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ABSTRACT

This report contains preconceptional designs and planning level life-cycle cost estimates for managing mixed low-level waste. The report's information on treatment, storage, and disposal modules can be integrated to develop total life-cycle costs for various waste management options. A procedure to guide the U.S. Department of Energy and its contractor personnel in the use of cost estimation data is also summarized in this report.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
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This report has been prepared for use in the U.S. Department of Energy Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement Project and site treatment plans being prepared in response to the Federal Facilities Compliance Act. This report provides a readily useable catalog of developed cost information.

This version of the report (INEL-95/0014, Rev. 1) replaces the interim report (Feizollahi and Shropshire 1994). Some of the cost information contained in the report has been updated to reflect more current estimating data. Specifically, decontamination and decommissioning costs for most of the modules have been adjusted to correspond to costs recommended to be used by Gilbert/Commonwealth, Inc. for the various types of facilities. Also, the cost and full-time equivalent curves presented in the report have been standardized to a format that is consistent with the Waste Management Facilities Cost Information Reports for Hazardous Waste, (Shropshire et al. 1995a), Low-Level Waste (Shropshire et al. 1995b) and Transuranic Waste (Shropshire et al. 1995c). Part of this standardization includes changing the operating life for the total cost and unit cost calculations from 10 years (the timeframe that was used in the interim report) to 20 years. This change results in a net decrease in the unit cost of the modules because the capital costs are now amortized over a longer timeframe. The 20-year life cycle is more representative of the life expectancy of the modules. Finally, this revision includes cost curves for remote-handled waste.

The method presented in this report is for planning level life-cycle cost estimates (accuracy of plus or minus 30%). Estimates based on this report are useful for comparative evaluation of alternatives. However, the cost information is not site-specific, and any alternative selection based on the estimates derived from this method would warrant further study. Therefore, these estimates should not be used to determine funding requirements.

This report is organized according to distinct modules that can be assembled in various ways to create different types of treatment, storage, and disposal facilities. Each module is represented by an abbreviation that is repeated throughout the discussion of the module. For the reader's convenience, these abbreviations are printed on the section tabs.
Waste Management Facilities Cost Information for Mixed Low-Level Waste

1. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1.1 Background

The purpose of this report is to profile cost information on U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) complex waste streams that are being addressed by DOE in the Environmental Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). This report describes treatment, storage, and disposal (TSD) modules that will be needed for the management of alpha\(^{a}\) and nonalpha mixed low-level waste (MLLW) streams.

This report describes the cost information for the alternatives involving TSD modules needed for managing alpha and nonalpha MLLW. These modules are designed to be part of an integrated treatment facility. The report contains cost information for four different applications: (a) large waste generator modules, (b) small waste generator modules using new buildings and equipment, (c) small waste generator modules placing new equipment in existing buildings, and (d) portable treatment equipment.

1.2 Waste Management Facilities Cost Information Task Participants

The Waste Management Facilities Cost Information (WMFCI) task was performed by a project team from Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies (formerly EG&G Idaho) and the Environmental Services Division of Morrison Knudsen Corporation (MK). Lockheed Martin and MK were selected for this task because of their combined expertise in design, construction, and operation of waste management TSD facilities for DOE sites and for the nuclear industry.

1.3 Modules and Unit Operations

For cost estimating flexibility, the TSD facility has been divided into several distinct modules. Figure 1-1 shows the TSD facility as a whole. The modules can be assembled in various ways to create different types of integrated TSD facilities. In addition, each TSD module is broken down into several distinct functions, referred to as unit operations. Each unit operation consists of all buildings, equipment, and accessories needed to accomplish a given function.

---

*a.* Alpha mixed low-level waste (MLLW) is MLLW containing transuranic nuclides at concentrations ranging from 10–100 nCi/gm.

*b.* Technologies used in the treatment modules presented in this report are based on commercially available equipment selected for the purpose of developing typical costs of treating various waste streams analyzed by the PEIS. This is not to be construed as adoption of a given technology for DOE installations. Others will select the technology for treating alpha and nonalpha waste stored at, or generated by, various DOE installations as part of the current DOE efforts pursuant to the Federal Facility Compliance Act (FFCA).
The estimator must know the appropriate modules for the particular waste stream. The selection of the modules may be determined by use of the Federal Facilities Compliance Act (FFCA) Treatment Technology Selection Guide or with knowledge of the site-specific processing requirements. Section 36 provides more information on which modules are appropriate for a specific waste matrix category.

1.4 Technical and Cost Estimate Bases and Assumptions

For larger production-oriented waste generator facilities, modules with at least three different capacities were cost estimated to generate a cost versus capacity curve. In addition, small generator modules were configured for installation at typical DOE research and development (nonproduction oriented) laboratories. Modules for small generator sites can be constructed in three different ways:

- As a module enclosed within a new building
- As a module constructed within an existing building
- As portable modules.

Modules for small generator sites are provided only for selected treatment options (see Table 1-1). Costs have been estimated for the modules indicated in the table. Modules are stationary (fixed) unless stated as portable. Portable modules have been provided only for selected treatment options.

Costs have not been provided for commercial treatment or low-volume treatment (research and development scale, treatability studies). Costs are not applicable to high-level radioactive wastes.

1.4.1 General Assumptions

Facility construction and ownership. It is assumed that all facility equipment will be new and placed within either totally new structures or modified existing structures. Modified structures will be upgraded to house equipment required for processing alpha and nonalpha waste. The upgrades will include construction of interior walls, roof modifications, secondary containment, and other improvements necessary to meet all technical and regulatory standards applicable to each treatment facility. Site development costs such as utilities and road work are included within 30 m (100 ft) of the facility only. Site infrastructure costs are not included. All facilities are assumed to be government owned and contractor operated.

Throughput. For large generator facilities, a broad capacity range is selected to cover the requirements of the PEIS alternatives. For small generator facilities, data from the DOE Mixed Waste Inventory Report (MWIR) indicates that the capacity needs of representative small generator DOE research and development laboratory installations typically range from 5 to 20 m³/year (177 to 706 ft³/year). This capacity, which ranges from 25 to 100 55-gal drums per year, is much less than the smallest throughput offered by most commercially available processing equipment. Therefore, the equipment included in the small generator waste treatment modules could have the potential to process larger capacities than are actually needed.
Modular facility. The planning level life-cycle cost (PLCC) estimates in this WMFCI report are based on a set of facility modules; each may be used alone or in combination with others.

Technology availability. Cost information in this report is based on available technologies. The basic rule employed for use of the technologies is that at least one vendor must commercially offer the given technology without incurring upfront basic research and developmental costs. Information and data used in this report are based on the best available knowledge about waste processing requirements, technology availability, and cost data. The information in this report may require updating when additional information is obtained.

Cost Bases. Estimates for new facility construction are based on the conditions for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (INEL), including utility, labor, and the related design, construction, operation, and management factors. The INEL costs are considered to represent the mid-range costs within the DOE complex. Site-specific evaluations should be performed to improve the cost estimating accuracy.

Escalation Rates. The PLCC estimates are based on 1994 dollars. The time value of money or escalation for expenditures occurring at different timeframes has not been considered in the estimates. The costs have been summarized by major program elements [i.e., preoperation, construction, operations and maintenance (O&M), and decontamination and decommissioning (D&D)] so that the user could apply appropriate escalation rates to represent the specific schedule requirements.

1.4.2 Facility Operation Assumptions

For large generator facilities, the PLCC estimates are based on the assumption that the operating period of the facility is 20 years. New facilities would have a total operational life of 30 years. During this period, the facility may operate a maximum of 24 hours/day, 240 days/year, and at 70% availability during operation. This is equivalent to 168 days/year or 4,032 hours/year of operation. To compensate for the over-capacity of the small generator alpha and nonalpha waste treatment modules, it is assumed that the operations will be done on a batch basis. Batch operation provides flexibility to handle variations in capacity and waste characteristics.

This report assumes that alpha-contaminated wastes are handled only at large generator facilities. This is due to the complex and therefore expensive handling techniques and equipment required. All modules applicable to small generators or portable equipment are designed and costed assuming that they will process only nonalpha-contaminated wastes.

1.4.3 Mass Flow Rate Calculations

In order to facilitate variations in the waste type and quantities, all processing mass flow rates used in this report are uniformly calculated based on 45 kg (100 lb) of input waste. This information, which is presented in the process flow diagrams (PFDs), may be used to calculate the site-specific mass-flow rates.
1.4.4 Cost Bases

Figure 1-2 shows a block diagram of the steps used in the estimating process. Whenever possible, the baseline capacities are the same as those of an existing facility or one estimated earlier in the WMFCI task. This approach, referred to as anchoring, provides a reference point that could be used as the basis for estimating the various cost elements. Furthermore, anchoring provides a comparison of the estimates in this report with either the actual costs incurred by an operating facility or estimates of facilities that are in an advanced state of design and construction. Data from the study is based on "bottom-up" cost estimates of three different facility sizes: small, medium, and large. Whenever possible, the baseline capacities are the same as at an existing facility.

Using the given capacities, a preconceptual design package for each facility is used as the basis for the PLCC estimates. Each preconceptual design package includes a PFD with mass flow rates, a layout, and a summary of functional and operational requirements. The PFD and layout drawings identify necessary unit operations. After unit operations are defined, major equipment lists and building configurations are shown for each of these operations. The design packages are based as much as possible on data from existing or planned commercial and DOE (anchor) facilities. New designs have been generated only when existing data were not available.

The PLCC estimate for each facility is divided into six elements (see Figure 1-2). Costs for the first and second elements (studies and bench-scale tests, and demonstration) have been obtained by estimating research manpower and equipment needs.

The third cost element (facility construction) consists of two key subelements: major equipment costs and building costs. Cost estimates for major equipment have been obtained either from a similar facility, from an anchor facility, by soliciting costs from the suppliers, or by making engineering judgments. Building costs have been estimated either by multiplying building unit costs by the square footage allocated to each unit operation in the layouts, or by developing building material and labor requirements and multiplying them by the appropriate unit rates. Building costs for modifications to existing structures have been estimated by developing material and labor requirements for building cost elements. It is assumed that modifying an existing facility will not require site preparation and superstructure construction necessary for a new facility. All other building cost elements will be identical to that of a new facility.

Once the equipment and building costs were estimated for each facility, they were totalled and multiplied by a factor to allow for the construction contractor's indirect costs. The sum of the equipment, building, and indirect costs were then multiplied by applicable factors to allow for design, inspection, construction management, and project management costs. Allowances were also included for management reserve and contingencies.

c. In this report, the term anchor facility denotes reference facilities that are either operating or are in the advanced stages of design and construction. Anchoring denotes the use of technical data and capital, operating, and maintenance costs incurred by an anchor facility as a yardstick in the development of the PLCC estimates. Before comparing costs from an anchor facility, they were adjusted to account for any differences in technical requirements and cost escalation. The manual Construction Cost Trends, published by the Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of Interior, was used as the basis for escalation data.
The fourth cost element (operations-budget-funded activities) includes conceptual design, safety assurance, National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance efforts, permitting, preparation for operation, and project management costs. All other subelements of the cost of operations-budget funded activities have been estimated as a percentage of the construction cost.

The fifth cost element (O&M) consists of four subelements: operating labor, utilities, consumable material, and maintenance (parts, equipment, and labor). The first three subelements were estimated by analyzing the requirements of each facility at the unit operations level. The maintenance costs were estimated as a percentage of the original equipment installed at the facility. Allowances were also included for management reserve and contingencies.

The sixth cost element (D&D at facility closure) was estimated by multiplying a D&D unit rate by the facility square footage.

The total facility PLCC estimates were obtained by taking the sum of the six cost elements.

Cost estimating backup data for the modules are provided in a Shropshire et al. 1995.

1.4.4.1 Cost Curve Development Approach. For large generator facilities, unique parametric cost equations were developed for the preoperations, facility construction, O&M, and D&D cost elements of each module. These equations were developed based on the baseline WMFCI bottom-up estimates regressed over a range of facility capacities for each cost module. There are approximately 400 equations that describe MLLW costs, alpha MLLW costs, MLLW full-time equivalents (FTEs), and alpha MLLW FTEs. Linear and nonlinear approaches were used to provide the best fit cost curves. The curves were developed to represent the full range of facility costs over the estimated capacity range. Costs should not be extrapolated for facilities outside the defined range of capacities.

1.4.4.2 Cost Curve Applications. Cost curves have been provided for the majority of cost modules to describe the major manpower (FTE) components, cost elements, total life-cycle costs, and total life-cycle unit costs. Separate FTE and cost curves are provided for alpha and nonalpha facilities (as applicable).

d. Please note that NEPA costs of $6 million are included in only the receiving and inspection module (RCINS) for the large facility cost estimates. The NEPA and safety assurance costs for the small generator are included in only the front-end and back-end support module (FBSPT).


f. Separate cost figures are only provided for MLLW and alpha MLLW when there is a significant difference in FTEs or cost for alpha versus nonalpha facilities. When no significant difference is noted, the cost figures are combined for the alpha and nonalpha MLLW.
As many as five FTE and cost figures may be presented for each module, as follows:

1. Full-time equivalent workers versus capacity for MLLW
2. Planning level life-cycle cost versus capacity for MLLW
3. Full-time equivalent workers versus capacity for alpha MLLW (as applicable)
4. Planning level life-cycle cost versus capacity for alpha MLLW (as applicable)
5. Planning level life-cycle cost versus capacity including unit rates for MLLW and alpha MLLW.

The curves in the first four of these figures were developed to represent only the major FTE and cost elements. These cost figures can be used to derive the four primary costs required to estimate the individual module costs. The six estimated cost elements listed in Section 1.4.4 were simplified and reduced to four cost elements. These four elements and the manner in which they were combined are described below.

1. **Preoperations.** The preoperations cost element includes the first (studies and bench-scale test), second (demonstration), and fourth (operation-budget-funded activities) cost elements described in Section 1.4.4. These cost elements were combined because the first and second cost elements are relatively small and would be completed on or about the same schedule as the fourth cost element. Graphically, the small FTE and cost values for the first and second cost elements do not fall on a common scale with the other cost elements.

2. **Facility construction.** The facility construction cost element is identical to the third cost element in Section 1.4.4 (facility construction). This cost element requires capital equipment and line item funding.

3. **Operations and maintenance.** The O&M cost element would be equivalent to the fifth cost element listed in Section 1.4.4. The estimated cost and FTE figures are based on one year of O&M. The estimator may need to multiply the number of FTEs or costs from the curves by the appropriate number of years of O&M to derive a specific estimate.

4. **Decontamination and decommissioning.** The D&D costs are identical to the sixth cost element listed in Section 1.4.4. For disposal modules, these costs include surveillance and monitoring (S&M).

These four cost elements should be used to determine the required module costs. Existing facility costs would only require the O&M and D&D costs. New facilities will include all preoperation, facility construction and equipment, O&M, and D&D costs. The O&M costs can be factored from the cost curves to obtain operating costs for periods other than one years.

