The overall experiment was a 3 X 2 X 2 factorial design, with five replicates of each surface configuration, X precipitation X vegetation, treatment combination.

The changes in soil column water storage over time are shown in Figure 2.1, and the results of the analysis of variance of storage change, total ET, and cumulative drainage at the end of the experiment are presented in Table 2.4. All three of the main treatments (surface configuration, vegetation, and precipitation) significantly affected the soil water balance. Surface configuration had the greatest effect, primarily because the gravel mulch lysimeters had significantly lower evapotranspiration rates and comparably higher storage values than the comparable plain soil or admix lysimeters. The plain soil and admix treatments were not significantly different within any particular precipitation X vegetation treatment combination. Irrigation greatly increased total evapotranspiration in all of the surface

Figure 2.1. Cumulative Storage Change in the Small-Tube Lysimeters

Table 2.4. Results of Analysis of Variance for Storage Change, Evapotranspiration, and Drainage in the Small-Tube Lysimeters

Treatment Combination			Cumulative Amount (mm) (Mean $\pm$ 1 s.e.) [*]		
Surface	Irrigation	Vegetation	Storage	ET	Drainage
Soil	Ambient	Bare	-77 $\pm$ 19 (abc)	720 $\pm$ 19 (b)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Soil	Ambient	Cheatgrass	-144 $\pm$ 6 (ab)	788 $\pm$ 6 (b)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Soil	Irrigated	Bare	-33 $\pm$ 21 (bc)	1594 $\pm$ 21 (d)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Soil	Irrigated	Cheatgrass	-177 $\pm$ 27 (a)	1738 $\pm$ 27 (e)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Admix	Ambient	Bare	-38 $\pm$ 12 (bc)	682 $\pm$ 12 (b)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Admix	Ambient	Cheatgrass	-141 $\pm$ 20 (ab)	785 $\pm$ 20 (b)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Admix	Irrigated	Bare	12 $\pm$ 37 (c)	1548 $\pm$ 37 (d)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Admix	Irrigated	Cheatgrass	-158 $\pm$ 11 (ab)	1718 $\pm$ 10 (e)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Gravel	Ambient	Bare	201 $\pm$ 44 (d)	431 $\pm$ 38 (a)	12 $\pm$ 7 (a)
Gravel	Ambient	Cheatgrass	-62 $\pm$ 18 (abc)	708 $\pm$ 19 (b)	0 $\pm$ 0 (a)
Gravel	Irrigated	Bare	247 $\pm$ 45 (d)	1237 $\pm$ 15 (c)	77 $\pm$ 31 (b)
Gravel	Irrigated	Cheatgrass	260 $\pm$ 11 (d)	1271 $\pm$ 14 (c)	30 $\pm$ 10 (ab)
[*] Values within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey HSD, P $\leq$ 0.5)					

configuration X vegetation treatment combinations, but had a significant effect on storage in only the vegetated, gravel mulch lysimeters. In the plain soil and gravel admix lysimeters, vegetation had a significant effect on ET and storage when irrigated, but not in the ambient conditions. In the gravel mulch lysimeters, *B. tectorum* significantly increased ET and decreased storage under ambient conditions but had no significant effect under irrigated conditions. The only lysimeters that produced drainage had a gravel mulch surface. Drainage was not detected in any of the lysimeters with a plain soil or gravel admix surface, or from the ambient gravel mulch lysimeters.

Vegetation in the small-tube lysimeters increased evapotranspiration and decreased soil column water storage. In the gravel mulch treatments, the presence of plants also decreased the amount of drainage produced. It is expected that if a perennial bunchgrasses were used in place of *B. tectorum*, the overall effects of plants on the system would be increased because these plants are capable of extracting moisture from deeper soil layers (Link et al. 1990a and 1990b).

Gaseous loss of water from the lysimeters by soil evaporation and plant transpiration (evapotranspiration) was measured using gas exchange equipment (Link et al. 1992b) to determine the effect of surface soil characteristics on evapotranspiration. The gas exchange chamber covered the entire surface of the lysimeters and could control internal temperature and relative humidity. This device permitted measurement of evapotranspiration, transpiration, and carbon dioxide exchange rates from lysimeters with various surface and plant characteristics. The effect on gas exchange rates was tested for sand, gravel, admix, and soil surfaces in vegetated (*B. tectorum*) lysimeters.

Evapotranspiration was unaffected by the surface treatments. Estimated transpiration rates were higher for plants growing in sand compared with rates for plants growing in the admix and soil treatments. Evapotranspiration rates were positively and linearly correlated with leaf area. Carbon dioxide exchange rates were positive (net photosynthesis > 0) with *B. tectorum* and negative (soil respiration) without plants. These rates were significantly different (Link et al. 1992b).

2.4 Soil Erosion

Dry, bare soil surfaces are quickly eroded without plant or litter cover. Numerous studies were conducted to examine ways in which wind and water degrade both vegetated and barren barrier surfaces.

2.4.1 Erosion by Water

Water erosion can quickly degrade a barrier surface by establishing conditions for sheet runoff and gully formation. Gully formation will severely compromise a barrier. Water erosion can be controlled by adding a rock layer on the barrier surface, which has the negative effect of increasing infiltration. The best way to minimize water erosion is to maintain a vegetative cover, which is much less resistant to erosion than a rock cover and much more resistant than a cover of bare soil (DOE 1989). Foliage and plant litter resist water erosion by intercepting and absorbing rainfall energy (Gregory 1984) and preventing soil compaction from raindrops. Gregory (1984) developed equations to predict relative energy of raindrops reaching the soil surface that included terms for vegetative and litter cover as the primary predictors. Root systems bind soil particles, while litter filters sediment out of runoff. Above-ground plant litter increases surface roughness and slows runoff velocity. Roots and litter help maintain soil porosity and permeability, thereby increasing infiltration and decreasing runoff. Plants also dry the soil by transpiration, thereby delaying the onset of soil saturation and runoff (Gray and Leiser 1982).

Soil cryptogams (algae, mosses, and lichens) significantly influence water erosion, especially in arid and semi-arid environments (West 1990). Soil cryptogams reduce rainsplash erosion compared with bare soils (Tchoupounou 1989). The binding of soil surface particles by cryptogams reduces sediment production, yet overland flow is increased because the soils are sealed (West 1990).

Water erosion studies at Hanford found that vegetation significantly reduced runoff in an *A. tridentata*/*P. spicata* community compared with plots where the vegetation had been clipped (Skaggs

and Cadwell 1988). Vegetation reduced erosion by 82% to 96%, compared with a bare plot, because of the reduction of raindrop energy (Gilmore and Walters 1993, Walters and Gilmore 1993).

2.4.2 Erosion by Wind

Wind erosion can quickly degrade a barrier surface by causing mass loss of fine soils at the barrier surface and development of dunes near irregularities on the surface. Loss of surface soils will compromise barrier function (Suter II et al. 1993). Vegetation is the key to controlling wind erosion in semi-arid and arid lands (Leys 1991). The breakup of microphytic soil crusts because of physical disturbance increases wind erosion (Marshall 1972). The loss of vegetative cover by fire is a leading cause of wind erosion in undisturbed ecosystems. It is anticipated that wind erosion will increase, through a decrease in plant cover, if climate conditions become hotter and dryer with global climate change (Muhs and Maat 1993).

Wind erosion is caused by wind turbulence interacting with the soil. A wind velocity profile follows a logarithmic function with height. Vegetative canopies determine the displacement height of the wind profile. Canopies reduce wind erosion by decreasing the energy of air parcels passing through the canopy. Equations used to predict soil losses from wind erosion include vegetative cover, litter cover, and height of the canopy above the soil as predictors (Gregory 1984). The use of wind tunnels to study wind erosion led to significant underestimates of erosional loss compared with field conditions (Leys 1991).

There has been little research into the effects of vegetation on wind erosion for barrier surfaces in the arid West. In the undisturbed shrub-steppe, there is little wind erosion because of stabilization of the surface by soil cryptogams. Fire can remove plant material and damage the cryptogams, leading to wind erosion. This has been observed in low elevation *A. tridentata*-*P. sandbergii* communities in sandy-loam soils at Hanford (Hinds and Sauer 1976). It has been suggested that the best way to prevent wind erosion in arid regions with relatively little vegetative cover is to cover the surface with a rock rip-rap (Voorhees et al. 1983). However, this would lead to increased infiltration to the waste because of reduced evapotranspiration.

Wind erosion studies have been carried out at Hanford to determine the amount of admix gravel needed to minimize erosion (Ligotke 1993) and to stabilize surfaces during revegetation (Cline and Uresk 1979). Admix gravels were found to significantly reduce wind erosion (Ligotke 1993). This finding is significant as a means of protecting the surface after fires burn the vegetation off barrier surfaces, or if vegetation is reduced because of hotter and drier climates. In a related study, Waugh et al. (1994c) found that admix gravel concentration on the surface (desert pavement) was greater on unvegetated plots than on vegetated plots and suggest this may be caused by greater deflation on the surface without plants. Cline and Uresk (1979) found surface treatments of straw, clay and straw/clay mixtures stabilized the soil sufficiently to resist wind erosion. This aided in establishing seeded *B. tectorum* stands, which further reduced wind erosion. Gaylord et al. (1993) investigated the migration of active sand dunes at Hanford (see location #13 in Figure 1.2) and determined that there has been

a 20% reduction in the volume of active sand dunes from 1948 to 1987. This was attributed to changes in annual precipitation over the period. They hypothesize that increasing vegetative cover associated with increasing precipitation stabilizes these dunes (Gaylord et al. 1993).

3.0. Animals

Animals have been recognized as agents that can burrow into buried waste and bring contaminated materials up to the surface. This was observed on the Hanford Site where an animal (most likely a badger) had tunneled (O'Farrell and Gilbert 1975) into radioactive salts (^{90}Sr and ^{137}Cs) that were subsequently ingested by black-tailed hares (Cline et al. 1980). Cline et al. (1980) demonstrated that intrusion, by roots, animals and ants, into buried waste could be prevented by placing a layer of loose rock covered with an asphalt emulsion between the buried waste and the topsoil. These authors cautioned, though, that intrusion can occur if soil is allowed to penetrate into the rock layer and create a pathway for roots and animals. Such penetration could happen over long periods of time.

Burrowing mammals investigated in barrier studies at Hanford include *Perognathus parvus* (Great Basin pocket mouse), *Thomomys talpoides* (northern pocket gopher), *Spermophilus townsendii* (Townsend ground squirrel), and *Taxidea taxus* (badger).

