ADVANCED FLUE GAS DESULFURIZATION (AFGD) DEMONSTRATION PROJECT

TECHNICAL PROGRESS REPORT NO. 19

July 1, 1994 to September 30, 1994

PARTICIPANT

**Pure Air on the Lake, L.P.
Allentown, Pennsylvania**

HOST UTILITY

**Northern Indiana Public Service Company
Hammond, Indiana**

**Prepared for the United States Department of Energy
Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center
Under Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC22-90PC89660**

Patents Cleared by Chicago on (TBD)

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DL C

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Pure Air on the Lake, Limited Partnership

23 August 1995

Ms. Karen M. Khonsari
PETC Technical Project Manager
Mail Stop 920-L
U.S. Department of Energy/PETC
P. O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

Subject: DOE Cooperative Agreement No. DC-FC-22-90PC89660
AFGD Demonstration Project
Technical Progress Report - Final - #19

Dear Karen

In accordance with Attachment No. 4, paragraph 5.2 of the subject Agreement, the Technical Progress Report #19 - Final for the periods July 1994 to September 1994, is as follows:

- A. Executive Summary
- B. Introduction
- C. Project Description
- D. Project Status
- E. Planned Activities
- F. Summary
- G. Report Distribution

If you have any questions concerning this report, please call me at 610-481-3687.

Very truly yours,

Don C. Vymazal
Manager, Contract Administration

DCV/pm/5028
Encl.

7201 Hamilton Boulevard, Allentown, PA 18195-1501
(215) 481-3682
Facsimile (215) 481-4606

Distribution:

Mr. Thomas A. Sarkus (1)
Director
Mail Stop 920-L
U.S. Department of Energy/PETC
P. O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

Ms. Karen M. Khonsari (2)
PETC Technical Project Manager
Mail Stop 920-L
U.S. Department of Energy/PETC
P. O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

Mr. William R. Mundorf (1)
Contracting Specialist
AD-21, Mail Stop 921-143
U.S. Department of Energy/PETC
P. O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

Dr. C. Lowell Miller (1)
Associate Deputy Assistant
Secretary for Clean Coal Technology
FE-22, 3E-042, Forrestal
U.S. Department of Energy
Washington, DC 20585

Dr. S. N. Roger Rao (1)
Burns and Roe Technical Group Mgr.
P. O. Box 18288
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

Dr. Lawrence Saroff (1)
HQ DOE Program Manager
FE-221, 3E-042, Forrestal
U.S. Department of Energy
Washington, DC 20585

Department of Energy (3)
Office of Technology Transfer
Mail Stop 58-MEZZ
Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center
P. O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

copy: J. R. Brown - Bailly
J. Henderson
G. B. Manavizadeh
R. D. Pettit

DCV/pm/5028

**ADVANCED FLUE GAS DESULFURIZATION (AFGD)
DEMONSTRATION PROJECT**

TECHNICAL PROGRESS REPORT NO. 19

July 1, 1994 to September 30, 1994

PARTICIPANT

**Pure Air on the Lake, L.P.
Allentown, Pennsylvania**

HOST UTILITY

**Northern Indiana Public Service Company
Hammond, Indiana**

**Prepared for the United States Department of Energy
Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center
Under Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC22-90PC89660**

Patents Cleared by Chicago on (TBD)

MASTER

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The "Advanced Flue Gas Desulfurization (AFGD) Demonstration Project" is a \$150.5 million cooperative effort between the U.S. Department of Energy and Pure Air, a general partnership of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. and Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc.

The goal of the AFGD project is to demonstrate that, by combining state-of-the-art technology, highly efficient plant operation and maintenance capabilities, and by-product gypsum sales, significant reductions of SO₂ emissions can be achieved at approximately one-half the life cycle cost of a conventional Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) system. Further, this emission reduction is achieved without generating solid waste and while minimizing liquid wastewater effluent.

Briefly, this project entails the design, construction and operation of a nominal 600 MWe AFGD facility to remove SO₂ from coal-fired power plant flue gas at the Northern Indiana Public Service Company's Bailly Generating Station, located approximately 12 miles northeast of Gary, Indiana. The facility is used to demonstrate a variety of advanced technical and business-related features, during a three-year period of operation which began in the summer of 1992. The aim of this demonstration is to accelerate near-term commercialization. Key features of the AFGD project are:

- Large single absorber for multiple boilers.
- Single loop absorber with in situ oxidation to produce commercial gypsum.
- SO₂ removal levels of 95% without chemical additives.
- High velocity co-current absorber.
- Direct injection of pulverized limestone.
- Air rotary sparger.
- Wastewater evaporation system.
- "Own-and-Operate" business arrangement.

In broader terms, the AFGD demonstration offers several potential benefits, including: protection of markets for high-sulfur U.S. coal, smaller space requirements, lower costs for electric utilities and their customers, and improved environmental performance compared to conventional FGD systems. During this reporting period, July 1 through September 30, 1994,

- The AFGD facility achieved 100% availability for the quarter with 100% year-to-date. (This reflects the use of July 1 as the beginning of the contract year.)
- The AFGD facility achieved an average SO₂ removal of 94.62% year-to-date.
- The AFGD facility produced 51,995 tons (dry) of Gypsum during this Quarter and 18,963 tons of SO₂ were removed.
- Contract to date production of Gypsum is 51,995 tons (dry) of Gypsum and 18,963 tons of SO₂ were removed.

INTRODUCTION

The "Advanced Flue Gas Desulfurization (AFGD) Demonstration Project" is a \$150.5 million cooperative effort between the U.S. Department of Energy and Pure Air, a general partnership of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. and Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America, Inc. The AFGD process is one of several alternatives to conventional flue gas desulfurization (FGD) being demonstrated under the Department of Energy's Clean Coal Technology Demonstration Program. The AFGD demonstration project is located at the Northern Indiana Public Service Company's Bailly Generating Station, about 12 miles northeast of Gary, Indiana.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The AFGD demonstration at Bailly station is showcasing several advanced features, compared to conventional FGD systems in operation throughout the United States. These features are described below.

Single Large Absorber

Ordinarily, an FGD facility contains several SO₂ absorber or "scrubber" modules, with one or two spare modules added to improve system reliability. The AFGD facility at Bailly utilizes a single nominal 600 MWe absorber module. It is the largest capacity absorber module in the United States, and it scrubs all of the flue gases from the Bailly station's two coal-fired boilers. There is no spare or back-up module. Instead, a high degree of system reliability will be demonstrated, as the scrubber is capable of removing 95% or more of the SO₂, without the use of performance-enhancing chemical additives.

Single Loop Scrubber with In Situ Oxidation

Another space-saving feature is the utilization of the SO₂ absorber to perform three separate functions: prequencher, absorber, and oxidation of scrubber sludge (CaSO₃•½H₂O, calcium sulfite) to gypsum (CaSO₄•2H₂O, calcium sulfate). Old FGD systems often employ two or three separate vessels to perform these functions. The AFGD system at Bailly produces a gypsum by-product that is suitable for commercial uses such as wallboard or cement. This is in lieu of producing scrubber sludge, which would have to be landfilled as a solid waste.

High Velocity Co-Current Absorber

The SO₂ absorber utilizes a high velocity cocurrent design, in which the scrubbing slurry moves in the same direction as the flue gas flow. Operation at a relatively high flue gas velocity of approximately 20 feet per second allows for a more compact absorber. This feature, combined with the absence of any back-up modules, contributes to improved space requirements for the AFGD system.

Direct Limestone Injection

At Bailly, pulverized limestone is injected directly into the SO₂ absorber. The pulverized limestone is purchased from a limestone supplier, thereby eliminating the need for on-site wet grinding systems.

Air Rotary Sparger

A novel device known as an air rotary sparger (ARS) is demonstrated within the absorber module. Basically, the ARS combines the functions of mixing and air distribution within the absorber, thereby facilitating the oxidation of scrubber sludge to gypsum. In a conventional FGD system, mixing would be done by agitators while oxidation air distribution would be performed by a separate fixed sparger arrangement. Merging these functions into one equipment item is expected to provide better mixing within the base of the absorber.

Wastewater Evaporation System

Wastewater disposal often poses a difficult problem for scrubber operators, particularly where the oxidation of scrubber sludge to gypsum is employed. The AFGD project at Bailly will demonstrate a novel wastewater evaporation system (WES), whereby process wastewater is injected into the flue gas ductwork upstream of the existing electrostatic precipitator (ESP). The hot flue gas will evaporate the wastewater, and dissolved solids in the wastewater will solidify so that they are collected by the ESP, along with the fly ash. At Bailly, the WES will be demonstrated only on one boiler unit (i.e., 345 MWe). If successful, it could lead to zero liquid discharge scrubbers. That is, scrubbers which produce a usable gypsum by-product and no wastewater effluent.

PowerChip™ Gypsum

The AFGD by-product gypsum is in a finely powdered form. However, the Bailly project includes a process to agglomerate and flake part of the by-product gypsum stream, in an attempt to improve the marketability of scrubber gypsum to end-users which are more accustomed to using natural gypsum rock. This PowerChip™ gypsum can be transported more easily and handled with existing equipment at most wallboard and/or cement plants. Pure Air will also attempt to blend fly ash and wastewater treatment solids into the PowerChip™ gypsum by-product. Although these impurities would make the gypsum unacceptable for wallboard applications, it could still be used in cement. Pilot tests have indicated that maximum fly ash loading of 20% to 30% may be achieved. In combination with wastewater evaporation and the co-production of wallboard grade gypsum, this process may bring coal-fired power generation technology one step closer to the goal of a zero-discharge power plant.

On-Site Own and Operate

In addition to state-of-the-art technical features, the AFGD project will showcase a novel business arrangement. Normally, utility companies must contract with several different firms to design and build a scrubber. And once it is built, the utility must operate the scrubber. By contrast, Pure Air designed, financed, built, owns, maintains and operates the Bailly AFGD facility for Northern Indiana as a contractual service. This "own and operate" approach has been employed successfully by Pure Air's parent, Air Products & Chemicals, in other business lines. Its application to flue gas cleanup is attractive to many utilities for a variety of reasons. For example, it allows the utility company to focus on the business of electricity generation and distribution, while Pure Air utilizes its own expertise to own and operate the scrubber facility.

The project was originally selected for award under DOE's Clean Coal Technology Program in September 1988. Following negotiations, Pure Air entered into a long-term flue gas processing agreement with Northern Indiana in October 1989 and a cooperative agreement with DOE in December 1989. Construction activities began in March 1990, and were completed in June 1992. A three-year demonstration period started in July 1992 to prove the efficacy of AFGD technology with a range of high sulfur United States coals. If successful, the demonstration will be followed by a long-term commercial operation period, pursuant to the agreement between Pure Air and Northern Indiana.

Project Status

July -September , 1994

During the reporting period of July 1-September 30 , 1994, the AFGD unit continued with a stable commercial operation. Several important activities were completed within the quarter. The DOE test of (2.0-2.5 %S) coal was completed and the (2.5-3.0 %S) coal run was started. The results of the test are not compiled as of the date of this report.

The results of the high sulfur coal test run in June have been received and are given in Attachment "A".

Project Milestones

- The AFGD facility achieved 100% availability for the quarter with 100% year-to-date.
- The AFGD facility achieved an average SO₂ removal of 94.62% year-to-date.
- The AFGD facility produced 51,995 tons (dry) of Gypsum during this Quarter and 18,963 tons of SO₂ were removed.
- Contract year to date production of Gypsum is 51,995 tons (dry) of Gypsum and 18,893 tons of SO₂ were removed.

PowerChip™ Operation

- There was no chip production during the quarter.

JULY, 1994

General Performance

- Unit #8 shutdown on July 26, 1994 at 2200 hours for air heater cleaning.

Maintenance Activities

- Began modifications of the centrifuge filtrate chutes.
- Performed repairs of M300 variable frequency drive units due to ground fault.
- Rebuilt P125 bleed pump.
- Upgrade A150 agitator motor
- Performed WES piping nozzle inspection and clean out.
- Maintenance painting throughout the facility continues.

Testing Activities

- DOE low sulfur coal test began on July 25, 1994 (2.0-2.5%).
- Long term performance testing of the WES system continues.

AUGUST, 1994

General Performance

- Unit #8 down at beginning of month, back on line at 0100 on 8/9/94.
- Unit #7 tripped at 1047 on 8/7/94, back on line at 2330 on 8/7/94.

Maintenance Activities

- Completed modifications to M300 centrifuge filtrate chutes.
- Rebuilt P120"G" recirculation pump.
- Miscellaneous maintenance of M300 centrifuges.
- Rebuilt P370"A" pump.
- Rebuilt P700"A" absorber sump pump.
- Rebuilt LV129 absorber level control valve.
- Replaced spray nozzles on WES system nozzles in east duct.
- Replaced limestone unloading hoses.
- Made rubber lining repairs to M300"B" centrifuge.

- Minor repairs (replaced 3 rollers) on C311 conveyor.
- Installed Victaulic piping spool piece in centrifuge feed line (test spool).

Testing Activities

- Completed DOE low sulfur coal test (2.0-2.5%).
- Long term performance testing of the WES system continues.

SEPTEMBER, 1994

General Performance

- Unit #8 down at 0230 on 9/6/94. Back on line at 0620 on 9/7/94 (boiler water quality bad).
- Unit #8 down at 0814 on 9/28/94. Back on line at 0600 on 10/1/94 (tube leak).

Maintenance Activities

- Installed new shaker bed on chipper to reduce fines.
- Rebuilt P330 "B" pump.
- Modified ductwork on chipper process to facilitate cleaning.
- Installed temporary roller on C311 conveyor to aid in belt replacement during outage.
- Numerous miscellaneous repairs on M300 centrifuges.
- Replaced packing on A140 "A" and "B" agitators.
- Replaced packing on A130 "A" and "B" agitators.
- Replaced light curtain on WWTP filter press.
- Repaired nipple on expansion joint EJ-109.
- Extended vacuum line on 2nd Floor of Dewatering Building.
- Replaced motor sheave on P370 "A" pump.

Testing Activities

- Continue extended test run on WES system.
- Started DOE Test #5 (2.5-3.0% sulfur coal) on 9/12/94.
- On Tuesday, September 6, 1994 Pure Air Bailly Facility hosted a tour complete with dinner for 180+ Clean Coal Conference attendees.
- J. Henderson presented a paper at the Clean Coal Conference on September 8th.

Planned Activities

Three major tests are planned for the remainder the DOE demonstration .

1. Fifth DOE coal test 2.5- 3.0% S.	9/7/94 (In Progress)
2. Sixth DOE coal test Optimum	5/1/95
3. WES test	4/15/95

There are two tests remaining in the DOE test program, these are the Optimum test and a third WES test, both these tests are scheduled for completion in April, 1995. The focus for the next six months will be completion of outstanding reports and the target of closing out the project.

Summary

In summary during the reporting period of July 1 through September, 1994, the AFGD unit continued with a stable commercial operation. Several important activities were successful complete within the quarter. The DOE test of (2.0 - 2.5% S) coal was completed and the (2.5 - 3.0% S) coal run was started. To date the AFGD unit has meet or exceeded our expectations.

ATTACHMENT A

Environmental Services of America, Inc.

The Almega Division
601A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, IL 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

REPORT OF EMISSION TESTING ON THE ADVANCED FLUE GAS DESULPHURIZATION INLET AND OUTLET AT THE BAILLY GENERATING STATION LOCATED IN CHESTERTON, INDIANA

Prepared for:

PURE AIR
BAILLY GENERATING STATION
246 BAILLY STATION ROAD
CHESTERTON, IN 46304

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OF AMERICA
THE ALMEGA DIVISION
601A COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE
BENSENVILLE, IL 60106

OCTOBER, 1994

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION PROJECT NO. I-7316
THE ALMEGA CORPORATION REPORT NO. I-7316-2

Prepared by:

Bill J. Byczynski

Bill J. Byczynski
Project Manager

Reviewed by:

Lawrence E. Fisher

Lawrence E. Fisher
Senior Project Manager

Offices Nationwide

Newark, NJ - Baltimore, MD - Royersford, PA - Philadelphia, PA
New Orleans, LA - Syracuse, NY - Hartford, CT - Brattleboro, VT
Chicago, IL - Los Angeles, CA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

2.0 TEST RESULTS

Tables 1 - 7	SO ₂ , SO ₃ , NO _x , at the FGD Inlet
Tables 8 - 14	Particulate, HCl, HF, at the FGD Inlet
Tables 15 - 21	SO ₂ , SO ₃ , NO _x , at the FGD Outlet
Tables 22 - 28	Particulate, HCl, HF, at the FGD Outlet

3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

3.1 Exhaust Gas Parameters

- 3.1.1 Traverse and Sampling Points
- 3.1.2 Velocity Traverse
- 3.1.3 Gas Composition
- 3.1.4 Moisture Content

3.2 SO₂ and NO_x (Instrumental Methods)

- 3.2.1 Sample Collection
- 3.2.2 Sample Duration
- 3.2.3 Calibration and Zeroing
- 3.2.4 Data Reduction

3.3 Sulphur Dioxide/Sulfur Trioxide

- 3.3.1 Sampling Method
- 3.3.2 Sample Duration and Frequency
- 3.3.3 Sample Recovery
- 3.3.4 Analytical Procedures
- 3.3.5 Blanks
- 3.3.6 Calibrations

3.4 Particulate, HCl, HF

- 3.4.1 Sampling Method
- 3.4.2 Sample Duration and Frequency
- 3.4.3 Sample Recovery
- 3.4.4 Analytical Procedures
- 3.4.5 Blanks
- 3.4.6 Calibrations

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX A	METHOD 1	Sample And Velocity Traverses For Stationary Sources
APPENDIX B	METHOD 2	Determination Of Stack Gas Velocity And Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S Pitot Tube)
APPENDIX C	METHOD 3	Gas Analysis For Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air And Dry Molecular Weight
APPENDIX D	METHOD 4	Determination Of Moisture Content In Stack Gases
APPENDIX E	METHOD 5	Determination Of Particulate Emissions From Stationary Sources
APPENDIX F	METHOD 6C	Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)
APPENDIX G	METHOD 7E	Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions From Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)
APPENDIX H	METHOD 8	Determination Of Sulfuric Acid Mist and Sulfur Dioxide Emissions From Stationary Sources
APPENDIX I	METHOD 26A	Determination of Hydrogen Halide and Halogen Emissions From Stationary Sources - Isokinetic Method
APPENDIX J	EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS	
APPENDIX K	FIELD DATA SHEETS	
APPENDIX L	LABORATORY ANALYSIS	
APPENDIX M	CALIBRATIONS AND SAMPLE CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY	

INTRODUCTION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Environmental Services of America, (ENSA) Almega Division, conducted an air emissions study on the Pure Air Flue Gas Desulphurization inlet and outlet at the Bailly Generating Station located in Chesterton, Indiana. The purpose of these tests were for compliance with the Department of Energy (DOE) phase III requirements. Testing was conducted on June 7, 8, 9, 13, 27, 28, and 29, 1994.

Two tests were conducted each day on the FGD Inlet and Outlet for Hydrogen Chloride (HCl), Hydrogen Fluoride (HF), Sulphur Dioxide (SO₂) and Sulphur Trioxide (SO₃) using USEPA Method 8. Three emissions tests were conducted on each test date for Particulate, Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x), and SO₂ using USEPA Method 6C. All tests also include exhaust gas parameters such as temperature moisture content, molecular weight, and velocity.

Emission testing was supervised by Bill Byczynski, Project Manager of ENSA - Almega, and coordinated by Mr. Ghassem Manavi of Pure Air and the entire operating staff.

The purpose of this report is to summarize the test methods, procedures, and findings of this test series. Attached as appendices is a complete documentation of all field test data and test methodologies.

2.0

TEST RESULTS

2.0 TEST RESULTS

Tables 1 through 7 present the FGD Inlet results for SO_2 (both methods 6C and 8), SO_3 , and NO_x . Results are reported in parts per million (ppm), pounds per hour (lbs/hr), and pounds per million BTU's (lb/MBTU). Also preceded in these tables are test times, flue gas temperature, percent O_2/CO_2 , and flow rates in actual cubic feet per minute (ACFM) and standard cubic feet per minute (SCFM).

Tables 8 through 14 present the Particulate, HCl and HF results. These results are reported in grains per dry standard cubic feet (grains/dscf), pounds per dscf, lbs/hr, and lbs/MBTU. Also presented are the auxiliary gas results reported in tables 1 through 7.

Tables 15 through 21 present the SO_2 , SO_3 , NO_x results for the FGD Outlet. These results are presented in the same format and units as Tables 1 through 7.

Tables 22 through 28 present the Particulate, HCl, and HF results for the FGD Outlet. These results are presented in the same format and units as Tables 8 through 14.

Copies of the calculations used to determine these emission rates are found in Appendix J. Copies of the field data sheets are located in Appendix K. Copies of the laboratory analysis are found in Appendix L. Copies of the calibrations and sample-chain-of-custody are located in Appendix M.

TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/7/94	6/7/94	6/7/94
TEST RUN #:	1 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	2 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	3 SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	9:55-11:10A	13:23-14:40P	14:30-15:34P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	323.6	323.6	323.0
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,004,353	1,965,186	2,132,192
scfm	1,365,456	1,388,775	1,451,461
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.2	12.4
average % O ₂	6.4	6.4	6.5

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	14.9	14.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	238.2	230.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.044	0.043

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	2491.9	2127.1
Emission Rate, lb/hr	31,865.4	26,468.2
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	5.825	4.973

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	2697.0	2660.0	2708.0
Emission Rate, lb/hr	34,481.4	33,092.2	36,818.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.304	6.217	6.373

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	900.8	912.4	885.7
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8277.7	8158.4	8655.3
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.513	1.533	1.498

TABLE 2

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/8/94	6/8/94	6/8/94
TEST RUN #:	4 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	5 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	6 SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	10:05-11:25A	1:03-14:20A	14:30-16:00P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	330.5	337.1	338.6
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,123,216	2,082,417	2,136,544
scfm	1,442,811	1,404,754	1,438,086
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.2	12.1	12.1
average % O ₂	6.3	6.2	6.2

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	18.8	34.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	317.3	568.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.055	0.100

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	2554.6	2693.9
Emission Rate, lb/hr	34,492.3	35,222.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	5.931	6.212

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	2610.0	2687.0	2.785.3
Emission Rate, lb/hr	35,233.2	35,124.4	37042.2
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.059	6.195	6.421

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	891.0	902.0	913.0
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8645.1	8474.7	8727.2
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.487	1.495	1.513

TABLE 3

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
SOURCE: FGD Inlet
OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/9/94	6/9/94	6/9/94
TEST RUN #:	7 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	8 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	9 SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	9:15-10:35A	11:27-12:55P	1:17-2:30P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	335.7	336.6	335.8
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,093,569	2,114,784	2,090,849
scfm	1,412,438	1,425,608	1,402,544
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.1	12.1	12.3
average % O ₂	6.2	6.2	6.3

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	28.7	25.5	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	471.4	421.6	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.083	0.073	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	3028.2	2614.9	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	39,732.3	34,651.8	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.983	6.030	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	2610.0	2920.0	2958.2
Emission Rate, lb/hr	34,238.5	38,687.1	38,402.3
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.017	6.732	6.867

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	912.4	927.5	922.3
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8602.7	8832.3	8605.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.512	1.537	1.539

TABLE 4

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
SOURCE: FGD Inlet
OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE: 6/13/94 6/13/94
TEST RUN #: 10 SO₂/SO₃/NO_x 11 SO₂/SO₃/NO_x
TIME: 10:10-11:22A 12:36-1:55P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	327.0	340.5
Volumetric Flow		
acfm	1,926,562	1,837,346
scfm	1,308,950	1,227,688
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.2	12.1
average % O ₂	6.3	6.2

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm,	27.0	13.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	407.6	194.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.078	0.040

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm,	2518.1	2697.3
Emission Rate, lb/hr	30,385.8	30,258.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	5.846	6.220

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm,	2756.0	2711.0
Emission Rate, lb/hr	33,250.0	30,405.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.397	6.250

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm,	851.4	847.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	7382.8	6834.2
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.420	1.405

TABLE 5

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/27/94	6/27/94	6/27/94
TEST RUN #:	12 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	13 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	14 SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	10:27-12:10P	12:30-13:45P	14:13-15:37P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	334.6	335.0	332.0
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,122,400	1,993,201	2,118,592
scfm	1,438,585	1,350,333	1,442,607
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.1	12.1	12.5
average % O ₂	6.2	6.3	6.2

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	21.2	19.2	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	356.5	300.4	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.061	0.056	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	2569.9	2909.2	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	34,616.5	36,508.1	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	5.926	6.754	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	2725.0	2810.4	2902.3
Emission Rate, lb/hr	36,697.4	35,261.4	38,548.8
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.282	6.524	6.691

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	888.6	853.4	863.2
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8601.1	7695.9	8240.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.472	1.424	1.430

TABLE 6

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
 SOURCE: FGD Inlet
 OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/28/94	6/28/94	6/28/94
TEST RUN #:	15 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	16 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	17SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	10:55-12:05P	12:30-13:39P	14:00-15:00P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	333.4	333.4	328.2
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,118,320	2,042,977	2,054,401
scfm	1,427,155	1,375,940	1,394,142
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.2	12.3	12.3
average % O ₂	6.3	6.2	6.4

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	12.6	16.9
Emission Rate, lb/hr	208.3	271.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.036	0.049

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	2713.1	2727.9
Emission Rate, lb/hr	36,004.5	35,013.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.299	6.290

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	2808.0	2815.0	2820.0
Emission Rate, lb/hr	37,255.6	36,123.8	36,033.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.518	6.490	6.591

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	826.5	876.4	878.2
Emission Rate, lb/hr	7881.6	8083.4	8065.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.379	1.452	1.475

TABLE 7

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
SOURCE: FGD Inlet
OPERATORS: T. Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE: 6/29/94 6/29/94 6/29/94
TEST RUN #: 18 SO₂/SO₃/NO_x 19 SO₂/SO₃/NO_x 20 SO₂/NO_x
TIME: 8:30-9:47A 11:25-12:42P 11:55-13:10P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	335.7	332.0	330.5
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,073,985	2,047,873	2,134,640
scfm	1,391,406	1,379,394	1,441,520
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.2	12.3
average % O ₂	6.4	6.5	6.4

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	14.3	14.5	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	230.2	232.8	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.042	0.043	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	2549.8	2839.1	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	32,840.9	36,593.9	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	5.961	6.683	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	2773.0	2805.0	2789.0
Emission Rate, lb/hr	35,708.4	36,147.4	36,956.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	6.481	6.602	6.519

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	830.2	872.5	868.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	7683.9	8081.4	8271.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.395	1.476	1.459

TABLE 8

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/7/94	6/7/94	6/7/94
TEST RUN #:	1 HCl/HF/Part	2 HCl/HF/Part	3 Part
TIME:	9:55-11:06A	12:20-13:44P	14:30-15:34P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	328.7	323.7	322.0
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,992,385	1,996,280	2,123,481
scfm	1,351,646	1,365,387	1,457,968
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.4	12.3	12.4
average % O ₂	6.3	6.4	6.5

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0105	0.0104	0.0119
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	1.506	1.491	1.697
Emission Rate, lb/hr	116.2	113.94	139.18
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.021	0.021	0.024

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	31.7	17.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	114.1	63.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.021	0.012

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	3.7	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	13.2	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.002	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 9

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT:	Pure Air		
SOURCE:	FGD Inlet		
OPERATORS:	T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie		
TEST DATE:	6/8/94	6/8/94	6/8/94
TEST RUN #:	4 HCl/HF/Part	5 HCl/HF/Part	6 Part
TIME:	10:05-11:25A	13:03-14:13P	14:38-15:50P
<u>STACK GAS</u>			
Temperature, Average F°	331.2	338.6	339.2
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,121,584	2,142,528	2,170,000
scfm	1,430,962	1,431,698	1,449,446
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.2	12.3	12.2
average % O ₂	6.3	6.2	6.2
<u>PARTICULATE</u>			
Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0093	0.0093	0.0087
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	1.333	1.334	1.245
Emission Rate, lb/hr	107.63	106.67	101.28
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.019	0.019	0.017
<u>HYDROGEN CHLORIDE</u>			
Concentration, ppm,	23.3	18.6	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	87.8	69.5	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.015	0.012	
<u>HYDROGEN FLUORIDE</u>			
Concentration ppm,	0.3	ND*	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	1.0	ND*	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0002	ND*	

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 10

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/9/94	6/9/94	6/9/94
------------	--------	--------	--------

TEST RUN #:	7 HCl/HF/Part	8 HCl/HF/Part	9 Part
TIME:	9:29-10:35A	11:27-12:55P	13:17-14:30P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	336.0	336.9	335.8
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,095,473	2,093,297	2,090,849
scfm	1,405,292	1,402,711	1,402,544
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.2	12.3
average % O ₂	6.4	6.3	6.3

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0069	0.0076	0.0067
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.986	1.088	0.958
Emission Rate, lb/hr	78.41	86.23	75.00
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.014	0.015	0.013

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	21.9	14.2
Emission Rate, lb/hr	81.2	52.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.014	0.009

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	0.1	0.1
Emission Rate, lb/hr	0.5	0.3
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0001	0.0001

TABLE 11

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT:	Pure Air	
SOURCE:	FGD Inlet	
OPERATORS:	T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie	
TEST DATE:	6/13/94	6/13/94
TEST RUN #:	10 HCl/HF/Part	11 HCl/HF/Part
TIME:	10:13-11:25A	12:34-13:40P
<u>STACK GAS</u>		
Temperature, Average F°	335.0	334.4
Volumetric Flow		
acfm	1,888,482	1,893,378
scfm	1,270,586	1,274,842
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.4	12.5
average % O ₂	6.4	6.3
<u>PARTICULATE</u>		
Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0147	0.0159
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	2.106	2.278
Emission Rate, lb/hr	151.38	162.39
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.030	0.032
<u>HYDROGEN CHLORIDE</u>		
Concentration, ppm _v	4.0	32.6
Emission Rate, lb/hr	13.3	108.3
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.003	0.021
<u>HYDROGEN FLUORIDE</u>		
Concentration ppm _v	0.70	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2.3	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0004	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 12

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE: 6/27/94 6/27/94 6/27/94

TEST RUN #: 12 HCl/HF/Part 13 HCl/HF/Part 14 Part
TIME: 10:07-11:43A 12:30-13:39P 14:13-15:37P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	337.0	335.0	332.0
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,956,482	1,966,818	2,118,592
scfm	1,323,863	1,334,205	1,442,607
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.4	12.4	12.5
average % O ₂	6.3	6.2	6.2

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0143	0.0130	0.0210
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	2.045	1.857	2.997
Emission Rate, lb/hr	152.34	138.95	240.08
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.029	0.026	0.042

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	19.5	33.4	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	67.8	116.7	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.013	0.022	

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	ND*	2.9	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	10.3	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	0.002	

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 13

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE:	6/28/94	6/28/94	6/28/94
TEST RUN #:	15 HCl/HF/Part	16 HCl/HF/Part	17 Part
TIME:	10:40-11:55A	12:36-13:45P	14:00-15:10P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	333.4	333.4	328.2
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,102,545	1,903,714	2,054,401
scfm	1,417,462	1,283,417	1,394,142
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.3	12.3
average % O ₂	6.4	6.4	6.4

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0094	0.0169	0.0193
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	1.342	2.424	2.773
Emission Rate, lb/hr	106.51	173.92	213.68
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.019	0.034	0.039

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	30.7	29.6
Emission Rate, lb/hr	113.5	99.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.020	0.019

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	2.9	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	10.7	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0019	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 14

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Inlet

OPERATORS: T, Santucci, M. Rohall, B. Flannigan, M. Ritchie

TEST DATE: 6/29/94 6/29/94 6/30/94

TEST RUN #: 18 HCl/HF/Part 19 HCl/HF/Part 20 Part
TIME: 8:30-9:50A 10:20-11:37A 12:00-13:10P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	335.7	332.0	330.5
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	2,146,336	2,122,672	2,134,640
scfm	1,439,946	1,430,723	1,441,520
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.4	12.3
average % O ₂	6.3	6.4	6.4

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0179	0.0209	0.0091
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	2.558	2.989	1.300
Emission Rate, lb/hr	205.79	241.40	103.91
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.036	0.042	0.018

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	29.6	41.8	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	111.0	157.5	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.019	0.027	

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	2.1	5.0	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8.0	18.8	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0014	0.0033	

TABLE 15

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
SOURCE: FGD Outlet
OPERATORS: T. Roeser, G. Blaine, C. Tien, G. Eifert

TEST DATE:	6/7/94	6/7/94	6/7/94
TEST RUN #:	1 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	2 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	3 SO ₂
TIME:	9:55-11:06A	13:20-14:44P	14:30-15:34P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	127.8	131.5	130.0
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,812,552	1,662,190	1,734,548
scfm	1,629,240	1,484,739	1,553,311
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.4	12.5
average % O ₂	6.7	6.7	6.3

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	21.7	3.3	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	381.9	53.4	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.065	0.010	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	206.4	228.9	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2908.9	2933.9	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.493	0.546	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	195.2	198.2	199.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2750.9	2540.2	2617.9
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.466	0.473	0.463

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	867.4	876.4	882.6
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8786.1	8073.2	8324.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.488	1.503	1.473

TABLE 16

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/8/94	6/8/94	6/8/94
TEST RUN #:	4 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	5 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	6 SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	10:05-11:25A	13:08-14:20P	14:40-15:50P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	129.7	131.1	129.1
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,763,800	1,658,598	1,702,218
scfm	1,575,032	1,477,581	1,516,490
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.3	12.4	12.6
average % O ₂	6.6	6.7	6.5

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	8.8	11.9
Emission Rate, lb/hr	148.4	186.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.026	0.036

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	196.1	208.6
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2661.2	2610.8
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.465	0.498

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	174.0	206.5	211.4
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2361.0	2583.4	2725.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.412	0.493	0.498

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	835.7	843.8	862.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8150.4	7587.4	7992.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.424	1.447	1.459

TABLE 17

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
SOURCE: FGD Outlet
OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/9/94	6/9/94	6/9/94
TEST RUN #:	7 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	8 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	9 SO ₂ /NO _x
TIME:	9:15-10:35A	11:30-12:45P	13:17-14:30P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	130.5	132.2	129.1
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,742,759	1,818,710	1,704,271
scfm	1,554,135	1,617,209	1,523,424
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.5	12.4	12.6
average % O ₂	6.6	6.6	6.6

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	9.4	16.0	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	157.0	280.9	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.028	0.048	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	185.1	290.3	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2473.5	4064.4	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.439	0.688	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	221.5	260.5	265.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2959.2	3647.4	3476.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.525	0.617	0.629

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	890.2	871.2	890.4
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8548.0	8767.5	8379.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.516	1.484	1.517

TABLE 18

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/13/94	6/13/94
TEST RUN #:	18 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	19 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x
TIME:	10:13-11:25A	12:34-13:55P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	129.0	130.0
Volumetric Flow		
acfm	1,857,198	1,833,079
scfm	1,660,405	1,636,064
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.6	12.5
average % O ₂	6.7	6.6

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	9.8	6.4
Emission Rate, lb/hr	175.6	111.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.029	0.019

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	113.5	123.2
Emission Rate, lb/hr	1624.8	1728.8
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.271	0.292

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	173.5	177.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2483.0	2494.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.414	0.421

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	826.2	825.3
Emission Rate, lb/hr	8498.4	8322.3
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.417	1.406

TABLE 19

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/27/94	6/27/94	6/27/94
TEST RUN #:	12 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	13 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	14 SO ₂
TIME:	10:27-12:10P	12:20-13:44P	14:13-15:37P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	132.7	134.2	134.7
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,613,951	1,576,489	1,770,984
scfm	1,449,303	1,412,089	1,584,968
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.4	12.5	12.6
average % O ₂	6.5	6.6	6.7

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	12.8	9.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	192.9	143.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.038	0.029

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	191.3	182.7
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2313.4	2152.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.450	0.433

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	185.4	187.4	194.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2241.1	2207.1	2618.1
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.436	0.444	0.464

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	834.8	852.5	847.6
Emission Rate, lb/hr	7252.9	7216.5	8200.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.412	1.452	1.454

TABLE 20

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/28/94	6/28/94	6/28/94
TEST RUN #:	15 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	16 SO ₂ /SO ₃ /NO _x	17 SO ₂
TIME:	10:55-12:05P	12:30-13:39P	14:00-15:10P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	132.8	133.6	124.7
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,678,612	1,719,153	1,743,272
scfm	1,494,620	1,528,655	1,573,696
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.5	12.6	12.6
average % O ₂	6.5	6.6	7.0

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	8.6	11.5	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	135.1	187.0	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.025	0.034	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	139.9	134.2	
Emission Rate, lb/hr	1765.0	1749.8	
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.329	0.318	

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	120.5	115.8	117.3
Emission Rate, lb/hr	1520.4	1509.9	1562.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.284	0.274	0.286

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	820.1	850.3	842.4
Emission Rate, lb/hr	7437.4	7968.7	8065.7
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.387	1.448	1.476

TABLE 21

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE: 6/29/94 6/29/94 6/29/94

TEST RUN #: 18 SO₂/SO₃/NO_x 19 SO₂/SO₃/NO_x 20 SO₂

TIME: 8:30-9:45A 10:20-11:37A 12:00-13:10A

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	134.1	133.5	131.1
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,734,035	1,710,942	1,738,141
scfm	1,538,015	1,519,066	1,552,075
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.5	12.5	12.5
average % O ₂	6.9	7.0	6.9

SULFUR TRIOXIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	11.7	10.0
Emission Rate, lb/hr	190.6	162.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.035	0.031

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 8

Concentration, ppm _v	169.9	183.9
Emission Rate, lb/hr	2223.7	2374.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.411	0.449

SULFUR DIOXIDE RM 6C

Concentration, ppm _v	149.5	155.4	161.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	1956.2	2005.5	2118.8
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.362	0.379	0.391

NITROGEN OXIDES RM 7E

Concentration, ppm _v	818.4	854.0	852.9
Emission Rate, lb/hr	7696.9	7921.6	8042.6
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	1.424	1.497	1.484

TABLE 22

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/7/94	6/7/94	6/7/94
TEST RUN #:	1 HCl/HF/Part	2 HCl/HF/Part	3 Part
TIME:	9:55-11:06A	12:20-13:44P	14:30-15:34P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	131.0	131.0	130.0
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,796,130	1,716,074	1,734,548
scfm	1,605,737	1,534,167	1,553,311
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.4	12.5	12.5
average % O ₂	6.5	6.3	6.3

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0045	0.0030	0.0059
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.637	0.435	0.850
Emission Rate, lb/hr	52.85	34.15	67.29
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.009	0.006	0.012

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	0.3	0.1
Emission Rate, lb/hr	1.0	0.5
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0002	0.0001

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 23

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/8/94	6/8/94	6/8/94
TEST RUN #:	4 HCl/HF/Part	5 HCl/HF/Part	6 Part
TIME:	10:05-11:25A	13:03-14:13P	14:38-15:50P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	128.6	128.9	129.1
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,705,810	1,758,155	1,702,218
scfm	1,520,981	1,566,855	1,516,490
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.5	12.5	12.6
average % O ₂	6.6	6.5	6.5

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0049	0.0063	0.0050
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.694	0.907	0.712
Emission Rate, lb/hr	54.36	73.26	55.35
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.010	0.013	0.010

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	0.1	0.1
Emission Rate, lb/hr	0.4	0.4
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0001	0.0001

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 24

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air
SOURCE: FGD Outlet
OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/9/94	6/9/94	6/9/94
TEST RUN #:	7 HCl/HF/Part	8 HCl/HF/Part	9 Part
TIME:	9:29-10:35A	11:27-12:55P	13:17-14:30P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	129.1	128.3	129.1
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,701,339	1,715,409	1,705,196
scfm	1,520,891	1,541,482	1,524,690
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.6	12.6	12.6
average % O ₂	6.6	6.7	6.6

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0048	0.0057	0.0052
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.686	0.825	0.745
Emission Rate, lb/hr	54.26	64.13	58.75
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.010	0.011	0.011

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm_v
Emission Rate, lb/hr
Emission Rate, lb/10⁶ BTU

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm_v
Emission Rate, lb/hr
Emission Rate, lb/10⁶ BTU

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 25

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/13/94	6/13/94
TEST RUN #:	10 HCl/HF/Part	11 HCl/HF/Part
TIME:	10:13-11:25A	12:34-13:40P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	133.8	137.0
Volumetric Flow		
acfm	1,751,483	1,667,835
scfm	1,558,960	1,476,549
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.6	12.5
average % O ₂	6.8	6.9

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0067	0.0029
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.951	0.415
Emission Rate, lb/hr	76.03	31.01
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.014	0.006

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	1.0	0.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	3.6	1.7
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0010	0.0003

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	1.0	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	0.2	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.0000	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 26

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/27/94	6/27/94	6/27/94
TEST RUN #:	12 HCl/HF/Part	13 HCl/HF/Part	14 Part
TIME:	10:17-11:43A	12:30-13:39P	14:13-15:37P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	132.2	131.8	134.7
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,775,090	1,799,722	1,770,984
scfm	1,595,348	1,618,580	1,584,968
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.5	12.6	12.6
average % O ₂	6.6	6.6	6.7

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0047	0.0045	0.0049
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.665	0.642	0.697
Emission Rate, lb/hr	54.01	52.90	56.58
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.010	0.009	0.010

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm _v	ND*	5.5
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	21.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	0.004

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm _v	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 27

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT:	Pure Air		
SOURCE:	FGD Outlet		
OPERATORS:	B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine		
TEST DATE:	6/28/94	6/28/94	6/28/94
TEST RUN #:	15 HCl/HF/Part	16 HCl/HF/Part	17 Part
TIME:	10:40-11:55A	12:36-13:45P	14:00-15:10P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	124.1	124.3	124.7
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,751,483	1,760,207	1,743,272
scfm	1,582,733	1,590,072	1,573,696
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.6	12.6	12.6
average % O ₂	7.0	7.0	7.0

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0068	0.0057	0.0062
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.965	0.825	0.892
Emission Rate, lb/hr	77.78	67.36	71.63
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.014	0.012	0.013

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm,	ND*	5.8
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	22.0
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	0.004

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm,	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	ND*

* ND = None Detected

TABLE 28

SUMMARY OF EMISSION TEST DATA

PLANT: Pure Air

SOURCE: FGD Outlet

OPERATORS: B. Byczynski, T. Roeser, G. Blaine

TEST DATE:	6/29/94	6/29/94	6/30/94
TEST RUN #:	18 HCl/HF/Part	19 HCl/HF/Part	20 Part
TIME:	8:30-930A	10:20-11:37A	12:00-13:10P

STACK GAS

Temperature, Average F°	129.3	131.0	131.1
Volumetric Flow			
acfm	1,763,286	1,781,761	1,738,141
scfm	1,579,338	1,591,295	1,552,075
Orsat, average % CO ₂	12.4	12.6	12.5
average % O ₂	6.9	7.0	6.9

PARTICULATE

Concentration, grains/dscf	0.0066	0.0066	0.0058
Concentration, x 10 ⁻⁶ lb/dscf	0.937	0.937	0.824
Emission Rate, lb/hr	75.76	75.89	65.19
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	0.014	0.014	0.012

HYDROGEN CHLORIDE

Concentration, ppm,	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	ND*

HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

Concentration ppm,	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/hr	ND*	ND*
Emission Rate, lb/10 ⁶ BTU	ND*	ND*

* ND = None Detected

SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

3.1 EXHAUST GAS PARAMETERS

3.1.1 Traverse and Sampling Points

The number of traverse and sampling points for the FGD Inlet and Outlet were determined using EPA Method 1. The FGD Inlet measured 408 inches by 160 inches. Four velocity traverse and sampling points were conducted in each of seven sampling ports, giving a total of twenty eight sampling points. The FGD Outlet diameter measured 396 inches. Three velocity traverse and sampling points were conducted in each of four sampling ports, giving a total of 12 points.

3.1.2 Velocity Traverse

A velocity traverse was performed during each Particulate, HCl, HF and SO₂ test in accordance with EPA Method 2. A "S" type Pitot Tube with an attached type "K" thermocouple was used to conduct the velocity traverse.

3.1.3. Gas Composition

Gas composition for oxygen, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen was determined employing EPA Method 3. An integrated gas sample was collected during each Particulate, HCl, HF and SO₂ test. Gas analysis was conducted using an Orsat analyzer.

3.1.4 Moisture Content

The exhaust gas moisture content was determined using EPA Method 4. Moisture content was measured during each of the Particulate, HCl, HF tests.

3.2 SO₂, NO_x (Instrumental Methods)

3.2.1 Sample Collection

A gas sample was drawn from the FGD Inlet and Outlet ducts through a heated stainless steel probe and transported to a Universal gas conditioner through a heated Teflon line. The gas conditioner removed the moisture from the gas stream and pumped a dry gas to the Rosemont Model, 921 NO_x Analyzer and Western Research Model 721A SO₂ analyzer through a Teflon sample line.

3.2.2 Sample Duration and Frequency

Three continuous samples lasting one hour in duration were taken for each test date.

3.2.3 Calibration and Zeroing

A calibration was performed before and after each test. Calibration gases used were USEPA Protocol 1 certified. A baseline zero was also determined at the same time the calibrations were conducted using zero air.

3.2.4 Data Reduction

The analyzer output was recorded on a data logger. The data logger stored readings every four seconds throughout the test. All data reduction was performed using the data from the data logger. The emission concentration in parts per million (ppm_v) were determined using a spreadsheet.

3.3 SO₂, SO₃ (Wet Chemistry Method)

3.3.1 Sampling Method

Determination of Sulphur Dioxide, Sulfur Trioxide emissions were determined using EPA Reference Method 8. The Method 8 sampling train consisted of the following components:

1. Appropriately sized glass nozzle
2. Sampling probe with heated glass liner
3. Five impingers placed in an insulated ice water bath in the following sequence:
 - A. Greenburg-Smith design containing 100 ml 80% isopropanol
 - B. Modified Greenburg-Smith containing 100 ml 3% hydrogen peroxide
 - C. Greenburg-Smith design containing 100 ml 3% hydrogen peroxide
 - D. Greenburg-Smith design empty
 - E. Silica gel trap
4. Sampling gas measuring train

3.3.2 Sample Duration and Frequency

The Method 8 train was collected in duplicate, with each outlet test lasting for one hour in duration, and each inlet test lasting for 70 minutes in duration. A minimum sample size of 30 dry standard cubic feet (dscf) was collected for each test.

3.3.3 Sample

Upon completion of each test the sampling train was removed from the stack. The sample probe was removed and the impingers purged with ambient air for approximately 20 minutes. The front 1/2 of the train, up to and including the first impinger, was rinsed with Isopropanol. These rinses were then placed into a labeled container. The contents of the second and third impingers were remeasured and rinsed with deionized, distilled water. These rinses were then placed into a labeled container and analyzed for SO₂. The fourth impinger, silica gel, was reweighed for moisture gain and discarded.

3.3.4 Analytical

The SO₂, SO₃ train analytical determinations were performed using the barium-thorin titration method. Two titrations that agree within 1 percent of each other were performed for each sample.

3.3.5 Blanks

Blanks for the Method 8 train was prepared by recovering a sample train in the same manner listed above. This sample was then analyzed in the same manner as the samples.

3.3.6 Calibration

All sampling equipment was calibrated according to the procedures outlined in EPA Reference Method 8.

3.4 PARTICULATE, HYDROGEN CHLORIDE, HYDROGEN FLUORIDE

3.4.1 Sample Collection

Particulate, HCl, and HF emissions were determined following the guidelines of USEPA Reference Method 5 combined with Method 26A. The Method 5/26A sampling train consisted of the following components.

1. Appropriately sized glass nozzle
2. Sample probe with heated glass liner
3. Heated glass fiber filter
4. Four impingers placed in an insulated ice water bath in the following sequence:
 - A. Greenburg-Smith design containing 100 ml H₂O
 - B. Modified Greenburg-Smith containing 100 ml H₂O
 - C. Greenburg-Smith design empty
 - D. Know amount of Silica gel
5. Sampling gas measuring system.

3.4.2 Sample Duration and Frequency

The Method 5/26A train was collected in triplicate with each outlet test lasting for sixty minutes in duration, and each inlet test lasting for 70 minutes in duration. A minimum sample size of 30 dry standard cubic feet (dscf) was collected for each test.

3.4.3 Sample Recovery

Upon completion of each test the sampling train was removed from the stack. The probe, nozzle, and front 1/2 of the filter holder were rinsed and brushed with acetone and placed into a labeled container. The filter was placed into a separate container. The impinger catches were placed into a labeled container along with the rinses of these impingers.

3.4.4 Analytical

The total particulate mass is determined by adding the weight of the particulate from the probe and front-half wash with the particulate on the filter.

The acetone wash containing the particulate from the probe wash and the front-half of the sample train is placed into a tared beaker, evaporated to dryness, desiccated for 24 hours, then weighed in 6 hour intervals to a constant weight. An acetone blank is also analyzed and subtracted from the particulate weight of the acetone wash.

The tared glass fiber filter is desiccated for 24 hours, then weighted every six hours to constant weight.

The HCl/HF determinations were made using an ion chromatograph with a PED-II conductivity detector.

3.4.5 Blanks

Blanks for the Method 5/26A train were prepared by recovering and analyzing a sample train in the same manner listed above.

3.4.6 Calibrations

All sampling equipment was calibrated according to the procedures outlined in EPA Reference Method 5/26A.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

APPENDIX A

METHOD 1

SAMPLE AND VELOCITY TRAVERSES FOR STATIONARY SOURCES

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

1. Principle and Applicability

1.1 Principle. To aid in the representative measurement of pollutant emissions and/or total volumetric flow rate from a stationary source, a measurement site where the effluent stream is flowing in a known direction is selected, and the cross-section of the stack is divided into a number of equal areas. A traverse point is then located within each of these equal areas.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable to flowing gas streams in ducts, stacks, and flues. The method cannot be used when: (1) flow is cyclonic or swirling (see Section 2.4), (2) a stack is smaller than about 0.30 meter (12 in.) in diameter, or 0.071 m² (113 in.²) cross-sectional area, or (3) the measurement site is less than two stack or duct diameters downstream or less than a half diameter upstream from a flow disturbance.

The requirements of this method must be considered before construction of a new facility from which emissions will be measured; failure to do so may require subsequent alterations to the stack or deviation from the standard procedure. Cases involving variants are subject to approval by the Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

2. Procedure

2.1 Selection of Measurement Site. Sampling or velocity measurement is performed at a site located at least eight stack or duct diameters downstream and two diameters upstream from any flow disturbance such as a bend, expansion, or contraction in the stack, or from a visible flame. If necessary,

an alternative location may be selected, at a position at least two stack or duct diameters downstream and a half diameter upstream from any flow disturbance. For a rectangular cross section, an equivalent diameter (D_e) shall be calculated from the following equation, to determine the upstream and downstream distances:

$$D_e = \frac{2LW}{(L+W)}$$

where L = length and W = width.

An alternative procedure is available for determining the acceptability of a measurement location not meeting the criteria above. This procedure, determination of gas flow angles at the sampling points and comparing the results with acceptability criteria, is described in Section 2.5.

2.2 Determining the Number of Traverse Points.

2.2.1 Particulate Traverses. When the eight- and two-diameter criterion can be met, the minimum number of traverse points shall be: (1) twelve, for circular or rectangular stacks with diameters (or equivalent diameters) greater than 0.61 meter (24 in.); (2) eight, for circular stacks with diameters between 0.30 and 0.61 meter (12-24 in.); (3) nine, for rectangular stacks with equivalent diameters between 0.30 and 0.61 meter (12-24 in.).

Figure 1-1. Minimum number of traverse points for particulate traverses.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

When the eight- and two-diameter criterion cannot be met, the minimum number of traverse points is determined from Figure 1-1. Before referring to the figure, however, determine the distances from the chosen measurement site to the nearest upstream and downstream disturbances, and divide each distance by the stack diameter or equivalent diameter, to determine the distance in terms of the number of duct diameters. Then, determine from Figure 1-1 the minimum number of traverse points that corresponds: (1) to the number of duct diameters upstream; and (2) to the number of diameters downstream. Select the higher of the two minimum numbers of traverse points, or a greater value, so that for circular stacks the number is a multiple of 4, and for rectangular stacks, the number is one of those shown in Table 1-1.

TABLE 1-1. CROSS-SECTION LAYOUT FOR RECTANGULAR STACKS

Number of traverse points	Matrix layout
9	3x3
12	4x3
16	4x4
20	5x4
25	5x5
30	6x5
36	6x6
42	7x6
49	7x7

2.2.2 Velocity (Non-Particulate) Traverses. When velocity or volumetric flow rate is to be determined (but not particulate matter), the same procedure as that for particulate traverses (Section 2.2.1) is followed, except that Figure 1-2 may be used instead of Figure 1-1.

2.3 Cross-sectional Layout and Location of Traverse Points.

2.3.1 Circular Stacks. Locate the traverse points on two perpendicular diameters according to Table 1-2 and the example shown in Figure 1-3. Any equation (for examples, see Citations 2 and 3 in the Bibliography) that gives the same values as those in Table 1-2 may be used in lieu of Table 1-2.

For particulate traverses, one of the diameters must be in a plane containing the greatest expected concentration variation, e.g., after bends, one diameter shall be in the plane of the bend. This requirement becomes less critical as the distance from the disturbance increases; therefore, other diameter locations may be used, subject to approval of the Administrator.

In addition for stacks having diameters greater than 0.61 m (24 in.) no traverse points shall be located within 2.5 centimeters (1.00 in.) of the stack walls; and for stack diameters equal to or less than 0.61 m (24 in.), no traverse points shall be located within 1.3 cm (0.50 in.) of the stack walls. To meet these criteria, observe the procedures given below.

Figure 1-2. Minimum number of traverse points for velocity (nonparticulate) traverses.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.3.1.1 Stacks With Diameters Greater Than 0.61 m (24 in.). When any of the traverse points as located in Section 2.3.1 fall within 2.5 cm (1.00 in.) of the stack walls, relocate them away from the stack walls to: (1) a distance of 2.5 cm (1.00 in.); or (2) a distance equal to the nozzle inside diameter, whichever is larger. These relocated traverse points (on each end of a diameter) shall be the "adjusted" traverse points.

Whenever two successive traverse points are combined to form a single adjusted traverse point, treat the adjusted point as two separate traverse points, both in the sampling (or velocity measurement) procedure, and in recording the data.

2.3.1.2 Stacks With Diameters Equal to or Less Than 0.61 m (24 in.). Follow the procedure in Section 2.3.1.1, noting only that any "adjusted" points should be relocated away from the stack walls to: (1) a distance of 1.3 cm (0.50 in.); or (2) a distance equal to the nozzle inside diameter, whichever is larger.

2.3.2 Rectangular Stacks. Determine the number of traverse points as explained in Sections 2.1 and 2.2 of this method. From Table 1-1, determine the grid configuration. Divide the stack cross-section into as many equal rectangular elemental areas as traverse points, and then locate a traverse point at the centroid of each equal area according to the example in Figure 1-4.

If the tester desires to use more than the minimum number of traverse points, expand the "minimum number of traverse points" matrix (see Table 1-1) by adding the extra traverse points along one or the other or both legs of the matrix; the final matrix need not be balanced. For example, if a 4x3 "minimum number of points" matrix were expanded to 36 points, the final matrix could be 9x4 or 12x3, and would not necessarily have to be 6x6. After constructing the

TRAVERSE POINT	DISTANCE, % of diameter
1	4.4
2	14.6
3	29.6
4	70.4
5	85.4
6	95.6

Figure 1-3. Example showing circular stack cross section divided into 12 equal areas, with location of traverse points indicated.

TABLE 1-2. LOCATION OF TRAVERSE POINTS IN CIRCULAR STACKS

[Percent of stack diameter from inside wall to traverse point]

Traverse point number on a diameter	Number of traverse points on a diameter—										
	2	4	5	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	24
1	14.6	6.7	4.4	3.2	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.1
2	85.4	25.0	14.6	10.5	8.2	6.7	5.7	4.9	4.4	3.9	3.5
3		75.0	29.6	19.4	14.6	11.8	9.9	8.5	7.5	6.7	5.5
4			93.3	32.3	22.5	17.7	14.6	12.5	10.9	9.7	7.9
5				85.4	67.7	34.2	25.0	20.1	16.9	14.6	10.5
6					95.6	80.6	65.8	35.6	26.9	22.0	16.5
7						89.5	77.4	64.4	38.6	28.3	20.4
8							96.8	85.4	75.0	63.4	29.6
9								91.8	82.3	73.1	30.6
10									97.4	88.2	79.9
11										93.3	85.4
12											97.9
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

final matrix, divide the stack cross-section into as many equal rectangular, elemental areas as traverse points, and locate a traverse point at the centroid of each equal area.

The situation of traverse points being too close to the stack walls is not expected to arise with rectangular stacks. If this problem should ever arise, the Administrator must be contacted for resolution of the matter.

2.4 Verification of Absence of Cyclonic Flow. In most stationary sources, the direction of stack gas flow is essentially parallel to the stack walls. However, cyclonic flow may exist (1) after such devices as cyclones and inertial demisters following venturi scrubbers, or (2) in stacks having tangential inlets or other duct configurations which tend to induce swirling; in these instances, the presence or absence of cyclonic flow at the sampling location must be determined. The following techniques are acceptable for this determination.

Figure 1.4. Example showing rectangular stack cross section divided into 12 equal areas, with a traverse point at centroid of each area.

Level and zero the manometer. Connect a Type S pitot tube to the manometer. Position the Type S pitot tube at each traverse point, in succession, so that the planes of the face openings of the pitot tube are perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane; when the Type S pitot tube is in this position, it is at "0° reference." Note the differential pressure (Δp) reading at each traverse point. If a null (zero) pitot reading is obtained at 0° reference at a given traverse point, an acceptable flow condition exists at that point. If the pitot reading is not zero at 0° reference, rotate the pitot tube (up to $\pm 90^\circ$ yaw angle), until a null reading is obtained. Carefully determine and record the value of the rotation angle (α) to the nearest degree. After the null technique has been applied at each traverse point, calculate the average of the absolute values of α ; assign α values of 0° to those points for which no rotation was required, and include these in the overall average. If the average value of α is greater than 20°, the overall flow condition in the stack is unacceptable

and alternative methodology, subject to the approval of the Administrator, must be used to perform accurate sample and velocity traverses.

The alternative procedure described in Section 2.5 may be used to determine the rotation angles in lieu of the procedure described above.

2.5 Alternative Measurement Site Selection Procedure. This alternative applies to sources where measurement locations are less than 2 equivalent stack or duct diameters downstream or less than $\frac{1}{2}$ duct diameter upstream from a flow disturbance. The alternative should be limited to ducts larger than 24 in. in diameter where blockage and wall effects are minimal. A directional flow-sensing probe is used to measure pitch and yaw angles of the gas flow at 40 or more traverse points; the resultant angle is calculated and compared with acceptable criteria for mean and standard deviation.

NOTE: Both the pitch and yaw angles are measured from a line passing through the traverse point and parallel to the stack axis. The pitch angle is the angle of the gas flow component in the plane that INCLUDES the traverse line and is parallel to the stack axis. The yaw angle is the angle of the gas flow component in the plane PERPENDICULAR to the traverse line at the traverse point and is measured from the line passing through the traverse point and parallel to the stack axis.

2.5.1 Apparatus.

2.5.1.1 Directional Probe. Any directional probe, such as United Sensor Type DA Three-Dimensional Directional Probe, capable of measuring both the pitch and yaw angles of gas flows is acceptable. (NOTE: Mention of trade name or specific products does not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.) Assign an identification number to the directional probe, and permanently mark or engrave the number on the body of the probe. The pressure holes of directional probes are susceptible to plugging when used in particulate-laden gas streams. Therefore, a system for cleaning the pressure holes by "back-purging" with pressurized air is required.

2.5.1.2 Differential Pressure Gauges. Inclined manometers, U-tube manometers, or other differential pressure gauges (e.g., magnehelic gauges) that meet the specifications described in Method 2, § 2.2.

NOTE: If the differential pressure gauge produces both negative and positive readings, then both negative and positive pressure readings shall be calibrated at a minimum of three points as specified in Method 2, § 2.2.

2.5.2 Traverse Points. Use a minimum of 40 traverse points for circular ducts and 42 points for rectangular ducts for the gas flow

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

angle determinations. Follow § 2.3 and Table 1-1 or 1-2 for the location and layout of the traverse points. If the measurement location is determined to be acceptable according to the criteria in this alternative procedure, use the same traverse point number and locations for sampling and velocity measurements.

2.5.3 Measurement Procedure.

2.5.3.1 Prepare the directional probe and differential pressure gauges as recommended by the manufacturer. Capillary tubing or surge tanks may be used to dampen pressure fluctuations. It is recommended, but not required, that a pretest leak check be conducted. To perform a leak check, pressurize or use suction on the impact opening until a reading of at least 7.6 cm (3 in.) H₂O registers on the differential pressure gauge, then plug the impact opening. The pressure of a leak-free system will remain stable for at least 15 seconds.

2.5.3.2 Level and zero the manometers. Since the manometer level and zero may drift because of vibrations and temperature changes, periodically check the level and zero during the traverse.

2.5.3.3 Position the probe at the appropriate locations in the gas stream, and rotate until zero deflection is indicated for the yaw angle pressure gauge. Determine and record the yaw angle. Record the pressure gauge readings for the pitch angle, and determine the pitch angle from the calibration curve. Repeat this procedure for each traverse point. Complete a "back-purge" of the pressure lines and the impact openings prior to measurements of each traverse point.

A post-test check as described in § 2.5.3.1 is required. If the criteria for a leak-free system are not met, repair the equipment, and repeat the flow angle measurements.

2.5.4 Calculate the resultant angle at each traverse point, the average resultant angle, and the standard deviation using the following equations. Complete the calculations retaining at least one extra significant figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round the values after the final calculations.

2.5.4.1 Calculate the resultant angle at each traverse point:

$$R_i = \arccos[(\cos Y_i)(\cos P_i)]$$

Eq. 1-2

Where:

R_i = Resultant angle at traverse point i, degree.

Y_i = Yaw angle at traverse point i, degree.

P_i = Pitch angle at traverse point i, degree.

2.5.4.2 Calculate the average resultant for the measurements:

$$R = \frac{\sum R_i}{n}$$

Eq. 1-3

Where:

R = Average resultant angle, degree.

n = Total number of traverse points.

2.5.4.3 Calculate the standard deviations:

$$S_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (R_i - R)^2}{(n-1)}}$$

Eq. 1-4

Where:

S_d = Standard deviation, degree.

2.5.5 The measurement location is acceptable if R < 20° and S_d < 10°.

2.5.6 Calibration. Use a flow system as described in Sections 4.1.2.1 and 4.1.2.2 of Method 2. In addition, the flow system shall have the capacity to generate two test-section velocities: one between 365 and 730 m/min (1200 and 2400 ft/min) and one between 730 and 1100 m/min (2400 and 3600 ft/min).

2.5.6.1 Cut two entry ports in the test section. The axes through the entry ports shall be perpendicular to each other and intersect in the centroid of the test section. The ports should be elongated slots parallel to the axis of the test section and of sufficient length to allow measurement of pitch angles while maintaining the pitot head position at the test-section centroid. To facilitate alignment of the directional probe during calibration, the test section should be constructed of plexiglass or some other transparent material. All calibration measurements should be made at the same point in the test section, preferably at the centroid of the test-section.

2.5.6.2 To ensure that the gas flow is parallel to the central axis of the test section, follow the procedure in Section 2.4 for cyclonic flow determination to measure the gas flow angles at the centroid of the test section from two test ports located 90° apart. The gas flow angle measured in each port must be ±2° of 0°. Straightening vanes should be installed, if necessary, to meet this criterion.

2.5.6.3 Pitch Angle Calibration. Perform a calibration traverse according to the manufacturer's recommended protocol in 5° increments for angles from -60° to +60° at one velocity in each of the two ranges specified above. Average the pressure ratio values obtained for each angle in the two

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

flow ranges, and plot a calibration curve with the average values of the pressure ratio (or other suitable measurement factor as recommended by the manufacturer) versus the pitch angle. Draw a smooth line through the data points. Plot also the data values for each traverse point. Determine the differences between the measured data values and the angle from the calibration curve at the same pressure ratio. The difference at each comparison must be within 2° for angles between 0° and 40° and within 3° for angles between 40° and 60°.

2.5.6.4 Yaw Angle Calibration. Mark the three-dimensional probe to allow the determination of the yaw position of the probe. This is usually a line extending the length of the probe and aligned with the impact opening. To determine the accuracy of measurements of the yaw angle, only the zero or null position need be calibrated as follows. Place the directional probe in the test section, and rotate the probe until the zero position is found. With a protractor or other angle measuring device, measure the angle indicated by the yaw angle indicator on the three-dimensional probe. This should be within 2° of 0°. Repeat this measurement for any other points along the length of the pitot where yaw angle measurements could be read in order to account for variations in the pitot markings used to indicate pitot head positions.

3. Bibliography

1. Determining Dust Concentration in a Gas Stream, ASME, Performance Test Code No. 27, New York, 1957.
2. Devorkin, Howard, et al. Air Pollution Source Testing Manual. Air Pollution Control District, Los Angeles, CA November 1963.
3. Methods for Determination of Velocity, Volume, Dust and Mist Content of Gases. Western Precipitation Division of Joy Manufacturing Co. Los Angeles, CA. Bulletin WP-50, 1968.
4. Standard Method for Sampling Stacks for Particulate Matter. In: 1971 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 23. ASTM Designation D-2928-71. Philadelphia, PA 1971.
5. Hanson, H. A., et al. Particulate Sampling Strategies for Large Power Plants Including Nonuniform Flow. USEPA, ORD, ESRL, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-600/2-76-170, June 1976.
6. Entropy Environmentalists, Inc. Determination of the Optimum Number of Sampling Points: An Analysis of Method 1 Criteria. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA Contract No. 68-01-3172, Task 7.
7. Hanson, H.A., R.J. Davini, J.K. Morgan, and A.A. Iversen. Particulate Sampling Strategies for Large Power Plants Including Nonuniform Flow. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. Publication No. EPA-600/2-76-170, June 1976, 350 p.
8. Brooks, E.F., and R.L. Williams. Flow and Gas Sampling Manual. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. Publication No. EPA-600/2-76-203, July 1976, 93 p.
9. Entropy Environmentalists, Inc. Traverse Point Study. EPA Contract No. 68-02-3172, June 1977, 19 p.
10. Brown, J. and K. Yu. Test Report: Particulate Sampling Strategy in Circular Ducts. Emission Measurement Branch, Emission Standards and Engineering Division. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC. 27711, July 31, 1980, 12 p.
11. Hawksley, P.G.W., S. Badzioch, and J.H. Blackett. Measurement of Solids in Flue Gases. Leatherhead, England, The British Coal Utilisation Research Association, 1961, p. 129-133.
12. Knapp, K.T. The Number of Sampling Points Needed for Representative Source Sampling. In: Proceedings of the Fourth National Conference on Energy and the Environment, Theodore, L., et al. (ed.), Dayton, Dayton Section of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, October 3-7, 1976, p. 563-568.
13. Smith, W.S. and D.J. Grove. A Proposed Extension of EPA Method 1 Criteria. "Pollution Engineering," XV (8):36-37, August 1983.
14. Gerhart, P.M. and M.J. Dorsey. Investigation of Field Test Procedures for Large Fans. University of Akron, Akron, OH. (EPRI Contract CS-1651), Final Report (RP-1649-5) December 1980.
15. Smith, W.S. and D.J. Grove. A New Look at Isokinetic Sampling—Theory and Applications. "Source Evaluation Society Newsletter," VIII (3):19-24, August 1983.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

APPENDIX B

METHOD 2

DETERMINATION OF STACK GAS VELOCITY AND VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE (TYPE S PITOT TUBE)

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

1. Principle and Applicability

1.1 Principle. The average gas velocity in a stack is determined from the gas density and from measurement of the average velocity head with a Type S (Stausscheibe or reverse type) pitot tube.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for measurement of the average velocity of a gas stream and for quantifying gas flow.

This procedure is not applicable at measurement sites which fail to meet the criteria of Method 1, Section 2.1. Also, the method cannot be used for direct measurement in cyclonic or swirling gas streams; Section 2.4 of Method 1 shows how to determine cyclonic or swirling flow conditions. When un-

acceptable conditions exist, alternative procedures, subject to the approval of the Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, must be employed to make accurate flow rate determinations; examples of such alternative procedures are: (1) to install straightening vanes; (2) to calculate the total volumetric flow rate stoichiometrically, or (3) to move to another measurement site at which the flow is acceptable.