The fifth cost figure (PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for MLLW and alpha MLLW) provides the total life-cycle cost curve including preoperation, facility construction, O&M, and D&D. The total life-cycle unit cost curve is also provided in Metric (e.g., $/kg, $/m³) and English units.
(e.g., $/lb, $/ft^3) for maximum utility. These summary level curves should only be used when the operation and maintenance period of 20 year is required.

The capacity units of measure for the WMFCI modules have been provided in terms of processing rates (kg/hour, m^3/hour) for administration, treatment, and shipping and receiving modules. The capacity units of measure for the storage and disposal modules have been provided in terms of total capacity (total m^3). Table 1-2 shows an example of a module cost estimate data sheet and top level elements of a typical estimate. This table illustrates the major Work Breakdown Structure cost elements including the simplified version (reduction from six to four cost elements).

1.4.5 Portable Facilities

1.4.5.1 General Assumptions. The portable facility will consist of one or more trailer-mounted treatment modules that can be dispatched to DOE laboratory installations to treat their waste.

Facility and waste type. The portable, small-sized facility is designed to treat minimal quantities of waste generated at DOE laboratory installations. The portable treatment modules (PTMs) are most suitable for treatment of waste streams that are not generated on a regular basis. They can also be used to augment available existing treatment processes. High-temperature portable thermal treatment processes were excluded because of inherent technical difficulties in licensing such PTMs for treating radioactive waste.

Facility construction and ownership. The PTMs are for nonalpha waste only. They consist of equipment mounted in enclosed 8- by 40-ft trailers. These modules will be stored at a central location and moved to a DOE installation to treat stored waste. Once on site, PTMs will be set up on existing pads that are equipped with secondary containment. It is assumed that the PTMs will be government owned and contractor operated.

Throughput. PTM use is on a campaign basis. Campaigns are planned activities necessary to treat an accumulated quantity set at 2.5 m^3 (88.3 ft^3) of waste. It is estimated that each campaign will require a total of 14 days to transport, mobilize, set up, process the waste, decontaminate, disassemble, and demobilize. Each PTM is assumed to complete 12 campaigns per year.

Mobilization and startup. The PTM will be dispatched from the storage site to the desired DOE installation by a licensed commercial firm with drivers certified for interstate travel. The total loaded trailer weight will not exceed the legal highway weight limit of 29,500 kg (65,000 lb). Once at a treatment site, PTMs will be interconnected to form an integrated treatment facility, and utilities such as service water and electrical power will be connected. Preoperational check out and safety checks will then be performed to ensure operation readiness of the integrated treatment facility.

Interface availability. It is assumed that the host site will provide the space, utilities (water and electrical power), and other services (i.e., a paved operating pad with secondary containment) needed for operating the PTMs.

Decontamination. At the end of each campaign, the module will be decontaminated by treating all waste through the facility and completely flushing and draining each piece of equipment that has been in contact with waste. Waste generated during decontamination will be treated and disposed as
required. After decontamination, the interior and exterior of PTMs will be assayed and inspected
before disassembly and removal from the treatment site.

**Demobilization.** After decontamination, the trailers will be disassembled, all flexible lines
will be disconnected, loose components will be tied down, and the trailer doors will be locked. A
radiological survey of the trailers will be performed and the shipment certification and manifest
documents will be prepared. Truck tractors will be connected to the trailers, and the modules will be
shipped back to their storage locations. A one-way distance of 500 miles is assumed between the
treatment site and the module storage location.

**Maintenance.** General maintenance will be performed at the storage location on a routine basis.

1.4.5.2 **Facility Operation Assumptions.** All operations will be done on a batch basis to
compensate for the over-capacity of the PTMs and to accommodate differences in treatment capacity
requirements at each treatment site. The PLCC estimates are based on the assumption that the
operating life of all PTMs and control trailers is five years. The PTMs are assumed to complete
12 campaigns per year or 60 campaigns during the total life of the facility. Safety assurance, NEPA
compliance efforts, and permitting are assumed to be part of the location activities and have not been
included in the cost estimates.

1.4.5.3 **Cost Estimating Methods.** The general technical and cost estimate assumptions and
the PLCC estimating methods used for the portable minimum modules are the same as for the new
minimum facility described earlier in this document. The methods for estimating the first and second
elements also remain the same.

The third element (facility construction costs) consists of only one key subelement: major
equipment. Buildings are not required since the equipment is mounted on fully enclosed trailers.
Cost estimates for major equipment were obtained from vendors that supplied PTMs and by making
engineering judgments. Trailer costs were obtained from suppliers and were adjusted to account for
special process requirements.

Once the equipment costs (including trailers) were estimated for each facility, they were totalled
and multiplied by a factor to allow for the construction contractor's indirect costs. The sum of the
equipment, trailer, and indirect costs were further multiplied by appropriate factors to allow for
design, inspection, construction management, and project management costs. Allowances were also
included for management reserve and contingencies.

The fourth cost element (operation-budget-funded activities) includes conceptual design,
preparation for operation, and project cost. The NEPA compliance and permitting costs are not
included.

The fifth cost element (O&M) is the same as for the new, small-sized facility (except for front-
end and back-end support, which is part of normal installation operation), with additional costs for
transporting the trailers to and from a location, and decontaminating the module before it leaves the
treatment location. It is also assumed that (a) utilities are available at the installation and only tie-ins
are necessary, (b) a parking pad within a secondary containment area is available, and
(c) administrative support is provided by the host DOE installation.
The sixth cost element (D&D at the end of module life) was estimated by multiplying a D&D unit rate by the trailer's total square footage.

The total facility PLCC estimates for the portable minimum-sized facility were obtained by adding the six elements. Campaign costs were determined based upon 12 campaigns per year for five years of operation.

## 1.5 Cost Estimation Procedure

A detailed cost estimation procedure along with waste load data sheets are presented in Section 36 of this report. Applying estimates in this report requires the following basic steps:

1. Define the treatment process selection based on the waste stream requirements (waste type), TSD requirements, final waste form, and operating parameters. Utilize integrated flow sheets containing modules. Define required support module requirements.

2. Define the total capacity requirements for each module (define if new or existing facility). (Refer to Figures 36-4, 36-5, and 36-6.)

3. Prepare cost estimates for each module required to provide TSD for the waste stream, using the module cost curves.

4. Add the individual module costs to obtain a total waste stream cost.

5. Add transportation costs for offsite shipments to obtain the total option cost.

Estimators preparing costs for the FFCA draft site treatment plans should refer to the specific FFCA cost guidance instructions and worksheets for those plans.

## 1.6 Cost Assessment Activities

To the extent possible, equipment costs for each module were compared with data from anchor facilities to establish a cost confidence level within the boundaries established for the PLCC estimates. Both DOE and the commercial nuclear industry are now planning or operating similar facilities. These facilities were surveyed to obtain capacity, cost data, and other information needed to support the WMFCI data. Before using these costs, the data were adjusted to account for capacity differences and escalation.

Additional assessment activities have included a review of existing DOE facility capital and operating costs for comparison with the WMFCI. Existing DOE facilities that have been evaluated include the Waste Experimental Reduction Facility (incineration, shredding, and compaction) at INEL; the Controlled Air Incinerator at Los Alamos National Laboratory; the Toxic Substances Control Act Incinerator at Oak Ridge National Laboratory; the Supercompactor and Repackaging Facility at Rocky Flats; the Radioactive Waste Management Complex (low-level waste disposal) at INEL; and the Transportable Waste Water Treatment Unit from the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project. Planned DOE facility costs at the INEL were also evaluated for the Radioactive
Waste Storage Facility, the Waste Characterization Facility, the Idaho Waste Processing Facility, and the Mixed Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility.

Other facilities evaluated include the Illinois Compact Low-Level Waste Engineered Disposal Facility and the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Low-Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Facility.

1.7 Limitations

This section and Appendix A of the WMFCI report (Feizollahi and Shropshire 1992) can be consulted regarding limitations and qualifications that apply to development of PLCC estimates. To apply cost data from this report, the reader must ensure that the treatment front-end and back-end common function modules and any linked treatment modules (e.g., stabilization and aqueous waste treatment modules required for secondary waste) are currently available at the installation. If not available, the PLCC estimates for a new module, as given in this report, must be incorporated in the overall facility estimates. When using existing facilities, the appropriate operating and maintenance costs must be added to the overall facility costs.
Table 1-1. Capacity ranges of modules developed for mixed low-level waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module name and functions</th>
<th>Module name abbreviations</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Small generator module</th>
<th>Large generator module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In new building</td>
<td>In existing building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment front-end</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Nonalpha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>common functions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91-5670</td>
<td>91-5670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment administration</td>
<td>TADMN</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiving and inspection</td>
<td>RCINS</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front-end and back-end</td>
<td>FBSPT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open, dump, and sort</td>
<td>OSORT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>MAINT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incineration</td>
<td>INCIN</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal melting</td>
<td>MMELT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special waste processing</td>
<td>SPECL</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal sizing/decontamination</td>
<td>DECON</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet-air oxidation</td>
<td>WETOX</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal desorption</td>
<td>THDRB</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead recovery</td>
<td>PBRCR</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury separation</td>
<td>RMERC</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deactivation</td>
<td>DACT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sludge washing</td>
<td>SWASH</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil washing</td>
<td>BWASH</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris washing</td>
<td>DWASH</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and stabilization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shredding and compaction</td>
<td>CMPCT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous waste treatment</td>
<td>AQWTR</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1-1. (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module Name</th>
<th>Module Abbreviations</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>New Building Alpha</th>
<th>New Building Nonalpha</th>
<th>Existing Building Portable</th>
<th>Large Generator Module Portable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grout stabilization</td>
<td>GROUT</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>181–1814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymer stabilization</td>
<td>PLYMR</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>9'</td>
<td>23–272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrification</td>
<td>VITRF</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>18–454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaging</td>
<td>PACKG</td>
<td>kg/hr</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>77–3,629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment back-end common functions

| Certification and shipping       | CSHIP                | kg/hr     | —                  | —                      | —                          | 204–8255                       |

Storage

| Storage administration           | SADMIN               | m³/hr     | —                  | —                      | —                          | 0–2                            |
| Storage receiving and shipping   | SRCSH                | m³/hr     | —                  | —                      | —                          | 0–2                            |
| Storage                         | STORE                | m³        | —                  | —                      | 11,419–198,688             | 11,419–198,688                 |
| Silo storage                     | STOSI                | m³        | —                  | —                      | —                          | 46–13,474                      |

Disposal

| Disposal administration          | DADMIN               | m³/hr     | —                  | —                      | —                          | 1–4                            |
| Disposal receiving               | DRECV                | m³/hr     | —                  | —                      | —                          | 1–4                            |
| Silo disposal                    | SIDSP                | m³        | 27–3,288           | 27–3,288               | 3,289–13,474              | 3,289–13,474                   |
| Borehole disposal                | BHDSP                | m³        | 1                  | 1                      | —                          | —                              |

---

a. For small generator modules, grout and polymer stabilization unit operations are combined into a single module.

b. Capacity for storage and disposal modules are 20-year life cycle capacities (in m³).
Table 1-2. Sample PLCC estimate summary for small generator incineration module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module Name: Incinerator</th>
<th>Option Name: Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waste Type:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ MLLW  □ HLW  □ M-TRU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ alpha □ non-alpha □ contact handled □ remote handled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module Location:</td>
<td>Example</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Module Status:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Existing □ New □ Small generator □ Large generator</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ On-Site □ Off-Site □ Portable □ Commercial □ R&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Capacity Requirement: 1.81 kg/hr (kg/hr, m²/hr, m³)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WBS ELEMENT</th>
<th>($ x 1000)</th>
<th>($) x 1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 Pre-Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Studies and bench scale Test Costs</td>
<td>$706</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Demonstration Costs</td>
<td>$588</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Operations Budget Funded Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.1 Conceptual Design</td>
<td>$311</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.2 Safety Assurance Documentation</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.3 Permitting</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.4 Preparation for Operations</td>
<td>$759</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.5 Project Management</td>
<td>$107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PRE-OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 Facility Construction Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Design (Title I and II)</td>
<td>$1,293</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Inspection</td>
<td>$754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Project Management</td>
<td>$1,078</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Building Construction (inc. indirect)</td>
<td>$1,843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Equipment (inc. indirect)</td>
<td>$4,008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Construction Management</td>
<td>$6,767</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Other (inc. reserve and contingency)</td>
<td>$5,219</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COSTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 Operations and Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Annual Operating Labor</td>
<td>$171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Annual Utilities</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Annual Materials</td>
<td>$24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Annual Maintenance</td>
<td>$408</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 Annual Other (inc. reserve and contingency)</td>
<td>$152</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ANNUAL O&amp;M</td>
<td></td>
<td>$759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x NUMBER OF YEARS OF OPERATION</td>
<td></td>
<td>x 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0 Decontamination and Decommissioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Facility D&amp;D</td>
<td>$2,167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Closure, Post-Closure, Monitoring</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL DECONTAMINATION AND DECOMMISSIONING</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COST FOR INCINERATOR MODULE (1994 Dollars)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1-2. PLCC cost estimating steps.
2. TREATMENT ADMINISTRATION (MODULE TADMN)

2.1 Basic Information

The treatment administration module includes all administrative and laboratory buildings required for large generator waste management support functions. The treatment administration module is essentially the same for all treatment facilities regardless of their capacity. Treatment administration should be used whenever a new treatment facility is planned.

2.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

2.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The treatment administration module incorporates all technical and administrative support functions needed to manage the operation of a waste management facility. These functions include security, access control including personnel decontamination (radioactive and hazardous), maintenance of uncontaminated areas and equipment, health physics and radiation badges, facility access control, sanitary facilities, work control and personnel support, internal and external (public relations) communications, spill or emergency response provisions (hazardous and radioactive), analytical laboratory, environmental field sampling, environmental regulatory reporting, and records management.

2.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

The treatment administration module maintains general interfaces with all treatment modules. Operations and maintenance consumables include analytical supplies, office supplies, sanitary supplies, and personal protective equipment, which all must be purchased.

2.2.3 Small Generator and Portable Modules

No separate fixed small generator module has been developed. The treatment administration functions for such a facility have been combined with the back-end support functions into a front-end and back-end support module called FBSPT (Section 4).

2.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

The treatment administration module is the same for alpha and nonalpha waste. Major equipment capital cost items are laboratory analytical equipment. A $1 million allowance is made for analytical instruments and components needed for a mixed waste laboratory. Table 2-1 lists the plan dimensions of the module. Figure 2-1 shows the relationship between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module. Figures 2-2 and 2-3 show the relationship between PLCC and capacity.

g. The mixed waste laboratory has been sized to support a 10% sampling and analysis capability. These costs should be modified if less or more sampling is expected to be required.
The treatment administration module should be sized based on the total waste capacities to be treated in all treatment modules.