Studies on the effects of burrowing animals on permanent isolation surface barrier structures focused on three issues: 1) deep penetration of moisture through the fine soil layer, 2) accelerated erosion resulting from wind and water acting on exposed soil cast to the barrier surface by burrowing animals, and 3) the direct transport of contaminants that can occur as animals burrow through overlying barrier material and directly contact wastes (Cadwell et al. 1989). A test plan was written (Landeem et al. 1990) to address these technical issues. Three experimental approaches were used to resolve the issues. One approach was to contain small burrowing mammals in enclosures to study changes they might cause in water penetration and distribution within proposed barrier cover soils (Landeem et al. 1990). The second approach was to conduct field studies on free-ranging burrowing mammals to characterize their burrow systems and to evaluate how they influence the distribution of water in the soil profile. The third approach was to model the long-term redistribution of soil as a first order approximation to the potential for soil loss by wind and water erosion (Cadwell et al. 1989).

3.1 Small Mammals

Work activities and literature surveys on animal intrusion were conducted by Westinghouse Hanford Company in fiscal years 1988-1990. The work focused on small mammals and water storage. The objective of this task was to determine the degree to which small mammal burrow systems affect the loss or retention of water.

An animal intrusion lysimeter facility was constructed and installed in FY 1988. The facility consists of two outer boxes buried at grade that serve as receptacles for six animal intrusion lysimeters. At the beginning of each test, the lysimeters were lined with a 28-mil plastic liner and filled with a fine soil from McGee Ranch. Small burrowing animals common to the Hanford environs (pocket mice, pocket gophers, Townsend ground squirrels) were introduced over a 3- to 4-month period and allowed to burrow. During the course of each test, supplemental precipitation was added monthly to three of the

lysimeters with a rainfall simulator, at a rate equivalent to a Hanford 100-year storm. Soil moisture samples were taken before and after each test, while soil moisture measurements were taken with a hydroprobe during the test period.

Five tests (three in the summer, two in the winter) were completed during the study period. Information collected from the tests indicated the following: 1) during summer months, water was lost in all the lysimeters, including the supplemental precipitation added with the rainfall simulator; 2) during winter months, lysimeters with animals and controls (no animals) gained water; and 3) long-term water storage did not appear to occur as a result of burrowing activity.

It was not possible to distinguish from the soil moisture profile graphs generated from any of the five tests which lysimeters contained animals and which lysimeters served as controls (no animals). If animal burrows at Hanford do facilitate the retention of water at depth, this was not observed as part of this study.

The lack of any significant water storage at depth and the overall water loss in the lysimeters occurred despite the following conditions that were imposed on the lysimeters: 1) no vegetative cover (no plant transpiration), 2) no water runoff (all water contained), 3) higher-than-normal animal and burrow densities, 4) supplemental precipitation added at rates not normally expected to occur, and 5) animals burrowing to the bottom of the lysimeters.

The data indicate that there is little difference in the amount of water stored between control and animal lysimeters. Initially, during high precipitation events when some burrows are open at the surface, water was able to infiltrate through the burrows faster and deeper than normal; however, within a relatively short time this excess water was removed. This was also observed in concurrent studies conducted at the Hanford Site regarding the effect of badger burrows on water distribution.

If this is the case, then the overall water loss must be attributed to processes that occur equally in control and treatment lysimeters (surface evaporation). Other variables, such as soil turnover and subsequent drying from burrowing activities and burrow ventilation, assist in removing soil moisture, but the overriding factor is soil evaporation resulting from high ambient temperatures during the spring and summer.

This study did not address all potential climate changes, runoff events, and potential effects of other burrowing animals. However, based upon the conditions imposed by the experimental design in this study and given the fact that a protective barrier will allow runoff, have a vegetative cover, and experience normal animal densities and normal precipitation events, small mammal burrowing effects regarding increased water storage at Hanford under the present climatic conditions appear to be negligible (Wing and Gee 1993). Studies conducted elsewhere in environments that receive more natural precipitation and have lower ambient temperatures may not produce results as pronounced as those observed at Hanford (Landeem 1994).

3.2 Medium-Size Mammals

The badger (*T. taxus*), a Hanford Site mammal that is relatively large (several kilograms as opposed to mice and other small mammals that weigh a few tens of grams), was chosen for study because it is an extremely active burrowing mammal and is common on the Hanford Site. Badgers dig numerous holes in the process of hunting and thus have the potential to disturb barrier surface soils after vegetative covers become established on the soil surface and after other small mammals colonize the barrier. Studies focused on water infiltration through large mammal burrows.

Initial field studies of badger burrows (Cadwell et al. 1989) demonstrated that badger burrows are characterized by being up to 0.5 m deep, that they frequently have been dug in such a manner as to cause the cast soil to be mounded on the downhill side of the burrow opening (see Table 1, Cadwell et al. 1989), and that they frequently terminate below ground at an opening into the burrow of a small mammal that was being hunted by the badger. This led to the conclusion that runoff from precipitation could be directed into badger burrows, which might accumulate runoff and permit deep penetration of water in the fine-soil layer on the barrier surface.

Simulated rainfall on badger burrows and hand-constructed soil openings made to mimic badger burrows permitted further investigation of surface runoff accumulation. The results of this work clearly demonstrated that 1) runoff resulting from high intensity rainfall (6.4 cm hr^{-1} applied for 30 minutes) did collect in and completely fill both natural and simulated burrows. Conductivity probes inserted into the soil around and below the burrows showed water penetration to be approximately 50 cm, or twice the average depth of the holes tested. Visual observations made when applying simulated rainfall suggested that water may enter badger burrows from three sources: direct entry of raindrops into the burrow opening; runoff water from the micro-watershed (cast soil mound immediately downslope from the burrow opening); and runoff-generated upslope.

Gravimetric measurement of soil water beneath 10 badger holes was made 4 days after an estimated 1.5 cm of rain fell in late May, 1988 (Cadwell et al. 1989). Rainfall penetrated to an average of 15 cm beyond the depth of the holes, or about 35 cm from the surface. The significance of this observation, however, is not the depth of water penetration, but rather the fact that natural rainfall caused a significant penetration of water beneath natural badger holes. The data suggested (see Figure 6, Cadwell et al. 1989) that the natural rainfall resulting from that storm penetrated less than 5 cm from the soil surface in areas away from the badger burrows. These measurements confirmed that larger mammal burrows can and do cause the deep penetration of precipitation-generated runoff at Hanford. The deep soil moisture content near badger burrows, but below the wetting front caused by the rainfall event, was less than that for the control sites. This suggests a process by which soil water that penetrates through badger burrows might be preferentially removed.

A follow-up study was designed to measure soil moisture below badger burrows using a neutron probe. The study was designed to track soil moisture through time around burrows subsequent to the addition of simulated rainfall. Before applying the simulated rainfall, soil moisture at burrow sites was compared to that in control (no burrow) areas. Analysis of the data provided information identical to

that obtained by gravimetric sampling of the natural burrows. The relationship was striking. The soil was consistently dryer beneath the burrows than it was at control locations for all depths measured (15 cm, 45 cm, and 95 cm).

For the 1989 field season, soil moisture was tracked beneath badger burrow and at the same depths in control locations away from burrows. Figure 3.1 shows a summary of percent soil moisture difference between burrow locations and control locations. In March, which is normally the time when maximum soil moisture might be expected, soil moisture increases below burrows up to a depth of about 1 m. The maximum difference in soil moisture at depth between the control site and near burrows was about 3.5%. By June, the soil continued to dry to the point where soil near burrows was dryer (virtually all depths) than control soils except at depths below 1 m. By September, virtually all depths showed depleted soil moisture near burrows. With the initiation of late fall and early winter rains (December curve), soil moisture began to return to the distribution displayed the previous March.

Although research demonstrated that badger holes do contribute to the deep penetration of surface water, measurements showed that soil moisture was, at certain times, significantly less under burrows than it was away from burrows. This anomaly appears to be explained by observations of plant growth near burrows. The vegetation in the study area (an abandoned old field) at the time of mid-summer soil moisture measurements, reported above, consisted primarily of *B. tectorum* that had completed its life-cycle and was uniformly dried and brown. The grass-covered field was, however, punctuated with an irregular spacing of large, green forbs consisting almost exclusively of *S. altissimum*. A closer inspection revealed that the forbs were located in disturbed soils at the burrow openings. Some of the individual plants had sprouted inside the burrow openings and were actually rooted several centimeters below the surface of the surrounding land area.

Rainfall may enter these burrows and penetrate deep into the soil profile. When this occurs, there is a subsequent invasion of annual plants in that disturbed and wetted soil. The hypothesis is that the reservoir of moisture along with the exposed soil provides an ideal habitat for the annual weedy plant species. Vegetation growing near the burrows has less competition and more available soil moisture than do plants growing elsewhere. The annual plants observed growing under those conditions were apparently able to develop a deep root system. Thus, it appears that the burrows that capture runoff and aid in its deep penetration also promote the vigorous growth of annual plants that subsequently remove the moisture by transpiration. Apparently, by the end of the growing season, the plants are able to deplete soil moisture to a greater extent than in areas distant from the burrows. It can be speculated that the cycle of alternating in soil wetting and drying (to the extent that annual precipitation is available to permit the process) in the vicinity of burrows continues until the burrows are filled by natural processes, which takes several years.

Ecologically, it is expected that a local abundance of a limiting resource, in this case soil moisture, would rapidly be used and therefore depleted. Thus, for the permanent isolation barrier, the local penetration of excess surface water does not appear to be a major cause for concern. It is anticipated that vegetation will routinely remove any excess soil moisture that accumulates near mammal burrows. Artificial rainfall applied to an old field, selected as a reasonable analog for a barrier surface (similar soils

Figure 3.1. Mean Seasonal Percent Change in Soil Moisture Around Badger Burrows at the End of Each of the Four Calendar Quarters for 1989

and post-disturbance vegetation cover), during mid-summer, typically penetrated about 75 cm subsequent to a rainfall application likely to generate maximum runoff. The runoff intensity duplicated a storm having a 1000 year return frequency.

Barrier design criteria that permit capture and holding of annual surface water in 1.5 m or more of fine soil are not likely to be compromised by the temporary injection of runoff into large mammal openings. There appears to be a functional and compensating natural process that involves the invasion of plants having relatively deep root systems, which selectively and preferentially remove the excess soil water.

3.3 Soil Moved to the Surface by Mammals

The Hanford Barrier, having a long design life (thousands of years), will require protection from erosion. The barrier design must control erosion by wind and water, and must anticipate that future barrier surfaces will be occupied by animals whose burrowing may move easily erodible loose soil to the surface. Even very low rates of erosion, if occurring for an extended time, can reduce soil cover on barrier surfaces and affect barrier performance. Included in the Hanford Permanent Isolation Barrier Program Development program are studies that use gravels, either as surface mulches or mixed with the

top soil, to control erosion. Animals can burrow beneath the surface gravel and cast gravel-free soil to the surface. Hakonson et al. (1982) reported that in a single year one small-mammal species (pocket gopher, *T. talpoides*) inhabiting a low-level waste site at Los Alamos excavated about 12,000 kg of soil per hectare from a trench cover.