2. Apparatus

Specifications for the apparatus are given below. Any other apparatus that has been demonstrated (subject to approval of the Administrator) to be capable of meeting the specifications will be considered acceptable.

Figure 2 1 Type S pitot tube manometer assembly.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.1 Type S Pitot Tube. The Type S pitot tube (Figure 2-1) shall be made of metal tubing (e.g., stainless steel). It is recommended that the external tubing diameter (dimension D , Figure 2-2b) be between 0.48 and 0.95 centimeter ($\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ inch). There shall be an equal distance from the base of each leg of the pitot tube to its face-opening plane (dimensions P_A and P_B , Figure 2-2b); it is recommended that this distance be be-

tween 1.05 and 1.50 times the external tubing diameter. The face openings of the pitot tube shall, preferably, be aligned as shown in Figure 2-2; however, slight misalignments of the openings are permissible (see Figure 2-3).

The Type S pitot tube shall have a known coefficient, determined as outlined in Section 4. An identification number shall be assigned to the pitot tube; this number shall be permanently marked or engraved on the body of the tube.

Figure 2-2. Properly constructed Type S pitot tube, shown in: (a) end view, face opening planes perpendicular to transverse axis; (b) top view, face opening planes parallel to longitudinal axis; (c) side view, both legs of equal length and centerlines coincident, when viewed from both sides. Baseline coefficient values of 0.84 may be assigned to pitot tubes constructed this way.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Figure 2-3. Types of face-opening misalignment that can result from field use or improper construction of Type S pitot tubes. These will not affect the baseline value of $C_p(s)$ so long as α_1 and $\alpha_2 < 10^\circ$, β_1 and $\beta_2 < 5^\circ$, γ_1 and $\gamma_2 < 0.32$ cm (1/8 in.) and $w < 0.08$ cm (1/32 in.) (citation 11 in Section 6).

A standard pitot tube may be used instead of a Type S, provided that it meets the specifications of Sections 2.7 and 4.2; note, however, that the static and impact pressure holes of standard pitot tubes are susceptible to plugging in particulate-laden gas streams. Therefore, whenever a standard pitot tube is used to perform a traverse, adequate proof must be furnished that the openings of the pitot tube have not plugged up during the traverse period; this can be done by taking a velocity head (Δp) reading at the final traverse point, cleaning out the impact and static holes of the standard

pitot tube by "back-purging" with pressurized air, and then taking another Δp reading. If the Δp readings made before and after the air purge are the same (± 5 percent), the traverse is acceptable. Otherwise, reject the run. Note that if Δp at the final traverse point is unsuitably low, another point may be selected. If "back-purging" at regular intervals is part of the procedure, then comparative Δp readings shall be taken, as above, for the last two back purges at which suitably high Δp readings are observed.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.2 Differential Pressure Gauge. An inclined manometer or equivalent device is used. Most sampling trains are equipped with a 10-in. (water column) inclined-vertical manometer, having 0.01-in. H₂O divisions on the 0-to 1-in. inclined scale, and 0.1-in. H₂O divisions on the 1- to 10-in. vertical scale. This type of manometer (or other gauge of equivalent sensitivity) is satisfactory for the measurement of Δp values as low as 1.3 mm (0.05 in.) H₂O. However, a differential pressure gauge of greater sensitivity shall be used (subject to the approval of the Administrator), if any of the following is found to be true: (1) the arithmetic average of all Δp readings at the traverse points in the stack is less than 1.3 mm (0.05 in.) H₂O; (2) for traverses of 12 or more points, more than 10 percent of the individual Δp readings are below 1.3 mm (0.05 in.) H₂O; (3) for traverses of fewer than 12 points, more than one Δp reading is below 1.3 mm (0.05 in.) H₂O. Citation 18 in Section 6 describes commercially available instrumentation for the measurement of low-range gas velocities.

As an alternative to criteria (1) through (3) above, the following calculation may be performed to determine the necessity of using a more sensitive differential pressure gauge:

$$T = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\Delta p_i} + K}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\Delta p_i}}$$

Where:

Δp = Individual velocity head reading at a traverse point, mm H₂O (in. H₂O).

n = Total number of traverse points.

K = 0.13 mm H₂O when metric units are used and 0.005 in. H₂O when English units are used.

If T is greater than 1.05, the velocity head data are unacceptable and a more sensitive differential pressure gauge must be used.

NOTE: If differential pressure gauges other than inclined manometers are used (e.g., magnehelic gauges), their calibration must be checked after each test series. To check the calibration of a differential pressure gauge, compare Δp readings of the gauge with those of a gauge-oil manometer at a minimum of three points, approximately representing the range of Δp values in the stack. If, at each point, the values of Δp as read by the differential pressure gauge and gauge-oil manometer agree to within 5 percent, the differential pressure gauge shall be considered to be in proper calibration. Otherwise, the test series shall either be voided, or procedures to adjust the meas-

ured Δp values and final results shall be used subject to the approval of the Administrator.

2.3 Temperature Gauge. A thermocouple, liquid-filled bulb thermometer, bimetallic thermometer, mercury-in-glass thermometer, or other gauge, capable of measuring temperature to within 1.5 percent of the minimum absolute stack temperature shall be used. The temperature gauge shall be attached to the pitot tube such that the sensor tip does not touch any metal; the gauge shall be in an interference-free arrangement with respect to the pitot tube face openings (see Figure 2-1 and also Figure 2-7 in Section 4). Alternate positions may be used if the pitot tube-temperature gauge system is calibrated according to the procedure of Section 4. Provided that a difference of not more than 1 percent in the average velocity measurement is introduced, the temperature gauge need not be attached to the pitot tube; this alternative is subject to the approval of the Administrator.

2.4 Pressure Probe and Gauge. A piezometer tube and mercury- or water-filled U-tube manometer capable of measuring stack pressure to within 2.5 mm (0.1 in.) Hg is used. The static tap of a standard type pitot tube or one leg of a Type S pitot tube with the face opening planes positioned parallel to the gas flow may also be used as the pressure probe.

2.5 Barometer. A mercury, aneroid, or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg) may be used. In many cases, the barometric reading may be obtained from a nearby National Weather Service station, in which case the station value (which is the absolute barometric pressure) shall be requested and an adjustment for elevation differences between the weather station and the sampling point shall be applied at a rate of minus 2.5 mm (0.1 in.) Hg per 30-meter (100 foot) elevation increase or vice-versa for elevation decrease.

2.6 Gas Density Determination Equipment. Method 3 equipment, if needed (see Section 3.6), to determine the stack gas dry molecular weight, and Reference Method 4 or Method 5 equipment for moisture content determination; other methods may be used subject to approval of the Administrator.

2.7 Calibration Pitot Tube. When calibration of the Type S pitot tube is necessary (see Section 4), a standard pitot tube is used as a reference. The standard pitot tube shall, preferably, have a known coefficient, obtained either (1) directly from the National Bureau of Standards, Route 270, Quince Orchard Road, Gaithersburg, Maryland, or (2) by calibration against another standard pitot tube with an NBS-traceable coefficient. Alternatively, a standard pitot tube

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

designed according to the criteria given in 2.7.1 through 2.7.5 below and illustrated in Figure 2-4 (see also Citations 7, 8, and 17 in Section 6) may be used. Pitot tubes designed according to these specifications will have baseline coefficients of about 0.99 ± 0.01 .

2.7.1 Hemispherical (shown in Figure 2-4), ellipsoidal, or conical tip.

2.7.2 A minimum of six diameters straight run (based upon D , the external diameter of the tube) between the tip and the static pressure holes.

2.7.3 A minimum of eight diameters straight run between the static pressure holes and the centerline of the external tube, following the 90 degree bend.

2.7.4 Static pressure holes of equal size (approximately $0.1 D$), equally spaced in a piezometer ring configuration.

2.7.5 Ninety degree bend, with curved or mitered junction.

2.8 Differential Pressure Gauge for Type S Pitot Tube Calibration. An inclined manometer or equivalent is used. If the single-velocity calibration technique is employed (see Section 4.1.2.3), the calibration differential pressure gauge shall be readable to the nearest $0.13 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ ($0.005 \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}$). For multivelocity calibrations, the gauge shall be readable to the nearest $0.13 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ ($0.005 \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}$) for Δp values between 1.3 and $25 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ (0.05 and $1.0 \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}$), and to the nearest $1.3 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ ($0.05 \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}$) for Δp values above $25 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ ($1.0 \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}$). A special, more sensitive gauge will be required to read Δp values below $1.3 \text{ mm H}_2\text{O}$ ($0.05 \text{ in. H}_2\text{O}$) (see Citation 18 in Section 6).

Figure 2-4. Standard pitot tube design specifications.

3. Procedure

3.1 Set up the apparatus as shown in Figure 2-1. Capillary tubing or surge tanks installed between the manometer and pitot tube may be used to dampen Δp fluctuations. It is recommended, but not required, that a pretest leak-check be conducted, as follows: (1) blow through the pitot impact opening until at least 7.6 cm (3 in.) H_2O velocity pressure registers on the manometer; then, close off the impact opening. The pressure shall remain stable for at least 15 seconds; (2) do the same for the static pressure side, except using suction to obtain the minimum of 7.6 cm (3 in.) H_2O . Other leak-check procedures, subject to the approval of the Administrator, may be used.

3.2 Level and zero the manometer. Because the manometer level and zero may

drift due to vibrations and temperature changes, make periodic checks during the traverse. Record all necessary data as shown in the example data sheet (Figure 2-5).

3.3 Measure the velocity head and temperature at the traverse points specified by Method 1. Ensure that the proper differential pressure gauge is being used for the range of Δp values encountered (see Section 2.2). If it is necessary to change to a more sensitive gauge, do so, and remeasure the Δp and temperature readings at each traverse point. Conduct a post-test leak-check (mandatory), as described in Section 3.1 above, to validate the traverse run.

3.4 Measure the static pressure in the stack. One reading is usually adequate.

3.5 Determine the atmospheric pressure.

The Almega Corporation

PLANT _____
DATE _____ RUN NO. _____
STACK DIAMETER OR DIMENSIONS, m(in.) _____
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE, mm Hg (in. Hg) _____
CROSS SECTIONAL AREA, m²(ft²) _____
OPERATORS _____
PITOT TUBE I.D. NO. _____
AVG. COEFFICIENT, C_p = _____
LAST DATE CALIBRATED _____

**SCHEMATIC OF STACK
CROSS SECTION**

[illegible]

Figure 2-5. Velocity traverse data.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

3.6 Determine the stack gas dry molecular weight. For combustion processes or processes that emit essentially CO_2 , O_2 , CO , and N_2 , use Method 3. For processes emitting essentially air, an analysis need not be conducted; use a dry molecular weight of 29.0. For other processes, other methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, must be used.

3.7 Obtain the moisture content from Reference Method 4 (or equivalent) or from Method 5.

3.8 Determine the cross-sectional area of the stack or duct at the sampling location. Whenever possible, physically measure the stack dimensions rather than using blueprints.

4. Calibration

4.1 Type S Pitot Tube. Before its initial use, carefully examine the Type S pitot tube in top, side, and end views to verify that the face openings of the tube are aligned within the specifications illustrated in Figure 2-2 or 2-3. The pitot tube shall not be used if it fails to meet these alignment specifications.

After verifying the face opening alignment, measure and record the following dimensions of the pitot tube: (a) the external tubing diameter (dimension D , Figure 2-2b); and (b) the base-to-opening plane distances (dimensions P_1 and P_2 , Figure 2-2b). If D is between 0.48 and 0.95 cm ($\frac{1}{8}$ and $\frac{3}{8}$ in.) and if P_1 and P_2 are equal and between 1.05 and 1.50 D , there are two possible options: (1) the pitot tube may be calibrated according to the procedure outlined in Sections 4.1.2 through 4.1.5 below, or (2) a baseline (isolated tube) coefficient value of 0.84 may be assigned to the pitot tube. Note, however, that if the pitot tube is part of an assembly, calibration may still be required, despite knowledge of the baseline coefficient value (see Section 4.1.1).

If D , P_1 , and P_2 are outside the specified limits, the pitot tube must be calibrated as outlined in 4.1.2 through 4.1.5 below.

4.1.1 Type S Pitot Tube Assemblies. During sample and velocity traverses, the isolated Type S pitot tube is not always used; in many instances, the pitot tube is used in combination with other source-sampling components (thermocouple, sampling probe, nozzle) as part of an "assembly." The presence of other sampling components can sometimes affect the baseline value of the Type S pitot tube coefficient (Citation 9 in Section 6); therefore an assigned (or otherwise known) baseline coefficient value may or may not be valid for a given assembly. The baseline and assembly coefficient values will be identical only when the relative placement of the components in the assembly is such that aerodynamic interference effects are eliminated. Figures 2-6 through 2-8 illustrate interference-free component arrangements for Type S pitot tubes having external tubing diameters between 0.48 and 0.95 cm ($\frac{1}{8}$ and $\frac{3}{8}$ in.). Type S pitot tube assemblies that fail to meet any or all of the specifications of Figures 2-6 through 2-8 shall be calibrated according to the procedure outlined in Sections 4.1.2 through 4.1.5 below, and prior to calibration, the values of the intercomponent spacings (pitot-nozzle, pitot-thermocouple, pitot-probe sheath) shall be measured and recorded.

NOTE: Do not use any Type S pitot tube assembly which is constructed such that the impact pressure opening plane of the pitot tube is below the entry plane of the nozzle (see Figure 2-6b).

4.1.2 Calibration Setup. If the Type S pitot tube is to be calibrated, one leg of the tube shall be permanently marked A, and the other, B. Calibration shall be done in a flow system having the following essential design features:

The Almega Corporation

A. BOTTOM VIEW; SHOWING MINIMUM PITOT-NOZZLE SEPARATION.

B. SIDE VIEW: TO PREVENT PITOT TUBE FROM INTERFERING WITH GAS FLOW STREAMLINES APPROACHING THE NOZZLE, THE IMPACT PRESSURE OPENING PLANE OF THE PITOT TUBE SHALL BE EVEN WITH OR ABOVE THE NOZZLE ENTRY PLANE.

Figure 2-6. Proper pitot tube-sampling nozzle configuration to prevent aerodynamic interference: buttonhook-type

nozzle: centers of nozzle and pitot opening aligned: D_1 between 0.48 and 0.95 cm ($\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{8}$ in.).

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Figure 2-7 Proper thermocouple placement to prevent interference;
 D_t between 0.48 and 0.95 cm (3/16 and 3/8 in.)

Figure 2-8. Minimum pitot-sample probe separation needed to prevent interference
 D_t between 0.48 and 0.95 cm (3/16 and 3/8 in.).

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

4.1.2.1 The flowing gas stream must be confined to a duct of definite cross-sectional area, either circular or rectangular. For circular cross-sections, the minimum duct diameter shall be 30.5 cm (12 in.); for rectangular cross-sections, the width (shorter side) shall be at least 25.4 cm (10 in.).

4.1.2.2 The cross-sectional area of the calibration duct must be constant over a distance of 10 or more duct diameters. For a rectangular cross-section, use an equivalent diameter, calculated from the following equation, to determine the number of duct diameters:

$$D_e = \frac{2LW}{(L+W)} \quad \text{Eq. 2-1}$$

Where:

D_e = Equivalent diameter

L = Length

W = Width

To ensure the presence of stable, fully developed flow patterns at the calibration site, or "test section," the site must be located at least eight diameters downstream and two diameters upstream from the nearest disturbances.

NOTE: The eight- and two-diameter criteria are not absolute; other test section locations may be used (subject to approval of the Administrator), provided that the flow at the test site is stable and demonstrably parallel to the duct axis.

4.1.2.3 The flow system shall have the capacity to generate a test-section velocity around 915 m/min (3,000 ft/min). This velocity must be constant with time to guarantee steady flow during calibration. Note that Type S pitot tube coefficients obtained by single-velocity calibration at 915 m/min (3,000 ft/min) will generally be valid to within ± 3 percent for the measurement of velocities above 305 m/min (1,000 ft/min) and to within ± 5 to 6 percent for the measurement of velocities between 180 and 305 m/min (600 and 1,000 ft/min). If a more precise correlation between C_p and velocity is desired, the flow system shall have the capacity to generate at least four distinct, time-invariant test-section velocities covering the velocity range from 180 to 1,525 m/min (600 to 5,000 ft/min), and calibration data shall be taken at regular velocity intervals over this range (see Citations 9 and 14 in Section 6 for details).

4.1.2.4 Two entry ports, one each for the standard and Type S pitot tubes, shall be cut in the test section; the standard pitot entry port shall be located slightly down-

stream of the Type S port, so that the standard and Type S impact openings will lie in the same cross-sectional plane during calibration. To facilitate alignment of the pitot tubes during calibration, it is advisable that the test section be constructed of plexiglas or some other transparent material.

4.1.3 Calibration Procedure. Note that this procedure is a general one and must not be used without first referring to the special considerations presented in Section 4.1.5. Note also that this procedure applies only to single-velocity calibration. To obtain calibration data for the A and B sides of the Type S pitot tube, proceed as follows:

4.1.3.1 Make sure that the manometer is properly filled and that the oil is free from contamination and is of the proper density. Inspect and leak-check all pitot lines; repair or replace if necessary.

4.1.3.2 Level and zero the manometer. Turn on the fan and allow the flow to stabilize. Seal the Type S entry port.

4.1.3.3 Ensure that the manometer is level and zeroed. Position the standard pitot tube at the calibration point (determined as outlined in Section 4.1.5.1), and align the tube so that its tip is pointed directly into the flow. Particular care should be taken in aligning the tube to avoid yaw and pitch angles. Make sure that the entry port surrounding the tube is properly sealed.

4.1.3.4 Read Δp_{std} and record its value in a data table similar to the one shown in Figure 2-9. Remove the standard pitot tube from the duct and disconnect it from the manometer. Seal the standard entry port.

4.1.3.5 Connect the Type S pitot tube to the manometer. Open the Type S entry port. Check the manometer level and zero. Insert and align the Type S pitot tube so that its A side impact opening is at the same point as was the standard pitot tube and is pointed directly into the flow. Make sure that the entry port surrounding the tube is properly sealed.

4.1.3.6 Read Δp_s and enter its value in the data table. Remove the Type S pitot tube from the duct and disconnect it from the manometer.

4.1.3.7 Repeat steps 4.1.3.3 through 4.1.3.6 above until three pairs of Δp readings have been obtained.

4.1.3.8 Repeat steps 4.1.3.3 through 4.1.3.7 above for the B side of the Type S pitot tube.

4.1.3.9 Perform calculations, as described in Section 4.1.4 below.

4.1.4 Calculations.

4.1.4.1 For each of the six pairs of Δp readings (i.e., three from side A and three from side B) obtained in Section 4.1.3 above, calculate the value of the Type S pitot tube coefficient as follows:

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PITOT TUBE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: _____ DATE: _____

CALIBRATED BY: _____

"A" SIDE CALIBRATION				
RUN NO.	ΔP_{std} cm H ₂ O (in. H ₂ O)	$\Delta P(s)$ cm H ₂ O (in. H ₂ O)	$C_p(s)$	DEVIATION $C_p(s) - \bar{C}_p(A)$
1				
2				
3				
			$\bar{C}_p$ (SIDE A)	

"B" SIDE CALIBRATION				
RUN NO.	ΔP_{std} cm H ₂ O (in. H ₂ O)	$\Delta P(s)$ cm H ₂ O (in. H ₂ O)	$C_p(s)$	DEVIATION $C_p(s) - \bar{C}_p(B)$
1				
2				
3				
			$\bar{C}_p$ (SIDE B)	

$$\text{AVERAGE DEVIATION} = \mu (A \text{ OR } B) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 |C_p(s) - \bar{C}_p(A \text{ OR } B)|}{3} \leftarrow \text{MUST BE } \leq 0.01$$

$$| \bar{C}_p (\text{SIDE A}) - \bar{C}_p (\text{SIDE B}) | \leftarrow \text{MUST BE } \leq 0.01$$

Figure 2-9. Pitot tube calibration data.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

$$C_{p, \text{ave}} = C_{p, \text{std}} \sqrt{\frac{\Delta p_{\text{ave}}}{\Delta p_{\text{std}}}}$$

Equation 2-2

Where:

$C_{p, \text{ave}}$ = Type S pitot tube coefficient

$C_{p, \text{std}}$ = Standard pitot tube coefficient: use 0.99 if the coefficient is unknown and the tube is designed according to the criteria of Sections 2.7.1 to 2.7.5 of this method.

Δp_{std} = Velocity head measured by the standard pitot tube, cm H₂O (in. H₂O)

Δp_{ave} = Velocity head measured by the Type S pitot tube, cm H₂O (in. H₂O)

4.1.4.2 Calculate $\bar{C}_p$ (side A), the mean A-side coefficient, and $\bar{C}_p$ (side B), the mean B-side coefficient; calculate the difference between these two average values.

4.1.4.3 Calculate the deviation of each of the three A-side values of $C_{p, \text{ave}}$ from $\bar{C}_p$ (side A), and the deviation of each B-side value of $C_{p, \text{ave}}$ from $\bar{C}_p$ (side B). Use the following equation:

$$\text{Deviation} = C_{p, \text{ave}} - \bar{C}_p (\text{A or B})$$

Equation 2-3

4.1.4.4 Calculate σ , the average deviation from the mean, for both the A and B sides of the pitot tube. Use the following equation:

$$\sigma (\text{side A or B}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 |C_{p, \text{ave}} - \bar{C}_p (\text{A or B})|}{3}$$

Equation 2-4

4.1.4.5 Use the Type S pitot tube only if the values of σ (side A) and σ (side B) are less than or equal to 0.01 and if the absolute value of the difference between $\bar{C}_p$ (A) and $\bar{C}_p$ (B) is 0.01 or less.

4.1.5 Special considerations.

4.1.5.1 Selection of calibration point.

4.1.5.1.1 When an isolated Type S pitot tube is calibrated, select a calibration point at or near the center of the duct, and follow the procedures outlined in Sections 4.1.3 and 4.1.4 above. The Type S pitot coefficients so obtained, i.e., $\bar{C}_p$ (side A) and $\bar{C}_p$ (side B), will be valid, so long as either: (1) the isolated pitot tube is used; or (2) the

pitot tube is used with other components (nozzle, thermocouple, sample probe) in an arrangement that is free from aerodynamic interference effects (see Figures 2-6 through 2-8).

4.1.5.1.2 For Type S pitot tube-thermocouple combinations (without sample probe), select a calibration point at or near the center of the duct, and follow the procedures outlined in Sections 4.1.3 and 4.1.4 above. The coefficients so obtained will be valid so long as the pitot tube-thermocouple combination is used by itself or with other components in an interference-free arrangement (Figures 2-6 and 2-8).

4.1.5.1.3 For assemblies with sample probes, the calibration point should be located at or near the center of the duct; however, insertion of a probe sheath into a small duct may cause significant cross-sectional area blockage and yield incorrect coefficient values (Citation 9 in Section 6). Therefore, to minimize the blockage effect, the calibration point may be a few inches off-center if necessary. The actual blockage effect will be negligible when the theoretical blockage, as determined by a projected-area model of the probe sheath, is 2 percent or less of the duct cross-sectional area for assemblies without external sheaths (Figure 2-10a), and 3 percent or less for assemblies with external sheaths (Figure 2-10b).

4.1.5.2 For those probe assemblies in which pitot tube-nozzle interference is a factor (i.e., those in which the pitot-nozzle separation distance fails to meet the specification illustrated in Figure 2-6a), the value of $C_{p, \text{ave}}$ depends upon the amount of free-space between the tube and nozzle, and therefore is a function of nozzle size. In these instances, separate calibrations shall be performed with each of the commonly used nozzle sizes in place. Note that the single-velocity calibration technique is acceptable for this purpose, even though the larger nozzle sizes (>0.635 cm or 1/4 in.) are not ordinarily used for isokinetic sampling at velocities around 915 m/min (3,000 ft/min), which is the calibration velocity; note also that it is not necessary to draw an isokinetic sample during calibration (see Citation 19 in Section 6).

4.1.5.3 For a probe assembly constructed such that its pitot tube is always used in the same orientation, only one side of the pitot tube need be calibrated (the side which will face the flow). The pitot tube must still meet the alignment specifications of Figure 2-2 or 2-3, however, and must have an average deviation (σ) value of 0.01 or less (see Section 4.1.4.4).

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

$$\text{ESTIMATED SHEATH BLOCKAGE (\%)} = \left[\frac{l \times W}{\text{DUCT AREA}} \right] \times 100$$

Figure 2-10. Projected-area models for typical pitot tube assemblies.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

4.1.6 Field Use and Recalibration.

4.1.6.1 Field Use.

4.1.6.1.1 When a Type S pitot tube (isolated tube or assembly) is used in the field, the appropriate coefficient value (whether assigned or obtained by calibration) shall be used to perform velocity calculations. For calibrated Type S pitot tubes, the A side coefficient shall be used when the A side of the tube faces the flow, and the B side coefficient shall be used when the B side faces the flow; alternatively, the arithmetic average of the A and B side coefficient values may be used, irrespective of which side faces the flow.

4.1.6.1.2 When a probe assembly is used to sample a small duct (12 to 36 in. in diameter), the probe sheath sometimes blocks a significant part of the duct cross-section, causing a reduction in the effective value of C_{pit} . Consult Citation 9 in Section 6 for details. Conventional pitot-sampling probe assemblies are not recommended for use in ducts having inside diameters smaller than 12 inches (Citation 16 in Section 6).

4.1.6.2 Recalibration.

4.1.6.2.1 Isolated Pitot Tubes. After each field use, the pitot tube shall be carefully reexamined in top, side, and end views. If the pitot face openings are still aligned within the specifications illustrated in Figure 2-2 or 2-3, it can be assumed that the baseline coefficient of the pitot tube has not changed. If, however, the tube has been damaged to the extent that it no longer meets the specifications of Figure 2-2 or 2-3, the damage shall either be repaired to restore proper alignment of the face openings or the tube shall be discarded.

4.1.6.2.2 Pitot Tube Assemblies. After each field use, check the face opening alignment of the pitot tube, as in Section 4.1.6.2.1; also, remeasure the intercomponent spacings of the assembly. If the intercomponent spacings have not changed and the face opening alignment is acceptable, it can be assumed that the coefficient of the assembly has not changed. If the face opening alignment is no longer within the specifications of Figures 2-2 or 2-3, either repair the damage or replace the pitot tube (calibrating the new assembly, if necessary). If the intercomponent spacings have changed, restore the original spacings or recalibrate the assembly.

4.2 Standard pitot tube (if applicable). If a standard pitot tube is used for the velocity traverse, the tube shall be constructed according to the criteria of Section 2.7 and shall be assigned a baseline coefficient value of 0.99. If the standard pitot tube is used as part of an assembly, the tube shall be in an interference-free arrangement (subject to the approval of the Administrator).

4.3 Temperature Gauges. After each field use, calibrate dial thermometers, liquid-filled bulb thermometers, thermocou-

ple-potentiometer systems, and other gauges at a temperature within 10 percent of the average absolute stack temperature. For temperatures up to 405° C (761° F), use an ASTM mercury-in-glass reference thermometer, or equivalent, as a reference; alternatively, either a reference thermocouple and potentiometer (calibrated by NBS) or thermometric fixed points, e.g., ice bath and boiling water (corrected for barometric pressure) may be used. For temperatures above 405° C (761° F), use an NBS-calibrated reference thermocouple-potentiometer system or an alternate reference, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

If, during calibration, the absolute temperatures measured with the gauge being calibrated and the reference gauge agree within 1.5 percent, the temperature data taken in the field shall be considered valid. Otherwise, the pollutant emission test shall either be considered invalid or adjustments (if appropriate) of the test results shall be made, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

4.4 Barometer. Calibrate the barometer used against a mercury barometer.

5. Calculations

Carry out calculations, retaining at least one extra decimal figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round off figures after final calculation.

5.1 Nomenclature.

A = Cross-sectional area of stack, m^2 (ft^2).

B_w = Water vapor in the gas stream (from Method 5 or Reference Method 4), proportion by volume.

C_p = Pitot tube coefficient, dimensionless.

K_p = Pitot tube constant.

$$34.97 \frac{m}{sec} \left[\frac{(g/g\text{-mole})(mm\ Hg)}{(^{\circ}K)(mm\ H_2O)} \right]^{1/2}$$

for the metric system and

$$85.49 \frac{ft}{sec} \left[\frac{(lb/lb\text{-mole})(in.\ Hg)}{(^{\circ}R)(in.\ H_2O)} \right]^{1/2}$$

for the English system.

M_d = Molecular weight of stack gas, dry basis (see Section 3.6) $g/g\text{-mole}$ ($lb/lb\text{-mole}$).

M_w = Molecular weight of stack gas, wet basis, $g/g\text{-mole}$ ($lb/lb\text{-mole}$).

$$= M_d (1 - B_w) + 18.0 B_w$$

Eq. 2-5

P_{bar} = Barometric pressure at measurement site, mm Hg (in. Hg).

P_s = Stack static pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).

P_t = Absolute stack gas pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).

$$= P_{bar} - P_s$$

The ALMEGA Corporation

Eq. 2-6

P_{std} = Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).

Q_{std} = Dry volumetric stack gas flow rate corrected to standard conditions, dscm/hr (dscf/hr).

t_s = Stack temperature, °C (°F).

T_s = Absolute stack temperature, °K (°R).

= 273 - t_s for metric.

Eq. 2-7

= 460 - t_s for English.

Eq. 2-8

T_{std} = Standard absolute temperature, 293 °K (528 °R).

v_s = Average stack gas velocity, m/sec (ft/sec).

Δh = Velocity head of stack gas, mm H₂O (in. H₂O).

3,600 = Conversion factor, sec/hr.

18.0 = Molecular weight of water, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

5.2 Average Stack Gas Velocity.

$$v_s = K_s C_s (\sqrt{\Delta p})_{std} \sqrt{\frac{T_{std}}{P_s M_s}}$$

Equation 2-9

5.3 Average Stack Gas Dry Volumetric Flow Rate.

$$Q_{std} = 3,600(1 - B_{ws})v_s A \frac{T_{std}}{T_s} \frac{P_s}{P_{std}}$$

Eq. 2-10

To convert Q_{std} from dscm/hr (dscf/hr) to dscm/min (dscf/min), divide Q_{std} by 60.

6. Bibliography

1. Mark, L. S. Mechanical Engineers' Handbook. New York. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc. 1951.
2. Perry, J. H. Chemical Engineers' Handbook. New York. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc. 1960.
3. Shigehara, R. T., W. P. Todd, and W. S. Smith. Significance of Errors in Stack Sampling Measurements. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC (Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, St. Louis, MO, June 14-19, 1970.)
4. Standard Method for Sampling Stacks for Particulate Matter. In: 1971 Book of ASTM Standards, Part 23. Philadelphia, PA 1971. ASTM Designation D-2928-71.
5. Vennard, J. K. Elementary Fluid Mechanics. New York. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1947.

6. Fluid Meters—Their Theory and Application. American Society of Mechanical Engineers. New York, NY 1959.

7. ASHRAE Handbook of Fundamentals. 1972. p. 208.

8. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Part 26. 1974. p. 648.

9. Vollaro, R. F. Guidelines for Type S Pitot Tube Calibration. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC (Presented at 1st Annual Meeting, Source Evaluation Society, Dayton, OH, September 18, 1975.)

10. Vollaro, R. F. A Type S Pitot Tube Calibration Study. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC July 1974.

11. Vollaro, R. F. The Effects of Impact Opening Misalignment on the Value of the Type S Pitot Tube Coefficient. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC, October 1976.

12. Vollaro, R. F. Establishment of a Baseline Coefficient Value for Properly Constructed Type S Pitot Tubes. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1976.

13. Vollaro, R. F. An Evaluation of Single-Velocity Calibration Technique as a Means of Determining Type S Pitot Tubes Coefficient. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC, August 1975.

14. Vollaro, R. F. The Use of Type S Pitot Tubes for the Measurement of Low Velocities. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1976.

15. Smith, Marvin L. Velocity Calibration of EPA Type Source Sampling Probe. United Technologies Corporation, Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division, East Hartford, CN. 1975.

16. Vollaro, R. F. Recommended Procedure for Sample Traverses in Ducts Smaller than 12 Inches in Diameter. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1976.

17. Ower, E. and R. C. Pankhurst. The Measurement of Air Flow, 4th Ed., London. Pergamon Press. 1966.

18. Vollaro, R. F. A Survey of Commercially Available Instrumentation for the Measurement of Low-Range Gas Velocities. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch, Research Triangle Park, NC, November 1976. (Unpublished Paper)

19. Gnyp, A. W., C. C. St. Pierre, D. S. Smith, D. Mozzon, and J. Steiner. An Experimental Investigation of the Effect of Pitot Tube-Sampling Probe Configurations on the Magnitude of the S Type Pitot Tube Coefficient for Commercially Available Source Sampling Probes. Prepared by the University of Windsor for the Ministry of the Environment, Toronto, Canada, February 1975.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

APPENDIX C

METHOD 3

**GAS ANALYSIS FOR
CARBON DIOXIDE, OXYGEN,
EXCESS AIR AND DRY MOLECULAR WEIGHT**

The Almega Corporation

1. Principle and Applicability

1.1 Principle. A gas sample is extracted from a stack, by one of the following methods: (1) single-point, grab sampling; (2) single-point, integrated sampling; or (3) multi-point, integrated sampling. The gas sample is analyzed for percent carbon dioxide (CO_2), percent oxygen (O_2), and, if necessary, percent carbon monoxide (CO). If a dry molecular weight determination is to be made, either an Orsat or a Fyrite¹ analyzer may be used for the analysis; for excess air or emission rate correction factor determination, an Orsat analyzer must be used.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for determining CO_2 and O_2 concentrations, excess air, and dry molecular weight of a sample from a gas stream of a fossil-fuel combustion process. The method may also be applicable to other processes where it has been determined that compounds other than CO_2 , O_2 , CO , and nitrogen (N_2) are not present in concentrations sufficient to affect the results.

Other methods, as well as modifications to the procedure described herein, are also applicable for some or all of the above determinations. Examples of specific methods and modifications include: (1) a multi-point sampling method using an Orsat analyzer to analyze individual grab samples obtained at each point; (2) a method using CO_2 or O_2 and stoichiometric calculations to determine dry molecular weight and excess air; (3) assigning a value of 30.0 for dry molecular

weight, in lieu of actual measurements, for processes burning natural gas, coal, or oil. These methods and modifications may be used, but are subject to the approval of the Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

2. Apparatus

As an alternative to the sampling apparatus and systems described herein, other sampling systems (e.g., liquid displacement) may be used provided such systems are capable of obtaining a representative sample and maintaining a constant sampling rate, and are otherwise capable of yielding acceptable results. Use of such systems is subject to the approval of the Administrator.

2.1 Grab Sampling (Figure 3-1).

2.1.1 Probe. The probe should be made of stainless steel or borosilicate glass tubing and should be equipped with an in-stack or out-stack filter to remove particulate matter (a plug of glass wool is satisfactory for this purpose). Any other materials inert to O_2 , CO_2 , CO , and N_2 and resistant to temperature at sampling conditions may be used for the probe; examples of such material are aluminum, copper, quartz glass and Teflon.

2.1.2 Pump. A one-way squeeze bulb, or equivalent, is used to transport the gas sample to the analyzer.

2.2 Integrated Sampling (Figure 3-2).

2.2.1 Probe. A probe such as that described in Section 2.1.1 is suitable.

Figure 3-1. Grab sampling train.

¹ Mention of trade names or specific products does not constitute endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.

Figure 3-2. Integrated gas-sampling train.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.2.2 Condenser. An air-cooled or water-cooled condenser, or other condenser that will not remove O_2 , CO_2 , CO , and N_2 , may be used to remove excess moisture which would interfere with the operation of the pump and flow meter.

2.2.3 Valve. A needle valve is used to adjust sample gas flow rate.

2.2.4 Pump. A leak-free, diaphragm-type pump, or equivalent, is used to transport sample gas to the flexible bag. Install a small surge tank between the pump and rate meter to eliminate the pulsation effect of the diaphragm pump on the rotameter.

2.2.5 Rate Meter. The rotameter, or equivalent rate meter, used should be capable of measuring flow rate to within ± 2 percent of the selected flow rate. A flow rate range of 500 to 1000 cm^3/min is suggested.

2.2.6 Flexible Bag. Any leak-free plastic (e.g., Tedlar, Mylar, Teflon) or plastic-coated aluminum (e.g., aluminized Mylar) bag, or equivalent, having a capacity consistent with the selected flow rate and time length of the test run, may be used. A capacity in the range of 55 to 90 liters is suggested.

To leak-check the bag, connect it to a water manometer and pressurize the bag to 5 to 10 cm H_2O (2 to 4 in. H_2O). Allow to stand for 10 minutes. Any displacement in the water manometer indicates a leak. An alternative leak-check method is to pressurize the bag to 5 to 10 cm H_2O (2 to 4 in. H_2O) and allow to stand overnight. A deflated bag indicates a leak.

2.2.7 Pressure Gauge. A water-filled U-tube manometer, or equivalent, of about 30 cm (12 in.) is used for the flexible bag leak-check.

2.2.8 Vacuum Gauge. A mercury manometer, or equivalent, of at least 760 mm Hg (30 in. Hg) is used for the sampling train leak-check.

2.3 Analysis. For Orsat and Fyrite analyzer maintenance and operation procedures, follow the instructions recommended by the manufacturer, unless otherwise specified herein.

2.3.1 Dry Molecular Weight Determination. An Orsat analyzer or Fyrite type combustion gas analyzer may be used.

2.3.2 Emission Rate Correction Factor or Excess Air Determination. An Orsat analyzer must be used. For low CO_2 (less than 4.0 percent) or high O_2 (greater than 15.0 percent) concentrations, the measuring burette of the Orsat must have at least 0.1 percent subdivisions.

3. Dry Molecular Weight Determination

Any of the three sampling and analytical procedures described below may be used for determining the dry molecular weight.

3.1 Single-Point, Grab Sampling and Analytical Procedure.

3.1.1 The sampling point in the duct shall either be at the centroid of the cross section or at a point no closer to the walls than 1.00 m (3.3 ft), unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

3.1.2 Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 3-1, making sure all connections ahead of the analyzer are tight and leak-free. If an Orsat analyzer is used, it is recommended that the analyzer be leak-checked by following the procedure in Section 5; however, the leak-check is optional.

3.1.3 Place the probe in the stack, with the tip of the probe positioned at the sampling point; purge the sampling line. Draw a sample into the analyzer and immediately analyze it for percent CO_2 and percent O_2 . Determine the percentage of the gas that is N_2 and CO by subtracting the sum of the percent CO_2 and percent O_2 from 100 percent. Calculate the dry molecular weight as indicated in Section 6.3.

3.1.4 Repeat the sampling, analysis, and calculation procedures, until the dry molecular weights of any three grab samples differ from their mean by no more than 0.3 g/g-mole (0.3 lb/lb-mole). Average these three molecular weights, and report the results to the nearest 0.1 g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

3.2 Single-Point, Integrated Sampling and Analytical Procedure.

3.2.1 The sampling point in the duct shall be located as specified in Section 3.1.1.

3.2.2 Leak-check (optional) the flexible bag as in Section 2.2.6. Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 3-2. Just prior to sampling, leak-check (optional) the train by placing a vacuum gauge at the condenser inlet, pulling a vacuum of at least 250 mm Hg (10 in. Hg), plugging the outlet at the quick disconnect, and then turning off the pump. The vacuum should remain stable for at least 0.5 minute. Evacuate the flexible bag. Connect the probe and place it in the stack, with the tip of the probe positioned at the sampling point; purge the sampling line. Next, connect the bag and make sure that all connections are tight and leak free.

3.2.3 Sample at a constant rate. The sampling run should be simultaneous with, and for the same total length of time as, the pollutant emission rate determination. Collection of at least 30 liters (1.00 ft^3) of sample gas is recommended; however, smaller volumes may be collected, if desired.

3.2.4 Obtain one integrated flue gas sample during each pollutant emission rate determination. Within 8 hours after the sample is taken, analyze it for percent CO_2 and percent O_2 , using either an Orsat analyzer or a Fyrite-type combustion gas analyzer. If an Orsat analyzer is used, it is recommended that the Orsat leak-check described in Section 5 be performed before this determination; however, the check is optional.

The Almega Corporation

Determine the percentage of the gas that is N_2 and CO by subtracting the sum of the percent CO_2 and percent O, from 100 percent. Calculate the dry molecular weight as indicated in Section 6.3.

3.2.5 Repeat the analysis and calculation procedures until the individual dry molecular weights for any three analyses differ from their mean by no more than 0.3 g/g-mole (0.3 lb/lb-mole). Average these three molecular weights, and report the results to the nearest 0.1 g/g-mole (0.1 lb/lb-mole).

3.3 Multi-Point, Integrated Sampling and Analytical Procedure.

3.3.1 Unless otherwise specified by the Administrator, a minimum of eight traverse points shall be used for circular stacks having diameters less than 0.61 m (24 in.), a minimum of nine shall be used for rectangular stacks having equivalent diameters less than 0.61 m (24 in.), and a minimum of twelve traverse points shall be used for all other cases. The traverse points shall be located according to Method 1. The use of fewer points is subject to approval of the Administrator.

3.3.2 Follow the procedures outlined in Sections 3.2.2 through 3.2.5, except for the following: traverse all sampling points and sample at each point for an equal length of time. Record sampling data as shown in Figure 3-3.

4. Emission Rate Correction Factor or Excess Air Determination

NOTE: A Fyrite-type combustion gas analyzer is not acceptable for excess air or emission rate correction factor determination, unless approved by the Administrator. If both percent CO₂ and percent O₂ are measured, the analytical results of any of the three procedures given below may also be used for calculating the dry molecular weight.

Each of the three procedures below shall be used only when specified in an applicable subpart of the standards. The use of these procedures for other purposes must have specific prior approval of the Administrator.

4.1 Single-Point, Grab Sampling and Analytical Procedure.

4.1.1 The sampling point in the duct shall either be at the centroid of the cross-section or at a point no closer to the walls than 1.00 m (3.3 ft), unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

4.1.2 Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 3-1, making sure all connections ahead of the analyzer are tight and leak-free. Leak-check the Orsat analyzer according to the procedure described in Section 5. This leak-check is mandatory.

FIGURE 3-3—SAMPLING RATE DATA

[illegible]

$$\% \text{ Dev.} = (Q - Q_{\text{avg}}) / Q_{\text{avg}} \cdot 100 \text{ (Must be } \leq 10\% \text{)}$$

4.1.3 Place the probe in the stack, with the tip of the probe positioned at the sampling point; purge the sampling line. Draw sample into the analyzer. For emission rate correction factor determination, immediately analyze the sample, as outlined in Sections 4.1.4 and 4.1.5, for percent CO_2 or percent O_2 . If excess air is desired, proceed as follows: (1) immediately analyze the sample, as in Sections 4.1.4 and 4.1.5, for percent CO_2 , O_2 , and CO ; (2) determine the percentage of the gas that is N_2 by subtracting the sum of the percent CO_2 , percent O_2 , and percent CO from 100 percent; and (3) calculate percent excess air as outlined in Section 6.2.

4.1.4 To insure complete absorption of the CO_2 , O_2 , or if applicable, CO , make repeated passes through each absorbing solution until two consecutive readings are the same. Several passes (three or four) should be made between readings. (If constant readings cannot be obtained after three consecutive readings, replace the absorbing solution.)

4.1.5 After the analysis is completed, leak-check (mandatory) the Orsat analyzer once again, as described in Section 5. For the results of the analysis to be valid, the Orsat analyzer must pass this leak test before and after the analysis.

NOTE: Since this single-point, grab sampling and analytical procedure is normally conducted in conjunction with a single-point, grab sampling and analytical procedure for a pollutant, only one analysis is ordinarily conducted. Therefore, great care must be taken to obtain a valid sample and analysis. Although in most cases only CO, or O₂ is required, it is recommended that both CO, and O₂ be measured, and that Section 4.4 be used to validate the analytical data.

4.2 Single-Point, Integrated Sampling and Analytical Procedure.

4.2.1 The sampling point in the duct shall be located as specified in Section 4.1.1.

4.2.2 Leak-check (mandatory) the flexible bag as in Section 2.2.6. Set up the equipment as shown in Figure 3-2. Just prior to sampling, leak-check (mandatory) the train

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

by placing a vacuum gauge at the condenser inlet, pulling a vacuum of at least 250 mm Hg (10 in. Hg), plugging the outlet at the quick disconnect, and then turning off the pump. The vacuum shall remain stable for at least 0.5 minute. Evacuate the flexible bag. Connect the probe and place it in the stack, with the tip of the probe positioned at the sampling point; purge the sampling line. Next, connect the bag and make sure that all connections are tight and leak free.

4.2.3 Sample at a constant rate, or as specified by the Administrator. The sampling run must be simultaneous with, and for the same total length of time as, the pollutant emission rate determination. Collect at least 30 liters (1.00 ft³) of sample gas. Smaller volumes may be collected, subject to approval of the Administrator.

4.2.4 Obtain one integrated flue gas sample during each pollutant emission rate determination. For emission rate correction factor determination, analyze the sample within 4 hours after it is taken for percent CO₂ or percent O₂ (as outlined in Sections 4.2.5 through 4.2.7). The Orsat analyzer must be leak-checked (see Section 5) before the analysis. If excess air is desired, proceed as follows: (1) within 4 hours after the sample is taken, analyze it (as in Sections 4.2.5 through 4.2.7) for percent CO₂, O₂, and CO; (2) determine the percentage of the gas that is N₂ by subtracting the sum of the percent CO₂, percent O₂, and percent CO from 100 percent; (3) calculate percent excess air, as outlined in Section 6.2.

4.2.5 To insure complete absorption of the CO₂, O₂, or if applicable, CO, make repeated passes through each absorbing solution until two consecutive readings are the same. Several passes (three or four) should be made between readings. (If constant readings cannot be obtained after three consecutive readings, replace the absorbing solution.)

4.2.6 Repeat the analysis until the following criteria are met:

4.2.6.1 For percent CO₂, repeat the analytical procedure until the results of any three analyses differ by no more than (a) 0.3 percent by volume when CO₂ is greater than 4.0 percent or (b) 0.2 percent by volume when CO₂ is less than or equal to 4.0 percent. Average the three acceptable values of percent CO₂ and report the results to the nearest 0.1 percent.

4.2.6.2 For percent O₂, repeat the analytical procedure until the results of any three analyses differ by no more than (a) 0.3 percent by volume when O₂ is less than 15.0 percent or (b) 0.2 percent by volume when O₂ is greater than or equal to 15.0 percent. Average the three acceptable values of percent O₂ and report the results to the nearest 0.1 percent.

4.2.6.3 For percent CO, repeat the analytical procedure until the results of any

three analyses differ by no more than 0.3 percent. Average the three acceptable values of percent CO and report the results to the nearest 0.1 percent.

4.2.7 After the analysis is completed, leak-check (mandatory) the Orsat analyzer once again, as described in Section 5. For the results of the analysis to be valid, the Orsat analyzer must pass this leak test before an after the analysis.

NOTE: Although in most instances only CO₂ or O₂ is required, it is recommended that both CO₂ and O₂ be measured, and that Section 4.4.1 be used to validate the analytical data.

4.3 Multi-Point, Integrated Sampling and Analytical Procedure.

4.3.1 Both the minimum number of sampling points and the sampling point location shall be as specified in Section 3.3.1 of this method. The use of fewer points than specified is subject to the approval of the Administrator.

4.3.2 Follow the procedures outlined in Sections 4.2.2 through 4.2.7, except for the following: Traverse all sampling points and sample at each point for an equal length of time. Record sampling data as shown in Figure 3-3.

4.4 Quality Control Procedures.

4.4.1 Data Validation When Both CO₂ and O₂ Are Measured. Although in most instances, only CO₂ or O₂ measurement is required, it is recommended that both CO₂ and O₂ be measured to provide a check on the quality of the data. The following quality control procedure is suggested.

NOTE: Since the method for validating the CO₂ and O₂ analyses is based on combustion of organic and fossil fuels and dilution of the gas stream with air, this method does not apply to sources that (1) remove CO₂ or O₂ through processes other than combustion, (2) add O₂ (e.g., oxygen enrichment) and N₂ in proportions different from that of air, (3) add CO₂ (e.g., cement or lime kilns), or (4) have no fuel factor. F_w values obtainable (e.g., extremely variable waste mixtures). This method validates the measured proportions of CO₂ and O₂ for the fuel type, but the method does not detect sample dilution resulting from leaks during or after sample collection. The method is applicable for samples collected downstream of most lime or limestone flue-gas desulfurization units as the CO₂ added or removed from the gas stream is not significant in relation to the total CO₂ concentration. The CO₂ concentrations from other types of scrubbers using only water or basic slurry can be significantly affected and would render the F_w check minimally useful.

4.4.1.1 Calculate a fuel factor, F_w , using the following equation:

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

$$F_s = \frac{20.9 - \%O_2}{\%CO_2}$$

Eq. 3-3

Where:

$\%O_2$ = Percent O_2 by volume (dry basis).

$\%CO_2$ = Percent CO_2 by volume (dry basis).

20.9 = Percent O_2 by volume in ambient air.

If CO is present in quantities measurable by this method, adjust the O_2 and CO_2 values before performing the calculation for F_s as follows:

$$\%CO_2(\text{adj}) = \%CO_2 - \%CO$$

$$\%O_2(\text{adj}) = \%O_2 - 0.5 \%CO$$

Where:

$\%CO$ = Percent CO by volume (dry basis).

4.4.1.2 Compare the calculated F_s factor with the expected F_s values. The following table may be used in establishing acceptable ranges for the expected F_s if the fuel being burned is known. When fuels are burned in combination, calculate the combined fuel F_s and F_e factors (as defined in Method 19) according to the procedure in Method 19 Section 5.2.3. Then calculate the F_s factor as follows:

$$F_s = \frac{0.209 F_e}{F_e}$$

Eq. 3-4

Fuel type	F_s range
Coal:	
Anthracite and lignite.....	1.016-1.130
Bituminous.....	1.083-1.230
Oil:	
Distillate.....	1.260-1.413
Residual.....	1.210-1.370
Gas:	
Natural.....	1.600-1.836
Propane.....	1.434-1.586
Butane.....	1.405-1.553
Wood.....	1.000-1.120
Wood bark.....	1.003-1.130

Calculated F_s values beyond the acceptable ranges shown in this table should be investigated before accepting the test results. For example, the strength of the solutions in the gas analyzer and the analyzing technique should be checked by sampling and analyzing a known concentration, such as air; the fuel factor should be reviewed and verified. An acceptability range of ± 12 percent is appropriate for the F_s factor of mixed fuels with variable fuel ratios. The level of the emission rate relative to the

compliance level should be considered in determining if a retest is appropriate, i.e., if the measured emissions are much lower or much greater than the compliance limit, repetition of the test would not significantly change the compliance status of the source and would be unnecessarily time-consuming and costly.

5. Leak-Check Procedure for Orsat Analyzers

Moving an Orsat analyzer frequently causes it to leak. Therefore, an Orsat analyzer should be thoroughly leak-checked on site before the flue gas sample is introduced into it. The procedure for leak-checking an Orsat analyzer is:

5.1 Bring the liquid level in each pipette up to the reference mark on the capillary tubing and then close the pipette stopcock.

5.2 Raise the leveling bulb sufficiently to bring the confining liquid meniscus onto the graduated portion of the burette and then close the manifold stopcock.

5.3 Record the meniscus position.

5.4 Observe the meniscus in the burette and the liquid level in the pipette for movement over the next 4 minutes.

5.5 For the Orsat analyzer to pass the leak-check, two conditions must be met.

5.5.1 The liquid level in each pipette must not fall below the bottom of the capillary tubing during this 4-minute interval.

5.5.2 The meniscus in the burette must not change by more than 0.2 ml during this 4-minute interval.

5.6 If the analyzer fails the leak-check procedure, all rubber connections and stopcocks should be checked until the cause of the leak is identified. Leaking stopcocks must be disassembled, cleaned, and re-greased. Leaking rubber connections must be replaced. After the analyzer is reassembled, the leak-check procedure must be repeated.

6. Calculations

6.1 Nomenclature.

M_e = Dry molecular weight, g/g-mole (lb/lb-mole).

$\%EA$ = Percent excess air.

$\%CO_2$ = Percent CO_2 by volume (dry basis).

$\%O_2$ = Percent O_2 by volume (dry basis).

$\%CO$ = Percent CO by volume (dry basis).

$\%N_2$ = Percent N_2 by volume (dry basis).

0.264 = Ratio of O_2 to N_2 in air, v/v.

0.280 = Molecular weight of N_2 or CO , divided by 100.

0.320 = Molecular weight of O_2 , divided by 100.

0.440 = Molecular weight of CO_2 , divided by 100.

6.2 Percent Excess Air. Calculate the percent excess air (if applicable), by substituting the appropriate values of percent O_2 , CO_2 , and N_2 (obtained from Section 4.1.3 or 4.2.4) into Equation 3-1.

The Almega Corporation

$$\%EA = \frac{\%O_2 - 0.5\% CO}{0.264 \%N_2 - (\%O_2 - 0.5 \%CO)}$$

Eq. 3-1

NOTE: The equation above assumes that ambient air is used as the source of O_2 and that the fuel does not contain appreciable amounts of N_2 (as do coke oven or blast furnace gases). For those cases when appreciable amounts of N_2 are present (coal, oil, and natural gas do not contain appreciable amounts of N_2) or when oxygen enrichment is used, alternate methods, subject to approval of the Administrator, are required.

6.3 Dry Molecular Weight. Use Equation 3-2 to calculate the dry molecular weight of the stack gas

$$M_d = 0.440(\%CO_2) + 0.320(\%O_2) + 0.280(\%N_2 + \%CO)$$

Eq. 3-2

NOTE: The above equation does not consider argon in air (about 0.9 percent, molecular weight of 37.7). A negative error of about 0.4 percent is introduced. The tester may opt to include argon in the analysis using procedures subject to approval of the Administrator.

7. Bibliography

1. Altshuller, A. P. Storage of Gases and Vapors in Plastic Bags. *International Journal of Air and Water Pollution*. 6:75-81. 1963.
2. Conner, William D. and J. S. Nader. Air Sampling with Plastic Bags. *Journal of the American Industrial Hygiene Association*. 25:291-297. 1964.
3. Burrell Manual for Gas Analysts, Seventh edition. Burrell Corporation, 2223 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15219. 1951.
4. Mitchell, W. J. and M. R. Midgett. Field Reliability of the Orsat Analyzer. *Journal of Air Pollution Control Association* 26:491-495. May 1976.
5. Shigehara, R. T., R. M. Neulicht, and W. S. Smith. Validating Orsat Analysis Data from Fossil Fuel-Fired Units. *Stack Sampling News*. 4(2):21-26. August, 1976.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

APPENDIX D

METHOD 4

DETERMINATION OF MOISTURE CONTENT IN STACK GASES

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

1. Principle and Applicability

1.1 Principle. A gas sample is extracted at a constant rate from the source; moisture is removed from the sample stream and determined either volumetrically or gravimetrically.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for determining the moisture content of stack gas.

Two procedures are given. The first is a reference method, for accurate determinations of moisture content (such as are needed to calculate emission data). The second is an approximation method, which provides estimates of percent moisture to aid in setting isokinetic sampling rates prior to a pollutant emission measurement run. The approximation method described herein is only a suggested approach; alternative means for approximating the moisture content, e.g., drying tubes, wet bulb-dry bulb techniques, condensation techniques, stoichiometric calculations, previous experience, etc., are also acceptable.

The reference method is often conducted simultaneously with a pollutant emission measurement run; when it is, calculation of percent isokinetic, pollutant emission rate, etc., for the run shall be based upon the results of the reference method or its equivalent; these calculations shall not be based upon the results of the approximation method, unless the approximation method is shown, to the satisfaction of the Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, to be capable of yielding results within 1 percent H₂O of the reference method.

NOTE: The reference method may yield questionable results when applied to saturated gas streams or to streams that contain water droplets. Therefore, when these conditions exist or are suspected, a second determination of the moisture content shall be made simultaneously with the reference method, as follows: Assume that the gas stream is saturated. Attach a temperature sensor [capable of measuring to $\pm 1^\circ \text{C}$ (2°F)] to the reference method probe. Measure the stack gas temperature at each traverse point (see Section 2.2.1) during the reference method traverse; calculate the average stack gas temperature. Next, determine the moisture percentage, either by: (1) using a psychrometric chart and making appropriate corrections if stack pressure is different from that of the chart, or (2) using saturation vapor pressure tables. In cases where the psychrometric chart or the saturation vapor pressure tables are not applicable (based on evaluation of the process), alternate methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, shall be used.

2. Reference Method

The procedure described in Method 5 for determining moisture content is acceptable as a reference method.

2.1 Apparatus. A schematic of the sampling train used in this reference method is shown in Figure 4-1. All components shall be maintained and calibrated according to the procedure outlined in Method 5.

Figure 4-1. Moisture sampling train-reference method

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.1.1 Probe. The probe is constructed of stainless steel or glass tubing, sufficiently heated to prevent water condensation, and is equipped with a filter, either in-stack (e.g., a plug of glass wool inserted into the end of the probe) or heated out-stack (e.g., as described in Method 5), to remove particular matter.

When stack conditions permit, other metals or plastic tubing may be used for the probe, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

2.1.2 Condenser. The condenser consists of four impingers connected in series with ground glass, leak-free fittings or any similarly leak-free non-contaminating fittings. The first, third, and fourth impingers shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design, modified by replacing the tip with a 1.3 centimeter ($\frac{1}{2}$ inch) ID glass tube extending to about 1.3 cm ($\frac{1}{2}$ in.) from the bottom of the flask. The second impinger shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design with the standard tip. Modifications (e.g., using flexible connections between the impingers, using materials other than glass, or using flexible vacuum lines to connect the filter holder to the condenser) may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

The first two impingers shall contain known volumes of water, the third shall be empty, and the fourth shall contain a known weight of 6- to 18-mesh indicating type silica gel, or equivalent desiccant. If the silica gel has been previously used, dry at 175° C (350° F) for 2 hours. New silica gel may be used as received. A thermometer, capable of measuring temperature to within 1° C (2° F), shall be placed at the outlet of the fourth impinger, for monitoring purposes.

Alternatively, any system may be used (subject to the approval of the Administrator) that cools the sample gas stream and allows measurement of both the water that has been condensed and the moisture leaving the condenser, each to within 1 ml or 1 g. Acceptable means are to measure the condensed water, either gravimetrically or volumetrically, and to measure the moisture leaving the condenser by: (1) monitoring the temperature and pressure at the exit of the condenser and using Dalton's law of partial pressures, or (2) passing the sample gas stream through a tared silica gel (or equivalent desiccant) trap, with exit gases kept below 20° C (68° F), and determining the weight gain.

If means other than silica gel are used to determine the amount of moisture leaving the condenser, it is recommended that silica gel (or equivalent) still be used between the condenser system and pump, to prevent moisture condensation in the pump and metering devices and to avoid the need to make corrections for moisture in the metered volume.

2.1.3 Cooling System. An ice bath container and crushed ice (or equivalent) are used to aid in condensing moisture.

2.1.4 Metering System. This system includes a vacuum gauge, leak-free pump, thermometers capable of measuring temperature to within 3° C (5.4° F), dry gas meter capable of measuring volume to within 2 percent, and related equipment as shown in Figure 4-1. Other metering systems, capable of maintaining a constant sampling rate and determining sample gas volume, may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

2.1.5 Barometer. Mercury, aneroid, or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg) may be used. In many cases, the barometric reading may be obtained from a nearby National Weather Service station, in which case the station value (which is the absolute barometric pressure) shall be requested and an adjustment for elevation differences between the weather station and the sampling point shall be applied at a rate of minus 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg) per 30 m (100 ft) elevation increase or vice versa for elevation decrease.

2.1.6 Graduated Cylinder and/or Balance. These items are used to measure condensed water and moisture caught in the silica gel to within 1 ml or 0.5 g. Graduated cylinders shall have subdivisions no greater than 2 ml. Most laboratory balances are capable of weighing to the nearest 0.5 g or less. These balances are suitable for use here.

2.2 Procedure. The following procedure is written for a condenser system (such as the impinger system described in Section 2.1.2) incorporating volumetric analysis to measure the condensed moisture, and silica gel and gravimetric analysis to measure the moisture leaving the condenser.

2.2.1 Unless otherwise specified by the Administrator, a minimum of eight traverse points shall be used for circular stacks having diameters less than 0.61 m (24 in.), a minimum of nine points shall be used for rectangular stacks having equivalent diameters less than 0.61 m (24 in.), and a minimum of twelve traverse points shall be used in all other cases. The traverse points shall be located according to Method 1. The use of fewer points is subject to the approval of the Administrator. Select a suitable probe and probe length such that all traverse points can be sampled. Consider sampling from opposite sides of the stack (four total sampling ports) for large stacks, to permit use of shorter probe lengths. Mark the probe with heat resistant tape or by some other method to denote the proper distance into the stack or duct for each sampling point. Place known volumes of water in the first two impingers. Weigh and record the

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

weight of the silica gel to the nearest 0.5 g. and transfer the silica gel to the fourth impinger; alternatively, the silica gel may first be transferred to the impinger, and the weight of the silica gel plus impinger recorded.

2.2.2 Select a total sampling time such that a minimum total gas volume of 0.60 scm (21 scf) will be collected, at a rate no greater than 0.021 m³/min (0.75 cfm). When both moisture content and pollutant emission rate are to be determined, the moisture determination shall be simultaneous with, and for the same total length of time as, the pollutant emission rate run, unless otherwise specified in an applicable subpart of the standards.

2.2.3 Set up the sampling train as shown in Figure 4-1. Turn on the probe heater and (if applicable) the filter heating system to temperatures of about 120° C (248° F), to prevent water condensation ahead of the condenser; allow time for the temperatures to stabilize. Place crushed ice in the ice bath container. It is recommended, but not required, that a leak check be done, as follows: Disconnect the probe from the first impinger or (if applicable) from the filter holder. Plug the inlet to the first impinger (or filter holder) and pull a 380 mm (15 in.) Hg vacuum; a lower vacuum may be used, provided that it is not exceeded during the test. A leakage rate in excess of 4 percent of the average sampling rate or 0.00057 m³/min (0.02 cfm), whichever is less, is unacceptable. Following the leak check, reconnect the probe to the sampling train.

2.2.4 During the sampling run, maintain a sampling rate within 10 percent of constant rate, or as specified by the Administrator. For each run, record the data re-

quired on the example data sheet shown in Figure 4-2. Be sure to record the dry gas meter reading at the beginning and end of each sampling time increment and whenever sampling is halted. Take other appropriate readings at each sample point, at least once during each time increment.

2.2.5 To begin sampling, position the probe tip at the first traverse point. Immediately start the pump and adjust the flow to the desired rate. Traverse the cross section, sampling at each traverse point for an equal length of time. Add more ice and, if necessary, salt to maintain a temperature of less 20° C (68° F) at the silica gel outlet.

2.2.6 After collecting the sample, disconnect the probe from the filter holder (or from the first impinger) and conduct a leak check (mandatory) as described in Section 2.2.3. Record the leak rate. If the leakage rate exceeds the allowable rate, the tester shall either reject the test results or shall correct the sample volume as in Section 6.3 of Method 5. Next, measure the volume of the moisture condensed to the nearest ml. Determine the increase in weight of the silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.5 g. Record this information (see example data sheet, Figure 4-3) and calculate the moisture percentage, as described in 2.3 below.

2.2.7 A quality control check of the volume metering system at the field site is suggested before collecting the sample following the procedure in Method 5, Section 4.4

2.3 Calculations. Carry out the following calculations, retaining at least one extra decimal figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round off figures after final calculation.

FIGURE 4-2—FIELD MOISTURE DETERMINATION REFERENCE METHOD

Plant.....
 Location.....
 Operator.....
 Date.....
 Run No.
 Ambient temperature.....
 Barometric pressure.....
 Probe length m (ft).....

SCHEMATIC OF STACK CROSS SECTION

Traverse point number	Sampling time (θ), min.	Stack temperature °C (°F)	Pressure differential across orifice meter ΔH mm (in.) H_2O	Meter reading gas sample volume m^3 (ft^3)	ΔV_m m^3 (ft^3)	Gas sample temperature at dry gas meter		Temperature of gas leaving condenser or last impinger °C (°F)
						Inlet ($T_{m,i}$), °C (°F)	Outlet ($T_{m,o}$), °C (°F)	
Total Average					Avg		Avg	Avg

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

FIGURE 4-3—ANALYTICAL DATA—REFERENCE METHOD

	Impinger volume, ml	Silica gel weight, g
Final.....		
Initial.....		
Difference.....		

2.3.1 Nomenclature.

B_m = Proportion of water vapor, by volume, in the gas stream.

M_w = Molecular weight of water, 18.0 g/g-mole (18.0 lb/lb-mole).

P_m = Absolute pressure (for this method, same as barometric pressure) at the dry gas meter, mm Hg (in. Hg).

P_{std} = Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).

R = Ideal gas constant, 0.06236 (mm Hg) (m³)/(g-mole) (°K) for metric units and 21.85 (in. Hg) (ft³)/(lb-mole) (°R) for English units.

T_m = Absolute temperature at meter, °K (°R).

T_{std} = Standard absolute temperature, 293°K (528°R).

V_m = Dry gas volume measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dcf).

ΔV_m = Incremental dry gas volume measured by dry gas meter at each traverse point, dcm (dcf).

$V_{m(Std)}$ = Dry gas volume measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dscm (dscf).

$V_{w(Std)}$ = Volume of water vapor condensed corrected to standard conditions, scm (scf).

$V_{wsg(Std)}$ = Volume of water vapor collected in silica gel corrected to standard conditions, scm (scf).

V_f = Final volume of condenser water, ml.

V_i = Initial volume, if any, of condenser water, ml.

W_f = Final weight of silica gel or silica gel plus impinger, g.

W_i = Initial weight of silica gel or silica gel plus impinger, g.

Y = Dry gas meter calibration factor.

ρ_w = Density of water, 0.9982 g/ml (0.002201 lb/ml).

2.3.2 Volume of Water Vapor Condensed.

$$V_{w(Std)} = \frac{(V_f - V_i) \rho_w R T_{std}}{P_{std} M_w}$$

$$= K_1 (V_f - V_i)$$

Eq. 4-1

Where:

$K_1 = 0.001333 \text{ m}^3/\text{ml}$ for metric units

$= 0.04707 \text{ ft}^3/\text{ml}$ for English units

2.3.3 Volume of Water Vapor Collected in Silica Gel.

$$V_{wsg(Std)} = \frac{(W_f - W_i) R T_{std}}{P_{std} M_w}$$

$$= K_2 (W_f - W_i)$$

Eq. 4-2

Where:

$K_2 = 0.001335 \text{ m}^3/\text{g}$ for metric units

$= 0.04715 \text{ ft}^3/\text{g}$ for English units

2.3.4 Sample Gas Volume.

$$V_{m(Std)} = V_m Y \frac{(P_m)(T_{std})}{(P_{std})(T_m)}$$

$$= K_3 Y \frac{V_m P_m}{T_m}$$

Eq. 4-3

Where:

$K_3 = 0.3858 \text{ °K/mm Hg}$ for metric units

$= 17.64 \text{ °R/in. Hg}$ for English units

NOTE: If the post-test leak rate (Section 2.2.6) exceeds the allowable rate, correct the value of V_m in Equation 4-3, as described in Section 6.3 of Method 5.

2.3.5 Moisture Content.

$$B_m = \frac{V_{w(Std)} + V_{wsg(Std)}}{V_{w(Std)} + V_{wsg(Std)} + V_{m(Std)}}$$

Eq. 4-4

NOTE: In saturated or moisture droplet-laden gas streams, two calculations of the moisture content of the stack gas shall be made, one using a value based upon the saturated conditions (see Section 1.2), and another based upon the results of the impinger analysis. The lower of these two values of B_m shall be considered correct.

2.3.6 Verification of Constant Sampling Rate: For each time increment, determine the ΔV_m . Calculate the average. If the value for any time increment differs from the average by more than 10 percent, reject the results and repeat the run.

3. Approximation Method

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

The approximation method described below is presented only as a suggested method (see Section 1.2).