**Table 2-1. Plan dimensions of the treatment administration (TADMN) module.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module size</th>
<th>Dimensions (ft)</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>172.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>265.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small generator</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2-1. FTE workers versus capacity for the treatment administration (TADMN) module.
Figure 2-2. PLCC versus capacity for the treatment administration (TADMN) module.
**TREATMENT ADMINISTRATION**

**Total Life Cycle Costs**

Module: TADMN Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, and Remote Handled MLLW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Capacity (kg/hr)</th>
<th>Estimated Cost ($M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91 kg/hr</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 kg/hr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3670 kg/hr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Triangles indicate where detailed cost estimates were developed.

**TREATMENT ADMINISTRATION**

**Total Life Cycle Unit Costs**

Module: TADMN Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, and Remote Handled MLLW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Capacity (kg/hr)</th>
<th>Estimated Cost ($/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91 kg/hr</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 kg/hr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3670 kg/hr</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Figure 2-3. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the treatment administration (TADMN) module.
3. RECEIVING AND INSPECTION (MODULE RCINS)

3.1 Basic Information

The receiving and inspection module, shown in Figure 3-1, is effectively the same for alpha and nonalpha waste. There are minor differences in the assay and inspection equipment that are negligible at a PLCC estimate level. Module RCINS is applicable to non-alpha, alpha, and remote-handled (RH) waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 3-2.

The receiving and inspection module is intended to be contiguous with the open, dump, sort module and the waste treatment modules. It consists of two unit operations: (a) unload and stage and (b) inspect and assay. The containers of waste (in drums, boxes, and metal bins) arrive at the receiving and inspection module on a transport vehicle. Containers are removed from the transport vehicle and placed in a staging or storage area. The containers are visually examined, labeled, logged, recorded, and sent to inspection and assay.

The purpose of the inspect and assay unit operation is to physically and radiologically characterize the waste to allow segregation of the containers. Based on the inspection and assay results, the waste containers are grouped according to their processing needs. The inspect and assay unit operation also identifies a special waste category that applies to any containerized waste requiring special processing operations.

The receiving and unloading area is equipped with a bridge crane and a forklift truck. It is designed to receive and unload containers from flat-bed trailers or van trucks. Containers brought in large overpacks, for example transportation (TRUPAC II) type containers, can also be unloaded.

3.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

3.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Transportation vehicles are used to ship the containers (in overpacks, if necessary) from the generators to the receiving and inspection module. These vehicles are not included in the module. In the unloading and staging unit operation, the transportation vehicles are unloaded, and containers are placed in the staging area. Surge storage is also provided. Containers may be moved within the unloading, staging, and surge storage areas and transported to and from the various interfacing unit operations.

Containers are unloaded in an enclosed truck bay and placed in an indoor staging area. The area is large enough to maneuver the containers and provide sufficient surge storage capacity to meet the desired operational reliability.

The inspect and assay unit operation determines the radioactivity, physical properties, and other parameters needed to categorize the containerized waste before processing, and in accordance with the criteria established for the processing unit operations. Various devices, such as passive/active neutron counting instruments, may be used. Containers holding waste classified as other than alpha and nonalpha MLLW are returned to the generator.
Waste containers are also examined to allow classification by gamma radioactivity (in accordance with the criteria established for the processing unit operations) and to ensure that they are suitable for contact handling (less than 200 milliRem per hour on surface) and for treatment by the given process units. Various devices, such as segmented gamma scan (SGS) instruments, could be used. Containerized waste that does not meet the criteria is either handled as special waste or returned to the generator.

After the containers are examined, they are weighed and measured to determine waste density. Contents (categorized as metal, paper, glass, sludge, gas cylinders, and liquids) are determined by nondestructive examination. At a minimum, each container is examined using a nondestructive assay unit equipped with a real-time radiography (RTR) device. Ultrasonic devices are also used. After examination, each container is labeled, and the properties of its contents are logged and entered into a computerized database.

To allow year-round operations and to minimize the effects of a potential spill, it is assumed that the unloading and staging operations will take place indoors.

3.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

In addition to general interfaces typical for all modules, waste from generator facilities becomes input to the receiving and inspection module. Operation and maintenance consumables, including personal protective equipment, must be purchased. Module output consists of containers of alpha and nonalpha waste that are moved to the open, dump, and sort module or to treatment modules.

3.2.3 Small Generator Fixed Module

The receiving and inspection functions for a portable or small generator fixed module are performed by the back-end support (FBSPT) module.

3.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Cost bases and assumptions were derived from a variety of sources. Major equipment capital cost items for this module include alpha assay, gamma assay, 20-ton bridge crane, and RTR units. The crane cost is based on vendor quotations. The inspection and assay units are based on conceptual designs and cost estimates for a radiological and hazardous material measurement system provided by Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies. Budget estimate for an inspection and assay system is $2 million. Figures 3-3 and 3-4 show the relationships between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module. Figures 3-5, 3-6, and 3-7 show the relationship between PLCC and capacity.
Figure 3-1. Equipment layout for the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module.
Waste boxes, bins, and drums unload, inspect, and store.

From waste generators or storage facilities to open, dump, sort, or treat facilities.

Receiving inspection facility (module RCINS)

NOTE: Mass flow quantities are based on 100 lbs/hr of input waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NODE</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FACILITY SIZE</td>
<td>INPUT WASTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB/HR</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3-2. Process flow diagram for the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module.
RECEIVING AND INSPECTION
FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: RCINS Waste Type: Nonalpha MLLW

Figure 3-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha receiving and inspection (B-RCINS) module.
RECEIVING AND INSPECTION

FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: RCINS Waste Type: Alpha and Remote Handled MLLW

Figure 3-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha and RH receiving and inspection (A/R-RCINS) module.
RECEIVING AND INSPECTION
Cost by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: RCINS Waste Type: Nonalpha MLLW

Figure 3-5. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha receiving and inspection (B-RCINS) module.
RCEIVING AND INSPECTION

Cost by Work Breakdown Structure Element

Module: RCINS Waste Type: Alpha and Remote Handled MLLW

Figure 3-6. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha and RH receiving and inspection (A/R-RCINS) module.
RECEIVING AND INSPECTION
Total Life Cycle Costs
Module: RCINS Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, and Remote Handled MLLW

Input Capacity (kg/hr)

Estimated Cost ($M)

Nonalpha Alpha, Remote Handled

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Triangles indicate capacities where detailed cost estimates were developed.

RECEIVING AND INSPECTION
Total Life Cycle Unit Costs
Module: RCINS Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, Remote Handled MLLW

Input Capacity (kg/hr)

Estimated Cost ($/kg)

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Figure 3-7. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module.
4. SMALL GENERATOR FRONT-END AND BACK-END SUPPORT
(MODULE FBSPT)

4.1 Basic Information

The front-end and back-end support module, shown in Figure 4-1, is the first part of the overall facility needed for treatment of alpha and nonalpha waste at a small generator facility. This module can be used in either a new building or within an existing building at a small generator facility. It is intended only for a small generator, combining the functions of front-end technical and administrative support; receiving and inspection; open, dump, and sort; maintenance; and certification and shipping. For a large generator facility, these functions are distributed among five separate modules (ADMIN, RCINS, OSORT, MAINT, and CSHIP). The small generator front-end and back-end support module is intended to be contiguous with the waste-treatment modules. Figure 4-2 shows a PFD of the front-end and back-end support module.

4.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

4.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

The front-end and back-end support module receives incoming untreated waste, which is in the form of liquid, solid, or sludge. Untreated waste arrives in drums, metal bins, and cardboard boxes shipped to the treatment facility by transport vehicles. Liquid waste is shipped by tank trucks or in special containers carried by transport vehicles. The incoming waste is contact handled (no shipping casks are anticipated) and is transported in enclosed vehicles. A loading and unloading unit operation equipped with an unloading device removes waste containers from the incoming vehicles and places them in a staging and interim (surge) storage area. The storage area is large enough to allow maneuvering the containers during staging operations and provides sufficient surge storage capacity to achieve the desired operational reliability.

An inspect and assay unit operation determines radioactive properties of the waste as needed for safe handling and processing. This unit operation also categorizes the waste by its chemical and physical properties using criteria established for various treatment modules. Radioassay functions may be accomplished by SGS instruments. Chemical characterization may be performed by sampling and analysis. Physical properties may be determined by appropriate instrumentation (e.g., weigh scales may be used to determine waste density). After examination, each container is labeled and the properties are logged and entered into a computer database.

Organic liquid waste is sent for processing by an organic destruction unit (either the wet-air oxidation module or incinerator module). Aqueous waste is sent to the aqueous waste treatment module.

Containers holding solid waste acceptable by the alpha and nonalpha waste treatment modules are sent to an opening and emptying unit operation, where the containers are uncapped and the contents are emptied onto a sorting device. There the waste is segregated and placed in transfer bins. Solid process residues are sent for organic destruction (incineration or wet-air oxidation), heterogeneous and organic debris are sent for organic destruction (incineration, wet-air oxidation, or
thermal desorption), inorganic debris is sent for stabilization (grouting), metal debris is sent for
decontamination, and special, unknown and inherently hazardous waste is sent for special waste
treatment. Some of the bins containing solid waste may be sent first to a size reduction unit operation
as needed by the treatment step. For example, inorganic debris may be shredded to small particles to
facilitate the stabilization process. Opening, emptying, sorting, size reduction, and transferring may
be accomplished manually using appropriate material handling equipment. All unit operations have
vent hoods to minimize the spread of dust and contamination.

The module also includes a discarded container packaging unit operation. In this unit operation,
containers are either rinsed for reuse or are compacted and sent for disposal.

Another function of the front-end and back-end support module is certification and shipping of
waste packaged by the treatment facility. The packaged waste containers brought from the treatment
modules are placed in an inspect, assay, and certify unit operation. At this unit operation, the
containers are weighed, visually examined, tagged, logged, recorded, and sent to the assay device for
classification with regard to gamma radioactivity, in accordance with transportation, storage, and
disposal criteria. The presence of material restricted by these criteria is determined using process
knowledge. The radioactivity properties are also logged and recorded into the computerized database.
The containers are then moved to a temporary storage area until they are ready for shipment to a
long-term storage or disposal facility. When ready, the loading and unloading unit operation transfers
the container onto enclosed vehicles. Before leaving the module, the vehicles are inspected and
certified in accordance with DOE and U.S. Department of Transportation regulations. The testing
laboratory provides the capability to sample and analyze to certify that the hazardous component of
the waste has been removed, as required for disposal or recycling.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 613 m² (6,600 ft²). The
required ceiling height is 7.6 m (25 ft). The area required for the new building is the same.

4.2.2 Integration of the Fixed Module

Input to the front-end and back-end support module consists of containers of untreated waste
from storage or generator facilities, and packages of treated waste from the treatment modules.
Output from the front-end and back-end support module includes waste that is sorted according to
treatment requirements; empty containers, which are recycled or packaged for disposal; and treated
waste, which is placed in shipping vehicles going to disposal or long-term storage facilities.
Secondary waste generated in this module consists of treated air discharged from high-efficiency
particulate air (HEPA) filters and spent rinse water from the washing of empty containers. This
module is linked with site communication and alarm systems, including telephone, evacuation,
security alarm, and public address systems. This module also serves as the support center for the
waste management facility. Consumables, including personal protective equipment, are purchased.

4.3 Cost Bases and Results

Major equipment capital cost items for this module include a testing laboratory, SGS assay
system, forklift, shredder, container rinse booth, drum baler, and sorting glove box. All waste is
contact handled, and O&M activities are manual. Sorting will be manual, using glove boxes.
Emergency showers, personnel decontamination, breathing air, maintenance, mechanical, and
instrument shop equipment are included in the module. Estimated operating staff is based on handling 4.5 drums per day or 36.3 kg/hour.

Laboratory analytical equipment for environmental, alpha and nonalpha waste analysis is estimated to be $1 million; this estimate is based on quotes obtained by Eberline Corporation of Santa Fe, New Mexico. The cost of furnishing size-reduction equipment is based on prices obtained from a Stock Equipment Company of Chagrin Falls, Ohio. The cost of furnishing the SGS assay system, rinse booth, and personnel portal monitor is based on a quotation from Atlan-Tech Corporation, Inc. of Roswell, Georgia. The cost of furnishing the drum baler is based on a quotation from the Stock Equipment Company of Chagrin Falls, Ohio. Estimated FTEs for the small generator front-end and back-end support module are shown in Table 4-1. Costs for the small generator front-end and back-end support module are shown in Table 4-2.

The columns in Tables 4-1 and 4-2 reflect the costs of a new building versus building modification costs.
### Table 4-1. FTE workers for the small generator front-end and back-end support module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperation</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator front-end and back-end support module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperation</td>
<td>9,923</td>
<td>9,923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>17,744</td>
<td>13,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>51,560</td>
<td>51,560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>3,279</td>
<td>3,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>82,506</td>
<td>78,310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4-1. Equipment layout for the front-end and back-end support (FBSPT) module.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INPUT</th>
<th>PROCESS</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INPUT</strong></td>
<td><strong>PROCESS</strong></td>
<td><strong>OUTPUT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RECEIVING AND INSPECTION FUNCTIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOAD &amp; UNLOAD VEHICLE (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INSPECT, ASSAY, &amp; CERTIFY (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OPEN &amp; EMPTY CONTAINERS (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMPTY BINS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SOLID WASTE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SORT (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SIZE REDUCTION (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PACKAGE DISCARDED CONTAINER (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>METAL &amp; DEBRIS FROM RECYCLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STABILIZED WASTE FROM GROUT STABILIZATION OR VITRIFICATION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STAGE &amp; STORE (1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INSPECT, ASSAY, &amp; CERTIFY (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LOAD VEHICLE (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>METAL DEBRIS TO RECYCLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STABILIZED WASTE TO DISPOSAL OR RECYCLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CERTIFICATION AND SHIPPING FUNCTIONS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 LOW TDS TO AQUEOUS WASTE TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 HIGH TDS TO AQUEOUS WASTE TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 ORGANIC LIQUIDS TO AQUEOUS WASTE TREATMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 HETEROGENEOUS AND ORGANIC DEBRIS TO INCINERATION OR THERMAL DESORPTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 SOLIDS PROCESS RESIDUE TO INCINERATION OR THERMAL DESORPTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000 INORGANIC DEBRIS TO GROUT STABILIZATION OR VITRIFICATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4-2.** Process flow diagram for the front-end and back-end support (FBSPT) module.
5. OPEN, DUMP, AND SORT (MODULE OSORT)

5.1 Basic Information

The open, dump, and sort module, shown in Figure 5-1, is designed to be contiguous with the treatment facilities and is ideal for use with an integrated waste management facility that handles multiple waste streams. The module opens and dumps the incoming waste containers and segregates the waste so it can be fed to a combination of treatment processes. The module handles the waste in drums, boxes, or metal bins that are assumed to be properly characterized before they are opened. The module is not needed if the waste arrives presorted. Module OSORT is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 5-2.

The module also has the capability to reduce the size of empty nonmetal containers. Metal containers, however, must be transported to other modules for handling, such as a decontamination module for washdown and reuse or a metal melting module for processing.