Buried contaminants associated with soil particles can be moved upward with soil cast during burrowing, or they may be exposed for transport by other means. There are numerous examples of contaminant transport, most involving radionuclides. For example, pocket mice excavated contaminated soil and moved it to the soil surface at a waste site that had previously been drained and covered (Landeem and Mitchell 1982). Winsor and Whicker (1980) reported that pocket gophers (*T. talpoides*) moved plutonium to the soil surface, and they estimated that in a decade as much as 0.5% of the soil inventory may be cast to the surface. Small mammals also carried transuranic radionuclides to the surface of a solid-waste disposal area in Idaho (Arthur and Markham 1983), and whitetail prairie dogs moved nuclear contaminants to the surface of a covered uranium mill-tailings pile in western Colorado (Shuman 1984). Deer mice that burrowed into a radioactive waste disposal area in Idaho became externally contaminated and carried radioactive materials above-ground, where the contamination was detected on their pelts and in body tissues (Arthur et al. 1987). Additional contamination was spread over the land surface through the animals' feces. O'Farrell and Gilbert (1975) reported that a mammal (probably a badger or coyote) burrowed into a waste crib at Hanford and exposed salts of cesium and strontium. Radioactive contaminants were then spread over a large area through feces and urine of hares (*Lepus californicus*) that apparently entered the open burrows and ingested the salt. Cadwell and Fitzner (1984) later found the same radionuclides in raptor castings (regurgitated pellets consisting of nondigestible remains of prey) near the burrow site and suggested that the contamination was the result of continued cycling of radionuclides originally exposed at the large-mammal burrow.

For each of the examples cited above, radioactivity was readily detected in the unconfined environment within a few years after waste burial. Kennedy et al. (1985) concluded that for land-disposal sites containing long-lived wastes, biological-transport processes, including animal burrowing, can result in significant exposure to future generations of human occupants. Other hazardous, non-nuclear materials that have long life expectancies can be moved from land-disposal sites by burrowing animals. Examples of radioactive-materials transport by burrowing animals are more abundant than are examples of other hazardous wastes because more intensive environmental surveillance has been conducted at nuclear waste sites, and because nuclear wastes are more easily detected through the radiation they emit.

4.0 Climate Change

The interaction among design components and environmental and climatic factors is crucial to designing and testing protective barriers. Water movement within layered soil systems is closely tied to changes in surface soils, precipitation, temperature, and water extraction characteristics of plants. Changes in climate may also alter the structure of plant and animal communities inhabiting the area and, thus, the potential for biointrusion. Biointrusion studies may need to account for the influence of climate on plant-rooting depths and the regional distribution and behavior of burrowing animals. Changes in plant cover, burrowing animal behavior, precipitation and temperature, as well as wind regimes, may influence the stability of the barrier surface. At present, the possible future 1000-year variation in the climate and ecology of the Pasco Basin is largely unknown. If such a long time frame is to be considered, then more information must be obtained about the past and potential future range of key climatic variables. Global climates have undergone significant variations in the past, and there is every reason to expect that such variations will continue.

According to the Milankovitch theory, the Pleistocene ice ages were caused primarily by changes in the seasonal distribution of incoming radiation associated with orbit variations (Berger et al. 1984). Over the last 800,000 years, and possibly for as long as 2,000,000 years, the earth has experienced a number of glacial cycles. Each lasted about 100,000 years, with a 10,000-year interglacial era (Hays et al. 1976, Kukla 1981, Berger et al. 1984). The last interglacial/glacial cycle started with a 10,000-year interglacial (deep sea core oxygen isotope stage 5e) beginning about 125,000 years ago, immediately followed by a rapid growth of global ice sheets beginning 115,000 years ago. Glacial climate dominated the earth until about 12,000 years ago, when continental ice retreated from its most southern extent into what is now the state of Washington.

4.1 Effects of Climate Change on Plants and Animals

No vegetation or animal communities escaped the repeated stress of these climate and interglacial adjustments, and the Pasco Basin of south-central Washington is no exception. With each glacial cycle, the species displaced by climate, ice, water, and competition responded through growth form, migration or selection, or faced local extinction. Based on the geophysical evidence of the past, there is a strong suggestion that we are cycling into the next glacial period (Imbrie and Imbrie 1980, Berger 1981, Berger et al. 1984).

As part of the Long-Term Climate Change Task of the Protective Barrier Program (Petersen et al. 1993), a full glacial pollen record was obtained from Carp Lake in south central Washington. Sediment cores were taken from the lake located in a volcanic cone 11 km north of Goldendale, Washington. Carp Lake has been previously cored to 8 m, dating in excess of 33,000 years (Barnosky 1985). The new drilling operation recovered a 20.2-m core. The age assignment of the core is based on 12 radiocarbon ages of bulk sediment and 11 volcanic tephra layers. Depending on the age model applied, the Carp Lake record ranges in age between 66,000 and 104,450 years. The most defensible age may

be about 75,000 years. The pollen record is divided into nine assemblage zones that describe alterations between periods of montane conifer forest, pine forest, and steppe. Results from the Carp Lake pollen cores indicate that for the last approximately 75,000+ years, the climate for the Columbia River Basin was under the influence of Ice Age climates. Continental glaciers expanded out of the area that is now Canada toward the present-day Washington State, and the Columbia River Basin was much colder than it is currently. These conditions lasted up until about 12,000 years ago with little interruption.

During the last 10,000 years, the climate in the Columbia River Basin warmed significantly as the earth cycled out of the Ice Age and into climates more like the present. Periods (8000 to 6000 years ago) have existed that were as much as 2°C warmer than the Ice Age temperatures. Modern vegetation (and presumably modern-like climate) was established in the last 3900 years.

Other pollen records from the region complement the Carp Lake results. Thompson et al. (1993) conclude that 18,000 years ago, temperatures were below present levels everywhere in the Western United States, and increasingly colder toward the center of the continent. The Pacific Northwest was thus colder and also dryer than present. The presence of an ice sheet over Canada had profound effects on circulation. The anticyclone generated by the ice sheet brought dry prevailing easterlies to the Pacific Northwest region instead of the moisture-bearing prevailing westerlies. Contraction of the ice sheet and increasing summer insolation brought large-scale adjustments in circulation, accompanied by general warming.

In addition, Chatters (1989, 1991, Chatters and Hoover 1986, 1992, and Chatters et al. 1991) reviews the work of Baker (1983), Barnosky et al. (1987), and Mehringer (1985), among others, and summarizes the evidence for climatic and ecological change in the Hanford Site region of the Columbia Basin for the last 10,000 years or so. Chatters concludes that the period between 10,000 and 8500 years ago was characterized by a period of warmer-than-modern summers, colder-than-modern winters and low, spring-dominant precipitation, supporting extensive grasslands in Southeastern Washington in areas that are now dominated by sagebrush steppe. By 8000 years ago summer and winter were both relatively warm, and precipitation was at least 33% below modern levels. This climate pattern resulted in reduced stream flows with late spring flow maxima and extensive development of shrub-steppe vegetation throughout most of the region.

Chatters further characterizes the effect the warmer climate of 6000 and 8000 years ago had on streams and rivers of the region:

- stream flows were less than 70% modern;
- many small, low elevation, perennial streams became intermittent (dry during part of the year);
- streams had finer bed loads (greater sedimentation);

- water temperatures were higher; and
- the spring peak flow (freshet) ended three to four weeks earlier than it does today.

Chatters indicates the climate cooled but remained dry between 5400 years and 4500 years ago, then underwent a period of transition to wetter, cooler conditions between 4500 years and 3900 years ago. Rivers flooded frequently, and forests expanded into steppe zones. From 3900 years until 2400 years ago the climate was cool in summer and cold in winter, with winter-dominant precipitation at least 30% above modern amounts. Alpine glaciers underwent the first synchronous advance of the Holocene. Rivers stabilized, and their peak flows were delayed into mid-summer; steppe vegetation increased in density as subalpine and probably montane forests expanded beyond their modern margins. Warmer, drier conditions returned between 2400 years and 2000 years ago, reducing vegetation density and renewing severe flooding. Climate then improved somewhat, and modern climates were established. The Little Ice Age (ca. 1300 - 1850), although evident in alpine cirques, had little apparent effect on regional environments except for a slight drying around 550 years ago.

4.2 Predictions

The current climate of the interior Pacific Northwest is characterized by relatively cold and wet winters with a secondary precipitation maximum (Pyke 1972, Quinn 1976). In the northwestern United States, lowland treeless areas with a winter and early spring secondary precipitation maximum are characterized by more deeply rooted shrubs such as sagebrush (*Artemisia* sp.), whereas areas with late spring or summer precipitation maximum are characterized by relatively shallow-rooted grasses (compare Figures 4.1 and 4.2), suggesting that spring precipitation in the northern Plains fosters grasses over sagebrush (Frenkle 1984, Gould and Shaw 1983, Kutchler 1964, Risser et al. 1981). At present, sagebrush occupies the vast expanses of the Intermountain West that receive winter and early spring precipitation and experience late summer drought (West 1979).

February through May is the period of spring growth, when most of the plant growth occurs, and when stored soil water is depleted most actively by transpiration. West of the continental divide, June through September is the period of lowest rainfall (Figure 4.1), a stressful time of the year for plants. With the return of the cool season, the first of October through January period is the time of autumn-winter growth, when precipitation exceeds evapotranspiration, and soil water storage occurs. Sagebrush, after loss of some leaves and reduced photosynthetic activity, is revitalized by the return of cool season precipitation and increases photosynthetic activity, and it is during this season that the plant flowers and sheds pollen (West 1979).

Grasses have roots concentrated near the soil surface and are able to take advantage of the abundant precipitation during their growing season, but are also adapted to withstand a pronounced dry season (the winter drought on the Plains or the summer drought in central California). In addition to the prairie, Figure 4.2 shows that the eastern and western flanks of the Rocky Mountains in the Pacific Northwest (as well as numerous small areas stretching from southeastern Washington to northeastern

H9105011.2

Figure 4.1. Normal Monthly Average Precipitation (cm) for Selected Individual Weather Stations in the Western United States for the Period 1931-1960 (adapted from Ruffner and Bair 1977). Heavy lines mark areas with two contrasting patterns of winter precipitation; the winter precipitation maximum along the Pacific Coast and spring precipitation maximum over the northern plains. The intermediate area including eastern Washington and Oregon shows a mixture of the two patterns.