3.1 Apparatus.

3.1.1 Probe. Stainless steel glass tubing, sufficiently heated to prevent water condensation and equipped with a filter (either in-stack or heated out-stack) to remove particulate matter. A plug of glass wool, inserted into the end of the probe, is a satisfactory filter.

3.1.2 Impingers. Two midjet impingers, each with 30 ml capacity, or equivalent.

3.1.3 Ice Bath. Container and ice, to aid in condensing moisture in impingers.

3.1.4 Drying Tube. Tube packed with new or regenerated 8- to 16-mesh indicating-type silica gel (or equivalent desiccant), to dry the sample gas and to protect the meter and pump.

3.1.5 Valve. Needle valve, to regulate the sample gas flow rate.

3.1.6 Pump. Leak-free, diaphragm type, or equivalent, to pull the gas sample through the train.

3.1.7 Volume Meter. Dry gas meter, sufficiently accurate to measure the sample volume within 2%, and calibrated over the

range of flow rates and conditions actually encountered during sampling.

3.1.8 Rate Meter. Rotameter, to measure the flow range from 0 to 3 lpm (0 to 0.11 cfm).

3.1.9 Graduated Cylinder. 25 ml.

3.1.10 Barometer. Mercury, aneroid, or other barometer, as described in Section 2.1.5 above.

3.1.11 Vacuum Gauge. At least 760 mm Hg (30 in. Hg) gauge, to be used for the sampling leak check.

3.2 Procedure.

3.2.1 Place exactly 5 ml distilled water in each impinger.

Leak check the sampling train as follows: Temporarily insert a vacuum gauge at or near the probe inlet; then, plug the probe inlet and pull a vacuum of at least 250 mm Hg (10 in. Hg). Note, the time rate of change of the dry gas meter dial; alternatively, a rotameter (0-40 cc/min) may be temporarily attached to the dry gas meter outlet to determine the leakage rate. A leak rate not in excess of 2 percent of the average sampling rate is acceptable.

NOTE: Carefully release the probe inlet plug before turning off the pump.

Figure 4-4. Moisture-sampling train - approximation method.

The ALMEGA Corporation

FIGURE 4-5—FIELD MOISTURE DETERMINATION—APPROXIMATION METHOD

Location..... Comments:
 Test.....
 Date.....
 Operator.....
 Barometric pressure.....

Clock time	Gas volume through meter, (V_m), m^3 (ft^3)	Rate meter setting m^3/min (ft^3/min)	Meter temperature, °C (°F)

3.2.2 Connect the probe, insert it into the stack, and sample at a constant rate of 2 lpm (0.071 cfm). Continue sampling until the dry gas meter registers about 30 liters (1.1 ft^3) or until visible liquid droplets are carried over from the first impinger to the second. Record temperature, pressure, and dry gas meter readings as required by Figure 4-5.

3.2.3 After collecting the sample, combine the contents of the two impingers and measure the volume to the nearest 0.5 ml.

3.3 Calculations. The calculation method presented is designed to estimate the moisture in the stack gas; therefore, other data, which are only necessary for accurate moisture determinations, are not collected. The following equations adequately estimate the moisture content, for the purpose of determining isokinetic sampling rate settings.

3.3.1 Nomenclature.

B_{wa} = Approximate proportion, by volume, of water vapor in the gas stream leaving the second impinger, 0.025.

B_w = Water vapor in the gas stream, proportion by volume.

M_w = Molecular weight of water, 18.0 g/mole (18.0 lb/lb-mole).

P_a = Absolute pressure (for this method, same as barometric pressure) at the dry gas meter.

P_{std} = Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).

R = Ideal gas constant, 0.06236 (mm Hg) (m^3)/(g-mole) (°K) for metric units and 21.85 (in. Hg) (ft^3)/(lb-mole) (°R) for English units.

T_m = Absolute temperature at meter, °K (°R).

T_{std} = Standard absolute temperature, 293° K (528° R).

V_f = Final volume of impinger contents, ml.

V_i = Initial volume of impinger contents, ml.

V_m = Dry gas volume measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dcf).

$V_{m(Std)}$ = Dry gas volume measured by dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dscm (dscf).

$V_{w(Std)}$ = Volume of water vapor condensed, corrected to standard conditions, scm (scf).

ρ_w = Density of water, 0.9982 g/ml (0.002201 lb/ml).

Y = Dry gas meter calibration factor.

3.3.2 Volume of Water Vapor Collected.

$$V_{wv} = \frac{(V_f - V_i) \beta_w R T_{std}}{P_{std} M_w}$$

$$= K_1 (V_f - V_i)$$

Eq. 4-5

Where:

K_1 = 0.001333 m^3 /ml for metric units
 = 0.04707 ft^3 /ml for English units.

3.3.3 Gas Volume.

$$V_{m(Std)} = V_m \left(\frac{P_a}{P_{std}} \right) \left(\frac{T_{std}}{T_m} \right)$$

$$= K_2 \frac{V_m P_a}{T_m}$$

Equation 4-6

where:

K_2 = 0.3858 °K/mm Hg for metric units
 = 17.64 °R/in. Hg for English units

3.3.4 Approximate Moisture Content.

$$B_{wa} = \frac{V_{wv}}{V_{wv} + V_{m(Std)}} + B_w$$

$$= \frac{V_{wv}}{V_{wv} + V_{m(Std)}} + (0.025)$$

Equation 4-7

4. Calibration

4.1 For the reference method, calibrate equipment as specified in the following sections of Method 5: Section 5.3 (metering system); Section 5.5 (temperature gauges); and Section 5.7 (barometer). The recommended leak check of the metering system (Section 5.8 of Method 5) also applies to the reference method. For the approximation method, use the procedures outlined in Section 5.1.1 of Method 6 to calibrate the metering system, and the procedure of Method 5, Section 5.7 to calibrate the barometer.

5. Bibliography

1. Air Pollution Engineering Manual (Second Edition). Danielson, J. A. (ed.). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, NC. Publication No. AP-40. 1973.

2. Devorkin, Howard, et al. Air Pollution Source Testing Manual. Air Pollution Control District, Los Angeles, CA. November, 1963.

3. Methods for Determination of Velocity, Volume, Dust and Mist Content of Gases. Western Precipitation Division of Joy Manufacturing Co., Los Angeles, CA. Bulletin WP-30. 1968.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

APPENDIX E

METHOD 5

DETERMINATION OF PARTICULATE EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES

The Almega Corporation

1. Principle and Applicability

1.1 Principle. Particulate matter is withdrawn isokinetically from the source and collected on a glass fiber filter maintained at a temperature in the range of $120 \pm 14^\circ \text{C}$ ($248 \pm 25^\circ \text{F}$) or such other temperature as specified by an applicable subpart of the standards or approved by Administrator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, for a particular application. The particulate mass, which includes any material that condenses at or above the filtration temperature, is determined gravimetrically after removal of uncombined water.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for the determination of particulate emissions from stationary sources.

2. Apparatus

2.1 Sampling Train. A schematic of the sampling train used in this method is shown in Figure 5-1. Complete construction details are given in APTD-0581 (Citation 2 in Bibliography); commercial models of this train are also available. For changes from APTD-0581 and for allowable modifications of the train shown in Figure 5-1, see the following subsections.

The operating and maintenance procedures for the sampling train are described in APTD-0576 (Citation 3 in Bibliography). Since correct usage is important in obtaining valid results, all users should read APTD-0576 and adopt the operating and maintenance procedures outlined in it, unless otherwise specified herein. The sampling train consists of the following components:

Figure 5-1. Particulate-sampling train.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.1.1 Probe Nozzle. Stainless steel (316) or glass with sharp, tapered leading edge. The angle of taper shall be $<30^\circ$ and the taper shall be on the outside to preserve a constant internal diameter. The probe nozzle shall be of the button-hook or elbow design, unless otherwise specified by the Administrator. If made of stainless steel, the nozzle shall be constructed from seamless tubing; other materials of construction may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

A range of nozzle sizes suitable for isokinetic sampling should be available, e.g., 0.32 to 1.27 cm ($\frac{1}{8}$ to $\frac{1}{2}$ in.)—or larger if higher volume sampling trains are used—inside diameter (ID) nozzles in increments of 0.16 cm ($\frac{1}{16}$ in.). Each nozzle shall be calibrated according to the procedures outlined in Section 5.

2.1.2 Probe Liner. Borosilicate or quartz glass tubing with a heating system capable of maintaining a gas temperature at the exit end during sampling of $120 \pm 14^\circ \text{C}$ ($248 \pm 25^\circ \text{F}$), or such other temperature as specified by an applicable subpart of the standards or approved by the Administrator for a particular application. (The tester may opt to operate the equipment at a temperature lower than that specified.) Since the actual temperature at the outlet of the probe is not usually monitored during sampling, probes constructed according to APTD-0581 and utilizing the calibration curves of APTD-0576 (or calibrated according to the procedure outlined in APTD-0576) will be considered acceptable.

Either borosilicate or quartz glass probe liners may be used for stack temperatures up to about 480°C (900°F) quartz liners shall be used for temperatures between 480 and 900°C (900 and $1,650^\circ \text{F}$). Both types of liners may be used at higher temperatures than specified for short periods of time, subject to the approval of the Administrator. The softening temperature for borosilicate is 820°C ($1,508^\circ \text{F}$), and for quartz it is $1,500^\circ \text{C}$ ($2,732^\circ \text{F}$).

Whenever practical, every effort should be made to use borosilicate or quartz glass probe liners. Alternatively, metal liners (e.g., 316 stainless steel, Incoloy 825,¹ or other corrosion resistant metals) made of seamless tubing may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

2.1.3 Pitot Tube. Type S, as described in Section 2.1 of Method 2, or other device approved by the Administrator. The pitot tube shall be attached to the probe (as shown in Figure 5-1) to allow constant monitoring of the stack gas velocity. The impact (high pressure) opening plane of the pitot tube

shall be even with or above the nozzle entry plane (see Method 2, Figure 2-6b) during sampling. The Type S pitot tube assembly shall have a known coefficient, determined as outlined in Section 4 of Method 2.

2.1.4 Differential Pressure Gauge. Inclined manometer or equivalent device (two), as described in Section 2.2 of Method 2. One manometer shall be used or velocity head (Δp) readings, and the other, for orifice differential pressure readings.

2.1.5 Filter Holder. Borosilicate glass, with a glass frit filter support and a silicone rubber gasket. Other materials of construction (e.g., stainless steel, Teflon, Viton) may be used, subject to approval of the Administrator. The holder design shall provide a positive seal against leakage from the outside or around the filter. The holder shall be attached immediately at the outlet of the probe (or cyclone, if used).

2.1.6 Filter Heating System. Any heating system capable of maintaining a temperature around the filter holder during sampling of $120 \pm 14^\circ \text{C}$ ($248 \pm 25^\circ \text{F}$), or such other temperature as specified by an applicable subpart of the standards or approved by the Administrator for a particular application. Alternatively, the tester may opt to operate the equipment at a temperature lower than that specified. A temperature gauge capable of measuring temperature to within 3°C (5.4°F) shall be installed so that the temperature around the filter holder can be regulated and monitored during sampling. Heating systems other than the one shown in APTD-0581 may be used.

2.1.7 Condenser. The following system shall be used to determine the stack gas moisture content: Four impingers connected in series with leak-free ground glass fittings or any similar leak-free non-contaminating fittings. The first, third, and fourth impingers shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design, modified by replacing the tip with 1.3 cm ($\frac{1}{4}$ in.) ID glass tube extending to about 1.3 cm ($\frac{1}{4}$ in.) from the bottom of the flask. The second impinger shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design with the standard tip. Modifications (e.g., using flexible connections between the impingers, using materials other than glass, or using flexible vacuum lines to connect the filter holder to the condenser) may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator. The first and second impingers shall contain known quantities of water (Section 4.1.3), the third shall be empty, and the fourth shall contain a known weight of silica gel, or equivalent desiccant. A thermometer, capable of measuring temperature to within 1°C (2°F) shall be placed at the outlet of the fourth impinger for monitoring purposes.

Alternatively, any system that cools the sample gas stream and allows measurement of the water condensed and moisture leav-

¹Mention of trade names or specific product does not constitute endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

ing the condenser, each to within 1 ml or 1 g may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator. Acceptable means are to measure the condensed water either gravimetrically or volumetrically and to measure the moisture leaving the condenser by: (1) monitoring the temperature and pressure at the exit of the condenser and using Dalton's law of partial pressures; or (2) passing the sample has stream through a tared silica gel (or equivalent desiccant) trap with exit gases kept below 20° C (68° F) and determining the weight gain.

If means other than silica gel are used to determine the amount of moisture leaving the condenser, it is recommended that silica gel (or equivalent) still be used between the condenser system and pump to prevent moisture condensation in the pump and metering devices and to avoid the need to make corrections for moisture in the metered volume.

NOTE: If a determination of the particulate matter collected in the impingers is desired in addition to moisture content, the impinger system described above shall be used, without modification. Individual States or control agencies requiring this information shall be contacted as to the sample recovery and analysis of the impinging contents.

2.1.8 Metering System. Vacuum gauge, leak-free pump, thermometers capable of measuring temperature to within 3° C (5.4° F), dry gas meter capable of measuring volume to within 2 percent, and related equipment, as shown in Figure 5-1. Other metering systems capable of maintaining sampling rates within 10 percent of isokinetic and of determining sample volumes to within 2 percent may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator. When the metering system is used in conjunction with a pitot tube, the system shall enable checks of isokinetic rates.

Sampling trains utilizing metering systems designed for higher flow rates than that described in APTD-0581 or APDT-0576 may be used provided that the specifications of this method are met.

2.1.9 Barometer. Mercury aneroid, or other barometer capable of measuring atmospheric pressure to within 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg). In many cases the barometric reading may be obtained from a nearby National Weather Service station, in which case the station value (which is the absolute barometric pressure) shall be requested and an adjustment for elevation differences between the weather station and sampling point shall be applied at a rate of minus 2.5 mm Hg (0.1 in. Hg) per 30 m (100 ft) elevation increase or vice versa for elevation decrease.

2.1.10 Gas Density Determination Equipment. Temperature sensor and pressure

gauge, as described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 of Method 2, and gas analyzer, if necessary, as described in Method 3. The temperature sensor shall, preferably, be permanently attached to the pitot tube or sampling probe in a fixed configuration, such that the tip of the sensor extends beyond the leading edge of the probe sheath and does not touch any metal. Alternatively, the sensor may be attached just prior to use in the field. Note, however, that if the temperature sensor is attached in the field, the sensor must be placed in an interference-free arrangement with respect to the Type S pitot tube openings (see Method 2, Figure 2-7). As a second alternative, if a difference of not more than 1 percent in the average velocity measurement is to be introduced, the temperature gauge need not be attached to the probe or pitot tube. (This alternative is subject to the approval of the Administrator.)

2.2 Sample Recovery. The following items are needed.

2.2.1 Probe-Liner and Probe-Nozzle Brushes. Nylon bristle brushes with stainless steel wire handles. The probe brush shall have extensions (at least as long as the probe) of stainless steel, Nylon, Teflon, or similarly inert material. The brushes shall be properly sized and shaped to brush out the probe liner and nozzle.

2.2.2 Wash Bottles—Two. Glass wash bottles are recommended; polyethylene wash bottles may be used at the option of the tester. It is recommended that acetone not be stored in polyethylene bottles for longer than a month.

2.2.3 Glass Sample Storage Containers. Chemically resistant, borosilicate glass bottles, for acetone washes, 500 ml or 1000 ml. Screw cap liners shall either be rubber-backed Teflon or shall be constructed so as to be leak-free and resistant to chemical attack by acetone. (Narrow mouth glass bottles have been found to be less prone to leakage.) Alternatively, polyethylene bottles may be used.

2.2.4 Petri Dishes. For filter samples, glass or polyethylene, unless otherwise specified by the Administrator.

2.2.5 Graduated Cylinder and/or Balance. To measure condensed water to within 1 ml or 1 g. Graduated cylinders shall have subdivisions no greater than 2 ml. Most laboratory balances are capable of weighing to the nearest 0.5 g or less. Any of these balances is suitable or use here and in Section 2.3.4.

2.2.6 Plastic Storage Containers. Airtight containers to store silica gel.

2.2.7 Funnel and Rubber Policeman. To aid in transfer of silica gel to container; not necessary if silica gel is weighed in the field.

2.2.8 Funnel. Glass or polyethylene, to aid in sample recovery.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.3 Analysis. For analysis, the following equipment is needed.

2.3.1 Glass Weighing Dishes.

2.3.2 Desiccator.

2.3.3 Analytical Balance. To measure to within 0.1 mg.

2.3.4 Balance. To measure to within 0.5 g.

2.3.5 Beakers. 250 ml.

2.3.6 Hygrometer. To measure the relative humidity of the laboratory environment.

2.3.7 Temperature Gauge. To measure the temperature of the laboratory environment.

3. Reagents

3.1 Sampling. The reagents used in sampling are as follows:

3.1.1 Filters. Glass fiber filters, without organic binder, exhibiting at least 99.95 percent efficiency (<0.05 percent penetration) on 0.3-micron dioctyl phthalate smoke particles. The filter efficiency test shall be conducted in accordance with ASTM Standard Method D2986-71 (Reapproved 1978) (incorporated by reference—see § 60.17). Test data from the supplier's quality control program are sufficient for this purpose. In sources containing SO_2 or SO_3 , the filter material must be of a type that is unreactive to SO_2 or SO_3 . Citation 10 in Section 7 Bibliography, may be used to select the appropriate filter.

3.1.2 Silica Gel. Indicating type, 6 to 16 mesh. If previously used, dry at 175°C (350°F) for 2 hours. New silica gel may be used as received. Alternatively, other types of desiccants (equivalent or better) may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

3.1.3 Water. When analysis of the material caught in the impingers is required, distilled water shall be used. Run blanks prior to field use to eliminate a high blank on test samples.

3.1.4 Crushed Ice.

3.1.5 Stopcock Grease. Acetone-insoluble, heat-stable silicone grease. This is not necessary if screw-on connectors with Teflon sleeves, or similar, are used. Alternatively, other types of stopcock grease may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

3.2 Sample Recovery. Acetone-reagent grade, <0.001 percent residue, in glass bottles—is required. Acetone from metal containers generally has a high residue blank and should not be used. Sometimes, suppliers transfer acetone to glass bottles from metal containers; thus, acetone blanks shall be run prior to field use and only acetone with low blank values (<0.001 percent) shall be used. In no case shall a blank value of greater than 0.001 percent of the weight of acetone used be subtracted from the sample weight.

3.3 Analysis. Two reagents are required for the analysis:

3.3.1 Acetone. Same as 3.2.

3.3.2 Desiccant. Anhydrous calcium sulfate, indicating type. Alternatively, other types of desiccants may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

4. Procedure

4.1 Sampling. The complexity of this method is such that, in order to obtain reliable results, testers should be trained and experienced with the test procedures.

4.1.1 Pretest Preparation. It is suggested that sampling equipment be maintained according to the procedure described in APTD-0576.

Weigh several 200 to 300 g portions of silica gel in air-tight containers to the nearest 0.5 g. Record the total weight of the silica gel plus container, on each container. As an alternative, the silica gel need not be preweighed, but may be weighed directly in the impinger or sampling holder just prior to train assembly.

Check filters visually against light for irregularities and flaws or pinhole leaks. Label filters of the proper diameter on the back side near the edge using numbering machine ink. As an alternative, label the shipping containers (glass or plastic petri dishes) and keep the filters in these containers at all times except during sampling and weighing.

Desiccate the filters at $20\pm 5.6^\circ\text{C}$ ($68\pm 10^\circ\text{F}$) and ambient pressure for at least 24 hours and weigh at intervals of at least 6 hours to a constant weight, i.e., 0.5 mg change from previous weighing; record results to the nearest 0.1 mg. During each weighing the filter must not be exposed to the laboratory atmosphere for a period greater than 2 minutes and a relative humidity above 50 percent. Alternatively (unless otherwise specified by the Administrator), the filters may be oven dried at 105°C (220°F) for 2 to 3 hours, desiccated for 2 hours, and weighed. Procedures other than those described, which account for relative humidity effects, may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

4.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Select the sampling site and the minimum number of sampling points according to Method 1 or as specified by the Administrator. Determine the stack pressure, temperature, and the range of velocity heads using Method 2; it is recommended that a leak-check of the pitot lines (see Method 2, Section 3.1) be performed. Determine the moisture content using Approximation Method 4 or its alternatives for the purpose of making isokinetic sampling rate settings. Determine the stack gas dry molecular weight, as described in Method 2, Section 3.6; if integrated Method 3 sampling is used for molecular weight de-

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

termination, the integrated bag sample shall be taken simultaneously with, and for the same total length of time as, the particulate sample run.

Select a nozzle size based on the range of velocity heads, such that it is not necessary to change the nozzle size in order to maintain isokinetic sampling rates. During the run, do not change the nozzle size. Ensure that the proper differential pressure gauge is chosen for the range of velocity heads encountered (see Section 2.2 of Method 2).

Select a suitable probe liner and probe length such that all traverse points can be sampled. For large stacks, consider sampling from opposite sides of the stack to reduce the length of probes.

Select a total sampling time greater than or equal to the minimum total sampling time specified in the test procedures for the specific industry such that (1) the sampling time per point is not less than 2 min (or some greater time interval as specified by the Administrator), and (2) the sample volume taken (corrected to standard conditions) will exceed the required minimum total gas sample volume. The latter is based on an approximate average sampling rate.

It is recommended that the number of minutes sampled at each point be an integer or an integer plus one-half minute, in order to avoid timekeeping errors. The sampling time at each point shall be the same.

In some circumstances, e.g., batch cycles, it may be necessary to sample for shorter times at the traverse points and to obtain smaller gas sample volumes. In these cases, the Administrator's approval must first be obtained.

4.1.3 Preparation of Collection Train. During preparation and assembly of the sampling train, keep all openings where contamination can occur covered until just prior to assembly or until sampling is about to begin.

Place 100 ml of water in each of the first two impingers, leave the third impinger empty, and transfer approximately 200 to 300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the fourth impinger. More silica gel may be used, but care should be taken to ensure that it is not entrained and carried out from the impinger during sampling. Place the container in a clean place for later use in the sample recovery. Alternatively, the weight of the silica gel plus impinger may be determined to the nearest 0.5 g and recorded.

Using a tweezer or clean disposable surgical gloves, place a labeled (identified) and weighed filter in the filter holder. Be sure that the filter is properly centered and the gasket properly placed so as to prevent the sample gas stream from circumventing the filter. Check the filter for tears after assembly is completed.

When glass liners are used, install the selected nozzle using a Viton A O-ring when stack temperatures are less than 260° C (500° F) and an asbestos string gasket when temperatures are higher. See APTD-0576 for details. Other connecting systems using either 316 stainless steel or Teflon ferrules may be used. When metal liners are used, install the nozzle as above or by a leak-free direct mechanical connection. Mark the probe with heat resistant tape or by some other method to denote the proper distance into the stack or duct for each sampling point.

Set up the train as in Figure 3-1, using (if necessary) a very light coat of silicone grease on all ground glass joints, greasing only the outer portion (see APTD-0576) to avoid possibility of contamination by the silicone grease. Subject to the approval of the Administrator, a glass cyclone may be used between the probe and filter holder when the total particulate catch is expected to exceed 100 mg or when water droplets are present in the stack gas.

Place crushed ice around the impingers.

4.1.4 Leak-Check Procedures.

4.1.4.1 Pretest Leak-Check. A pretest leak-check is recommended, but not required. If the tester opts to conduct the pretest leak-check, the following procedure shall be used.

After the sampling train has been assembled, turn on and set the filter and probe heating systems at the desired operating temperatures. Allow time for the temperatures to stabilize. If a Viton A O-ring or other leak-free connection is used in assembling the probe nozzle to the probe liner, leak-check the train at the sampling site by plugging the nozzle and pulling a 380 mm Hg (15 in. Hg) vacuum.

NOTE: A lower vacuum may be used, provided that it is not exceeded during the test.

If an asbestos string is used, do not connect the probe to the train during the leak-check. Instead, leak-check the train by first plugging the inlet to the filter holder (cyclone, if applicable) and pulling a 380 mm Hg (15 in. Hg) vacuum (see Note immediately above). Then connect the probe to the train and leak-check at about 25 mm Hg (1 in. Hg) vacuum; alternatively, the probe may be leak-checked with the rest of the sampling train, in one step, at 380 mm Hg (15 in. Hg) vacuum. Leakage rates in excess of 4 percent of the average sampling rate or 0.00057 m³/min (0.02 cfm), whichever is less, are unacceptable.

The following leak-check instructions for the sampling train described in APTD-0576 and APTD-0581 may be helpful. Start the pump with bypass valve fully open and coarse adjust valve, completely closed. Partially open the coarse adjust valve and

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

slowly close the bypass valve until the desired vacuum is reached. Do not reverse direction of bypass valve; this will cause water to back up into the filter holder. If the desired vacuum is exceeded, either leak-check at this higher vacuum or end the leak-check as shown below and start over.

When the leak-check is completed, first slowly remove the plug from the inlet to the probe, filter holder, or cyclone (if applicable) and immediately turn off the vacuum pump. This prevents the water in the impingers from being forced backward into the filter holder and silica gel from being entrained backward into the third impinger.

4.1.4.2 Leak-Checks During Sample Run. If, during the sampling run, a component (e.g., filter assembly or impinger) change becomes unnecessary, a leak-check shall be conducted immediately before the change is made. The leak-check shall be done according to the procedure outlined in Section 4.1.4.1 above, except that it shall be done at a vacuum equal to or greater than the maximum value recorded up to that point in the test. If the leakage rate is found to be no greater than $0.00057 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$ (0.02 cfm) or 4 percent of the average sampling rate (whichever is less), the results are acceptable, and no correction will need to be applied to the total volume of dry gas metered; if, however, a higher leakage rate is obtained, the tester shall either record the leakage rate and plan to correct the sample volume as shown in Section 6.3 of this method, or shall void the sampling run.

Immediately after component changes, leak-checks are optional; if such leak-checks are done, the procedure outlined in Section 4.1.4.1 above shall be used.

4.1.4.3 Post-test Leak-Check. A leak-check is mandatory at the conclusion of each sampling run. The leak-check shall be done in accordance with the procedures outlined in Section 4.1.4.1, except that it shall be conducted at a vacuum equal to or greater than the maximum value reached during the sampling run. If the leakage rate is found to be no greater than $0.00057 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$ (0.02 cfm) or 4 percent of the average sampling rate (whichever is less), the results are acceptable, and no correction need be applied to the total volume of dry gas metered. If, however, a higher leakage rate is ob-

tained, the tester shall either record the leakage rate and correct the sample volume as shown in Section 6.3 of this method, or shall void the sampling run.

4.1.5 Particulate Train Operation. During the sampling run, maintain an isokinetic sampling rate (within 10 percent of true isokinetic unless otherwise specified by the Administrator) and a temperature around the filter of $120 \pm 14^\circ \text{C}$ ($248 \pm 25^\circ \text{F}$), or such other temperature as specified by an applicable subpart of the standards or approved by the Administrator.

For each run, record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Figure 5-2. Be sure to record the initial dry gas meter reading. Record the dry gas meter readings at the beginning and end of each sampling time increment, when changes in flow rates are made, before and after each leak-check, and when sampling is halted. Take other readings required by Figure 5-2 at least once at each sample point during each time increment and additional readings when significant changes (20 percent variation in velocity head readings) necessitate additional adjustments in flow rate. Level and zero the manometer. Because the manometer level and zero may drift due to vibrations and temperature changes, make periodic checks during the traverse.

Clean the portholes prior to the test run to minimize the chance of sampling deposited material. To begin sampling, remove the nozzle cap, verify that the filter and probe heating systems are up to temperature, and that the pitot tube and probe are properly positioned. Position the nozzle at the first traverse point with the tip pointing directly into the gas stream. Immediately start the pump and adjust the flow to isokinetic conditions. Nomographs are available, which aid in the rapid adjustment of the isokinetic sampling rate without excessive computations. These nomographs are designed for use when the Type S pitot tube coefficient is 0.85 ± 0.02 , and the stack gas equivalent density (dry molecular weight) is equal to 29 ± 4 . APTD-0576 details the procedure for using the nomographs. If C_p and M_g are outside the above stated ranges do not use the nomographs unless appropriate steps (see Citation 7 in Bibliography) are taken to compensate for the deviations.

Ambient temperature
Barometric pressure
Assumed moisture, %
Probe length, m (ft)
Nozzle identification No.
Average calibrated nozzle diameter, cm (in.)
Probe heater setting
Leak rate, m^3/min , (cfm)
Probe liner material
Static pressure, mm Hg (in Hg)
Filter No

Traverse point number	Sampling time (e) min	Vacuum mm Hg (in Hg)	Stack temperature (T _s) °C (° F)	Velocity head (Δ P _v) mm (in) H ₂ O	Pressure differential across orifice meter mm H ₂ O (in H ₂ O)	Gas sample volume m³ (ft³)	Gas sample temperature at dry gas meter		Filter holder temperature ° C (° F)	Temperature of gas leaving condenser or last impinger ° C (° F)
							Inlet ° C (° F)	Outlet ° C (° F)		
Total							Avg	Avg		
Average							Avg			

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

When the stack is under significant negative pressure (height of impinger stem), take care to close the coarse adjust valve before inserting the probe into the stack to prevent water from backing into the filter holder. If necessary, the pump may be turned on with the coarse adjust valve closed.

When the probe is in position, block off the openings around the probe and porthole to prevent unrepresentative dilution of the gas stream.

Traverse the stack cross-section, as required by Method 1 or as specified by the Administrator, being careful not to bump the probe nozzle into the stack walls when sampling near the walls or when removing or inserting the probe through the portholes; this minimizes the chance of extracting deposited material.

During the test run, make periodic adjustments to keep the temperature around the filter holder at the proper level; add more ice and, if necessary, salt to maintain a temperature of less than 20° C (68° F) at the condenser/silica gel outlet. Also, periodically check the level and zero of the manometer.

If the pressure drop across the filter becomes too high, making isokinetic sampling difficult to maintain, the filter may be replaced in the midst of a sample run. It is recommended that another complete filter assembly be used rather than attempting to change the filter itself. Before a new filter assembly is installed, conduct a leak-check (see Section 4.1.4.2). The total particulate weight shall include the summation of all filter assembly catches.

A single train shall be used for the entire sample run, except in cases where simultaneous sampling is required in two or more separate ducts or at two or more different locations within the same duct, or, in cases where equipment failure necessitates a change of trains. In all other situations, the use of two or more trains will be subject to the approval of the Administrator.

Note that when two or more trains are used, separate analyses of the front-half and (if applicable) impinger catches from each train shall be performed, unless identical nozzle sizes were used on all trains, in which case, the front-half catches from the individual trains may be combined (as may the impinger catches) and one analysis of front-half catch and one analysis of impinger catch may be performed. Consult with the Administrator for details concerning the calculation of results when two or more trains are used.

At the end of the sample run, turn off the coarse adjust valve, remove the probe and nozzle from the stack, turn off the pump, record the final dry gas meter reading, and conduct a post-test leak-check, as outlined in Section 4.1.4.3. Also, leak-check the pitot

lines as described in Method 2, Section 3.1; the lines must pass this leak-check, in order to validate the velocity head data.

4.1.6 Calculation of Percent Isokinetic. Calculate percent isokinetic (see Calculations, Section 6) to determine whether the run was valid or another test run should be made. If there was difficulty in maintaining isokinetic rates due to source conditions, consult with the Administrator for possible variance on the isokinetic rates.

4.2 Sample Recovery. Proper cleanup procedure begins as soon as the probe is removed from the stack at the end of the sampling period. Allow the probe to cool.

When the probe can be safely handled, wipe off all external particulate matter near the tip of the probe nozzle and place a cap over it to prevent losing or gaining particulate matter. Do not cap off the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling down as this would create a vacuum in the filter holder, thus drawing water from the impingers into the filter holder.

Before moving the sample train to the cleanup site, remove the probe from the sample train, wipe off the silicone grease, and cap the open outlet of the probe. Be careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Wipe off the silicone grease from the filter inlet where the probe was fastened and cap it. Remove the umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. If a flexible line is used between the first impinger or condenser and the filter holder, disconnect the line at the filter holder and let any condensed water or liquid drain into the impingers or condenser. After wiping off the silicone grease, cap off the filter holder outlet and impinger inlet. Either ground-glass stoppers, plastic caps, or serum caps may be used to close these openings.

Transfer the probe and filter-impinger assembly to the cleanup area. This area should be clean and protected from the wind so that the chances of contaminating or losing the sample will be minimized.

Save a portion of the acetone used for cleanup as a blank. Take 200 ml of this acetone directly from the wash bottle being used and place it in a glass sample container labeled "acetone blank."

Inspect the train prior to and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions. Treat the samples as follows:

Container No. 1. Carefully remove the filter from the filter holder and place it in its identified petri dish container. Use a pair of tweezers and/or clean disposable surgical gloves to handle the filter. If it is necessary to fold the filter, do so such that the particulate cake is inside the fold. Carefully transfer to the petri dish any particulate matter and/or filter fibers which adhere to the filter holder gasket, by using a dry Nylon

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

bristle brush and/or a sharp-edged blade. Seal the container.

Container No. 2. Taking care to see that dust on the outside of the probe or other exterior surfaces does not get into the sample, quantitatively recover particulate matter or any condensate from the probe nozzle, probe fitting, probe liner, and front half of the filter holder by washing these components with acetone and placing the wash in a glass container. Distilled water may be used instead of acetone when approved by the Administrator and shall be used when specified by the Administrator; in these cases, save a water blank and follow the Administrator's directions on analysis. Perform the acetone rinses as follows:

Carefully remove the probe nozzle and clean the inside surface by rinsing with acetone from a wash bottle and brushing with a Nylon bristle brush. Brush until the acetone rinse shows no visible particles, after which make a final rinse of the inside surface with acetone.

Brush and rinse the inside parts of the Swagelok fitting with acetone in a similar way until no visible particles remain.

Rinse the probe liner with acetone by tilting and rotating the probe while squirting acetone into its upper end so that all inside surfaces will be wetted with acetone. Let the acetone drain from the lower end into the sample container. A funnel (glass or polyethylene) may be used to aid on transferring liquid washes to the container. Follow the acetone rinse with a probe brush. Hold the probe in an inclined position, squirt acetone into the upper end as the probe brush is being pushed with a twisting action through the probe; hold a sample container underneath the lower end of the probe, and catch any acetone and particulate matter which is brushed from the probe. Run the brush through the probe three times or more until no visible particulate matter is carried out with the acetone or until none remains in the probe liner on visual inspection. With stainless steel or other metal probes, run the brush through in the above prescribed manner at least six times since metal probes have small crevices in which particulate matter can be entrapped. Rinse the brush with acetone, and quantitatively collect these washings in the sample container. After the brushing, make a final acetone rinse of the probe as described above.

It is recommended that two people clean the probe to minimize sample losses. Between sampling runs, keep brushes clean and protected from contaminations.

After ensuring that all joints have been wiped clean of silicone grease, clean the inside of the front half of the filter holder by rubbing the surfaces with a Nylon bristle brush and rinsing with acetone. Rinse each surface three times or more if needed to remove visible particulate. Make a final

rinse of the brush and filter holder. Carefully rinse out the glass cyclone, also (if applicable). After all acetone washings and particulate matter have been collected in the sample container, tighten the lid on the sample container so that acetone will not leak out when it is shipped to the laboratory. Mark the height of the fluid level to determine whether or not leakage occurred during transport. Label the container to clearly identify its contents.

Container No. 3. Note the color of the indicating silica gel to determine if it has been completely spent and make a notation of its condition. Transfer the silica gel from the fourth impinger to its original container and seal. A funnel may make it easier to pour the silica gel without spilling. A rubber policeman may be used as an aid in removing the silica gel from the impinger. It is not necessary to remove the small amount of dust particles that may adhere to the impinger wall and are difficult to remove. Since the gain in weight is to be used for moisture calculations, do not use any water or other liquids to transfer the silica gel. If a balance is available in the field, follow the procedure for container No. 3 in Section 4.3.

Impinger Water. Treat the impingers as follows: Make a notation of any color or film in the liquid catch. Measure the liquid which is in the first three impingers to within ± 1 ml by using a graduated cylinder or by weighing it to within ± 0.5 g by using a balance (if one is available). Record the volume or weight of liquid present. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the effluent gas.

Discard the liquid after measuring and recording the volume or weight, unless analysis of the impinger catch is required (see Note, Section 2.1.7).

If a different type of condenser is used, measure the amount of moisture condensed either volumetrically or gravimetrically.

Whenever possible, containers should be shipped in such a way that they remain upright at all times.

4.3 Analysis. Record the data required on a sheet such as the one shown in Figure 5-3. Handle each sample container as follows:

FIGURE 5-3—ANALYTICAL DATA

Plant _____
Date _____
Run No. _____
Filter No. _____
Amount liquid lost during transport _____
Acetone blank volume, ml _____
Acetone wash volume, ml _____
Acetone blank concentration, mg/mg (Equation 5-4) _____
Acetone wash blank, mg (Equation 5-5) _____

The ALMEGA Corporation

Container number	Weight of particulate collected, mg		
	Final weight	Tare weight	Weight gain
1.....			
2.....			
Total			
Less acetone blank			
Weight of particulate matter			

	Volume of liquid water collected	
	Impinger volume, ml	Silica gel weight, g
Final		
Initial		
Liquid collected		
Total volume collected		g* ml

*Convert weight of water to volume by dividing total weight increase by density of water (1 g/ml).

$$\frac{\text{Increase, g}}{(1 \text{ g/ml})} = \text{Volume water, ml}$$

Container No. 1. Leave the contents in the shipping container or transfer the filter and any loose particulate from the sample container to a tared glass weighing dish. Desiccate for 24 hours in a desiccator containing anhydrous calcium sulfate. Weigh to a constant weight and report the results to the nearest 0.1 mg. For purposes of this Section, 4.3, the term "constant weight" means a difference of no more than 0.5 mg or 1 percent of total weight less tare weight, whichever is greater, between two consecutive weighings, with no less than 6 hours of desiccation time between weighings.

Alternatively, the sample may be oven dried at 105° C (220° F) for 2 to 3 hours, cooled in the desiccator, and weighed to a constant weight, unless otherwise specified by the Administrator. The tester may also opt to oven dry the sample at 105° C (220° F) for 2 to 3 hours, weigh the sample, and use this weight as a final weight.

Container No. 2. Note the level of liquid in the container and confirm on the analysis sheet whether or not leakage occurred during transport. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results. Measure the liquid in this container either volumetrically to ± 1 ml or gravimetrically to ± 0.5 g. Transfer the contents to a tared 250-ml beaker and evaporate to dryness at ambient temperature and pressure. Desiccate for 24 hours and weigh to a con-

stant weight. Report the results to the nearest 0.1 mg.

Container No. 3. Weigh the spent silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.5 g using a balance. This step may be conducted in the field.

"Acetone Blank" Container. Measure acetone in this container either volumetrically or gravimetrically. Transfer the acetone to a tared 250-ml beaker and evaporate to dryness at ambient temperature and pressure. Desiccate for 24 hours and weigh to a constant weight. Report the results to the nearest 0.1 mg.

NOTE: At the option of the tester, the contents of Container No. 2 as well as the acetone blank container may be evaporated at temperatures higher than ambient. If evaporation is done at an elevated temperature, the temperature must be below the boiling point of the solvent; also, to prevent "bumping," the evaporation process must be closely supervised, and the contents of the beaker must be swirled occasionally to maintain an even temperature. Use extreme care, as acetone is highly flammable and has a low flash point.

4.4 Quality Control Procedures. The following quality control procedures are suggested to check the volume metering system calibration values at the field test site prior to sample collection. These procedures are optional for the tester.

4.4.1 Meter Orifice Check. Using the calibration data obtained during the calibration procedure described in Section 5.3, determine the ΔH_o for the metering system orifice. The ΔH_o is the orifice pressure differential in units of in. H₂O that correlates to 0.75 cfm of air at 528° R and 29.92 in. Hg. The ΔH_o is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta H_o = 0.0319 \Delta H \frac{T_a}{P_{bar}} \frac{\Theta^2}{Y^2 V_m^2}$$

Eq. 5-9

Where:

ΔH = Average pressure differential across the orifice meter, in. H₂O.

T_a = Absolute average dry gas meter temperature, °R.

P_{bar} = Barometric pressure, in. Hg.

Θ = Total sampling time, min.

Y = Dry gas meter calibration factor, dimensionless.

V_m = Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter, dcf.

$$0.0319 = (0.0567 \text{ in. Hg/}^\circ\text{R}) \times (0.75 \text{ cfm})^2$$

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Before beginning the field test (a set of three runs usually constitutes a field test), operate the metering system (i.e., pump, volume meter, and orifice) at the ΔH_o pressure differential for 10 minutes. Record the volume collected, the dry gas meter temperature, and the barometric pressure. Calculate a dry gas meter calibration check value, Y_c , as follows:

$$Y_c = \frac{10}{V_m} \left[\frac{0.0319 T_m}{P_{br}} \right]^{1/2}$$

Eq. 5-10

Where:

Y_c = Dry gas meter calibration check value, dimensionless.

10 = 10 minutes of run time.

Compare the Y_c value with the dry gas meter calibration factor Y to determine that:

$$0.97Y < Y_c < 1.03Y$$

If the Y_c value is not within this range, the volume metering system should be investigated before beginning the test.

4.4.2 Calibrated Critical Orifice. A calibrated critical orifice, calibrated against a wet test meter or spirometer and designed to be inserted at the inlet of the sampling meter box may be used as a quality control check by following the procedure of Section 7.2.

5. Calibration

Maintain a laboratory log of all calibrations.

5.1 Probe Nozzle. Probe nozzles shall be calibrated before their initial use in the field. Using a micrometer, measure the inside diameter of the nozzle to the nearest 0.025 mm (0.001 in.). Make three separate measurements using different diameters each time, and obtain the average of the measurements. The difference between the high and low numbers shall not exceed 0.1 mm (0.004 in.). When nozzles become nicked, dented, or corroded, they shall be reshaped, sharpened, and recalibrated

before use. Each nozzle shall be permanently and uniquely identified.

5.2 Pitot Tube. The Type S pitot tube assembly shall be calibrated according to the procedure outlined in Section 4 of Method 2.

5.3 Metering System.

5.3.1 Calibration Prior to Use. Before its initial use in the field, the metering system shall be calibrated as follows: Connect the metering system inlet to the outlet of a wet test meter that is accurate to within 1 percent. Refer to Figure 5.5. The wet test meter should have a capacity of 30 liters/rev (1 ft³/rev). A spirometer of 400 liters (14 ft³) or more capacity, or equivalent, may be used for this calibration, although a wet test meter is usually more practical. The wet test meter should be periodically calibrated with a spirometer or a liquid displacement meter to ensure the accuracy of the wet test meter. Spirometers or wet test meters of other sizes may be used, provided that the specified accuracies of the procedure are maintained. Run the metering system pump for about 15 minutes with the orifice manometer indicating a median reading as expected in field use to allow the pump to warm up and to permit the interior surface of the wet test meter to be thoroughly wetted. Then, at each of a minimum of three orifice manometer settings, pass an exact quantity of gas through the wet test meter and note the gas volume indicated by the dry gas meter. Also note the barometric pressure, and the temperatures of the wet test meter, the inlet of the dry gas meter, and the outlet of the dry gas meter. Select the highest and lowest orifice settings to bracket the expected field operating range of the orifice. Use a minimum volume of 0.15 m³ (5 cf) at all orifice settings. Record all the data on a form similar to Figure 5.6, and calculate Y , the dry gas meter calibration factor, and ΔH_o , the orifice calibration factor, at each orifice setting as shown on Figure 5.6. Allowable tolerances for individual Y and ΔH_o values are given in Figure 5.6. Use the average of the Y values in the calculations in Section 6.

Figure 5.5 Equipment arrangement for metering system calibration.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Date _____

Metering System

Identification: _____

Barometric pressure, P_b = _____ in. Hg

Orifice manometer setting ΔH in. H ₂ O	Spirometer (wet meter) gas volume V_w ft ³	Dry gas meter volume V_m ft ³	Temperatures				Time θ min
			Spirometer (wet meter) t_w °F	Dry Gas Meter			
				Inlet t_i °F	Outlet t_o °F	Average t_m °F	

Calculations

ΔH in. H ₂ O	Y	$\Delta H\theta$
	$\frac{V_w P_b (t_m + 460)}{V_m \left[P_b + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6} \right] (t_w + 460)}$	$\frac{0.0317 \Delta H}{P_b (t_o + 460)} \left[\frac{(t_w + 460) \theta}{V_w} \right]^2$
Average		

Y = Ratio of reading of wet test meter to dry test meter; tolerance for individual values ± 0.02 from average.

$\Delta H\theta$ = Orifice pressure differential that equates to 0.75 cfm of air @ 68°F and 29.92 inches of mercury, in. H₂O; tolerance for individual values ± 0.20 from average.

Figure 5.6. Example data sheet for calibration of metering system (English units).

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Before calibrating the metering system, it is suggested that a leak-check be conducted. For metering systems having diaphragm pumps, the normal leak-check procedure will not detect leakages within the pump. For these cases the following leak-check procedure is suggested: make a 10-minute calibration run at $0.00057 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$ (0.02 cfm); at the end of the run, take the difference of the measured wet test meter and dry gas meter volumes; divided the difference by 10, to get the leak rate. The leak rate should not exceed $0.00057 \text{ m}^3/\text{min}$ (0.02 cfm).

5.3.2 Calibration After Use. After each field use, the calibration of the metering system shall be checked by performing three calibration runs at a single, intermediate orifice setting (based on the previous field test), with the vacuum set at the maximum value reached during the test series. To adjust the vacuum, insert a valve between the wet test meter and the inlet of the metering system. Calculate the average value of the dry gas meter calibration factor. If the value has changed by more than 5 percent, recalibrate the meter over the full range of orifice settings, as previously detailed.

Alternative procedures, e.g., rechecking the orifice meter coefficient may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

5.3.3 Acceptable Variation in Calibration. If the dry gas meter coefficient values obtained before and after a test series differ by more than 5 percent, the test series shall either be voided, or calculations for the test series shall be performed using whichever meter coefficient value (i.e., before or after) gives the lower value of total sample volume.

5.4 Probe Heater Calibration. The probe heating system shall be calibrated before its initial use in the field.

Use a heat source to generate air heated to selected temperatures that approximate those expected to occur in the sources to be sampled. Pass this air through the probe at

a typical simple flow rate while measuring the probe inlet and outlet temperatures at various probe heater settings. For each air temperature generated, construct a graph of probe heating system setting versus probe outlet temperature. The procedure outlined in APTD-0576 can also be used. Probes constructed according to APTD-0581 need not be calibrated if the calibration curves in APTD-0576 are used. Also, probes with outlet temperature monitoring capabilities do not require calibration.

5.5 Temperature Gauges. Use the procedure in Section 4.3 of Method 2 to calibrate in-stack temperature gauges. Dial thermometers, such as are used for the dry gas meter and condenser outlet, shall be calibrated against mercury-in-glass thermometers.

5.6 Leak Check of Metering System Shown in Figure 5-1. That portion of the sampling train from the pump to the orifice meter should be leak checked prior to initial use and after each shipment. Leakage after the pump will result in less volume being recorded than is actually sampled. The following procedure is suggested (see Figure 5-4): Close the main valve on the meter box. Insert a one-hole rubber stopper with rubber tubing attached into the orifice exhaust pipe. Disconnect and vent the low side of the orifice manometer. Close off the low side orifice tap. Pressurize the system to 13 to 18 cm (5 to 7 in.) water column by blowing into the rubber tubing. Pinch off the tubing and observe the manometer for one minute. A loss of pressure on the manometer indicates a leak in the meter box; leaks, if present, must be corrected.

5.7 Barometer. Calibrate against a mercury barometer.

6. Calculations

Carry out calculations, retaining at least one extra decimal figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round off figures after the final calculation. Other forms of the equations may be used as long as they give equivalent results.

Figure 5-4. Leak check of meter box.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

6.1 Nomenclature.

A_n = Cross-sectional area of nozzle, m^2 (ft^2).
 B_w = Water vapor in the gas stream, proportion by volume.
 C_a = Acetone blank residue concentration, mg/mg.
 c_p = Concentration of particulate matter in stack gas, dry basis, corrected to standard conditions, g/dscm (g/dscf).
 I = Percent of isokinetic sampling.
 L_m = Maximum acceptable leakage rate for either a pretest leak check or for a leak check following a component change; equal to 0.0057 m^3/min (0.02 cfm) or 4 percent of the average sampling rate, whichever is less.
 L_i = Individual leakage rate observed during the leak check conducted prior to the " i^{th} " component change ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, n$), m^3/min (cfm).
 L_p = Leakage rate observed during the post-test leak check, m^3/min (cfm).
 m_a = Mass of residue of acetone after evaporation, mg.
 m_m = Total amount of particulate matter collected, mg.
 M_w = Molecular weight of water, 18.0 g/g-mole (18.0 lb/lb-mole).
 P_{bar} = Barometric pressure at the sampling site, mm Hg (in. Hg).
 P_s = Absolute stack gas pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).
 P_{std} = Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).
 R = Ideal gas constant, 0.06236 mm Hg-m³/°K-g-mole (21.85 in. Hg-ft³/°R-lb-mole).
 T_m = Absolute average dry gas meter temperature (see Figure 5-2), °K (°R).
 T_s = Absolute average stack gas temperature (see Figure 5-2), °K (°R).
 T_{std} = Standard absolute temperature, 293° K (528° R).
 V_a = Volume of acetone blank, ml.
 V_{aw} = Volume of acetone used in wash, ml.
 V_L = Total volume of liquid collected in impingers and silica gel (see Figure 5-3), ml.
 V_m = Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dscf).
 V_{mstd} = Volume of gas sample measured by the dry gas meter, corrected to standard conditions, dscm (dscf).
 V_{wstd} = Volume of water vapor in the gas sample, corrected to standard conditions, scm (scf).
 v_s = Stack gas velocity, calculated by Method 2, Equation 2-9, using data obtained from Method 5, m/sec (ft/sec).
 W_a = Weight of residue in acetone wash, mg.
 Y = Dry gas meter calibration factor.
 ΔH = Average pressure differential across the orifice meter (see Figure 5-2), mm H₂O (in. H₂O).
 ρ_a = Density of acetone, mg/ml (see label on bottle).

ρ_w = Density of water, 0.9982 g/ml (0.002201 lb/ml).

θ = Total sampling time, min.

θ_1 = Sampling time interval, from the beginning of a run until the first component change, min.

θ_i = Sampling time interval, between two successive component changes, beginning with the interval between the first and second changes, min.

θ_n = Sampling time interval, from the final (n^{th}) component change until the end of the sampling run, min.

13.6 = Specific gravity of mercury.

60 = Sec/min.

100 = Conversion to percent.

6.2 Average Dry Gas Meter Temperature and Average Orifice Pressure Drop. See data sheet (Figure 5-2).

6.3 Dry Gas Volume. Correct the sample volume measured by the dry gas meter to standard conditions (20° C, 760 mm Hg or 68° F, 29.92 in. Hg) by using Equation 5-1.

$$V_{mstd} = V_m Y \left(\frac{T_{std}}{T_m} \right) \left[\frac{P_{bar} + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}}{P_{std}} \right]$$

$$= K_1 V_m Y \frac{P_{bar} + (\Delta H/13.6)}{T_m}$$

Equation 5-1

Where:

$K_1 = 0.3858$ °K/mm Hg for metric units

$= 17.64$ °R/in. Hg for English units

Note: Equation 5-1 can be used as written unless the leakage rate observed during any of the mandatory leak checks (i.e., the post-test leak check or leak checks conducted prior to component changes) exceeds L_m . If L_p or L_i exceeds L_m , Equation 5-1 must be modified as follows:

(a) Case I. No component changes made during sampling run. In this case, replace V_m in Equation 5-1 with the expression:

$$[V_m - (L_p - L_m)\theta]$$

(b) Case II. One or more component changes made during the sampling run. In this case, replace V_m in Equation 5-1 by the expression:

$$[V_m - (L_1 - L_m)\theta_1 - \sum_{i=2}^n (L_i - L_m)\theta_i - (L_p - L_m)\theta_n]$$

and substitute only for those leakage rates (L_i or L_p) which exceed L_m .

6.4 Volume of Water Vapor.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

Equation 5-2

$$V_{w(sat)} = V_{1c} \left(\frac{P_{w(sat)}}{P_{std}} \right) \left(\frac{RT_{std}}{P_{std}} \right) = K_2 V_{1c}$$

Where:

$K_2 = 0.001333 \text{ m}^3/\text{ml}$ for metric units
 $= 0.04707 \text{ ft}^3/\text{ml}$ for English units.

6.5 Moisture Content.

$$B_w = \frac{V_{w(sat)}}{V_{m(sat)} + V_{w(sat)}}$$

Eq. 5-3

NOTE: In saturated or water droplet-laden gas streams, two calculations of the moisture content of the stack gas shall be made, one from the impinger analysis (Equation 5-3), and a second from the assumption of saturated conditions. The lower of the two values of B_w shall be considered correct. The procedure for determining the moisture content based upon assumption of saturated conditions is given in the Note of Section 1.2 of Method 4. For the purposes of this method, the average stack gas temperature from Figure 5-2 may be used to make this determination, provided that the accuracy of the in-stack temperature sensor is $\pm 1^\circ \text{C}$ (2°F).

6.6 Acetone Blank Concentration.

$$C_a = \frac{m_a}{V_a P_a} \quad \text{Eq. 5-4}$$

6.7 Acetone Wash Blank.

$$W_a = C_a V_{w(sat)} \quad \text{Eq. 5-5}$$

6.8 Total Particulate Weight. Determine the total particulate catch from the sum of the weights obtained from Containers 1 and 2 less the acetone blank (see Figure 5-3).

NOTE: Refer to Section 4.1.5 to assist in calculation of results involving two or more filter assemblies or two or more sampling trains.

6.9 Particulate Concentration.

$$C_p = (0.001 \text{ g/mg}) (m_p / V_{m(sat)}) \quad \text{Eq. 5-6}$$

6.10 Conversion Factors:

From	To	Multiply by
scf	m ³	0.02832
g	mg	0.001
g/h ³	g/h ³	15.43
g/h ³	lb/h ³	2.205×10^{-3}
g/h ³	g/m ³	35.31

6.11 Isokinetic Variation.

6.11.1 Calculation From Raw Data.

$$I = \frac{100 T_s [K_1 V_w - (V_m Y / T_m) (P_{ws} - \Delta H / 13.6)]}{609 V_s P_s A_s} \quad \text{Eq. 5-7}$$

Where:

$K_1 = 0.003454 \text{ mm Hg} \cdot \text{m}^3/\text{ml} \cdot ^\circ \text{K}$ for metric units.
 $= 0.002669 \cdot \text{in. Hg} \cdot \text{ft}^3/\text{ml} \cdot ^\circ \text{R}$ for English units.

6.11.2 Calculation From Intermediate Values.

$$I = \frac{T_s V_{m(sat)} P_{std} 100}{T_{std} V_s A_s P_s 60 (1 - B_{ws})}$$

$$= K_1 \frac{T_s V_{m(sat)}}{P_s V_s A_s (1 - B_{ws})}$$

Equation 5-8

where:

$K_1 = 4.320$ for metric units
 $= 0.09450$ for English units.

6.12 Acceptable Results. If 90 percent $< I < 110$ percent, the results are acceptable. If the particulate results are low in comparison to the standard, and I is over 110 percent or less than 90 percent, the Administrator may accept the results. Citation 4 in the bibliography section can be used to make acceptability judgments. If I is judged to be unacceptable, reject the particulate results and repeat the test.

6.13 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate. Calculate the average stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate, if needed, using data obtained in this method and the equations in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of Method 2.

7. Alternative Procedures

7.1 Dry Gas Meter as a Calibration Standard. A dry gas meter may be used as a calibration standard for volume measurements in place of the wet test meter specified in Section 5.3, provided that it is calibrated initially and recalibrated periodically as follows:

7.1.1 Standard Dry Gas Meter Calibration.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

7.1.1.1 The dry gas meter to be calibrated and used as a secondary reference meter should be of high quality and have an appropriately sized capacity, e.g., 3 liters/rev (0.1 ft³/rev). A spirometer (400 liters or more capacity), or equivalent, may be used for this calibration, although a wet test meter is usually more practical. The wet test meter should have a capacity of 30 liters/rev (1 ft³/rev) and capable of measuring volume to within ± 1.0 percent; wet test meters should be checked against a spirometer or a liquid displacement meter to ensure the accuracy of the wet test meter. Spirometers or wet test meters of other sizes may be used, provided that the speci-

fied accuracies of the procedure are maintained.

7.1.1.2 Set up the components as shown in Figure 5.7. A spirometer, or equivalent, may be used in place of the wet test meter in the system. Run the pump for at least 5 minutes at a flow rate of about 10 liters/min (0.35 cfm) to condition the interior surface of the wet test meter. The pressure drop indicated by the manometer at the inlet side of the dry gas meter should be minimized (no greater than 100 mm H₂O (4 in. H₂O) at a flow rate of 30 liters/min (1 cfm)). This can be accomplished by using large diameter tubing connections and straight pipe fittings.

Figure 5.7 . Equipment arrangement for dry-gas meter calibration.

7.1.1.3 Collect the data as shown in the example data sheet (see Figure 5-8). Make triplicate runs at each of the flow rates and at no less than five different flow rates. The

range of flow rates should be between 10 and 34 liters/min (0.35 and 1.2 cfm) or over the expected operating range.

DATE

ONLY GAS METER IDENTIFICATION.

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE (P_b): _____ in Hg

[illegible]

$$\frac{(1000 \cdot 1)}{96} \cdot \frac{1}{18} = 59.11 \cdot 0$$

$$\frac{(1/\sqrt{V}) \cdot q_d}{q_d} = \frac{(1000 \cdot 1)}{(1000 \cdot 2)} \cdot \frac{2^2}{1^2} = 1^2$$

FIGURE 5.8 EXAMPLE DATA SHEET FOR CALIBRATION OF A STANDARD DRY GAS METER FOR METRIC & SAMPLING EQUIPMENT (ENGLISH UNIT)

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

7.1.1.4 Calculate flow rate, Q , for each run using the wet test meter gas volume, V_w , and the run time, θ . Calculate the dry gas meter coefficient, Y_{ds} , for each run. These calculations are as follows:

$$Q = K_1 \frac{P_{bar}}{t_w - t_{std}} \frac{V_w}{\theta}$$

$$Y_{ds} = \frac{V_w (t_{ds} + t_{std})}{V_{ds} (t_w + t_{std})} \frac{P_{bar}}{(P_{bar} - \frac{\Delta p}{13.6})}$$

Where:

K_1 = 0.3858 for international system of units (SI); 17.64 for English units.

V_w = Wet test meter volume, liters (ft³).

V_{ds} = Dry gas meter volume, liters (ft³).

t_{ds} = Average dry gas meter temperature, °C (°F).

t_{std} = 273° C for SI units; 460° F for English units.

t_w = Average wet test meter temperature, °C (°F).

P_{bar} = Barometric pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).

Δp = Dry gas meter inlet differential pressure, mm H₂O (in. H₂O).

θ = Run time, min.

7.1.1.5 Compare the three Y_{ds} values at each of the flow rates and determine the maximum and minimum values. The difference between the maximum and minimum values at each flow rate should be no greater than 0.030. Extra sets of triplicate runs may be made in order to complete this requirement. In addition, the meter coefficients should be between 0.95 and 1.05. If these specifications cannot be met in three sets of successive triplicate runs, the meter is not suitable as a calibration standard and should not be used as such. If these specifications are met, average the three Y_{ds} values at each flow rate resulting in five average meter coefficients, Y_{ds} .

7.1.1.6 Prepare a curve of meter coefficient, Y_{ds} , versus flow rate, Q , for the dry gas meter. This curve shall be used as a reference when the meter is used to calibrate other dry gas meters and to determine whether recalibration is required.

7.1.2 Standard Dry Gas Meter Recalibration.

7.1.2.1 Recalibrate the standard dry gas meter against a wet test meter or spirometer annually or after every 200 hours of operation, whichever comes first. This requirement is valid provided the standard dry gas

meter is kept in a laboratory and, if transported, cared for as any other laboratory instrument. Abuse to the standard meter may cause a change in the calibration and will require more frequent recalibrations.

7.1.2.2 As an alternative to full recalibration, a two-point calibration check may be made. Follow the same procedure and equipment arrangement as for a full recalibration, but run the meter at only two flow rates (suggested rates are 14 and 28 liters/min (0.5 and 1.0 cfm)). Calculate the meter coefficients for these two points, and compare the values with the meter calibration curve. If the two coefficients are within ± 1.5 percent of the calibration curve values at the same flow rates, the meter need not be recalibrated until the next date for a recalibration check.

7.2 Critical Orifices As Calibration Standards. Critical orifices may be used as calibration standards in place of the wet test meter specified in Section 5.3, provided that they are selected, calibrated, and used as follows:

7.2.1 Section of Critical Orifices.

7.2.1.1 The procedure that follows describes the use of hypodermic needles or stainless steel needle tubings which have been found suitable for use as critical orifices. Other materials and critical orifice designs may be used provided the orifices act as true critical orifices; i.e., a critical vacuum can be obtained, as described in Section 7.2.2.2.3. Select five critical orifices that are appropriately sized to cover the range of flow rates between 10 and 34 liters/min or the expected operating range. Two of the critical orifices should bracket the expected operating range.

A minimum of three critical orifices will be needed to calibrate a Method 5 dry gas meter (DGM); the other two critical orifices can serve as spares and provide better selection for bracketing the range of operating flow rates. The needle sizes and tubing lengths shown below give the following approximate flow rates:

Gauge/cm	Flow rate (liters/min)	Gauge/cm	Flow rate (liters/min)
12/7.6	32.56	14/2.5	19.54
12/10.2	30.02	14/5.1	17.27
13/2.5	25.77	14/7.6	16.14
13/5.1	23.50	15/3.2	14.16
13/7.6	22.37	15/7.6	11.61
13/10.2	20.67	15/10.2	10.48

7.2.1.2 These needles can be adapted to a Method 5 type sampling train as follows: Insert a serum bottle stopper, 13- by 20-mm sleeve type, into a 1/4-inch Swagelok quick connect. Insert the needle into the stopper as shown in Figure 5-9.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Figure 5-9. Critical orifice adaptation to Method 5 metering system.

7.2.2 Critical Orifice Calibration. The procedure described in this section uses the Method 5 meter box configuration with a DGM as described in Section 2.1.8 to calibrate the critical orifices. Other schemes may be used, subject to the approval of the Administrator.

7.2.2.1 Calibration of Meter Box. The critical orifices must be calibrated in the same configuration as they will be used; i.e., there should be no connections to the inlet of the orifice.

7.2.2.1.1 Before calibrating the meter box, leak check the system as follows: Fully open the coarse adjust valve, and completely close the by-pass valve. Plug the inlet. Then turn on the pump, and determine whether there is any leakage. The leakage rate shall be zero; i.e., no detectable move-

ment of the DGM dial shall be seen for 1 minute.

7.2.2.1.2 Check also for leakages in that portion of the sampling train between the pump and the orifice meter. See Section 5.6 for the procedure; make any corrections, if necessary. If leakage is detected, check for cracked gaskets, loose fittings, worn O-rings, etc., and make the necessary repairs.

7.2.2.1.3 After determining that the meter box is leakless, calibrate the meter box according to the procedure given in Section 5.3. Make sure that the wet test meter meets the requirements stated in Section 7.1.1.1. Check the water level in the wet test meter. Record the DGM calibration factor, Y.

7.2.2.2 Calibration of Critical Orifices. Set up the apparatus as shown in Figure 5-10.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

Figure 5-10. Apparatus setup.

7.2.2.2.1 Allow a warm-up time of 15 minutes. This step is important to equilibrate the temperature conditions through the DGM.

7.2.2.2.2 Leak check the system as in Section 7.2.2.1.1. The leakage rate shall be zero.

7.2.2.2.3 Before calibrating the critical orifice, determine its suitability and the appropriate operating vacuum as follows: Turn on the pump, fully open the coarse adjust valve, and adjust the by-pass valve to give a vacuum reading corresponding to about half of atmospheric pressure. Observe the meter box orifice manometer reading, H . Slowly increase the vacuum reading until a stable reading is obtained on the meter box orifice manometer. Record the critical vacuum for each orifice.

Orifices that do not reach a critical value shall not be used.

7.2.2.2.4 Obtain the barometric pressure using a barometer as described in Section 2.1.9. Record the barometric pressure, P_{bar} , in mm Hg (in. Hg).

7.2.2.2.5 Conduct duplicate runs at a vacuum of 25 to 50 mm Hg (1 to 2 in. Hg) above the critical vacuum. The runs shall be at least 5 minutes each. The DGM volume readings shall be in increments of 0.00283 m³ (0.1 ft³) or in increments of complete revolutions of the DGM. As a guideline, the times should not differ by more than 3.0 seconds (this includes allowance for changes in the DGM temperatures) to achieve ± 0.5 percent in K' . Record the information listed in Figure 5-11.

7.2.2.2.6 Calculate K' using Equation 5-9.

The ALMEGA Corporation

Where:

$$K' = \frac{K_1 V_m Y (P_{bar} + \Delta H/13.6) \sqrt{T_{amb}}}{P_{bar} T_m \Theta}$$

Eq. 5-9

K' = Critical orifice coefficient.

$$\frac{(m^3)(^{\circ}K)^{1/2}}{(\text{mm. Hg})(\text{min})} \left[\frac{(ft^3)(^{\circ}R)^{1/2}}{(\text{in. Hg})(\text{min})} \right]$$

T_{amb} = Absolute ambient temperature, $^{\circ}K$ ($^{\circ}R$).

Average the K' values. The individual K' values should not differ by more than ± 0.5 percent from the average.

7.2.3 Using the Critical Orifices as Calibration Standards.

7.2.3.1 Record the barometric pressure.

Date _____ Train ID _____ DGM cal. factor _____ Critical orifice ID _____

$$V_m(\text{std}) = K_1 V_m \frac{P_{bar} + (\Delta H/13.6)}{T_m}$$

Eq. 5-10

$$V_{cr}(\text{std}) = K' \frac{P_{bar} \Theta}{T_{amb}}$$

Eq. 5-11

$$Y = \frac{V_{cr(\text{std})}}{V_{m(\text{std})}}$$

Eq. 5-12

Dry gas meter		Run number	
		1	2
Final reading.....	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
Initial reading.....	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
Difference, V_m	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
Inlet/Outlet temperatures:			
Initial.....	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$	/	/
Final.....	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$	/	/
Avg. Temperature, T_m	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$		
Time, Θ	min/sec.....	/	/
Orifice man. rdg., ΔH	mm (in.) H_2O		
Bar. pressure, P_{bar}	mm (in.) Hg.....		
Ambient temperature, T_{amb}	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$		
Pump vacuum.....	mm (in.) Hg.....		
K' factor.....			
Average.....			

Figure 5-11. Data sheet for determining K' factor.

7.2.3.2 Calibrate the metering system according to the procedure outlined in Sections 7.2.2.2.1 to 7.2.2.2.5. Record the information listed in Figure 5.12.

7.2.3.3 Calculate the standard volumes of air passed through the DGM and the critical orifices, and calculate the DGM calibration factor, Y , using the equations below:

where:

$V_{cr(\text{std})}$ = Volume of gas sample passed through the critical orifice, corrected to standard conditions, $ds m^3$ (dscf).

$K_1 = 0.3858$ $^{\circ}K/\text{mm Hg}$ for metric units
 $= 17.64$ $^{\circ}R/\text{in. Hg}$ for English units.

7.2.3.4 Average the DGM calibration values for each of the flow rates. The calibration factor, Y , at each of the flow rates should not differ by more than ± 2 percent from the average.

7.2.3.5 To determine the need for recalibrating the critical orifices, compare the DGM Y factors obtained from two adjacent orifices each time a DGM is calibrated; for example, when checking 13/2.5, use orifices 12/10.2 and 13/5.1. If any critical orifice yields a DGM Y factor differing by more than 2 percent from the others, recalibrate the critical orifice according to Section 7.2.2.2.