5.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

5.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

At the open, dump, and sort module the waste containers are decapped, and the waste is dumped either onto sorting devices or into transport bins that carry the waste to the treatment operations.

Containers of nonalpha waste are opened manually, while containers of alpha waste are opened by remote means. Containers of both waste types are dumped and sorted remotely by manipulators and robots housed in a cubicle. The cubicle has a controlled environment and multiple barriers. Adequate hoods and supporting ventilation are provided to minimize the spread of dust and contamination. Operations on alpha waste are accomplished in an alpha cell where containers enter the cell through airlock doors. Equipment maintenance is accomplished manually in a controlled environment. In addition, the equipment can be pulled out and decontaminated before performing maintenance.

After the waste containers enter the controlled cubicle environment through airlock doors, they are grouped into two categories: homogeneous waste and heterogeneous waste. Containers of homogeneous waste are opened, dumped, sorted, and sent to treatment modules. Containers of heterogeneous waste are opened, dumped, robot sorted, manually sorted, packaged, and sent to treatment modules.

The waste in containers that are designated for segregation is dumped onto a sorting station, which removes bulk metal, noncombustibles, semicombustibles, combustibles, special waste, and gas cylinders. Various sorting technologies, such as robotic assisted sort tables, vibratory screens, and air classifiers, may be used in the sorting station. Any spilled liquid is collected and sent to other unit

h. Special wastes are those materials that are incompatible with the treatment techniques provided in the facility (e.g., mercury). After identification and segregation, special wastes are treated by mobile units provided on a case-by-case basis.
operations for treatment. The sorted waste material is placed in transfer bins and moved to the treatment modules. Nonmetallic containers are cut into smaller pieces as required for processing.

5.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

In addition to general interfaces for all modules, input interfaces to the open, dump, and sort module are waste containers from the receiving and inspection module. Operation and maintenance consumables including personal protective equipment are purchased. Output interfaces include sending solid sorted alpha and nonalpha waste to treatment. Reusable empty metal drums and boxes are sent to a decontamination module for cleaning and recycle. Other empty metal containers are sent to the metal melting module. Empty wood and fiberglass boxes are shredded and sent to treatment modules.

5.2.3 Small Generator Fixed and Portable Module

For small generator (nonalpha) modules, the open, dump, and sort functions are performed by the front-end and back-end support (FBSPT) module.

5.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Major equipment capital cost items for this module are container open, dump, and sort devices and robotics arms. The costs for these items are developed based on consultation with personnel from DOE contractors involved in the Office of Technology Development, Robotic Technology Development Program. Figures 5-3, 5-4, and 5-5 show the relationship between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module. Figures 5-6 through 5-9 show the relationship between PLCC and capacity.

Input capacities for the open, dump, and sort modules should be based on the amount of uncharacterized waste to be treated. Presorted and newly generated wastes may already be sufficiently characterized to go directly to treatment. Uncharacterized and new "unknown" wastes would need to utilize this module.
Figure 5-1. Equipment layout for the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module.

Top Perspective View

Open/Unload/Sort (Module OSORT)

Equipment List:

[List of equipment items and their corresponding numbers]
Figure 5-2. Process flow diagram for the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module.
**OPEN, DUMP, AND SORT**

FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: OSORT Waste Type: Nonalpha MLLW

![Graph showing FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha open, dump, and sort (B-OSORT) module.](image.png)

**Figure 5.3.** FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha open, dump, and sort (B-OSORT) module.
OPEN, DUMP, AND SORT

FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: OSORT Waste Type: Alpha MLLW

Figure 5-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha open, dump, and sort (A-OSORT) module.
Figure 5-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH open, dump, and sort (R-OSORT) module.
Figure 5-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha open, dump, and sort (B-OSORT) module.
OPEN, DUMP, AND SORT

Cost by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: OSORT Waste Type: Alpha MLLW

Figure 5-7. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha open, dump, and sort (A-OSORT) module.
OPEN, DUMP, AND SORT

Cost by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: OSORT Waste Type: Remote Handled MLLW

![Graph showing estimated cost versus input capacity for the RH open, dump, and sort (R-OSORT) module.](image)
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Figure 5-8. PLCC versus capacity for the RH open, dump, and sort (R-OSORT) module.
Figure 5-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module.
6. MAINTENANCE (MODULE MAINT)

The maintenance shop is the same for nonalpha and alpha modules except that the alpha waste module includes a mock-up shop. Module MAINT is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste.

6.1 Basic Information

The maintenance module is equipped with a building for receiving and repairing failed equipment. This module is used in conjunction with the treatment modules when such a function is not available at the existing modules. The maintenance shop costs assume that the module will repair components contaminated with low-level radioactivity but not alpha emitters. Components contaminated with alpha particles must be decontaminated in the alpha maintenance galleries before they are brought into the maintenance shop. Module A-MAINT has a remote component mock-up area.

6.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

6.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Contaminated failed equipment and parts arrive at the shop in transfer carts. Parts are removed from the transport carts and placed in a decontamination area where high-pressure spraying or other techniques are used to remove any loose contamination. After cleaning and decontamination, components are moved to maintenance tables. Maintenance machinery and tools are used as needed. The shop includes an overhead crane and a jib crane for material handling. A paint booth is also included.

6.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

The module receives failed equipment from the treatment module. The output is repaired equipment. The module has secondary waste containing decontamination solutions, spent oil and solvent. Operation and maintenance material includes parts, materials and consumables which are assumed to be purchased items.

6.2.3 Small Generator Module

The maintenance functions for the small generator module are performed by the front-end and back-end support module.

6.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

Major equipment capital cost items are cranes, milling, sanding, and lathe machinery and tools. Costs for all machinery and equipment including the cranes are based on industrial (nonradioactive) applications. Figure 6-1 and 6-2 show the relationship between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module. Figures 6-3, 6-4, and 6-5 show the relationship between PLCC and capacity. Table 6-1 lists plan dimensions of the module.
Table 6-1. Plan dimensions of the maintenance (MAINT) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module size</th>
<th>Dimensions (ft)</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small generator</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6-1. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha maintenance (B-MAINT) module.
Figure 6-2. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha and RH maintenance (A/R-MAINT) module.
Figure 6-3. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha maintenance (B-MAINT) module.
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Figure 6-4. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha and RH maintenance (A/R-MAINT) module.
Figure 6-5. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the maintenance (MAINT) module.
7. INCINERATION (MODULE INCIN)

7.1 Basic Information

This module is a thermal (flame) organic destruction unit. Module INCIN is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. The incineration module, shown in Figure 7-1, must be either used in conjunction with the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module and stabilization (PLYMR) module or installed at a location where similar functions are available in existing facilities. For a small generator facility, the incineration module should be used with the front-end and back-end support (FBSPT) module devised for small generators.

The incinerator module receives and treats input organic solid waste, including process solid residues and organic and heterogeneous (i.e., combustibles comingled with noncombustibles) debris. Other material, such as organic liquids and lab packs, may also be processed by the incinerator. Extensive sorting of the organic and inorganic material is not necessary, as the incinerator can tolerate a high percentage of inorganic material in the feed. It is assumed that the input organic solids may contain up to 15% inorganic material.

The Controlled Air Incineration Facility at the Los Alamos National Laboratory has been used as the basis for the small generator module. The incineration concept is similar to this existing facility except that the concept uses the smallest available unit. The Toxic Substances Control Act Incinerator at Oak Ridge National Laboratories and the Consolidated Incineration Facility at Savannah River Site have been used as a basis for the large generator modules.

The waste is sorted at the receiving and inspection (RCINS or FBSPT) module and transferred to the module in transport bins (or combustible boxes). The input waste generally consists of discarded paper, plastics, clothing (textile fabrics), wood, organic sludges, spent ion-exchange resins, spent activated carbon, and other solids produced by typical operations at DOE production or research and development installations.

Treatment units are provided assuming that the incoming waste contains radioactive constituents regulated under the Atomic Energy Act (AEA) and toxic metal and organics regulated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). In addition to the input waste, the incinerator module treats the secondary organic solid waste from other modules of the alpha and nonalpha waste treatment facility. The module has a number of unit operations that accomplish the required functions. These unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 7-2.

7.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

7.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The incinerator module has all the unit operations needed for treating the incoming organic liquid and solid waste, which has a broad range of RCRA-regulated organic contaminants. Organic contaminants can include volatile organic compounds (VOCs), aromatics, alcohols, ketones, glycols, and petroleum compounds. The unit operations also have the capability to treat the alpha and
nonalpha secondary waste, which consists of organic solids and organic liquids. The incoming solid waste is presorted before it is brought to the incineration module.

The incoming waste is brought to the module in transfer bins (or cardboard boxes) mounted on transport devices. The contents are sorted and thoroughly characterized at the receiving and inspection module. Therefore, the function of the preparation and feed unit operation in the incineration module is merely to convey the solid waste to the incineration chamber. The preparation and feed unit operation has a second feed device that batches and feeds organic liquids or slurries to the incinerator.

The incinerator oxidizes the organic and other combustible material contained in the feed. When sufficient solid waste is accumulated, the incinerator temperature is raised from the idle temperature (approximately 700-900°F) to the combustion temperature (approximately 1,600-1,800°F). The process begins by gradually charging the incinerator chamber with input waste in solid form. Concentrated organic liquids are injected to the chamber as a fuel supplement, when needed. Low concentration organic liquids are added to cool the incinerator, when needed.

After oxidizing the organic liquids and solids, the resulting ash is discharged from the incinerator and placed in containers, which are sent to the stabilization module. The incinerator is designed to completely burn the feed and minimize the amount of carbon in the ash.

Gas generated during the incineration process is the module’s first secondary waste stream. To ensure complete destruction of organic material, the gas is first heated in a secondary combustion chamber to a temperature of 2,000°F with a residence time of at least two seconds. This gas is then sent to an offgas treatment unit operation (or air pollution control unit) that cools and treats the gas to remove particulates, toxic metals and acidic gases and other regulated elements and compounds before it is released to the atmosphere. This unit operation ensures that the offgas discharged to the atmosphere meets emission standards.

The offgas treatment unit operation has three major phases: dry filtration, wet scrubbing, and monitoring and discharge.

The dry filtration phase removes as much of the particulates (e.g., fly-ash, and particulates of vaporized toxic metal compounds) as possible to minimize the amount of radioactive particles and toxic metal particles that pass to the wet scrubbing phase. This is done by first cooling the gas using a water quench and then dry filtering the gas using a bag or ceramic candle filters. The filtered gas is then sent to a filter of sulfur-impregnated activated carbon to remove mercury, lead, and other compounds. The final step in the dry phase consists of polishing the gas using a HEPA filter unit. Solid waste from this phase (fly ash, spent activated carbon, and spent HEPA filters) is sent to the
If the incinerator input waste has a high mercury content, the spent activated carbon may be sent to a retort unit operation (to be included in the special waste module) for mercury recovery and amalgamation.

The wet scrubbing phase further removes toxic metal, vapor, and acidic and alkaline gases (including hydrogen chloride and sulfur dioxide) and their salts. A series of wet scrubbing devices using caustic (or lime) solutions accomplishes this function. After scrubbing, the gas is sent to a moisture remover, a re heater, and to the emissions monitoring and discharge unit. Secondary waste from the scrubbing process, which consists of spent slurry, is neutralized and sent to a concentrator unit. The concentrator uses low-temperature evaporation to avoid revaporizing the captured mercury salts. Bottom sludge from the concentrator is sent to the polymer stabilization module. The concentrator distillate is treated and reused.

The monitoring and discharge phase continuously samples the gas and measures the concentration of the elements and compounds as specified by the facility emission control standards. The treated offgas meets the emissions standards as specified by the permit. The module minimizes as much as possible the volume of waste requiring disposal.

7.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input waste to the incineration module comes from the container open, dump, and sort; receiving and inspection; thermal desorption; and aqueous waste treatment modules. Incinerator output consists of bottom ash and flyash, spent activated carbon, spent HEPA filters, and wet scrubber sludge, which are sent to the grout stabilization module. Treated water is reused. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, fuel, activated carbon, chemicals, and containers.

7.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Function and operation of a fixed small incineration module are essentially the same as that described above in "Function and Operation of Large Generator Modules." The operation can be performed in a new building or within an existing facility of the same area. Costs have been calculated for both, the difference being the cost of a new building versus building modification costs.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 312 m² (3,360 ft²). The required ceiling height is 7.6 m (25 ft). The area required for a new building is the same.

---

1. The overall fate of mercury in the system is as follows. Mercury vaporized during the incineration process is partially removed from the flue gas by the dry offgas filters and by carbon adsorption. The remainder is removed in the wet-gas primary scrubber using an aqueous acidic scrubbing medium. The mercury removed in the dry-gas filters accumulates in the ash and beds of activated carbon. This waste is eventually solidified by the stabilization module. The mercury removed during the wet scrubbing accumulates in the concentrated bottom sludge. This waste is also solidified by the stabilization module.
7.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Input waste to the incineration module comes from the front-end and back-end support module and aqueous waste treatment module. Incinerator output consists of bottom ash and fly-ash, spent activated carbon, spent HEPA filters, and wet scrubber sludge, which are sent to the grout stabilization module. Treated water is reused. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, fuel, activated carbon, chemicals, and containers.

7.2.5 Function and Operation of the Portable Module

A portable small incineration module has not been developed.

7.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The feed preparation, incinerator, secondary combustion chamber, dry offgas filtration, wet scrubber, stack monitors, and concentrator constitute the major equipment capital cost items. The estimate for operating and maintenance manpower of a small generator module is based on the assumption of operating in batch mode and processing about 18.1 kg/hour. Table 7-1 and Figures 7-3 through 7-5 show the relationship between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module.