Figure 4.2. Major Grassland, Steppe and Shrub Types of Northwestern North America (adapted from Frenkle 1984, Gould and Shaw 1983, Kutchler 1964, and Risser et al. 1981)

Utah) are characterized by bunchgrass steppe (Frenkle 1984, Gould and Shaw 1983, Kutchler 1964, Risser et al. 1981). Further west and south of the mapped Washington bunchgrass steppe (Figure 4.2), the bunchgrasses are joined by sagebrush to form the shrub-steppe whose southern boundary coincides with the southern extent of cloudy winter skies so characteristic of the Pacific Northwest (Frenkle 1984).

In contrast to grass, big sagebrush (*A. tridentata*) grows on deep, well-drained sandy or rocky soils that are not saline. Such soils tend to be droughty in mid-summer. Typically Pacific Northwest soils are wetted near the surface during the cool season by both rain or snowfall, and with the onset of warmer temperature begin to lose water at the surface through evapotranspiration. Because sagebrush does not lose its leaves in the fall, it is able to photosynthesize very early in the spring. When stressed by surface moisture shortage as the spring growing season moves into the midsummer drought period, the deep-rooted big sagebrush is able to mine water at depth and, at the height of the summer drought period and as discussed above, actually curtails its photosynthetic activity and sheds some leaves to reduce moisture loss (Caldwell 1979, Pearson 1975, Sturges 1979). All these characteristics give sagebrush an adaptive advantage over sod-forming grasses in areas where there is a pronounced summer drought, and allows it to coexist with Pacific Northwest bunchgrasses that are ideally suited to take advantage of the secondary spring maxima, and then die back during the summer drought.

As discussed above, fossil pollen analyses of eastern Washington indicate past alternating dominance between grass and sagebrush, suggesting that there have been past fluctuations in the strength of the late spring precipitation maximum in that region. Coatley (1979) and Ebbesmeyer et al. (1989) demonstrate that on a decadal scale there have been changes in the strength of the spring precipitation peak in the Columbia River Basin that may be attributable to sustained periods of dominance by either zonal general circulation flow (fostering more cold, continental-like climate) and favorable grasses over sagebrush, or more meridional flow (fostering more mild, coastal-like climate) fostering sagebrush at low, treeless elevation.

Human activity apparently is rivaling nature's ability to produce climatic change, judging by the potential impact of the increase of some gases in the atmosphere (Hansen et al. 1981, Schneider 1989). The current accumulation of carbon dioxide, methane, and other gases from the use of fossil fuels may lead to the warming of the lower atmosphere through a process dubbed the "greenhouse effect." Complex computer models suggest that global temperature will also increase, with estimates anywhere from 1.5°C to 4°C (Houghton et al. 1990, 1992).

Regional studies for the Pacific Northwest are still developing, but good preliminary work is provided by Leovy and Sarachik (1991), Franklin et al. (1991), and Marks et al. (1993). Most of the models predict increased temperature (Houghton et al. 1990, 1992). However, the paleoclimate studies for eastern Washington discussed above provide a valuable analog, because temperatures then were judged to be slightly warmer and dryer. These conditions are similar to those predicted to be caused by increases in atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases, resulting in a rise of global temperatures by up to 3°C over the next 100 years (Houghton et al. 1990, 1992).

As for changes in precipitation resulting from greenhouse warming, the models are not as consistent in their predictions for the next 100 years (Houghton et al. 1990, 1992, Crowley and North 1991). The warmer atmosphere will have a greater capacity to hold more water. This may mean the climate will be wetter, but some regions are likely to be dryer because of the greater evaporation potential. Calculations of changes in recharge rates must take into account the potential local effect of the greenhouse warming.

Figure 4.3 shows the predicted changes in vegetation distribution in eastern Washington that could accompany a change towards a more meridional general circulation pattern flow than the present, while Figure 4.4 shows the predicted changes under more zonal general circulation flow than the present.

Engineered vegetated soil caps to prevent precipitation from entering buried waste may be affected by the type of vegetation growing on them. Future potential vegetation distribution for the region is important because studies show that under a cover of sagebrush there is significantly less soil water than under a cover of grass. This difference produces a stronger gradient in the upward flow of soil water and decreases the potential for water infiltration to the water table (Cline et al. 1977b, Link et al. 1990b, 1994b).

The potential future warming caused by the greenhouse effect ultimately will be overwhelmed by the plunge into the next ice age. In an important paper, Berger et al. (1991) review seven models of different complexity developed to predict the global climate for the next 10,000 to 100,000 years. All models are in relatively good agreement with the computation of astronomical forcing of climate change. In the absence of anthropogenic disturbances, the long-term cooling trend, which began some 6000 years ago, will continue for the next 5000 years. This will be followed by a stabilization at about 15,000 years, a cold interval centered at approximately 25,000 years, and finally by a major glaciation at about 55,000 years into the future. If, however, the Greenland ice sheet were to disappear in the next few centuries as a result of greenhouse warming, the northern hemisphere ice sheets would not reappear before 15,000 years from now and the climate would remain different from its natural evolution up to 65,000 years into the future, the maximum ice volume amounting to only 2/3 of its naturally expected size at that time.

Winograd et al. (1992) has questioned the regular cyclic timing to date the pace of the ice ages (e.g., Berger et al. 1991, Hays et al. 1976). The Winograd research uses a well-dated and uninterrupted 500,000-year paleotemperature record contained in ^{18}O concentrations in calcite deposits below the water table in a Nevada crevasse called Devils Hole. Rather than a steady beat of interglacial-and-ice-age lasting a combined 110,000 years as predicted by celestial mechanics (e.g., Milankovitch theory), Winograd et al. found that, beginning at 420,000 years ago, the interglacial-and-ice-age had increasing lengths of 79,000, 85,000, 113,000, and finally 128,000 years, providing an aperiodic swing to the climate cycle. The implication Winograd et al. sees for waste isolation is that the present interglacial may last another 10,000 years, and the maximum extent of the continental glacial ice (important for the Pasco Basin because of its potential for contributing to catastrophic flooding) may be reached 100,000 years or more into the future.

H9105011.7

Figure 4.3. Predicted Vegetation and Precipitation Changes in Regions Surrounding the Hanford Site Under More Meridional Flow Conditions than at Present. The Hanford Site average monthly distribution of precipitation between 1912 and 1980 is from Stone et al. (1983) and the vegetation distribution is modified from Daubenmire (1970).

Figure 4.4. Predicted Vegetation and Precipitation Changes in Regions Surrounding the Hanford Site Under More Zonal Flow Conditions than at Present. The Hanford Site average monthly distribution of precipitation between 1912 and 1980 is from Stone et al. (1983) and the vegetation distribution is modified from Daubenmire (1970).

H9105011.8

5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

The optimal plant community for barrier function is one composed of deep-rooted perennial shrubs and grasses to minimize the risk of water drainage into the wastes and minimize erosion. The establishment of such a community in the face of the encroachment of invasive alien species is critical for proper barrier function. Investigations of the characteristics of new invasive alien species are needed. Continued research on community dynamics, rooting characteristics, transpiration, and the effects of plants on wind and water erosion is needed to gain confidence in barrier designs. This information needs to be integrated into simulation models of ecological processes to predict the ability of the barrier to function in the future under worst-case scenarios.

Little research has been done on the effects vegetation may have on infiltration of barrier surfaces. Infiltration depends on soil structure, which is determined by vegetation over time. This issue is most significant for sterile soils used on the surface of barriers. Over time these soils will become biologically active, changing their chemical makeup and hydrological characteristics, and they will undergo pedoturbation (Boul et al. 1980). There is a need to investigate the relationship between vegetation and soil development to properly understand soil physics and infiltration characteristics. Such investigations could be done in soil surfaces for which an age can be associated.

The role of nonvascular plants (soil cryptogamic crusts) in barrier function needs to be addressed in the context of vascular plant community dynamics, erosion, infiltration, and water budgets.

Conclusions drawn from animal studies indicate that small mammal burrows have little or no impact on soil moisture content. Larger animal burrows show an increase in soil water during the winter, but the soils near the large burrows dry out during the rest of the year because of increased evaporation and transpiration from weedy annuals growing on the disturbed soils (Cadwell et al. 1993). Thus, it is not likely that animals will compromise the water relations of the barrier. It should be cautioned, however, that this conclusion is based on short-term studies. More conclusive evidence of the effects of burrowing animals on plant community structure and water relations of barriers would require long-term studies or a wide range of field studies in natural analog sites. The problems regarding the impact of burrowing animals on erosion have not been adequately addressed at Hanford.

Climate change studies indicate that the long-term mean annual precipitation in the Columbia River Basin is estimated to have ranged from 25% to 50% below to 28% above modern levels, while temperatures have ranged from 7°C to 10°C below to 2°C above modern levels. Modern vegetation (and presumably modern-like climate) was established in the last 3900 years. The prediction for the future is that the present interglacial may last another 10,000 years, with the continental glacial ice reaching its maximum extent (important for the Pasco Basin because of its potential for contributing to catastrophic flooding) 100,000 years or more into the future.

Confidence in the ability of isolation barriers to isolate hazardous wastes from dispersion into the environment will require long-term integrated studies. Such studies will have to develop an understanding of how ecosystems develop over time and how this will influence surface stability and hydrologic properties of barriers.

6.0 References

- Arthur, W. J., III, and O. D. Markham. 1983. Small Mammal Soil Burrowing as a Radionuclide Transport Vector at a Radioactive Waste Disposal Area in Southeastern Idaho. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 12:117-122.
- Arthur, W. J., III, O. D. Markham, C. R. Groves, and B. L. Keller. 1987. Radionuclide Export by Deer Mice at a Solid Radioactive Waste Disposal Area in Southeastern Idaho. *Health Physics* 52:45-53.
- Baker, R. G. 1983. Holocene Vegetational History of the Western United States. In *The Holocene*, ed. H. E. Wright, pp. 109-127. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis.
- Barnosky, C. W. 1985. Late-Quaternary Vegetation in the Southwestern Columbia Basin, Washington. *Quaternary Research* 23:109-122.
- Barnosky, C. W., P. M. Anderson, and P. J. Bartlein. 1987. The Northwestern U.S. During Deglaciation; Vegetation History and Paleoclimatic Implications. In *North America and Adjacent Oceans During the Last Deglaciation*, eds. W. F. Ruddiman and H. E. Wright, Jr, The Geology of North America, Volume K-3, pp. 289-321. Geological Society of America, Boulder, Colorado.
- Berger, A. L. 1981. The Astronomical Theory of Paleoclimates. In *Climatic Variations and Variability: Facts and Theories*, ed. A. Berger, pp. 501-525. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland.
- Berger, A., J. Imbrie, J. Hays, G. Kukla, and B. Saltzman, eds. 1984. *Milankovich and Climate*. D. Reidel, Dordrecht, Holland.
- Berger, A., H. Gallee, and J. L. Melice. 1991. The Earth's Future Climate at the Astronomical Timescale. In *Future Climate Change and Radioactive Waste Disposal: Proceedings of International Workshop*, eds. G. M. Goodess and J. P. Palutikof, pp. 148-165. NSS/R257, U.K. Nirex Radioactive Waste Disposal, Ltd., Harwell, OX11 ORH, UK.
- Boul, S. W., F. D. Hole, and R. J. McCracken. 1980. *Soil Genesis and Classification*. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Brandt, C. A., W. H. Rickard, Jr., and M. G. Hefty. 1990. *Interim Reclamation Report Basalt Waste Isolation Project Boreholes 1989*. PNL-7280, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Brandt, C. A., and W. H. Rickard, Jr. 1990. *Final Reclamation Report: Basalt Waste Exploratory Shaft Site*. PNL-7379, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Brandt, C. A., W. H. Rickard, Jr., and N. A. Cadoret. 1992. *Basalt Waste Isolation Project Reclamation Support Project 1991-1992 Report*. PNL-8151, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Brandt, C. A., and W. H. Rickard. 1994. Alien Taxa in the North American Shrub-Steppe Four Decades after Cessation of Livestock Grazing and Cultivation Agriculture. *Biological Conservation* 68:95-105.