Date _____ Train ID _____ Critical orifice ID _____ Critical orifice K' factor _____

Dry gas meter		Run number	
		1	2
Final reading.....	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
Initial reading.....	$m^3 (ft^3)$		

The Almega Corporation

Dry gas meter		Run number	
		1	2
Difference, V_a	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
Inlet/outlet temperatures:			
Initial	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$	/	/
Final	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$	/	/
Avg. Temperature, t_a	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$		
Time, Θ	min/sec	/	/
	min.		
Orifice man. rdg., ΔH	mm (in.)		
	H ₂ O		
Bar. pressure, P_{bar}	mm (in.) Hg		
Ambient temperature, t_{amb}	$^{\circ}C (^{\circ}F)$		
Pump vacuum	mm (in.) Hg		
V_{actual}	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
$V_{corrected}$	$m^3 (ft^3)$		
DGM cal. factor, Y			

Figure 5-12. Data sheet for determining DGM Y factor.

8. Bibliography

1. Addendum to Specifications for Incinerator Testing at Federal Facilities. PHS. NCAPC. Dec. 6, 1967.
2. Martin, Robert M. Construction Details of Isokinetic Source-Sampling Equipment. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC. APTD-0581. April 1971.
3. Rom, Jerome J. Maintenance, Calibration, and Operation of Isokinetic Source Sampling Equipment. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC. APTD-0576. March, 1972.
4. Smith, W. S., R. T. Shigehara, and W. F. Todd. A Method of Interpreting Stack Sampling Data. Paper Presented at the 63d Annual Meeting of the Air Pollution Control Association, St. Louis, MO, June 14-19, 1970.
5. Smith, W. S., et al. Stack Gas Sampling Improved and Simplified With New Equipment. APCA Paper No. 67-119. 1967.
6. Specifications for Incinerator Testing at Federal Facilities. PHS. NCAPC. 1967.
7. Shigehara, R. T. Adjustments in the EPA Nomograph for Different Pitot Tube Coefficients and Dry Molecular Weights. Stack Sampling News 2:4-11, October, 1974.
8. Vollaro, R. F. A Survey of Commercially Available Instrumentation For the Measurement of Low-Range Gas Velocities. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Emission Measurement Branch. Research Triangle Park, NC. November, 1976 (unpublished paper).
9. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Part 26. Gaseous Fuels; Coal and Coke; Atmospheric Analysis. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, PA. 1974. pp. 617-622.
10. Felix, L. G., G. I. Clinard, G. E. Lacey, and J. D. McCain. Inertial Cascade Impac-

tor Substrate Media for Flue Gas Sampling. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC 27711. Publication No. EPA-600/7-77-060. June 1977. 83 p.

11. Westlin, P. R. and R. T. Shigehara. Procedure for Calibrating and Using Dry Gas Volume Meters as Calibration Standards. Source Evaluation Society Newsletter. 3(1):17-30. February 1978.

12. Lodge, J.P., Jr., J.B. Pate, B.E. Ammons, and G.A. Swanson. The Use of Hypodermic Needles as Critical Orifices in Air Sampling. J. Air Pollution Control Association. 16:197-200. 1966.

APPENDIX F

METHOD 6C

DETERMINATION OF SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS
FROM STATIONARY SOURCES
(INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER PROCEDURE)

The ALMEGA Corporation

1. Applicability and Principle.

1.1 *Applicability.* This method is applicable to the determination of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations.

1.2 *Principle.* A gas sample is continuously extracted from a stack, and a portion of the sample is conveyed to an instrumental analyzer for determination of SO_2 gas concentration using an ultraviolet (UV), nondispersive infrared (NDIR), or fluorescence analyzer. Performance specifications and test procedures are provided to ensure reliable data.

2. Range and Sensitivity

2.1 *Analytical Range.* The analytical range is determined by the instrumental design. For this method, a portion of the analytical range is selected by choosing the span of the monitoring system. The span of the monitoring system shall be selected such that the pollutant gas concentration equivalent to the emission standard is not less than 30 percent of the span. If at any time during a run the measured gas concentration exceeds the span, the run shall be considered invalid.

2.2 *Sensitivity.* The minimum detectable limit depends on the analytical range, span, and signal-to-noise ratio of the measurement system. For a well designed system, the minimum detectable limit should be less than 2 percent of the span.

3. Definitions

3.1 *Measurement System.* The total equipment required for the determination of gas concentration. The measurement system consists of the following major subsystems:

3.1.1 *Sample Interface.* That portion of a system used for one or more of the following: sample acquisition, sample transport, sample conditioning, or protection of the analyzers from the effects of the stack effluent.

3.1.2 *Gas Analyzer.* That portion of the system that senses the gas to be measured and generates an output proportional to its concentration.

3.1.3 *Data Recorder.* A strip chart recorder, analog computer, or digital recorder for recording measurement data from the analyzer output.

3.2 *Span.* The upper limit of the gas concentration measurement range displayed on the data recorder.

3.3 *Calibration Gas.* A known concentration of a gas in an appropriate diluent gas.

3.4 *Analyzer Calibration Error.* The difference between the gas concentration exhibited by the gas analyzer and the known concentration of the calibration gas when the calibration gas is introduced directly to the analyzer.

3.5 *Sampling System Bias.* The difference between the gas concentrations exhibited by the measurement system when a known concentration gas is introduced at the outlet of the sampling probe and when the same gas is introduced directly to the analyzer.

3.6 *Zero Drift.* The difference in the measurement system output reading from the initial calibration response at the zero concentration level after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.7 *Calibration Drift.* The difference in the measurement system output reading from the initial calibration response at a mid-range calibration value after a stated period of operation during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place.

3.8 *Response Time.* The amount of time required for the measurement system to display 95 percent of a step change in gas concentration on the data recorder.

3.9 *Interference Check.* A method for detecting analytical interferences and excessive biases through direct comparison of gas concentrations provided by the measurement system and by a modified Method 6 procedure. For this check, the modified Method 6 samples are acquired at the sample by-pass discharge vent.

3.10 *Calibration Curve.* A graph or other systematic method of establishing the relationship between the analyzer response and the actual gas concentration introduced to the analyzer.

4. Measurement System Performance Specifications

4.1 *Analyzer Calibration Error.* Less than ± 2 percent of the span for the zero, mid-range, and high-range calibration gases.

4.2 *Sampling System Bias.* Less than ± 5 percent of the span for the zero, and mid- or high-range calibration gases.

4.3 *Zero Drift.* Less than ± 3 percent of the span over the period of each run.

4.4 *Calibration Drift.* Less than ± 3 percent of the span over the period of each run.

4.5 *Interference Check.* Less than ± 7 percent of the modified Method 6 result for each run.

5. Apparatus and Reagents

5.1 *Measurement System.* Any measurement system for SO_2 that meets the specifications of this method. A schematic of an acceptable measurement system is shown in Figure 6C-1. The essential components of the measurement system are described below:

5.1.1 *Sample Probe.* Glass, stainless steel, or equivalent, of sufficient length to traverse the sample points. The sampling probe shall be heated to prevent condensation.

5.1.2 *Sample Line.* Heated (sufficient to prevent condensation) stainless steel or Teflon tubing, to transport the sample gas to the moisture removal system.

5.1.3 *Sample Transport Lines.* Stainless steel or Teflon tubing, to transport the sample from the moisture removal system to the sample pump, sample flow rate control, and sample gas manifold.

5.1.4 Calibration Valve Assembly. A three-way valve assembly, or equivalent, for blocking the sample gas flow and introducing calibration gases to the measurement system at the outlet of the sampling probe when in the calibration mode.

5.1.5 Moisture Removal System. A refrigerator-type condenser or similar device (e.g., permeation dryer), to remove condensate continuously from the sample gas while maintaining minimal contact between the condensate and the sample gas. The moisture removal system is not necessary for analyzers that can measure gas concentrations on a wet basis; for these analyzers, (1) heat the sample line and all interface components up to the inlet of the analyzer sufficiently to prevent condensation, and (2) determine the moisture content and correct the measured gas concentrations to a dry basis using appropriate methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator. The determination of sample moisture content is not necessary for pollutant analyzers that measure concentrations on a wet basis when (1) a wet basis CO₂ analyzer operated according to Method 3A is used to obtain simultaneous measurements, and (2) the pollutant/CO₂ measurements are used to determine emissions in units of the standard.

5.1.6 Particulate Filter. An in-stack or heated (sufficient to prevent water condensation) out-of-stack filter. The filter shall be borosilicate or quartz glass wool, or glass fiber mat. Additional filters at the inlet or outlet of the moisture removal system and inlet of the analyzer may be used to prevent accumulation of particulate material in the measurement system and extend the useful life of the components. All filters shall be fabricated of materials that are nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.7 Sample Pump. A leak-free pump, to pull the sample gas through the system at a flow rate sufficient to minimize the response time of the measurement system. The pump may be constructed of any material that is nonreactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.8 Sample Flow Rate Control. A sample flow rate control valve and rotameter, or equivalent, to maintain a constant sampling rate within 10 percent.

(NOTE: The tester may elect to install a back-pressure regulator to maintain the sample gas manifold at a constant pressure in order to protect the analyzer(s) from overpressurization, and to minimize the need for flow rate adjustments.)

5.1.9 Sample Gas Manifold. A sample gas manifold, to divert a portion of the sample gas stream to the analyzer, and the remainder to the by-pass discharge vent. The sample gas manifold should also include provisions for introducing calibration gases directly to the analyzer. The manifold may

be constructed of any material that is non-reactive to the gas being sampled.

5.1.10 Gas Analyzer. A UV or NDIR absorption or fluorescence analyzer, to determine continuously the SO₂ concentration in the sample gas stream. The analyzer shall meet the applicable performance specifications of Section 4. A means of controlling the analyzer flow rate and a device for determining proper sample flow rate (e.g., precision rotameter, pressure gauge downstream of all flow controls, etc.) shall be provided at the analyzer.

(NOTE: Housing the analyzer(s) in a clean, thermally-stable, vibration-free environment will minimize drift in the analyzer calibration.)

5.1.11 Data Recorder. A strip chart recorder, analog computer, or digital recorder, for recording measurement data. The data recorder resolution (i.e., readability) shall be 0.5 percent of span. Alternatively, a digital or analog meter having a resolution of 0.5 percent of span may be used to obtain the analyzer responses and the readings may be recorded manually. If this alternative is used, the readings shall be obtained at equally spaced intervals over the duration of the sampling run. For sampling run durations of less than 1 hour, measurements at 1-minute intervals or a minimum of 30 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be obtained. For sampling run durations greater than 1 hour, measurements at 2-minute intervals or a minimum of 96 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be obtained.

5.2 Method 6 Apparatus and Reagents. The apparatus and reagents described in Method 6, and shown by the schematic of the sampling train in Figure 6C-2, to conduct the interference check.

5.3 SO₂ Calibration Gases. The calibration gases for the gas analyzer shall be SO₂ in N₂ or SO₂ in air. Alternatively, SO₂/CO₂, SO₂/O₂, or SO₂/CO₂/O₂ gas mixtures in N₂ may be used. For fluorescence-based analyzers, the O₂ and CO₂ concentrations of the calibration gases as introduced to the analyzer shall be within 1 percent (absolute) O₂ and 1 percent (absolute) CO₂ of the O₂ and CO₂ concentrations of the effluent samples as introduced to the analyzer. Alternatively, for fluorescence-based analyzers, use calibration blends of SO₂ in air and the nomographs provided by the vendor to determine the quenching correction factor (the effluent O₂ and CO₂ concentrations must be known). Use three calibration gases as specified below:

5.3.1 High-Range Gas. Concentration equivalent to 80 to 90 percent of the span.

5.3.2 Mid-Range Gas. Concentration equivalent to 50 to 60 percent of the span.

5.3.3 Zero Gas. Concentration of less than 0.25 percent of the span. Purified ambient air may be used for the zero gas by passing air through a charcoal filter, or through one or more impingers containing a solution of 3 percent H_2O_2 .

6. Measurement System Performance Test Procedures

Perform the following procedures before measurement of emissions (Section 7).

6.1 Calibration Gas Concentration Verification. There are two alternatives for establishing the concentrations of calibration gases. Alternative Number 1 is preferred.

6.1.1 Alternative Number 1—Use of calibration gases that are analyzed following the Environmental Protection Agency Traceability Protocol Number 1 (see Citation 1 in the Bibliography). Obtain a certification from the gas manufacturer that Protocol Number 1 was followed.

6.1.2 Alternative Number 2—Use of calibration gases not prepared according to Protocol Number 1. If this alternative is chosen, obtain gas mixtures with a manufacturer's tolerance not to exceed ± 2 percent of the tag value. Within 6 months before the emission test, analyze each of the calibration gases in triplicate using Method 6. Citation 2 in the Bibliography describes procedures and techniques that may be used for this analysis. Record the results on a data sheet (example is shown in Figure 6C-3). Each of the individual SO_2 analytical results for each calibration gas shall be within 5 percent (or 5 ppm, whichever is greater) of the triplicate set average; otherwise, discard the entire set, and repeat the triplicate analyses. If the average of the triplicate analyses is within 5 percent of the calibration gas manufacturer's cylinder tag value, use the tag value; otherwise, conduct at least three additional analyses until the results of six consecutive runs agree with 5 percent (or 5 ppm, whichever is greater) of their average. Then use this average for the cylinder value.

6.2 Measurement System Preparation. Assemble the measurement system by following the manufacturer's written instructions for preparing and preconditioning the gas analyzer and, as applicable, the other system components. Introduce the calibration gases in any sequence, and make all necessary adjustments to calibrate the analyzer and the data recorder. Adjust system components to achieve correct sampling rates.

6.3 Analyzer Calibration Error. Conduct the analyzer calibration error check by introducing calibration gases to the measurement system at any point upstream of the gas analyzer as follows:

6.3.1 After the measurement system has been prepared for use, introduce the zero, mid-range, and high-range gases to the ana-

lyzer. During this check, make no adjustments to the system except those necessary to achieve the correct calibration gas flow rate at the analyzer. Record the analyzer responses to each calibration gas on a form similar to Figure 6C-4.

NOTE.—A calibration curve established prior to the analyzer calibration error check may be used to convert the analyzer response to the equivalent gas concentration introduced to the analyzer. However, the same correction procedure shall be used for all effluent and calibration measurements obtained during the test.

6.3.2 The analyzer calibration error check shall be considered invalid if the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer exceeds ± 2 percent of the span for any of the calibration gases. If an invalid calibration is exhibited, take corrective action, and repeat the analyzer calibration error check until acceptable performance is achieved.

6.4 Sampling System Bias Check. Perform the sampling system bias check by introducing calibration gases at the calibration valve installed at the outlet of the sampling probe. A zero gas and either the mid-range or high-range gas, whichever most closely approximates the effluent concentrations, shall be used for this check as follows:

6.4.1 Introduce the upscale calibration gas, and record the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer on a form similar to Figure 6C-6. Then introduce zero gas, and record the gas concentration displayed by the analyzer. During the sampling system bias check, operate the system at the normal sampling rate, and make no adjustments to the measurement system other than those necessary to achieve proper calibration gas flow rates at the analyzer. Alternately introduce the zero and upscale gases until a stable response is achieved. The tester shall determine the measurement system response time by observing the times required to achieve a stable response for both the zero and upscale gases. Note the longer of the two times as the response time.

6.4.2 The sampling system bias check shall be considered invalid if the difference between the gas concentrations displayed by the measurement system for the analyzer calibration error check and for the sampling system bias check exceeds ± 5 percent of the span for either the zero or upscale calibration gas. If an invalid calibration is exhibited, take corrective action, and repeat the sampling system bias check until acceptable performance is achieved. If adjustment to the analyzer is required, first repeat the analyzer calibration error check, then repeat the sampling system bias check.

7. Emission Test Procedure

7.1 Selection of Sampling Site and Sampling Points. Select a measurement site and sampling points using the same criteria that are applicable to Method 6.

7.2 Interference Check Preparation. For each individual analyzer, conduct an interference check for at least three runs during the initial field test on a particular source category. Retain the results, and report them with each test performed on that source category.

If an interference check is being performed, assemble the modified Method 6 train (flow control valve, two midjet impingers containing 3 percent H_2O_2 , and dry gas meter) as shown in Figure 6C-2. Install the sampling train to obtain a sample at the measurement system sample by-pass discharge vent. Record the initial dry gas meter reading.

7.3 Sample Collection. Position the sampling probe at the first measurement point, and begin sampling at the same rate as used during the sampling system bias check. Maintain constant rate sampling (i.e., ± 10 percent) during the entire run. The sampling time per run shall be the same as for Method 6 plus twice the system response time. For each run, use only those measurements obtained after twice response time of the measurement system has elapsed, to determine the average effluent concentration. If an interference check is being performed, open the flow control valve on the modified Method 6 train concurrent with the initiation of the sampling period, and adjust the flow to 1 liter per minute (± 10 percent).

(NOTE: If a pump is not used in the modified Method 6 train, caution should be exercised in adjusting the flow rate since overpressurization of the impingers may cause leakage in the impinger train, resulting in positively biased results).

7.4 Zero and Calibration Drift Tests. Immediately preceding and following each run, or if adjustments are necessary for the measurement system during the run, repeat the sampling system bias check procedure described in Section 6.4 (Make no adjustments to the measurement system until after the drift checks are completed.) Record and analyzer's responses on a form similar to Figure 6C-5.

7.4.1 If either the zero or upscale calibration value exceeds the sampling system bias specification, then the run is considered invalid. Repeat both the analyzer calibration error check procedure (Section 6.3) and the sampling system bias check procedure (Section 6.4) before repeating the run.

7.4.2 If both the zero and upscale calibration values are within the sampling system bias specification, then use the average of the initial and final bias check values to calculate the gas concentration for the run. If the zero or upscale calibration drift value

exceeds the drift limits, based on the difference between the sampling system bias check responses immediately before and after the run, repeat both the analyzer calibration error check procedure (Section 6.3) and the sampling system bias check procedure (Section 6.4) before conducting additional runs.

7.5 Interference Check (if performed). After completing the run, record the final dry gas meter reading, meter temperature, and barometric pressure. Recover and analyze the contents of the midjet impingers, and determine the SO_2 gas concentration using the procedures of Method 6. (It is not necessary to analyze EPA performance audit samples for Method 6.) Determine the average gas concentration exhibited by the analyzer for the run. If the gas concentrations provided by the analyzer and the modified Method 6 differ by more than 7 percent of the modified Method 6 result, the run is invalidated.

8. Emission Calculation

The average gas effluent concentration is determined from the average gas concentration displayed by the gas analyzer, and is adjusted for the zero and upscale sampling system bias checks, as determined in accordance with Section 7.4. The average gas concentration displayed by the analyzer may be determined by integration of the area under the curve for chart recorders, or by averaging all of the effluent measurements. Alternatively, the average may be calculated from measurements recorded at equally spaced intervals over the entire duration of the run. For sampling run durations of less than 1 hour, measurements at 1-minute intervals or a minimum of 30 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be used. For sampling run durations greater than 1 hour, measurements at 2-minute intervals or a minimum of 96 measurements, whichever is less restrictive, shall be used. Calculate the effluent gas concentration using Equation 6C-1.

$$C_{\text{gas}} = (\bar{C} - C_0) \frac{C_{\text{ma}}}{C_{\text{m}} - C_0}$$

Eq. 6C-1

Where:

C_{gas} = Effluent gas concentration, dry basis, ppm.

$\bar{C}$ = Average gas concentration indicated by gas analyzer, dry basis, ppm.

C_0 = Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the zero gas, ppm.

C_m = Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the upscale calibration gas, ppm.

C_{ma} = Actual concentration of the upscale calibration gas, ppm.

9. Bibliography

1. Traceability Protocol for Establishing True Concentrations of Gases Used for Calibrations and Audits of Continuous Source

Emission Monitors: Protocol Number 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Quality Assurance Division. Research Triangle Park, NC, June 1978.

2. Westlin, Peter R. and J. W. Brown. Methods for Collecting and Analyzing Gas Cylinder Samples. Source Evaluation Society Newsletter. 3(3):5-15. September 1978.

Figure 6C-1. Measurement system schematic.

Figure 6C-2. Interference check sampling train.

Date _____
 Analytic method used _____

FIGURE 6C-3—ANALYSIS OF CALIBRATION
 GASES

	Gas concentration (indicate units)		
	Zero ^a	Mid-range ^b	High-range ^c
Sample run:			
1.....			
2.....			
3.....			
Average.....			
Maximum percent deviation.....			

- ^a Average must be less than 0.25 percent of span.
^b Average must be 50 to 60 percent of span.
^c Average must be 80 to 90 percent of span.

Source identification: _____
 Test personnel: _____

Date: _____
 Analyzer calibration data _____
 for sampling runs: _____
 Span: _____

FIGURE 6C-4—ANALYZER CALIBRATION DATA

	Cylinder value	Analyzer calibration response (indicate units)	Absolute difference	Difference (percent of span)
Zero gas.....				
Mid-range gas.....				
High-range gas.....				

Source identification: _____
 Test personnel: _____
 Date: _____
 Run number: _____
 Span: _____

	Analyzer calibration response	Initial values		Final values	
		System calibration response	System cal. bias (percent of span)	(percent of span)	
				System cal. bias	Drift
Zero gas.....					
Upscale gas.....					

$$\text{System Calibration Bias} = \frac{\text{System Cal. Response} - \text{Analyzer Cal. Response}}{\text{Span}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Drift} = \frac{\text{Final System Cal. Response} - \text{Initial System Cal. Response}}{\text{Span}} \times 100$$

Figure 6C-5. System calibration bias and drift data.

APPENDIX G

METHOD 7E

DETERMINATION OF NITROGEN OXIDES EMISSIONS
FROM STATIONARY SOURCES
(INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER PROCEDURE)

1. Applicability and Principle

1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable to the determination of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) concentrations in emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations.

1.2 Principle. A gas sample is continuously extracted from a stack, and a portion of the sample is conveyed to an instrumental chemiluminescent analyzer for determination of NO_x concentration. Performance specifications and test procedures are provided to ensure reliable data.

2. Range and Sensitivity

Same as Method 6C, Sections 2.1 and 2.2.

3. Definitions

3.1 Measurement System. The total equipment required for the determination of NO_x concentration. The measurement system consists of the following major subsystems:

3.1.1 Sample Interface, Gas Analyzer, and Data Recorder. Same as Method 6C, Sections 3.1.1, 3.1.2, and 3.1.3.

3.1.2 NO_x to NO Converter. A device that converts the nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) in the sample gas to nitrogen oxide (NO).

3.2 Span, Calibration Gas, Analyzer Calibration Error, Sampling System Bias, Zero Drift, Calibration Drift, and Response Time. Same as Method 6C, Sections 3.2 through 3.8.

3.3 Interference Response. The output response of the measurement system to a component in the sample gas, other than the gas component being measured.

4. Measurement System Performance Specifications

Same as Method 6C, Sections 4.1 through 4.4.

5. Apparatus and Reagents

5.1 Measurement System. Any measurement system for NO_x that meets the specifications of this method. A schematic of an acceptable measurement system is shown in Figure 6C-1 of Method 6C. The essential components of the measurement system are described below:

5.1.1 Sample Probe, Sample Line, Calibration Valve Assembly, Moisture Removal System, Particulate Filter, Sample Pump, Sample Flow Rate Control, Sample Gas Manifold, and Data Recorder. Same as Method 6C, Sections 5.1.1 through 5.1.9, and 5.1.11.

5.1.2 NO_2 to NO Converter. That portion of the system that converts the nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) in the sample gas to nitrogen oxide (NO). An NO_2 to NO converter is not necessary if data are presented to demonstrate that the NO_2 portion of the exhaust gas is less than 5 percent of the total NO_x concentration.

5.1.3 NO_x Analyzer. An analyzer based on the principles of chemiluminescence, to determine continuously the NO_x concentration in the sample gas stream. The analyzer shall meet the applicable performance specifications of Section 4. A means of controlling the analyzer flow rate and a device for determining proper sample flow rate (e.g., precision rotameter, pressure gauge downstream of all flow controls, etc.) shall be provided at the analyzer.

5.2 NO_x Calibration Gases. The calibration gases for the NO_x analyzer shall be NO in N_2 . Three calibration gases, as specified in Sections 5.3.1 through 5.3.3. of Method 6C, shall be used. Ambient air may be used for the zero gas.

6. Measurement System Performance Test Procedures

Perform the following procedures before measurement of emissions (Section 7).

6.1 Calibration Gas Concentration Verification. Follow Section 6.1 of Method 6C, except if calibration gas analysis is required, use Method 7, and change all 5 percent performance values to 10 percent (or 10 ppm, whichever is greater).

6.2 Interference Response. Conduct an interference response test of the analyzer prior to its initial use in the field. Thereafter, recheck the measurement system if changes are made in the instrumentation that could alter the interference response (e.g., changes in the gas detector). Conduct the interference response in accordance with Section 5.4 of Method 20.

6.3 Measurement System Preparation, Analyzer Calibration Error, and Sample System Bias Check. Follow Sections 6.2 through 6.4 of Method 6C.

6.4 NO₂ to NO Conversion Efficiency. Unless data are presented to demonstrate that the NO₂ concentration within the sample stream is not greater than 5 percent of the NO_x concentration, conduct an NO₂ to NO conversion efficiency test in accordance with Section 5.6 of Method 20.

7. Emission Test Procedure

7.1 Selection of Sampling Site and Sampling Points. Select a measurement site and sampling points using the same criteria that are applicable to tests performed using Method 7.

7.2 Sample Collection. Position the sampling probe at the first measurement point, and begin sampling at the same rate as used during the system calibration drift test. Maintain constant rate sampling (i.e., ± 10 percent) during the entire run. The sampling time per run shall be the same as the total time required to perform a run using Method 7, plus twice the system response time. For each run, use only those measurements obtained after twice the response time of the measurement system has elapsed, to determine the average effluent concentration.

7.3 Zero and Calibration Drift Test. Follow Section 7.4 of Method 6C.

8. Emission Calculation

Follow Section 8 of Method 6C.

9. Bibliography

Same as bibliography of Method 6C.

APPENDIX H

METHOD 8

DETERMINATION OF SULFURIC ACID MIST AND
SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

1. Principle and Applicability

1.1 Principle. A gas sample is extracted isokinetically from the stack. The sulfuric acid mist (including sulfur trioxide) and the sulfur dioxide are separated, and both fractions are measured separately by the barium-thorin titration method.

1.2 Applicability. This method is applicable for the determination of sulfuric acid mist (including sulfur trioxide, and in the absence of other particulate matter) and sulfur dioxide emissions from stationary sources. Collaborative tests have shown that the minimum detectable limits of the method are 0.05 milligrams/cubic meter (0.03×10^{-7} pounds/cubic foot) for sulfur trioxide and 1.2 mg/m³ (0.74×10^{-7} lb/ft³) for sulfur dioxide. No upper limits have been established. Based on theoretical calculations for 200 milliliters of 3 percent hydrogen peroxide solution, the upper concentration limit for sulfur dioxide in a 1.0 m³ (35.3 ft³) gas sample is about 12,500 mg/m³ (7.7×10^{-4} lb/ft³). The upper limit can be extended by

increasing the quantity of peroxide solution in the impingers.

Possible interfering agents of this method are fluorides, free ammonia, and dimethyl aniline. If any of these interfering agents are present (this can be determined by knowledge of the process), alternative methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, U. S. E. P. A., are required.

Filterable particulate matter may be determined along with SO₂ and SO₃ (subject to the approval of the Administrator) by inserting a heated glass fiber filter between the probe and isopropanol impinger (see Section 2.1 of Method 6.) If this option is chosen, particulate analysis is gravimetric only; H₂SO₄ acid mist is not determined separately.

2. Apparatus

2.1 Sampling. A schematic of the sampling train used in this method is shown in Figure 8-1; it is similar to the Method 5 train except that the filter position is different and the filter holder does not have to be heated. Commercial models of this train are

available. For those who desire to build their own, however, complete construction details are described in APTD-0581. Changes from the APTD-0581 document and allowable modifications to Figure 8-1 are discussed in the following subsections.

The operating and maintenance procedures for the sampling train are described in APTD-0576. Since correct usage is important in obtaining valid results, all users should read the APTD-0576 document and adopt the operating and maintenance procedures outlined in it, unless otherwise specified herein. Further details and guidelines on operation and maintenance are given in Method 5 and should be read and followed whenever they are applicable.

2.1.1 Probe Nozzle. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.1.

2.1.2 Probe Liner. Borosilicate or quartz glass, with a heating system to prevent visible condensation during sampling. Do not use metal probe liners.

2.1.3 Pitot Tube. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.3.

Figure 8-1. Sulfuric acid mist sampling train.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

2.1.4 Differential Pressure Gauge. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.4.

2.1.5 Filter Holder. Borosilicate glass, with a glass frit filter support and a silicone rubber gasket. Other gasket materials, e.g., Teflon or Viton, may be used subject to the approval of the Administrator. The holder design shall provide a positive seal against leakage from the outside or around the filter. The filter holder shall be placed between the first and second impingers. Note: Do not heat the filter holder.

2.1.6 Impingers. Four, as shown in Figure 8-1. The first and third shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design with standard tips. The second and fourth shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design, modified by replacing the insert with an approximately 13 millimeter (0.5 in.) ID glass tube, having an unobstructed tip located 13 mm (0.5 in.) from the bottom of the flask. Similar collection systems, which have been approved by the Administrator, may be used.

2.1.7 Metering System. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.8.

2.1.8 Barometer. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.9.

2.1.9 Gas Density Determination Equipment. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.10.

2.1.10 Temperature Gauge. Thermometer, or equivalent, to measure the temperature of the gas leaving the impinger train to within 1° C (2° F).

2.2 Sample Recovery.

2.2.1 Wash Bottles. Polyethylene or glass, 500 ml. (two).

2.2.2 Graduated Cylinders. 250 ml, 1 liter. (Volumetric flasks may also be used).

2.2.3 Storage Bottles. Leak-free polyethylene bottles, 1000 ml size (two for each sampling run).

2.2.4 Trip Balance. 500-gram capacity, to measure to ± 0.5 g (necessary only if a moisture content analysis is to be done).

2.3 Analysis.

2.3.1 Pipettes. Volumetric 25 ml, 100 ml.

2.3.2 Burette, 50 ml.

2.3.3 Erlenmeyer Flask. 250 ml. (one for each sample, blank, and standard).

2.3.4 Graduated Cylinder. 100 ml.

2.3.5 Trip Balance. 500 g capacity, to measure to ± 0.5 g.

2.3.6 Dropping Bottle. To add indicator solution, 125-ml size.

3. Reagents

Unless otherwise indicated, all reagents are to conform to the specifications established by the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society, where such specifications are available. Otherwise, use the best available grade.

3.1 Sampling.

3.1.1 Filters. Same as Method 5, Section 3.1.1.

3.1.2 Silica Gel. Same as Method 5, Section 3.1.2.

3.1.3 Water. Deionized, distilled to conform to ASTM Specification D1193-77, Type 3 (incorporated by reference—see §60.17). At the option of the analyst, the KMnO_4 test for oxidizable organic matter may be omitted when high concentrations of organic matter are not expected to be present.

3.1.4 Isopropanol. 80 Percent. Mix 800 ml of isopropanol with 200 ml of deionized, distilled water.

NOTE: Experience has shown that only A.C.S. grade isopropanol is satisfactory. Tests have shown that isopropanol obtained from commercial sources occasionally has peroxide impurities that will cause errone-

ously high sulfuric acid mist measurement. Use the following test for detecting peroxides in each lot of isopropanol: Shake 10 ml of the isopropanol with 10 ml of freshly prepared 10 percent potassium iodide solution. Prepare a blank by similarly treating 10 ml of distilled water. After 1 minute, read the absorbance on a spectrophotometer at 352 nanometers. If the absorbance exceeds 0.1, the isopropanol shall not be used. Peroxides may be removed from isopropanol by redistilling, or by passage through a column of activated alumina. However, reagent grade isopropanol with suitably low peroxide levels is readily available from commercial sources; therefore, rejection of contaminated lots may be more efficient than following the peroxide removal procedure.

3.1.5 Hydrogen Peroxide. 3 Percent. Dilute 100 ml of 30 percent hydrogen peroxide to 1 liter with deionized, distilled water. Prepare fresh daily.

3.1.6 Crushed Ice.

3.2 Sample Recovery.

3.2.1 Water. Same as 3.1.3.

3.2.2 Isopropanol, 80 Percent. Same as 3.1.4.

3.3 Analysis.

3.3.1 Water. Same as 3.1.3.

3.3.2 Isopropanol, 100 Percent.

3.3.3 Thorin Indicator. 1-(o-arsenophenylazo) 2-naphthol-3, 6-disulfonic acid, disodium salt, or equivalent. Dissolve 0.20 g in 100 ml of deionized, distilled water.

3.3.4 Barium Perchlorate (0.0100 Normal). Dissolve 1.95 g of barium perchlorate trihydrate ($\text{Ba}(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$) in 200 ml deionized, distilled water, and dilute to 1 liter with isopropanol; 1.22 g of barium chloride dihydrate ($\text{BaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) may be used instead of the barium perchlorate. Standardize with sulfuric acid as in Section 5.2. This solution must be protected against evaporation at all times.

3.3.5 Sulfuric Acid Standard (0.0100 N). Purchase or standardize to ± 0.0002 N against 0.0100 N NaOH that has previously been standardized against primary standard potassium acid phthalate.

4. Procedure

4.1 Sampling.

4.1.1 Pretest Preparation. Follow the procedure outlined in Method 5, Section 4.1.1; filters should be inspected, but need not be desiccated, weighed, or identified. If the effluent gas can be considered dry, i.e., moisture free, the silica gel need not be weighed.

4.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Follow the procedure outlined in Method 5, Section 4.1.2.

4.1.3 Preparation of Collection Train. Follow the procedure outlined in Method 5, Section 4.1.3 (except for the second paragraph and other obviously inapplicable parts) and use Figure 8-1 instead of Figure 5-1. Replace the second paragraph with: Place 100 ml of 80 percent isopropanol in the first impinger, 100 ml of 3 percent hydrogen peroxide in both the second and third impingers; retain a portion of each reagent for use as a blank solution. Place about 200 g of silica gel in the fourth impinger.

NOTE: If moisture content is to be determined by impinger analysis, weigh each of the first three impingers (plus absorbing solution) to the nearest 0.5 g and record these weights. The weight of the silica gel (or silica gel plus container) must also be determined to the nearest 0.5 g and recorded.

4.1.4 Pretest Leak-Check Procedure. Follow the basic procedure outlined in Method 5, Section 4.1.4.1, noting that the probe heater shall be adjusted to the minimum temperature required to prevent condensation, and also that verbiage such as, "... plugging the inlet to the filter holder ..." shall be replaced by, "... plugging the inlet to the first impinger ..." The pretest leak-check is optional.

4.1.5 Train Operation. Follow the basic procedures outlined in Method 5, Section 4.1.5, in conjunction with the following special instructions. Data shall be recorded on a sheet similar to the one in Figure 8-2. The sampling rate shall not exceed 0.030 m³/min (1.0 cfm) during the run. Periodically during the test, observe the connecting line between the probe and first impinger for signs of condensation. If it does occur, adjust the probe heater setting upward to the minimum temperature required to prevent condensation. If component changes become necessary during a run, a leak-check shall be done immediately before each change, according to the procedure outlined in Section 4.1.4.2 of Method 5 (with appropriate modifications, as mentioned in Section 4.1.4 of this method); record all leak rates. If the leakage rate(s) exceed the specified rate, the tester shall either void the run or shall plan to correct the sample volume as outlined in Section 6.3 of Method 5. Immediately after component changes, leak-checks are optional. If these leak-checks are done, the procedure outlined in Section 4.1.4.1 of Method 5 (with appropriate modifications) shall be used.

After turning off the pump and recording the final readings at the conclusion of each run, remove the probe from the stack. Conduct a post-test (mandatory) leak-check as in Section 4.1.4.3 of Method 5 (with appropriate modification) and record the leak rate. If the post-test leakage rate exceeds the specified acceptable rate, the tester shall either correct the sample volume, as outlined in Section 6.3 of Method 5, or shall void the run.

Drain the ice bath and, with the probe disconnected, purge the remaining part of the train, by drawing clean ambient air through the system for 15 minutes at the average flow rate used for sampling.

NOTE: Clean ambient air can be provided by passing air through a charcoal filter. At the option of the tester, ambient air (without cleaning) may be used.

4.1.6 Calculation of Percent Isokinetic. Follow the procedure outlined in Method 5, Section 4.1.6.

4.2 Sample Recovery.

4.2.1 Container No. 1. If a moisture content analysis is to be done, weigh the first impinger plus contents to the nearest 0.5 g and record this weight.

Transfer the contents of the first impinger to a 250-ml graduated cylinder. Rinse the probe, first impinger, all connecting glassware before the filter, and the front half of the filter holder with 80 percent isopropanol. Add the rinse solution to the cylinder. Dilute to 250 ml with 80 percent isopropanol. Add the filter to the solution, mix, and transfer to the storage container. Protect the solution against evaporation. Mark the level of liquid on the container and identify the sample container.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

4.2.3 Container No. 2. If a moisture content analysis is to be done, weigh the second and third impingers (plus contents) to the nearest 0.5 g and record these weights. Also, weigh the spent silica gel (or silica gel plus impinger) to the nearest 0.5 g.

Transfer the solutions from the second and third impingers to a 1000-ml graduated cylinder. Rinse all connecting glassware (including back half of filter holder) between the filter and silica gel impinger with deionized, distilled water, and add this rinse water to the cylinder. Dilute to a volume of 1000 ml with deionized, distilled water. Transfer the solution to a storage container. Mark the level of liquid on the container. Seal and identify the sample container.

4.3 Analysis.

Note the level of liquid in Containers 1 and 2, and confirm whether or not any sample was lost during shipment; note this on the analytical data sheet. If a noticeable amount of leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results.

4.3.1 Container No. 1. Shake the container holding the isopropanol solution and the filter. If the filter breaks up, allow the fragments to settle for a few minutes before removing a sample. Pipette a 100-ml aliquot of this solution into a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask, add 2 to 4 drops of thorin indicator, and titrate to a pink endpoint using 0.0100 N barium perchlorate. Repeat the titration

with a second aliquot of sample and average the titration values. Replicate titrations must agree within 1 percent or 0.2 ml, whichever is greater.

4.3.2 Container No. 2. Thoroughly mix the solution in the container holding the contents of the second and third impingers. Pipette a 10-ml aliquot of sample into a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask. Add 40 ml of isopropanol, 2 to 4 drops of thiorin indicator, and titrate to a pink endpoint using 0.0100 N barium perchlorate. Repeat the titration with a second aliquot of sample and average the titration values. Replicate titrations must agree within 1 percent or 0.2 ml, whichever is greater.

4.3.3 Blanks. Prepare blanks by adding 2 to 4 drops of thiorin indicator to 100 ml of 80 percent isopropanol. Titrate the blanks in the same manner as the samples.

5. Calibration

5.1 Calibrate equipment using the procedures specified in the following sections of Method 5: Section 5.3 (metering system); Section 5.5 (temperature gauges); Section 5.7 (barometer). Note that the recommended leak-check of the metering system, described in Section 5.6 of Method 5, also applies to this method.

5.2 Standardize the barium perchlorate solution with 25 ml of standard sulfuric acid, to which 100 ml of 100 percent isopropanol has been added.

8. Calculations

NOTE: Carry out calculations retaining at least one extra decimal figure beyond that of the acquired data. Round off figures after final calculation.

6.1 Nomenclature.

A_n = Cross-sectional area of nozzle, m^2 (ft^2).

B_w = Water vapor in the gas stream, proportion by volume.

$C_{\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4}$ = Sulfuric acid (including SO_2) concentration, g/dscm (lb/dscf).

C_{SO_2} = Sulfur dioxide concentration, g/dscm (lb/dscf).

I=Percent of isokinetic sampling.

N = Normality of barium perchlorate titrant, g equivalents/liter.

P_{bar} = Barometric pressure at the sampling site, mm Hg (in. Hg).

P_s = Absolute stack gas pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).

P_{atm} —Standard absolute pressure, 760 mm Hg (29.92 in. Hg).

T_m = Average absolute dry gas meter temperature (see Figure 8-2). °K (°R).

T_s = Average absolute stack gas temperature (see Figure 8-2), °K (°R).

T_{std} = Standard absolute temperature, 293° K (528° R).

V_s = Volume of sample aliquot titrated, 100 ml for H_2SO_4 and 10 ml for SO_3 .

V_k = Total volume of liquid collected in impingers and silica gel, ml.

V_m = Volume of gas sample as measured by dry gas meter, dcm (dcf).

FIGURE 8-2—FIELD DATA

Plant.....	Static pressure, mm Hg (in. Hg).....
Location.....	Ambient temperature.....
Operator.....	Barometer pressure.....
Date.....	Assumed moisture, %.....
Run No.....	Probe length, m (ft).....
Sample box No.....	Nozzle identification No.....
Meter box No.....	Average calibrated nozzle diameter, cm (in.).....
Meter ΔH	Probed heater setting.....
C factor.....	Leak rate, m ³ /min. (cfm).....
Pilot tube coefficient, Cp.....	Probe liner material.....

SCHEMATIC OF STACK CROSS SECTION

Traverse point number	Sampling time (θ), min.	Vacuum mm Hg (in. Hg)	Stack temperature (T_s) °C (°F)	Velocity head (ΔP) mm H ₂ O (in H ₂ O)	Pressure differential-across orifice meter mm H ₂ O (in H ₂ O)	Gas sample volume m ³ (ft ³)	Gas sample temperature at dry gas meter		Temperature of gas leaving condenser or last impinger °C (°F)
							Inlet °C (°F)	Outlet °C (°F)	
Total Average							Avg Avg	Avg	

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

$V_{m(std)}$ = Volume of gas sample measured by the dry gas meter corrected to standard conditions, dscm (dscf).

v_s = Average stack gas velocity, calculated by Method 2, Equation 2-9, using data obtained from Method 8, m/sec (ft/sec).

V_{soln} = Total volume of solution in which the sulfuric acid or sulfur dioxide sample is contained, 250 ml or 1,000 ml, respectively.

V_t = Volume of barium perchlorate titrant used for the sample, ml.

V_b = Volume of barium perchlorate titrant used for the blank, ml.

Y = Dry gas meter calibration factor.

ΔH = Average pressure drop across orifice meter, mm (in.) H_2O .

θ = Total sampling time, min.

13.6 = Specific gravity of mercury.

60 = sec/min.

100 = Conversion to percent.

6.2 Average Dry Gas Meter Temperature and Average Orifice Pressure Drop. See data sheet (Figure 8-2).

6.3 Dry Gas Volume. Correct the sample volume measured by the dry gas meter to standard conditions (20° C and 760 mm Hg or 68° F and 29.92 in. Hg) by using Equation 8-1.

$$V_{m(std)} = V_m Y \left(\frac{T_{std}}{T_m} \right) \frac{P_{bar} + \left(\frac{\Delta H}{13.6} \right)}{P_{std}}$$

$$= K_1 V_m Y \frac{P_{bar} + (\Delta H/13.6)}{T_m}$$

Equation 8-1

$$I = \frac{100 T_s [K_1 V_m + (V_m/T_m)/P_{bar} + \Delta H/13.6]}{60 \theta v_s P_s A_s}$$

Where:

$K_1 = 0.003464$ mm Hg-m³/ml-°K for metric units.

Where:

$K_1 = 0.3858$ °K/mm Hg for metric units.

$= 17.64$ °R/in. Hg for English units.

NOTE: If the leak rate observed during any mandatory leak-checks exceeds the specified acceptable rate, the tester shall either correct the value of V_m in Equation 8-1 (as described in Section 6.3 of Method 5), or shall invalidate the test run.

6.4 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content. Calculate the volume of water vapor using Equation 5-2 of Method 5; the weight of water collected in the impingers and silica gel can be directly converted to milliliters (the specific gravity of water is 1 g/ml). Calculate the moisture content of the stack gas, using Equation 5-3 of Method 5. The "Note" in Section 6.5 of Method 5 also applies to this method. Note that if the effluent gas stream can be considered dry, the volume of water vapor and moisture content need not be calculated.

6.5 Sulfuric Acid Mist (including SO_3) Concentration.

$$C_{H_2SO_4} = K_2 \frac{N(V_t - V_b) \left(\frac{V_{soln}}{V_s} \right)}{V_{m(std)}}$$

Equation 8-2

Where:

$K_2 = 0.04904$ g/milliequivalent for metric units.

$= 1.081 \times 10^{-4}$ lb/meq for English units.

6.6 Sulfur Dioxide Concentration.

$$C_{SO_2} = K_3 \frac{N(V_t - V_b) \left(\frac{V_{soln}}{V_s} \right)}{V_{m(std)}}$$

Equation 8-3

Where:

$K_3 = 0.03203$ g/meq for metric units.

$= 7.061 \times 10^{-5}$ lb/meq for English units.

6.7 Isokinetic Variation.

6.7.1 Calculation from Raw Data.

Eq. 8-4

$= 0.002676$ in. Hg-ft³/ml-°R for English units.

6.7.2 Calculation from Intermediate Values.

$$I = \frac{T_s V_{m(std)} P_{std} 100}{T_{std} v_s A_s P_s 60 (1 - B_{ss})}$$

$$= K_4 \frac{T_s V_{m(std)}}{P_s v_s A_s \theta (1 - B_{ss})}$$

Equation 8-5

where:

$K_4 = 4.320$ for metric units.

$= 0.09450$ for English units

6.8 Acceptable Results. If 90 percent < I < 110 percent, the results are acceptable. If the results are low in comparison to the standards and I is beyond the acceptable range, the Administrator may opt to accept the results. Use Citation 4 in the Bibliography of Method 5 to make judgments. Otherwise, reject the results and repeat the test.

7. Bibliography

1. Atmospheric Emissions from Sulfuric Acid Manufacturing Processes. U.S. DHEW, PHS, Division of Air Pollution. Public Health Service Publication No. 999-AP-13. Cincinnati, OH, 1965.

2. Corbett, P. F. The Determination of SO_2 and SO_3 in Flue Gases. Journal of the Institute of Fuel. 24:237-243. 1961.

3. Martin, Robert M. Construction Details of Isokinetic Source Sampling Equipment. Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC. Air Pollution Control Office Publication No. APTD-0581. April, 1971.

4. Patton, W. F. and J. A. Brink, Jr. New Equipment and Techniques for Sampling Chemical Process Gases. Journal of Air Pollution Control Association. 13:162. 1963.

5. Rom, J. J. Maintenance, Calibration, and Operation of Isokinetic Source-Sampling Equipment. Office of Air Programs, Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC. APTD-0576. March, 1972.

6. Hamil, H. F. and D. E. Camann. Collaborative Study of Method for Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Emissions from Stationary Sources (Fossil Fuel-Fired Steam Generators). Environmental Protection Agency. Research Triangle Park, NC. EPA-650/4-74-024. December, 1973.

7. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Part 31: Water, Atmospheric Analysis. pp. 40-42. American Society for Testing and Materials. Philadelphia, Pa. 1974.

APPENDIX I

METHOD 26A

DETERMINATION OF HYDROGEN HALIDE AND HALOGEN
EMISSIONS FROM STATIONARY SOURCES - ISOKINETIC METHOD

10/29/92

7. It is proposed that 40 CFR Part 60 be amended by adding Method 26A to Appendix A as follows:

Appendix A - Test Methods

* * * * *

Method 26A - Determination of Hydrogen Halide and Halogen Emissions from Stationary Sources - Isokinetic Method

1. APPLICABILITY, PRINCIPLE, INTERFERENCES, PRECISION, BIAS, AND STABILITY

1.1 Applicability. This method is applicable for determining emissions of hydrogen halides (HX) [hydrogen chloride (HCl), hydrogen bromide (HBr), and hydrogen fluoride (HF)] and halogens (X₂) [chlorine (Cl₂) and bromine (Br₂)] from stationary sources. This method collects the emission sample isokinetically and is therefore particularly suited for sampling at sources, such as those controlled by wet scrubbers, emitting acid particulate matter (e.g., hydrogen halides dissolved in water droplets). [Note: Mention of trade names or specific products does not constitute endorsement by the Environmental Protection Agency.]

1.2 Principle. Gaseous and particulate pollutants are withdrawn isokinetically from the source and collected in an optional cyclone, on a filter, and in absorbing solutions. The cyclone collects any liquid droplets and is not necessary if the source emissions do not contain them; however, it is preferable to include the cyclone in the sampling train to protect the filter from any moisture present. The filter collects other

particulate matter including halide salts. Acidic and alkaline absorbing solutions collect the gaseous hydrogen halides and halogens, respectively. Following sampling of emissions containing liquid droplets, any halides/halogens dissolved in the liquid in the cyclone and on the filter are vaporized to gas and collected in the impingers by pulling conditioned ambient air through the sampling train. The hydrogen halides are solubilized in the acidic solution and form chloride (Cl^-), bromide (Br^-), and fluoride (F^-) ions. The halogens have a very low solubility in the acidic solution and pass through to the alkaline solution where they are hydrolyzed to form a proton (H^+), the halide ion, and the hypohalous acid (HClO or HBrO). The halide ions in the separate solutions are measured by ion chromatography (IC). If desired, the particulate matter recovered from the filter and the probe is analyzed following the procedures in Method 5.

1.3 Interferences. Volatile materials, such as chlorine dioxide (ClO_2) and ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl), which produce halide ions upon dissolution during sampling are potential interferents. Interferents for the halide measurements are the halogen gases which disproportionate to a hydrogen halide and an hypohalous acid upon dissolution in water. The use of acidic rather than neutral or basic solutions for collection of the hydrogen halides greatly reduces the dissolution of any halogens passing through this solution. High concentrations of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) may produce sufficient nitrate (NO_3^-) to interfere with measurements of very low Br^- levels.

1.4 Precision and Bias. The method has a measurable negative bias below 20 ppm HCl. Similar bias for the other hydrogen halides is possible.

1.5 Sample Stability. The collected Cl⁻ samples can be stored for up to 4 weeks for analysis for HCl and Cl₂.

1.6 Detection Limit. The in-stack detection limit for HCl is approximately 0.02 µg per liter of stack gas; the analytical detection limit for HCl is 0.1 µg/ml. Detection limits for the other analytes should be similar.

2. APPARATUS

2.1 Sampling. The sampling train is shown in Figure 26A-1; the apparatus is similar to the Method 5 train where noted as follows:

Figure 26A-1. Sampling train.

2.1.1 Probe Nozzle. Borosilicate or quartz glass; constructed and calibrated according to Method 5, Sections 2.1.1 and 5.1, and coupled to the probe liner using a Teflon^R union; a stainless steel nut is recommended for this union. When the stack temperature exceeds 210°C (410°F), a one-piece glass nozzle/liner assembly must be used.

2.1.2 Probe Liner. Same as Method 5, Section 2.1.2, except metal liners shall not be used. Water-cooling of the stainless steel sheath is recommended at temperatures exceeding 500°C.

2.1.3 Pitot Tube, Differential Pressure Gauge, Filter Heating System, Metering System, Barometer, Gas Density Determination Equipment. Same as Method 5, Sections 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.6, 2.1.8, 2.1.9, and 2.1.10.

2.1.4 Cyclone (Optional). Glass. Use of the cyclone is required only when the sample gas stream is saturated with moisture; however, the cyclone is recommended to protect the filler from any moisture droplets present.

2.1.5 Filter Holder. Borosilicate glass, with a Teflon^R filter support and a sealing gasket. The sealing gasket shall be constructed of Teflon^R or equivalent materials. The holder design shall provide a positive seal against leakage at any point along the filter circumference. The holder shall be attached immediately to the outlet of the cyclone.

2.1.6 Impinger Train. The following system shall be used to determine the stack gas moisture content and to collect the hydrogen halides and halogens: five or six impingers connected

in series with leak-free ground glass fittings or any similar leak-free noncontaminating fittings. The first impinger shown in Figure 26A-1 (knockout or condensate impinger) is optional and is recommended as a water knockout trap for use under high moisture conditions. If used, this impinger should be constructed as described below for the alkaline impingers, but with a shortened stem, and should contain 50 ml of 0.1 N H_2SO_4 . The following two impingers (acid impingers which each contain 100 ml of 0.1 N H_2SO_4) shall be of the Greenburg-Smith design with the standard tip (Method 5, Section 2.1.7). The next two impingers (alkaline impingers which each contain 100 ml of 0.1 N NaOH) and the last impinger (containing silica gel) shall be of the modified Greenburg-Smith design (Method 5, Section 2.1.7). The condensate, acid, and alkaline impingers shall contain known quantities of the appropriate absorbing reagents. The last impinger shall contain a known weight of silica gel or equivalent desiccant.

2.1.7 Ambient Air Conditioning Tube (Optional). Tube tightly packed with approximately 150 g of fresh 8 to 20 mesh sodium hydroxide-coated silica, or equivalent, (Ascarite II^R has been found suitable) to dry and remove acid gases from the ambient air used to remove moisture from the filter and cyclone, when the cyclone is used. The inlet and outlet ends of the tube should be packed with at least 1-cm thickness of glass wool or filter material suitable to prevent escape of fines. Fit one end

with flexible tubing, etc. to allow connection to probe nozzle following the test run.

2.2 Sample Recovery. The following items are needed:

2.2.1 Probe-Liner and Probe-Nozzle Brushes, Wash Bottles, Glass Sample Storage Containers, Petri Dishes, Graduated Cylinder or Balance, and Rubber Policeman. Same as Method 5, Sections 2.2.1, 2.2.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4, 2.2.5, and 2.2.7.

2.2.2 Plastic Storage Containers. Screw-cap polypropylene or polyethylene containers to store silica gel. High-density polyethylene bottles with Teflon screw cap liners to store impinger reagents, 1-liter.

2.2.3 Funnels. Glass or high-density polyethylene, to aid in sample recovery.

2.3 Analysis. For analysis, the following equipment is needed:

2.3.1 Volumetric Flasks. Class A, various sizes.

2.3.2 Volumetric Pipettes. Class A, assortment, to dilute samples to calibration range of the ion chromatograph (IC).

2.3.3 Ion Chromatograph. Suppressed or nonsuppressed, with a conductivity detector and electronic integrator operating in the peak area mode. Other detectors, a strip chart recorder, and peak heights may be used.

3. REAGENTS

Unless otherwise indicated, all reagents must conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society (ACS reagent grade). When such

specifications are not available, the best available grade shall be used.

3.1 Sampling.

3.1.1 Water. Deionized, distilled water that conforms to American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Specification D1193-77, Type 3.

3.1.2 Acidic Absorbing Solution, 0.1 N Sulfuric Acid (H_2SO_4). To prepare 1 L, slowly add 2.80 ml of concentrated H_2SO_4 to about 900 ml of water while stirring, and adjust the final volume to 1 L using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution.

3.1.3 Alkaline Absorbing Solution, 0.1 N Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH). To prepare 1 L, dissolve 4.00 g of solid NaOH in about 900 ml of water and adjust the final volume to 1 L using additional water. Shake well to mix the solution.

3.1.4 Filter. Quartz-fiber or Teflon^R mat (e.g., Pallflex^R TX40HI45) filter.

3.1.5 Silica Gel, Crushed Ice, and Stopcock Grease. Same as Method 5, Sections 3.1.2, 3.1.4, and 3.1.5, respectively.

3.2 Sample Recovery.

3.2.1 Water. Same as Section 3.1.1.

3.2.2 Acetone. Same as Method 5, Section 3.2.

3.3 Sample Analysis.

3.3.1 Water. Same as Section 3.1.1.

3.3.2 Reagent Blanks. A separate blank solution of each absorbing reagent should be prepared for analysis with the field samples. Dilute 200 ml of each absorbing solution (250 ml of the

acidic absorbing solution, if a condensate impinger is used) to the same final volume as the field samples using the blank sample of rinse water. If a particulate determination is conducted, collect a blank sample of acetone.

3.3.3 Halide Salt Stock Standard Solutions. Prepare concentrated stock solutions from reagent grade sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium bromide (NaBr), and sodium fluoride (NaF). Each must be dried at 110°C for 2 or more hours and then cooled to room temperature in a desiccator immediately before weighing. Accurately weigh 1.6 to 1.7 g of the dried NaCl to within 0.1 mg, dissolve in water, and dilute to 1 liter. Calculate the exact Cl⁻ concentration using Equation 26A-1.

$$\mu\text{g Cl}^-/\text{ml} = \text{g of NaCl} \times 10^3 \times 35.453/58.44 \quad \text{Eq. 26A-1}$$

In a similar manner, accurately weigh and solubilize 1.2 to 1.3 g of dried NaBr and 2.2 to 2.3 g of NaF to make 1-liter solutions. Use Equations 26A-2 and 26A-3 to calculate the Br⁻ and F⁻ concentrations.

$$\mu\text{g Br}^-/\text{ml} = \text{g of NaBr} \times 10^3 \times 79.904/102.90 \quad \text{Eq. 26A-2}$$

$$\mu\text{g F}^-/\text{ml} = \text{g of NaF} \times 10^3 \times 18.998/41.99 \quad \text{Eq. 26A-3}$$

Alternately, solutions containing a nominal certified concentration of 1000 mg/L NaCl are commercially available as convenient stock solutions from which standards can be made by appropriate volumetric dilution. Refrigerate the stock standard solutions and store no longer than 1 month.

3.3.4 Chromatographic Eluent. Effective eluents for nonsuppressed ion chromatography using a resin- or silica-based

weak ion exchange column are a 4 mM potassium hydrogen phthalate solution, adjusted to a pH of 4.0 using a saturated sodium borate solution, and a mM 4-hydroxy benzoate solution, adjusted to a pH of 8.6 using 1 N sodium hydroxide. An effective eluent for suppressed ion chromatography is a solution containing 3 mM sodium bicarbonate and 2.4 mM sodium carbonate. Other dilute solutions buffered to a similar pH that contain no ions interfering with the chromatographic analysis may be used. If, when using suppressed ion chromatography, the "water dip" resulting from sample injection is interfering with the chlorine peak, use a 2 mM sodium hydroxide/2.4 mM sodium bicarbonate eluent.

4. PROCEDURE

Because of the complexity of this method, testers and analysts should be trained and experienced with the procedures to ensure reliable results.

4.1 Sampling.

4.1.1 Pretest Preparation. Follow the general procedure given in Method 5, Section 4.1.1, except the filter need only be desiccated and weighed if a particulate determination will be conducted.

4.1.2 Preliminary Determinations. Same as Method 5, Section 4.1.2.

4.1.3 Preparation of Sampling Train. Follow the general procedure given in Method 5, Section 4.1.3, except for the following variations:

Add 50 ml of 0.1 N H_2SO_4 to the condensate impinger, if used. Place 100 ml of 0.1 N H_2SO_4 in each of the next two impingers. Place 100 ml of 0.1 N NaOH in each of the following two impingers. Finally, transfer approximately 200-300 g of preweighed silica gel from its container to the last impinger. Set up the train as in Figure 26A-1. When used, the optional cyclone is inserted between the probe liner and filter holder and located in the heated filter box.

4.1.4 Leak-Check Procedures. Follow the leak-check procedures given in Method 5, Sections 4.4.1 (Pretest Leak-Check), 4.1.4.2 (Leak-Checks During the Sample Run), and 4.1.4.3 (Post-Test Leak-Check).

4.1.5 Train Operation. Follow the general procedure given in Method 5, Section 4.1.5. Maintain a temperature around the filter and (cyclone, if used) of $120 \pm 14^\circ\text{C}$ ($248 \pm 25^\circ\text{F}$). For each run, record the data required on a data sheet such as the one shown in Method 5, Figure 5-2. If the condensate impinger becomes too full, it may be emptied, recharged with 50 ml of 0.1 N H_2SO_4 , and replaced during the sample run. The condensate emptied must be saved and included in the measurement of the volume of moisture collected and included in the sample for analysis. The additional 50 ml of absorbing reagent must also be considered in calculating the moisture. After the impinger is reinstalled in the train, conduct a leak-check as described in Method 5, Section 4.1.4.2.

4.1.6 Post-Test Moisture Removal (Optional). When a cyclone is included in the sampling train, perform the following procedure. Upon completion of the test run, connect the ambient air conditioning tube at the probe inlet and operate the train with the filter heating system at $120 \pm 14^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($248 \pm 25^{\circ}\text{F}$) at a low flow rate (e.g., $\Delta H = 1$ in. H_2O) to vaporize any liquid and hydrogen halides in the cyclone or on the filter and pull them through the train into the impingers. After 30 minutes, turn off the flow, remove the conditioning tube, and examine the cyclone and filter for any visible moisture. If moisture is visible, repeat this step for 15 minutes.

4.2 Sample Recovery. Allow the probe to cool. When the probe can be handled safely, wipe off all the external surfaces of the tip of the probe nozzle and place a cap loosely over the tip. Do not cap the probe tip tightly while the sampling train is cooling down because this will create a vacuum in the filter holder, drawing water from the impingers into the holder. Before moving the sampling train to the cleanup site, remove the probe, wipe off any silicone grease, and cap the open outlet of the impinger train, being careful not to lose any condensate that might be present. Wipe off any silicone grease and cap the filter or cyclone inlet. Remove the umbilical cord from the last impinger and cap the impinger. If a flexible line is used between the first impinger and the filter holder, disconnect it at the filter holder and let any condensed water drain into the first impinger. Wipe off any silicone grease and cap the filter

holder outlet and the impinger inlet. Ground glass stoppers, plastic caps, serum caps, Teflon[®] tape, Parafilm[®], or aluminum foil may be used to close these openings. Transfer the probe and filter/impinger assembly to the cleanup area. This area should be clean and protected from the weather to minimize sample contamination or loss. Inspect the train prior to and during disassembly and note any abnormal conditions. Treat samples as follows:

4.2.1 Container No. 1 (Optional; Filter Catch for Particulate Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 4.2, Container No. 1.

4.2.2 Container No. 2 (Optional; Front-Half Rinse for Particulate Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 4.2, Container No. 2.

4.2.3 Container No. 3 (Knockout and Acid Impinger Catch for Moisture and Hydrogen Halide Determination). Disconnect the impingers. Measure the liquid in the acid and knockout impingers to ± 1 ml by using a graduated cylinder or by weighing it to ± 0.5 g by using a balance. Record the volume or weight of liquid present. This information is required to calculate the moisture content of the effluent gas. Quantitatively transfer this liquid to a leak-free sample storage container. Rinse these impingers and connecting glassware (and tubing, if used) with water and add these rinses to the storage container. Seal the container, shake to mix, and label. The fluid level should be marked so that if any sample is lost during transport, a correction proportional to

the lost volume can be applied. Retain rinse water and acidic absorbing solution blanks and analyze with the samples.

4.2.4 Container No. 4 (Alkaline Impinger Catch for Halogen and Moisture Determination). Measure and record the liquid in the alkaline impingers as described in Section 4.2.3. Quantitatively transfer this liquid to a leak-free sample storage container. Rinse these two impingers and connecting glassware with water and add these rinses to the container. Seal the container, shake to mix, and label; mark the fluid level. Retain alkaline absorbing solution blank and analyze with the samples.

4.2.5 Container No. 5 (Silica Gel for Moisture Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 4.2, Container No. 3.

4.2.6 Container Nos. 6 through 9 (Reagent Blanks). Save portions of the absorbing reagents (0.1 N H_2SO_4 and 0.1 N NaOH) equivalent to the amount used in the sampling train; dilute to the approximate volume of the corresponding samples using rinse water directly from the wash bottle being used. Also, save a portion of the rinse water alone and a portion of the acetone equivalent to the amount used to rinse the front half of the sampling train. Place each in a separate, prelabeled sample container.

4.2.7 Prior to shipment, recheck all sample containers to ensure that the caps are well-secured. Seal the lids of all containers around the circumference with Teflon^R tape. Ship all liquid samples upright and all particulate filters with the particulate catch facing upward.

4.3 Sample Preparation and Analysis. Note the liquid levels in the sample containers and confirm on the analysis sheet whether or not leakage occurred during transport. If a noticeable leakage has occurred, either void the sample or use methods, subject to the approval of the Administrator, to correct the final results.

4.3.1 Container Nos. 1 and 2 and Acetone Blank (Optional; Particulate Determination). Same as Method 5, Section 4.3.

4.3.2 Container No. 5. Same as Method 5, Section 4.3 for silica gel.

4.3.3 Container Nos. 3 and 4 and Absorbing Solution and Water Blanks. Quantitatively transfer each sample to a volumetric flask or graduated cylinder and dilute with water to a final volume within 50 ml of the largest sample.

4.3.3.1 The IC conditions will depend upon analytical column type and whether suppressed or nonsuppressed IC is used. Prior to calibration and sample analysis, establish a stable baseline. Next, inject a sample of water, and determine if any Cl^- , Br^- , or F^- appears in the chromatogram. If any of these ions are present, repeat the load/injection procedure until they are no longer present. Analysis of the acid and alkaline absorbing solution samples requires separate standard calibration curves; prepare each according to Section 5.2. Ensure adequate baseline separation of the analytes.

4.3.3.2 Between injections of the appropriate series of calibration standards, inject in duplicate the reagent blanks and

the field samples. Measure the areas or heights of the Cl⁻, Br⁻, and F⁻ peaks. Use the average response to determine the concentrations of the field samples and reagent blanks using the linear calibration curve. If the values from duplicate injections are not within 5 percent of their mean, the duplicate injection shall be repeated and all four values used to determine the average response. Dilute any sample and the blank with equal volumes of water if the concentration exceeds that of the highest standard.

4.4 Audit Sample Analysis. Audit samples must be analyzed subject to availability.

5. CALIBRATION

Maintain a laboratory log of all calibrations.

5.1 Probe Nozzle, Pitot Tube, Dry Gas Metering System, Probe Heater, Temperature Gauges, Leak-Check of Metering System, and Barometer. Same as Method 5, Sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, and 5.7, respectively.

5.2 Ion Chromatograph. To prepare the calibration standards, dilute given amounts (1.0 ml or greater) of the stock standard solutions to convenient volumes, using 0.1 N H₂SO₄ or 0.1 N NaOH, as appropriate. Prepare at least four calibration standards for each absorbing reagent containing the three stock solutions such that they are within the linear range of the field samples. Using one of the standards in each series, ensure adequate baseline separation for the peaks of interest. Inject the appropriate series of calibration standards, starting with

the lowest concentration standard first both before and after injection of the quality control check sample, reagent blanks, and field samples. This allows compensation for any instrument drift occurring during sample analysis. Determine the peak areas, or height, of the standards and plot individual values versus halide ion concentrations in $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Draw a smooth curve through the points. Use linear regression to calculate a formula describing the resulting linear curve.

6. QUALITY CONTROL

Same as Method 5, Section 4.4.

7. QUALITY ASSURANCE

7.1 Applicability. When the method is used to demonstrate compliance with a regulation, a set of two audit samples shall be analyzed.

7.2 Audit Procedure. The available audit samples are chloride solutions. Concurrently analyze the two audit samples and a set of compliance samples in the same manner to evaluate the technique of the analyst and the standards preparation. The same analyst, analytical reagents, and analytical system shall be used both for compliance samples and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) audit samples.

7.3 Audit Sample Availability. Audit samples will be supplied only to enforcement agencies for compliance tests. Audit samples may be obtained by writing the Source Test Audit Coordinator (MD-77B), Quality Assurance Division, Atmospheric Research and Exposure Assessment Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Laboratory, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711 or by calling the Source Test Audit Coordinator (STAC) at (919) 541-7834. The request for the audit samples should be made at least 30 days prior to the scheduled compliance sample analysis.

7.4 Audit Results. Calculate the concentrations in mg/dscm using the specified sample volume in the audit instructions. Include the results of both audit samples, their identification

numbers, and the analyst's name with the results of the compliance determination samples in appropriate reports to the EPA regional office or the appropriate enforcement agency.

(NOTE: Acceptability of results may be obtained immediately by reporting the audit results in mg/dscm and compliance results in total $\mu\text{g HCl/sample}$ to the responsible enforcement agency.) The concentrations of the audit samples obtained by the analyst shall agree within 10 percent of the actual concentrations. If the 10 percent specification is not met, reanalyze the compliance samples and audit samples, and include initial and reanalysis values in the test report. Failure to meet the 10 percent specification may require retests until the audit problems are resolved.

8. CALCULATIONS

Retain at least one extra decimal figure beyond those contained in the available data in intermediate calculations, and round off only the final answer appropriately.

8.1 Nomenclature. Same as Method 5, Section 6.1. In addition:

B_X = Analysis of reagent blank, $\mu\text{g halide ion}$
(Cl^- , Br^- , F^-)/ml.