The cost estimate for the incineration package is based on quotations by Joy Energy Systems, of Charlotte, North Carolina and of ABB Raymond, Inc. of Lisle, Illinois. The cost estimate for the dry offgas filters is based on the use of a ceramic candle unit as quoted by Pall Advances Separation Systems of Cortland, New York. The cost estimate for the wet scrubbing unit is based on the use of a quencher-and-scrubbing unit as quoted by Croll-Reynolds Company of Westfield, New Jersey. The cost estimate for the concentrator unit is based on the use of a thin-film evaporator unit as quoted by LCI Corporation of Charlotte, North Carolina. The cost estimate for the air- and area-monitoring unit is also based on a quote by Eberline Corporation of Santa Fe, New Mexico. The estimate for the stack-monitoring unit is based on information received from the Eberline Corporation. Cost versus capacity for the incineration module for either a new or existing building is shown in Table 7-2 and cost versus capacity is presented in Figures 7-6 through 7-9.
### Table 7-1. FTE workers for the small generator incineration module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 7-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator incineration module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>1,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>20,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>15,180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>2,157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>40,065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7-1. Equipment layout for the incineration (INCIN) module.
Figure 7-2. Process flow diagram for the incineration (INCIN) module.
Figure 7-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha incineration (B-INCIN) module.
Figure 7-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha incineration (A-INCIN) module.
Figure 7-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH incineration (R-INCIN) module.
Figure 7-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha incineration (B-INCIN) module.
Figure 7-7. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha incineration (A-INCIN) module.
Figure 7-8. PLCC versus capacity for the RH incineration (R-INCIN) module.
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Figure 7-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the incineration (INCIN) module.
8. METAL MELTING (MODULE MMELT)

8.1 Basic Information

The metal melting module, shown in Figure 8-1, is used either as an addition to an existing facility where similar functions are already available, or in conjunction with the receiving and inspection (RCINS or FBSPT) module. Module MMELT is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. The module involves 10 process unit operations that convert incoming contaminated metal into cast metal ingots and cast slag, and that treat secondary liquid and gaseous wastes. Secondary waste treatment byproducts such as offgas scrubber sludge are solidified and placed in drums. The facility can process ferrous and nonferrous bulk metal of various shapes and forms, such as waste containers, failed equipment, and contaminated scrap structural steel. The module is designed to handle incoming waste containing up to 10% combustibles. However, this module cannot sort different metal types nor is it equipped to dismantle large pieces of equipment or to reduce the size of large components. Metal must arrive at the module presorted and in drums. Figure 8-2 contains a PFD showing the unit operations.

8.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

8.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

Drums and transport bins containing contaminated metal are delivered to the feed preparation unit operation where it is staged and size reduced, if needed, in preparation for melting. Drums and transport bins containing waste that needs size reduction are dumped on a size reduction device where metals are cut using remote torches or a shredder. The shredded and size-reduced metal is placed back on transport bins and sent to the melter unit operation.

The melter unit operation is equipped with a bulk material feed system and a drum feed system. Contents of the drums and bins are fed to the melter through a bulk feed system. Alternatively, drums may be fed intact through the melter drum feeder.

In the melter, the metal is melted and accompanying combustible materials are thermally destroyed. During the melting process, molten slag containing impurities (and most of the radionuclide contamination) is separated from the molten metal. The stream of molten metal is sent to the metal casting and cooling unit operation and the slag stream is directed to the slag casting and cooling unit operation.

In both the metal and slag casting operations, molten materials are poured into water-cooled canisters. After the cool-down operation, the canisters are sealed and sent to a container washing and inspection unit. A grouting agent is added to the slag canister before it is sealed. Sealed containers are then staged for disposal.

The unit operations produce two secondary waste streams: liquid and offgas. Secondary liquid waste is processed in the liquid waste treatment unit that removes dissolved and suspended solids (organic and inorganic) from the liquid waste. The facility recycles and reuses the treated wastewater so that there is zero wastewater discharge to the environment.
The melter offgas system is equipped with a dry treatment train and a wet treatment train. The dry treatment train consists of high-temperature HEPA filters with secondary combustion capabilities (such as ceramic filters). In this train, any combustible constituents are thermally destroyed and particulates are removed from the offgas stream. An induced air blower moves the conditioned effluent through the wet treatment train, which is designed to remove SO₂, HCl, and NOx. A surge tank is provided for offgas retention for reprocessing melter exhaust in case of a process upset.

In the solidification unit operation, liquid waste treatment sludge is mixed with a binder (such as Portland cement) in a drum. A predetermined mixing ratio is used to produce a stabilized waste form. The filled drums are washed with high-pressure water spray jets at the drum capping and washing unit operation.

Drums containing solidified waste, slag containers, and cast metal ingots are the main output from this module. This output is ready for examinations by radioassay and final certification included in the certification and shipping module.

It is assumed that a major portion of the metal ingots have a limited potential for reuse or may be disposed of safely in shallow land disposal units. The anticipated density of the solidified waste is 1,794 kg/m³ (112 lb/ft³), and the densities for cast metal are the same as their absolute densities. The anticipated density of the slag is 2,755 kg/m³ (172 lb/ft³).

8.2.2 Integration of the Module

Major input is contaminated scrap metal in drums and bins from receiving and inspection (module RCINS or FBSPT). Major O&M purchased materials, such as personal protective equipment, laboratory material, binder, and disposable containers, are assumed to be consumable supplies and their respective costs are estimated accordingly.

Major discharges from the system are canisters of metal ingots, canisters of slag, and drums of solidified waste that are transported to a certification and shipping module. Treated offgas is discharged to the atmosphere.

8.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Major equipment capital cost items include the melter, casting, and cooling equipment; offgas treatment and its supporting equipments; and solidification units. Costs for the preparation and feed unit is based on vendor quotes. Melter prices are based on cost quotes from Ajax Corporation and Retec Corporation. NGK- Locke, Inc., and Callidus Technologies prices were quoted for the dry and wet offgas treatment trains. The selected solidification unit is manufactured by Stock Equipment Company of Chagrin Falls, Ohio. This supplier provided a quote for a unit similar to that sold to DOE for a facility at the Savannah River Site. Figures 8-3 through 8-5 show the relationship between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module. The relationship between PLCC and capacity of the module is presented in Figures 8-6 through 8-9.
Figure 8-1. Equipment layout for the metal melting (MMELT) module.
Figure 8-2. Process flow diagram for the metal melting (MMELT) module.
Figure 8-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha metal melting (B-MMELT) module.
Figure 8-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha metal melting (A-MMELT) module.
Figure 8-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH metal melting (R-MMELT) module.
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Figure 8-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha metal melting (B-MMELT) module.
Figure 8-7. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha metal melting (A-MMELT) module.
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![Graph showing estimated cost versus input capacity for the RH metal melting (R-MMELT) module.](image)

**Figure 8-8.** PLCC versus capacity for the RH metal melting (R-MMELT) module.
Figure 8-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the metal melting (MMELT) module.
9. SPECIAL WASTE PROCESSING (MODULE SPECL)

9.1 Basic Information

The special waste processing module, shown in Figure 9-1, is designed to treat waste streams that are varied in nature, such as compressed gas cylinders, explosives, and lab packs. This module is designed to accommodate unique waste types on a case-by-case basis. It consists of an area equipped with a number of unit operations that have flexible treatment and handling functions. These unit operations contain material handling equipment, such as master slave manipulators and hydraulic manipulators mounted inside glove boxes. The unit operations are designed to be operated manually or remotely by personnel working in the operator gallery. The area is also equipped with an overhead crane for installing or removing specialized waste treatment unit operations or equipment skids on an as-needed basis. The building space includes all wiring, piping, drains, and ventilation systems required for skid-mounted waste treatment units. The cost of this module includes the building cost, including a lump sum allowance for equipment that must be provided on a case-by-case basis. Figure 9-2 contains a PFD showing unit operations.

9.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

9.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

The special waste processing module receives bins containing waste that cannot be treated by the normal process trains in the treatment facility. When the incoming waste composition is identified, a specific process is developed to treat the waste. The process equipment is skid mounted and installed in the module. After treatment, the skid-mounted equipment is decontaminated and either set aside or removed from the module, making space available for skids needed to treat other special wastes.

9.2.2 Integration of the Module

The module input is waste that cannot be treated by other treatment trains. Waste is received from the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module. The system consumables and output are variable and depend on the waste to be processed.

9.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Major fixed equipment includes cranes, manipulators, glove boxes, and conveyors. Cost allowances are included for unit operations that have to be provided on a case-by-case basis. For both alpha and nonalpha LLW, process equipment allowances are $3.5 million for the small module; $4.3 million for the medium module; and $6 million for the large module. Facility operations are based on batch processing. Estimated FTEs and cost versus capacity for this module are shown in Figures 9-3 through 9-5.
Figure 9-1. Equipment layout for the special waste processing (SPECL) module.
Figure 9-2. Process flow diagram for the special waste processing (SPECL) module.
Figure 9-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the special waste processing (SPECL) module.
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Figure 9-4. PLCC versus capacity for the special waste processing (SPECL) module.
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![Graph showing estimated costs versus input capacity for SPECL waste processing.](image)

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M
Triangles indicate capacities where detailed cost estimates were developed.
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Figure 9-5. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the special waste processing (SPECL) module.
10. METAL SIZING/DECONTAMINATION FACILITY
(MODULE DECON)

10.1 Basic Information

The metal sizing and decontamination large generator facility shown in Figure 10-1, consists of 14 unit operations that are used to pretreat, reduce the size, decontaminate, and package the incoming contaminated bulk metals, loose metals, and empty metal containers. Figure 10-2 shows the equipment layout for the portable, small-, and medium-sized facility. The facility decontaminates LLW/MLLW metals to radiation levels acceptable for recycling or disposal in shallow land disposal facilities. The facility must be used in conjunction with either the receiving and inspection facility, or must be constructed adjacent to existing facilities where similar functions are already in place. Module DECON is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. Unit operations that accomplish the general functions are shown in Figure 10-3.

10.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

10.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

10.2.1.1 Small, Medium, and Large Facilities. At the facility, the drums are transferred to a pretreatment unit operation that receives the bulk metals and places them in a shielded staging area. Using remote-handling techniques, the bulk metal is cleaned using a high-pressure rinse to remove debris, soil, and other loose contamination. The cleaned waste is then transferred to either a size reduction unit operation or to a metal decontamination unit operation.

At the size reduction unit operation, the material is cut to the desired size. The size reduction unit operation also treats any air-containing fugitive dust from shredding and related operations. The size-reduced metals are conveyed via a transfer device to the inspection unit operation. The inspection unit operation is used to identify whether materials have entrained contamination and/or surface contamination. Following the inspection unit operation, the contaminated metals are directed to the appropriate decontamination process in the decontamination unit operation.

The decontamination unit employs chemical and physical decontamination techniques to remove surface and entrained contamination. For surface contamination, abrasive blasting, grinding, and other mechanical techniques are used. For entrained contamination, electro-polishing and acid etching are used.

After decontamination, the material is transferred to the inspect and assay unit operation. This unit operation assays decontaminated metals to ensure that all land disposal restriction controlled components are removed. Metals requiring additional decontamination are returned to the decontamination unit. The assayed materials are placed in 55-gal drums and sent to the compaction and packaging unit operation.

At the compaction and packaging unit operation, a lift device places the filled drums onto press conveyors. The operator selects a drum from one of the conveyors and feeds it to the press through an airlock located in the press negative pressure environmental chamber. A device pierces the drum
to release any gases that might be trapped in the drum into the confined chamber. A high-pressure compactor (supercompactor) compresses the drum and transfers it to a staging conveyor (or turntable). A lift device picks up the compressed drum and places it into one of several overpacks located on an adjacent conveyor. After each overpack is filled the operator feeds it first to a grouting station and then to a seaming machine where a cap is placed on the overpack and seamed. The operator moves the sealed overpack to a drum washing unit where high-pressure water spray jets remove any loose contamination from the outside surface of the overpack. Any liquid generated during the press operation is directed to a sump and sent to the liquid waste treatment unit operation.

Any liquid produced from the decontamination unit operation is collected and pumped to the liquid waste treatment unit operation, which reduces the volume and detoxifies the waste to meet output criteria. A combination of evaporation, precipitation, filtration, and centrifuge treatment methods are used. The treated clean liquid is received in the decontamination unit operation, and the sludge material is solidified and packaged in the solidification unit operation.

In the solidification unit operation, the waste is mixed with a binder (such as Portland cement) and packaged into disposal containers. The unit operation has remotely operated devices needed for accepting the incoming waste, mixing it with the binder, and packaging the mixture in disposal containers.

After proper mixing, the drum is remotely moved to a capping and washing unit operation. After capping, loose contamination is removed from the container’s outer surface by high-pressure spray water jets. This unit operation also provides for solidified matrix sample collection. The containerized waste is transported to the receiving, inspection, and shipping facility.

The anticipated density of the compacted waste is about 3,204 kg/m³ (200 lb/ft³). The solidified waste density is 1,794 kg/m³ (112 lb/ft³).

10.2.1.2 Portable Facility. The functional and operational requirements for the portable facility are identical to the requirements for large, medium and small facilities. The exceptions are as follows:

- Major process equipments are skid mounted, and unit operations are transportable
- Primary and secondary waste processing including feed preparation, decontamination, liquid waste treatment, and solidification are conducted in a single cell.

10.2.2 Integration of the Module

The facility receives metal waste from the receiving and inspection (RCINS) facility. The four major output waste types from this facility include compacted metals, recyclable/reusable metals, and solidified waste in 55-gal drums. The output of this facility is sent to the receiving, preparation, and shipping facility. Any offgas generated is treated and discharged to the atmosphere. Operation and maintenance consumables including empty containers, solidification binder, and personal protective equipment are purchased.
10.3 Cost Summaries

Estimated FTEs and cost versus capacity for this module are shown in Figures 10-4 through 10-10. Costs and FTEs for the small generator facility are shown in Tables 10-1 and 10-2. Costs for the portable metal sizing/decontamination module are $191,000 per campaign. Each campaign will require approximately one FTE and will process 2.5 m³ (88.3 ft³) of waste.

Table 10-1. FTE workers for the small generator metal sizing and decontamination module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator metal sizing and decontamination module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>5,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>6,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>12,591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 10-1. Equipment layout for the large generator metal sizing/decontamination (DECON) module.
Figure 10-2. Equipment layout for portable, small-, and medium-sized metal sizing/decontamination (DECON) module.
Figure 10-3. Process flow diagram for the metal sizing/decontamination (DECON) module.
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Figure 10-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha waste for the metal sizing/decontamination (B-DECON) module.
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Figure 10-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha waste for the metal sizing/decontamination (A-DECON) module.
Figure 10-6. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH metal sizing/decontamination (R-DECON) module.
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Figure 10-7. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha metal sizing/decontamination (B-DECON) module.
Figure 10-8. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha metal sizing/decontamination (A-DECON) module.
Figure 10-9. PLCC versus capacity for the RH metal sizing/decontamination (R-DECON) module.
Figure 10-10. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the metal sizing/decontamination (DECON) module.
11. WET-AIR OXIDATION (MODULE WETOX)

11.1 Basic Information

The wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module, shown in Figures 11-1 and 11-2, must be either used in conjunction with the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module and stabilization (PLYMR) module or installed at a location where similar functions are available in existing facilities. It is a flameless organic destruction unit and has a function analogous to an incinerator. This module will not be needed if a treatment facility already is equipped with an incinerator. Module WETOX is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste.

This module collects and treats input solid waste, including solid process residues and organic and heterogeneous (i.e., combustible comingled with noncombustible) debris. Other material, such as organic liquids and lab packs, may also be processed by the wet-air oxidation module. The wet-air oxidation process has less tolerance for inorganic material in the feed. It is assumed that the input organic solids may contain up to only 5% inorganic material. The process is also sensitive to certain organic compounds and, therefore, thorough precharacterization of the feed and strict process control are necessary. Another stringent requirement of the wet-air oxidation process is that the input solids must be shredded and ground to fine particles and mixed with water before they are slurried to the oxidation reactor.