Burt, C. J., and S. W. Cox. 1993. An Assessment of Plant Biointrusion on Six UMTRA Project Disposal Cells. In *Waste Management '93—Proceedings of the 1995 Waste Management Conference*, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.

Cadwell, L. L., and R. E. Fitzner. 1984. Nuclear Fuel-Cycle Radionuclides in Raptor Castings: Implications for Environmental Monitoring. *Health Physics* 47:723-728.

Cadwell, L. L., L. E. Eberhardt, and M. A. Simmons. 1989. *Animal Intrusion Studies for Protective Barriers: Status Report for FY 1988*. PNL-6869, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Cadwell, L. L., L. E. Eberhardt, M. A. Simmons, and D. S. Landeen. 1993. Animal Intrusion Studies. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1993 Highlights*, eds. L. L. Cadwell, S. O. Link, G. W. Gee, PNL-8741, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Caldwell, J. A. 1992. Engineering Perspectives for Near-Surface Disposal. In *Deserts as Dumps? The Disposal of Hazardous Materials in Arid Ecosystems*. eds. C. C. Reith and B. M. Thomson, pp. 161-198. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Caldwell, M. M. 1979. Physiology of Sagebrush. In *The Sagebrush Ecosystem: A Symposium*, April 1978, pp. 74-85. College of Natural Resources, Utah State University, Logan.

Campbell, M. D. 1991. Field Lysimeter Test Facility. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1990 Highlights*, ed. L. L. Cadwell, PNL-7831, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Campbell, M. D., and G. W. Gee. 1990. *Field Lysimeter Test Facility: Protective Barrier Test Results (FY 1990, The Third Year)*. PNL-7558, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Campbell, M. D., G. W. Gee, M. J. Kanyid, and M. L. Rockhold. 1990. *Field Lysimeter Test Facility: Second Year (FY 1989) Test Results*. PNL-7902, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Chatters, J. C. 1989. Appendix C: Ecology and Environmental History of the Hanford Site. In *Hanford Cultural Resource Management Plan*, ed. J. C. Chatters, pp. C.1-C.30. PNL-6942, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Chatters, J. C. 1991. Long-Term Climate Change Effects. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1990 Highlights*, ed. L. L. Cadwell. PNL-7831, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Chatters, J. C., and K. A. Hoover. 1986. Changing Late Holocene Flooding Frequencies on the Columbia River, Washington. *Quaternary Research* 26:309-320.

Chatters, J. C., and K. A. Hoover. 1992. Response of the Columbia River Fluvial System to Holocene Climatic Change. *Quaternary Research* 37:42-59.

Chatters, J. C., D. A. Neitzel, M. J. Scott, and S. A. Shankle. 1991. Potential Impacts of Global Climate Change on Pacific Northwest Spring Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*): An Exploratory Case Study. *The Northwest Environmental Journal* 7:71-92.

Cline, J. F., D. W. Uresk, and W. H. Rickard. 1975. *Characterization of Plant Communities Adjacent to the B-C Controlled Area and REDOX Pond Areas on the 200 Area Plateau*. BNWL-1916, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Cline, J. F., D. W. Uresk, and W. H. Rickard. 1977a. Plants and Soil of a Sagebrush Community on the Hanford Reservation. *Northwest Science* 51:60-70.

Cline, J. F., D. W. Uresk, and W. H. Rickard. 1977b. Comparison of Soil Water used by a Sagebrush-Bunchgrass and a Cheatgrass Community. *Journal of Range Management* 30:199-201.

Cline, J. F., and V. A. Uresk. 1979. Revegetation of Disturbed Grounds in the Semi-Arid Climate of Southcentral Washington. *Health Physics* 36:289-294.

Cline, J. F., K. A. Gano, and L. E. Rogers. 1980. Loose Rock as Biobarriers in Shallow Land Burial. *Health Physics* 39:497-504.

Coatley, S. M. 1979. Climate Variability in the Pacific Northwest and its Effect on Stripe Rust Disease of Winter Wheat. *Climatic Change* 2:33-51.

Crowley, T. J., and G. R. North. 1991. *Paleoclimatology*. Oxford Monographs on Geology and Geophysics No. 16. Oxford University Press, New York.

Daubenmire, R. F. 1970. *Steppe Vegetation of Washington*. Technical Bulletin 62, Washington Agricultural Experiment Station, Pullman, Washington.

Daubenmire, R. F. 1975. Plant Succession on Abandoned Fields, and Fire Influences, in a Steppe Area in Southeastern Washington. *Northwest Science* 49:36-48.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). 1989. Technical Approach Document: Revision II, UMTRA-DOE/AI-050425.0002, DOE UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Downs, J. L., S. O. Link, and R. A. Black. 1991a. Effects of Soil Water Deficit and Plant Age on Plant Water Uptake. In *Pacific Northwest Laboratory Annual Report for 1990 to the DOE Office of Energy Research. Part 2. Environmental Sciences*, ed. R. E. Wildung, pp. 72-74. PNL-7600 Pt. 2, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Downs, J. L., S. O. Link, L. L. Cadwell, and M. R. Sackschewsky. 1991b. Root Intrusion Studies: Design and Initial Samples. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1990 Highlights*, ed. L. L. Cadwell, PNL-7831, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Downs, J. L., L. L. Cadwell, and S. O. Link. 1993. Root Intrusion, Root Distribution Studies. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1993 Highlights*. eds. L. L. Cadwell, S. O. Link, and G. W. Gee, pp. 2.7-2.9. PNL-8741, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Ebbesmeyer, C. C., C. A. Coomes, G. A. Cannon, and D. E. Bretschneider. 1989. Linkage of Ocean and Fjord Dynamics at Decadal Period. In *Aspects of Climate Variability in the Pacific and Western Americas*, ed. D. H. Peterson, Geophysical Monograph 55, pp. 399-417. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C.

Evans, R. D., R. Alan Black, and S. O. Link. 1991. Reproductive Growth During Drought in *Artemisia tridentata* Nutt. *Functional Ecology* 5:676-683.

Fayer, M. J., G. W. Gee, and T. L. Jones. 1986. *UNSAT-H Version 1.0: Unsaturated Flow Code Documentation and Applications for the Hanford Site*. PNL-5899, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Fayer, M. J., M. L. Rockhold, and M. D. Campbell. 1992. Hydrologic Modeling of Protective Barriers: Comparison of Field Data and Simulation Results. *Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. J.* 56:690-700.

Fisher, J. N. 1986. Hydrogeologic Factors in the Selection of Shallow Land Burial for the Disposal of Low-Level Radioactive Waste. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 973.

Franklin, J. F., and C. T. Dyrness. 1973. *Natural Vegetation of Oregon and Washington*. Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station, USDA Forest Service General Technical Report, PNW-8.

Franklin, J. F., F. J. Swanson, M. E. Harmon, D. A. Perry, T. A. Spies, V. H. Dale, A. McKee, W. K. Ferrell, J. E. Means, S. V. Gregory, J. D. Lattin, T. D. Schowalter, and D. Larsen. 1991. Effects of Global Climatic Change on Forests in Northwestern North America. *The Northwest Environmental Journal* 7:233-254.

Frenkle, R. E. 1984. Vegetation. In *Atlas of the Pacific Northwest*, 7th edition, eds. A. J. Kimerling and P. L. Jackson, pp. 58-66. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, Oregon.

Gaylord, D. R., L. D. Stetler, G. D. Smith, and R. W. Mars. 1993. *Summary of 1990 Eolian Characterization Studies, Hanford Site, Washington*. PNL-8862, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W. 1987. *Recharge at the Hanford Site: Status Report*. PNL-6403, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W. 1993. Field Lysimeter Test Facility. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1992 and 1993 Highlights*, eds. L. L. Cadwell, S. O. Link, G. W. Gee, PNL-8741, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W., and R. R. Kirkham. 1984. *Arid Site Water Balance: Evapotranspiration Modeling and Measurements*. PNL-5177, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W., R. R. Kirkham, J. L. Downs, and M. D. Campbell. 1989. *The Field Lysimeter Test Facility (FLTF) at the Hanford Site: Installation and Initial Tests*. PNL-6810, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W., M. D. Campbell, and S. O. Link. 1991. Arid Site Water Balance using Monolith Lysimeters. In *Lysimeters for Evapotranspiration and Environmental Measurement*, ed. R. G. Allen, T. A. Howell, W. O. Pruitt, I. A. Walter, and M. E. Jensen, pp. 219-227. Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lysimetry, American Society of Civil Engineers, New York.

Gee, G. W., M. J. Fayer, M. L. Rockhold, and M. D. Campbell. 1992. Variations in Recharge at the Hanford Site. *Northwest Science* 92:237-250.

Gee, G. W., L. L. Cadwell, H. D. Freeman, M. W. Ligotke, S. O. Link, R. A. Romine, W. H. Walters, Jr., and N. R. Wing. 1993a. *Testing and Monitoring Plan for the Permanent Isolation Surface Barrier Prototype*. PNL-8391, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W., D. G. Felmy, J. C. Ritter, M. D. Campbell, J. L. Downs, M. J. Fayer, R. R. Kirkham, and S. O. Link. 1993b. *Field Lysimeter Test Facility Status Report IV: FY 1993*. PNL-8911, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gee, G. W., H. D. Freeman, W. H. Walters, M. W. Ligothe, M. D. Campbell, A. L. Ward, S. O. Link, S. K. Smith, B. G. Gilmore, and R. A. Romine. 1994. *Hanford Prototype Surface Barrier Status Report: FY 1994*. PNL-10275, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gilmore, B. G., and W. H. Walters. 1993. *Water Erosion Field Tests for Hanford Protective Barriers: FY 1992 Status Report*. PNL-8949, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Gould, F. W., and R. B. Shaw. 1983. *Grass Systematic*, 2nd edition. Texas A&M Press, College Station, Texas.