C = Concentration of hydrogen halide (HX) or halogen (X_2),
dry basis, mg/dscm.

m_{HX} = Mass of HCl, HBr, or HF in sample, μg .

m_{X_2} = Mass of Cl_2 or Br_2 in sample, μg .

S_X = Analysis of sample, $\mu\text{g halide ion}$ (Cl^- , Br^- , F^-)/ml.

V_f = Volume of filtered and diluted sample, ml.

8.2 Average Dry Gas Meter Temperature and Average Orifice Pressure Drop. See data sheet (Figure 5-2 of Method 5).

8.3 Dry Gas Volume. Calculate $V_{m(std)}$ and adjust for leakage, if necessary, using the equation in Section 6.3 of Method 5.

8.4 Volume of Water Vapor and Moisture Content. Calculate the volume of water vapor $V_{w(std)}$ and moisture content B_w , from the data obtained in this method (Figure 5-2 of Method 5); use Equations 5-2 and 5-3 of Method 5.

8.5 Isokinetic Variation and Acceptable Results. Use Method 5, Sections 6.11 and 6.12.

8.6 Acetone Blank Concentration, Acetone Wash Blank Residue Weight, Particulate Weight, and Particulate Concentration. For particulate determination.

8.7 Total μg HCl, HBr, or HF Per Sample.

$$m_{HX} = K V_f (S_X - B_X) \quad \text{Eq. 26A-4}$$

where: $K_{HCl} = 1.028 (\mu\text{g HCl}/\mu\text{g-mole})/(\mu\text{g Cl}/\mu\text{g-mole})$.

$$K_{HBr} = 1.013 (\mu\text{g HBr}/\mu\text{g-mole})/(\mu\text{g Br}/\mu\text{g-mole})$$

$$K_{HF} = 1.053 (\mu\text{g HF}/\mu\text{g-mole})/(\mu\text{g F}/\mu\text{g-mole})$$

8.8 Total μg Cl, or Br, Per Sample.

$$m_{X2} = 2 V_f (S_X - B_X) \quad \text{Eq. 26A-5}$$

8.9 Concentration of Hydrogen Halide or Halogen in Flue Gas.

$$C = K m_{HX,X2}/V_{m(std)} \quad \text{Eq. 26A-6}$$

where: $K = 10^{-3} \text{ mg}/\mu\text{g}$

8.10 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate.

Calculate the average stack gas velocity and volumetric flow rate, if needed, using data obtained in this method and the equations in Sections 5.2 and 5.3 of Method 2.

9. BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Steinsberger, S. C. and J. H. Margeson. Laboratory and Field Evaluation of a Methodology for Determination of Hydrogen Chloride Emissions from Municipal and Hazardous Waste Incinerators. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development. Publication No. 600/3-89/064.

April 1989. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161 as PB89220586/AS.

2. State of California Air Resources Board. Method 421 - Determination of Hydrochloric Acid Emissions from Stationary Sources. March 18, 1987.

3. Cheney, J.L. and C.R. Fortune. Improvements in the Methodology for Measuring Hydrochloric Acid in Combustion Source Emissions. J. Environ. Sci. Health. A19(3): 337-350. 1984.

4. Stern, D.A., B.M. Myatt, J.F. Lachowski, and K.T. McGregor. Speciation of Halogen and Hydrogen Halide Compounds in Gaseous Emissions. In: Incineration and Treatment of Hazardous Waste: Proceedings of the 9th Annual Research Symposium, Cincinnati, Ohio, May 2-4, 1983. Publication No. 600/9-84-015. July 1984. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161 as PB84-234525.

5. Holm, R.D. and S.A. Barksdale. Analysis of Anions in Combustion Products. In: Ion Chromatographic Analysis of Environmental Pollutants, E. Sawicki, J.D. Mulik, and E. Wittgenstein (eds.). Ann Arbor, Michigan, Ann Arbor Science Publishers. 1978. pp. 99-110.

APPENDIX J
EXAMPLE CALCULATIONS

A		B
2		Almaga Division
3		Air Quality Services
4		
5	Sample Train Calculations	
6		
7	Client:	Pure Air
8	Project No:	I-7316
9	Date:	34492
10	Source:	FGD Inlet
11		
12	Test No:	
13	Start Time	0.413194444444444
14	Finish Time	0.465277777777778
15	Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84
16	Meter Calibration Factor:	1.003
17	Stack Length, inches:	180
18	Stack Width, inches:	408
19	Stack Diameter, inches:	0
20	Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185
21	Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8
22	Static Pressure in Stack, inches H2O:	6.1
23	Duration of Sample, minutes:	70
24	Meter Start Volume:	183.622
25	Meter Final Volume:	228.807
26	Average Meter Pressure, inches H2O:	1.11
27	Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	87
28	Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.095
29	Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	323.6
30	% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3
31	% Oxygen:	6.4
32	% Carbon Monoxide:	0
33	Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	58
34	Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0
35		
36	Sample Train Calculations	
37		
38	Meter Volume, Actual:	= B25-B24
39	Meter Volume, STP:	= 17.64*B38*B16*((B21 + (ROUND(B26,4)/13.6))/(ROUND(B27,1) + 460))
40	Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	= 0.04707*B33
41	Total Gas Sampled:	= B39 + B40
42	% Moisture:	= (ROUND(B40,3)/ROUND(B41,3))*100
43	Area of Stack, Square Feet:	= IF(B17>0,((B17*B18)/144),(PI)*((B19/2)^2/144))
44	% Excess Air at Test Location:	= B31/((0.264*(100-B30-B31))-B31)*100
45	Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	= 0.44*B30 + 0.32*B31 + 0.28*(100-B30-B31)
46	Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	= ROUND(B45,2)*(1-ROUND(B42,2)/100) + 18*(ROUND(B42,2)/100)
47	Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	= B21 + (B22/13.6)
48	Isokinetic, %:	= 1.667*(B29 + 460)/((0.002669*B33) + B38*B16/(B27 + 460)*(B21 + (B26/13.6)))/(B23*ROUND(B52,2)*ROUND(B47,2)*(PI)/5
49		
50	Velocity and Flow Calculations	
51		
52	Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	= 85.49*B15*B28*SQRT((B29 + 460)/(ROUND(B47,2)*ROUND(B46,2)))
53	Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	= ROUND(B52,2)*60*ROUND(B43,2)
54	Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	= B56/(1-ROUND(B42,2)/100)
55	Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	= 3600*(1-ROUND(B42,2)/100)*ROUND(B52,2)*ROUND(B43,2)/((B29 + 460)*29.92))
56	Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	= ROUND(B55,0)/60
57		
58	SO2 Results	
59		
60	Vt-Vtb	5.25
61	N	0.0097
62	Vsola	300
63	Vs	10
64	Lbs/scf x 10-6	= 0.0000883*((B60)*(B61)*(B62/B63)/B39)
65	ppm	= B64*4.825*1000000
66	lbs/hr	= B55*B64
67	lbs/MBTU	= 9780*B64*(20.9/(20.9-B31))
68	SO2 Results	
69	Wet Chemistry Method	
70	Vt-Vtb	26.35
71	N	0.0097
72	Vsola	1000
73	Vs	1
74	Lbs/scf x 10-6	= 0.00007061*((B70*B71*(B72/B73)/B39)
75	ppm(dry)	= B74*6.03*1000000
76	lbs/hr	= B55*B74
77	lbs/MBTU	= 9780*B74*(20.9/(20.9-B31))
78		
79	SO2 Analyzer	
80	ppm(dry)	2697
81	lb/hr	= ((B4/386)*B80)*B55/1000000
82	lbs/dscfx10-6	= B80*(B4/386)/1000000
83	lbs/MBTU	= 9780*B82*(20.9/(20.9-B31))
84		
85	NOx	
86	ppm(dry)	900.8
87	lb/hr	= ((B4/386)*B86)*B55/1000000
88	lbs/dscfx10-6	= B86*(B4/386)/1000000
89	lbs/MBTU	= 9780*B88*(20.9/(20.9-B31))
90		

A		B	
1		Environmental Services of America	
2		Almaga Division	
3		Air Quality Services	
4			
Particulate & HCl Analysis Test Calculations			
7	Client:	Pure Air	
8	Project No:	I-7316	
9	Date:	34482	
10	Source:	FGD INLET	
11			
12	Test No:	T1-M26A5	
13	Start Time	0.413194444444444	
14	Finish Time	0.4825	
15	Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	
16	Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	
17	Stack Length, inches:	408	
18	Stack Width, inches:	180	
19	Stack Diameter, inches:	0	
20	Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	
21	Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	
22	Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	8.4	
23	Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	
24	Meter Start Volume:	396.549	
25	Meter Final Volume:	436.339	
26	Average Meter Pressure, inches H2O:	1.138	
27	Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	82	
28	Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.089	
29	Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	328.7	
30	% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	
31	% Oxygen:	6.3	
32	% Carbon Monoxide:	0	
33	Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	42	
34	Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	26.03	
35	Particulate Weight HF, mg:	3	
36	Particulate Weight, mg	26.5	
Sample Train Calculations			
39	Meter Volume, Actual:	= B25-B24	
40	Meter Volume, STP:	= 17.64*B39*B16*((B21 + (ROUND(B26,4)/13.6))/(ROUND(B27,1) + 460))	
41	Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	= 0.04707*B33	
42	Total Gas Sampled:	= B40 + B41	
43	% Moisture:	= (ROUND(B41,3)/ROUND(B42,3))*100	
44	Area of Stack, Square Feet:	= IF(B17>0,((B17*B18)/144),(PI()*((B19/2)^2)/144))	
45	% Excess Air at Test Location:	= B31/((0.284*(100-B30-B31))-B31)*100	
46	Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	= 0.44*B30 + 0.32*B31 + 0.28*(100-B30-B31)	
47	Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	= ROUND(B46,2)*(1-ROUND(B43,2)/100) + 18*(ROUND(B43,2)/100)	
48	Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	= B21 + (B22/13.6)	
49	Isokinetic, %:	= 1.687*(B29 + 460)*(((0.002869*B33) + B39*B16/(B27 + 460)*(B21 + (B26/13.6)))/(B23*ROUND(B53,2)*ROUND(B48,2)*(PI()/576*B	
Velocity and Flow Calculations			
63	Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	= 85.49*B15*B28*SQRT((B29 + 460)/(ROUND(B48,2)*ROUND(B47,2)))	
64	Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	= ROUND(B53,2)*80*ROUND(B44,2)	
65	Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	= B57/(1-ROUND(B43,2)/100)	
66	Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	= 3600*(1-(ROUND(B43,2)/100))*ROUND(B53,2)*ROUND(B44,2)*((528*ROUND(B48,2))/(B29 + 460)*29.92)	
67	Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	= ROUND(B56,0)/60	
HCl Calculations:			
80	Lbs/scf x 10-6	= (B34/B40)*2.205	
81	ppm	= 880*386/18	
82	lbs/hr	= 856*B80/1000000	
83	Lbs/MBTU	= 9780*B60*(20.9/(20.9-B31))/1000000	
HF Calculations:			
86	Lbs/scf x 10-6	= (B35/B40)*2.205	
87	ppm	= 886*386/18	
88	lbs/hr	= 856*B66/1000000	
89	Lbs/MBTU	= 9780*B66*(20.9/(20.9-B31))/1000000	
Particulate Calculations:			
72	grains/dscf:	= 0.01543*(B36/B40)	
73	10-lbs/dscf	= 2.205*(B36/B40)	
74	lbs/hr:	= 873*B56/1000000	
75	lbs/MBTU:	= 9780*B73*(20.9/(20.9-B31))/1000000	
76			

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/7/94
 Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	9:55 AM	1:23 PM	2:30 PM
Finish Time	11:10 AM	2:40 PM	3:34 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.003	1.003	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	408
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	6.1	6.1	6.4
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	183.622	229.101	475.579
Meter Final Volume:	228.81	271.95	518.207
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.11	1.06	1.28
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	87	70.5	61
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.095	1.072	1.1650
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	323.6	323.6	326
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.2	12.4
% Oxygen:	6.4	6.4	6.5
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	58.0	64.0	57
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	45.185	42.847	42.628
Meter Volume, STP:	43.673	42.696	43.262
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.730	3.012	2.683
Total Gas Sampled:	46.403	45.709	45.945
% Moisture:	5.88	6.59	5.84
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	42.5	42.4	43.6
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.22	30.21	30.24
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.50	29.41	29.53
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.25	30.25	30.32
Isokinetic, %:	118.0	118.5	109.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	73.69	72.25	78.39
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,004,353	1,965,186	2,132,192
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,365,456	1,338,773	1,451,461
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	77,110,003	75,032,855	82,001,738
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,285,167	1,250,548	1,366,696

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	5.25	4.55
N	9.70E-03	9.70E-03
Vsoln	300	336
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	3.09E-06	3.07E-06
ppm	14.9	14.8
lbs/hr	238.2	230.1
lbs/MBTU	0.044	0.043

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	26.4	22.0
N	9.70E-03	9.70E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	1.0	1.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	4.13E-04	3.53E-04
ppm(dry)	2491.9	2127.1
lbs/hr	31865.4	26468.2
lbs/MBTU	5.825	4.973

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	2697.0	2660.0	2708.0
lb/hr	34481.4	33092.2	36818.4
lbs/dscfx10-6	4.47E-04	4.41E-04	4.49E-04
lbs/MBTU	6.304	6.217	6.373

NOx

ppm(dry)	900.8	912.4	885.7
lb/hr	8277.7	8158.4	8655.3
lbs/dscfx10-6	1.07E-04	1.09E-04	1.06E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.513	1.533	1.498

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/8/94
Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	10:05 AM	2:30 PM	1:03 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	4:00 PM	2:20 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.007	1.007	1.007
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.195	0.195	0.195
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	30.05	30.05	30.05
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	5.3	5.7	5.6
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	280.913	331.682	565.539
Meter Final Volume:	325.67	375.03	606.85
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.18	1.12	1.19
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	59.4	63.9	62.2
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.158	1.13	1.1567
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	330.5	337.1	338.6
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.2	12.1	12.1
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.2	6.2
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	62.0	65.0	68.1
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	44.754	43.344	41.310
Meter Volume, STP:	46.127	44.283	42.350
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.918	3.060	3.205
Total Gas Sampled:	49.045	47.343	45.555
% Moisture:	5.95	6.46	7.04
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.4	40.3	40.3
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.20	30.18	30.18
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.47	29.39	29.32
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.44	30.47	30.46
Isokinetic, %:	106.2	105.3	99.0

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	78.06	76.56	78.55
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,123,216	2,082,417	2,136,544
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,442,811	1,404,752	1,438,086
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	81,417,810	78,840,293	80,210,679
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,356,964	1,314,005	1,336,845

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	8.31	6.25
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	250	295
Va	10.0	5.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	3.90E-06	7.21E-06
ppm	18.8	34.8
lbs/hr	317.3	568.1

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	28.2	28.6
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	1.0	1.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	4.24E-04	4.47E-04
ppm(dry)	2554.6	2693.9
lbs/hr	34492.3	35222.1
lbs/MBTU	5.931	6.212

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	2610.0	2687.0	2785.3
lb/hr	35233.2	35124.4	37042.2
lbs/dscfx10-6	4.33E-04	4.46E-04	4.62E-04
lbs/MBTU	6.059	6.195	6.421

NOx

ppm(dry)	891.0	902.0	913.0
lb/hr	8645.1	8474.7	8727.2
lbs/dscfx10-6	1.06E-04	1.07E-04	1.09E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.487	1.495	1.513

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7288
 Date: 6/9/94
 Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	9:15 AM	11:27 AM	1:17 PM
Finish Time	10:35 AM	12:55 PM	2:30 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.007	1.007	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	408
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.195	0.195	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	30.02	30.02	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	5.5	5.6	5.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	375.462	418.011	737.283
Meter Final Volume:	415.07	457.94	777.582
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.15	1.16	1.17
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	73.2	72.5	68.8
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.1357	1.147	1.1310
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	335.7	336.6	335.8
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.1	12.1	12.3
% Oxygen:	6.2	6.2	6.3
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	60.0	60.0	64
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	39.610	39.930	40.299
Meter Volume, STP:	39.726	40.100	40.283
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.824	2.824	3.012
Total Gas Sampled:	42.550	42.925	43.296
% Moisture:	6.64	6.58	6.96
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	40.3	40.3	41.5
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.18	30.18	30.22
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.37	29.38	29.37
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.42	30.43	30.25
Isokinetic, %:	94.1	94.1	107.2

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS: 76.97
 Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM: 2,093,569
 Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM: 1,412,438
 Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR: 79,119,125
 Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM: 1,318,652

76.87
 2,090,849
 1,402,544
 78,295,594
 1,304,927

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb 10.94

N 9.80E-03

Vsoln 250

Va 10.0

Lbs/scf x 10-6 5.96E-06

ppm 28.7

lbs/hr 471.4

0.083

0.073

Wet Chemistry Method

Vt-Vtb 28.8

N 9.80E-03

Vsoln 1000.0

Va 1.0

Lbs/scf x 10-6 5.02E-04

ppm(dry) 3028.2

lbs/hr 39732.3

6.983

2610.0

34238.5

4.33E-04

6.017

912.4

8602.7

1.09E-04

1.512

NOx
 ppm(dry) 927.5
 lb/hr 8832.3
 lbs/dscf x 10-6 1.11E-04
 lbs/MBTU 1.537

SO2 Analyzer
 ppm(dry) 2920.0
 lb/hr 38687.1
 lbs/dscf x 10-6 4.84E-04
 lbs/MBTU 6.732

922.3
 8605.6
 1.10E-04
 1.539

2958.2
 38402.3
 4.90E-04
 6.867

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client:	Pure Air
Project No:	I-7288
Date:	6/13/94
Source:	FGD Inlet

Test No:	<u>T1</u>	<u>T2</u>
Start Time	10:10 AM	12:36 PM
Finish Time	11:22 AM	1:55 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.007	1.007
Stack Length, inches:	160	160
Stack Width, inches:	408	408
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.195	0.195
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.9	29.9
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	5.5	5.6
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	67.5
Meter Start Volume:	460.113	502.287
Meter Final Volume:	497.81	537.853
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	0.97	0.88
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	85.3	90.5
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.0476	0.9887
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	327	340.5
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.2	12.1
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.2
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	62.0	65.0
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	37.696	35.566
Meter Volume, STP:	36.804	34.389
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.918	3.060
Total Gas Sampled:	39.722	37.448
% Moisture:	7.35	8.17
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.4	40.3
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.20	30.18
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.30	29.18
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.30	30.31
Isokinetic, %:	94.8	98.8

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	70.83	67.55
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,926,562	1,837,346
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,308,950	1,227,688
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	72,764,551	67,643,151
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,212,743	1,127,386

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	7.22	4.56
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	330	250
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	5.60E-06	2.87E-06
ppm	27.0	13.8
lbs/hr	407.6	194.0
lbs/MBTU	0.078	0.040

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	22.2	22.2
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	1.0	1.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	4.18E-04	4.47E-04
ppm(dry)	2518.1	2697.3
lbs/hr	30385.8	30258.0
lbs/MBTU	5.846	6.220

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	2756.0	2711.0
lb/hr	33250.0	30405.1
lbs/dscf	4.57E-04	4.49E-04
lbs/MBTU	6.397	6.250

NOx

ppm(dry)	851.4	847.8
lb/hr	7382.8	6834.2
lbs/dscfx10-6	1.01E-04	1.01E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.420	1.405

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/27/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	10:27 AM	12:20 PM	2:13 PM
Finish Time	12:10 PM	1:44 PM	3:37 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.9931	0.9931	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	30.1	30.1	30.1
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.85	0.85	0.82
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	820.117	875.436	473.39
Meter Final Volume:	865.03	920.69	519.49
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.08	1.97	2.57
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	72.7	70.6	76.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5251	0.5125	0.5775
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	132.7	134.2	134.7
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	12.5	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.5	6.6	6.7
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	183.0	185.0	167
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	44.908	45.251	46.095
Meter Volume, STP:	44.679	45.186	45.877
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.614	8.708	7.861
Total Gas Sampled:	53.292	53.894	53.738
% Moisture:	16.16	16.16	14.63
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	43.6	44.7	45.9
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.24	30.26	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.26	28.28	28.48
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.16	30.16	30.16
Isokinetic, %:	98.8	102.5	91.1

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	31.45	30.72	34.51
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,613,951	1,576,489	1,770,984
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,449,303	1,412,089	1,584,968
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	72,905,725	71,033,707	81,185,215
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,215,095	1,183,895	1,353,087

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	3.56	2.62
N	9.40E-03	9.40E-03
Vsoln	400	420
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.65E-06	2.02E-06
ppm	12.8	9.8
lbs/hr	192.9	143.6
lbs/MbTU	0.038	0.029

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	21.4	20.6
N	9.40E-03	9.40E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	3.17E-05	3.03E-05
ppm(dry)	191.3	182.7
lbs/hr	2313.4	2152.5
lbs/MbTU	0.450	0.433

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	185.4	187.4	194.5
lb/hr	2241.1	2207.1	2618.1
lbs/dscfx10-6	3.07E-05	3.11E-05	3.22E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.436	0.444	0.464

NOx

ppm(dry)	834.8	852.5	847.6
lb/hr	7252.9	7216.5	8200.5
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.95E-05	1.02E-04	1.01E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.412	1.452	1.454

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7288
 Date: 6/28/94
 Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	10:55 AM	12:30 PM	2:00 PM
Finish Time	12:05 PM	1:39 PM	3:00 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.003	1.003	1.003
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	6	5.9	6.2
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	179.66	232.549	3.96
Meter Final Volume:	222.27	273.43	44.75
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.20	1.12	1.16
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	90.7	78	92.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.149	1.109	1.1156
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	333.4	333.4	328.2
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.2	12.3	12.3
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.2	6.4
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	61.0	57.0	71.0
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	42.605	40.883	40.790
Meter Volume, STP:	40.980	40.244	39.116
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.871	2.683	3.342
Total Gas Sampled:	43.851	42.927	42.458
% Moisture:	6.55	6.25	7.87
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.4	40.5	42.5
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.20	30.22	30.22
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.40	29.46	29.26
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.29	30.28	30.31
Isokinetic, %:	106.7	108.3	105.7

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	77.88	75.11	75.53
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,118,320	2,042,977	2,054,401
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,427,155	1,375,940	1,394,142
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	80,020,566	77,396,622	77,065,386
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,333,676	1,289,944	1,284,423

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	5.14	6.80
N	9.40E-03	9.40E-03
Vsoln	250	250
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.60E-06	3.51E-06
ppm	12.6	16.9
lbs/hr	208.3	271.4
lbs/MBTU	0.036	0.049

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	27.8	27.4
N	9.40E-03	9.40E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	1.0	1.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	4.50E-04	4.52E-04
ppm(dry)	2713.1	2727.9
lbs/hr	36004.5	35013.6
lbs/MBTU	6.299	6.290

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	2808.0	2815.0	2820.0
lb/hr	37255.6	36123.8	36033.1
lbs/dscfx10-6	4.66E-04	4.67E-04	4.68E-04
lbs/MBTU	6.518	6.490	6.591

NOx

ppm(dry)	826.5	876.4	878.2
lb/hr	7881.6	8083.4	8065.4
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.85E-05	1.04E-04	1.05E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.379	1.452	1.475

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/29/94
 Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	8:30 AM	11:25 AM	11:55 AM
Finish Time	9:47 AM	12:42 PM	1:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.003	1.003	1.003
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.8
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	6.1	5.9	6.1
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	280.034	324.887	129.052
Meter Final Volume:	319.83	365.66	171.71
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.15	1.12	1.24
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	79.9	79.7	79.8
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.122	1.112	1.157
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	335.7	332	330.5
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.2	12.3
% Oxygen:	6.4	6.5	6.4
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	62.0	55.0	73.0
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	39.793	40.771	42.661
Meter Volume, STP:	38.970	39.941	41.796
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.918	2.589	3.436
Total Gas Sampled:	41.889	42.530	45.232
% Moisture:	6.97	6.09	7.60
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	42.5	43.4	42.5
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.22	30.21	30.22
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.37	29.47	29.29
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.25	30.23	30.25
Isokinetic, %:	104.5	107.0	108.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	76.25	75.29	78.48
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,073,985	2,047,873	2,134,640
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,391,406	1,379,394	1,441,520
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	77,665,507	77,723,330	79,917,845
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,294,425	1,295,389	1,331,964

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	5.03	4.92
N	1.02E-02	1.02E-02
Vsoln	255	270
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.96E-06	3.00E-06
ppm	14.3	14.5
lbs/hr	230.2	232.8
lbs/MBTU	0.042	0.043

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	22.9	26.1
N	1.02E-02	1.02E-02
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	1.0	1.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	4.23E-04	4.71E-04
ppm(dry)	2549.8	2839.1
lbs/hr	32840.9	36593.9
lbs/MBTU	5.961	6.683

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	2773.0	2805.0	2789.0
lb/hr	35708.4	36147.4	36956.0
lbs/dscfx10-6	4.60E-04	4.65E-04	4.62E-04
lbs/MBTU	6.481	6.602	6.519

NOx

ppm(dry)	830.2	872.5	868.5
lb/hr	7683.9	8081.4	8271.5
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.89E-05	1.04E-04	1.04E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.395	1.476	1.459

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/7/94
Source: FGD INLET

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3 - M5
Start Time	9:55 AM	12:20 PM	2:30 PM
Finish Time	11:06 AM	1:44 PM	3:34 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	1.001	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Width, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	6.4	5.7	6.4
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	396.549	436.896	475.579
Meter Final Volume:	436.339	475.498	518.207
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.14	1.15	1.28
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	82	70.5	61
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.0890	1.0912	1.1650
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	328.7	323.7	326
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	12.3	12.4
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.4	6.5
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	42	57	57
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	26.03	14.46	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	3	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	26.5	26	33.3

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	39.790	38.602	42.628
Meter Volume, STP:	38.803	38.462	43.262
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	1.977	2.683	2.683
Total Gas Sampled:	40.780	41.145	45.945
% Moisture:	4.85	6.52	5.84
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.5	42.5	43.6
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.24	30.22	30.24
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.65	29.42	29.53
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.32	30.27	30.32
Isokinetic, %:	104.7	104.8	109.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	73.25	73.51	78.39
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,992,385	1,999,457	2,132,192
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,351,646	1,362,847	1,451,461
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	77,165,482	76,439,352	82,001,738
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,286,091	1,273,989	1,366,696

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.48	0.83
ppm	31.7	17.8
lbs/hr	114.1	63.4
Lbs/MBTU	0.021	0.012

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.17	ND
ppm	3.7	ND
lbs/hr	13.2	ND
Lbs/MBTU	0.002	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0105	0.0104	0.0119
10-6lbs/dscf	1.5059	1.4906	1.6973
lbs/hr:	116.20	113.94	139.18
lbs/MBTU:	0.021	0.021	0.024

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/8/94
 Source: FGD INLET

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3 - M5
Start Time	10:05 AM	1:03 PM	2:38 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	2:13 PM	3:50 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	1.001	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Width, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	5.3	5.3	5.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	519.854	565.539	607.210
Meter Final Volume:	560.317	606.849	648.408
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.20	1.19	1.22
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	61.3	62.2	60.9
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.1530	1.1567	1.1723
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	331.2	338.6	339.2
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.2	12.3	12.2
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.2	6.2
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	55	66	61
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	20.24	16.48	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	0.24	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	24.8	25.3	23.6

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	40.463	41.310	41.198
Meter Volume, STP:	41.033	41.818	41.812
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.589	3.107	2.871
Total Gas Sampled:	43.621	44.925	44.683
% Moisture:	5.94	6.92	6.43
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.4	40.5	40.4
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.20	30.22	30.20
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.48	29.37	29.42
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.24	30.24	30.25
Isokinetic, %:	105.8	108.9	107.0

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	78.00	78.77	79.78
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,121,584	2,142,528	2,170,000
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,430,962	1,431,698	1,449,446
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	80,757,781	79,957,461	81,374,786
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,345,963	1,332,624	1,356,246

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	1.09	0.87
ppm	23.3	18.6
lbs/hr	87.8	69.5
Lbs/MBTU	0.015	0.012

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	0.01	ND
ppm	0.3	ND
lbs/hr	1.0	ND
Lbs/MBTU	0.0002	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0093	0.0093	0.0087
10-lbs/dscf	1.3327	1.3340	1.2446
lbs/hr:	107.63	106.67	101.28
lbs/MBTU:	0.019	0.019	0.017

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/9/94
 Source: FGD INLET

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3 - M5
Start Time	9:29 AM	11:27 AM	1:17 PM
Finish Time	10:35 AM	12:55 PM	2:30 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	1.001	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Width, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, inches H2O:	5.5	5.6	5.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	655.951	696.772	737.283
Meter Final Volume:	696.404	736.718	777.582
Average Meter Pressure, inches H2O:	1.18	1.17	1.17
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	68.4	68.8	68.8
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.1363	1.1340	1.1310
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	336	336.9	335.8
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.2	12.3
% Oxygen:	6.4	6.3	6.3
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	52	52.4	64
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	18.74	12.02	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	0.12	0.07	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	18.1	19.7	17.5

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	40.453	39.946	40.299
Meter Volume, STP:	40.470	39.931	40.283
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.448	2.466	3.012
Total Gas Sampled:	42.917	42.397	43.296
% Moisture:	5.70	5.82	6.96
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	42.5	41.4	41.5
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.22	30.20	30.22
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.52	29.49	29.37
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.25	30.26	30.25
Isokinetic, %:	106.0	104.9	107.2

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	77.04	76.96	76.87
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,095,473	2,093,297	2,090,849
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,405,292	1,402,711	1,402,544
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	79,511,432	79,264,393	78,295,594
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,325,191	1,321,073	1,304,927

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	1.02	0.66
ppm	21.9	14.2
lbs/hr	81.2	52.6
Lbs/MBTU	0.014	0.009

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	0.01	0.00
ppm	0.1	0.1
lbs/hr	0.5	0.3
Lbs/MBTU	0.0001	0.0001

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0069	0.0076	0.0067
10 ⁻⁶ lbs/dscf	0.9862	1.0878	0.9579
lbs/hr:	78.41	86.23	75.00
lbs/MBTU:	0.014	0.015	0.013

**Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services**

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/13/94
Source: FGD INLET

Test No:	<u>T1-M26/M5</u>	<u>T2-M26/M5</u>
Start Time	10:13 AM	12:34 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	1:40 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.9993	0.9993
Stack Length, inches:	408	408
Stack Width, inches:	160	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.9	29.9
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	5.6	5.6
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	67.5
Meter Start Volume:	714.062	759.650
Meter Final Volume:	750.875	796.487
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	0.83	0.90
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	84.6	89.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.0260	1.0270
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	335	334.4
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.4	6.3
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	46	55
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	3	24.4
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	0.51	ND
Particulate Weight, mg	34.1	36.6

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	36.813	36.837
Meter Volume, STP:	35.700	35.425
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.165	2.589
Total Gas Sampled:	37.866	38.013
% Moisture:	5.72	6.81
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	42.6	41.6
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.24	30.25
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.54	29.42
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.31	30.31
Isokinetic, %:	103.5	107.3

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	69.43	69.61
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,888,482	1,893,378
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,270,586	1,274,842
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	71,874,501	71,281,518
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,197,908	1,188,025

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.19	1.52
ppm	4.0	32.6
lbs/hr	13.3	108.3
Lbs/MBTU	0.003	0.021

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.03	ND
ppm	0.7	ND
lbs/hr	2.3	ND
Lbs/MBTU	0.0004	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0147	0.0159
10-6lbs/dscf	2.1062	2.2782
lbs/hr:	151.38	162.39
lbs/MBTU:	0.030	0.032

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/27/94
 Source: FGD INLET

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3-M5
Start Time	10:17 AM	12:30 PM	2:13 PM
Finish Time	11:43 AM	1:39 PM	3:37 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	1.001	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Width, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	30.1	30.1	30.1
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	6.2	6.2	6.2
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	791.456	831.711	872.080
Meter Final Volume:	830.329	870.288	913.780
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.05	1.07	1.23
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	84.1	74.3	75.7
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.0650	1.0710	1.1540
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	337	335	332
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	12.4	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.2	6.2
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	53.5	57	71
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	15.7	27.2	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	ND	2.4	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	35.3	32.4	56.4

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	38.873	38.577	41.700
Meter Volume, STP:	38.070	38.474	41.497
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.518	2.683	3.342
Total Gas Sampled:	40.588	41.157	44.839
% Moisture:	6.20	6.52	7.45
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.5	40.6	40.6
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.24	30.23	30.25
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.48	29.43	29.34
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.56	30.56	30.56
Isokinetic, %:	106.4	107.1	107.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	71.93	72.31	77.89
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,956,482	1,966,818	2,118,592
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,323,863	1,334,205	1,442,607
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	74,507,025	74,832,901	80,107,945
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,241,784	1,247,215	1,335,132

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.91	1.56
ppm	19.5	33.4
lbs/hr	67.8	116.7
Lbs/MBTU	0.013	0.022

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	ND	0.14
ppm	ND	2.9
lbs/hr	ND	10.3
Lbs/MBTU	ND	0.002

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0143	0.0130	0.0210
10-6lbs/dscf	2.0446	1.8569	2.9969
lbs/hr:	152.34	138.95	240.08
lbs/MBTU:	0.029	0.026	0.042

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/28/94
Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3-M5
Start Time	10:40 AM	12:36 PM	2:00 PM
Finish Time	11:55 AM	1:45 PM	3:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	1.001	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, inches H2O:	6.2	6.2	6.2
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	913.80	965.71	3.96
Meter Final Volume:	955.64	1003.95	44.75
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.1989	0.9843	1.155
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	89.3	92.6	92.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.141	1.0326	1.1156
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	333.4	333.4	328.2
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.3	12.3
% Oxygen:	6.4	6.4	6.4
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	61	57	71
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	26.1	22.9	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	2.45	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	24.5	40.2	49.1

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	41.839	38.240	40.790
Meter Volume, STP:	40.265	36.562	39.038
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.871	2.683	3.342
Total Gas Sampled:	43.136	39.245	42.380
% Moisture:	6.66	6.84	7.89
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	42.5	42.5	42.5
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.22	30.22	30.22
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.41	29.38	29.26
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.31	30.31	30.31
Isokinetic, %:	105.6	106.2	105.5

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	77.30	69.99	75.53
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,102,545	1,903,714	2,054,401
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,417,462	1,283,417	1,394,142
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	79,383,515	71,737,875	77,048,656
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,323,059	1,195,631	1,284,144

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.43	1.38
ppm	30.7	29.6
lbs/hr	113.5	99.1
Lbs/MBTU	0.020	0.019

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.13	ND
ppm	2.9	ND
lbs/hr	10.7	ND
Lbs/MBTU	0.0019	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0094	0.0170	0.0194
10-6lbs/dscf	1.3417	2.4244	2.7733
lbs/hr:	106.51	173.92	213.68
lbs/MBTU:	0.019	0.034	0.039

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/29/94
Source: FGD Inlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3-M5
Start Time	8:30 AM	10:20 AM	12:00 PM
Finish Time	9:50 AM	11:37 AM	1:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	1.001	1.001	1.001
Stack Length, inches:	160	160	160
Stack Width, inches:	408	408	408
Stack Diameter, inches:	0	0	0
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.185	0.185	0.185
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.8
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	6.1	6.1	6.1
Duration of Sample, minutes:	70	70	70
Meter Start Volume:	44.78	87.21	129.05
Meter Final Volume:	84.80	129.05	171.71
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	1.236	1.231	1.237
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	75	76.5	79.8
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	1.1613	1.154	1.157
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	335.7	332	330.5
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.4	12.3
% Oxygen:	6.3	6.4	6.4
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	62	55	73
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	24.7	36.4	ND
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	1.79	4.35	ND
Particulate Weight, mg	45.8	55.8	24.6

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	40.020	41.842	42.661
Meter Volume, STP:	39.482	41.163	41.713
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	2.918	2.589	3.436
Total Gas Sampled:	42.400	43.752	45.149
% Moisture:	6.88	5.92	7.61
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	453.33	453.33	453.33
% Excess Air at Test Location:	41.5	42.6	42.5
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.22	30.24	30.22
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	29.38	29.52	29.29
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.25	30.25	30.25
Isokinetic, %:	102.2	106.2	108.7

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	78.91	78.04	78.48
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	2,146,336	2,122,672	2,134,640
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,439,946	1,430,723	1,441,520
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	80,452,645	80,761,441	79,909,196
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,340,877	1,346,024	1,331,820

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.38	1.95
ppm	29.6	41.8
lbs/hr	111.0	157.5
Lbs/MBTU	0.019	0.027

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.10	0.23
ppm	2.1	5.0
lbs/hr	8.0	18.8
Lbs/MBTU	0.0014	0.0033

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0179	0.0209	0.0091
10-6lbs/dscf	2.5579	2.9891	1.3004
lbs/hr:	205.79	241.40	103.91
lbs/MBTU:	0.036	0.042	0.018

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/7/94
 Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	9:55 AM	1:23 PM	2:30 PM
Finish Time	11:10 AM	2:40 PM	3:34 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.997	0.997	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.31
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.9	29.9	29.9
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.5	0.5	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	53.216	122.04	940.24
Meter Final Volume:	105.92	170.41	987.07
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.41	2.02	2.43
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	78.7	64	63
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.594	0.543	0.565
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	127.8	131.5	130
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.4	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.7	6.7	6.3
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	165.0	158.0	179
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	52.705	48.365	46.830
Meter Volume, STP:	51.753	48.777	47.462
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.767	7.437	8.426
Total Gas Sampled:	59.520	56.214	55.887
% Moisture:	13.05	13.23	15.08
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	45.6	45.7	41.6
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.24	30.25	30.25
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.64	28.63	28.40
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.94	29.94	29.94
Isokinetic, %:	98.1	101.7	97.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	35.32	32.39	33.80
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,812,552	1,662,190	1,734,548
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,629,240	1,484,739	1,553,311
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	84,997,431	77,298,470	79,144,324
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,416,624	1,288,308	1,319,072

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	10.86	2.96
N	9.70E-03	9.70E-03
Vsoln	250	266
Va	10.0	20.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	4.49E-06	6.91E-07
ppm	21.7	3.3
lbs/hr	381.9	53.4
lbs/MBTU	0.065	0.010

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	25.9	27.0
N	9.70E-03	9.70E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	3.42E-05	3.80E-05
ppm(dry)	206.4	228.9
lbs/hr	2908.9	2933.9
lbs/MBTU	0.493	0.546

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	195.2	198.2	199.5
lb/hr	2750.9	2540.2	2617.9
lbs/dscfx10-6	3.24E-05	3.29E-05	3.31E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.466	0.473	0.463

NOx

ppm(dry)	867.4	876.4	882.6
lb/hr	8786.1	8073.2	8324.4
lbs/dscfx10-6	1.03E-04	1.04E-04	1.05E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.488	1.503	1.473

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/8/94
 Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	<u>T1</u>	<u>T2</u>	<u>T3</u>
Start Time	10:05 AM	1:08 PM	2:40 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	2:20 PM	3:50 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.7
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.5	0.5	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	177.716	232.365	82.66
Meter Final Volume:	227.03	279.13	129.23
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.24	1.99	2.33
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	62	64	71.1
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5756	0.5391	0.554
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	129.7	131.1	129.1
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.3	12.4	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.6	6.7	6.5
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	164.0	175.0	166.9
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	49.309	46.764	46.570
Meter Volume, STP:	49.880	47.097	46.158
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.719	8.237	7.856
Total Gas Sampled:	57.600	55.334	54.014
% Moisture:	13.40	14.89	14.54
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	44.6	45.7	43.7
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.23	30.25	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.59	28.43	28.49
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.84	29.84	29.74
Isokinetic, %:	98.3	100.6	95.7

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	34.37	32.32	33.17
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,763,800	1,658,598	1,702,218
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,575,032	1,477,581	1,516,490
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	81,838,643	75,454,146	77,759,527
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,363,977	1,257,569	1,295,992

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	3.93	5.37
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	266	250
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.81E-06	2.47E-06
ppm	8.8	11.9
lbs/hr	148.4	186.1
lbs/MBTU	0.026	0.036

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	23.4	23.6	
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03	
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0	
Va	10.0	10.0	
Lbs/scf x 10-6	3.25E-05	3.46E-05	
ppm(dry)	196.1	208.6	
lbs/hr	2661.2	2610.8	
lbs/MBTU	0.465	0.498	
SO2 Analyzer			
ppm(dry)	174.0	206.5	211.4
lb/hr	2361.0	2583.4	2725.5
lbs/dscfx10-6	2.88E-05	3.42E-05	3.51E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.412	0.493	0.498

NOx

ppm(dry)	835.7	843.8	862.5
lb/hr	8150.4	7587.4	7992.5
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.96E-05	1.01E-04	1.03E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.424	1.447	1.459

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/9/94
 Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	9:15 AM	11:30 AM	1:17 PM
Finish Time	10:35 AM	12:45 PM	2:30 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.8
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.5	0.5	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	288.972	354.591	235.67
Meter Final Volume:	338.85	406.88	282.03
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.21	2.37	2.36
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	66.7	63.5	65.5
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5685	0.593	0.5568
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	130.5	132.2	129.1
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.5	12.4	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.6	6.6	6.6
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	167.0	167.0	156.0
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	49.874	52.287	46.360
Meter Volume, STP:	49.998	52.758	46.599
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.861	7.861	7.343
Total Gas Sampled:	57.858	60.619	53.941
% Moisture:	13.59	12.97	13.61
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	44.7	44.6	44.8
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.26	30.25	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.59	28.66	28.61
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.84	29.84	29.84
Isokinetic, %:	100.0	100.7	95.1

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	33.96	35.44	33.21
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,742,759	1,818,710	1,704,271
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,554,135	1,617,209	1,523,424
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	80,575,667	84,447,426	78,965,148
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,342,928	1,407,457	1,316,086

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	4.02	6.76
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	280	300
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.95E-06	3.33E-06
ppm	9.4	16.0
lbs/hr	157.0	280.9
lbs/MBTU	0.028	0.048

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	22.2	36.7
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	3.07E-05	4.81E-05
ppm(dry)	185.1	290.3
lbs/hr	2473.5	4064.9
lbs/MBTU	0.439	0.688

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	221.5	260.5	265.5
lb/hr	2959.2	3647.4	3476.1
lbs/dscfx10-6	3.67E-05	4.32E-05	4.40E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.525	0.617	0.629

NOx

ppm(dry)	890.2	871.2	890.4
lb/hr	8548.0	8767.5	8379.0
lbs/dscfx10-6	1.06E-04	1.04E-04	1.06E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.516	1.484	1.517

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client:	Pure Air
Project No:	I-7316
Date:	6/13/94
Source:	FGD Outlet

Test No:	<u>T1</u>	<u>T2</u>
Start Time	10:13 AM	12:34 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	1:55 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.5	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	420.293	484.144
Meter Final Volume:	475.26	538.19
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.51	2.43
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	83.8	88.5
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.607	0.598
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	129	130
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.6	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.7	6.6
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	175.0	177.0
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	54.969	54.049
Meter Volume, STP:	53.412	52.058
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.237	8.331
Total Gas Sampled:	61.649	60.389
% Moisture:	13.36	13.80
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	45.9	44.7
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.28	30.26
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.64	28.57
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.84	29.84
Isokinetic, %:	99.8	99.2

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	36.19	35.72
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,857,198	1,833,079
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,660,405	1,636,064
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	86,314,519	84,617,235
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,438,575	1,410,287

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	3.14	3.17
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	400	250
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.03E-06	1.32E-06
ppm	9.8	6.4
lbs/hr	175.6	111.5
lbs/MBTU	0.029	0.019

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	14.5	15.4
N	9.80E-03	9.80E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.88E-05	2.04E-05
ppm(dry)	113.5	123.2
lbs/hr	1624.8	1728.8
lbs/MBTU	0.271	0.292

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	173.5	177.8
lb/hr	2483.0	2494.5
lbs/dscfx10-6	2.88E-05	2.95E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.414	0.421

NOx

ppm(dry)	826.2	825.3
lb/hr	8498.4	8322.3
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.85E-05	9.84E-05
lbs/MBTU	1.417	1.406

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7288
 Date: 6/28/94
 Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	10:55 AM	12:30 PM	2:00 PM
Finish Time	12:05 PM	1:39 PM	3:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.993	0.993	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.85	0.85	0.85
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	927.766	997.936	618.75
Meter Final Volume:	976.61	1047.51	667.79
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.24	2.33	2.51
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	80.5	88.3	95.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5453	0.5594	0.5708
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	132.8	133.6	124.7
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.5	12.6	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.5	6.6	7.0
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	180.0	168.0	174
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	48.839	49.578	49.040
Meter Volume, STP:	47.506	47.549	46.742
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.473	7.908	8.190
Total Gas Sampled:	55.979	55.457	54.932
% Moisture:	15.14	14.26	14.91
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	43.7	44.8	49.2
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.26	30.28	30.30
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.40	28.53	28.47
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.91	29.91	29.91
Isokinetic, %:	100.6	97.5	93.8

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	32.71	33.50	33.97
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,678,612	1,719,153	1,743,272
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,494,620	1,528,655	1,573,696
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	76,100,077	78,640,110	80,343,491
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,268,335	1,310,669	1,339,058

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	2.54	4.54
N	9.40E-03	9.40E-03
Vsoln	400	300
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	1.78E-06	2.38E-06
ppm	8.6	11.5
lbs/hr	135.1	187.0
lbs/MbTU	0.025	0.034

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	16.6	15.9
N	9.40E-03	9.40E-03
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.32E-05	2.23E-05
ppm(dry)	139.9	134.2
lbs/hr	1765.0	1749.8
lbs/MbTU	0.329	0.318

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	120.5	115.8	117.3
lb/hr	1520.4	1509.9	1562.6
lbs/dscfx10-6	2.00E-05	1.92E-05	1.94E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.284	0.274	0.286

NOx

ppm(dry)	820.1	850.3	842.4
lb/hr	7437.4	7968.7	8065.7
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.77E-05	1.01E-04	1.00E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.387	1.448	1.476

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
 Project No: I-7316
 Date: 6/29/94
 Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1	T2	T3
Start Time	8:30 AM	10:20 AM	12:00 PM
Finish Time	9:45 AM	11:37 AM	1:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.993	0.993	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.85	0.85	0.85
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	48.254	97.98	767.16
Meter Final Volume:	97.47	148.71	814.16
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.39	2.33	2.4625
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	71	99.2	74.1
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5631	0.5559	0.5657
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	134.1	133.5	131.1
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.5	12.5	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.9	7.0	6.9
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	175.0	173.0	175
Total Weight of Particulate, Milligrams:	0	0	0

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	49.217	50.725	47.001
Meter Volume, STP:	48.667	47.622	46.571
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.237	8.143	8.237
Total Gas Sampled:	56.905	55.765	54.809
% Moisture:	14.48	14.60	15.03
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	48.0	49.1	48.0
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.28	30.28	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.50	28.49	28.43
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.86	29.86	29.91
Isokinetic, %:	99.4	98.6	94.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	33.79	33.34	33.87
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,734,035	1,710,942	1,738,141
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,538,015	1,519,066	1,552,075
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	78,918,612	77,836,958	79,127,888
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,315,310	1,297,283	1,318,798

SO3 Results

Vt-Vtb	5.80	5.87
N	1.02E-02	1.02E-02
Vsoln	450	375
Va	20.0	20.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.42E-06	2.08E-06
ppm	11.7	10.0
lbs/hr	190.6	162.0
lbs/MbTU	0.035	0.031

SO2 Results**Wet Chemistry Method**

Vt-Vtb	19.0	20.2
N	1.02E-02	1.02E-02
Vsoln	1000.0	1000.0
Va	10.0	10.0
Lbs/scf x 10-6	2.82E-05	3.05E-05
ppm(dry)	169.9	183.9
lbs/hr	2223.7	2374.4
lbs/MbTU	0.411	0.449

SO2 Analyzer

ppm(dry)	149.5	155.4	161.5
lb/hr	1956.2	2005.5	2118.8
lbs/dscfx10-6	2.48E-05	2.58E-05	2.68E-05
lbs/MBTU	0.362	0.379	0.391

NOx

ppm(dry)	818.4	854.0	852.9
lb/hr	7696.9	7921.6	8042.6
lbs/dscfx10-6	9.75E-05	1.02E-04	1.02E-04
lbs/MBTU	1.424	1.497	1.484

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/7/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3 - M5
Start Time	9:55 AM	12:20 PM	2:30 PM
Finish Time	11:06 AM	1:44 PM	3:34 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.31	0.31	0.31
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.9	29.9	29.9
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.5	0.5	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	841.23	892.20	940.24
Meter Final Volume:	890.84	939.04	987.07
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.61	2.39	2.43
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	75	66.8	63
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.586	0.559	0.565
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	131	131	130
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	12.5	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.5	6.3	6.3
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	168	173	179
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	0.279	0.136	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	ND	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	14.2	9.3	18.3

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	49.613	46.842	46.830
Meter Volume, STP:	49.176	47.127	47.462
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.908	8.143	8.426
Total Gas Sampled:	57.084	55.270	55.887
% Moisture:	13.85	14.73	15.08
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	43.6	41.6	41.6
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.24	30.25	30.25
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.54	28.45	28.40
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.94	29.94	29.94
Isokinetic, %:	96.7	98.0	97.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	35.00	33.44	33.80
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,796,130	1,716,074	1,734,548
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,605,737	1,534,167	1,553,311
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	83,000,546	78,491,053	79,144,324
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,383,342	1,308,184	1,319,072

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	0.01	0.01	
ppm	0.3	0.1	
lbs/hr	1.0	0.5	
Lbs/MBTU	0.0002	0.0001	

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	ND	ND	
ppm	ND	ND	
lbs/hr	ND	ND	
Lbs/MBTU	ND	ND	

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0045	0.0030	0.0059
10-6lbs/dscf	0.6367	0.4351	0.8502
lbs/hr:	52.85	34.15	67.29
lbs/MBTU:	0.009	0.006	0.012

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/8/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3 - M5
Start Time	10:05 AM	1:03 PM	2:38 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	2:13 PM	3:50 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.31	0.31	0.31
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.7	29.7	29.7
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.5	0.5	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	988.15	34.58	82.66
Meter Final Volume:	1034.43	82.51	129.23
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.351	2.5	2.325
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	61.7	67.1	71.1
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5557	0.5727	0.554
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	128.6	128.9	129.1
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.5	12.5	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.6	6.5	6.5
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	164	167	166.9
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	0.111	0.101	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	ND	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	14.7	19.7	14.9

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	46.279	47.925	46.570
Meter Volume, STP:	46.699	47.882	46.158
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.719	7.861	7.856
Total Gas Sampled:	54.418	55.742	54.014
% Moisture:	14.18	14.10	14.54
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	44.7	43.7	43.7
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.26	30.26	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.52	28.53	28.49
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.74	29.74	29.74
Isokinetic, %:	97.4	96.8	96.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	33.24	34.26	33.17
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,705,810	1,758,155	1,702,218
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,520,981	1,566,855	1,516,490
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	78,318,352	80,755,710	77,759,527
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,305,306	1,345,929	1,295,992

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.01	0.00
ppm	0.1	0.1
lbs/hr	0.4	0.4
Lbs/MBTU	0.0001	0.0001

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	ND	ND
ppm	ND	ND
lbs/hr	ND	ND
Lbs/MBTU	ND	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0049	0.0063	0.0050
10-6lbs/dscf	0.6941	0.9072	0.7118
lbs/hr:	54.36	73.26	55.35
lbs/MBTU:	0.010	0.013	0.010

**Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services**

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/9/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3 - M5
Start Time	9:29 AM	11:27 AM	1:17 PM
Finish Time	10:35 AM	12:55 PM	2:30 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.31	0.31	0.31
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.8	29.8	29.7
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.58	0.61	0.5
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	129.37	188.65	235.67
Meter Final Volume:	176.14	235.48	282.03
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.375	2.38	2.36
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	70.2	68.9	65.5
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5568	0.559	0.5568
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	129.1	128.3	129.1
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.6	12.6	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.6	6.7	6.6
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	154	186	156
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	0.26	0.128	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	0.04	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	14.5	17.5	15.7

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	46.772	46.828	46.365
Meter Volume, STP:	46.598	46.769	46.448
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.249	8.755	7.343
Total Gas Sampled:	53.846	55.524	53.791
% Moisture:	13.46	15.77	13.65
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	44.8	45.9	44.8
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.28	30.28	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.63	28.34	28.60
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.84	29.84	29.74
Isokinetic, %:	96.2	98.3	96.2

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	33.20	33.48	33.28
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,703,758	1,718,127	1,707,863
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,522,965	1,537,898	1,521,519
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	79,078,437	77,722,279	78,829,888
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,317,974	1,295,371	1,313,831

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.01	0.01
ppm	0.3	0.1
lbs/hr	1.0	0.5
Lbs/MBTU	0.000	0.000

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.00	ND
ppm	0.0	ND
lbs/hr	0.1	ND
Lbs/MBTU	0.0000	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0048	0.0058	0.0052
10-6lbs/dscf	0.6861	0.8251	0.7453
lbs/hr:	54.26	64.13	58.75
lbs/MBTU:	0.010	0.012	0.011

Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/13/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5
Start Time	10:13 AM	12:34 PM
Finish Time	11:25 AM	1:40 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.9	29.9
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.65	0.65
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	283.671	331.669
Meter Final Volume:	331.536	371.989
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.51	2.21
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	84.3	90
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5699	0.5400
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	133.8	137
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.6	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.8	6.9
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	168	152
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	0.946	0.393
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	0.06	ND
Particulate Weight, mg	20.1	7.3

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	47.865	40.320
Meter Volume, STP:	46.622	38.837
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	7.908	7.155
Total Gas Sampled:	54.530	45.992
% Moisture:	14.50	15.56
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	47.0	48.0
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.29	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.51	28.37
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.95	29.95
Isokinetic, %:	94.0	83.7

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	34.13	32.50
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,751,483	1,667,835
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,558,960	1,476,549
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	79,974,649	74,807,887
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,332,911	1,246,798

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.04	0.02
ppm	1.0	0.5
lbs/hr	3.6	1.7
Lbs/MBTU	0.001	0.000

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	0.00	ND
ppm	0.1	ND
lbs/hr	0.2	ND
Lbs/MBTU	0.0000	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0067	0.0029
10-6lbs/dscf	0.9506	0.4145
lbs/hr:	76.03	31.01
lbs/MBTU:	0.014	0.006

**Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services**

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/27/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3-M5
Start Time	10:17 AM	12:30 PM	2:13 PM
Finish Time	11:43 AM	1:39 PM	3:37 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	30.1	30.1	30.1
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.85	0.82	0.82
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	375.13	423.89	473.39
Meter Final Volume:	423.79	473.39	519.49
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.58	2.67	2.57
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	80.5	70.7	76.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5793	0.5878	0.5775
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	132.2	131.8	134.7
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.5	12.6	12.6
% Oxygen:	6.6	6.6	6.7
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	183	189	167
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	ND	5.75	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	ND	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	14.5	14.5	14.5

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	48.658	49.502	46.095
Meter Volume, STP:	48.053	49.800	45.877
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.614	8.896	7.861
Total Gas Sampled:	56.666	58.696	53.738
% Moisture:	15.20	15.16	14.63
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	44.7	44.8	45.9
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.26	30.28	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.40	28.42	28.48
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	30.16	30.16	30.16
Isokinetic, %:	95.4	97.4	91.1

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	34.59	35.07	34.51
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,775,090	1,799,722	1,770,984
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,595,348	1,618,580	1,584,968
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	81,171,329	82,392,202	81,185,215
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,352,855	1,373,203	1,353,087

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	ND	0.25
ppm	ND	5.5
lbs/hr	ND	21.0
Lbs/MBTU	ND	0.004

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	ND	ND
ppm	ND	ND
lbs/hr	ND	ND
Lbs/MBTU	ND	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0047	0.0045	0.0049
10-6lbs/dscf	0.6654	0.6420	0.6969
lbs/hr:	54.01	52.90	56.58
lbs/MBTU:	0.010	0.009	0.010

**Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services**

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/28/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3-M5
Start Time	10:40 AM	12:36 PM	2:00 PM
Finish Time	11:55 AM	1:45 PM	3:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.85	0.85	0.85
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	519.84	569.21	618.75
Meter Final Volume:	569.14	618.55	667.79
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.53	2.567	2.51
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	91.6	95	95.3
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5735	0.5773	0.5708
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	124.1	124.3	124.7
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.6	12.6	12.6
% Oxygen:	7.0	7.0	7.0
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	179	168	174
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	ND	5.76	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	ND	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	20.7	17.6	18.9

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	49.297	49.339	49.040
Meter Volume, STP:	47.305	47.059	46.742
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.426	7.908	8.190
Total Gas Sampled:	55.730	54.967	54.932
% Moisture:	15.12	14.39	14.91
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	49.2	49.2	49.2
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.30	30.30	30.30
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.44	28.53	28.47
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.91	29.91	29.91
Isokinetic, %:	94.6	92.9	93.8

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	34.13	34.30	33.97
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,751,483	1,760,207	1,743,272
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,582,733	1,590,072	1,573,696
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	80,605,406	81,675,622	80,343,491
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,343,423	1,361,260	1,339,058

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	ND	0.27
ppm	ND	5.8
lbs/hr	ND	22.0
Lbs/MBTU	ND	0.004

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10-6	ND	ND
ppm	ND	ND
lbs/hr	ND	ND
Lbs/MBTU	ND	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0068	0.0058	0.0062
10-6lbs/dscf	0.9649	0.8247	0.8916
lbs/hr:	77.78	67.36	71.63
lbs/MBTU:	0.014	0.012	0.013

**Environmental Services of America
Almega Division
Air Quality Services**

Particulate & HCl Sampling Train Calculations

Client: Pure Air
Project No: I-7316
Date: 6/29/94
Source: FGD Outlet

Test No:	T1-M26/M5	T2-M26/M5	T3-M5
Start Time	8:30 AM	10:20 AM	12:00 PM
Finish Time	9:50 AM	11:37 AM	1:10 PM
Pitot Cal. Factor	0.84	0.84	0.84
Meter Calibration Factor:	0.999	0.999	0.999
Stack Length, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Width, inches:	0	0	0
Stack Diameter, inches:	396	396	396
Nozzle Diameter, inches:	0.312	0.312	0.312
Barometric Pressure, inches Hg:	29.85	29.85	29.85
Static Pressure in Stack, Inches H2O:	0.85	0.85	0.85
Duration of Sample, minutes:	60	60	60
Meter Start Volume:	669.05	717.75	767.16
Meter Final Volume:	717.44	767.14	814.16
Average Meter Pressure, Inches H2O:	2.5467	2.5517	2.4625
Average Meter Temperature, degrees F:	73.4	74.1	74.1
Average Sqrt. Velocity Pressure:	0.5751	0.5799	0.5657
Stack Gas Temperature, degrees F:	129.3	131	131.1
% Carbon Dioxide:	12.4	12.6	12.5
% Oxygen:	6.9	7.0	6.9
% Carbon Monoxide:	0.0	0.0	0.0
Liquid Volume Collected, milliliters:	175	186	175
Particulate Weight HCl, mg:	ND	ND	NA
Particulate Weight HF, mg:	ND	ND	NA
Particulate Weight, mg	20.4	20.8	17.4

Sample Train Calculations

Meter Volume, Actual:	48.393	49.388	47.001
Meter Volume, STP:	48.024	48.947	46.571
Volume of Water Vapor Condensed:	8.237	8.755	8.237
Total Gas Sampled:	56.261	57.702	54.809
% Moisture:	14.64	15.17	15.03
Area of Stack, Square Feet:	855.30	855.30	855.30
% Excess Air at Test Location:	47.9	49.2	48.0
Molecular Weight dry, lb/lb-Mole:	30.26	30.30	30.28
Molecular Weight wet, lb/lb-Mole:	28.47	28.43	28.43
Absolute Stack Gas Pressure, in Hg:	29.91	29.91	29.91
Isokinetic, %:	95.7	97.4	94.9

Velocity and Flow Calculations

Average Stack Gas Velocity FPS:	34.36	34.72	33.87
Stack Gas Flow Rate, ACFM:	1,763,286	1,781,761	1,738,141
Stack Gas Velocity, SCFM:	1,579,338	1,591,295	1,552,075
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCF/HR:	80,887,393	80,993,734	79,127,888
Stack Gas Velocity, DSCFM:	1,348,123	1,349,896	1,318,798

HCl Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	ND	ND
ppm	ND	ND
lbs/hr	ND	ND
Lbs/MBTU	ND	ND

HF Calculations:

Lbs/scf x 10 ⁻⁶	ND	ND
ppm	ND	ND
lbs/hr	ND	ND
Lbs/MBTU	ND	ND

Particulate Calculations:

grains/dscf:	0.0066	0.0066	0.0058
10 ⁻⁶ lbs/dscf	0.9367	0.9370	0.8238
lbs/hr:	75.76	75.89	65.19
lbs/MBTU:	0.014	0.014	0.012

APPENDIX K
FIELD DATA SHEETS

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/7/94
 LOCATION Portage Ind
 OPERATOR TS
 STACK NO. F6D Inlet
 RUN NO. 1 HCl/M5
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A2
 METER BOX NO. +903 A2
 METER ΔH @ +001 1.903
 Y = 1.001
 TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75°F
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 160 x 408"
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

CROSS SECTION

K = .92

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .005 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³ /min	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in. Hg)
A 1	2.5		314	1.2		1.10	398.11	79	77			5
2	5		310	1.4		1.29	394.84	82	77			5
3	7.5		311	1.5		1.38	401.41	84	77			5
4	10		313	1.6		1.47	402.85	85	77			5
B 1	12.5		314	1.1		1.01	404.25	85	78			5
2	15.0		315	1.3		1.20	405.64	84	78			4
3	17.5		320	1.6		1.47	407.13	85	78			5
4	20		322	1.6		1.47	408.8	85	79			5
C 1	22.5		320	1.5		1.38	410.19	83	79			5
2	25		324	1.6		1.47	411.94	84	79			5
3	27.5		329	1.6		1.47	413.56	84	79			5
4	30		328	1.6		1.47	415.32	84	79			5
D 1	32.5		341	1.9		1.75	416.97	85	79			6
2	35		343	1.9		1.75	419.75	84	79			6
3	37.5		341	2.1		1.93	420.75	79	78			6
4	40		337	1.0		.92	422.15	81	78			2
E 1	42.5		338	1.4		1.29	423.51	83	78			4
2	45		337	1.1		1.01	424.18	84	78			3
3	47.5		337	1.0		.92	426.20	84	78			2.5
4	50		332	1.3		1.20	427.87	85	79			4
F 1	52.5		329	1.0		.75	429.11	85	79			2.5
2	55		332	1.3		.60	430.10	85	79			2
3	57.5		333	1.4		.40	431.03	85	79			1
4	60		339	1.25		.23	431.68	85	79			1
Av			328.1	1.099		1.138		82				

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .01 @ 7 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	1 2 3 4	5
INITIAL		
LIQUID COLLECTED		
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6/7/94
LOCATION Portage Ind
OPERATOR TS
STACK NO. FGD Inlet
RUN NO. 1 HCL/m5
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. A2
METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 3
ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
PROBE HEATER SETTING -
HEATER BOX SETTING -
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
FILTER NO. _____
FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER .005 @ 15 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT OK

[illegible]

METER .009 @ 15 in. Hg
PITOTS @ in. H₂O
ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	122.20	105	0		284.7
INITIAL	100	100	0	56	261.5
LIQUID COLLECTED					17.2
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

42 total

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.4	6.3		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-7-94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR TS
 STACK NO. FGD Inlet
 RUN NO. 2 HCL / M5
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 12:20

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 HEATER BOX SETTING -
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

$K = .92$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 005 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A-1	2.5		311	1.4		1.2	438.69	74	73			6
2	5		314	1.2		1.1	439.40	74	73			5
3	7.5		315	1.4		1.3	441.43	74	72			5
4	10		313	1.6		1.47	442.95	75	71			6
B-1	12.5		317	1.1		1.01	444.34	75	71			4
2	15		320	1.5		1.4	445.76	75	71			5
3	17.5		322	1.3		1.2	447.27	75	71			5
4	20		318	1.6		1.47	448.81	75	70			6
C-1	22.5		321	1.6		1.47	449.98	73	70			6
2	25		317	1.5		1.38	451.93	73	69			6
3	27.5		325	1.4		1.28	453.52	71	69			6
4	30		331	1.6		1.47	454.95	70	68			6
D-1	32.5		335	1.9		1.75	456.65	71	68			7
2	35		328	1.9		1.75	458.26	70	67			7
3	37.5		329	2.1		1.93	460.01	65	66			8
4	40		338	1.0		.92	461.50	66	65			4
E-1	42.5		332	1.4		1.28	463.11	67	65			5
2	45		335	1.1		1.01	464.19	68	65			5
3	47.5		337	1.3		1.19	465.61	67	64			5
4	50		336	1.0		.92	466.97	67	64			4
F-1	52.5		319	.85		.782	468.17	67	64			3
2	55		318	.63		.55	469.35	67	64			2
3	57.5		317	.49		.45	470.10	66	63			1
4	60		325	.25		.24	470.89	66	63			1
Av			323.7					70.5				

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	120	132			332
INITIAL	100	100			303.1
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₁	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air

DATE 6/7/94

LOCATION Postage Ind

OPERATOR ~~TS~~ TS

STACK NO. FGD Inlet

RUN NO. R2 HCL/MS

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. A 2

METER ΔH @

$$Y =$$

TIME START =

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

* ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING

A Co FACTOR

FILTER NO.

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

↑ NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS _____ in. H.O.