The waste is sorted at the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module and transferred to the module in transport bins. The general composition of the input waste is assumed to be discarded paper, plastics, clothing (textile fabrics), wood, organic sludges, spent ion-exchange resins, spent activated carbon, and other solids produced from typical operations at DOE research and development installations. These installations are typically involved in scientific and technology development projects encompassing bench-scale, prototype, mock-up and demonstration efforts.

Treatment units are provided assuming that the incoming waste contains radioactive constituents regulated under AEA and toxic metal and organics regulated under RCRA. In addition to the input waste, the wet-air oxidation module treats the secondary organic solid waste from other modules of the alpha and nonalpha waste treatment facility. The module has a number of unit operations that accomplish the required functions. These unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 11-3.

11.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

11.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The wet-air oxidation module has all the unit operations needed for treating the incoming organic solid waste, which has a broad range of RCRA-regulated organic contaminants. Organic contaminants may include VOCs, aromatics, alcohols, ketones, glycols, phenols, and petroleum compounds. The unit operations also have the capability to treat the secondary organic solids and liquid waste generated by the treatment modules. The incoming solid waste is presorted before it is brought to the wet-air oxidation module. The key unit operation, oxidation, is a laboratory-scale unit and is based on batch operations.
The incoming waste is brought to the module in transfer bins mounted on transport devices. The bin contents are processed by a waste preparation and feed unit where the solid material is first shredded and reduced to particle sizes of approximately 3 mm. The shredded particles are collected in a batch feed device, where they are mixed with water to form a slurry with about 3% solids. The slurry is sent to the oxidation unit operation.

The oxidation unit operation oxidizes the organic and other combustible material contained in the feed slurry. The process begins by charging the reactor with a known mass of input waste in slurry form. The contents are then brought to the operating temperature and pressure, and oxygen is introduced to the reactor to start the oxidation reaction. During oxidation, the pH is controlled by adding caustic. A catalyst is used to enhance the reaction and to complete the destruction of certain organics. Nitrogen is also added to the reactor to control process parameters. The process typically operates at a temperature of 280°C and at a pressure of up to 1,800 lb/in².

After oxidizing the organic solids (and liquids), the contents of the oxidation reactor are discharged as high-total dissolved solids aqueous waste. This waste, which contains salts (e.g., NaCl) and suspended solids, is sent to a concentration unit. After concentration, the bottom sludge is sent to the stabilization module. The distillate from the concentrator is sent to an aqueous waste module and is treated to remove any radioactivity or traces of low-molecular-weight organics. The treated water is sent to a sampling tank before recycling and reuse.

Gas generated during the oxidation process is the module’s secondary waste stream. This gas is treated by an offgas unit to remove RCRA-regulated compounds before release to the atmosphere. The treatment consists of two steps. First, the gas is cooled to condense the water vapor, and then the gas is dried and passed through a bed of activated carbon to remove any mercury and organic vapors. After initial filtration, the gas is processed through a HEPA filter for final polishing. Water recovered from offgas condensation is sent to the concentration unit. Before discharge to the atmosphere, the gas is monitored to ensure compliance with the emission restrictions established by the facility permit.

The oxidation reactor is heated by a hot oil (or steam) skid. Also, since the oxidation batch processing requirements are highly dependent on the theoretical oxygen demand needed to oxidize the feed, frequent sampling and analysis of the process feed and the byproduct is needed. It is assumed that the administration module provides the required analytical services. Provisions are included for radiation monitoring throughout the facility.

The wet-air oxidation module destroys organics to a level such that the stabilized sludge meets the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) requirements of the land disposal restrictions established by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The treated offgas meets the requirements of the facility emissions permit.

11.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input waste to the wet-air oxidation module comes from the receiving and inspection module; container open, dump, and sort module; and the aqueous waste treatment module. The WETOX output is concentrated sludge and spent activated carbon, which are sent to the stabilization module.
Treated water is reused. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, activated carbon, chemicals, and containers.

11.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

A fixed small generator module to be installed in a new building or occupying the same area in an existing building has been cost estimated. Function and operation of the fixed small generator module is essentially the same as the large modules.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 312 m² (3,360 ft²). The required ceiling height is 7.6 m (25 ft).

11.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Input waste to the wet-air oxidation module comes from the front-end and back-end (FBSPT) module and the aqueous waste treatment module. The output is concentrated sludge and spent activated carbon, which are sent to the stabilization module. Treated water is reused. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, activated carbon, chemicals and containers.

11.2.5 Function and Operation of the Portable Module

Function and operation for the portable module is the same as the large generator modules with the exception that all equipment is skid mounted on five trailers. Trailers A, B, C, and D contain treatment unit operations that are accompanied by a control trailer. Description of the control trailer is presented in Section 21 of this report. It is assumed that all feed preparation necessary to meet process feed specifications are performed by the host site. The only feed preparation performed by this module is to slurry waste so as to meet the solids-content specifications of the WETOX process.

11.2.6 Integration of the Portable Module

Input waste to the portable wet-air oxidation module comes from the generators. The output is concentrated sludge and spent activated carbon, which are sent to the stabilization (PLYMR) module. Liquid waste generated in the process is sent to the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module. The portable module will need to be accompanied by a portable stabilization module and portable aqueous waste treatment module when dispatched to sites without such capabilities.

11.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The feed preparation unit, shredder, oxidation reactor, hot oil skid, air compressor package, and evaporator constitute the major equipment capital cost items. All unit cost estimates are based upon prices submitted by various vendors. The cost estimate for the WETOX package is based on a quotation by Zimpro of Rothschild, Wisconsin. The cost of the shredder unit is based on a quotation by Komor Industries, Inc. of Groveport, Ohio. Equipment sizing is based upon a flow rate of about 18.1 kg/hour for the fixed small generator modules. For PTMs, it is assumed that 2.5 m³ (88.3 ft³) of waste is to be treated during each campaign. The portable wet-air oxidation module has an
estimated cost of $762,000 per campaign. Each campaign will require approximately three FTEs. The small generator module FTEs and PLCC are shown in Tables 11-1 and 11-2. Data is given for placing the equipment in either a new or existing building. Figures 11-4 through 11-10 show estimated FTE workers and costs versus capacity for the large generator module.

Table 11-1. FTE workers for the small generator wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td>102</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>244</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td></td>
<td>390</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,402</td>
<td>6,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td></td>
<td>28,161</td>
<td>24,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td></td>
<td>22,820</td>
<td>23,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,192</td>
<td>2,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td></td>
<td>59,575</td>
<td>56,249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 11-1. Equipment layout for the wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module.
Figure 11-2. Equipment layout for the portable wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module.
Figure 11-3. Process flow diagram for the large generator wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module.
Figure 11-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha wet-air oxidation (B-WETOX) module.
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Figure 11-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha wet-air oxidation (A-WETOX) module.
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Figure 11-6. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH wet-air oxidation (R-WETOX) module.
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Figure 11-7. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha wet-air oxidation (B-WETOX) module.
Figure 11-8. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha wet-air oxidation (A-WETOX) module.
Figure 11-9. PLCC versus capacity for the RH wet-air oxidation (R-WETOX) module.
Figure 11-10. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module.
12. THERMAL DESORPTION (MODULE THDRB)

12.1 Basic Information

The thermal desorption module, shown in Figures 12-1 and 12-2, is used to remove VOCs from debris and soil. Module THDRB is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. The module uses an indirectly heated low-temperature kiln to volatilize the VOCs. The kiln is operated at temperatures high enough to volatilize VOCs but below the melting point of low-temperature plastics and salts.

A large generator module must be used in conjunction with the receiving and inspection (RCINS); open, dump, and sort (OSORT); incineration (INCIN); and stabilization (GROUT or VITRF) modules, or installed at a location where similar functions are available in existing modules. The small generator module must be used in conjunction with front-end and back-end support (FBSPT) module.

This module receives waste from the receiving and inspection module, where the waste has been sorted to remove material incompatible with the desorption process, and size reduced to meet process feed size specifications. Following the desorption process, treated material is sent to the stabilization module GROUT. Offgas containing VOCs is condensed. Condensed organic liquids are temporarily stored, and later sent to an onsite or offsite incineration module for destruction. Treated offgas is then filtered, passed through a carbon adsorption unit and through a HEPA filter, and discharged to the atmosphere.

The module consists of nine unit operations that accomplish the required functions, as shown in the PFD in Figure 12-3.

12.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

12.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The desorption module has all the unit operations needed for desorbing VOCs from incoming solid waste streams of debris and soil. Incoming debris and soil waste is inspected at the receiving and inspection module. Material that is not compatible with the desorption process is removed from the feed. Material is incompatible to this process if it contains low melting point components such as low-temperature plastics, salts, or contains highly corrosive components such as fluorine. The sorted waste stream is also size reduced by shredding at the receiving and inspection module before being sent to the thermal desorption module.

The desorption kiln operates at an approximate temperature of 400° to 600°F. Offgas from this unit is condensed in primary and secondary condensing units. The condensed organic liquids are sent off for incineration. Offgas from the condensing process is filtered by vapor phase activated carbon to remove remaining traces of organics. Treated offgas is then passed for a final polish through a HEPA filter before discharge to the atmosphere. Treated soils and debris, solid process waste, spent carbon, and spent HEPA filter elements generated in this process are sent to the stabilization module.
12.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

This treatment module receives waste from receiving and inspection and from container open, dump, and sort modules (RCINS and OSORT). Output consists of treated soils, debris, and other solid process residue which are sent to a stabilization module. Liquid organic waste is sent to an incineration unit for destruction. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, gas fuel, activated carbon, and HEPA filters.

12.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

A small generator module suitable for installation in either a new or existing building has been cost estimated. The function and operation of the module is essentially the same as that for the large generator module.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 201 m² (2,160 ft²). The required ceiling height is 10.7 m (35 ft).

12.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

This treatment module receives waste from the front-end and back-end support (FBSPT) module. Output consists of treated soils, debris, and other solid process residue which are sent to stabilization modules (GROUT or VITRF). Liquid organic waste is sent to an incineration unit for destruction. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, gas fuel, activated carbon, and HEPA filters.

12.2.5 Function and Operation of the Portable Module

Function and operation of the portable module is the same as for the large generator module with the exception that all equipment required is skid mounted on two trailers. Trailers A and B contain treatment unit operations which are accompanied by the control trailer. Description of the control trailer is presented in Section 21 of this report. It is assumed that all feed preparation necessary to meet process feed specifications are performed by the host site.

12.2.6 Integration of the Portable Module

Input waste to the portable thermal desorption module comes from the generators. Output from the thermal desorption consists of treated soils and debris, concentrated sludge, spent activated carbon, and spent HEPA filters, all of which are sent to stabilization (modules GROUT or VITRF). The portable thermal desorption module will need to be accompanied with portable stabilization treatment module when dispatched to sites without stabilization capability.

12.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The kiln or calciner, VOC condensers, and air pollution control constitute the major equipment capital cost items. The cost estimates for all equipment are based upon prices submitted by various vendors. The cost estimate for the calciner/kiln package is based on a quotation by ABB.
Raymond, Inc., of Lisle, Illinois. It is assumed that this module will handle only debris and soil predominantly contaminated with hydrocarbons and organochlorines. The estimated manpower for small generator module O&M is based on the assumption that batch operations will be used. Design capacities used for small generator modules are 18.1 kg/hour and design capacity of 2.5 m\(^3\) (88.3 ft\(^3\)) per campaign is used for PTMs. The portable thermal desorption module has an estimated cost of $167,000 per campaign. Each campaign will require approximately one FTE. Estimated FTEs and cost versus capacity for the large generator module are shown in Figures 12-4 to 12-10. Estimated FTE workers and PLCC for small generator modules are shown in Tables 12-1 and 12-2.

Table 12-1. FTE workers for the small generator thermal desorption (THDRB) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s) Fixed, in existing building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>31 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>68 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>108 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator thermal desorption (THDRB) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s) Fixed, in existing building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>644 570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>7,593 4,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>7,900 7,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>1,330 1,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>17,467 14,703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 12-1. Equipment layout for the large generator thermal desorption (THDRB) module.
Figure 12-2. Equipment layout for the small portable thermal desorption (THDRB) module.
Figure 12-3. Process flow diagram for the thermal desorption (THDRB) module.
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Figure 12-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha thermal desorption (B-THDRB) module.
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Figure 12-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha thermal desorption (A-THDRB) module.
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Figure 12-6. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH thermal desorption (R-THDRB) module.
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Figure 12-7. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha thermal desorption (B-THDRB) module.
Figure 12-8. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha thermal desorption (A-THDRB) module.
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![Graph showing estimated cost versus input capacity for the RH thermal desorption (R-THDRB) module.](image)

**Figure 12-9.** PLCC versus capacity for the RH thermal desorption (R-THDRB) module.
Figure 12-10. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the thermal desorption (THDRB) module.
13. LEAD RECOVERY (MODULE PBRCR)

13.1 Basic Information

The lead recovery module, shown in Figure 13-1, is used as an addition to an existing facility where similar functions are already installed or in conjunction with treatment front-end and back-end support (FBSPT or OSORT) modules. Module PBRCR is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste.

The module involves nine major process unit operations that decontaminate solid lead waste material by wet abrasive blasting or by melting. Lead acid batteries are treated by neutralization and solidification. Products from this module are lead bricks and shielding, which can be recycled, and neutralized acidity which must be stabilized. Slag containing radioactive particles from the melting process will also be cast into ingots and macroencapsulated for land disposal. Liquid effluent is recovered and processed in the aqueous waste treatment module. These unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 13-2.

13.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

13.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Presorted incoming waste will be received at the module in drums. These enter the processing building and will be opened for inspection. Each drum will be routed to decontamination or to lead melting depending on which type of waste the drum contains. Since the feed to the module will not be consistent, each processing line was sized to handle 75% of the process feed rate. Lead bricks and shielding material will be processed in the decontamination line. This process line will consist of a decontamination booth, where fixed contamination is removed using a liquid abrasive solution. In this process, it was assumed that a 1/16-in. layer of lead material will be removed from the object being blasted.

Drums containing the waste material will be moved to the decontamination booth by powered roller conveyor. The material will be removed from the drum by a hydraulic drum dumper. Waste bricks or shielding will be manually placed onto the cleaning table by means of a glove box and will be moved into the booth for cleaning. After cleaning and drying, the table will be moved out of the opposite side of the booth for assaying. Articles proving to be decontaminated will be deposited into a clean drum. Articles not passing assay are placed in another drum and returned to the processing line. Drums containing cleaned material are taken by crane to the drum washer before exiting the building through an airlock.

Material such as lead blankets, lead shot, and leaded gloves are processed in the lead melter. This material, loaded in 55-gal drums, is dumped by the drum dumper onto a sorting table for sorting and lead battery disassembly. The lead battery shell will be cut off by small stationary circular saw. Sulfuric acid will be collected in a 55-gal tank. Lead internal parts are collected in a stainless-steel 55-gal drum. The full drum containing 200 lb of lead is then dumped into a shredder and reduced in size to minus 1/8 in. Sodium carbonate is fed into the shredder during the shredding operation. Lead paste is collected under the shredder and dumped by drum dumper into the stirrer. The reaction for
transforming lead sulphate (main paste component) into lead carbonate under vigorous stirring takes about one hour. The paste is then dumped into the melter, where the melting will occur at a temperature less than 1,000°C.