Gray, D. M., and A. T. Leiser. 1982. *Biotechnical Slope Protection and Erosion Control*. Von Nostrand Reinhold Co., Inc., New York, New York.

Gregory, J. M. 1984. Prediction of Soil Erosion by Water and Wind for Various Fractions of Cover. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers* 27:1345-1350.

Hajek, B. F. 1966. *Soil Survey, Hanford Project in Benton County, Washington*. BNWL-243, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Hakónson, T. E., G. L. DePoorter, W. V. Abeele, B. W. Burton, J. W. Nyhan, B. A. Perkins, and L. J. Lane. 1982. Remedial Action Technology - Arid. In *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Participants Information Meeting, U.S. Department of Energy Low-Level Waste Management Program, October 1982*, pp. 685-702. ORNL/NFW-82/18, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Hakonson, T. E., L. J. Lane, and E. P. Springer. 1992. Biotic and Abiotic Processes. In *Deserts as dumps? The Disposal of Hazardous Materials in Arid Ecosystems*. eds. C. C. Reith and B. M. Thomson. pp. 101-146. University of New Mexico Press. Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Hansen, J., D. Johnson, A. Lacis, S. Lebedeff, P. Lee, D. Rind, and G. Russell. 1981. Climate Impact of Increasing Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. *Science* 213:957-966.

Harris, G. A. 1967. Some Competitive Relationships Between *Agropyron spicatum* and *Bromus tectorum*. *Ecological Monographs* 37:89-111.

Harris, G. A., and A. M. Wilson. 1970. Competition for Moisture among Seedlings of Annual and Perennial Grasses as Influenced by Root Elongation at Low Temperature. *Ecology* 51:25-37.

Hays, J. D., J. Imbrie, and N. J. Shackleton. 1976. Variations in the Earth's Orbit: Pacemakers of the Ice Ages. *Science* 194:1121-1132.

Hinds, W. T., and R. H. Sauer. 1976. Soil Erodibility, Soil Erosion, and Revegetation Following Wildfire in a Shrub-Steppe Community. In *Atmospheric-Surface Exchange of Particulate and Gaseous Pollutants*. ERDA Symposium Series 38, CONF-740921.

Houghton, J. T., G. J. Jenkins, and J. J. Ephraums. 1990. *Climate Change: The IPCC Scientific Assessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Houghton, J. T., B. A. Callander, and S. K. Varney. 1992. *Climate Change 1992: The Supplementary Report to the IPCC Scientific Assessment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Imbrie, J., and J. Z. Imbrie. 1980. Modeling the Climatic Response to Orbital Variations. *Science* 207:943-953.

Jones, T. L. 1978. *Sediment Moisture Relations: Lysimeter Project 1976-1977 Water Year*. RHO-ST-15, Rockwell Hanford Operations, Richland, Washington.

Kennedy, W. E., Jr., L. L. Cadwell, and D. H. McKenzie. 1985. Biotic Transport of Radionuclides from a Low-Level Radioactive Waste Site. *Health Physics* 47:723-728.

Klemmedson, J. O., and J. G. Smith. 1964. Cheatgrass, *Bromus tectorum* L. *Botanical Review* 30:226-262.

Klepper, B., L. E. Rogers, J. D. Hedlund, R. G. Schreckhise, and K. R. Price. 1978. Radiocesium Movement in a Gray Rabbit Brush Community. In *Environmental Chemistry and Cycling Processes, Proceedings of Mineral Cycling Symp.* eds. D. C. Adriano and I. L. Brisbin, pp. 725-737. CONF-760429, Atlanta.

Klepper, E. L., K. A. Gano, and L. L. Cadwell. 1985. *Rooting Depth and Distributions of Deep-Rooted Plants in the 200 Area Control Zone of the Hanford Site*. PNL-5247, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Kukla, G. J. 1981. Pleistocene Climates on Land. In *Climate Variations and Variability: Facts and Theories*, ed. A. Berger, pp. 207-232. D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland.

Kutchler, A. W. 1964. *Potential Natural Vegetation of the Coterminous United States* (map). American Geographical Society Special Publication, 36.

Landeen, D. S., and R. M. Mitchell. 1982. *Intrusion of Radioactive Waste Burial Sites by the Great Basin Pocket Mouse (Perognathus parvus)*. RHO-SA-211, Rockwell Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Landeen, D. S., L. L. Cadwell, L. E. Eberhardt, R. E. Fitzner, and M. A. Simmons. 1990. *Animal Intrusion Field Test Plan*. WHC-EP-0253, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Landeem, D. S. 1994. *The Influence of Small Mammal Burrowing Activity on Water Storage at the Hanford Site*. WHC-EP-0730, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Leovy, C., and E. S. Sarachik. 1991. Predicting Climate Change for the Pacific Northwest. *The Northwest Environmental Journal* 7:169-201.

Leys, J. F. 1991. Towards a Better Model of the Effect of Prostrate Vegetation Cover on Wind Erosion. *Vegetation* 91:49-58.

Ligotke, M. W. 1993. *Soil Erosion Rates Caused by Wind and Saltating Sand Stresses*. PNL-8478, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Link, S. O., P. A. Beedlow, and M. E. Thiede. 1988. The Effect of Perturbations to the Water and Nitrogen Cycles in Arid Land Ecosystems. In *Pacific Northwest Laboratory Annual Report for 1987 to the DOE Office of Energy Research. Part 2. Environmental Sciences*, ed. R. E. Wildung, pp. 4-7. PNL-6500 Pt. 2, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Link, S. O., G. W. Gee, and J. L. Downs. 1990a. The Effect of Water Stress on Phenological and Ecophysiological Characteristics of Cheatgrass and Sandberg's Bluegrass. *Journal of Range Management* 43:506-513.

Link, S. O., G. W. Gee, M. E. Thiede, and P. A. Beedlow. 1990b. Response of a Shrub-Steppe Ecosystem to Fire: Soil Water and Vegetational Change. *Arid Soils Research and Rehabilitation* 4:163-172.

Link, S. O., J. L. Downs, M. E. Thiede, D. J. Lettau, T. R. Twaddell, and R. A. Black. 1992a. *Evapotranspiration Studies for Protective Barriers: FY 1990 Status Report*. PNL-8032, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Link, S. O., M. E. Thiede, J. L. Downs, D. J. Lettau, and W. J. Waugh. 1992b. *Evapotranspiration Studies for Protective Barriers: FY 1989 Status Report*. PNL-8033, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Link, S. O., R. N. Kickert, M. J. Fayer, and G. W. Gee. 1993. *A Comparison of Simulation Models for the Prediction of Soil Water Dynamics in Bare and Vegetated Lysimeters*. PNL-8675, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Link, S. O., W. J. Waugh, and J. L. Downs. 1994a. The Role of Plants on Isolation Barriers Systems. In *In Situ Remediation: Scientific Basis for Current and Future Technologies*, eds. G. W. Gee and N. R. Wing, pp. 561-592. Proceedings of the 33rd Hanford Symposium on Health and Environment, Pasco, Washington, November 7-11, 1994. Battelle Press, Columbus, Ohio.

- Link, S. O., L. L. Cadwell, C. A. Brandt, J. L. Downs, R. E. Rossi, and G. W. Gee. 1994b. *Biointrusion Test Plan for the Permanent Isolation Surface Barrier Prototype*. PNL-9411, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Link, S. O., W. J. Waugh, J. L. Downs, M. E. Thiede, J. C. Chatters, and G. W. Gee. 1994c. Effects of Coppice Dune Topography and Vegetation on Soil Water Dynamics in a Cold-Desert Ecosystem. *Journal of Arid Environments* 27:265-278.
- Link, S. O., M. E. Thiede, R. D. Evans, J. L. Downs, and G. W. Gee. 1995a. Responses of Big Sagebrush and Spiny Hopsage to Increasing Seasonal Drought. In *Proc. Conf. Shrub Research Consortium, U.S. Forest Serv. Interm. Res. Stan. Gen. Tech. Rep.* pp. 196-201.
- Link, S. O., H. Bolton, Jr., M. E. Thiede, and W. H. Rickard. 1995b. Responses of Downy Brome to Nitrogen and Water. *Journal of Range Management* 48:290-297.
- Mack, R. N., and J. N. Thompson. 1982. Evolution in Steppe with Few Large, Hooved Mammals. *American Naturalist* 119:757-773.
- Marks, D., G. A. King, and J. Dolph. 1993. Implication of Climate Change for the Water Balance of the Columbia River, USA. *Climate Research* 2:203-193.
- Marshall, J. K. 1972. Principles of Soil Erosion and its Prevention. In *The Use of Trees and Shrubs in the Dry Country of Australia*. ed. N. Hall, pp. 90-107. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra, Australia.
- Melgoza, G., R. S. Nowak, and R. J. Tausch. 1990. Soil Water Exploitation After Fire: Competition Between *Bromus tectorum* and Two Native Species. *Oecologia* 83:7-13.
- Mehring, P. J., Jr. 1985. "Late Quaternary Pollen Records from the Interior Pacific Northwest and Northern Great Basin of the United States." In *Pollen Records of Late-Quaternary North American Sediments*, eds. V. M. Bryant, Jr. and R. G. Holloway, pp. 167-189. American Association of Stratigraphic Association, Dallas, Texas.
- Muhs, D. R., and P. B. Maat. 1993. The Potential Response of Eolian Sands to Greenhouse Warming and Precipitation Reduction on the Great Plains of the U.S.A. *Journal of Arid Environments* 25:351-361.
- Nyhan, J. W. 1989. *Development of Technology for the Long-Term Stabilization and Closure of Shallow Land Burial Sites in Semiarid Environments*. LA-1128-MS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.
- O'Farrell, T. P., and R. O. Gilbert. 1975. Transport of Radioactive Materials by Jackrabbits on the Hanford Reservation. *Health Physics* 29:9-15.

Pearson, L. C. 1975. Daily and Seasonal Patterns of Photosynthesis in *Artemisia tridentata*. *Journal of the Idaho Academy of Science* 11:11-19.

Petersen, K. L., J. C. Chatters, and W. J. Waugh. 1993. *Long-Term Climate Change Assessment Study Plan for the Hanford Site Permanent Isolation Barrier Development Program*. WHC-EP-0569, Revision 1, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Price, K. R. 1973. *Tumbleweed and Cheatgrass Uptake of Transuranium Elements Applied to Soil as Organic Acid Complexes*. BNWL-1755, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington.

Price, K. R., and W. H. Rickard. 1973. *Vascular Plants of Waste Storage Sites in the 200 Areas of the Hanford Reservation*. BNWL-1796, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington.

Pyke, C. B. 1972. *Some Meteorological Aspects of the Seasonal Distribution of Precipitation in the Western United States and Baja California*. Water Resources Center Contribution No. 139. University of California, Los Angeles, California.