ORSAT

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 0 5 in. Hg

PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
--------	---	----------------------

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-7-94
 LOCATION EGD Inlet
 OPERATOR _____
 STACK NO. _____
 RUN NO. 3 HCL
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 14:30

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 74
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .000 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	25	46.4	330	1.8		1.56	475.579	63	59			7
2	5.0		328	1.7		1.56	478.97	64	59			7
3	7.5		325	1.7		1.56	480.65	64	59			7
4	10.0		328	1.7		1.56	482.33	64	59			7
B1	12.5		319	.95		.87	483.66	63	59			7
2	15.0		316	1.30		1.20	485.11	63	59			7
3	17.5		322	1.90		1.75	486.90	63	59			8
4	20.0		320	1.80		1.66	488.50	64	59			7
C1	22.5		315	1.50		1.38	490.00	63	59			6
2	25.0		319	1.80		1.66	491.900	63	59			6
3	27.5		319	1.60		1.47	493.80	63	59			6
4	30.0		321	1.70		1.56	495.83	63	59			6
D1	32.5		320	1.80		1.66	496.83	63	59			7
2	35		325	1.60		1.47	498.48	63	59			7
3	37.5		307	1.90		1.75	500.16	61	58			7
4	40		329	.88		.81	501.87	60	58			6
E1	42.5		335	1.30		1.2	503.35	57	57			6
2	45		338	1.10		1.01	504.72	58	57			4
3	47.5		334	1.10		1.01	506.09	59	57			4
4	50		339	1.10		1.01	507.43	59	57			4
F1	52.5		340	1.70		1.56	509.1	60	57			7
2	55		338	1.60		1.47	510.7	60	57			6
3	57.5		330	1.00		1.10	512.13	60	57			5
4	60		331	1.00		.92	513.44	60	57			4
Av			326.0	1.16		1.282						

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .005 @ 5 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1 H ₂ O	2	3	4	
FINAL	130	110			259.1
INITIAL	100	100			242.6
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Nil

DATE 6-7-94

LOCATION EGP IN/Of

OPERATOR

STACK NO. _____

RUN NO. 3 NCL

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. A2

METER ΔH @ 1.903

$$Y = \underline{1.001}$$

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING _____

Δ Cp FACTOR _____

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS 0 in. H₂O

ORSAT _____

[illegible]

TIME END * _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg

PITOTS 0 in. H₂O

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-7-94
 LOCATION EGD Inlet
 OPERATOR BF
 STACK NO. _____
 RUN NO. 1 M-8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. 1
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH 1.855
 $\gamma =$ 1.007
 TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 60
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 84%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 11'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .24185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13'4" x 34"
 PROBE HEATER SETTING NA
 HEATER BOX SETTING NA
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

$K = 2.50$
.89 PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER .005 0 65 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5		332	.81		.72	183.622	82	79			
2	5		327	.65		.58	186.63	85	80			
3	7.5		326	.44		.39	187.81	86	80			
4	10		320	.25		.22	189.0	88	80			
B1	12.5		321	.97		.86	190.4	89	80			
2	15		330	.68		.61	191.61	89	81			
3	17.5		335	1.1		.98	193.12	89	81			
4	20		319	.48		.43	194.2	89	81			
C1	22.5		323	1.3		1.16	195.78	90	81			
2	25		325	1.0		.89	197.24	90	82			
3	27.5		336	1.1		.98	198.78	90	82			
4	30		331	1.4		1.25	200.44	89	82			
D1	32.5		335	1.6		1.42	202.25	89	82			
2	35		318	2.1		1.87	204.29	89	82			
3	37.5		319	1.9		1.69	206.21	82	82			
4	40		320	1.8		1.60	208.13	86	81			
E1	42.5		317	1.5		1.34	209.98	87	82			
2	45		315	1.5		1.34	211.66	89	82			
3	47.5		320	1.5		1.34	213.45	90	82			
4	50		321	1.5		1.34	215.16	91	83			
F1	52.5		325	1.6		1.42	216.97	91	83			
2	55		327	1.6		1.42	218.77	91	83			
3	57.5		321	1.3		1.16	220.4	91	83			
4	60		304	1.2		1.07	221.99	91	84			
Av			323.6	1.095		1.11		87				

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.3	6.9		
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6-7-94
LOCATION _____
OPERATOR _____
STACK NO. FLD INLET
RUN NO. 1 M-8
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER Δ H @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END *

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

METER 6 00 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-7-94
 LOCATION EGD Inlet
 OPERATOR MPR
 STACK NO. —
 RUN NO. 2-M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1.855
 Y = 1.007
 TIME START = 1323

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE —
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .125
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 135
 PROBE HEATER SETTING N/A
 HEATER BOX SETTING N/A
 Δ Cp FACTOR .54
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

K = .89

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ ? in. H₂O
 ORSAT N/A

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A-1	2.5		330	.85		.75	229.101	73	73		65	2
2	5.0		328	.62		.55	232.100	74	73		65	2
3	7.5		325	.45		.40	232.900	75	72		64	1
4	10.0		320	.28		.25	233.000	75	71		63	1
B 1	12.5		321	.99		.88	235.100	76	71		63	3
2	15.0		325	.65		.58	236.300	77	71		63	3
3	17.5		316	1.2		1.07	237.900	78	71		63	3
4	20.0		319	.51		.45	238.700	78	71		63	3
C 1	22.5		331	1.2		1.07	240.300	76	70		63	3
2	25.0		325	1.0		.89	241.800	75	70		63	3
3	27.5		328	1.3		1.16	243.400	74	69		63	3
4	30.0		317	1.1		.98	244.900	74	69		64	3
D 1	32.5		319	1.7		1.51	246.700	74	69		64	4
2	35.0		322	2.0		1.78	248.700	74	68		64	5
3	37.5		335	1.9		1.69	250.600	74	68		65	5
4	40.0		330	1.8		1.60	253.800	78	67		65	5
E 1	42.5		318	1.5		1.33	254.500	72	66		65	5
2	45.0		321	1.6		1.42	255.700	72	66		65	4
3	47.5		325	1.5		1.34	257.310	72	66		66	4
4	50.0		335	1.8		1.34	259.800	72	65		66	4
F 1	52.5		330	1.6		1.42	261.600	72	65		67	4
2	55.0		318	1.5		1.34	262.900	72	65		66	4
3	57.5		320	1.3		1.16	264.500	71	63		66	4
4	60.0		319	1.2		1.07	266.100	71	65		66	4
AV			323.6	1.072		1.064		70.5				

TIME END = 1440

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .004 @ 2 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT —

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	60% IPA	IMPINGER VOLUME ml H ₂ O	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	126	220	263.6
INITIAL	100	200	245.6
LIQUID COLLECTED	26	20	
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.2	6.4		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air

DATE 6-1-54

LOCATION _____

OPERATOR MJR

STACK NO. FGD Inlet

RUN NO. 2-N8

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. _____

METER ΔH @ _____

Y = _____

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING _____

Δ Cp FACTOR _____

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg

PITOTS _____ in. H₂O

ORSAT

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS in. H₂O

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6-7-94
 LOCATION PORTER, IN
 OPERATOR TR. GR.
 STACK NO. EG11 OUTLET
 RUN NO. R1 Rm 26A/5B
 SAMPLE BOX NO. APex
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH 1.975
 Y = 900
 TIME START = 01:56

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in.
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 825
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 595.4 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 1.002 @ 12 in. Hg
 PITOTS 2.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

K=7.6

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP 1 in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH 1 in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (1 in Hg)
1	5		124	.40		3.0	845.6	75	75	313		6
2	10		128	.35		2.3	849.6	80	76	312		5
3	2		131	.30		2.3	853.4	83	76	312		5
4	20		121	.38		2.9	857.9	81	76	310		6
5	25		124	.36		2.7	862.0	86	77	312		5
6	30		121	.35		2.7	866.1	86	77	312		5
7	35		129	.35		2.7	870.4	84	77	310		5
8	40		130	.32		2.1	874.5	86	77	310		5
9	45		132	.33		2.5	878.4	86	78	312		5
10	50		134	.33		2.5	882.4	88	79	312		5
11	55		145	.34		2.6	886.5	87	79	312		5
12	60		147	.34		2.6	890.6	87	75	315		6
Av			<u>131</u>	<u>.586</u>		<u>2.61</u>			<u>80.4</u>			

TIME END = 11:06

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .002 @ 12 in. Hg
 PITOTS 2.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	2250	130			212
INITIAL	100	100		56	100
LIQUID COLLECTED	136	30			12
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	<u>168 total</u>				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O	CO	N
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6-7-94
 LOCATION PORTER IN
 OPERATOR GS FL
 STACK NO. 560 ORLET
 RUN NO. R2 Pm 5B, 26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. 1051
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 105
 Y = 909
 TIME START = 1224

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 62
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.25
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 20
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR 1.4
 FILTER NO. 0720
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 1.950 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.003 @ 17 in. Hg
 PITOTS 2.7 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 02

K=7.0

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5		135	.30		2.3	896.0	72	62	300		4
2	7		138	.30		2.3	899.8	72	62	310		4
3	10		136	.32		2.4	903.7	72	63	310		4
4	12		128	.32		2.4	907.7	70	62	308		4
5	15		128	.31		2.4	911.6	72	62	308		4
6	17		120	.33		2.5	915.6	72	62	310		4
7	20		124	.31		2.1	919.6	67	62	300		4
8	23		135	.34		2.6	923.6	71	62	315		5
9	25		143	.32		2.4	927.5	70	62	309		4
10	27		140	.31		2.4	931.4	70	62	311		4
11	29		140	.30		2.3	935.3	70	62	311		4
12	30		136	.30		2.3	939.2	70	63	311		4
Av			(131)	(.559)		2.39	(66.8)					

TIME END = 1344

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	740	120			213
INITIAL	100	100		4/2	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	140	20			13
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	173				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT WCC AP
 DATE 6-2-94
 LOCATION Process 11
 OPERATOR GLR
 STACK NO. 555 51-5
 RUN NO. 55 5055
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. P3
 METER ΔH @ 175
 Y = 999
 TIME START = 5:00

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 55
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 5
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 181
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 530 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 530 $\pm$ 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR 1.20
 FILTER NO. 0822
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 591.4 mg.
940.238

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK
K = 7.5

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5		128	.30		2.3	947.9	62	56	320		5
2	10		129	.32		2.4	947.9	62	56	320		5
3	15		130	.34		2.6	947.7	63	55	320		5
4	20		127	.35		2.6	947.5	63	55	320		5
5	25		127	.35		2.6	947.5	63	55	320		5
6	30		125	.35		2.6	947.5	63	56	309		5
7	35		129	.34		2.6	947.7	63	56	320		5
8	40		128	.34		2.6	947.7	63	57	312		5
9	45		130	.32		2.4	947.9	65	57	312		5
10	50		133	.30		2.3	947.9	65	58	311		5
11	55		129	.34		2.6	947.7	67	58	311		5
12	60		129	.34		2.7	947.5	67	59	312		6
Av			129.2	565		2.43		63				

TIME END = 1534

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	5
FINAL	245	169	0		215
INITIAL	100	600		56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	145	19			15
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			179		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	H ₂
1		12.9	6.3		
2					
3					
4					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

M8 PARTICULATE FIELD DATA M8

PLANT NIPSCO/Airproducts
 DATE 6/7/94
 LOCATION Stack
 OPERATOR C.T.
 STACK NO. FGD outlet
 RUN NO. 1 RM B
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A4
 METER BOX NO. A4
 METER ΔH @ 1.731
 Y = 0.997
 TIME START = 0.55

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 HEATER BOX SETTING -
 Δ Cp FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. 0815
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT - mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.007 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ @ .5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

K = 6.77

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in. H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in. H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in. H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in. Hg)
1-1	0		125	0.35		2.31	53.216	73	73	-	52	1.8
2	5		125	0.35		2.70	57.80	73	79	-	53	5.5
3	10		125	0.34		2.70	62.32	74	88	-	55	7.5
2-1	15/0		138	0.35		2.36	60.03	75	80	-	57	7.2
2	5		131	0.40		2.71	71.52	78	93	-	57	8.0
3	10		116	0.38		2.68	76.00	79	94	-	55	8.0
3-1	15/6		132	0.34		2.32	80.65	78	79	-	50	8.0
2	5		130	0.31		2.12	84.82	78	79	-	53	7.5
3	10		125	0.28		1.93	88.93	80	89	-	54	7.5
4-1	15/0		128	0.36		2.36	93.24	75	74	-	55	7.8
2	5		130	0.35		2.36	97.42	73	76	-	57	8.0
3	10		136	0.35		2.36	101.52	74	76	-	58	8.0
	15						105.921			-		
Av												

TIME END = 11:10

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.008 @ 14 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ @ ✓ in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	100 192 42	9
INITIAL	100 100 100	260
LIQUID COLLECTED		
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/8/94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR TS
 STACK NO. FGD Inlet
 RUN NO. R1 HCL/M5
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 10:15

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 70
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

K = 87

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 1006 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5	+5.3	330	1.6		1.39	519.84	62	56			3
2	5		331	1.6		1.39	522.81	62	56			3
3	7.5		334	1.7		1.48	524.60	62	56			3
4	10		331	1.8		1.57	526.01	65	57			4
B1	12.5		320	1.2		1.04	527.44	65	57			3
2	15		318	1.4		1.22	528.90	65	57			2
3	17.5		318	1.6		1.39	530.30	66	57			3
4	20		317	1.5		1.31	532.00	66	58			3
C1	22.5		340	1.2		1.04	533.28	65	58			3
2	25		348	1.5		1.31	534.81	65	58			3
3	27.5		347	1.6		1.57	536.44	65	58			4
4	30		348	1.8		1.57	538.35	65	58			4
D1	32.5		331	1.00		.70	539.38	65	58			1
2	35		335	2.3		2.06	541.15	65	59			6
3	37.5		345	2.0		1.74	542.91	61	59			4
4	40		340	1.8		1.57	544.31	61	59			4
E1	42.5		323	1.5		1.31	545.88	62	59			3
2	45		327	1.2		1.04	547.28	63	59			3
3	47.5		329	1.4		1.22	548.80	64	59			3
4	50		332	1.7		1.48	550.35	65	59			4
F1	52.5		331	.65		.57	551.44	65	59			1
2	55		334	.68		.77	552.62	65	59			2
3	57.5		335	.90		.78	553.81	65	59			2
4	60		331	.90		.78	555.04	66	59			2
Av			(331.2)	1.153		1.20		61.3				

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 1005 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	130	120			
INITIAL	100	100			
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

TIME START = 10:15

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER 000 015 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 03 in. H₂O
ORSAT 012

[illegible]

TIME END * _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	--	--			256.6
INITIAL	---			56	244.6
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

METER 006-0050 10 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT OK

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-8-94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR TS
 STACK NO. FGD Inlet
 RUN NO. 2 PART
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $Y =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 13:03

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 54
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

$K = .87$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .005 @ 25 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	V_m ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5		326	1.7		1.48	565.539	62	59			5
2	5		326	1.7		1.48	568.93	63	59			5
3	7.5		328	1.7		1.48	570.66	63	59			5
4	10		328	1.8		1.57	572.49	63	59			6
B1	12.5		342	1.3		1.13	573.85	64	60			5
2	15		340	1.3		1.13	575.12	64	60			5
3	17.5		344	1.5		1.31	576.45	64	60			5
4	20		345	1.5	5.78	1.31	578.54	64	60			5
C1	22.5		347	1.3		1.13	580.03	64	60			5
2	25		348	1.3		1.13	581.53	64	60			5
3	27.5		350	1.7		1.48	583.27	65	60			5
4	30		350	1.7		1.48	584.72	65	61			5
D1	32.5		348	.85		.74	585.98	65	61			3
2	35		395	2.2		1.91	587.61	65	61			6
3	37.5		346	2.2		1.91	589.55	65	61			6
4	40		346	1.8		1.57	591.05	65	61			6
E1	42.5		340	1.3		1.13	592.61	65	61			5
2	45		342	1.3		1.13	594.11	65	62			5
3	47.5		346	1.4		1.22	595.50	64	62			5
4	50		347	1.4		1.22	597.08	63	61			5
F1	52.5		331	.66		.57	598.21	63	61			2
2	55		331	.89		.77	599.43	62	61			3
3	57.5		332	.89		.77	600.56	62	61			3
4	60		332	.89		.77	601.73	63	60			3
Av												

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	254	236.6
INITIAL	200	221.5
LIQUID COLLECTED	54	
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	54 ml	15.1

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO,	O,	CO	N,
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6-8-94
LOCATION FGD Inlet
OPERATOR TS
STACK NO. _____
RUN NO. ~~2~~ 2 Par 1
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. A2
METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
TIME START = 13:03

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 54
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
PROBE LENGTH, in. 14 1/2
NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5
PROBE HEATER SETTING —
HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
FILTER NO. —
FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS		
METER	.005 @ 25	in. Hg
PITOTS	0 @ 3	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT	OK	

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 60
 DATE 6-8-94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 LOCATION FGD Inlet ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 OPERATOR MPR PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 STACK NO. _____ NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 RUN NO. 3 PART HCL STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5'
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____ PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 METER BOX NO. A2 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 METER ΔH @ 1.908 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 Y = 1.001 FILTER NO. _____
 TIME START = 1430 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

CROSS SECTION

$K=0.87$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .002 @ 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	25	+5.5	325	1.8		1.57	607.210	60	60	—	—	3
2	5.0		326	1.8		1.57	610.400	61	60			3
3	7.5		326	1.7		1.48	612.100	61	60			3
4	10.0		327	1.7		1.48	613.200	62	60			3
B1	12.5		340	1.4		1.22	615.200	63	60			2
2	15.0		340	1.4		1.22	616.600	63	60			2
3	17.5		343	1.5		1.31	618.200	63	60			2
4	20.0		345	1.5		1.31	619.800	63	60			2
C1	22.5		347	1.4		1.22	621.300	63	60			2
2	25.0		348	1.6		1.39	622.800	63	60			2
3	27.5		352	1.6		1.39	624.400	63	60			2
4	30.0		350	1.7		1.48	626.000	64	60			2
O1	32.5		347	.87		.76	627.300	64	60			2
2	35.0		348	2.0		1.74	628.900	63	60			4
3	37.5		350	2.1		1.83	630.900	60	60			3
4	40.0		350	1.9		1.65	632.700	61	60			2
E1	42.5		343	1.4		1.22	634.100	61	59			2
2	45.0		344	1.4		1.22	635.800	62	59			2
3	47.5		347	1.3		1.13	637.100	62	60			2
4	50.0		344	1.5		1.31	638.600	63	60			2
F1	52.5		330	.65		.57	639.700	63	60			2
2	55.0		332	.88		.77	640.900	62	60			1
3	57.5		332	.90		.78	642.100	62	60			1
4	60.0		332	.90		.78	643.300	61	59			1
Av												

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg
 PITOTS _____ in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6-8-94
LOCATION FGP Inlet
OPERATOR _____
STACK NO. _____
RUN NO. 3 Part HCL
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. A2
METER ΔH @ 1903
Y = 1.001
TIME START = 14:30

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14"
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END -

METER .065 7 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT OF

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					205.5
INITIAL				SG	200
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-8-94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR B.F.
 STACK NO. -
 RUN NO. 1 M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. -
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1.855
 Y = 4007
 TIME START = -

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 65
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.05
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 11'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13'4" x 34'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING NA
 HEATER BOX SETTING NA
 Δ Cp FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. -
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT - mg.

K = .85

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.01 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5	45.3	370	1.0		.85	282.32	58	56			6
2	5.0		333	1.1		.94	283.76	61	56			
3	7.5		324	.93		.79	285.09	63	57			
4	10		321	.80		.68	286.32	64	57			
B1	12.5		320	.90		.77	287.63	65	57			
2	15		318	.90		.77	288.95	65	58			
3	17.5		318	.88		.75	290.3	65	58			
4	20		317	.65		.58	291.39	65	58			
C1	22.5		340	1.70		1.45	293.0	66	59			
2	25		378	1.4		1.19	294.84	67	59			
3	27.5		342	1.2		1.02	296.35	67	59			
4	30		348	1.5		1.28	297.99	68	59			
D1	32.5		320	1.8		1.53	298.82	68	59			
2	35		335	2.00		1.7	301.68	68	60			7
3	37.5		345	2.80		2.13	303.5	62	60			8
4	40		340	.80		.68	303.36	65	60			
E1	42.5		323	1.80		1.53	307.12	66	60			6
2	45		327	1.80		1.53	308.98	68	60			
3	47.5		329	1.50		1.28	310.63	68	60			6
4	50		332	1.80		1.02	312.15	68	61			
F1	52.5		331	1.40		1.19	313.66	68	61			
2	55		334	1.10		.94	315.14	69	61			6
3	57.5		335	1.60		1.36	316.82	69	61			
4	60		331	1.50		1.28	318.48	70	61			
Av												

TIME END = -

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.01 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT _____
DATE 6-8-94
LOCATION _____
OPERATOR _____
STACK NO. _____
RUN NO. 1 14-8
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER ΔH @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END =

METER 0 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-8-94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR MT R
 STACK NO. _____
 RUN NO. 2 M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1.855
 $\gamma =$ 1.007
 TIME START = 14:30

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 54
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 141
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

CROSS SECTION

$K = .85$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	A2.5	+5.7	325	1.60		1.37	331.60	65	62	60		3
2	5		327	1.7		1.45	335.1	65	62	60		3
3	7.5		328	1.7		1.45	336.91	69	62	60		3
4	10		328	1.7		1.45	338.72	70	60			3
B1	12.5		331	1.0		.85	340.08	70	61			3
2	15		333	1.1		.94	341.52	69	61			3
3	17.5		333	.94		.80	342.91	67	61			3
4	20		333	.82		.70	344.21	67	61			3
C1	22.5		330	.90		.77	345.44	67	61			3
2	25		330	.90		.77	346.75	67	61			3
3	27.5		330	.88		.75	347.81	67	61			3
4	30		335	.66		.56	349.22	67	61			3
D1	32.5		341	1.2		1.45	352.99	68	61			3
2	35		340	1.4		1.19	352.69	68	61			3
3	37.5		342	1.2		1.02	354.100	63	61			3
4	40		343	1.5		1.28	355.800	65	61			3
E1	42.5		347	1.8		1.53	357.700	66	61			3
2	45		347	2.0		1.70	359.500	67	61			3
3	47.5		349	2.3		1.96	361.800	67	61			3
4	50		350	.80		.68	362.800	68	61			3
F1	52.5		345	1.8		1.53	364.700	66	61			3
2	55		346	1.8		1.53	366.100	66	61			3
3	57.5		345	1.5		1.28	368.100	66	61			3
4	60		350	1.2		1.02	369.600	66	61			3
Av												

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 @ 5 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					

11 NORTH SCHEMATIC STAGE

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 54

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3

PROBE LENGTH, in. 141

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185

STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5'

PROBE HEATER SETTING —

HEATER BOX SETTING —

Δ Cp FACTOR 84

FILTER NO. —

FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

The diagram illustrates a gas flow probe. A vertical tube is shown with an arrow pointing upwards labeled "GAS FLOW". At the top of the tube, there is a flared section labeled "DISTURBANCE". Below this, a horizontal line indicates the "SAMPLE SITE". Further down the tube, another arrow points upwards, also labeled "DISTURBANCE". To the left of the tube, two vertical arrows indicate distances: "A" from the top disturbance to the sample site, and "B" from the sample site to the bottom disturbance.

METER 0 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT

[illegible]

TIME END * _____

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					263.6
INITIAL				50	245.6
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6-5-54
 LOCATION PIPE ER IN
 OPERATOR ABT
 STACK NO. ESD 55 ST
 RUN NO. R1, Rm 26 A156
 SAMPLE BOX NO. AP5X
 METER BOX NO. 43
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 Y = 0.99
 TIME START = 10:05

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 58
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.7
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 5
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 300/25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 300/25
 ΔC_p FACTOR 1.1
 FILTER NO. 7710
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 595.5 mg.

NORTH SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .002 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5		129	.31		2.36	942.7	54	54	315	60	5
2	12		131	.28		2.13	945.8	60	54	312	57	4
3	15		123	.30		2.28	944.6	63	55	312	57	5
4			128	.35		2.66	3.8	63	56	317	56	5
5			130	.32		2.43	7.5	68	57	320	56	5
6	30		132	.35		2.66	11.6	69	58	320	56	5
7	35		132	.27		2.05	15.2	60	59	320	56	5
8	40		130	.30		2.28	18.9	70	58	325	56	5
9	45		128	.30		2.28	22.8	70	60	315	56	5
10			127	.31		2.36	26.7	71	60	319	56	5
11	45		125	.31		2.36	30.5	71	61	320	56	5
12	60		128	.31		2.36	34.425	72	61	322	55	5
Av			128.6	.555		2.3508	46.279		61.7			

TIME END = 11:25

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.003 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	230	120	0	—	214
INITIAL	100	100	—	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	130	20	0	—	14
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	164				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O	CO	N
1					
2					
3					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 10-8-74
 LOCATION PORTER IN
 OPERATOR GB TR
 STACK NO. EGD OUTLET
 RUN NO. R2, Km 5B
 SAMPLE BOX NO. Apex
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 $\gamma =$.999
 TIME START = 1303

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 60
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.70
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 676
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 597.2 mg.

NORTH SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 222 @ 7 in. Hg
 PITOTS 22 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

5-7.5

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	4.5	128	.28		2.1	0.38.3	63	59	310		5
2	10		130	.31		2.4	0.42.2	64	59	311		5
3	15		130	.32		2.4	0.46.1	67	60	311		5
4	20		130	.32		2.4	0.50.0	67	61	308		5
5	25		129	.31		2.4	0.53.7	72	61	308		5
6	30		128	.33		2.5	0.57.2	74	62	310		5
7	35		128	.34		2.5	0.61.9	75	63	308		5
8	40		131	.35		2.7	0.66.0	75	64	310		5
9	45		122	.38		2.7	0.70.2	76	64	310		5
10	50		130	.35		2.7	0.74.2	75	65	311		5
11	55		127	.36		2.7	0.78.5	75	65	311		5
12	60		128	.32		2.4	0.82.509	75	65	311		5
Av												

TIME END 1413

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .004 @ 12 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	730	124	—	—	293.9
INITIAL	100	100	—	56	282.0
LIQUID COLLECTED	130	24			
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 10-8-94
 LOCATION PORTER, IN
 OPERATOR GB, TR
 STACK NO. PGD OUTLET
 RUN NO. R3, RM5B/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. Apex
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 18.5
 Y = 6.9
 TIME START = 14:38

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 64
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.7
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .212
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 9"
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 310 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 ± 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR 74
 FILTER NO. 548
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 295.1 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ±/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³ /min	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	+51	127	.33		2.5	86.7	64	64	312	60	5
2	10		129	.28		2.1	90.4	72	64	315	57	4
3	15		131	.29		2.2	94.2	75	64	319	57	4
4	20		129	.29		2.2	97.9	77	66	319	57	4
5	25		128	.30		2.3	101.7	77	66	319	57	4
6	30		128	.28		2.1	105.5	77	67	320	57	4
7	35		130	.32		2.4	109.1	72	67	322	57	4
8	40		127	.32		2.5	113.2	78	67	324	57	5
9	45		131	.37		2.8	117.6	79	68	321	57	5
10	50		132	.32		2.4	121.1	75	68	315	57	5
11	55		129	.30		2.3	125.4	75	68	314	57	5
12	60		128	.28		2.1	129.225	81	75	317	57	4
Av			129.1		.5340	2.3250	46.570		71.1			

TIME END = 15:50

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.003 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	5
FINAL	235	118			213.9
INITIAL	100	100	-	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air / NIPSCO
 DATE 6/8/94
 LOCATION Stack
 OPERATOR C.F.
 STACK NO. FGD outlet
 RUN NO. 1 / M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A4
 METER BOX NO. A4
 METER ΔH @ 1 x 731
 $\gamma =$ 0.997
 TIME START = 1010 AM

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 58
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.1
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 200
 HEATER BOX SETTING 200
 ΔC_p FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. 1050
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.005 @ 20 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ @ 0.4 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

$K = 6.75$

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1-1	0		127	0.32		2.16	177.716	53	53	180	50	2.0
2	5		130	0.35		2.36	181.71	53	53	175	50	2.0
3	10		131	0.37		2.50	185.94	55	67	177	51	2.0
2-1	15/0		125	0.33		2.23	190.15	56	69	185	52	2.0
2	5		129	0.33		2.23	194.40	58	71	182	52	2.0
3	10		135	0.29		1.96	198.33	59	72	180	53	2.0
3-1	15/0		132	0.32		2.16	202.27	59	59	180	53	2.0
2	5		138	0.35		2.36	206.30	59	68	182	55	2.2
3	10		133	0.31		2.09	210.56	59	70	183	55	2.1
4-1	15/0		125	0.34		2.30	214.50	59	60	183	55	2.2
2	5		129	0.35		2.36	218.69	59	67	189	57	2.2
3	10		122	0.32		2.16	222.97	60	69	166	57	2.2
	15						227.05					
Av			129.7	0.32		2.2392	48.309		61.5			

TIME END = 1135 AM

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.008 @ 21 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ @ 0.4 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	112	140
INITIAL	100	100
LIQUID COLLECTED		
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED		200

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O	CO	N
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Air Products
DATE Porter, IN
LOCATION 6-8-94
OPERATOR EJK
STACK NO. FGD Exhaust
RUN NO. 2 - M8
SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
METER BOX NO. A4
METER $\Delta H @$ ~~0.99~~ 1.731
 $y =$ 0.997
TIME START = 2:49

↑ AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 29.70" Hg.
 ↘ BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 59°F
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, ft. 18 ft
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.312
 STACK DIAMETER, ft. 33 ft
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING 150°F
 Δ Cp FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

METER	0.000	@ 16	in. Hg
PITOTS	0.00	@ 3	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT	OK		

[illegible]

TIME END = 3:58

METER	0.000	10	in. Hg
PITOTS	0.00	3	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT			

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IPA 1	IMPINGER VOLUME ml 2 H ₂ O 3	4	SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
FINAL	138	142	128	232.6
INITIAL	100	100	100	200
LIQUID COLLECTED				
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-9-94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR TS
 STACK NO. _____
 RUN NO. 1 HCL/M5
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 9:23 AM

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 70
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR .89
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

↑ NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

$K = .87$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .015 @ 16 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	$\frac{\Delta H}{\sqrt{\Delta P}}$	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A 1	2.5	+5.5	320	1.5		1.31	655.25	63	66			4
2	5.0		321	1.7		1.48	659.010	72	67			4
3	7.5		322	1.8		1.57	660.42	74	67			5
4	10.0		325	2.0		1.74	662.23	74	68			6
B 1	12.5		328	1.4		1.22	663.61	74	68			6
2	15.0		329	1.5		1.31	665.28	74	68			5
3	17.5		330	1.7		1.48	666.79	73	69			5
4	20.0		337	1.9		1.65	668.61	72	69			5
C 1	22.5		335	1.7		1.48	670.21	72	69			5
2	25.0		338	1.7		1.48	671.79	73	69			5
3	27.5		340	1.6		1.39	673.39	73	69			5
4	30.0		338	1.9		1.65	675.15	73	69			5
D 1	32.5		342	2.0		1.74	676.90	72	69			6
2	35.0		342	2.1		1.83	678.66	72	69			6
3	37.5		344	2.2		1.91	680.51	68	68			5
4	40.0		348	1.5		1.38	682.31	67	67			4
E 1	42.5		342	1.4		1.22	683.96	67	67			4
2	45.0		344	1.1		.96	685.31	68	67			4
3	47.5		345	1.0		.87	687.29	68	66			3
4	50.0		345	1.1		.96	688.20	67	66			3
F 1	52.5		340	.60		.52	689.92	67	66			1
2	55		342	.65		.57	690.91	67	66			1
3	57.5		343	.45		.39	691.42	67	65			1
4	60		345	.35		.30	692.10	67	65			1
Av												

TIME END = 10:40

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	152	84			260.5
INITIAL	100	100			245.2
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-9-94
 LOCATION FGD Inlet
 OPERATOR BF
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. 2 PART HCL
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 Y = 1.001
 TIME START = 11:27

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 74'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

K = .87

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .004 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5	+5.6	322	1.5		1.31	696.77	68	67			2
2	5.0		321	1.6		1.39	699.97	69	67			2
3	7.5		321	1.8		1.57	701.65	69	68			4
4	10		322	2.0		1.74	703.43	69	68			5
B1	12.5		330	1.4		1.22	704.96	69	67			2
2	15		330	1.6		1.39	706.52	69	67			2
3	17.5		331	1.6		1.39	708.19	69	67			2
4	20		333	1.8		1.57	709.77	69	67			3
C1	22.5		335	1.6		1.39	711.34	68	66			2
2	25		335	1.7		1.48	712.91	68	66			3
3	27.5		336	1.8		1.57	714.59	68	66			4
4	30		338	2.0		1.74	716.34	68	66			4
D1	32.5		341	2.1		1.83	718.11	68	66			4
2	35		344	2.2		1.91	719.91	68	66			4
3	37.5		345	1.6		1.39	721.48	67	66			2
4	40		346	1.5		1.31	723.04	67	66			2
E1	42.5		342	1.3		1.13	724.53	68	66			2
2	45		343	1.2		1.04	725.91	69	66			2
3	47.5		344	1.0		.87	727.21	70	67			2
4	50		346	1.0		.87	728.47	71	67			2
F1	52.5		349	.65		.57	729.55	71	67			2
2	55		348	.60		.52	730.54	71	68			2
3	57.5		349	.45		.39	731.41	71	68			2
4	60		350	.38		.33	732.2	71	68			2
Av												

TIME END = 1255

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .000 @ 5 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	170	170			254.2
INITIAL	100	100			229.5
LIQUID COLLECTED	20	20			12.4
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED				40	52.4

2654
253.0

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₁	O ₁	CO	N ₁
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-9-94
 LOCATION EGD Inlet
 OPERATOR BF
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. 3 M-5
 SAMPLE BOX NO.
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 13:19

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5
 PROBE HEATER SETTING
 HEATER BOX SETTING
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO.
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT mg.

$K = .87$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .005 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5		320	1.4		1.22	737.283	67	66			2
2	5		320	1.7		1.48	740.42	67	66			2
3	7.5		322	1.8		1.57	742.14	68	67			2
4	10		322	2.0		1.74	743.91	68	67			2
B1	12.5		330	1.3		1.13	745.4	68	67			2
2	15		328	1.6		1.39	746.95	68	66			2
3	17.5		331	1.6		1.39	748.47	68	66			2
4	20		333	1.8		1.57	750.2	69	66			2
C1	22.5		334	1.7		1.48	751.79	69	67			2
2	25		335	1.7		1.48	753.45	70	67			2
3	27.5		335	1.8		1.57	755.16	71	68			2
4	30		336	2.0		1.74	756.94	72	68			3
D1	32.5		340	2.1		1.83	758.76	72	68			3
2	35		345	2.1		1.83	760.58	73	69			3
3	37.5		345	1.5		1.31	762.18	72	70			2
4	40		346	1.5		1.31	763.75	74	71			2
E1	42.5		341	1.4		1.22	765.35	76	71			2
2	45		342	1.1		.96	766.74	77	72			2
3	47.5		344	1.0		.87	768.02	79	72			2
4	50		344	1.0		.87	769.32	79	72			2
F1	52.5		345	.62		.54	770.35	80	72			2
2	55		349	.64		.54	771.35	78	72			2
3	57.5		350	.48		.42	772.21	78	72			2
4	60		350	.40		.35	773.01	76	72			2
Av												

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .001 @ 5 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	120	136			276.10
INITIAL	100	100			265.91
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT _____
DATE 6-9-94
LOCATION _____
OPERATOR BF
STACK NO. FGD inlet
RUN NO. 1-3 PART _____
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. A2
METER ΔH @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END = 14:40

METER 0 in. Hg.
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-9-94
 LOCATION E6D Zlot
 OPERATOR MTR
 STACK NO. N
 RUN NO. 1 M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1.885
 Y = 1.007
 TIME START = 9:15

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.75
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 141
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

$k = .85$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .010 @ 16 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5	+5.5	321	1.6		1.36	375.462	75	69			4
2	5		321	1.6		1.36	378.51	75	70			4
3	7.5		323	1.8		1.53	380.14	79	70			4
4	10		324	1.9		1.62	381.54	80	71			4
B1	12.5		327	1.4		1.19	383.27	80	71			4
2	15		328	1.4		1.36	384.42	80	71			4
3	17.5		330	1.6		1.36	386.45	81	72			4
4	20		333	1.9		1.62	388.21	80	71			4
C1	22.5		336	1.4		1.36	389.76	80	72			5
2	25		333	1.6		1.36	391.38	79	72			5
3	27.5		338	1.7		1.45	392.98	80	72			5
4	30		338	1.9		1.62	394.91	81	72			6
D1	32.5		332	2.1		1.78	396.8	81	73			6
2	35		335	2.1		1.78	398.43	81	73			6
3	37.5		338	2.1		1.78	400.15	79	70			6
4	40		339	1.6		1.36	401.75	73	70			6
E1	42.5		344	1.4		1.19	403.38	74	69			6
2	45		344	1.2		1.02	404.63	74	69			4
3	47.5		348	1.0		.85	405.91	74	69			4
4	50		350	1.0		.85	407.15	74	69			3
F1	52.5		344	.62		.527	408.16	73	69			3
2	55		348	.65		.55	409.15	73	69			3
3	57.5		352	.45		.38	410.97	72	68			
4	60		355	.35		.28	410.67	72	68			
Av												

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 @ 6 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL	100	100	100		
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6-9-94
LOCATION FGD Inlet
OPERATOR MTR
STACK NO. _____
RUN NO. 1 MG Sheet 2
SAMPLE BOX NO. 1
METER BOX NO. A1
METER ΔH @ 1.855
Y = 1.007
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END = 10:35

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-9-94
 LOCATION EGD Inlet
 OPERATOR MJR
 STACK NO. EGD
 RUN NO. 2 m8
 SAMPLE BOX NO.
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1.855
 Y = 1.007
 TIME START = 1127

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING
 HEATER BOX SETTING
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO.
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT mg.

K = .85

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .010 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P #	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A1	2.5	+5.6	318	1.6		1.36	419.700	72	70	—	65	3
2	5.0		320	1.6		1.36	421.308	76	70	—	64	3
3	7.5		321	1.8		1.53	422.900	77	71	—	63	3
4	10.0		322	2.0		1.70	424.700	78	70	—	63	3
B1	12.5		328	1.4		1.19	426.405	77	70	—	63	3
2	15.0		331	1.6		1.36	427.800	76	70	—	62	3
3	17.5		331	1.6		1.36	429.500	76	70	—	62	3
4	20.0		331	1.8		1.53	431.200	76	70	—	62	3
C1	22.5		332	1.6		1.36	432.600	75	70	—	62	3
2	25.0		334	1.7		1.45	434.300	75	70	—	63	3
3	27.5		333	1.7		1.45	435.900	75	69	—	64	3
4	30.0		335	2.1		1.79	437.800	76	69	—	64	4
D1	32.5		342	2.1		1.79	439.500	76	69	—	65	4
2	35.0		344	2.1		1.79	441.300	76	69	—	65	4
3	37.5		345	1.6		1.36	442.800	74	69	—	66	3
4	40.0		345	1.6		1.36	444.500	75	69	—	66	3
E1	42.5		343	1.5		1.28	446.000	76	69	—	66	3
2	45.0		342	1.2		1.02	447.600	78	70	—	66	3
3	47.5		344	1.0		.85	448.700	79	70	—	66	3
4	50.0		344	1.1		.94	450.000	78	71	—	66	3
F1	52.5		345	.65		.55	451.000	79	71	—	65	2
2	55.0		350	.68		.58	452.000	77	71	—	65	2
3	57.5		349	.95		.38	452.000	77	71	—	64	2
4	60.0		350	.35		.30	453.500	76	71	—	64	2
Av			336.6	1.147		1.159		72.5				

TIME END = 1255

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					254.2
INITIAL					229.5
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .005 @ 5 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE G-9-94
LOCATION FGD Inlet
OPERATOR MJR
STACK NO. FGD
RUN NO. 278
SAMPLE BOX NO. —
METER BOX NO. A1
METER ΔH @ 1855
 γ = 1.007
TIME START = 1227

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
PROBE LENGTH, in. 14
NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5
PROBE HEATER SETTING —
HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR 84
FILTER NO. —
FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END 2

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

METER 0 in. Hg
PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
OBSAT

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6/9/94
 LOCATION CHESTERTON, IN
 OPERATOR TR/G8
 STACK NO. FGD OUTLET
 RUN NO. R1 RM 58/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. APEX
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 $Y =$.999
 TIME START = 9:19

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 61
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 675
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 596.3 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 12 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P #	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP 1 in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH 1 in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (1 in Hg)
1	5	+0.58	130	.30		2.3	133.4	69	69	305		5
2	10		128	.30		2.3	137.1	72	69	305		5
3	15		128	.29		2.2	140.8	75	69	305		5
4	20		129	.32		2.4	144.3	72	67	305		5
5	25		130	.37		2.8	149.0	75	62	308		5
6	30		132	.35		2.7	153.1	75	68	310		5
7	35		130	.31		2.4	157.1	77	67	318		5
8	40		128	.31		2.4	161.0	74	67	318		5
9	45		129	.30		2.3	164.9	74	66	318		5
10	50		128	.27		2.0	168.5	74	67	320		5
11	55		130	.26		2.0	172.3	73	66	320		5
12	60		128	.35		2.7	176.139	73	66	320		5
Av			129.08	.5568		2.375	146.772		70.21			

TIME END = 10:35

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .002 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	210	127		-	
INITIAL	100	100	0	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED				-	
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 10-9-94
 LOCATION PORTER, IN
 OPERATOR GB, TR
 STACK NO. FGD OUTLET
 RUN NO. R2, MS-M26
 SAMPLE BOX NO. APR
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH 1.875
 Y = .999
 TIME START = 11:27

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 65°F
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 ± 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR 84
 FILTER NO. 750
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 592.3 mg.

↑ NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 0 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	+ .61	131	.33		2.51	192.9	62	63	321	61	5
2	17		130	.34		2.58	197.0	67	63	319	60	5
3	15		126	.30		2.28	200.6	74	65	317	59	5
4	27		128	.32		2.43	204.5	67	65	312	59	5
5	35		127	.30		2.28	208.3	75	66	321	58	5
6	47		126	.30		2.28	212.2	76	67	319	58	5
7	35		129	.31		2.36	216.3	68	68	223	59	5
8	47		130	.32		2.43	220.0	75	67	312	58	5
9	45		130	.32		2.43	223.9	75	67	314	58	5
10	50		126	.30		2.28	227.2	74	68	319	58	5
11	55		128	.28		2.13	231.4	75	66	320	57	4
12	60		128	.34		2.58	235.478	73	67	320	57	5
Av			(128.3)	(.559)		2.38		(68.9)				

TIME END = 1250

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPIGNER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	250	126			
INITIAL	108	100	0	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6-9-94
 LOCATION PORTER, IN
 OPERATOR GB, TR
 STACK NO. FGD OUTLET
 RUN NO. R3, RM5B
 SAMPLE BOX NO. AC2N
 METER BOX NO. 43
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 Y = 239
 TIME START = 1317

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 61°
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 ± 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR 84
 FILTER NO. 0709
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 600.1 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER .002 @ 13 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft. 235.665	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5		128	.31		2.36	234.6	65	61	310		5
2	10		128	.38		2.89	243.8	69	61	312		5
3	15		130	.31		2.36	247.7	71	61	312		5
4	20		130	.30		2.28	251.1	69	62	315		4
5	25		129	.32		2.43	255.5	70	62	315		4
6	30		129	.27		2.05	259.1	72	62	316		4
7	35		130	.25		1.90	262.4	65	61	310		3
8	40		128	.31		2.36	266.3	69	61	312		5
9	45		129	.31		2.36	270.2	71	62	315		5
10	50		131	.32		2.43	274.1	72	62	315		5
11	55		130	.30		2.28	277.9	73	63	318		5
12	60		128	.35		2.60	282.033	73	63	318		5
Av			129.1	.5568		2.36		65.5				

TIME END = 1430

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	214	122			
INITIAL	100	100	—	56	
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER .002 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Air Products
DATE 6-9-94
LOCATION Porter, IN
OPERATOR EJK
STACK NO. FGD Exhaust¹⁵
RUN NO. 1-M8
SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
METER BOX NO. A4
METER ΔH @ 1.731
 γ = 0.997
TIME START = 9:25

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 58°F
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.80" Hg
ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
PROBE LENGTH, in. 18 ft
NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.312
STACK DIAMETER, in. 33 ft
PROBE HEATER SETTING —
HEATER BOX SETTING 150°F
Δ Cp FACTOR 0.84
FILTER NO. —
FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg

METER	0.000	12	in. Hg
PITOTS	0.00	3	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT	OK		

[illegible]

TIME END = 10:38

METER 0.001 @ 19 in. Hg
PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	IPA 1	$2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ 3	4	5	6
FINAL	100	140	146		
INITIAL	100	100	100		200
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air

DATE 6-13-99

LOCATION _____

OPERATOR _____

STACK NO. LN/ET

RUN NO. TEST 1

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. _____

METER ΔH @ _____

Y = _____

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING _____

Δ Cp FACTOR

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS _____ in. H₂O

ORSAT

[illegible]

TIME END -

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS _____ @ _____ in. H₂O

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT: Air Products
 DATE: 6-13-78
 LOCATION: Porter, IN
 OPERATOR: CEK
 STACK NO.: R340 Inlet
 RUN NO.: 244CL
 SAMPLE BOX NO.:
 METER BOX NO.: RAC. 9
 METER ΔH @ 1.7771
 Y = 0.9993
 TIME START = 12:36

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 88
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5' X
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

CROSS SECTION

PRE-TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.0010 1.1 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 2 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

K = 78

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in. H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in. H ₂ O	ΔP	ΔH in. H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in. Hg)
A1	0	15.6	340	1.3	1.01	759.80	88	88	—	—	—	4
2	2.5		339	1.3	1.01	761.35	89	88				4
3	5		337	1.4	1.09	763.00	90	89				5
4	7.5		336	1.4	1.09	764.73	91	89				5
B1	10		342	1.5	1.17	766.45	92	90				6
2	12.5		345	1.5	1.17	768.24	93	91				6
3	15		346	1.4	1.09	769.98	93	92				7
4	17.5		342	1.1	0.86	771.78	94	92				8
C1	20		336	1.3	1.01	773.59	90	90				6
2	22.5		339	1.3	1.01	775.1	91	90				6
3	25		341	1.4	1.09	776.7	92	91				8
4	27.5		341	1.4	1.09	778.4	93	91				9
D1	30		344	1.7	1.33	779.9	91	90				5
2	32.5		346	1.7	1.33	781.4	91	90				5
3	35		344	0.85	0.66	783.50	92	90				4
4	37.5		342	1.50	1.17	784.9	93	91				5
E1	40		343	0.85	0.66	786.1	90	89				4
2	42.5		341	0.95	0.74	787.1	91	89				4
3	45		340	1.10	0.86	788.3	92	90				5
4	47.5		340	1.00	0.78	790.1	92	90				5
F1	50		335	0.80	0.62	791.4	89	89				7
2	52.5		336	0.36	0.90	793.9	90	90				8
3	55		335	0.36	0.90	796.0	92	90				9
4	57.5		334	0.26	0.20	798.2	93	91				9
AV	60		334.9			800.3	89.3					

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT —

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	244	211
INITIAL	100	220
LIQUID COLLECTED	44	11
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	55	6

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	H ₂
1		6.3	2.5		
2					
3					
4					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₁	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-13-94
 LOCATION Heater, IN
 OPERATOR ET, GB, MR, GE
 STACK NO. FDI INLET
 RUN NO. 21, km 8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH 1.855
 $\gamma =$ 1.007
 TIME START = 10:10

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5' x 2
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ CP FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT —
460.113 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .004 $\pm$.10 in. Hg
 PILOTS 0.0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK
K = .85

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts $^{\circ}$ F	Δ P in H ₂ O	Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET $^{\circ}$ F	Tm OUTLET $^{\circ}$ F	OVEN TEMP. $^{\circ}$ F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. $^{\circ}$ F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	1.5	1.2	32.7	1.2	1.2	1.02	461.5	86	82			4
2	5	1.4		1.4	1.4	1.19	463.1	87	83			4
3	7.5	1.4		1.4	1.4	1.19	464.5	87	83			4
4	10	1.3		1.3	1.1	1.11	466.0	86	83			4
5	12.5	1.4		1.4	1.19	467.5	86	83				4
6	15	1.2		1.2	1.02	468.9	86	82				4
7	17.5	1.2		1.2	1.02	470.3	86	82				4
8	20	1.3		1.3	1.11	471.8	86	81				4
9	22.5	1.4		1.4	1.19	473.2	86	81				4
10	25	1.4		1.4	1.19	474.7	86	81				4
11	27.5	1.5		1.5	1.28	476.9	88	81				4
12	30	1.8		1.8	1.53	478.0	88	81				4
13	32.5	1.5		1.5	1.28	479.6	89	82				4
14	35	1.6		1.6	1.36	481.2	86	83				5
15	37.5	1.5		1.5	1.28	482.8	86	83				5
16	40	1.5		1.5	1.28	484.3	89	83				5
17	42.5	1.0		1.0	.85	485.7	89	83				3
18	45	1.0		1.0	.85	487.0	89	83				3
19	47.5	1.0		1.0	.85	488.3	89	83				3
20	50	1.1		1.1	.94	489.7	90	84				4
21	52.5	1.0		1.0	.85	490.9	89	84				4
22	55	.90		.90	.77	492.2	89	84				3
23	57.5	.52		.52	.44	493.1	89	84				2
24	60	.31		.31	.26	493.8	89	84				1

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PILOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT —

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED		1		2		3		4		SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL										9
INITIAL		100		100		100		86		200
LIQUID COLLECTED										
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED										

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
	1				
	2				
	3				
	4				

TIME END =

PLANT PURE AIR

DATE 6-13-94

LOCATION PORTER IN

OPERATOR GS, MR. GE, EM

STACK NO. FD inlet

RUN NO. R1, Rm 8

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. A1

METER ΔH @ 1.007

$$Y = 1.835$$

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.90

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3

PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185

STACK DIAMETER, in. 135X?

PROBE HEATER SETTING

HEATER BOX SETTING

Δ Cp FACTOR 84

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END * 11.45

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6-13-94
 LOCATION PORTER, IN
 OPERATOR EL, GB, GE, MR
 STACK NO. F6D INLET
 RUN NO. R2, Rm8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1895
 Y = 1.007
 TIME START = 12:36

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.50
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.5' X ?
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER .003 @ 8 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A	1	2.5	340	1.2		1.02	503.70	88	88			2
	2	5	341	1.3		1.1	505.00	89	88			3
	3	7.5	339	1.3		1.1	506.42	90	88			4
	4	10	340	1.4		1.2	507.87	92	88			4
B	5	12.5	340	1.4		1.2	509.35	93	89			5
	6	15	343	1.5		1.27	510.86	94	89			5
	7	17.5	341	1.4		1.2	512.44	94	89			5
	8	20	340	1.2		1.02	513.99	94	89			5
C	9	22.5	338	1.4		1.2	515.45	94	89			5
	10	25	340	1.3		1.1	516.96	94	90			5
	11	27.5	340	1.4		1.2	518.46	94	89			5
	12	30	343	1.3		1.1	519.96	94	89			5
D	13	32.5	341	1.6		1.36	521.46	94	90			5
	14	35	341	1.8		1.53	523.07	93	90			5
	15	37.5	340	.91		.76	524.71	93	89			3
	16	40	339	1.4		1.2	525.92	93	90			5
E	17	42.5	339	.90		.76	527.4	92	88			3.5
	18	45	340	.94		.80	528.71	92	88			3.5
	19	47.5	341	1.0		.85	529.90	92	88			4
	20	50	343	1.0		.85	531.29	92	88			4
F	21	52.5	340	.41		.35	532.62	94	88			2
	22	55	340	.38		.32	533.64	94	88			2
	23	57.5	343	.36		.30	534.42	92	88			2
	24	60	341	.30		.25	535.170	93	89			2
Av												

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 7 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

TIME START : _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

ORSAT

85

[illegible]

TIME END 2

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/13/94
 LOCATION Portage Ind
 OPERATOR TR/TS
 STACK NO. Stack
 RUN NO. 1 RM5B/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.475
 Y = .999
 TIME START = 10:13

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 73
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 ± 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. # 693
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 597.2 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0.0010 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	1.65	128	.34		287.71	2.58	83	77	325		6
2	10		130	.32		291.62	2.50	82	77	326		6
3	15		131	.34		295.64	2.58	84	77	327		6
2	20		134	.34		297.89	2.58	85	78	328		5
4	25		134	.33		301.69	2.57	88	79	328		5
5	30		134	.33		307.99	2.57	84	80	328		5
3	35		134	.31		312.98	2.42	90	81	330		5
2	40		135	.30		316.65	2.38	91	82	330		5
3	45		136	.29		319.97	2.26	91	82	328		5
	50		136	.34		323.61	2.58	92	83	328		5
	55		136	.33		327.71	2.57	93	83	328		5
	60		137	.33		331.53	2.57	92	84	329		5
Av		(133.8)				(569)	(4786)	(2.513)		(84.3)		5.25

TIME END = 11:25

5699 Vm ΔH

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	210	120	20		208
INITIAL	100	100	000		200
LIQUID COLLECTED	110	20	20		8
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	168 total				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	-CO	N ₂
1		12.6	6.8		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PWR AIR/NIPSCO
 DATE 6/9/94
 LOCATION Stack Ind
 OPERATOR C.T
 STACK NO. EGD out
 RUN NO. 2 (M8)
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
 METER BOX NO. A4
 METER ΔH 1.731
 $Y =$ 0.007
 TIME START = 11:30

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 60
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 0.312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 33
 PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 HEATER BOX SETTING 180
 ΔC_p FACTOR 0.84
 FILTER NO. -
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT - mg.

$K = 6.75$

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 17 in. Hg
 PITOTS ✓ @ 0.5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1-1	0	+0.5	135	0.36		2.43	354.591	59	59	168	51	4.5
2	5		132	0.35		2.36	359.03	59	60	175	51	4.0
3	10		124	0.33		2.23	363.38	60	73	177	53	4.0
2-1	15/0		141	0.38		2.57	367.62	59	62	175	53	4.5
2	5		138	0.35		2.36	372.09	62	77	178	53	5.0
3	10		129	0.33		2.23	376.48	63	78	177	53	5.0
3-1	15/0		125	0.42		2.84	380.50	63	63	170	53	5.0
2	5		127	0.33		2.23	385.28	63	69	175	55	5.7
3	10		128	0.33		2.23	389.41	64	70	176	55	4.6
4-1	15/0		133	0.36		2.36	393.79	64	65	170	57	4.5
2	5		135	0.35		2.36	398.18	64	70	169	57	4.6
3	10		129	0.34		2.30	402.54	64	72	174	58	4.6
	15						406.878					
Av			132.2	.593		2.59		63.5				

TIME END = 12:45

2.37

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 2 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME			SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	80% SDA	32 ml H ₂ O	32 H ₂ O	9
FINAL	147	150	124	
INITIAL	100	100	100	200
LIQUID COLLECTED				
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT DURE AIR
 DATE 6-13-94
 LOCATION PORTER, IN
 OPERATOR EL, G, GE, MR
 STACK NO. FGD INLET
 RUN NO. R2, Rm8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. A1
 METER ΔH @ 1895
 Y = 1.007
 TIME START = 12:36

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.50
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 135' X ?
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .003 @ 8 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

502.287

.85

	P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A	1	2.5		440	1.2		1.02	503.70	88	88			2
	2	5		341	1.3		1.1	505.00	89	88			3
	3	7.5		339	1.3		1.1	506.42	90	88			4
	4	10		340	1.4		1.2	507.87	92	88			4
B	5	12.5		340	1.4		1.2	509.35	93	89			5
	6	15		343	1.5		1.27	510.86	94	89			5
	7	17.5		341	1.4		1.2	512.44	94	89			5
	8	20		340	1.2		1.02	513.99	94	89			5
C	9	22.5		338	1.4		1.2	515.45	94	89			5
	10	25		340	1.3		1.1	516.96	94	90			5
	11	27.5		340	1.4		1.2	518.46	94	89			5
	12	30		343	1.3		1.1	519.96	94	89			5
D	13	32.5		341	1.6		1.36	521.46	94	90			5
	14	35		341	1.8		1.53	523.07	93	90			5
	15	37.5		340	.91		.76	524.71	93	89			3
	16	40		339	1.4		1.2	525.92	93	90			5
E	17	42.5		339	.90		.76	527.4	92	88			3.5
	18	45		340	.94		.80	528.71	92	88			3.5
	19	47.5		341	1.0		.85	529.90	92	88			4
	20	50		343	1.0		.85	531.29	92	88			4
F	21	52.5		340	.41		.35	532.62	94	88			2
	22	55		340	.38		.32	533.64	94	88			2
	23	57.5		343	.36		.30	534.42	92	88			2
	24	60		341	.30		.25	535.170	93	89			2
Av													

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .002 @ 7 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR

DATE 10-13-94

LOCATION _____

OPERATOR _____

STACK NO. _____

RUN NO. KL 14m 8

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. _____

METER ΔH @ _____

Y = _____

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING _____

Δ Cp FACTOR _____

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ @ _____ in. Hg

PITOTS _____ in. H₂O

ORSAT _____

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS 0 in. H₂O

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/13/94
 LOCATION Portage Ind
 OPERATOR TR/TJ
 STACK NO. Stack
 RUN NO. 1 RM5B/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.475
 Y = .999
 TIME START = 10:13

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 73
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 161
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320±25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320±25
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. # 693
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 597.2 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	128	128	.34		283.671	2.58	83	77	325		6
2	10	130	130	.32		291.62	2.50	82	77	326		6
3	15	131	131	.34		295.64	2.58	84	77	327		6
2	20	134	134	.34		297.81	2.58	85	78	328		5
4	25	134	134	.33		301.69	2.57	88	79	328		5
3	30	134	134	.33		307.99	2.57	89	80	328		5
3	35	134	134	.31		312.98	2.42	90	81	330		5
2	40	135	135	.30		316.65	2.38	91	82	330		5
3	45	136	136	.29		319.97	2.26	91	82	328		5
	50	136	136	.34		323.61	2.58	92	83	328		5
	55	136	136	.33		327.71	2.59	93	83	328		5
	60	137	137	.33		331.53	2.57	92	84	329		5
Av		(133.8)				(5699)	(47865)	(2.513)	(84.3)			5.65

TIME END = 11:25

1.5699 Vm ΔH

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	210	120	20		208
INITIAL	100	100	100		200
LIQUID COLLECTED	110	20	20		8
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	168 total				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.6	6.8		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-13-74
 LOCATION Portage Tnd
 OPERATOR TR/TS
 STACK NO. ECO outlet
 RUN NO. R2 MSB/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 $Y =$ -.899
 TIME START = 12:34 AM

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 1/16"
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 3 1/8"
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 $\pm$ 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

↑ NORTH SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.6001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	+65	135	.25		1.90	335.21	85	85	325		7
	10		136	.24		1.82	338.69	91	85	326		6
	15		135	.24		1.82	342.11	94	86	326		6
2	20		135	.30		2.28	345.71	88	86	326		7
	25		136	.38		2.88	349.71	94	87	326		8
	30		136	.27		2.05	353.67	95	87	326		10
3	35		136	.26		1.98	357.37	92	87	326		7
	40		139	.29		2.20	360.99	96	87	326		7
	45		139	.35		2.66	364.69	96	87	326		7
	50		139	.30		2.28	368.44	97	88	326		7
	55		139	.32		2.43	381.96	97	88	326		7
	60											
Av			137			2.21			90			

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	208	116	0		228
INITIAL	100	100	0	50	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	108	16			28
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	152 total				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.5	6.9		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-13-94
 LOCATION Outlet
 OPERATOR MTR
 STACK NO. _____
 RUN NO. 1 M-8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A⁴
 METER ΔH @ _____
 Y = _____
 TIME START = 10:13

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.5
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, 35'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 248 ± 35
 HEATER BOX SETTING 248 ± 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

↑ NORTH SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

K = 6.8

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

P #	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
A 1	5	1.65	128	.35	2.38	2.38	420.213	77	81	250	68	1
2	10		130	.35		2.38	428.98	77	81	238	68	2
3	15			.37		2.52	433.62	79	90	250		
B 1	20			.38		2.58	438.27	79	90	227		
2	25			.41		2.79	443.15	80	92	234		
3	30			.40		2.72	447.91	81	93	232		
C 1	35			.37		2.51	452.58	81	86	249		
2	40			.37		2.51	456.9	82	94	251		
3	45			.35		2.38	461.63	82	93	250		
D 1	50			.34		2.31	466.04	82	92	227		
2	55			.37		2.52	470.69	83	95	235		
3	60			.37		2.52	475.262	82	95	240		
Av			129.0	.607		2.51		83.8				

TIME END • _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	100				
INITIAL	100	100			
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₁	O ₁	CO	N ₁
1					
2					
3					
4					

ANALOGUE FIELD DATA

LOCATION: 12124
 OPERATOR: 12124
 STACK NO.: 12124
 RUN NO.: 12124
 WATER METER NO.: 12124
 WATER METER: 12124
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT: 12124
 FILTER NO.: 12124
 A CP FACTOR: 12124
 HEATER BOX SETTING: 12124
 PROBE HEATER SETTING: 12124
 STACK DIAMETER, IN.: 12124
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, IN.: 12124
 PROBE LENGTH, IN.: 12124
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, %: 12124
 GROSS WEIGHT: 12124
 NET WEIGHT: 12124

CROSS SECTION
 PRE-TEST: 12124
 METER: 12124
 PLOTS: 12124
 ORSAT: 12124

TIME	STATIC PRESSURE	AP	IN H ₂ O	AP	IN H ₂ O	INLET	OUTLET	INLET	OUTLET
1	130	32	56	2.17	48.76	34	90	34	90
2	128	33	56	2.21	49.89	34	90	34	90
3	129	37	56	2.31	49.57	34	90	34	90
4	131	35	56	2.38	50.83	34	90	34	90
5	130	38	56	2.58	50.93	34	90	34	90
6	130	40	56	2.72	51.56	34	90	34	90
7	132	45	56	3.06	51.41	34	90	34	90
8	130	36	56	2.45	52.03	34	90	34	90
9	132	33	56	2.24	52.51	34	90	34	90
10	131	32	56	2.17	52.93	34	90	34	90
11	130	36	56	2.15	53.52	34	90	34	90
12	130	35	56	2.14	53.81	34	90	34	90

SCHEMATIC DE STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE-TEST/LEA

METER 0.00 0.14 in. Hg
PITOTS 0.00 0.3 in. Hg
ORSAT OK

 $k = 1.92$

POST-TEST EXERCISES

LINE END *

1975	1976	1977
1978	1979	1980
1981	1982	1983

[illegible]

WORKING ON STAGE

CROSS SECTION

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 0 15 1n. Hg
PITOTS 0.00 0 3 1n. H₂O
ORSAT 012

TIME START - 12:30 PM FILTER TARE WEIGHT 38.987 mg.
325-340 831.711

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP °F	IMP OUTLET TEMP °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	30		335	1.45		1.33	833.2	73	71		59	2
2	45			1.3		1.19	834.7	73	71			2
3	75			1.15		1.06	836.4	77	71			2
4	90			.95		.87	837.7	78	71			1
1				1.35		1.24	838.8	78	72			2
2	15			1.20		1.10	840.3	78	72			2
3				1.15		1.06	841.6	77	72			2
4	20			.90		.83	843.0	76	72			1
1				1.20		1.10	844.3	76	72			2
2	25			1.10		1.01	845.6	76	72			2
3				.85		.87	847.0	76	72			1
4	30			.80		.74	848.3	76	71			1
1				1.35		1.24	849.4	77	71			2
2	35			1.15		1.06	851.1	78	71			2
3				1.0		.92	852.4	78	71			2
4	40			.95		.82	853.7	78	71			
1				1.30		1.19	854.9	78	71			
2	45			1.25		1.06	856.1	78	71			
3				1.15		1.07	857.8	78	71			
4	50			.90		.83	859.1	78	71			1
1				1.40		1.30	860.2	78	71			2
2	55			1.30		1.18	861.8	78	71			2
3				1.20		1.10	863.2	78	71			2
4	60			1.0		.92	864.5	78	71			
1				1.30		1.20	865.7	78	71			

TIME: END

[illegible]

SCHEMATIC DE-STAGE

APPENDIX

DATE 5/22/85

HYDROSTATIC PRESSURE

LOCATION: **FOAM 100**

ASSUMED MOISTURE, %

OPERATOR

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

STACK NO.

NOZZLE DIAMETER, IN

RUN NO. 2104

STACK DIAMETER, in.

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING

METER BOX NO. _____

HEATER BOX SETTING

METER AND

A CP FACTOR

Y 2

FILTER NO.

TIME START =

FILTER TARE WEIGHT

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS **0** **1n. H₂O**

ORSAT

[illegible]

TIME END -

POST-TEST LEARNING OBJECTIVES

METER

PIDS

054

YOUNG OR LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	YOUNG TILLS	SILICA-GEL WEIGHT
TOTAL YOUNG COLLECTED		

[illegible]

WINTER OF 1941-42

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 5.5

PROBE LENGTH, in. 14

NOZZLE DIAMETER, 1/2" 105

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING

Δ Cp FACTOR 1.07

FILTER NO. 2713

FILTER TARE WEIGHT 2.81

METER	.004 0.20	1n. Hg
PITOTS	100 0 3	1n. H ₂ O
ORSAT	OK	

TIME END

【附註】

DATA

572

**VOLUME OF LAND
WATER COLLECTED**

**IMPULSE
TOLL**

**SILICA GE
WEIGHT**

ORST-254

1947

1948

1949

1950

1951

1952

1953

1954

1955

1956

1957

1958

1959

1960

1961

1962

1963

1964

1965

1966

1967

1968

1969

1970

1971

1972

1973

1974

1975

1976

1977

1978

1979

1980

1981

1982

1983

1984

1985

1986

1987

1988

1989

1990

1991

1992

1993

1994

1995

1996

1997

1998

1999

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004

2005

2006

2007

2008

2009

2010

2011

2012

2013

2014

2015

2016

2017

2018

2019

2020

2021

2022

2023

2024

2025

2026

2027

2028

2029

2030

2031

2032

2033

2034

2035

2036

2037

2038

2039

2040

2041

2042

2043

2044

2045

2046

2047

2048

2049

2050

2051

2052

2053

2054

2055

2056

2057

2058

2059

2060

2061

2062

2063

2064

2065

2066

2067

2068

2069

2070

2071

2072

2073

2074

2075

2076

2077

2078

2079

2080

2081

2082

2083

2084

2085

2086

2087

2088

2089

2090

2091

2092

2093

2094

2095

2096

2097

2098

2099

2100

2101

2102

2103

2104

2105

2106

2107

2108

2109

2110

2111

2112

2113

2114

2115

2116

2117

2118

2119

2120

2121

2122

2123

2124

2125

2126

2127

2128

2129

2130

2131

2132

2133

2134

2135

2136

2137

2138

2139

2140

2141

2142

2143

2144

2145

2146

2147

2148

2149

2150

2151

2152

2153

2154

2155

2156

2157

2158

2159

2160

2161

2162

2163

2164

2165

2166

2167

2168

2169

2170

2171

2172

2173

2174

2175

2176

2177

2178

2179

2180

2181

2182

2183

2184

2185

2186

2187

2188

2189

2190

2191

2192

2193

2194

2195

2196

2197

2198

2199

2200

2201

2202

2203

2204

2205

2206

2207

2208

2209

2210

2211

2212

2213

2214

2215

2216

2217

2218

2219

2220

2221

2222

2223

2224

2225

2226

2227

2228

2229

2230

2231

2232

2233

2234

2235

2236

2237

2238

2239

2240

2241

2242

2243

2244

2245

2246

2247

2248

2249

2250

2251

2252

2253

2254

2255

2256

2257

2258

2259

2260

2261

2262

2263

2264

2265

2266

2267

2268

2269

2270

2271

2272

2273

2274

2275

2276

2277

2278

2279

2280

2281

2282

2283

2284

2285

2286

2287

2288

2289

2290

2291

2292

2293

2294

2295

2296

2297

2298

2299

2300

2301

2302

2303

2304

2305

2306

2307

2308

2309

2310

2311

2312

2313

2314

2315

2316

2317

2318

2319

2320

2321

2322

2323

2324

2325

2326

2327

2328

2329

2330

2331

2332

2333

2334

2335

2336

2337

2338

2339

2340

2341

2342

2343

2344

2345

2346

2347

2348

2349

2350

2351

2352

2353

2354

2355

2356

2357

2358

2359

2360

2361

2362

2363

2364

2365

2366

2367

2368

2369

2370

2371

2372

2373

2374

2375

2376

2377

2378

2379

2380

2381

2382

2383

2384

2385

2386

2387

2388

2389

2390

2391

2392

2393

2394

2395

2396

2397

2398

2399

2400

2401

FIELD DATA

DATE 6-29-94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.5
 LOCATION Port of New York ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 5
 OPERATOR CECEAS PROBE LENGTH, in. 17
 STACK NO. INLET NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 1.85
 RUN NO. 15-48 STACK DIAMETER, in. 102.31
 SAMPLE BOX NO. PROBE HEATER SETTING
 METER BOX NO. A6 WATER BOX SETTING
 METER ΔH 1.892 ΔEP FACTOR .84
 Y = 1.003 FILTER NO.
 TIME START 10:45 FILTER TARE WEIGHT mg.