The lead is melted in an induction melting furnace. Electric current penetrates the metal causing a stirring force in the melted lead separating the slag from the lead. Radioactive impurities in the form of slag are then separated from the surface of the melted lead before the lead is poured into a casting machine. The casting machine produces lead ingots measuring 4 × 4 × 12 in. These ingots are collected in containers for cooling and moving them into the inspection area by overhead crane.

Smelter emissions are collected by overhead hood and treated in an offgas treatment facility. A secondary combustor rated at 1.13 m³ (40 ft³) per minute is used, followed by a quench elbow and high efficiency SO₂ absorption tower. An induction fan and HEPA filter are on the end of the treatment before the clean offgas is released to the atmosphere.

The unit operations produce three secondary waste streams: filter elements, liquid from abrasive blasting solution, and offgas. Filters in the abrasive blasting operation will be changed monthly. These waste elements will be placed in drums for disposal. Secondary liquid waste including acids from battery disposal and bleed from abrasive blasting will be treated in the aqueous waste treatment unit. The melter offgas system is equipped with a dry treatment train and a wet treatment train. The dry treatment train consists of high-temperature HEPA filtration units with secondary combustion capabilities (such as ceramic filters). In this train any combustible constituents are thermally destroyed, and particulates are removed from the offgas stream. An induced air blower moves the conditioned effluent through the wet treatment train that is designed to remove SO₂, HCl, and NOx.

13.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input consists mainly of contaminated lead bricks, shielding material, and lead acid batteries received in drums or bins from open, dump, and sort module (OSORT or FBSPT). Major O&M purchased materials such as personal protective equipment, filter elements, abrasive media, flux, and disposable containers are assumed to be consumable supplies, and their respective costs are estimated accordingly.

Output consists mainly of lead bricks, shielding, and ingots for recycling. Drums are cleaned and recycled. Slag is cast into ingots and placed in drums for disposal as well as waste products from the abrasive blasting process. Waste acids and water are sent to aqueous waste treatment. Treated offgas is discharged to the atmosphere.

13.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

A fixed small generator module suitable for installation in a new or existing building space was cost estimated. The function and operation of the fixed small generator module is essentially the same as for the large generator modules.
Existing building space required for installation of this module is 334 m² (3,600 ft²). The required ceiling height is 7.6 m (25 ft). The area required for a new building is the same.

13.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Input consists mainly of contaminated lead bricks, shielding material, and lead acid batteries received in drums or bins from receiving and inspection (module FBSPT). Major O&M purchased materials, such as personal protective equipment, filter elements, abrasive media, fluxes and disposable containers, are assumed to be consumable supplies, and their costs are estimated accordingly.

Output consists mainly of lead ingots for recycling. Drums are cleaned and recycled. Slag is cast into ingots and placed in drums for disposal as well as waste products from the abrasive blasting process. Waste acids and water are sent to aqueous waste treatment. Treated offgas is discharged to the atmosphere.

13.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

Lead waste is received in drums. Lead bricks and sheets that can be decontaminated are separated from lead waste to be melted. The maximum size of any piece to be decontaminated or shredded is 12 × 12 × 12 in. Containers will require washing for decontamination and recycling.

Since the quantities of lead waste to be decontaminated versus lead waste to be melted might not be consistent, both these sides of the lead recovery process should be designed for maximum capacity. Design capacities for the small generator modules are 9.1 kg/hour.

FTEs and cost for small generator module installed in existing building are shown in Tables 13-1 and 13-2. FTEs and cost versus capacity for the lead recovery module are shown in Figures 13-3 to 13-9.
Table 13-1. FTE workers for the small generator lead recovery (PBRCR) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator lead recovery (PBRCR) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Type of module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fixed, in new building(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>12,514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>8,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>2,295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>24,194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 13-1. Equipment layout for the lead recovery (PBRCR) module.
Figure 13-2. Process flow diagram for the lead recovery (PBRCR) module.
Figure 13-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha lead recovery (B-PBRCR) module.
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Figure 13-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha lead recovery (A-PBRCR) module.
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Figure 13-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH lead recovery (R-PBRCR) module.
Figure 13-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha lead recovery (B-PBRCR) module.
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Figure 13-7. PLCC workers versus capacity for the alpha lead recovery (A-PBRCR) module.
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Figure 13-8. PLCC workers versus capacity for the RH lead recovery (R-PBRCR) module.
Figure 13-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the lead recovery (PBRCR) module.
14. MERCURY SEPARATION (MODULE RMERC)

14.1 Basic Information

The mercury separation module, shown in Figure 14-1, is used for the removal of mercury from sludges as well as solids. The module can also accept elemental (liquid) mercury. Aqueous waste contaminated with mercury and its salts would be processed by the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module. Module RMERC is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste.

The module can be installed in the same building and used in conjunction with the open, dump, and sort (FBSPT or OSORT) module, the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module, and the stabilization (VITRF, GROUT, or PLYMR) module, or installed in a new building with similar functions available in existing facilities.

The input waste is sorted at the receiving and inspection (FBSPT or RCINS) module, where waste containing mercury is segregated from other incoming waste. Treatment units are provided assuming that the incoming waste contains radioactive constituents regulated under AEA, and toxic metal and organics regulated under RCRA. In addition to the input waste, the mercury separation module could treat mercury contaminated ash or solids generated by other modules of the treatment facility. The module has a number of unit operations that accomplish the required functions. These unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 14-2.

14.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

14.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The mercury separation module has all the operations needed for treating sludge and solids containing or contaminated with elemental mercury or mercury compounds.

Incoming waste is brought to the module in transfer bins mounted on transfer devices. Elemental mercury is separated from other mercury contaminated waste. The elemental mercury is transferred to a liquid-mercury storage bottle, and other mercury waste is transferred to a waste preparation and feed bin. From the feed bin, the solid material is shredded, combined with sludges requiring no feed preparation, and transferred to an electrically heated vacuum retort. The retort thermally volatilizes (at approximately 1,000°F) the low boiling point constituents, including mercury and mercury compounds under high vacuum conditions. A small amount of nitrogen is admitted to the retort as an inert sweep gas. The retort is maintained at operating temperature for a predetermined heat soak period and then cooled. The solid residue, essentially inorganics and char, are removed from the retort, assayed and delivered either to a thermal treatment or to a stabilization module.

j. Detailed composition of the input waste is not available. Hence, it is assumed that organic sludges may include cutting and lubrication oils and mercuric acetates. Inorganic sludges may include those generated from acid leaching, thermal treatment, and mercury sulfide precipitates. Solids may include mercury-specific ion-exchange resin (e.g., Ionac SR-5), rags, wipes, and personal protective equipment.
The vapors from the retort pass through a heat exchanger, which reduces the vapor temperature enough to condense the mercury while allowing the other low boiling point constituents to remain volatilized. These remaining volatilized vapors (mainly water and organics) are burned in a secondary combustion chamber at a temperature of approximately 2,000°F. This gas is then sent to an offgas treatment unit operation that cools and treats the gas by quenching, dry filtration, carbon adsorption, and high efficiency filtration to remove regulated elements and compounds before the treated gas is released to the atmosphere. The unit operation ensures that the offgas discharged to the atmosphere meets the given emission standards.

The condensed mercury is separated from the uncondensed offgas and sent to the elemental mercury storage bottle. The liquid mercury is transferred to an amalgamation operation where the mercury is combined with copper (or zinc) powder, steel shot (for proper mixing), and nitric acid. This combination is mixed to form a copper-mercury amalgam, eliminating free mercury. The amalgam is packaged for assay and inspection to ensure that the amalgam meets TCLP standards.

14.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input waste comes from the receiving and inspection module. Secondary waste received from other modules could include offgas mercury separation beds in the incinerator module and mercury separation sludges in the aqueous waste treatment modules.

Output from mercury separation consists of copper-mercury amalgam, spent HEPA filters, spent activated carbon, and solid debris, which are sent either to an incineration or to a stabilization module, or wet scrubber sludges, which are sent to the aqueous waste treatment module. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, fuel, activated carbon, copper powder, steel shot, and nitric acid.

14.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

A fixed small generator module suitable for installation in either a new or an existing building space was cost estimated. The function and operation of a fixed small generator module is essentially the same as for large generator modules.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 130 m² (1,400 ft²). The required ceiling height is 7.6 m (25 ft). The area required for a new building is the same.

14.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Input waste comes from the receiving and inspection module. Secondary waste could also come from an incinerator module and aqueous waste treatment module.

Output from mercury separation consists of a copper-mercury amalgam, spent HEPA filters, spent activated carbon, and solid debris, which are sent to either an incineration or a stabilization module, or wet scrubber sludges, which are sent to the aqueous waste treatment module. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, fuel, activated carbon, copper powder, steel shot, and nitric acid.
14.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

It is assumed that the module feed stream contains 5% elemental mercury and 95% other solid waste. Liquid elemental mercury can be readily segregated from the remaining solid waste. Mercury contaminated solid waste composition is approximately 5% mercury, 32% inorganics, 42% volatile organics, 4% nonvolatile organics, and 17% moisture.

One retort batch can be completed per 8-hour shift. The estimate for the small generator module operating and maintenance manpower is based on the assumption of operating in a batch mode and processing about 9.1 kg/hour.

Cost estimates are based upon prices submitted by various vendors. The cost estimate for the feeder/shredder is based on a quote from System Service Solutions of Wilsonville, Ohio. The cost estimate for the retort is a quote from Denver Mineral Engineers, Inc. of Littleton, Colorado. The cost estimate for the amalgam mixer is based on a quote from Miracle Paint Rejuvenator of St. Paul, Minnesota. The cost estimate for the offgas treatment is based on the use of a dry filter as quoted by Pall Advanced Separation Systems of Cortland, New York, and a quencher and scrubbing unit as quoted by Croll-Reynolds Company of Westland, New Jersey.

FTE and cost versus capacity for the large generator mercury separation modules are shown in Figures 14-3 to 14-9. FTE and cost for the small generator mercury separation module for installation in either a new or existing building are shown in Tables 14-1 and 14-2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 14-1. FTE workers for the small generator mercury separation (RMERC) module.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of module</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 14-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator mercury separation (RMERC) module.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of module</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 14-1. Equipment layout for the mercury separation (RMERC) module.
Figure 14-2. Process flow diagram for the mercury separation (RMERC) module.

NOTE: MASS FLOW QUANTITIES ARE BASED 100 LBS/HR OF INPUT WASTE.

| NODE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| INPUT WASTE | 100 |   |   |  |   |   |   |   |  |    |    |    |    | 0.4 | 0.4 | 55.3 |
| SORTEO WASTE | 65 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |    |    |    |    |    |  |    |
| ELEMENTAL MERCURY | 5 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |    |    |    |    |  |  |  |    |
| AIR |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |   |   |  |  |    |    |    |  |  |  |  |
| SOLIDS | 34.5 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |    |  |  |  |  |
| VAPORS | 82.5 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OFFGAS | 77.5 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CONDENSOM MERCURY | 5 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OXYGEN | 63.5 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QUENCH WATER | 53 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TREATED OFFGAS | 104 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LIQUID MERCURY | 10 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COPPER FINES | 8 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STEEL SHOTS | 2 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NITRIC ACID | 0.4 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SULFUR | 0.4 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MERCURY AMAL- | 55.3 |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GAMATE |  |   |   |  |   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Figure 14-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha mercury separation (B-RMERC) module.
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Figure 14-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha mercury separation (A-RMERC) module.
Figure 14-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH mercury separation (R-RMERC) module.
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Figure 14-6. PLCC-versus capacity for the nonalpha mercury separation (B-RMERC) module.
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Figure 14-7. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha mercury separation (A-RMERC) module.
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Figure 14-8. PLCC versus capacity for the RH mercury separation (R-RMERC) module.
Figure 14-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the mercury separation (RMERC) module.
15. DEACTIVATION (MODULE DEACT)

15.1 Basic Information

The deactivation module, shown in Figure 15-1, must be either used in conjunction with the open, dump, and sort (FBSPT or OSORT) module, or the stabilization (VITRF, GROUT, or PLYMR) and aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module, or installed at a location where similar functions are available in existing facilities.

The deactivation module collects and treats input reactive metal present as solids or as liquid solutions. The metal waste is shipped to the module in cans, drums, and special transport containers having several different capacities. Treatment units are provided based on the assumption that the incoming waste contains radioactive constituents regulated under AEA and toxic metal regulated under RCRA. The module has a number of unit operations that accomplish the required functions. These unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 15-2.

15.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

15.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The deactivation module has all the unit operations needed for treating incoming solid and liquid waste having a broad range of RCRA-controlled toxic, heavy-metal contaminants. Toxic metal contaminants can include arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, cyanide, selenium, sodium, and uranium.

The incoming metal waste is segregated into solids and liquids before it is brought to the treatment module. Solids are brought to a metal preparation area for radioassay and sorting before treatment in the chemical treatment area as in the case of sodium and uranium, or in the metal classification area for recovery. Liquids are brought to a chemical treatment area.

The deactivation unit operations have maximum flexibility for batch operation. The waste is transferred from the incoming containers to the appropriate treatment operation.

Typical steps for treating bulk quantities of the liquid and solids waste categories are as follows (refer to PFD in this section):

Solid metal waste. Solid metal waste is processed through a metal preparation area where the waste is sorted into the various metal types and radioactivity. Sodium and uranium metal is segregated and sent to the sodium destruction and uranium removal areas respectively. All other metal is washed to remove tramp particles, is dried, and is again radioassayed. Large pieces are reduced in size by cutting before packaging. Metal determined not to be radioactively contaminated is sent to recycle. Radioactive metal is sent to the stabilization module. Metal particles collected by a filter in the washing operation, and dust particles collected by a HEPA filter in the air exhaust hood over the cutting operation, are eventually sent to the stabilization module. Liquids from the washing step are sent to the aqueous waste module.
Sodium waste. Metallic sodium (from the sorting step in the metal preparation area) is sent to the sodium destruction area and placed in the sodium reactor. Here it reacts with water to form sodium hydroxide. Small amounts of hydrogen from the reaction are destroyed in the offgas treatment step. Before the resultant sodium hydroxide solution is sent to the aqueous waste module, it can be neutralized by adding acid to the reactor.

Uranium waste. Metallic uranium from the sorting step in the metal preparation area is sent to the uranium removal area and placed in a reactor, where it is dissolved with nitric acid. The resulting liquid can be reacted with a solution of lime which precipitates most of the metal as a hydroxide, which is allowed to settle. Liquid in the reactor is decanted to the aqueous waste treatment module. Hydroxide sludge is sent to the solids removal area, where it passes through a filter press to remove large particles and through a pressure filter to remove fine particles. The sludge could also be sent to the chemical treatment area for additional processing in the precipitation unit. The liquid from filtration is then processed by ion exchange to remove trace amounts of uranium.