Quinn, R. S. 1976. *The Late Spring Secondary Precipitation Maximum in the Interior Pacific Northwest*. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Oregon, Corvallis. University Microfilms International, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Richards, L. A. 1950. Laws of Soil Moisture. *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union* 31:750-756.

Rickard, W. H., D. W. Uresk, and J. F. Cline. 1977. Productivity Response to Precipitation by Native and Alien Plant Communities. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecological Studies of the Northwest*. eds. R. D. Andrews, R. L. Carr, F. Gibson, B. Z. Lang, R. A. Soltero, and K. C. Swenberg, March 26-27, 1976. EWSC Press, Eastern Washington State College, Cheney, Washington.

Rickard, W. H., and R. H. Sauer. 1982. Self Revegetation of Disturbed Ground in the Deserts of Nevada and Washington. *Northwest Sci.* 56:41-47.

Rickard, W. H. 1988. Climate of the Hanford Site. In *Shrub-Steppe: Balance and Change in a Semi-Arid Terrestrial Ecosystem*. eds. W. H. Rickard, L. E. Rogers, B. E. Vaughan, and S. F. Liebetrau, pp. 23-59. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

Rickard, W. H., and C. A. Schuler. 1988. *Descriptions of Plant Communities at the Proposed Reference Repository Location and Implications for Reclamation of Disturbed Ground*. PNL-6494, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

- Rickard, W. H., L. E. Rogers, B. E. Vaughan, and S. F. Liebetrau, eds. 1988. *Shrub-Steppe: Balance and Change in a Semi-Arid Terrestrial Ecosystem*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Rickard, W. H., and B. E. Vaughan. 1988. Plant Community Characteristics and Responses. In *Shrub-Steppe: Balance and Change in a Semi-Arid Terrestrial Ecosystem*. eds. W. H. Rickard, L. E. Rogers, B. E. Vaughan, and S. F. Liebetrau, pp. 109-179. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Risser, P. G., E. C. Birney, H. D. Blocker, S. W. May, W. J. Parton, and J. A. Weins. 1981. *The True Prairie Ecosystem*. Hutchinson Ross Publishing Company, Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania.
- Roché, C. T., and B. F. Roché. 1988. Distribution and Ecologic Amplitude of Selected *Centaurea* Species in Eastern Washington. *Northwest Sci.* 62:242-253.
- Rockhold, M. L., M. J. Fayer, G. W. Gee, and M. J. Kanyid. 1990. *Natural Groundwater Recharge and Soil-Water Balance at the Hanford Site*. PNL-7215, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Rogers, L. E., and W. H. Rickard. 1977. *Ecology of the 200 Area Plateau Waste Management Environs: A Status Report*. PNL-2253, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Routson, R. C. 1975. *The Effect of Soil Concentration on the Tumbleweed Uptake of ⁹⁰Sr and ¹³⁷Cs from a Burbank Sand*. BNWL-1905, Battelle, Pacific Northwest Laboratories, Richland, Washington.
- Routson, R. C., M. R. Fuchs, and W. A. Jordan. 1988. *Recharge Estimate for the Hanford Site 200 Areas Plateau*. WHC-EP-0046, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Routson, R. C., and V. G. Johnson. 1990. Recharge Estimates for the Hanford Site 200 Areas Plateau. *Northwest Science* 64:150-158.
- Ruffner, J. A., and F. E. Bair. 1977. *The Weather Almanac*, 2nd edition. Gale Research Company, Book Tower, Detroit, Michigan.
- Sackschewsky, M. R., J. C. Chatters, S. O. Link, and C. A. Brandt. 1991. *Protective Barrier Program: Test Plan for Plant Community Dynamics*. WHC-EP-0380, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Sackschewsky, M. R., D. S. Landeen, G. I. Baird, W. H. Rickard, and J. L. Downs. 1992. *Vascular Plants of the Hanford Site*. WHC-EP-0554, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- Sackschewsky, M. R., C. J. Kemp, and L. L. Cadwell. 1993. *Status Report for the Small-Tube Lysimeter Facility Fiscal Year 1992*. WHC-EP-0597, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Sackschewsky, M. R., C. J. Kemp, S. O. Link, and W. J. Waugh. 1995. The Effects of Engineered Soil Surfaces on Soil Water Budgets in a Cool Desert Environment. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 24:352-359.

Schneider, S. H. 1989. *Global Warming*. Sierra Club Books, San Francisco, California.

Selders, A. A. 1950. *The Absorption and Translocation of Fission Elements by Russian Thistle*. HW-18034, General Electric Company, Richland, Washington.

Shuman, R. 1984. Intrusion of Soil Covered Uranium Mill Tailings by Whitetail Prairie Dogs and Richardson's Ground Squirrels. M. S. Thesis. Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Skaggs, R. L., and L. L. Cadwell. 1988. Processes Controlling Surface Water Distribution in the Shrub-Steppe. In *Pacific Northwest Laboratory Annual Report for 1987 to the DOE Office of Energy Research. Part 2. Environmental Sciences*, ed. R. E. Wildung, pp. 8-9. PNL-6500 Pt. 2, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Stone, W. A., J. M. Thorp, O. P. Gifford, and D. J. Hoitink. 1983. *Climatological Summary for the Hanford Area*. PNL-4622, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Sturges, D. L. 1979. Hydrologic Relation of Sagebrush Lands. In *The Sagebrush Ecosystem: A Symposium*, April 1978, pp. 86-100. College of Natural Resources, Utah State University, Logan.

Suter II, G. W., R. J. Luxmoore, and E. D. Smith. 1993. Compacted Soil Barriers at Abandoned Landfill Sites are Likely to Fail in the Long Term. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 22:217-226.

Tchoupopnou, E. 1989. Splash from Microphytic Soil Crusts Following Simulated Rain. M. Sc., Utah State University, Logan, Utah.

Thompson, R. S., C. Whitlock, P. J. Bartlein, S. P. Harrison, and W. G. Spaulding. 1993. Climatic Changes in the Western United States since 18,000 yr B.P. In *Global Climates Since the Last Glacial Maximum*, eds. H. E. Wright, Jr., J. E. Kutzbach, T. Webb III, W. F. Ruddiman, F. A. Street-Perrott, and P. J. Bartlein, pp. 468-513. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis.

Uresk, D. W., J. F. Cline, and W. H. Rickard. 1979. Growth Rates of a Cheatgrass Community and Some Associated Factors. *Journal of Range Management* 32:168-170.

Voorhees, L. D., M. J. Sale, J. W. Webb, and P. J. Mulholland. 1983. *Guidance for Disposal of Uranium Mill Tailings: Long-Term Stabilization of Earthen Cover Materials*. NUREG/CR-3199, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Walters, W. H., and B. G. Gilmore. 1993. Water Erosion. In *Hanford Site Protective Barrier Development Program: Fiscal Year 1992 and 1993 Highlights*. eds., L. L. Cadwell, S. O. Link, and G. W. Gee, pp. 2.22-2.23. PNL-8741, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Waugh, W. J., and S. O. Link. 1988. *Barrier Erosion Control Test Plan: Gravel Mulch, Vegetation, and Soil Water Interactions*. WHC-EP-0067, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Waugh, W. J., M. E. Thiede, L. L. Cadwell, G. W. Gee, H. D. Freeman, M. R. Sackschewsky, and J. F. Relyea. 1991. Small Lysimeters for Documenting Arid Site Water Balance. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Lysimetry*. ed. R. G. Allen, pp. 151-159. Amer. Soc. Civil Engr., New York.

Waugh, W. J., J. C. Chatters, G. V. Last, B. N. Bjornstad, S. O. Link, and C. R. Hunter. 1994a. *Barrier Analogs: Long-Term Performance Issues, Preliminary Studies, and Recommendations*. PNL-9004, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Waugh, W. J., K. L. Peterson, S. O. Link, B. N. Bjornstad, and G. W. Gee. 1994b. Natural Analogs of the Long-Term Performance of Engineered Covers. In *In Situ Remediation: Scientific Basis for Current and Future Technologies*, eds. G. W. Gee and N. R. Wing, pp. 379-409. Proceedings of the 33rd Hanford Symposium on Health and Environment, Pasco, Washington, November 7-11, 1994. Battelle Press, Columbus, Ohio.

Waugh, W. J., M. E. Thiede, D. J. Bates, L. L. Cadwell, G. W. Gee, and C. J. Kemp. 1994c. Plant Cover and Water Balance in Gravel Admixtures at an Arid Waste-Burial Site. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 23:676-685.

West, N. E. 1979. Basic Synecological Relationships of Sagebrush-Dominated Lands in the Great Basin and the Colorado Plateau. In *The Sagebrush Ecosystem: A Symposium*, April 1978, pp. 33-41. College of Natural Resources, Utah State University, Logan, Utah.

West, N. E. 1990. Structure and Function of Microphytic Soil Crusts in Wildland Ecosystems of Arid and Semi-Arid Regions. *Advances in Ecological Research* 20:179-223.

Wing, N. R. 1992. *A Peer Review of the Hanford Site Permanent Isolation Surface Barrier Development Program*. WHC-MR-0392, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

Wing, N. R., and G. W. Gee. 1993. The Development of Permanent Isolation Surface Barriers: Hanford Site, Richland, Washington. In *Geoconfine 93*. eds. M. Arnould, M. Barrès & B. Côme, pp. 357-362. Balkema, Rotterdam.

Winograd, I. J., T. B. Coplen, J. M. Landwehr, A. C. Riggs, K. R. Ludwig, B. J. Szabo, T. P. Kolesar, and M. Revesz. 1992. Continuous 500,000-Year Climate Record from Vein Calcite in Devils Hole, Nevada. *Science* 258:255-260.

Winsor, T. F., and F. W. Whicker. 1980. Pocket Gophers and Redistribution of Plutonium in Soil. *Health Physics* 39:257-262.