CROSS SECTION
 PRE-TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 1.00 @ 14 in. H₂O
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT DL
908

P	Θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	ΔP in H ₂ O	ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP °F	VACUUM
275	2.5	330	1.4		1.27	86.467	83	74			4
2	5	325	1.6		1.45	89.47	83	74			
275	7.5	340	1.6		1.45	91.01	84	74			5
4	10	341	1.5		1.36	92.55	84	74			5
1	12.5	338	1.3		1.18	94.05	85	75			4
2	15	329	1.4		1.27	95.53	88	76			5
3	17.5	332	1.6		1.45	97.12	88	76			4
4	20	340	1.6		1.45	98.70	88	76			6
1	22.5	341	1.4		1.27	100.38	89	76			5
2	25	329	1.98		.88	101.64	89	76			4
3	27.5	335	1.5		.86	102.92	89	77			3
4	30	325	1.4		1.27	104.38	90	77			
1	32.5	347	1.6		1.45	106.00	90	77			6
2	35	331	1.4		1.27	107.56	91	78			5
3	37.5	335	1.8		.88	108.96	91	78			
4	40	338	1.6		1.27	110.22	91	78			
1	42.5	340	1.9		1.27	111.07	91	79			
2	45	342	1.4		1.27	113.10	92	80			
3	47.5	335	1.6		1.45	114.55	92	80			
4	50	329	1.3		1.18	116.25	92	81			
1	52.5	325	1.6		1.45	117.84	93	81			
2	55	325	1.4		1.27	119.38	93	81			
3	57.5	325	1.6		1.45	120.31	93	81			
4	60	325	1.6		1.45	122.09	93	81			

TIME END

ANALYST DATE TIME
 CHECKED DATE TIME
 APPROVED DATE TIME

WEAT MEASUREMENT	100	50	0	50	100
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-27-94
 LOCATION IN
 OPERATOR BE, C.C., A.S.
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. 2 RMB
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A6
 METER ΔH @ 1.892
 Y = 1.203
 TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.5
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 17
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 136x34
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.90 @ 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 2.22 @ 1 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	25		335	1.5		1.362	136.6	76	72		59	4
2	5			1.35		1.225	138.2	79	73			4
3	25			1.25		1.135	139.7	81	73			4
4	10			1.0		.908	141.1	82	73			4
2				1.4		1.271	142.5	83	73			4
2	15			1.7		.998	143.9	82	73			4
2				1.0		.908	145.2	82	73			4
4	20			.85		.780	146.6	82	73			3
3	1			1.3		1.130	147.8	82	73			4
2	25			1.20		1.08	149.2	82	73			4
3				1.10		.998	150.6	82	73			4
4	20			1.0		.908	152.0	82	73			4
4	1			.95		.862	153.3	82	73			4
2	35			1.3		1.130	154.5	83	73			4
3				1.2		1.089	156.0	83	73			4
4	10			1.1		.998	157.5	83	73			4
5	1			.88		.799	158.8	83	73			3
2	15			1.35		1.225	160.0	83	73			4
3				1.15		1.044	161.5	83	73			4
4	50			1.10		.998	162.8	84	73			4
6	1			.95		.862	164.2	84	73			4
2	55			1.40		1.271	166.5	84	73			4
3				1.2		1.089	166.9	84	74			4
4	60			1.0		.908	168.3	84	74			4
Av			335.0		1.0839	1.0545	38.814	78.0				

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IPA	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	1	2	3
INITIAL	100	100	100
LIQUID COLLECTED			SG
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			280

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT _____
DATE _____
LOCATION _____
OPERATOR _____
STACK NO. FGC inlet
RUN NO. 2 RM 8
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER Δ H @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

METER	0	1n. Hg
PITOTS	0	1n. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml ^c				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 68.0
 DATE 6/27/94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.10
 LOCATION CHESTER, IN ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 OPERATOR TR/T5/25 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18
 STACK NO. 1 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 RUN NO. R1 RM5B/26A STACK DIAMETER, in. 31
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320±25
 METER BOX NO. A3 HEATER BOX SETTING 320±25
 METER ΔH @ 1.875 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 Y = 0.999 FILTER NO. 872
 TIME START = 10:17 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 588.0 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK
 K = 7.7

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	+0.85	132	.34		2.62	379.5	68	68	310	62	6
2	10		126	.34		2.62	383.5	78	70	315	62	6
3	15		127	.32		2.46	388.0	82	71	316	62	6
4	20		128	.35		2.70	391.1	86	72	315	62	6
5	25		132	.37		2.85	395.4	90	74	315	62	6
6	30		133	.32		2.46	400.0	92	75	315	62	6
7	35		125	.32		2.46	403.5	82	76	315	62	6
8	40		122	.26		2.77	407.6	92	77	312	62	6
9	45		135	.33		2.54	411.9	92	78	315	62	6
10	50		134	.31		2.39	415.6	91	78	315	62	6
11	55		132	.35		2.70	419.7	91	78	319	62	6
12	60		130	.32		2.46	423.70	90	79	320	62	6
Av		+ .05	1132.2	.5793		2.5858	48.650		180.5			

TIME END = 11:43

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	5
FINAL	215	145	3		220
INITIAL	100	100	0	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	115	45	3		20
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			183		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 63
 DATE 6/27/94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.10
 LOCATION CHESTER, IN ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 OPERATOR TR/TS/CS PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 STACK NO. 1 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 RUN NO. R2 R458/264 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320±25
 METER BOX NO. A3 HEATER BOX SETTING 320±25
 METER ΔH @ 1.875 Δ Cp FACTOR 84
 Y = 0.994 FILTER NO. 904
 TIME START = 12:30 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 592.8 mg.

NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

K = 7.7

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in. H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in. H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in. H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in. Hg)
1	5	1.82	120	.34		2.62	427.9	67	67	310	61	5
2	10		132	.37		2.85	422.2	70	65	310	61	6
3	15		131	.35		2.70	426.7	74	65	315	61	6
4	20		132	.35		2.70	440.6	69	65	315	61	6
5	25		135	.35		2.71	445.0	78	65	310	61	6
6	30		137	.35		2.70	448.5	78	66	312	61	6
7	35		137	.32		2.46	452.7	74	65	312	61	6
8	40		133	.37		2.85	457.1	78	66	315	61	6
9	45		130	.37		2.85	461.5	78	67	315	61	6
10	50		128	.31		2.39	465.2	79	67	315	61	5
11	55		128	.31		2.39	469.2	79	67	310	61	5
12	60		132	.35		2.70	473.92	79	68	310	61	6
Av		(4.62)	(131.8)			(1.5878)	(2.665)	(44.502)	(70.7)			

TIME END = 13:39

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	245	128	2		214
INITIAL	100	100	0	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	145	28	2		14
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			(169)		1

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE June 27 1944
 LOCATION Portage Ind
 OPERATOR TS/TK/CJ
 STACK NO. EGD outlet
 RUN NO. R3 R45/B
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A6
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 $Y =$.999
 TIME START = 2:13

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 3/12
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 250 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 350 $\pm$ 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR 84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

↑ NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 0.12

K 7.7

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm cfm	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5			134	.32		2.46	477.10	64	64	250	54	5
10			135	.34		2.62	480.90	72	65	326	59	5
15			135	.33		2.54	484.80	75	65	325	60	5
20			135	.33		2.54	488.70	73	68	325	60	5
25			136	.36		2.77	492.50	83	69	325	60	5
30			135	.42		3.23	497.90	86	71	325	60	5
35			136	.32		2.96	500.34	84	73	324	60	5
40			134	.30		2.31	504.00	80	74	323	60	5
45			135	.33		2.54	507.88	90	75	323	60	5
50			134	.29		2.23	511.75	84	76	322	60	5
55			134	.34		2.61	515.67	90	77	321	60	5
60			133	.33		2.54	519.48	90	77	321	60	5
Av		<u>+ .83</u>	<u>134.7</u>	<u>.15775</u>	<u>2.5718</u>	<u>46.045</u>		<u>76.3</u>				

TIME END = 15:37

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	160	150	130		227
INITIAL	100	100	100	200	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	60	50	30		27
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			<u>167</u>		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE June 27 1994
 LOCATION Portage Ind
 OPERATOR TS/TK/CS
 STACK NO. Outlet for
 RUN NO. R1 RM8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A6
 METER BOX NO. A7
 METER ΔH @ 1.839
 $Y =$.993'
 TIME START = 10:27

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 85
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 231' 25
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 245+25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 245+25
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 000 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK
N = 7.52

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5		.085	132	.35		2.62	822.70	63	63	332	59	2
10			133	.30		2.26	826.90	74	63	325	54	2
15			133	.27		2.03	830.6	77	64	327	59	2
20			134	.24		2.14	834.80	82	66	327	54	2
25			132	.31		2.37	839.00	82	67	322	59	2
30			132	.24		2.18	842.25	84	67	323	60	2
35			132	.23		1.73	846.30	64	67	320	60	2
40			132	.25		1.88	850.0	83	68	324	60	2
45			132	.23		1.73	853.61	82	68	324	60	2
50			132	.28		2.11	857.50	78	68	304	60	2
55			134	.25		1.84	861.20	81	68	316	60	2
60			134	.27		2.03	865.025	81	68	320	60	2
Av		(4.85)	(132.7)	(.5251)		(2.0808)	(44.908)	(72.7)				

TIME END = 12:10

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME mL				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	160	139	119	54	208
INITIAL	100	100	100	50	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	(60)	(39)	(19)		
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0001 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE June 27 1994
 LOCATION Bo. tage Ind
 OPERATOR TSHRCS
 STACK NO. FGD Outlet
 RUN NO. R2 M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. 046
 METER BOX NO. A7
 METER ΔH @ 1.839
 $\gamma =$.993
 TIME START = 12:20

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 230 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 230 $\pm$ 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 000 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK
K = 7.52

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5		.85	134	.25		1.88	875.436	63	63	201	59	4
10			134	.26		1.96	883.0	70	63	314	59	5
15			134	.26		1.96	886.70	74	64	324	59	6
20			134	.24		1.80	890.30	76	64	322	59	6
25			134	.23		1.73	893.60	77	65	327	59	6
30			134	.23		1.73	897.40	78	65	323	59	6
35			134	.26		1.96	901.70	73	65	323	59	6
40			136	.25		1.88	905.30	81	67	324	59	6
45			134	.27		1.95	909.00	82	68	325	59	6
50			134	.29		2.18	912.80	76	69	325	59	6
55			134	.37		2.33	916.70	76	69	323	59	6
60			134	.31		2.33	920.68	78	68	320	60	6
Av		<u>4.85</u>	<u>134.2</u>	<u>15.25</u>	<u>1.974</u>	<u>45.25</u>	<u>70.6</u>					

TIME END = 13:44

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER _____ @ _____ in. Hg
 PITOTS _____ @ _____ in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80 F°
 DATE 6/28/94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 LOCATION Portage IN. ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3%
 OPERATOR _____ PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 STACK NO. FGD inlet NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 1.85
 RUN NO. R1 RM 5/26A STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____ PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 METER BOX NO. A2 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 METER ΔH @ 1903 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 Y = 1.001 FILTER NO. 12
 TIME START = 10:55 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 44882.3 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg
 PITOTS _____ in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

K = .92

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5			1.3		1.19	913.801	85	84			6
2	5			1.4		1.28	916.8	87	84			6
3				1.3		1.19	918.4	88	84			6
4	10			1.5		1.28	919.9	90	85			7
1				1.2		1.10	921.4	90	85			6
2	15			1.2		1.10	922.9	90	85			6
3				1.1		1.01	924.4	91	85			6
4	20			1.4		1.28	925.7	92	86			7
1				1.0		.92	927.3	92	86			6
2	25			1.2		1.10	928.7	93	86			6
3				1.2		1.10	930.1	93	86			6
4	30			1.3		1.19	931.5	93	87			7
1				1.6		1.47	933.0	93	87			8
2	35			1.5		1.38	934.6	92	87			8
3				1.5		1.38	936.2	91	87			8
4	40			1.2		1.10	937.8	91	88			7
1				1.4		1.28	939.2	92	88			8
2	45			1.1		1.01	940.7	92	88			7
3				1.3		1.19	942.1	93	88			7
4	50			1.1		1.01	943.6	94	88			7
1				1.1		1.01	945.0	94	88			7
2	55			1.0		.92	946.5	94	88			7
3				1.4		1.28	947.8	95	89			8
4	60			1.5		1.38	949.3	94	89			8
Av				333.4		1.1408	1.1989	41.839	89.3			

TIME END = 12:00 PM

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg
 PITOTS _____ in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	148	102	0		211
INITIAL	100	100	0		200
LIQUID COLLECTED	48	2	0		11
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	61				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT PURE / AIR
DATE 6-28-94
LOCATION Portage, IN
OPERATOR _____
STACK NO. FGD INLET
RUN NO. R1 M5/26/
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER ΔH @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END * _____

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₁	O ₁	CO	N ₁
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/28/94
 LOCATION Portage IN.
 OPERATOR Tony Weber
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. 2 M 26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. EV
 METER ΔH @ 1908
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 1230

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.01
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 17'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. —
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 167
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 48150.4 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.004 @ 20 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

$K = .92$

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	25.9	335	1.1		1.01	967.0	89	89			2
2	5		329	1.2		1.10	968.4	91	83			2
3			336	1.0		.92	969.8	92	88			2
4	10		329	1.3		1.20	971.1	93	88			2
1			328	.95		.87	972.6	93	88			2
2	15		334	1.05		.97	973.9	94	89			2
3			335	1.15		1.06	975.3	95	89			3
4	20		336	1.09		1.0	976.7	95	89			3
1			339	.99		.91	978.1	95	89			3
2	25		331	1.04		.96	979.4	95	89			3
3			332	.95		.87	980.8	96	90			3
4	30		329	.86		.79	982.1	96	90			3
1			340	1.27		1.17	983.4	96	90			3
2	35		333	.99		.91	984.8	96	90			3
3			338	1.36		1.25	986.1	97	90			3
4	40		337	1.21		1.11	987.6	96	91			4
1			335	.91		.84	989.1	96	91			3
2	45		336	1.18		1.09	990.4	96	91			4
3			331	1.23		1.13	991.8	96	91			4
4	50		330	1.18		1.09	993.2	96	91			4
1			333	.86		.79	994.6	96	91			4
2	55		338	.95		.87	995.9	96	91			4
3			335	1.09		1.0	997.2	96	91			4
4	60		336	.92		.85	998.6	97	91			4
Av		25.9	333.4		1.0326	1.0043	998.240		92.6			

TIME END = 1340

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.004 @ 20 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	140	106	—	—	211
INITIAL	100	100	0	—	200
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
DATE 6-28-94
LOCATION Portage, IN
OPERATOR A.W.
STACK NO. FGD Inlet
RUN NO. 2 MS/26A
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER Δ H @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ 0 _____ in. Hg
PITOTS _____ 0 _____ in. H₂O
ORSAT _____

[illegible]

TIME END 2 _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER	●	in. Hg
PITOTS	●	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pore Air
 DATE 6/27/94
 LOCATION Parage IN.
 OPERATOR Tony Weber
 STACK NO. FGD Inlet
 RUN NO. 3M26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. -
 METER BOX NO. 54
 METER ΔH @ 1908
 Y = 1.001
 TIME START = 2:12

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 85
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.01
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 17'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. -
 PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 HEATER BOX SETTING -
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 13
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 48524.3 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0.002 @ 21 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 05

K = 92

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	25	6.1 +	322	1.1		1.01	005.3	91	90			3
2	5		328	1.0		.92	006.7	91	90			3
3			321	1.3		1.20	007.8	91	90			4
4	10		339	.95		.87	009.5	92	89			4
1			330	1.6		.47	010.8	92	89			5
2	15		320	1.5		1.23	012.4	92	89			5
3			330	1.36		1.25	014.0	92	88			5
4	20		331	.91		.84	015.6	92	88			3
1			335	1.18		1.09	016.8	93	88			4
2	25		325	1.4		1.29	018.3	94	88			5
3			330	1.23		1.13	019.7	95	89			5
4	30		324	.92		.85	021.2	95	89			5
1			321	1.5		1.38	022.5	94	89			5
2	35		320	1.5		1.38	024.0	95	89			5
3			321	1.3		1.20	025.6	95	89			5
4	40		326	1.09		1.00	027.1	96	90			4
1			324	1.6		1.47	028.5	96	90			6
2	45		339	1.5		1.38	030.1	96	91			5
3			334	1.18		1.09	031.7	96	91			5
4	50		324	.86		.79	033.1	96	91			3
1			321	1.4		1.29	034.4	96	91			4
2	55		337	1.23		1.13	035.9	97	91			5
3			337	1.18		1.09	037.4	97	92			5
4	60		334	1.04		.96	038.8	96	92			5
Av		6.1 +	328.2		1.1156	1.155	40.740	92.3				

TIME END = -

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0.002 @ 20 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 037

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	150	110			11
INITIAL	106	60			
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE

DATE 6-28-99

LOCATION Porter IN

OPERATOR A. W.

STACK NO. _____

RUN NO. R3 M26A

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. _____

METER ΔH @ _____

Y = _____

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING _____

Δ Cp FACTOR

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS 0 in. H₂O

ORSAT _____

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg

PITOTS 0 in. H₂O

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR
 DATE 6-28-94
 LOCATION PORTAGE, IN
 OPERATOR GE CC AS
 STACK NO. INLET
 RUN NO. (R1) RM 8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. -
 METER BOX NO. AL
 METER ΔH 1.892
 Y = 1.003
 TIME START = 10:55 A

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80°
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE -
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3.7
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 17'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 316
 PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 HEATER BOX SETTING -
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. -
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT - mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 000 0 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 000 0 4 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

1908

P #	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³ 179.660	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5		335	1.5		1.36	181.24	84	84			2
2	5		335	1.4		1.27	182.82	85	84			2
3	7.5		340	1.4		1.27	184.35	90	84			2
4	10		338	1.3		1.18	185.89	90	85			2
1	12.5		336	1.6		1.45	187.38	91	85			2
2	15		335	1.4		1.27	189.00	91	85			2
3	17.5		332	1.2		1.08	190.53	92	86			1
4	20		329	1.2		1.08	192.00	93	86			1
1	22.5		330	1.6		1.45	193.63	93	86			2
2	25		325	1.5		1.36	195.23	94	86			2
3	27.5		338	1.5		1.36	196.82	94	87			2
4	30		329	1.1		.99	198.25	95	86			1
1	32.5		326	1.5		1.36	199.84	95	87			2
2	35		330	1.3		1.18	201.38	95	87			2
3	37.5		334	1.1		.99	202.78	96	86			1
4	40		330	1.0		.90	204.13	96	86			1
1	42.5		335	1.5		1.36	205.70	97	86			2
2	45		335	1.4		1.27	207.28	97	87			2
3	47.5		329	1.4		1.27	208.87	97	87			2
4	50		330	1.2		1.08	210.35	97	87			1
1	52.5		337	1.6		1.45	212.04	97	87			2
2	55		337	1.6		1.45	213.72	98	89			2
3	57.5		336	1.3		1.18	215.24	98	89			1
4	60		330	1.1		.99	216.63	98	90			1
Av			333.4			1.1497	1.2018	42.605	90.7			

TIME END = -

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	H ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air

DATE 6-28-94

LOCATION _____

OPERATOR _____

STACK NO.

RUN NO. 21) LM 8 CONT'D

SAMPLE BOX NO. _____

METER BOX NO. _____

METER ΔH @ _____

Y = _____

TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING

Δ Cp FACTOR

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg

PITOTS _____ in. H₂O

ORSAT

908

[illegible]

TIME END * _____

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ in. Hg

PITOTS _____ in. H₂O

ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-22-94
 LOCATION IN
 OPERATOR A.S.
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. 2, RMB
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. A6
 METER ΔH @ 1.892
 $\gamma =$ 1.003
 TIME START = 12:30 p.m.

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 85
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.01
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 121
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 3/6 x 34
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 13 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

908

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	5.9	835	1.6		1.45	232.579	78	70	—	59	2
2	5		332	1.5		1.36	235.7	78	70			1
3	7.5		336	1.3		1.18	237.2	78	70			1
Y	10		329	1.2		.91	238.6	79	70			1
2	1		327	1.5		1.36	240.1	79	70			2
2	15		335	1.4		1.27	241.7	79	71			2
3			336	1.4		1.27	243.2	80	71			2
Y	20		339	1.1		.89	244.7	80	71			1
3	1		331	1.3		1.18	246.2	81	71			1
2	25		331	1.15		1.04	247.7	81	72			1
3			329	1.0		.91	249.1	82	72			1
Y	30		340	.95		.86	250.4	82	72			1
4	1		333	1.3		1.18	251.8	83	73			1
2	35		338	1.2		1.09	253.2	84	73			1
3			337	1.1		.99	254.6	85	73			1
Y	40		335	1.0		.91	256.1	85	73			1
5	1		336	1.35		1.23	257.3	85	73			2
2	45		332	1.15		1.04	258.8	86	73			1
3			331	1.05		.95	260.2	86	73			1
Y	50		329	.95		.86	261.6	87	74			1
6	1		334	1.4		1.27	262.9	87	74			2
2	55		338	1.4		1.27	264.5	87	74			2
3			335	1.2		1.09	266.0	88	74			1
Y	60		336	1.0		.82	267.5	88	74			1
AV		45.9	334.4	1.1095	1.1246	1.1095	1.1246	140.883	78.0			

TIME END = 1:40

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 13 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT —

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	1	2	3	4	5
FINAL					
INITIAL	100	100	100		
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6-28-94
LOCATION IN
OPERATOR AS
STACK NO. FGD inlet
RUN NO. 2, RM 8
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. H6
METER Δ H 1.892
Y = 1.003
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 85
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 30.01
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 121
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 1.185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 316x34
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END *

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.100 13 in. Hg
PITOTS 0.00 2 in. H₂O
ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 DATE 6/28/94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 LOCATION CHADRON IN ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 OPERATOR TR/TS/c PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 STACK NO. #1 Outlet NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 RUN NO. RI R4526A STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 ± 25
 METER BOX NO. A2 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 ± 25
 METER ΔH @ 1.875 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 Y = 0.999 FILTER NO. _____
 TIME START = 10:40 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 2 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

K = 7.7

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	.85	125	.30		2.31	523.9	75	76	310		6
2	10		122	.30		2.31	527.8	89	92	312		6
3	15		122	.32		2.46	531.7	98	83	308		6
4	20		124	.35		2.30	536.2	92	85	285		6
5	25		125	.35		2.70	540.5	98	86	264		6
6	30		124	.35		2.70	544.6	100	87	272		6
7	35		125	.33		2.54	548.7	98	87	291		6
8	40		125	.32		2.46	552.7	101	88	303		6
9	45		125	.33		2.54	556.8	102	89	305		6
10	50		124	.33		2.39	561.0	102	89	305		6
11	55		124	.33		2.54	565.0	102	90	304		6
12	60		124	.34		2.62	569.140	102	90	303		6
Av		<u>(+1.85)</u>	<u>(124.1)</u>	<u>(.5735)</u>	<u>(2.535)</u>	<u>(49.291)</u>	<u>(91.6)</u>					

TIME END = 11:50 Am

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 4 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	1226	134	5		1214
INITIAL	100	100	0	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	126	34	5		14
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT PURE AIR AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
 DATE 6/28/94 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.85
 LOCATION CHESTERTON IN ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 OPERATOR CS/TR/TS PROBE LENGTH, 18'
 STACK NO. 1 Outlet NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 RUN NO. R2 R15/20A STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320±25
 METER BOX NO. A3 HEATER BOX SETTING 220±25
 METER ΔH @ 1.875 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 Y = .999 FILTER NO. _____
 TIME START = 12:36 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

NORTH SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.000 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ±/- 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	Δ P 1 in H ₂ O	√Δ P	Δ H 1 in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (1 in Hg)
1	5		125	.34		2.610	573.3	154	83	252		6
2	10		125	.34		2.62	577.3	98	86	283		6
3	15		124	.34		2.62	581.0	99	87	298		6
4	20		125	.33		2.54	585.1	94	87	280		6
5	25		125	.33		2.54	589.5	99	87	247		6
6	30		124	.33		2.54	593.8	99	87	267		6
7	35		124	.33		2.54	597.9	95	87	250		6
8	40		124	.33		2.54	602.0	99	87	273		6
9	45		124	.33		2.54	606.2	100	88	286		6
10	50		124	.33		2.54	610.3	100	88	290		6
11	55		124	.32		2.54	614.6	100	88	293		6
12	60		124	.34		2.62	618.546	100	88	285		6
Av			124.3			2.567	619.339		89.0			

TIME END = 13:40

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.000 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	222	145	5		218
INITIAL	100	100	0	50	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	102	45	3		18
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Air Products
DATE 6-28-94
LOCATION Porter
OPERATOR Chad
STACK NO. Outlet
RUN NO. R3 RMSB
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER Δ H @ 1.875
Y = 999
TIME START = 2:00

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 29.85
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 15
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 31
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 31.2
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 31
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 320 ± 25
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 20
 Δ Cp FACTOR 20
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg

METER 0.0 20 in. Hg
PITOTS 0.1 in. H₂O
ORSAT OK.

[illegible]

TIME END = 3.10 AM

METER 0.0 20 in. Hg
PITOTS 2 4 in. H₂O
ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	236	122			
INITIAL	100	100			200
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/28/94
 LOCATION Portage Td
 OPERATOR TS/TR/CS
 STACK NO. FGD Outlet
 RUN NO. R1 - M8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
 METER BOX NO. A7
 METER ΔH @ 1.839
 $Y =$.993
 TIME START = 16:40

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 62
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 325 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 325 $\pm$ 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 000.1 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 000 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

$K = 7.52$

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5		+4.5	132	.30		2.26	931.90	75	75	248	59	7
10			133	.29		2.14	935.10	80	73	248	59	7
15			133	.30		2.26	940.00	83	75	248	59	7
20			133	.30		2.26	944.00	86	75	248	59	7
25			133	.31		2.33	948.40	86	76	248	59	7
30			134	.29		2.15	952.20	86	77	249	59	7
35			134	.32		2.41	956.40	86	78	249	59	7
40			133	.31		2.33	960.40	85	78	249	59	7
45			133	.28		2.17	964.40	85	78	249	59	7
50			132	.29		2.15	968.40	84	78	249	59	7
55			132	.30		2.26	973.20	84	78	249	59	7
60			132	.28		2.17	976.60	84	79	249	59	7
AV		+1.85	132.0			1.5453	2.2392	48.839		80.5		

TIME END = 11:50 AM

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.0 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL	100	100		56	2.023
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/26/94
 LOCATION Portage TND
 OPERATOR FR/TS/CS
 STACK NO. FGD Outlet
 RUN NO. R2-R8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A3
 METER BOX NO. A7
 METER ΔH @ 1.839
 Y = .993
 TIME START = 12:30

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 62
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.85
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 3
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 325 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 325 ± 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 600 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ±1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5		1.85	132	.33		2.63	1001.8	85	80	346	59	9
10		1.85	133	.30		2.26	1006.5	90	81	348	59	9
15		1.85	134	.32		2.41	1010.6	92	81	348	59	9
20			134	.35		2.63	1014.3	92	86	348	59	9
25			134	.24		2.18	1014.1	96	86	348	59	0
30			133	.33		2.48	1023.3	97	86	348	59	9
35			133	.30		2.16	1025.4	90	84	346	59	9
40			134	.34		2.56	1031.0	90	84	348	59	9
45			134	.30		2.16	1035.0	95	84	348	59	9
50			134	.30		2.16	1039.1	94	84	348	59	9
55			134	.28		2.11	1043.3	96	84	346	59	9
60			134	.30		2.16	1047.5	97	85	346	59	9
Av		1.85	133.6	.5594	2.325	49.578		88.3				

TIME END = 13:39

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 5 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL	100	100		56	200g
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/29/97
 LOCATION Portage IN.
 OPERATOR Tony Weber
 STACK NO. F&B inlet
 RUN NO. R1 M 27/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. ^
 METER BOX NO. A2
 METER ΔH @ 1.903
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 8:30

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6 1/2
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 138' ?
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 ΔC_p FACTOR 84
 FILTER NO. 2415
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 39.571.7 mg.
 1.6 - .95

↑ NORTH

SCHEMATIC OF STACK

CROSS SECTION

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE @ 1 in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	6.1	338	1.4		1.29	044.78	71	71			2
2	5		324	1.2		1.10	047.5	74	71			2
3			327	1.3		1.20	047.6	75	71			2
4	10		321	1.15		1.06	049.1	76	71			2
1			335	1.3		1.20	050.5	77	71			2
2	15		333	1.20		1.10	051.9	77	71			2
3				.95		.87	053.3	77	71			2
4	20			1.4		1.29	054.6	77	71			2
1				1.6		1.47	056.2	78	71			3
2	25			1.15		1.06	057.7	78	71			2
3				1.10		1.01	059.1	78	71			2
4	30			1.6		1.47	060.4	79	71			3
1				1.5		1.32	062.0	79	71			3
2	35			1.3		1.20	063.6	79	71			3
3				1.6		1.47	065.0	79	71			3
4	40			.95		.87	066.7	79	72			2
1				1.5		1.47	068.0	79	72			3
2	45			1.25		1.15	069.5	80	72			3
3				1.4		1.29	071.0	80	72			3
4	50			1.3		1.20	072.5	80	72			3
1				1.5		1.32	074.1	80	72			3
2	55			1.3		1.20	075.5	80	72			3
3				1.4		1.29	077.0	80	72			3
4	60			1.5		1.32	078.6	81	72			3
Av		<u>6.1</u>	<u>335.7</u>		<u>1.1613</u>	<u>1.2364</u>	<u>40.070</u>		<u>75.0</u>			

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	153 100	209
INITIAL	100 100	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	53 100	9
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED		<u>62</u>

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.3	6.3		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT _____

DATE _____

LOCATION _____

OPERATOR _____

STACK NO. _____

RUN NO. R1 M 17/26A

SAMPLE BOX NO.

METER BOX NO.

METER ΔH 0

Y = _____

TIME START =

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____

BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____

ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____

PROBE LENGTH, in. _____

NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____

STACK DIAMETER, in. _____

PROBE HEATER SETTING _____

HEATER BOX SETTING

Δ Cp FACTOR _____

FILTER NO. _____

FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER _____ 0 _____ in. Hg

PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
--------	---	----------------------

ORSAT

[illegible]

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER P. 00 • 13 in. Hg

PITOTS 0-029 • 3 in. H.O

ORSAT *ok*

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₁	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/29/94
 LOCATION Portage IN.
 OPERATOR Tony Weber
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. R2 M17/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. -
 METER BOX NO. H2
 METER ΔH @ 1.908
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 10:25
11:25

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. -
 PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 HEATER BOX SETTING -
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 564
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 52/82.4 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE @ in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	6.1	339	1.6		1.47	088.8	75	72			7
2	5		321	1.4		1.29	090.5	77	72			7
3	7.5		330	1.5		1.38	092.0	78	72			7
4	10			1.2		1.10	093.5	79	71			6
1	12.5			1.4		1.29	095.0	80	73			6
2	15			1.3		1.20	096.5	80	72			7
3	17.5			1.5		1.38	098.0	81	73			7
4	20			1.5		1.38	099.6	82	73			7
1	22.5			.95		.87	101.2	82	73			5
2	25			1.6		1.47	102.5	82	74			6
3	27.5			1.4		1.29	104.0	81	74			7
4	30			1.1		1.01	105.5	80	73			6
1	32.5			1.3		1.20	107.0	80	73			6
2	35			1.6		1.47	108.4	80	73			8
3	37.5			1.3		1.20	110.0	80	73			7
4	40			1.6		1.47	111.6	81	73			7
1	42.5			.95		.87	113.2	81	73			5
2	45			1.1		1.01	114.5	82	73			6
3	47.5			1.2		1.10	115.9	82	74			6
4	50			1.2		1.10	117.3	82	74			7
1	52.5			1.5		1.38	118.7	82	74			7
2	55			1.3		1.20	120.3	82	74			7
3	57.5			1.6		1.47	121.8	82	74			7
4	60			1.1		1.01	123.4	82	74			7
Av		6.1	332.0	1.154		1.231		76.5				

TIME END = -

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT -

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	140	100			2.9
INITIAL	100	100			2.00
LIQUID COLLECTED	46	0			9
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.4	6.4		
2					
3					
4					

PLANT _____
DATE _____
LOCATION _____
OPERATOR _____
STACK NO. _____
RUN NO. R2 M 17/26A
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER ΔH @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END -

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/29/94
 LOCATION Portage IN.
 OPERATOR Tony Weber
 STACK NO. Inlet
 RUN NO. R3 M17/26
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. 142
 METER ΔH @ 1.908
 $\gamma =$ 1.001
 TIME START = 11:55
 AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 14'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. 010
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT 50974.2 mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

K = .92

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE (in H ₂ O)	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	6.1	336	1.5		1.38	130.7	79	74			1
2	5		339	1.4		1.29	132.2	81	74			1
3			321	1.4		1.29	133.8	83	75			1
4	10		326	1.6		1.47	135.5	85	76			1
			327	1.2		1.10	137.2	85	76			1
2	15		323	1.5		1.38	138.6	85	76			1
3			329	1.1		1.01	140.2	85	76			1
4	20		339	1.5		1.38	141.6	85	76			1
1			337	1.3		1.20	142.2	85	76			1
2	25		329	1.3		1.20	144.7	84	76			1
3			328	1.4		1.29	146.1	84	76			1
4	30		322	1.1		1.01	147.7	84	75			1
1			330	.95		.87	149.3	84	75			1
2	35		331	1.6		1.47	150.4	84	75			1
3			334	1.5		1.38	152.0	85	75			1
4	40		327	1.2		1.10	153.6	85	75			1
1			326	1.4		1.29	155.1	85	75			1
2	45		333	1.3		1.20	156.6	85	75			1
3			339	1.1		1.01	158.1	85	76			1
4	50		331	1.4		1.29	159.5	85	76			1
1			334	1.4		1.29	161.0	86	76			1
2	55		329	1.2		1.10	162.6	86	76			1
3			327	1.3		1.20	164.0	86	77			1
4	60		324	1.5		1.38	165.5	87	77			1
AV			330.5	1.157		1.237		79.8				

TIME END = 1:10 pm

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	1	2	3	4	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	152	106	100	100	2.15
INITIAL	100	100	100	100	2.00
LIQUID COLLECTED	52	6	0	0	15
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED	73 total				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.3	6.9		
2					
3					
4					

PLANT _____
DATE _____
LOCATION _____
OPERATOR Tony Weber
STACK NO. _____
RUN NO. R3 M17/26
SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
METER BOX NO. _____
METER ΔH @ _____
Y = _____
TIME START = _____

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % _____
 PROBE LENGTH, in. _____
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. _____
 STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
 PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
 HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR _____
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-29-94
 LOCATION LN
 OPERATOR AS
 STACK NO. FGD inlet
 RUN NO. 1 RM8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. —
 METER BOX NO. A6
 METER ΔH @ 1.292
 Y = 1.008
 TIME START = 8:30 AM

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 17'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185"
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 138 x ?
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT — mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 18 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

904

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	6.1	338	1.6		1.45	281.2	78	75	—	59	4
2	5		339	1.4		1.27	282.4	78	75		↓	3
3			335	1.4		1.27	281.0	79	75			3
4	10		329	1.2		1.09	285.3	79	75			2
2			328	1.4		1.27	286.6	79	75			2
2	15		336	1.3		1.18	288.3	80	75			3
3			337	1.15		1.04	289.7	81	75			2
4	20		340	1.0		.91	291.2	81	76			2
3			339	1.4		1.27	292.6	81	76			3
2	25		341	1.3		1.18	294.0	81	76			3
3			337	1.10		.99	295.4	82	76			2
4	30		335	.95		.86	296.6	82	76			2
4			336	1.35		1.23	298.1	82	76			3
2	35		331	1.20		1.09	299.6	83	77			3
3			329	1.05		.95	301.0	83	77			2
4	40		331	.95		.86	302.3	84	78			2
5			332	1.4		1.27	303.6	85	78			3
2	45		334	1.4		1.27	305.1	85	78			3
3			335	1.2		1.09	306.6	85	78			2
4	50		334	1.1		.99	308.1	85	78			2
6			336	1.5		1.36	309.4	85	79			4
2	55		337	1.3		1.18	311.0	86	79			3
3			339	1.25		1.14	312.4	86	79			3
4	60		338	1.15		1.04	313.9	86	79			3
Av		(+6.1)	335.7		(11.1224)	(1.1461)	39.793		(79.9)			

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT —

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IPA	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	H ₂ O ₂	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	15	100	100	200
INITIAL	100	100	100	200
LIQUID COLLECTED				
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pure Air
DATE 6-29-94
LOCATION JN
OPERATOR AS
STACK NO. FGD inlet
RUN NO. 1
SAMPLE BOX NO. -
METER BOX NO. A6
METER ΔH @ 1.892
Y = 1.008
TIME START =

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 17 1/2
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 13.8 x ?
 PROBE HEATER SETTING —
 HEATER BOX SETTING —
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. —
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT —

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

METER 000 • 13 in. Hg.
PITOTS 0-00 • 3 in. H₂O
ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT -g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 DATE 6-29-84 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
 LOCATION IN ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6%
 OPERATOR CC, AS, GB PROBE LENGTH, in. 12'
 STACK NO. FGD inlet NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
 RUN NO. 2, RM8 STACK DIAMETER, in. 138x?
 SAMPLE BOX NO. - PROBE HEATER SETTING -
 METER BOX NO. 46 HEATER BOX SETTING -
 METER ΔH @ 1.892 ΔC_p FACTOR .24
 Y = 1.008 FILTER NO. -
 TIME START = 11:25 A FILTER TARE WEIGHT - mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.00 @ 12 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.00 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	2.5	5.9	335	1.4		1.27	324.88	74	74	-		2
2	5		336	1.2		1.08	327.89	77	74			2
3	7.5		331	1.1		.99	329.29	77	74			2
4	10		330	1.1		.99	330.65	80	74			2
2	12.5		335	1.2		1.08	332.06	80	74			2
2	15		330	1.2		1.08	333.48	80	75			2
3	17.5		329	1.1		.99	334.82	81	75			1
4	20		328	1.2		1.08	336.28	82	74			1
3	22.5		330	1.3		1.18	337.70	82	75			2
2	25		330	1.4		1.27	339.21	83	75			2
3	27.5		335	1.2		1.08	340.81	83	75			2
4	30		337	1.2		1.08	342.29	83	75			2
4	32.5		331	1.5		1.36	343.88	82	75			2
2	35		335	1.4		1.27	345.45	82	75			2
3	37.5		337	1.4		1.27	347.00	83	75			2
4	40		332	1.2		1.08	348.50	84	75			1
5	42.5		330	1.3		1.18	349.90	84	75			1
2	45		329	1.3		1.18	351.34	85	75			1
3	47.5		328	1.2		1.08	352.75	85	75			2
4	50		331	1.1		.99	354.15	85	76			1
6	52.5		336	1.3		1.18	355.63	85	75			2
2	55		335	1.2		1.08	357.04	86	76			2
3	57.5		330	1.2		1.08	358.47	86	76			2
4	60		329	1.0		.99	359.80	86	76			1
Av		45.9	332.0		1.112	1.1225	40.771		79.7			

TIME END =

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IPA	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	H ₂ O ₂	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL		2	3	9
INITIAL	100	100	100	200
LIQUID COLLECTED				
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED				

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PLANT Pine Ave
DATE 6-29-94
LOCATION Pine Ave, LP
OPERATOR GE
STACK NO. 1205
RUN NO. R2 RMB (con)
SAMPLE BOX NO. —
METER BOX NO. A6
METER Δ H θ 1.892
 γ = 1.008
TIME START =

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 75
BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.8
ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 6
PROBE LENGTH, in. 17'
NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .185
STACK DIAMETER, in. _____
PROBE HEATER SETTING _____
HEATER BOX SETTING _____
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
FILTER NO. _____
FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

[illegible]

TIME END = _____

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT g
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

METER	0	in. Hg
PITOTS	0	in. H ₂ O
ORSAT		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-29-94
 LOCATION Portage
 OPERATOR CSTR-TS
 STACK NO. 1 Outlet
 RUN NO. R1-PM5B/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A6
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 Y = .999
 TIME START = 8:30 AM

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 65
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 18"
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31"
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 I 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 I 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .002 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT _____

K 7.7

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1		.85	125	.33		2.541	669.046	71	71	268		6
2		.85	129	.32		2.46	672.3	75	71	264		6
3		.85	128	.32		2.46	681.2	76	71	276		6
4		.85	131	.32		2.46	685.2	73	70	250		6
5		.85	129	.33		2.54	689.2	77	70	263		6
6		.85	130	.34		2.62	693.77	76	70	256		6
7		.85	128	.33		2.54	697.35	75	70	253		6
8		.85	131	.34		2.62	701.36	78	70	251		6
9		.85	130	.34		2.62	705.48	79	70	256		6
10		.85	129	.33		2.54	709.41	79	71	258		6
11		.85	130	.34		2.62	713.41	79	71	257		6
12		.85	130	.33		2.54	717.439	78	71	250		6
Av		<u>+.85</u>	<u>129.3</u>			<u>2.5467</u>	<u>48.393</u>		<u>73.4</u>			

TIME END = 9:50

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 2 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 25

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	222	192	5	7	218
INITIAL	100	100	0	80	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	122	92	5	7	18
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED			<u>175</u>		

175 total

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.4	6.9		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6-29-94
 LOCATION Portage
 OPERATOR CS-TR-TS
 STACK NO. 1 Outlet
 RUN NO. RZ-LM5B/26A
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A6
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH 1.875
 Y = .999
 TIME START = 10:20

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 70
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE 29.9
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15.20
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 13.75
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 21.75
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 220 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 ± 25
 ΔC_p FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO.
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .0020 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 2.0 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT

7.7

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	1.85	120	.30		2.31	721.9	72	70	309		4
2	10	.85	129	.30		2.21	725.36	76	70	308		4
3	15	.85	131	.32		2.40	729.23	82	71	305		4
4	20	.85	128	.23		2.54	733.24	81	74	291		4
5	25	.85	130	.23		2.54	737.3	82	73	300		4
6	30		134	.34		2.61	741.8	81	73	300		5
7	35		132	.35		2.70	746.1	75	68	300		5
8	40		133	.38		2.85	750.5	77	69	301		6
9	45		132	.37		2.85	754.29	78	70	312		6
10	50		131	.35		2.70	758.69	76	68	214		6
11	55		132	.35		2.70	763.08	77	69	217		6
12	60		130	.33		2.54	767.13	77	69	224		5
Av		1.85	131.0			1.5799	2.5517	49.386	74.1			

TIME END = 11:37 AM

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER .0020 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0.4 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL	228	138	4		216
INITIAL	100	100	0	36	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	128	38	4		
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.6	7.0		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT ture Air
 DATE 6-29-94
 LOCATION Portage
 OPERATOR TS
 STACK NO. Outlet
 RUN NO. 3 MS
 SAMPLE BOX NO. AC
 METER BOX NO. A3
 METER ΔH @ 1.875
 $Y =$ 0.999
 TIME START = 12:00

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 68
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15
 PROBE LENGTH, $\frac{1}{8}$ 18'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, $\frac{1}{8}$ 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 320 $\pm$ 2.5
 HEATER BOX SETTING 320 $\pm$ 2.5
 ΔC_p FACTOR .89
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 15' in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OR

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
1	5	.85	131	.32		2.46	771.17	74	68	310		5
2	10	.85	133	.31		2.39	775.0	78	68	310		5
3	15	.85	130	.31		2.39	778.98	80	69	312		5
4	20		131	.32		2.46	782.7	75	70	315		6
5	25		130	.32		2.46	786.7	78	70	322		6
6	30		122	.32		2.54	790.66	78	69	326		6
7	35		130	.32		2.46	794.6	75	69	325		6
8	40		129	.32		2.46	798.5	80	70	325		6
9	45		131	.33		2.54	802.43	81	71	328		6
10	50		133	.33		2.54	806.41	81	71	325		6
11	55		123	.32		2.46	810.2	81	71	330		6
12	60		120	.21		2.39	814.15	80	71	305		6
Av		<u>1.85</u>	<u>131.1</u>			<u>1.5657</u>	<u>2.4625</u>	<u>47.021</u>	<u>74.1</u>			

TIME END = 12:00

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0.002 @ 15' in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OR

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	g
FINAL	284	122	4		215
INITIAL	100	100	0	56	200
LIQUID COLLECTED	134	22	4		15
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED				<u>175</u>	

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1		12.5	6.9		
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/29/94
 LOCATION Portage Ind
 OPERATOR TS/FA
 STACK NO. F60 Outlet
 RUN NO. R1 Rn 8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. _____
 METER BOX NO. A7
 METER ΔH @ 1.434
947
 Y = _____
 TIME START = 8:30 AM

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 16'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. 3/12
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 325 ± 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 325 ± 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR 84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0001 @ 15 in. Hg
 PITOTS 600 @ 8 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 012
7.52

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE ± in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	√ΔP	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5		.85	133	.30		2.26	052.10	70	70	325	59	7
10		.85	133	.31		2.33	056.20	71	69	324	59	7
15			134	.34		2.56	060.60	73	69	324	59	8
20			135	.33		2.48	064.45	72	69	325	59	8
25			135	.32		2.41	069.20	72	69	325	59	8
30			135	.33		2.48	072.80	72	69	325	59	8
35			135	.33		2.48	077.73	72	69	325	59	8
40			135	.35		2.41	082.00	72	69	325	59	9
45			135	.36		2.71	085.90	74	68	325	59	9
50			133	.30		2.26	089.80	70	68	325	59	7
55			133	.29		2.18	093.55	72	68	325	59	7
60			133	.28		2.11	097.41	73	68	325	59	7
Av		<u>1.85</u>	<u>134.1</u>	<u>.563</u>	<u>2.389</u>	<u>49.217</u>	<u>70.3</u>					

TIME END = 9:43 AM

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS
 METER 0.00 @ 10 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 @ 3 in. H₂O
 ORSAT 0.5

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml				SILICA GEL WEIGHT
	1	2	3	4	
FINAL					
INITIAL					
LIQUID COLLECTED					
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED					

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO ₂	O ₂	CO	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

PARTICULATE FIELD DATA

PLANT Pure Air
 DATE 6/29/94
 LOCATION Portage
 OPERATOR TS/PR/CS
 STACK NO. FGD Outlet
 RUN NO. R2 RM 8
 SAMPLE BOX NO. A6
 METER BOX NO. A7
 METER ΔH 1.839
 Y = 993
 TIME START 10:20

AMBIENT TEMPERATURE 80
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE _____
 ASSUMED MOISTURE, % 15%
 PROBE LENGTH, in. 10'
 NOZZLE DIAMETER, in. .312
 STACK DIAMETER, in. 31'
 PROBE HEATER SETTING 325 $\pm$ 25
 HEATER BOX SETTING 325 $\pm$ 25
 Δ Cp FACTOR .84
 FILTER NO. _____
 FILTER TARE WEIGHT _____ mg.

PRE TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0001 70 in. Hg
 PITOTS 000 8 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

K
 7.52

P	θ	STATIC PRESSURE +/- in H ₂ O	Ts °F	ΔP in H ₂ O	$\sqrt{\Delta P}$	ΔH in H ₂ O	Vm ft ³	Tm INLET °F	Tm OUTLET °F	OVEN TEMP. °F	IMP. OUTLET TEMP. °F	VACUUM (in Hg)
5		+83	133	.31		2.33	103.60	70	70	320	59	4
10			133	.30		2.26	107.9	74	70	321	54	4
15			133	.31		2.33	112.50	75	71	322	54	4
20			134	.32		2.41	117.20	77	72	322	59	4
25			134	.31		2.33	120.30	76	71	321	54	4
30			134	.32		2.41	124.26	73	72	321	59	4
35			134	.30		2.26	128.50	67	68	321	54	4
40			134	.33		2.48	132.90	68	66	320	54	4
45			134	.32		2.41	136.90	68	66	320	54	4
50			133	.30		2.26	141.80	67	66	320	54	4
55			133	.30		2.26	144.80	71	66	320	58	4
60			133	.29		2.18	148.75	71	66	320	58	4
Av		1.85	133.5	.5559		2.3267	50.769			99.2		

TIME END 11:37 AM

POST TEST LEAK CHECKS

METER 0 in. Hg
 PITOTS 0 in. H₂O
 ORSAT OK

VOLUME OF LIQUID WATER COLLECTED	IMPINGER VOLUME ml	SILICA GEL WEIGHT
FINAL	1	9
INITIAL	100	56 2003
LIQUID COLLECTED		
TOTAL VOLUME COLLECTED		

ORSAT MEASUREMENT	TIME	CO	O ₂	CO ₂	N ₂
1					
2					
3					
4					

APPENDIX L
LABORATORY ANALYSIS

Triangle Laboratories of RTP Project 28342

Almega Corporation

Addendum Date: July 12, 1994

Sixteen liquid samples were received by Triangle Laboratories of RTP, Inc. on June 20, 1994 at ambient temperature and in good condition. The samples were stored at ambient temperature until the time of analysis. These samples were analyzed for HCl content by ion chromatography using Method 26 with suppressed conductivity detection. Results reported relate only to the items tested.

Date received: June 20, 1994

Date analyzed: June 21/22, 1994

Instrument Conditions:

Dionex DX300 Ion Chromatograph with a PED-II Conductivity Detector

Eluent flow: 1.5 mL/min. (1.8 mM sodium carbonate/1.7mM sodium bicarbonate)

Suppressor: Anion self-regenerating suppressor-1

Sample Loop: 50 uL

Detection: Conductivity - suppressed ion

Data Recording: Dionex AI 450 software

Triangle Laboratories of RTP, Inc.

COMMENTS:

A six point chloride calibration curve ranging from 0.5 ppm to 100 ppm was performed both before and after sample analysis.

Reported concentrations are mean values determined from duplicate analyses.

No chloride was found in either of the method blanks. Chloride was detected in some of the outlet samples at levels below the calibration range. Amounts for samples with a run concentration below 0.5 ppm should be considered estimated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

This report should not be reproduced except in full. Any reproduction requires the permission of Triangle Laboratories of RTP, Inc. If you have technical questions concerning the contents of this package or the procedures and protocols employed to generate the analytical data, please contact Barry Rayfield at (919) 544-8357.

Addendum:

The samples were inadvertently not analyzed for fluoride. Enclosed please find calibrations and results for the fluoride analyses performed on July 8, 1994. As with the chloride analysis, run concentrations at a level below 0.5 ppm are below the linear calibration and the amounts reported should be considered estimated.

Report Preparation

Eileen Winchester

Report Preparation Chemist

Quality Control

Barry Rayfield

Project Manager

The total number of pages in the data package is 58

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 6/15/94 Page 1 of 2

Project Number 7316

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR</u>				PROJECT MANAGER <u>Bill Byczinski</u>				ANALYSES								
TEST DATE <u>7-8 June 94</u>				PHONE NUMBER <u>(708) 595-0175</u>												
Method of Shipment				<div style="transform: rotate(-45deg); display: inline-block;"> <u>PM2.5A HCL HF</u> </div>												
Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number									Type of Sample			Number of Containers	Sample Condition/REMARKS
												LIQ	AIR	SOLID		
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/7		0802									✓			1	
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/7		0803									✓			1	
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/7		1598									✓			1	
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/7		1603									✓			1	
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/8		2252									✓			1	
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/8		0804									✓			1	
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/8		1750									✓			1	
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/8		1760	✓			1									
SAMPLERS: (Signature) <u>Una M. Blaine</u>				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION 1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes ☐ No ☐ Other ☐ 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days				
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time						
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Date				Time		Received for Laboratory by: <u>Gickling</u>				Date RECEIVED Time <u>JUN 20 1994</u> <u>12:30</u>		
Special Instructions				Please return all to dangerous goods packaging.								Date ACCEPTED Time <u>JUN 20 1994</u> <u>1:23p</u>				

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 6/15/94 Page 2 of 2

Project Number 7316

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR</u>				PROJECT MANAGER <u>(708) 595-0175</u>				ANALYSES													
TEST DATE <u>9,13 JUNE 94</u>				PHONE NUMBER <u>Bill Byczinski</u>																	
Method of Shipment								<div style="text-align: center; transform: rotate(-45deg);"> <u>Pm26 A</u> <u>PM2.5</u> <u>HF</u> </div>													
Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers	Sample Condition/REMARKS													
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID															
F6D inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/9		2253	✓			1														
F6D inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/9		2254	✓			1														
F6D outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/9		2078	✓			1														
F6D outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/9		2082	✓			1														
F6D inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/13		0805	✓			1														
F6D inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/13		1255	✓			1														
F6D outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/13		1746	✓			1														
F6D outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/13		1757	✓			1														
SAMPLERS: (Signature) <u>Chae M. Blaine</u>				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION 1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes _____ No _____ Other _____ 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days									
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time											
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Date		Time		Received for Laboratory by:		Date		RECEIVED Time		Date		ACCEPTED Time					
								<u>Byczinski</u>		JUN 20 1994		1630		JUN 20 1994		1230					
Special Instructions																					

Custody Seal	: Present/Intact	TLI Project Number	28342	Book
Chain of Custody	: Present	Client: ACO03	ALMEGA CORPORATION	82
Sample Tags	: Present	Date Received	06/20/94	By <i>[Signature]</i> Page
Sample Tag Numbers	: Listed			
SNO Forms	: Absent			

Box	Temp 70.0 F	Carrier and Number	FEDX.4645928990	233
-----	-------------	--------------------	-----------------	-----

TLI Number #R/H	Client ID	Matrix Location	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init
82-233-1		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R1 0802								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-2		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R2 0803								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-3		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R1 2252								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-4		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R2 0804								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-5		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R1 2253								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-6		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R2 2254								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-7		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R1 0805								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-8		LIQUID FGD INLET IMP1-2 R2 2255								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-9		LIQUID FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R1 1598								
		FRIG#3A								
82-233-10		LIQUID FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R2 1603								
		FRIG#3A								

Receiving Remarks:

Archive Remarks:

-----TRIANGLE LABORATORIES OF RTP, INC.--CHAIN OF CUSTODY--REVISED 09/13/93-----

Custody Seal	: Present/Intact	TLI Project Number	28342	Book
Chain of Custody	: Present			
Sample Tags	: Present	Client: AC003	ALMEGA CORPORATION	82
Sample Tag Numbers	: Listed			
SNO Forms	: Absent	Date Received	06/20/94	By <i>Bill</i> Page

Box	Temp 70.0 F	Carrier and Number	FEDX.4645928990	233
-----	-------------	--------------------	-----------------	-----

TLI Number mR/H	Client ID	Matrix Location	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init	To LAB Date/Init	To STORAGE Date/Init
82-233-11		LIQUID								
FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R1	1750	FRIG#3A								
82-233-12		LIQUID								
FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R2	1760	FRIG#3A								
82-233-13		LIQUID								
FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R1	2078	FRIG#3A								
82-233-14		LIQUID								
FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R2	2082	FRIG#3A								
82-233-15		LIQUID								
FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R1	1746	FRIG#3A								
82-233-16		LIQUID								
FGD OUTLET IMP1-2 R2	1757	FRIG#3A								

Receiving Remarks:

Archive Remarks:

DIONEX SCHEDULE - C:\DX\SCHEDULE\28342R2.SCH

inj#	Sample Name	Method	Data File	Vol.	Dil.	Int.Std.
1	STD 0.5 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00501.D01	1	1	1
2	STD 0.5 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00501.D02	1	1	1
3	STD 1.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00101.D03	1	1	1
4	STD 1.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00101.D04	1	1	1
5	STD 3.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00301.D05	1	1	1
6	STD 3.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00301.D06	1	1	1
7	STD 10.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD01001.D07	1	1	1
8	STD 10.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD01001.D08	1	1	1
9	STD 30.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD03001.D09	1	1	1
10	STD 30.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD03001.D10	1	1	1
11	STD 100.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD10001.D11	1	1	1
12	STD 100.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD10001.D12	1	1	1
13	H2O BLANK	..\2ANION.m	..\H2OBLK1.D13	1	1	1
14	H2O BLANK	..\2ANION.m	..\H2OBLK1.D14	1	1	1
15	QC 20 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\QC20PPM1.D15	1	1	1
16	QC 20 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\QC20PPM1.D16	1	1	1
17	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233011.D17	1	1	1
18	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233011.D18	1	1	1
19	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233021.D19	1	1	1
20	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233021.D20	1	1	1
21	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233031.D21	1	1	1
22	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233031.D22	1	1	1
23	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233041.D23	1	1	1
24	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233041.D24	1	1	1
25	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233051.D25	1	1	1
26	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233051.D26	1	1	1
27	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233061.D27	1	1	1
28	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233061.D28	1	1	1
29	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233071.D29	1	1	1
30	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233071.D30	1	1	1
31	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233081.D31	1	1	1
32	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233081.D32	1	1	1
33	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233091.D33	1	1	1
34	FGD INLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233091.D34	1	1	1
35	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233101.D35	1	1	1
36	FGD INLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233101.D36	1	1	1
37	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233111.D37	1	1	1
38	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233111.D38	1	1	1
39	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233121.D39	1	1	1
40	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233121.D40	1	1	1
41	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233131.D41	1	1	1
42	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233131.D42	1	1	1
43	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233141.D43	1	1	1
44	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233141.D44	1	1	1
45	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233151.D45	1	1	1
46	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1	..\2ANION.m	..\82233151.D46	1	1	1
47	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233161.D47	1	1	1
48	FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2	..\2ANION.m	..\82233161.D48	1	1	1
49	STD 0.5 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00501.D49	1	1	1
50	STD 0.5 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00501.D50	1	1	1
51	STD 1.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00101.D51	1	1	1
52	STD 1.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00101.D52	1	1	1
53	STD 3.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00301.D53	1	1	1
54	STD 3.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD00301.D54	1	1	1
55	STD 10.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD01001.D55	1	1	1
56	STD 10.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD01001.D56	1	1	1
57	STD 30.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD03001.D57	1	1	1

Inj#	Sample Name	Method	Data File	Vol.	Dil.	Int.Std.
58	STD 30.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD03001.D58	1	1	1
59	STD 100.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD10001.D59	1	1	1
60	STD 100.0 PPM	..\2ANION.m	..\STD10001.D60	1	1	1
	END	..\STANDCL.	..\END	1	1	1

Comment:

7/8/94 PROJECT #28342 RE- ANALYZED ON THIS SCHEDULE FOR (HF) TIMES
TWO . TIMOTHY BELLAMY.

TLW 28342-HCL
CLIENT SAMPLES
ALMEGA CORPORATION
21-22-JUNE-94

TLI ID	CLIENT ID	RT	AREA	LOG AREA	RUN COND (ppm)	DILUTION FACTOR	SAMPLE CONC (ppm)	AVG CONC (ppm)	RPD	TOTAL mg	TOTAL mg CL	TOTAL mg HCL	TOTAL mg CL2	PH
81-233-1	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 0802	2.75	1201153152	9.080	71.604	1	71.604	71.300	0.9	355	25.312	26.031	--	2
		2.75	1189484090	9.075	70.998	1	70.998							
81-233-2	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 0803	2.59	931348280	8.969	57.355	1	57.355	57.392	0.1	245	14.061	14.481	--	2
		2.59	932736081	8.970	57.429	1	57.429							
81-233-3	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 2252	2.88	1384803878	9.135	80.033	1	80.033	80.311	0.7	245	19.676	20.235	--	2
		2.88	1375489786	9.138	80.588	1	80.588							
81-233-4	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 0804	2.85	1076881952	9.032	65.097	1	65.097	65.395	0.9	245	16.022	16.477	--	2
		2.65	1088151565	9.037	65.692	1	65.692							
81-233-5	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 2253	2.64	1338288461	9.127	78.685	1	78.685	79.207	1.3	230	18.218	18.735	--	2
		2.64	1359641904	9.133	79.728	1	79.728							
81-233-6	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 2254	2.60	789323034	8.897	49.647	1	49.647	49.741	0.4	235	11.689	12.022	--	2
		2.60	792755750	8.899	49.835	1	49.835							
81-233-7	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 0805	2.58	107389632	8.031	8.715	1	8.715	8.720	0.1	335	2.921	3.004	--	2
		2.58	107522851	8.032	8.725	1	8.725							
81-233-8	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 2255	2.85	1204768720	9.081	71.792	1	71.792	71.897	0.3	330	23.726	24.401	--	2
		2.85	1208810990	9.082	72.002	1	72.002							
81-233-9	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1598	2.58	5112371	6.709	0.612	1	0.612	0.616	1.2	440	0.271	0.279	--	2
		2.58	5181514	6.714	0.619	1	0.619							
81-233-10	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1803	2.56	2424688	6.385	0.319	1	0.319	0.314	3.2	420	0.132	0.138	--	2
		2.56	2396390	6.369	0.309	1	0.309							
81-233-11	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1750	2.56	1846877	6.266	0.252	1	0.252	0.243	6.9	445	0.108	0.111	--	2
		2.56	1705667	6.232	0.235	1	0.235							
81-233-12	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1780	2.55	1763338	6.246	0.242	1	0.242	0.229	10.9	430	0.099	0.101	--	2
		2.55	1555302	6.192	0.217	1	0.217							
81-233-13	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 2078	2.66	6802346	6.820	0.765	1	0.765	0.766	0.2	330	0.253	0.260	--	2
		2.65	6620790	6.821	0.767	1	0.767							
81-233-14	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 2082	2.57	1927450	6.285	0.261	1	0.261	0.268	5.1	465	0.125	0.128	--	2
		2.58	2042509	6.310	0.275	1	0.275							
81-233-15	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1748	2.64	14212874	7.163	1.494	1	1.494	1.496	0.3	615	0.920	0.946	--	2
		2.85	14283110	7.154	1.498	1	1.498							
81-233-16	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1757	2.50	11398627	7.057	1.232	1	1.232	1.232	0.1	310	0.382	0.393	--	2
		2.50	11406845	7.057	1.233	1	1.233							

TOTAL mg HCL : (TOTAL mg CL) (FW HCL/MW CL)
TOTAL mg CL2 : TOTAL mg CL x 2
ND=NONE DETECTED

TLI# 28342-FLUORIDE
 CLIENT SAMPLES
 ALMEGA CORPORATION
 08-JULY-94

9

TLI ID	CLIENT ID	RT	AREA	LOG AREA	RUN CONC (ppm)	DILUTION FACTOR	SAMPLE CONC (ppm)	AVG CONC (ppm)	RPD	TOTAL ml.	TOTAL mg F	*TOTAL mg HF	TOTAL mg F2	PH
81-233-1	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 0802	1.54 1.53	90562925 90774309	7.96 7.96	8.01 8.02	1 1	8.01 8.02	8.01	0.2	355	2.85	3.00	---	2
81-233-2	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 0803	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	245	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-3	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 2252	1.50 1.50	9758330 9597550	6.99 6.98	0.93 0.92	1 1	0.93 0.92	0.92	1.6	245	0.23	0.24	---	2
81-233-4	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 0804	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	245	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-5	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 2253	1.49 1.49	4830349 5351000	6.68 6.73	0.47 0.52	1 1	0.47 0.52	0.50	9.9	230	0.11	0.12	---	2
81-233-6	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 2254	1.50 1.50	3027211 3028586	6.48 6.48	0.30 0.30	1 1	0.30 0.30	0.30	0.0	235	0.07	0.07	---	2
81-233-7	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 0805	1.55 1.55	15368943 15404003	7.19 7.19	1.44 1.45	1 1	1.44 1.45	1.44	0.2	335	0.48	0.51	---	2
81-233-8	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 2255	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	330	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-9	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1598	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	440	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-10	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1603	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	420	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-11	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1750	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	445	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-12	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1760	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	430	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-13	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 2078	1.50 1.50	1130434 1103274	6.05 6.04	0.12 0.11	1 1	0.12 0.11	0.11	2.3	330	0.04	0.04	---	2
81-233-14	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 2082	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	465	ND	ND	---	2
81-233-15	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1746	1.54 1.54	946683 960259	5.98 5.98	0.10 0.10	1 1	0.10 0.10	0.10	1.4	615	0.06	0.06	---	2
81-233-16	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1757	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	ND ND	1 1	ND ND	ND	ND	310	ND	ND	---	2

*TOTAL mg HF = (f.w. HF / m.w. F) x [F]
 ND = NONE DETECTED

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 0802 Date: 07/08/1994 15:19:42
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233011.D17
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 17 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.11	1000	

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.54	FLUORIDE	0.000	8147014	90562925	1	28.33
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	8147014	90562925		

File: 82233011.D17 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 0802

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:25:24

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 0802 Date: 07/08/1994 15:33:29
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233011.D18
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 18 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.07	1000	

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.53	FLUORIDE	0.000	8358708	90774309	1	27.50
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	8358708	90774309		

File: 82233011.D18 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 0802

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:27:58

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0803 Date: 07/08/1994 15:47:16
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233021.D19
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 19 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.58		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233021.D19 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0803

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:29:16

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0803 Date: 07/08/1994 16:01:03
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233021.D20
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: -1 Inject#: 20 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.64		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233021.D20 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0803

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:35:45

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2252 Date: 07/08/1994 16:14:49
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233031.D21
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 21 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.79		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Peak Number	Retention Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Blank Code	%Delta
1	1.50	FLUORIDE	0.000	1629065	9758330	1	25.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	1629065	9758330		

File: 82233031.D21 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2252

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:33:28

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2252 Date: 07/08/1994 16:28:35
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233031.D22
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 22 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.84		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

ok.	Ret	Component	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl.	%Delta
um	Time	Name				Code	
1	1.50	FLUORIDE	0.000	1544584	9597550	1	25.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	1544584	9597550		

File: 82233031.D22 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2252

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:50:04

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0804 Date: 07/08/1994 16:42:21
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233041.D23
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 23 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.84		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233041.D23 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0804

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:52:47

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0804 Date: 07/08/1994 16:56:07
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233041.D24
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 24 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.85		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233041.D24 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 0804

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:08:39

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2253 Date: 07/08/1994 17:09:54
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233051.D25
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 25 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.79		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.49	FLUORIDE	0.000	852270	4830349	1	24.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	852270	4830349		

File: 82233051.D25 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2253

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:15:21

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2253 Date: 07/08/1994 17:23:40
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233051.D26
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 26 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.79		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.49	FLUORIDE	0.000	887994	5351000	1	24.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	887994	5351000		

File: 82233051.D26 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 2253

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:17:57

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2254 Date: 07/08/1994 17:37:27
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233061.D27
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 27 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.84		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.50	FLUORIDE	0.000	557548	3027211	1	25.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	557548	3027211		

File: 82233061.D27 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2254

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:20:45

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2254 Date: 07/08/1994 17:51:13
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233061.D28
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 28 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.75		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.50	FLUORIDE	0.000	531179	3028586	1	25.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	531179	3028586		

File: 82233061.D28 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2254

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:22:20

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 0805 Date: 07/08/1994 18:04:58
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233071.D29
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 29 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration Volume Dilution Points Rate Start Stop Area Reject
External 1 1 3600 5Hz 0.00 6.07 1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.55	FLUORIDE	0.000	1663191	15368943	1	29.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	1663191	15368943		

File: 82233071.D29 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 0805

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:23:12

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP+2 R1 0805 Date: 07/08/1994 18:18:44
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233071.D30
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 30 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.03		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.55	FLUORIDE	0.000	1657362	15404003	1	29.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	1657362	15404003		

File: 82233071.D30 Sample: FGD INLET IMP+2 R1 0805

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:24:56

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2255 Date: 07/08/1994 18:32:30
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233081.D31
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 31 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.91		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233081.D31 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2255

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:25:48

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2255 Date: 07/08/1994 18:46:17
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233081.D32
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 32 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.91		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233081.D32 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 2255

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:27:30

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 1598 Date: 07/08/1994 19:00:05
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233091.D33
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 33 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.06		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233091.D33 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 1598

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 1598 Date: 07/08/1994 19:13:51
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233091.D34
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 34 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.15	1000	

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233091.D34 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R1 1598

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 1603 Date: 07/08/1994 19:27:37
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233101.D35
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 35 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration Volume Dilution Points Rate Start Stop Area Reject
External 1 1 3600 5Hz 0.00 6.21 1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233101.D35 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 1603

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:33:19

Sample Name: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 1603 Date: 07/08/1994 19:41:24
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233101.D36
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 36 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration Volume Dilution Points Rate Start Stop Area Reject
External 1 1 3600 5Hz 0.00 6.09 1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233101.D36 Sample: FGD INLET IMP-2 R2 1603

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:34:44

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1750 Date: 07/08/1994 19:55:10
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233111.D37
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 37 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.15		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233111.D37 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1750

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:35:31

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1750 Date: 07/08/1994 20:08:56
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233111.D38
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 38 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.18		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233111.D38 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1750

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:37:15

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1760 Date: 07/08/1994 20:22:41
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233121.D39
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 39 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.06		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233121.D39 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1760

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1760 Date: 07/08/1994 20:36:28
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233121.D40
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 40 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233121.D40 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1760

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:42:12

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 2078 Date: 07/08/1994 20:50:15
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233131.D41
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 41 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.30		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.50	FLUORIDE	0.000	253205	1130434	1	25.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	253205	1130434		

File: 82233131.D41 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 2078

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:45:14

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 2078 Date: 07/08/1994 21:04:01
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233131.D42
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 42 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.23		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.50	FLUORIDE	0.000	244305	1103274	1	25.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	244305	1103274		

File: 82233131.D42 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 2078

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:46:47

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 2082 Date: 07/08/1994 21:17:47
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233141.D43
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 43 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.15		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233141.D43 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 2082

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 2082 Date: 07/08/1994 21:31:33
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233141.D44
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 44 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.12		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233141.D44 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 2082

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1746 Date: 07/08/1994 21:45:19
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233151.D45
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 45 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.25		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.54	FLUORIDE	0.000	202404	946683	1	28.33
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	202404	946683		

File: 82233151.D45 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1746

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:53:27

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1746 Date: 07/08/1994 21:59:05
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233151.D46
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 46 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.29		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.54	FLUORIDE	0.000	202608	960259	1	28.33
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	202608	960259		

File: 82233151.D46 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R1 1746

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:54:44

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1757 Date: 07/08/1994 22:12:51
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233161.D47
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 47 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	5.94		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233161.D47 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1757

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 15:55:56

Sample Name: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1757 Date: 07/08/1994 22:26:36
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\82233161.D48
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 48 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	6.03		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: 82233161.D48 Sample: FGD OUTLET IMP-2 R2 1757

**TLI# 28342-FLUORIDE
CALIBRATION
8-9-JULY-94**

CONC (ppm)	RT	AREA	LOG CONC	LOG AREA	PREDICTED (ppm)	%DIFF	RESPONSE (CONC/AREA)
100	1.49	1154828129	2.000	9.063	93.64	-6.8	8.66E-08
30	1.45	372954096	1.477	8.572	31.42	4.5	8.04E-08
10	1.43	119534591	1.000	8.077	10.47	4.5	8.37E-08
3	1.43	33008450	0.477	7.519	3.02	0.6	9.09E-08
1	1.42	10218740	0.000	7.009	0.97	-2.8	9.79E-08
0.5	1.43	4995854	-0.301	6.699	0.49	-2.6	1.00E-07
100	1.48	1151611586	2.000	9.061	93.39	-7.1	8.68E-08
30	1.45	377319407	1.477	8.577	31.78	5.6	7.95E-08
10	1.44	118765256	1.000	8.075	10.40	3.9	8.42E-08
3	1.43	33338146	0.477	7.523	3.05	1.6	9.00E-08
1	1.43	10644579	0.000	7.027	1.01	1.2	9.39E-08
0.5	1.43	4945588	-0.301	6.694	0.48	-3.6	1.01E-07

Regression Output:

Constant	7.02186
Std Err of Y Est	0.02072
R Squared	0.99949
No. of Observations	12
Degrees of Freedom	10

X Coefficient(s)	1.03509
Std Err of Coef.	0.00743

EXTERNAL CALIBRATION CHECK

CONC (ppm)	RT	AREA	LOG AREA	PREDICTED (ppm)	%DIFF
10.0	1.43	119538857	8.078	10.47	4.5
10.0	1.43	119302067	8.077	10.45	4.3

BLANKS

TYPE	RT	AREA	AVERAGE AREA
H2O		ND	
H2O		ND	

Sample Name: STD 100.0 PPM Date: 07/08/1994 14:10:38
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD10001.D12
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 12 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.49	FLUORIDE	0.000	144847142	1154828129	1	24.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	144847142	1154828129		

File: STD10001.D12 Sample: STD 100.0 PPM

Sample Name: STD 30.0 PPM	Date: 07/08/1994 13:43:00
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD03001.D10	
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met	
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 10	Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst :	Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.45	FLUORIDE	0.000	65098684	372954096	1	20.83
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	65098684	372954096		

File: STD03001.D10 Sample: STD 30.0 PPM

Sample Name: STD 10.0 PPM Date: 07/08/1994 13:15:25
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD01001.D08
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 8 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	23581671	119534591	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	23581671	119534591		

File: STD01001.D08 Sample: STD 10.0 PPM

Sample Name: STD 3.0 PPM	Date: 07/08/1994 12:47:45
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD00301.D06	
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met	
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 6	Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst :	Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	6827308	33008450	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	6827308	33008450		

File: STD00301.D06 Sample: STD 3.0 PPM

Data Reprocess

Sample Name: STD 0.5 PPM	11:52:40
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2	
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met	
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 2	Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst :	Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00	1000	

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	1044774	4995854	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	1044774	4995854		

File: STD00501.D02 Sample: STD 0.5 PPM

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:18:44

Sample Name: STD 100.0 PPM Date: 07/09/1994 01:11:54
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD10001.D60
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 60 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.48	FLUORIDE	0.000	143058697	1151611586	1	23.33
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	143058697	1151611586		

File: STD10001.D60 Sample: STD 100.0 PPM

Sample Name: STD 30.0 PPM	Date: 07/09/1994 00:44:19
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD03001.D58	
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met	
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 58	Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst :	Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.45	FLUORIDE	0.000	63988600	377319407	1	20.83
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	63988600	377319407		

File: STD03001.D58 Sample: STD 30.0 PPM

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 14:02:37

Sample Name: STD 10.0 PPM Date: 07/09/1994 00:16:47
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD01001.D56
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 56 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.44	FLUORIDE	0.000	23929650	118765256	1	20.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	23929650	118765256		

File: STD01001.D56 Sample: STD 10.0 PPM

Sample Name: STD 3.0 PPM Date: 07/08/1994 23:49:15
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD00301.D54
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 54 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	6685235	33338146	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	6685235	33338146		

File: STD00301.D54 Sample: STD 3.0 PPM

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 13:49:05

Sample Name: STD 1.0 PPM Date: 07/08/1994 23:21:44
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD00101.D52
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 52 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	2102751	10644579	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	2102751	10644579		

File: STD00101.D52 Sample: STD 1.0 PPM

Sample Name: STD 0.5 PPM Date: 07/08/1994 22:54:10
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\STD00501.D50
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 50 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	997398	4945588	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	997398	4945588		

File: STD00501.D50 Sample: STD 0.5 PPM

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 13:50:14

Sample Name: QC 20 PPM	Date: 07/08/1994 14:52:09
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\QC20PPM1.D15	
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met	
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 15	Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst :	Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	22547449	119538857	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	22547449	119538857		

File: QC20PPM1.D15 Sample: QC 20 PPM

Data Reprocessed On 07/11/1994 13:51:43

Sample Name: QC 20 PPM	Date: 07/08/1994 15:05:55
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\QC20PPM1.D16	
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met	
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 16	Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst :	Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
1	1.43	FLUORIDE	0.000	22988831	119302067	1	19.17
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	22988831	119302067		

File: QC20PPM1.D16 Sample: QC 20 PPM

Sample Name: H2O BLANK Date: 07/08/1994 14:24:25
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\H2OBLK11.D13
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 13 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: H2OBLK11.D13 Sample: H2O BLANK

Sample Name: H2O BLANK Date: 07/08/1994 14:38:18
Data File : C:\DX\DATA\28342R2\H2OBLK11.D14
Method : C:\DX\METHOD\2ANION.met
ACI Address: 1 System: 1 Inject#: 14 Detector: PED-Cond.
Analyst : Column:

Calibration	Volume	Dilution	Points	Rate	Start	Stop	Area	Reject
External	1	1	3600	5Hz	0.00	12.00		1000

***** Component Report: All Components *****

Pk. Num	Ret Time	Component Name	Concentration	Height	Area	Bl. Code	%Delta
0	0.00	FLUORIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
0	0.00	BROMIDE	0.000	0	0	0	0.00
Totals			0.000	0	0		

File: H2OBLK11.D14 Sample: H2O BLANK

Triangle Laboratories of RTP Project 28342***Almega Corporation***

Addendum Date: July 12, 1994

Sixteen liquid samples were received by Triangle Laboratories of RTP, Inc. on June 20, 1994 at ambient temperature and in good condition. The samples were stored at ambient temperature until the time of analysis. These samples were analyzed for HCl content by ion chromatography using Method 26 with suppressed conductivity detection. Results reported relate only to the items tested.

Date received: June 20, 1994

Date analyzed: June 21/22, 1994

Instrument Conditions:

Dionex DX300 Ion Chromatograph with a PED-II Conductivity Detector

Eluent flow: 1.5 mL/min. (1.8 mM sodium carbonate/1.7mM sodium bicarbonate)

Suppressor: Anion self-regenerating suppressor-1

Sample Loop: 50 uL

Detection: Conductivity - suppressed ion

Data Recording: Dionex AI 450 software

Triangle Laboratories of RTP, Inc.**COMMENTS:**

A six point chloride calibration curve ranging from 0.5 ppm to 100 ppm was performed both before and after sample analysis.

Reported concentrations are mean values determined from duplicate analyses.

No chloride was found in either of the method blanks. Chloride was detected in some of the outlet samples at levels below the calibration range. Amounts for samples with a run concentration below 0.5 ppm should be considered estimated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

This report should not be reproduced except in full. Any reproduction requires the permission of Triangle Laboratories of RTP, Inc. If you have technical questions concerning the contents of this package or the procedures and protocols employed to generate the analytical data, please contact Barry Rayfield at (919) 544-8357.

Addendum:

The samples were inadvertently not analyzed for fluoride. Enclosed please find calibrations and results for the fluoride analyses performed on July 8, 1994. As with the chloride analysis, run concentrations at a level below 0.5 ppm are below the linear calibration and the amounts reported should be considered estimated.

Report Preparation

Eileen Winchester
Report Preparation Chemist

Quality Control

Barry Rayfield
Project Manager

The total number of pages in the data package is _____

TLI# 28342

TL# 28342-FLUORIDE
CLIENT SAMPLES
ALMEGA CORPORATION
08-JULY-94

TL# ID	CLIENT ID	RT	AREA	LOG AREA	RUN CONC (PPM)	DILUTION FACTOR	SAMPLE CONC (PPM)	AVG CONC (PPM)	ND	TOTAL mg	TOTAL mg F	TOTAL mg HF	TOTAL mg F2	PM
81-233-1	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 0802	1.54	90562925	7.96	8.01	1	8.01	8.01	0.2	355	2.85	3.00	--	2
		1.53	90774309	7.96	8.02	1	8.02							
81-233-2	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 0803	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	245	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-3	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 2252	1.50	9758330	6.98	0.93	1	0.93	0.92	1.6	245	0.23	0.24	--	2
		1.50	9597550	6.98	0.92	1	0.92							
81-233-4	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 0804	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	245	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-5	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 2253	1.49	4830349	6.68	0.47	1	0.47	0.50	9.9	230	0.11	0.12	--	2
		1.49	5351000	6.73	0.52	1	0.52							
81-233-6	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 2254	1.50	3027211	6.48	0.30	1	0.30	0.30	0.0	235	0.07	0.07	--	2
		1.50	3028586	6.48	0.30	1	0.30							
81-233-7	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R1 0805	1.55	15368943	7.19	1.44	1	1.44	1.44	0.2	335	0.48	0.51	--	2
		1.55	16404093	7.19	1.45	1	1.45							
81-233-8	FGD INLET IMP 1-2 R2 2255	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	330	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-9	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1598	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	440	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-10	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1603	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	420	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-11	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1750	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	445	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-12	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1750	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	430	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-13	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 2078	1.50	1130434	6.05	0.12	1	0.12	0.11	2.3	330	0.04	0.04	--	2
		1.50	1103274	6.04	0.11	1	0.11							
81-233-14	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 2082	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	465	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							
81-233-15	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R1 1746	1.54	948683	5.98	0.10	1	0.10	0.10	1.4	615	0.06	0.06	--	2
		1.54	990259	5.98	0.10	1	0.10							
81-233-16	FGD OUTLET IMP 1-2 R2 1757	ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND	ND	ND	310	ND	ND	--	2
		ND	ND	ND	ND	1	ND							

*TOTAL mg HF = (I.w. HF / m.w. F) x [F]
ND = NONE DETECTED

July 27, 1994
ENSECO CAL LAB PROJECT NUMBER: 076504
PO/CONTRACT: 2010-7316

Larry Fisher
ENSA
601 A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, IL 60106

Dear Mr. Fisher:

This report contains the analytical results for the twelve Impinger samples which were received under chain of custody by Enseco Cal Lab on 8 July 1994. These samples are associated with your Project 7316.

The case narrative is an integral part of this report.

Results were sent to you via facsimile on 26 July 1994.

If you have any questions, please call me at (916) 374-4300.

Sincerely,

Robert Weidenfeld
Project Manager

dju

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENSECO CAL LAB PROJECT NUMBER 076504

Case Narrative

Enseco Cal Lab's Quality Assurance Program

Sample Description Information

Chain of Custody Documentation

Volume, Chloride, Fluoride - Method EPA-26

Includes Samples: 1 through 12

Sample Data Sheets

Method Blank Report

Laboratory Control Sample Report (DCS)

CASE NARRATIVE

ENSECO CAL LAB PROJECT NUMBER 076504

There were no anomalies associated with this report.

ENSECO CAL LAB'S QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

Enseco Cal Lab has implemented an extensive Quality Assurance (QA) program to ensure the production of scientifically sound, legally defensible data of known documental quality. A key element of this program is Enseco's Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) system. Controlling lab operations with LCS (as opposed to matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate samples), allows the lab to differentiate between bias as a result of procedural errors versus bias due to matrix effects. The analyst can then identify and implement the appropriate corrective actions at the bench level, without waiting for extensive senior level review or costly and time-consuming sample re-analyses. The LCS program also provides our client with information to assess batch, and overall laboratory performance.

Laboratory Control Samples - (LCS)

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS) are well-characterized, laboratory generated samples used to monitor the laboratory's day-to-day performance of routine analytical methods. The results of the LCS are compared to well-defined laboratory acceptance criteria to determine whether the laboratory system is "in control". Three types of LCS are routinely analyzed: Duplicate Control Samples (DCS), Single Control Samples (SCS), and method blanks. Each of these LCS are described below.

Duplicate Control Samples. A DCS is a well-characterized matrix (blank water, sand, sodium sulfate or celite) which is spiked with certain target parameters and analyzed at approximately 10% of the sample load in order to establish method-specific control limits.

Single Control Samples. An SCS consists of a control matrix that is spiked with surrogate compounds appropriate to the method being used. In cases where no surrogate is available, (e.g. metals or conventional analyses) a single control sample identical to the DCS serves as the control sample. An SCS is prepared for each sample lot. Accuracy is calculated identically to the DCS.

Method Blank Results. A method blank is a laboratory-generated sample which assesses the degree to which laboratory operations and procedures cause false-positive analytical results for your samples.

Volume, Chloride and Fluoride - Method EPA-26

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 7-1-94 Page 1 of 2

Project Number 1516

**601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103**

[illegible]

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 7-1-94 Page 2 of 2
Project Number 7316

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR, FGD INLET</u>				PROJECT MANAGER <u>Bill Byczinski</u>				ANALYSES											
TEST DATE <u>27-29 JUNE 94</u>				PHONE NUMBER <u>(708) 595-0175</u>															
Method of Shipment																			

Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers											Sample Condition/REMARKS
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID												
Imp 1+2, R1	6/27		2530	✓			1	✓										Rec'd Intact
Imp 1+2, R2	6/27		2531	✓			1	✓										
Imp 1+2, R1	6/28		2532	✓			1	✓										
Imp 1+2, R2	6/28		2449	✓			1	✓										
Imp 1+2, R1	6/29		2533	✓			1	✓										
Imp 1+2, R2	6/29		2534	✓			1	✓										

SAMPLERS (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u>		Received by: (Signature)		Date Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION 1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes _____ No _____ Other _____ 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days			
Relinquished by: (Signature) <u>[Signature]</u>		Received by: (Signature)		Date Time					
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Date Time		Received for Laboratory by: <u>[Signature]</u>		Date RECEIVED Time		Date ACCEPTED Time	
						<u>7/8/94</u> <u>0925</u>			
Special Instructions <u>[Redacted]</u>									

PURCHASE ORDER

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION
601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106

Phone (708) 595-0175
FAX (708) 595-2103

P.O. NO.:

2010-7316-

NUMBER - PROJECT CODE - OFFICE CODE

Supplier Code:

TO:

QUANTERRA LABS
2544 INDUSTRIAL BLVD.
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA
95691

SHIP TO:

Ship to Attention of:

F.O.B.:

Terms:

QUANTITY	PART #	ANALYSIS CODE	DESCRIPTION	PRICE	PER	AMOUNT
12 SAMPLES			Rm 26A HCL & HF ANALYSIS			

Ordered by:

Gina Blaine

Signature:

Gina M. Blaine

Authorization:

Date:

7-6-94

TOTAL:

PURCHASE ORDER

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION
601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106

Phone (708) 595-0175
FAX (708) 595-2103

P.O. NO.:

2010-7316-

NUMBER - PROJECT CODE - OFFICE CODE

Supplier Code:

TO:

QUANTERRA LABS
2544 INDUSTRIAL BLVD.
WEST SACRAMENTO, CA
95691

SHIP TO:

Ship to Attention of:

F.O.B.:

Terms:

QUANTITY	PART #	ANALYSIS CODE	DESCRIPTION	PRICE	PER	AMOUNT
12 SAMPLES			Rm 26A HCL & HF ANALYSIS			

TOTAL:

Ordered by:

Gina Blaine

Signature:

Gina M. Blaine

Date:

7-6-94

Authorization:

Date:

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R1 2549
Lab ID: 076504-0001-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 27 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	572	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	ND	ug/samp1	5880	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp1	3010	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 26

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and matrix interference.

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS**(Water)**

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R2 2218
Lab ID: 076504-0002-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 27 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	519	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	5750	ug/samp1	5340	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp1	2730	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 26

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and matrix interference

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R1 2239
Lab ID: 076504-0003-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 28 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	565	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	ND	ug/samp1	5810	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp1	2980	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 26

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and matrix interference.

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.

Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS**(Water)**

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R2 2213
Lab ID: 076504-0004-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 28 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	517	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	5760	ug/samp	5320	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp	2720	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 26

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and matrix interference.

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R1 2235
Lab ID: 076504-0005-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 29 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	540	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	ND	ug/samp	55600	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp	2840	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and matrix interference.

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R2 2537
Lab ID: 076504-0006-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 29 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	552	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	ND	ug/samp1	5680	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp1	2910	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 26

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and matrix interference.

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS**(Water)**

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R1 2550
Lab ID: 076504-0007-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 27 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	331	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	15700	ug/samp1	3400	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp1	1740	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Reporting limit raised due to blank contamination and due to matrix inference.

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R2 2551
Lab ID: 076504-0008-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 27 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	233	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	27200	ug/samp	2400	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1R
Fluoride	2440	ug/samp	1230	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Note R : Raised reporting limit(s) due to high analyte level(s).

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected

NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.

Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R1 2552
Lab ID: 076504-0009-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 28 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	386	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	26100	ug/sampl	3970	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1R
Fluoride	2450	ug/sampl	2030	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Note R : Raised reporting limit(s) due to high analyte level(s).

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected

NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.

Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R2 2449
Lab ID: 076504-0010-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 28 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	242	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	22900	ug/samp1	2490	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1R
Fluoride	ND	ug/samp1	1280	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Note R : Raised reporting limit(s) due to high analyte level(s).

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.

Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS

(Water)

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R1 2553
Lab ID: 076504-0011-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 29 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	248	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	24700	ug/samp	2550	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1R
Fluoride	1790	ug/samp	1300	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Note R : Raised reporting limit(s) due to high analyte level(s).

Note 2 : Result reported as flouride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

GENERAL INORGANICS**(Water)**

Client Name: ENSA
Client ID: Imp 1+2, R2 2554
Lab ID: 076504-0012-SA
Matrix: LIQUID
Authorized: 06 JUL 94

Sampled: 29 JUN 94
Prepared: See Below

Received: 08 JUL 94
Analyzed: See Below

Parameter	Result	Units	Reporting Limit	Analytical Method	Prepared Date	Analyzed Date
Volume	241	ml	NA		NA	20 JUL 94
Chloride	36400	ug/samp1	2480	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 1R
Fluoride	4350	ug/samp1	1270	EPA-26	NA	20 JUL 94 2G

Note 1 : Result reported as chloride as HCL.

Note R : Raised reporting limit(s) due to high analyte level(s).

Note 2 : Result reported as fluoride as HF.

Note G : Reporting Limit raised due to matrix interference.

ND = Not detected
NA = Not applicable

Reported By: Lisa Upton

Approved By: Darlene Flores

The cover letter is an integral part of this report.
Rev 230787

QC LOT ASSIGNMENT REPORT
Wet Chemistry Analysis and Preparation

Laboratory Sample Number	QC Matrix	QC Category	QC Lot Number (DCS)	QC Run Number (SCS/BLANK)
076504-0001-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0002-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0003-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0004-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0005-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0006-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0007-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0008-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0009-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0010-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0011-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X
076504-0012-SA	AQUEOUS	IC-A	20 JUL 94-X	20 JUL 94-X

METHOD BLANK REPORT
Wet Chemistry Analysis and Preparation

Analyte	Result	Units	Reporting Limit
Test: EPA26-CL-IC-A			
Matrix: AQUEOUS			
QC Lot: 20 JUL 94-X QC Run: 20 JUL 94-X			
Chloride	ND	mg/L	1.0
Fluoride	ND	mg/L	0.50

DUPLICATE CONTROL SAMPLE REPORT Wet Chemistry Analysis and Preparation

Analyte	Spiked	Concentration		Measured DCS2	AVG	Accuracy Average(%)		Precision (RPD)	
		DCS1				DCS	Limits	DCS	Limit
Category: IC-A									
Matrix: AQUEOUS									
QC Lot: 20 JUL 94-X									
Concentration Units: mg/L									
Fluoride	10.0	10.6		10.4	10.5	105	90-110	1.2	10.0
Chloride	10	10.4		10.4	10.4	104	90-110	0.1	10.0
Nitrite (as N)	3.0	NA		NA	NC	NC	90-110	NC	10.0
Bromide	5.0	NA		NA	NC	NC	90-110	NC	10.0
Nitrate (as N)	3.0	NA		NA	NC	NC	90-110	NC	10.0
Orthophosphate (as P)	10	NA		NA	NC	NC	90-110	NC	10.0
Sulfate	15	NA		NA	NC	NC	90-110	NC	10.0

ND = Not detected.

NC = Not calculated, calculation not applicable.

NA = Not applicable.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date _____ Page _____ of _____

Project Number _____

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR</u>				PROJECT MANAGER <u>RSB</u>				ANALYSES											
TEST DATE <u>7 JUNE 94</u>				PHONE NUMBER				<div style="font-size: 2em; transform: rotate(-45deg); display: inline-block;">PMS</div>											
Method of Shipment																			

Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers									Sample Condition/REMARKS
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID										
Imp 1 FGD IN R1	6/7		300	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD IN R1	6/7		301	✓			1	✓								
Imp 1 FGD IN R2	6/7		302	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD IN R2	6/7		303	✓			1	✓								
Imp 1 FGD OUT R1	6/7		677	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD OUT R1	6/7		304	✓			1	✓								
Imp 1 FGD OUT R2	6/7		2176	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD OUT R2	6/7		305	✓			1	✓								

SAMPLERS: (Signature)			Received by: (Signature)			Date		Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION 1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes _____ No _____ Other _____ 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days										
Relinquished by: (Signature)			Received by: (Signature)			Date		Time												
Relinquished by: (Signature)			Date		Time		Received for Laboratory by:		Date		RECEIVED		Time		Date		ACCEPTED		Time	
Special Instructions																				

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: Pure Air STACK NUMBER: Inlet
LOCATION: FGD Inlet RUN NUMBER: M5-T1
DATE: 6-7-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. 39.419.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 39398.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 21.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.0 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 26.5 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Inlet RUN NUMBER: MS-TEST 2
DATE: 6-7-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 30 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. 112747.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 112742.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.2 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. 45187.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 45166.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 20.8 ~~20.8~~ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.2 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 26.0 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD ~~Stack~~ Inlet RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 3
DATE: 6-7-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 34 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. 101180.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 101177.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. 42810.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 42779.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 31.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 33.3 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: STACK
LOCATION: FGD ~~INLET~~ Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB - TEST 1
DATE: 6-7-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 172 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. 99.8816 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

* AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

*TARE WT. 99.8764 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.2 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ *GROSS FILTER WT. 604.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

*FILTER TARE WT. 595.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 9.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.2 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 14.2 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: Pure Air STACK NUMBER: STACK
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 2
DATE: 6-7-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 84 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. 98304.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 98302.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.94 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. 603.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 595.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 7.9 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.4 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 9.3 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: STACK
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 3
DATE: 6-7-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 106 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. 97059.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 97050.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 8.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. 601.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 591.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 10.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 8.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 18.3 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

Almega/Pure Air
 Project # 7316
 EPA Method 8 Analysis for H2SO4, SO2, and SO3

Date: 6-7-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160607.WQ1

Standard	aliquot mls used	mls BaCl2	avg mls BaCl2
0.0102 N H2SO4	25	26.14	
0.0102 N H2SO4	25	25.98	26.06

Normality of BaCl2 titrant (N) = 0.0097

Sample	total sam vol mls (Vsoln)	aliquot mls used (Va)	mls BaCl2	avg mls BaCl2 (Vt)
IPA Blank		100	0.12	
IPA Blank		100	0.09	0.11
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	300	10	5.28	
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	300	10	5.22	5.25
FGD Inlet, R1 H2O2	1000	1	26.30	
FGD Inlet, R1 H2O2	1000	1	26.39	26.35
Stack, R1 IPA	250	10	10.94	
Stack, R1 IPA	250	10	10.78	10.86
Stack, R1 H2O2	1000	10	25.94	
Stack, R1 H2O2	1000	10	25.78	25.86
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	336	10	4.50	
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	336	10	4.60	4.55
FGD Inlet, R2 H2O2	1000	1	22.04	
FGD Inlet, R2 H2O2	1000	1	21.94	21.99
Stack, R2 IPA	266	20	3.00	
Stack, R2 IPA	266	20	2.92	2.96
Stack, R2 H2O2	1000	10	27.12	
Stack, R2 H2O2	1000	10	26.94	27.03

Almega/Pure Air
 Project # 7316
 EPA Method 8 Analysis for H₂SO₄, SO₂, and SO₃

Date: 6-7-94 Disk: JS-13 File # 73160607.WQ1

Standard	aliquot mls used	mls BaCl ₂	avg
			mls BaCl ₂
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	26.14	
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	25.90	26.06

Normality of BaCl₂ titrant (N) = 0.0097

Sample	total sam vol mls (V _{soln})	aliquot mls used (V _a)	mls BaCl ₂	avg
				mls BaCl ₂ (V _t)
IPA Blank		100	0.12	
IPA Blank		100	0.09	0.11
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	300	10	5.26	
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	300	10	5.22	5.23
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	26.30	
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	26.39	26.35
Stack, R1 IPA	250	10	10.64	
Stack, R1 IPA	250	10	10.78	10.66
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	25.94	
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	25.73	25.86
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	336	10	4.60	
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	336	10	4.60	4.55
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	22.04	
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	21.94	21.99
Stack, R2 IPA	266	20	3.00	
Stack, R2 IPA	266	20	2.92	2.96
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	27.12	
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	26.94	27.03

=====

Silica gel weights

Sample	initial weight	final weight	gained
FGD Inlet, R1	266.0	294.1	28.1
Stack, R1	200.0	235.8	35.8
FGD Inlet, R2	245.6	263.6	18.0
Stack, R2	200.0	232.6	32.6

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD INLET RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 1
DATE: 6-8-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 74 ~~80~~ ~~74~~ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-10-94 GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____ 102663.1 ~~105401.7~~
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. ~~102663.1~~ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 102663.1 mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 102662.9 mg
102661.0 ~~105400.0~~ TARE WT. ~~102661.0~~ mg
WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.9 ~~2.1~~ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 61230.9 mg
FILTER TARE WT. 61208.0 mg
WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 22.9 mg
WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE ~~2.1~~ 1.9 mg
TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 24.8 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE Air STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD INLET RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 2
DATE: 6-8-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 36 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 102530.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 102530.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 102530.7 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 102530.6 mg

TARE WT. 102527.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 3.6 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 46361.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 46.339.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 21.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 3.6 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 25.3 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Inlet RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 3
DATE: 6-8-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m.
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/ε
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 16 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 105981.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 105986.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 105981.4 mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 105981.4 mg
TARE WT. 105980.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 0.8 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 51004.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

FILTER TARE WT. 51001.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 2.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 0.8 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 3.6 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: Pure Air STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 1
DATE: 6-8-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 80 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-10-94 GROSS WT. 105401.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 105401.7 mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 105401.5 mg
TARE WT. 105400.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.5 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 608.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 608.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 608.7 mg
FILTER TARE WT. 595.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 13.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.5 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 14.7 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 2
DATE: 6-8-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 106 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 113290.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 113290.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 113290.6 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 113290.3 mg

TARE WT. 113284.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 6.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 610.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 610.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 610.6 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 597.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 13.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 6.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 19.7 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 3
DATE: 6-8-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 160 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 104253.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 104253.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 104253.7 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 104253.2 mg

TARE WT. 104250.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.6 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 607.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 607.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 607.4 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 595.1 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 12.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.6 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 14.9 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

Almega/Pure Air
 Project # 7316
 EPA Method 8 Analysis for H₂SO₄, SO₂, and SO₃

Date: 6-8-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160608.WQ1

Standard	aliquot mls used	mls BaCl ₂	avg mls BaCl ₂
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	25.94	
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	26.00	25.97

Normality of BaCl₂ titrant (N) = 0.0098

Sample	total sam vol mls (Vsoln)	aliquot mls used (Va)	mls BaCl ₂	avg mls BaCl ₂ (Vt)
IPA Blank		100	0.08	
IPA Blank		100	0.08	0.08
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	250	10	8.35	
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	250	10	8.27	8.31
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.30	
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.18	28.24
Stack, R1 IPA	266	10	3.95	
Stack, R1 IPA	266	10	3.91	3.93
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.40	
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.48	23.44
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	295	5	6.33	
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	295	5	6.17	6.25
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.50	
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.68	28.59
Stack, R2 IPA	250	10	5.38	
Stack, R2 IPA	250	10	5.35	5.37
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.51	
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.58	23.55

Almega/Pure Air

Project 7316

EPA Method 5b for Nonsulfuric Acid Particulates

Date: 6-8-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160608.WQ1

Probe Washes

beaker #	Sample	sam vol	tare wt	final wt	final wt	final wt	avg weight mgs	Part.
1	Stack R1	80	105.4000	105.4017		105.4017	1.3	
7	Inlet R1	74	102.6610	102.6631	102.6601	102.6631	1.9	
8	Inlet R2	36	102.5270	102.5309	102.5306	102.5308	3.7	
9	Stack R2	106	113.2340	113.2904	113.2908	113.2906	6.3	
11	Inlet R3	16	105.9306	105.9814	105.9815	105.9815	0.9	
10	Stack R3	160	104.2506	104.2538	104.2537	104.2538	2.8	
12	Acc Bk	100	102.9361	102.9361	102.9364	102.9364		

Filters & Thimbles

filter #	Sample	tare wt	final wt	final wt	avg weight mgs	Part.
	Inlet R1	61208.0	61230.9		22.9	
816	Stack R1	608.5	608.5	608.9	13.3	
	Inlet R2	46339.4	46361.1		21.7	
676	Stack R2	607.2	610.4	610.9	13.4	
	Inlet R3	51001.6	51004.4		2.8	
748	Stack R3	607.1	607.2	607.6	12.3	

Silica gel weights

Sample	initial weight	final weight	gained
FGD Inlet, R1	244.6	256.6	12.0
Stack, R1	200.0	214.0	14.0
FGD Inlet, R2	221.5	236.6	15.1
Stack, R2	282.0	293.9	11.9
FGD Inlet, R3	200.0	205.5	5.5
Stack, R3	200.0	213.9	13.9

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Inlet RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 1
DATE: 6-9-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 14 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 98969.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 98970.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 98970.1 mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 98970.0 mg
TARE WT. 98968.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.0 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 43192.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 43192.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 43192.4 mg
FILTER TARE WT. 43184.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 8.1 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.0 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 10.1 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Inlet RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 2
DATE: 6-9-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 1.2 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 99009.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 99009.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 99009.6 mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 99009.6 mg
TARE WT. 99007.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.8 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 49062.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 49063.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 49062.9 mg
FILTER TARE WT. 49045.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 17.9 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 1.8 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 19.7 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD INLET RUN NUMBER: M5-TEST 3
DATE: 6-9-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/m
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 20 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 109109.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 109110.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 109110.1 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 109110.0 mg

TARE WT. 109104.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-13-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 46756.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-13-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 46756.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 46756.2 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 46744.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 11.9 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 17.2 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: M5B-TEST 1
DATE: 6-9-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 110 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 101420.3 mg
~~11652.1~~
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
101420.6
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 11652.5 mg
~~11652.5~~
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 101420.4 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 101420.1 mg

101417.1 TARE WT. 11646.4 mg
~~11646.4~~

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 3.0 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 607.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 607.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 607.8 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 596.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 11.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 3.0 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 14.5 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: Pure Air STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 2
DATE: 6-9-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/g
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 90 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 110360.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 110360.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 110360.4 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 110360.1 mg

TARE WT. 110357.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.4 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 607.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 607.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 607.4 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 592.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 15.1 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.4 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 17.5 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: _____
LOCATION: FGD Outlet RUN NUMBER: MSB-TEST 3
DATE: 6-9-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/l
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) 110 ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS WT. 111652.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS WT. 111652.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 111652.3 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) 111652.0 mg

TARE WT. 111646.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.6 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-11-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 610.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-12-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 610.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 610.2 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 600.1 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 10.1 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.6 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 15.7 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

Almega/Pure Air

Project # 7316

EPA Method 8 Analysis for H₂SO₄, SO₂, and SO₃

Date: 6-8-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160608.WQ1

Standard	aliquot mls used	mls BaCl ₂	avg mls BaCl ₂
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	25.94	
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	26.00	25.97

Normality of BaCl₂ titrant (N) = 0.0098

Sample	total sam vol mls (Vsoln)	aliquot mls used (Va)	mls BaCl ₂	avg mls BaCl ₂ (Vt)
IPA Blank		100	0.08	
IPA Blank		100	0.08	0.08
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	250	10	8.35	
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	250	10	8.27	8.31
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.30	
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.18	28.24
Stack, R1 IPA	266	10	3.95	
Stack, R1 IPA	266	10	3.91	3.93
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.40	
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.48	23.44
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	295	5	6.33	
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	295	5	6.17	6.25
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.50	
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	28.68	28.59
Stack, R2 IPA	250	10	5.38	
Stack, R2 IPA	250	10	5.35	5.37
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.51	
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	23.58	23.55

Almega/Pure Air
 Project # 7316
 EPA Method 8 Analysis for H₂SO₄, SO₂, and SO₃

Date: 6-9-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160609.WQ1

Standard	aliquot mls used	mls BaCl ₂	avg mls BaCl ₂
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	25.83	
0.0102 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	25.88	25.86

Normality of BaCl₂ titrant (N) = 0.0098

Sample	total sam vol mls (Vsoln)	aliquot mls used (Va)	mls BaCl ₂	avg mls BaCl ₂ (Vt)
IPA Blank		100	0.09	
IPA Blank		100	0.10	0.10
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	250	10	10.96	
FGD Inlet, R1 IPA	250	10	11.12	11.04
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	24.84	
FGD Inlet, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	25.01	24.93
Stack, R1 IPA	280	10	4.10	
Stack, R1 IPA	280	10	3.93	4.02
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	22.15	
Stack, R1 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	22.20	22.18
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	250	10	9.82	
FGD Inlet, R2 IPA	250	10	9.74	9.78
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	25.22	
FGD Inlet, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	1	25.04	25.13
Stack, R2 IPA	300	10	6.83	
Stack, R2 IPA	300	10	6.69	6.76
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	36.77	
Stack, R2 H ₂ O ₂	1000	10	36.63	36.70

mega/Pure Air

ect 7316

A Method 5b for Nonsulfuric Acid Particulates

te: 6-9-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160609.WQ1

Tube Washes

		gms				Part.	
						weight	
aker #	Sample	sam vol	tare wt	tare wt	final wt	final wt	mgs
15	Inlet R1	14	98.9680		98.9699	98.9703	2.1
14	Stack R1	110	101.4171		101.4203	101.4206	3.1
23	Inlet R2	12	99.0078		99.0097	99.0094	1.7
24	Stack R2	90	110.3577		110.3604	110.3604	2.5
CUR	Inlet R3	20	109.1047		109.1099	109.1103	5.4
C	Stack R3	110	111.6464		111.6521	111.6525	5.6
12	Ace Blk	100	102.8361		102.8363	102.8364	

ers & Thimbles

		mgs				Part.
						weight
						avg
lter #	Sample	tare wt	tare wt	final wt	final wt	mgs
	Inlet R1	43184.3		43192.2	43192.7	8.1
675	Stack R1	596.3		607.7	607.9	11.6
	Inlet R2	49045.0		49062.7	49063.1	17.9
750	Stack R2	592.3		607.3	607.5	15.1
	Inlet R3	46744.3		46756.1	46756.3	11.9
709	Stack R3	600.1		610.0	610.4	10.1

lica gel weights

		initial	final	
		weight	weight	gained
		~~~~~	~~~~~	~~~~~
D Inlet, R1		200.0	204.6	4.6
ack, R1		200.0	242.3	42.3
D Inlet, R2		296.8	312.9	16.1
ack, R2		200.0	215.1	15.1
D Inlet, R3		265.0	276.1	11.1
ack, R3				0.0

Almega/Pure Air

Project 7316

EPA Method 5b for Nonsulfuric Acid Particulates

Date: 6-13-94

Disk: JS-13

File # 73160613.WQ1

Probe Washes

beaker #	Sample	sam vol	tare wt	tare wt	final wt	final wt	Part. weight mgs
21	Inlet R1	40	101.8957	101.8958	101.9108	101.9111	15.1
22	Stack R1	186	110.4846	110.4844	110.4863	110.4859	1.1
19	Inlet R2	14	98.7480	98.7477	98.7497	98.7497	1.8
13	Stack R2	92	99.9922	99.9920	99.9930	99.9926	0.5
12	Ace Blk	100	102.8361		102.8363	102.8364	

Filters & Thimbles

filter #	Sample	tare wt	final wt	final wt	Part. weight mgs
	Inlet R1		41045.8	41046.2	
893	Stack R1	597.2	616.4	616.0	19.0
	Inlet R2	61987.7	62335.3	62335.7	347.8
896	Stack R2	593.5	600.3	600.4	6.8

Silica gel weights

Sample	initial weight	final weight	gained
FGD Inlet, R1	200.0	204.6	4.6
Stack, R1	200.0	242.3	42.3
FGD Inlet, R2	296.8	312.9	16.1
Stack, R2	200.0	215.1	15.1

Almeida/Pure Air

Project # 7316

EPA Method 8 Analytes for H2SO4, SO2, and SO3

Date: 6-13-94

Disk: 05-13

File # 73160613.W01

avg

mls

Bacl2

25.94

25.95

allquot

mls used

25

25

0.0102 N H2SO4

0.0102 N H2SO4

Normality of Bacl2 titrant (N) =

0.0098

avg

mls

Bacl2

0.10

0.12

allquot

mls used

100

100

IPA Blank

IPA Blank

EGD Inlet, RI IPA

330

10

7.20

7.22

EGD Inlet, RI IPA

330

10

7.24

22.21

EGD Inlet, RI H2O2

1000

1

22.18

22.23

Stack, RI IPA

400

10

3.15

3.14

Stack, RI H2O2

1000

10

14.51

14.53

Stack, RI H2O2

1000

10

4.64

4.56

EGD Inlet, R2 IPA

250

10

4.48

22.26

EGD Inlet, R2 H2O2

1000

1

22.20

3.26

Stack, R2 IPA

250

10

3.08

3.17

Stack, R2 H2O2

1000

10

15.43

15.37

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: DYKE A.C. STACK NUMBER: F67, INLET
LOCATION: V.R. 111 RUN NUMBER: 81
DATE: 6-27-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/cc
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg,
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg
LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg
TARE WT. _____ mg
WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 46 168.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 46 168.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
AVERAGE GROSS WT. 46 168.4 mg
FILTER TARE WT. 46 133.1 mg
WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 35.3 mg
WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg
TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 35.3 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SV

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: R1
DATE: 6-27-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 101 120.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 101 120.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 101 120.1 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 101 106.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 13.7 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 600.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 600.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 600.9 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 588.9 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 12.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 13.7 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 25.7 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST TS

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FSD INLET
LOCATION: POT-EP 111 RUN NUMBER: R2
DATE: 6-25-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg,
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE
WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 40 022.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 40 022.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 40 022.1 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 399 89.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 32.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 32.4 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST WJ

The ALMEGA Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: F6D OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: R2
DATE: 6-27-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 112.845.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 102.846.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 102.845.9 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 102.835.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 10.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 605.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 605.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 605.7 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 592.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 12.9 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 10.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 23.2 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SK

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FB 1115
LOCATION: WATER 11 RUN NUMBER: R2
DATE: 6-28-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 51 051.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 51 051.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 51 051.4 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 50 995.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 56.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 56.4 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST CS

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: F6D OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: R3
DATE: 6-27-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 97149.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 97149.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 97149.6 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 97139.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 9.8 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 605.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 604.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 604.9 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 590.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 14.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 9.8 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 24.5 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST [Signature]

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD INLET
LOCATION: PORTER IN RUN NUMBER: R1
DATE: 6-28-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 44 906.8 mg

TIME OF WEIGHING _____

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 44 906.7 mg

TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 44 906.8 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 44 882.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 24.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 24.5 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SV

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: F6D INLET
LOCATION: PORTER N RUN NUMBER: P2
DATE: 6-26-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 48 192.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 48 190.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 48 190.6 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 48 150.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 40.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 40.2 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD INLET
LOCATION: PORTER IN RUN NUMBER: 23
DATE: 6-22-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 48 573.3 mg

TIME OF WEIGHING _____

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 48 573.4 mg

TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 48 573.4 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 48 524.3 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 49.1 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 49.1 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST CP

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: R1
DATE: 6-28-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS WT. 110 501.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS WT. 110 502.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 110 501.9 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 110 493.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 8.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-28-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 602.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 602.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 602.4 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 590.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 12.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 8.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 20.7 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST [Signature]

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: F55 OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: R2
DATE: 6-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/cc

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS WT. 102 734.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS WT. 102 734.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 102 734.2 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 102 728.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.6 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 609.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 609.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 609.7 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 597.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 12.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.6 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 17.6 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FLD OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER IN RUN NUMBER: R3
DATE: 6-27-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS WT. 101 950.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS WT. 101 949.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 101 950.0 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 101 947.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.4 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 608.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-29-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 608.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 608.7 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 592.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 16.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.4 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 18.9 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SP

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD INLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: R1
DATE: 6-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 39 617.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 39 617.5 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 39 617.5 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 39 571.7 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 45.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 45.8 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SVB

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD INLET
LOCATION: PORTER IN RUN NUMBER: R2
DATE: 10-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 52 238.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 52 238.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 52 238.2 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 52 182.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 55.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE - mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 55.8 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SK

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: F6D INLET
LOCATION: VISITEL IN RUN NUMBER: 23
DATE: 6-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING _____ GROSS WT. _____ mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. _____ mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. _____ mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 50 998.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 50 998.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 50 998.8 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 50974.2 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 24.6 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE _____ mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 24.6 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST SPB

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER IN RUN NUMBER: R1
DATE: 6-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS WT. 116.434.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS WT. 116.434.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 116.434.8 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 116.427.9 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 6.9 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 614.0 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 613.8 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 613.9 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 600.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 13.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 6.9 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 20.4 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST LSB

The ALMEGA Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: FGD DUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, IN RUN NUMBER: 22
DATE: 6-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/cc
LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg/
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS WT. 99 802.2 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS WT. 99 802.3 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 99 802.3 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 99 797.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.3 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 611.6 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 611.4 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 611.5 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 596.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 15.5 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 5.3 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 20.8 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST _____

The Almega Corporation

PARTICULATE ANALYTICAL DATA FORM

COMPANY: PURE AIR STACK NUMBER: EGD OUTLET
LOCATION: PORTER, NJ RUN NUMBER: 23
DATE: 6-29-94 RELATIVE HUMIDITY: _____ %
ACETONE DENSITY (Pa): _____ g/ml

LIQUID LEVEL MARKED AND CONTAINER SEALED? _____
ACETONE BLANK RESIDUE CONCENTRATION (Ca) _____ mg,
ACETONE RINSE VOLUME (Vaw) _____ ml
BLANK RESIDUE IN ACETONE RINSE (Wa) = Ca.Vaw.Pa = _____ mg

NOTE: IN NO CASE SHOULD A BLANK RESIDUE 0.01 mg/g OR 0.001 % OF THE WEIGHT OF ACETONE USED BE SUBTRACTED FROM THE SAMPLE WEIGHT.

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS WT. 101.666.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS WT. 101.667.1 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 101.667.0 mg

LESS BLANK RESIDUE (Wa) _____ mg

TARE WT. 101.664.4 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.6 mg

DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 613.7 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____
DATE OF WEIGHING 6-30-94 GROSS FILTER WT. 613.9 mg
TIME OF WEIGHING _____

AVERAGE GROSS WT. 613.8 mg

FILTER TARE WT. 599.0 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE ON FILTER 14.8 mg

WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE IN ACETONE RINSE 2.6 mg

TOTAL WEIGHT OF PARTICULATE 17.4 mg

REMARKS: _____

INITIALS OF ANALYST CP

COMPANY: PURE AIR

DATE: 29 JUNE 94

LOCATION: PORTER IN

SOURCE: FGD OUTLET

TITRATION DATA FOR: SO_3 / SO_2

DATA SHEET[illegible]

Titrant Standardization Data:

Standard: H_2SO_4

Normality: .01015

Date of certification: 2/94

Titrant: BARIUM PERCHLORATE $V_{t \text{ avg std}}$: 9.91 ml

V_{std}: 10 ml

$V_{t, \text{std}}$: 9.89 ml

V_{tstd} : 9.92 ml

ARE $V_{t \text{ avg std}}$: 9.91 ml

$$N_{\text{titrant}} = \frac{(N_{\text{std}})(V_{n_{\text{std}}})}{(V_{t_{\text{avg std}}})}$$

N_{titrant} = .0102

APPENDIX M

CALIBRATIONS AND SAMPLE CHAIN-OF-CUSTODY

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

(A1)

Model #: 511 E   Date: 5/26/93
Serial #: 30583   Barometric Pressure 29.80

dm	e	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			Temp T _{ai} T _{mo}	CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Vc= 1.0023)			Temp. Init, Final
		Initial	Final	Total		Initial	Final	Total	
0.50	15.0	89.407	95.343	5.936	80 76	696.233	702.201	5.968	73 73
1.00	11.0	95.343	101.433	6.090	81 76	702.201	708.267	6.066	73 73
2.00	10.0	101.433	109.144	7.711	82 76	708.267	715.955	7.688	73 73
3.00	10.0	109.144	118.645	9.501	84 77	715.955	725.430	9.475	73 73
5.00	6.0	123.340	130.714	7.374	85 78	730.127	737.499	7.372	73 73
					89 79				

METER V	DEL H
1.0051 -0.002	1.813 -0.04
1.0062 -0.001	1.846 -0.01
1.0070 0.000	1.897 0.04
1.0090 0.007	1.870 0.02
1.0075 0.001	1.850 0.00

AVG. 1.007   AVG. 1.855

POSTTEST DRY GAS METER CALIBRATION DATA FORM (English Units)

TEST NUMBER _____ DATE 7-25 METER BOX NUMBER A1 PLANT _____
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE, P_b = 29.9 in. Hg DRY GAS METER NUMBER 93 PRETEST Y 1.007

Orifice Manometer Setting (ΔH) in. H ₂ O	GAS VOLUME		TEMPERATURE				Time (θ) min	Vacuum Setting in. Hg	Y _i	$\frac{V_w P_b (t_d + 460)}{V_d (P_b + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6})(t_w + 460)}$
	Reference Test Meter (V _w), ft ³	Dry Gas Meter (V _d), ft ³	Reference Test (t _w), °F	DRY GAS METER						
				Inlet (t _{di}), °F	Outlet (t _{do}), °F	Average (t _d), °F				
	542.5644	739.979								
3.5	547.414	745.423	71	75	74	74.5	5	7		.829
3.5	552.925	750.923	71	94	76	85	5	7		1.020
3.5	554.071	756.029	73	93	78	85.5	5	7		1.023
										Y = 0.967

V_w 486.5 / 5.51 1.5146

V_w 4.865 | 5.511 | 5.146
 V_d 5.498 | 5.500 | 5.105

^aIf there is only one thermometer on the dry gas meter, record the temperature under t_d .

Where:

- V_w = Gas volume passing through the reference test meter, ft³.
- V_d = Gas volume passing through the dry gas meter, ft³.
- t_w = Temperature of the gas in the reference test meter, °F.
- t_{di} = Temperature of the inlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_{do} = Temperature of the outlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_d = Average temperature of the gas in the dry gas meter, obtained by the average of t_{di} and t_{do} , °F.
- ΔH = Pressure differential across orifice, in. H₂O.
- Y_i = Ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for each run.
- Y = Average ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for all three runs; tolerance = pretest Y $\pm 0.05Y$.
- P_b = Barometric pressure, in. Hg.
- θ = Time of calibration run, min.

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

Model #: 511-E-A
Serial #: 31293

Date: 1/9/93
Barometric Pressure 29.72

dH	T i m e	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Yc= 1.0000)			Temp.	
		Initial	Final	Total	Initial	Final	Total	Init.	Final
0.50	15.0	407.100	413.007	5.907	70	69	603.412	609.276	5.864
					73	69			
1.00	10.0	414.487	420.031	5.544	70	69	610.752	616.268	5.516
					70	69			
2.00	8.0	450.100	456.225	6.125	80	69	746.221	752.349	6.128
					77	69			
3.00	7.0	456.225	462.768	6.543	77	69	752.349	758.888	6.539
					85	71			
5.00	6.0	462.768	469.928	7.160	82	71	758.888	766.050	7.162
					84	72			

METER Y	DEL H
0.9957 -0.00	1.839 -0.06
0.9953 -0.01	1.847 -0.06
1.0045 0.00	1.923 0.02
1.0042 0.00	1.936 0.03
1.0035 0.00	1.971 0.07

AVG. 1.001

AVG. 1.903

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

Model #: 522   Date: 4/28/94
Serial #: 40408   Barometric Pressure 29.82

dH	T i m e	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Yc= 1.0000)			Temp.	
		Initial	Final	Total	Initial	Final	Total	Init.	Final
0.50	14.00	27.214	32.786	5.572	81	81	865.528	871.048	5.520
					83	81			77
1.00	11.00	32.786	39.016	6.230	83	81	871.048	877.210	6.162
					87	81			77
2.00	11.00	39.016	47.588	8.572	87	81	877.210	885.706	8.496
					91	82			77
3.00	7.00	47.588	54.271	6.683	91	82	885.706	892.320	6.614
					91	83			77
5.00	5.00	54.271	60.315	6.044	90	83	892.320	898.331	6.011
					90	84			77

METER Y		DEL H	
0.9977	-0.00	1.822	-0.05
0.9977	-0.00	1.806	-0.07
1.0014	0.00	1.898	0.02
1.0002	0.00	1.899	0.02
1.0003	0.00	1.951	0.08

AVG. 0.999   AVG. 1.875

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

Model #: 522
Serial #: 40407

Date: 4/27/94
Barometric Pressure 29.72

dH	T i m e	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			Temp		CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Yc= 1.0000)			Temp.	
		Initial	Final	Total	Tmi	Tmo	Initial	Final	Total	Init.	Final
0.50	18.00	7.910	15.427	7.517	83	80	801.045	808.472	7.427	78	78
1.00	10.00	19.534	25.391	5.857	83	81	812.532	818.320	5.788	78	78
2.00	8.00	25.391	31.923	6.532	87	83	818.320	824.793	6.473	78	78
3.00	6.25	31.923	38.059	6.136	89	82	824.793	830.868	6.075	78	78
5.00	6.00	38.059	45.785	7.726	90	83	830.868	838.549	7.681	78	78
					92	84					

METER Y		DEL H	
0.9937	-0.00	1.678	-0.05
0.9959	-0.00	1.700	-0.03
0.9994	0.00	1.738	0.01
0.9974	0.00	1.807	0.08
0.9989	0.00	1.733	0.00

AVG. 0.997

AVG. 1.731

POSTTEST DRY GAS METER CALIBRATION DATA FORM (English units)

Test number _____ Date 8-8 Meter box number 114 Plant _____

Barometric pressure, P_b = 24.63 in. Hg Dry gas meter number 803 Pretest Y .977

Orifice manometer setting, (ΔH), in. H ₂ O	Gas volume		Temperature				Time (θ), min	Vacuum setting, in. Hg	Y _i	$V_w P_b (t_d + 460)$ $V_d (P_b + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}) (t_w + 460)$
	Reference test meter (V _w), ft ³	Dry Gas meter (V _d), ft ³	Reference test (t _w), °F	Dry gas meter						
				Inlet (t _{di}), °F	Outlet (t _{do}), °F	Average (t _d), °F				
	609.257	511.367								
3	613.327	516.492	686	73	78	75.5	5	6		0.978
3	618.406	521.596	686	74	81	77.5	5	6		1.023
3	623.357	526.594	686.5	75	83	79	5	6		1.003
R1 5.070 5.115										Y = 1.001
R2 5.079 5.114										

^aIf there is only one thermometer on the dry gas meter, record the temperature under t_d

R3 4.951 4.912
where

- V_w = Gas volume passing through the reference test meter, ft³.
- V_d = Gas volume passing through the dry gas meter, ft³.
- t_w = Temperature of the gas in the reference test meter, °F.
- t_{di} = Temperature of the inlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_{do} = Temperature of the outlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_d = Average temperature of the gas in the dry gas meter, obtained by the average of t_{di} and t_{do} , °F.
- ΔH = Pressure differential across orifice, in. H₂O.
- Y_i = Ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for each run.
- Y = Average ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for all three runs;
tolerance = pretest Y $\pm$ 0.05Y.
- P_b = Barometric pressure, in. Hg.
- θ = Time of calibration run, min.

A5

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

Model #: 522   Date:
Serial #: 40409   Barometric Pressure 29.82

dH	T i m e	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			Temp		CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Yc= 1.0000)			Temp.	
		Initial	Final	Total	Tmi	Tmo	Initial	Final	Total	Init.	Final
0.50	15.00	145.084	151.366	6.282	78	78	49.383	55.619	6.236	76	76
1.00	10.00	151.366	157.237	5.871	83	78	55.619	61.449	5.830	76	76
2.00	8.00	157.237	163.733	6.496	83	79	61.449	67.889	6.440	76	76
3.00	7.00	163.733	170.686	6.953	83	81	67.889	74.785	6.896	76	76
5.00	5.00	170.686	177.047	6.361	90	80	74.785	81.099	6.314	76	76
					90	81					

METER Y	DEL H
0.9975	-0.00
0.9994	0.00
0.9985	0.00
0.9974	-0.00
0.9974	-0.00
	1.642
	1.669
	1.747
	1.750
	1.772
	-0.07
	-0.05
	0.03
	0.03
	0.06

AVG. 0.998

AVG. 1.716

POSTTEST DRY GAS METER CALIBRATION DATA FORM (English Units)

TEST NUMBER R1-R3 DATE 7/18/94 METER BOX NUMBER A5 PLANT ABBOTT
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE, P_b = 29.24 in. Hg DRY GAS METER NUMBER 83 PRETEST Y 998

Orifice Manometer Setting (ΔH) in. H ₂ O	GAS VOLUME		TEMPERATURE				Time (θ) min	Vacuum Setting in. Hg	Y_i	$\frac{V_w P_b (t_d + 460)}{V_d (P_b + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6})(t_w + 460)}$
	Reference Test Meter (V_w), ft ³	Dry Gas Meter (V_d), ft ³	Reference Test (t_w), °F	DRY GAS METER						
				Inlet (t_{di}), °F	Outlet (t_{do}), °F	Average (t_d), °F				
	371.043	762.730								
1.51	374.572	766.266	75	92	75	83.5	5	6		1.010
1.51	378.087	769.808	75	92	76	84	5	6		1.005
1.51	381.602	773.348	75	91	76	83.5	5	6		1.005
										$Y =$
1.529	3.515	3.515								1.007

V_w 3.529 3.515 3.515
 V_d 3.536 3.542 3.540

*If there is only one thermometer on the dry gas meter, record the temperature under t_d .

Where:

- V_w = Gas volume passing through the reference test meter, ft³.
- V_d = Gas volume passing through the dry gas meter, ft³.
- t_w = Temperature of the gas in the reference test meter, °F.
- t_{d_i} = Temperature of the inlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_{d_o} = Temperature of the outlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_d = Average temperature of the gas in the dry gas meter, obtained by the average of t_{d_i} and t_{d_o} , °F.
- ΔH = Pressure differential across orifice, in. H₂O.
- Y_i = Ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for each run.
- Y = Average ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for all three runs; tolerance = pretest $Y \pm 0.05Y$.
- P_b = Barometric pressure, in. Hg.
- θ = Time of calibration run, min.

AG

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

Model #: 522
Serial #: 40411

Date: 4/29/94
Barometric Pressure 29.82

dH	Time	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Yc= 1.0000)						
		Initial	Final	Total	Temp		Initial	Final	Total	Temp	
					Tmi	Tmo				Init.	Final
0.50	15.00	14.278	20.152	5.874	82	82	161.501	167.326	5.825	76	76
					83	82					
1.00	12.00	20.152	26.824	6.672	83	82	167.326	173.951	6.625	76	76
					87	82					
2.00	10.00	26.824	34.575	7.751	87	82	173.951	181.650	7.699	76	76
					90	82					
3.00	6.00	34.575	40.267	5.692	88	83	181.650	187.299	5.649	76	77
					88	83					
5.00	5.00	40.267	46.329	6.062	88	83	187.299	193.336	6.037	77	77
					91	84					

METER Y		DEL H	
1.0020	-0.00	1.868	-0.02
1.0044	0.00	1.849	-0.04
1.0055	0.00	1.901	0.01
1.0017	-0.00	1.907	0.02
1.0012	-0.00	1.934	0.04
AVG.	1.003	AVG.	1.892

0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

POSTTEST DRY GAS METER CALIBRATION DATA FORM (English Units)

TEST NUMBER R1 R2 R3 DATE 7/10/94 METER BOX NUMBER A6 PLANT E.A.R
 BAROMETRIC PRESSURE, P_b = 29.45 in. Hg DRY GAS METER NUMBER 40411 PRETEST Y 1.003

Orifice Manometer Setting (ΔH) in. H ₂ O	GAS VOLUME		TEMPERATURE				Time (θ) min	Vacuum Setting in. Hg	Y_i	$\frac{V_w P_b Y_i (t_d + 460)}{V_d (P_b + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}) (t_w + 460)}$
	Reference Test Meter (V_w), ft ³	Dry Gas Meter (V_d), ft ³	Reference Test (t_w), °F	DRY GAS METER						
				Inlet (t_{d_i}), °F	Outlet (t_{d_o}), °F	Average (t_d), °F				
	499.446	377.692								
1.45	504.146	382.457	64	79	72	75.5	7	6		1.004
1.45	507.970	387.154	66	86	73	79.5	7	6		1.027
1.45	513.442	391.902	66.5	88	74	81	7	6		0.986
R1 R2 R3										$Y =$ 1.006
V_w 4700 4.724 4.572										

^aIf there is only one thermometer on the dry gas meter, record the temperature under t_d .

Where:

- V_w = Gas volume passing through the reference test meter, ft³.
- V_d = Gas volume passing through the dry gas meter, ft³.
- t_w = Temperature of the gas in the reference test meter, °F.
- t_{d_i} = Temperature of the inlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_{d_o} = Temperature of the outlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
- t_d = Average temperature of the gas in the dry gas meter, obtained by the average of t_{d_i} and t_{d_o} , °F.
- ΔH = Pressure differential across orifice, in. H₂O.
- Y_i = Ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for each run.
- Y = Average ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for all three runs; tolerance = pretest $Y \pm 0.05Y$.
- P_b = Barometric pressure, in. Hg.
- θ = Time of calibration run, min.

A7

APEX INSTRUMENTS
EPA METHOD 5
Meter Box Calibration

Model #: 522
Serial #: 40410

Date: 4/29/94
Barometric Pressure 29.82

dH	T i m e	DRY GAS METER VOLUME			CALIBRATION METER VOLUME (Yc= 1.0000)			Temp.			
		Initial	Final	Total	Initial	Final	Total	Init.	Final		
										Temp	Temp
					Tmi	Tmo					
0.50	17.00	44.018	50.931	6.913	81	80	124.900	131.693	6.793	76	76
					85	80					
1.00	10.00	50.931	56.648	5.717	85	80	131.693	137.318	5.625	76	76
					87	81					
2.00	7.00	56.648	62.242	5.594	87	81	137.318	142.815	5.497	76	76
					88	81					
3.00	6.00	62.242	68.017	5.775	88	81	142.815	148.495	5.680	76	76
					89	82					
5.00	5.00	68.017	74.162	6.145	89	82	148.495	154.555	6.060	76	76
					89	82					

METER Y	DEL H
0.9915	-0.00
0.9948	0.00
0.9929	0.00
0.9927	0.00
0.9914	-0.00
	1.771
	-0.07
	1.786
	-0.05
	1.831
	-0.01
	1.888
	0.05
	1.918
	0.08

AVG. 0.993

AVG. 1.839

POSTTEST DRY GAS METER CALIBRATION DATA FORM (English units)

Test number R1R2R3 Date 7/18/94 Meter box number A7 Plant NATIONAL STARCH
 Barometric pressure, P_b = 29.45 in. Hg Dry gas meter number 40410 Pretest Y 0.993

Orifice manometer setting, (ΔH), in. H ₂ O	Gas volume		Temperature				Time (θ), min	Vacuum setting, in. Hg	Y _i	$V_w P_b (t_d + 460)$
	Reference test meter (V _w), ft ³	Dry Gas meter (V _d), ft ³	Reference test (t _w), °F	Dry gas meter						
				Inlet (t _{di}), °F	Outlet (t _{do}), °F	Average (t _d), °F				$V_d (P_b + \frac{\Delta H}{13.6}) (t_w + 460)$
	522.846	304.792								
0.6	527.614	309.528	67.5	81	75	78	11	4		1.025
0.6	532.361	314.350	68.5	89	75	82	11	4		1.008
0.6	537.292	319.259	68.5	89	76	78.5	11	4		1.022
V _w 4.764 4.747 4.931										Y = 1.018

^aIf there is only one thermometer on the dry gas meter, record the temperature under t_d

where

- V_w = Gas volume passing through the reference test meter, ft³.
 V_d = Gas volume passing through the dry gas meter, ft³.
 t_w = Temperature of the gas in the reference test meter, °F.
 t_{di} = Temperature of the inlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
 t_{do} = Temperature of the outlet gas of the dry gas meter, °F.
 t_d = Average temperature of the gas in the dry gas meter, obtained by the average of t_{di} and t_{do} , °F.
 ΔH = Pressure differential across orifice, in. H₂O.
 Y_i = Ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for each run.
 Y = Average ratio of accuracy of reference test meter to dry gas meter for all three runs;
 tolerance = pretest $Y \pm 0.05Y$.
 P_b = Barometric pressure, in. Hg.
 θ = Time of calibration run, min.

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 6/15/94 Page   of

Project Number

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR</u>				PROJECT MANAGER				ANALYSES															
TEST DATE <u>7-8 JUNE 94</u>				<u>B.B.</u>																			
Method of Shipment				PHONE NUMBER				<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="transform: rotate(-45deg); border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">RM 17</div> <div style="transform: rotate(-45deg); border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;">RM 5B</div> </div>															
Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers									Sample Condition/REMARKS							
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID																	
FGD IN THIMBLE R1	6/7		2249					✓															
FGD IN THIMBLE R2	6/7		2248					✓															
FGD IN THIMBLE R3	6/7		2261					✓															
FGD OUT FILTER R1	6/7		0825						✓														
FGD OUT FILTER R2	6/7		0820						✓														
FGD OUT FILTER R3	6/7		0822						✓														
FGD IN ~~THIMBLE~~ R1	6/8		011					✓															
FGD IN THIMBLE R2	6/8		0113					✓															
SAMPLERS: (Signature)				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION 1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes <u> </u> No <u> </u> Other <u> </u> 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: <u> </u> Days											
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time													
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Date				Time		Received for Laboratory by:								Date		RECEIVED		Time	
Special Instructions																							

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 6/15/94 Page 1 of 2

Project Number 7316

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR</u>				PROJECT MANAGER <u>Bill Byczinski</u>				ANALYSES											
TEST DATE <u>7-8 June 94</u>				PHONE NUMBER <u>(708) 595-0175</u>															
Method of Shipment				<div style="transform: rotate(-45deg); display: inline-block;"> <u>ALMEGA HCO</u> <u>HF</u> </div>															
Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers	Sample Condition/REMARKS											
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID													
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/7		0802	✓			1	✓											
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/7		0803	✓			1	✓											
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/7		1598	✓			1	✓											
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/7		1603	✓			1	✓											
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/8		2252	✓			1	✓											
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/8		0804	✓			1	✓											
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/8		1750	✓			1	✓											
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/8		1760	✓			1	✓											
SAMPLERS: (Signature) <u>Una M. Blaine</u>				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION 1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes _____ No _____ Other _____ 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days							
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Received by: (Signature)				Date		Time									
Relinquished by: (Signature)				Date		Time		Received for Laboratory by:				Date		RECEIVED Time		Date		ACCEPTED Time	
Special Instructions																			

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

**601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103**

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date _____ Page _____ of _____

Project Number _____

SOURCE <u>PURE Air</u>		PROJECT MANAGER <u>BPS</u>		ANALYSES												
TEST DATE <u>8 June 94</u>		PHONE NUMBER														
Method of Shipment																
Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers	PM8								Sample Condition/REMARKS
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID										
Imp 1 FGD IN R1	6/8		306	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD IN R1	6/8		308	✓			1	✓								
Imp 1 FGD IN R2			309	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD IN R2			310	✓			1	✓								
Imp 1 FGD OUT R1			1610	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD OUT R1			311	✓			1	✓								
Imp 1 FGD OUT R2			1613	✓			1	✓								
Imp 2-3 FGD OUT R2	✓		312	✓			1	✓								
SAMPLERS: (Signature)		Received by: (Signature)					Date Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION							
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Received by: (Signature)					Date Time		1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes _____ No _____ Other _____ 2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days							
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Date Time		Received for Laboratory by:			Date RECEIVED Time		Date ACCEPTED Time							
Special Instructions																

THE ALMEGA CORPORATION

601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date 6/15/94 Page 2 of 2

Project Number 7316

SOURCE PURE AIR

TEST DATE 9/13 JUNE 94

PROJECT MANAGER

(708) 595-0175

ANALYSES

Method of Shipment

PHONE NUMBER

Bill Byczinski

Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers											Sample Condition/REMARKS
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID												
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/9		2253	✓			1	✓										
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/9		2254	✓			1	✓										
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/9		2078	✓			1	✓										
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/9		2082	✓			1	✓										
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/13		0805	✓			1	✓										
FGD Inlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/13		2255	✓			1	✓										
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R1	6/13		1746	✓			1	✓										
FGD Outlet Imp 1-2 R2	6/13		1757	✓			1	✓										

SAMPLERS: (Signature)

Chas M. Blaine

Received by: (Signature)

Date Time

INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION

1. Sample to be Returned to Client:

Yes _____ No _____ Other _____

2. Requested Sample Storage Time:

Days

Relinquished by: (Signature)

Received by: (Signature)

Date Time

Relinquished by: (Signature)

Date Time

Received for Laboratory by:

Date

RECEIVED Time

Date

ACCEPTED Time

Special Instructions

The ALMEGA CORPORATION

**601-A Country Club Drive
Bensenville, Illinois 60106
Phone: (708) 595-0175
Fax: (708) 595-2103**

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Date _____ Page _____ of _____

Project Number _____

SOURCE <u>PURE AIR</u>		PROJECT MANAGER <u>BBB</u>		ANALYSES											
TEST DATE <u>9 JUNE 94</u>		PHONE NUMBER													
Method of Shipment															
Sample No. or Identification	Date	Time	Lab Sample Number	Type of Sample			Number of Containers								Sample Condition/REMARKS
				LIQ	AIR	SOLID									
Imp 1 FGD IN R1	6/9		200	✓			1	✓							
Imp 2-3 FGD IN R1			201	✓			1	✓							
Imp 1 FGD IN R2			1896	✓			1	✓							
Imp 2-3 FGD IN R2			202	✓			1	✓							
Imp 1 FGD OUT R1			1614	✓			1	✓							
Imp 2-3 FGD OUT R1			1615	✓			1	✓							
Imp 1 FGD OUT R2			1617	✓			1	✓							
Imp 2-3 FGD OUT R2			866	✓			1	✓							
SAMPLERS: (Signature)		Received by: (Signature)				Date Time		INDICATE SAMPLE DISPOSITION							
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Received by: (Signature)				Date Time		1. Sample to be Returned to Client: Yes _____ No _____ Other _____							
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Date Time		Received for Laboratory by:		Date RECEIVED Time		2. Requested Sample Storage Time: _____ Days							
Relinquished by: (Signature)		Date Time		Received for Laboratory by:		Date RECEIVED Time		Date ACCEPTED Time							
Special Instructions															