Distribution

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

OFFSITE

	C. S. Abrams Argonne National Laboratory P.O. Box 2528 Idaho Falls, ID 83401		R. R. Borish West Valley Nuclear Service Company P.O. Box 191 West Valley, NY 14171
	J. Anderson Idaho State University Department of Biology Pocatello, ID 83209		
2	Battelle Memorial Institute Project Management Division 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201 ATTN: W. A. Carbeiner Technical Library	4	Chem Nuclear Geotech P.O. Box 14000 Grand Junction, CO 81502 ATTN: W. J. Waugh
	M. Benge Bechtel-FUSRAP P.O. Box 350 Oak Ridge, TN 37830		A. T. Clark U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Division of Fuel Material Safety Washington, D.C. 20555
	R. D. Bennett U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station 3909 Halls Ferry Road Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199		D. E. Daniel University of Texas Dept. of Civil Eng. Austin, TX 78712
	B. Bede U.S. Ecology 509 E. 12th Olympia, WA 98501	3	Desert Research Institute P.O. Box 60220 Reno, NV 89506 ATTN: J. T. Ball S. W. Tyler C. Fox
	R. A. Black Washington State University Botany Department Pullman, WA 99164		M. Dunkelman Department of Health Division of Radiation Protection Airdustrial Park Bldg. 5, M.S. LE-13 Olympia, WA 98504
		2	Foster Wheeler Environ. 1981 Snyder Rd. Richland, WA 99352 ATTN: W. Riggsbee R. L. Treat

No. of
Copies

- 2 Environmental Protection Agency
Hanford Project Office
712 Swift, MS B5-01
Richland, WA 99352
ATTN: D. A. Faulk
P. S. Innis

S. Evans
U.S. Department of Energy
1580 Sawtelle Drive
Idaho Falls, ID 83403

L. G. Everett
Geraghty & Miller, Inc.
5425 Hollister Ave., Suite 100
Santa Barbara, CA 93111-2346

F. T. Fong
U.S. Department of Energy
San Francisco Operations Office
1333 Broadway
Oakland, CA 94612

- 2 Hill Air Force Base
Environmental Management
Directorate
OO-ALC/EM
7276 Wardleigh Road
Hill AFB, UT 84056-5127
ATTN: B. Elliot
D. Stone

R. G. Hills
Department of Mechanical Eng.
New Mexico State University
Box 30001
La Cruces, NM 88003

No. of
Copies

- 7 Idaho National Engineering Laboratory
P.O. Box 1625
Idaho Falls, ID 83415
ATTN: J. E. Conner
J. Hubbell
M. A. Knecht
K. M. Kostelnik
D. L. McElroy
J. B. Sisson
Technical Library

- 4 Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.
2155 Louisiana Blvd. NE #1000
Albuquerque, NM 87110-5414
ATTN: T. Goering
M. Kylo
J. Lommler
F. Titus

T. L. Jones
New Mexico State University
Agricultural Experiment Station
Box 3BF
Las Cruces, NM 88003

M. R. Jugan
U.S. Department of Energy
Oak Ridge Operations Office
P.O. Box E
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

W. A. Jury
University of California
at Riverside
Dept. of Soils
Riverside, CA 92502

D. Keefer
Illinois State Geological Survey
615 East Peabody Drive
Champaign, IL 61820

No. of
Copies

C. Keller
SNL, Eastman Cherrington
P.O. Box 10129
Santa Fe, NM 87504

D. A. Knecht
Westinghouse Idaho Nuclear Co.
P.O. Box 4000
Idaho Falls, ID 83403

R. C. Letcher
U.S. Department of Energy
Morgantown Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, WV 26505

- 4 Los Alamos National Laboratory
P.O. Box 1663
Los Alamos, NM 87545
ATTN: F. Barnes
K. V. Bostick
J. W. Nyhan
E. Springer

E. Maestas
U.S. Department of Energy
West Valley Project Office
P.O. Box 191
West Valley, NY 14171

C. Mascarenas
WINCO
P.O. Box 4000, MS 1572
Idaho Falls, ID 83404

C. Massimino
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101

S. Needler
EG&G, Rock Flats
P.O. Box 464, Building 080
Golden, CO 80402

No. of
Copies

- 4 Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box 2008
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
ATTN: G. Suter II
J. Kuhaida
R. J. Luxmoore
M. Marietta

- 2 Oak Ridge National Laboratory
P.O. Box Y
Oak Ridge, TN 37830
ATTN: W. D. Burch
R. T. Jubin

D. T. Oakley
Waste Policy Institute
555 Quince Orchard Road
Suite 600
Gaithersburg, MD 20878

K. L. Petersen
207 Benham Street
Richland, WA 99352

C. Reith
15 Rue LeMans
Kenner, LA 70065

J. Rensel, MS PV-11
Washington State Department
of Ecology
High-Level Waste Management
Olympia, WA 98504

G. N. Richardson
Hazen and Sawyer
4011 W. Chase Blvd.
Suite 500
Raleigh, NC 27607

- 2 Sandia National Laboratories
P.O. Box 5800
Albuquerque, NM 87185
ATTN: R. W. Lunch
Technical Library

No. of
Copies

B. Scanlon
Bureau of Economic Geology
University of Texas at Austin
University Station, Box X
Austin, TX 78713-7508

J. A. Shaffner
US Ecology
3855 Atherton Road
Suite 5
Rocklin, CA 95765

R. Shaw
Electric Power Research
Institute
3412 Hillview Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94304

S. D. Smith
University of Nevada-Las Vegas
Biology Department
Las Vegas, NV 89154

W. G. Spaulding
Dames and Moore
Suite 108
4220 S. Maryland Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 89119

M. J. Steindler
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue
Argonne, IL 60439

J. B. Stong
Gonzaga University
Civil Engineering Dept
E. 502 Boone
Spokane, WA 99258-0001

M. J. Sully
Reynolds Electric Engr.
Co. Inc., MS 966
2626 Losee Road
Las Vegas, NV 89030

No. of
Copies

2 U.S. Department of Energy-
Albuquerque Operations Office
P.O. Box 5400, MS ERPO
Albuquerque, NM 87185-5400
ATTN: K. Bitner
G. J. Rael

3 U.S. Department of Energy
785 DOE Place
Idaho Falls, ID 83402
ATTN: O. D. Markham
R. C. Morris
T. E. Reynolds

2 U.S. Department of Energy
Savannah River Operations Office
P.O. Box A
Aiken, SC 29801
ATTN: W. J. Brumley
D. Bruegennjohann

2 U.S. Ecology
5333 Westheimer Rd.
Suite 1000
Houston, TX 77056-5407
ATTN: A. Palmer
L. D. Irwin

2 U.S. Geological Survey
333 W. Nye Lane
Carson City, NV 89706
ATTN: B. J. Andraski
D. E. Prudic

2 U.S. Geological Survey
Low-Level Radioactive Waste
Program
Water Resources Division
12201 Sunrise Valley Drive
Reston, VA 22092
ATTN: N. Trask
I. Winograd

No. of
Copies

- 3 U.S. Geological Survey
1201 Pacific Ave., Suite 600
Tacoma, WA 98402
ATTN: W. R. Bidlake
B. W. Drost
E. A. Prych
- 2 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Division of Engineering Safety
Waste Management Branch
5650 Nicholson Lane
Rockville, MD 29852
ATTN: T. J. Nicholson
E. O'Donnell
- 2 Washington State Department
of Ecology
7601 W. Clearwater, Suite 102
Kennewick, WA 99336
ATTN: D. Teal
N. Uziemblo
- 3 Washington State Department
of Ecology
Mail Stop PV-11
Olympia, WA 98504-8711
ATTN: E. M. Carlin
C. Cline
R. B. Hibbard
- 5 Washington State University
Geology Department
Pullman, WA 99164
ATTN: A. J. Busacca
G. S. Campbell
D. Gaylord
K. Keller
P. J. Mehringer
- E. P. Weeks
U.S. Geological Survey
Federal Center Mail Stop 413
Denver, CO 80225

No. of
Copies

- M. Weishan
NYSERDA
P.O. Box 191
West Valley, NY 14171
- 10 Westinghouse Savannah River Company
P.O. Box 616
Aiken, SC 29802
ATTN: J. Cook
M. Flora
L. Huber
J. R. Knight
S. R. McMullin
E. Norton
M. J. Plodinec
C. T. Randall
E. Schiefer
M. G. Serrato
- P. J. Wierenga
University of Arizona
Dept. of Soil & Water
429 Shantz Building
Tucson, AZ 85721

FOREIGN

- Prof. Johann Korkisch
Institute of Analytical Chemistry
University of Urnna
A-1090 Vienna
Wahringerstrasse 38
AUSTRIA
- S. Melchior
Institut für Bodenkunde der
Universität Hamburg
Allende-Platz 2, D-2000
Hamburg 13
FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

No. of
Copies

G. M. Smith
Intera Information Technologies
Chiltern House
45 Station Road
Henley-on-Thames
Oxfordshire RG9 1AT
UNITED KINGDOM

ONSITE

13 DOE Richland Operations Office

J. J. Broderick	A7-27
B. L. Foley	H4-83
R. D. Freeberg	A5-19
R. E. Gerton	A4-02
J. D. Goodenough	A5-19
J. P. Hanson	K8-50
R. A. Holten	H4-83
R. D. Izatt	A3-42
P. M. Pak	A5-19
R. K. Stewart	A5-19
D. E. Trader	A5-90
D. D. Wodrich	S7-50
DOR-RL Reading Room 1	

1 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

W. L. Greenwald	A5-20
-----------------	-------

11 Bechtel Hanford Incorporated

M. A. Buckmaster	H6-01
R. A. Carlson	H6-05
F. M. Corpuz	H4-85
T. A. Curran	H6-01
H. D. Downey	H4-84
C. E. Hodge	H4-82
M. J. Lauterbach	H4-91
D. R. Myers	H4-82
S. R. Weil	H4-79
T. M. Wintczak	H4-92
J. G. Woolard	H4-89

No. of
Copies

4 Kaiser Engineers Hanford Company

C. C. Chamberlain	G3-09
S. D. Consort	E6-31
D. L. Fort	E6-50
R. I. Watkins	E6-41

19 Westinghouse Hanford Company

M. R. Adams	H6-30
R. J. Bliss	B3-04
G. W. Jackson	H6-71
K. N. Jordan	S7-83
M. K. Korenko	B4-03
R. E. Lerch	S7-85
H. E. McGuire	B3-63
D. J. Newland	T7-37
R. W. Powell	G3-21
R. C. Roos	H6-30
W. A. Skelly	L4-69
J. C. Sonnichsen	H6-23
A. M. Tallman	H6-30
J. A. Voogd	G6-13
G. F. Williamson	G6-13
R. D. Wojtasek	S7-84
D. E. Wood	H6-30
Environmental Data Management Center (2)	

38 Pacific Northwest Laboratory

K. A. Blanchard	K2-05
L. L. Cadwell	K6-84
R. S. Davis	K9-33
J. L. Downs	K6-84
M. J. Fayer	K9-33
D. Felmy	K9-33
H. D. Freeman	P8-38
G. W. Gee	K9-33
B. G. Gilmore	K9-33
P. C. Hays	K9-33

No. of
Copies

C. T. Kincaid	K9-33
R. R. Kirkham	K9-33
G. V. Last	K9-48
M. W. Ligotke	P7-59
S. O. Link (10)	K6-84
P. D. Meyer	K9-33
T. L. Page	K9-18

No. of
Copies

M. L. Rockhold	K9-33
R. A. Romine	P8-38
E. J. Rykiel	K6-84
M. R. Sackschewsky	K6-84
A. L. Ward	K9-33
Information Release (7)	