Liquid waste. Waste that contains a toxic metal in solution is sent to the chemical treatment area. Uranium solutions are sent to a reactor and processed with the solutions generated as described above. In the chemical treatment area, a single unit allows for pretreatment with hydrogen peroxide and sodium hypochlorite to oxidize the metal, and treatment with ferric sulfate, lime, and a polymer to coprecipitate metal in a hydroxide floc. The floc settles in a clarifier which is part of the same unit and is processed by the filter press in the solids removal area as described in the uranium waste section above. Clarifier liquid is again filtered in a pressure filter to remove fines and then goes to the ion-exchange system to remove traces of dissolved metal.

The unit operations remove radioactivity and RCRA-regulated metal to a level such that the treated water can be either recycled for reuse or discharged if allowed by the site discharge permits. Before release, the treated water out of the ion-exchange system is sampled and assayed for discharge or for further treatment in the aqueous waste module.

15.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input waste to the deactivation module comes from the open, dump, and sort module. Output includes radioactive metal, spent resin, spent filter material, and concentrated sludge, which are sent to the stabilization modules. Secondary aqueous waste is sent to aqueous waste treatment. Materials purchased for O&M, such as personal protective equipment, ion-exchange resin, filter material, chemicals, and containers, are assumed to be consumable supplies, and their costs are estimated accordingly.

15.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in an Existing Building

Function and operation of a fixed small generator module are essentially the same as for large generator modules.
15.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in an Existing Building

Input waste to the deactivation module comes from the receiving and inspection module. Output from the deactivation module includes radioactive metal, spent resin, spent filter material, and concentrated sludge, which are all sent to the grout stabilization module. Secondary aqueous waste is sent to aqueous waste treatment. Materials purchased for O&M, such as personal protective equipment, ion-exchange resin, filter material, chemicals, and containers, are assumed to be consumable supplies, and their costs are estimated accordingly. The existing building space needed for installing a small generator deactivation module is 260 m² (2,800 ft²) with a ceiling height of 8 m (25 ft). The area required for a new building is the same.

15.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The mass balance summary presented in this section is based on the assumption that input waste consists of 75% solids by weight and 25% liquids. The solids are assumed to be 5% barium, 10% beryllium, 50% cadmium, 10% chromium, 10% selenium, 10% sodium, and 5% uranium. The liquids are assumed to be 25% arsenic, 20% barium, 25% cyanide, 20% selenium, and 10% uranium. For purposes of sizing equipment, each element in solution was assumed to be 500 mg/L. The pretreatment and precipitation unit, filter press and pressure filter, ion exchange system, sodium and uranium reactors, chemical and holding tanks and pumps, metal washing and sorting hoods or glove boxes, and offgas treatment equipment constitute the major equipment capital cost items. Their costs are based on prices submitted by various vendors. The small generator module PLCC and operating manpower staffing is based on an approximate metal waste treatment rate of 2.3 kg/hour for the small generator and 9.1 kg/hour for large generators. FTE and PLCC versus capacity are shown in Tables 15-1 and 15-2 for the small generator deactivation module and Tables 15-3 and 15-4 for the large generator deactivation module.
Table 15-1. FTE workers for the small generator deactivation (DEACT) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator deactivation (DEACT) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>9,526</td>
<td>5,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>3,940</td>
<td>3,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>1,826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>15,771</td>
<td>12,139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 15-3.** FTE workers for the large generator deactivation (DEACT) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Nonalpha</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
<th>Remote-Handled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 15-4.** PLCC ($1,000) for the large generator deactivation (DEACT) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Nonalpha</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
<th>Remote-Handled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>6,072</td>
<td>6,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>9,628</td>
<td>58,935</td>
<td>58,776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>11,600</td>
<td>80,940</td>
<td>80,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>3,598</td>
<td>3,598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>23,957</td>
<td>149,545</td>
<td>149,393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15-5
Figure 15-1. Equipment layout for the deactivation (DEACT) module.
Figure 15-2. Process flow diagram for the deactivation (DEACT) module.
| NODE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| DESCRIPTION | REACTIVE METAL SOLIDS | Be, B, Ca, Cr, Cu, Ni | METAL WASH WATER | WASHED METALS | SIZE REDUCED METALS | METAL DUST | DUST COLLECTION | NON-RAD METAL | RAD METAL | LIME | WATER | LIME SLURRY | LIME SLURRY | U METAL | N METAL | ACID | OXYGEN | AQUEOUS WASTE | OXYGEN |
| LBS/hr | 100 | 65.1 | 1,417 | 84.7 | 84.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 40 | 44.6 | 25 | 221 | 246 | 0 | 49 | 10 | 45 | 0.4 | 1,415 | 0.1 |
| LBS/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 |

| NODE | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 |
|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| DESCRIPTION | REACTOR LIQUIDS | ACIDIC WASTE | BODAMI HYPOCHLORITE | PEPTIDE | REACTIVE METALS LIQUIDS | REACTIVE METALS SOLIDS | CHEMICAL PRE-TREATMENT | FLOODING RATE | POLYMER | PRE-COMPETITION EFFLUENT | FILTRATION EFFLUENT LIQUIDS | FILTRATION EFFLUENT SOLIDS | ICN EXCHANGE EFFLUENT | TREATED WATER | ACIDIC WASTE | SPOUTING | ACID | METAL WASH FILTER WASTE | WATER |
| LBS/hr | 258.6 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245.6 | 19 | 120.8 | 120 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 1,361 |
| LBS/hr | 180.4 | 133 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 280.8 | 19 | 127.3 | 118.4 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 6.4 | 0 | 0 |

Figure 15-2. (continued).
16. PACKAGING (MODULE PACKG)

16.1 Basic Information

The packaging module, shown in Figure 16-1, consists of 10 unit operations that incorporate all buildings, systems, processes, equipment, controls, and accessories required to repackage incoming drummed solid waste in overpacks. The modules can process solid waste and metal waste of various shapes and forms. The facility is used in conjunction with modules TADMN, RCINS (or FBSPT), and OSORT or is constructed adjacent to an existing facility where similar functions are available. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 16-2. Module PACKG is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste.

16.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

16.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

The packaging module is designed to receive drummed waste and to package it in 85-gal overpack containers. In the drum inspection unit operation, drummed waste is inspected for physical integrity. Following inspection, drums that meet the packaging module's acceptance criteria are sent to the overpack, grout, and cap unit operation. Drums that fail are returned to the incoming drum storage area for return to their original location.

In the overpack, grout, and cap unit operation, a lift device raises the drums and places them inside 85-gal overpacks. The overpacked drums are then sent to the grouting unit where the void spaces are filled with grout. The overpacks are then capped and sent to the drum washing unit operation, where they are washed and dried before leaving the module.

Liquid waste generated during the operation is collected in a sump and directed to the neutralization module.

16.2.2 Integration of the Module

Primary input to the module is waste in drums from the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module or from the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module. Major O&M materials, such as personal protective equipment, laboratory material, binders, and overpacks, are assumed to be consumable supplies that must be purchased. Their costs are estimated accordingly.

The overpacks (85-gal drums) containing stabilized 55-gal drums of waste are the main output from this facility. Overpacks are transported to the storage (STORE) module.

16.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

The major equipment capital cost items include inspection (such as RTR), drum handling, and overpack handling equipment. Estimated FTEs and cost versus capacity for packaging operations are shown in Figures 16-3 through 16-9.
Figure 16-1. Equipment layout for the packaging (PACKG) module.

EQUIPMENT LIST

1. Grout Day Tank
2. Grout Pump
3. Drum Pusher
4. Overpack Capping Device
5. Airlock (Typ. 1)
6. Overpack and Grouting System
7. Overhead Crane
8. Roller Conveyor
9. Chemical Mix Tank
10. Container Assay Unit
11. Glove Box Assay Unit
12. Overpack Wash Unit
13. Orter Unit
14. Chemical Storage Tank
15. Pump
16. Blower
17. Self-Guided Vehicle
18. Solidification Unit

NOTE: AREAS ALLOCATED TO SUPPORT UNIT OPERATIONS ARE NOT SHOWN ON THIS PLAN. CAPSULATING AGENT STORAGE & FEED, AND GROUT PREPARATION UNIT ARE NOT SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

PACKAGING (MODULE PACKG)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY SIZE</th>
<th>DIMENSION IN FEET</th>
<th>DIMENSION IN METERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SMALL</td>
<td>75  80</td>
<td>22.9 24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>120 160</td>
<td>36.6 48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARGE</td>
<td>150 210</td>
<td>45.8 64.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 16-2. Process flow diagram for the packaging (PACKG) module.
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Figure 16-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha packaging (B-PACKG) module.
Figure 16-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha packaging (A-PACKG) module.
Figure 16-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH packaging (R-PACKG) module.
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Figure 16-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha packaging (B-PACKG) module.
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Figure 16-7. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha packaging (A-PACKG) module.
Figure 16-8. PLCC versus capacity for the RH packaging (R-PACKG) module.
Figure 16-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the packaging (PACKG) module.
17. SHREDDING AND COMPACTION (MODULE CMPCT)

17.1 Basic Information

The shredding and compaction module, shown in Figure 17-1, is used either in conjunction with the treatment front-end and back-end support modules (see modules ADMIN, RCINS, OSORT, MAINT, and CSHIP), or as an addition to existing facilities where similar functions are already available. Module CMPCT is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 17-2.

For MLLW, only the shredding portion of this module is applicable and has cost information provided, due to the low capacity requirements.

17.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

17.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The large module is designed to shred incoming waste and package it in 55-gal drums. This module is equipped with a dust collection and filtration unit to treat air containing fugitive dust from the shredding operations. The small and medium modules have such low capacities that the expense of a compactor cannot be economically justified and is not included. For the small and medium modules, the waste must be placed into drums before it is further processed.

At the compaction unit operation, a lift device places the filled drums onto press conveyors. The operator selects a drum from one of the conveyors and feeds it to the press through an airlock. A device pierces the drum to release gases that may be trapped in the drum. A high-pressure compactor (supercompactor) compresses the drum and transfers the pressed drum from the press to a staging conveyor (or turntable). A lift device picks up the compressed drum and places it into one of several overpacks located on an adjacent conveyor. After each overpack is filled, the operator feeds it to the grout station where grout is added to fill any spaces in the overpack. The overpack is then sent to the sorting machine where a cap is placed on the overpack and sealed. The operator moves the sealed overpack to a drum washing unit where high-pressure water spray jets remove any loose contamination on the outside surface of the overpack.

Any liquid discharged during press operation is directed to a sump which pumps it to the aqueous waste treatment module. The compacted waste is ready for radioassay and final certification, which are included in the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.

17.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Primary module inputs are bulk waste from the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module and directly from generator sites. Major O&M purchased materials, such as personal protective equipment, laboratory material, and overpacks, are assumed to be consumable supplies and their costs are estimated accordingly.
Major module outputs are compacted drums containing alpha or nonalpha waste which are transferred to a back-end support (CSHIP) module. The overpacks 85-gal drums containing compacted waste are the main output from this module. Treated offgas is discharged into the atmosphere.

17.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Waste size reduction and preparation (shredders), and supercompactor are the major equipment capital cost items. Major equipment capital costs are verified against the purchased costs incurred by a U.S. Navy low-level waste (LLW) processing facility (Babcock & Wilcox facility at Lynchburg, Virginia) that recently started operation. The costs for the preparation and feed unit are based on vendor quotes for shredders, conveyors, and dust collection equipment. Supercompactor prices are based on quotes by Stock Equipment Company of Chagrin Falls, Ohio. Estimated FTEs and PLCC versus capacity are shown in Figures 17-3 to 17-7.
Figure 17-2. Process flow diagram for the shredding and compaction (CMPCT) module.
### Table: Mass Flow Quantities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NODE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>REACTIVE METAL SOLIDS</td>
<td>Sr, Cs, Ce, Sb METALS</td>
<td>METAL WASTE WATER</td>
<td>WASHER METALS</td>
<td>SIZE REDUCED METALS</td>
<td>METAL DUST</td>
<td>DUST COLLECTION</td>
<td>NON-RAD METAL</td>
<td>FAD METAL</td>
<td>LIME</td>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>SLUDGE</td>
<td>LIME SLURRY</td>
<td>U METAL</td>
<td>N METAL</td>
<td>ACID</td>
<td>CFugas</td>
<td>ACID</td>
<td>CFugas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB/Hr</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>1,417</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1,415.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB/Hr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>150.2</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table: Mass Flow Quantities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NODE</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>35</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>37</th>
<th>38</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>REACTION LIQUIDS</td>
<td>ACEDUX WASTE</td>
<td>BODINA HYDROCHLORITE</td>
<td>PEROXIDE</td>
<td>REACTIVE METALS LIQUIDS</td>
<td>REACTIVE METALS SOLIDS</td>
<td>CHEMICAL PRE-TREATMENT</td>
<td>PEPTIC WAXES</td>
<td>POLYMER</td>
<td>PRE-CIPITATION EFFLUENT</td>
<td>FILTRATION EFFLUENT LIQUIDS</td>
<td>FILTRATION EFFLUENT SOLIDS</td>
<td>ICH EXCHANGE EFFLUENT</td>
<td>TREATED WATER</td>
<td>ACEDUX WASTE</td>
<td>SPENT RESIDUE</td>
<td>ACID</td>
<td>METAL WASTE FILTER WASTE</td>
<td>WATER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB/Hr</td>
<td>258.8</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>245.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1,361</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB/Hr</td>
<td>150.4</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>127.3</td>
<td>115.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 17-2. (continued).
Figure 17-3. FTE workers capacity for the nonalpha shredding and compaction (B-CMPCT) module.
Figure 17-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha and RH shredding and compaction (A/R-CMPCT) module.
Figure 17-5. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha shredding and compaction (B-CMPCT) module.
Figure 17-6. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha and RH shredding and compaction (A/R-CMPCT) module.
Figure 17-7. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the shredding and compaction (CMPCT) module.
18. SLUDGE WASHING (MODULE SWASH)

18.1 Basic Information

The sludge washing module, shown in Figure 18-1, collects and treats sludges contaminated with organic residues. The module uses liquid carbon dioxide as a solvent. Liquid carbon dioxide’s low viscosity, density, and surface tension allow for high rates of organic extraction relative to conventional solvents. These physical properties also accelerate gravity settling of the waste material/solvent mixture following extraction. Because of its high volatility, liquified carbon dioxide is easily recovered from the waste matrix using a low-energy vapor recompression cycle, and its potential presence in the treated soils is minimized.

It has been assumed that the waste stream consists of organic sludges and particulates containing up to 10% organics and has a moisture content of at least 50% water by weight.

Module SWASH is applicable to both nonalpha and alpha waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 18-2.

18.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

The carbon dioxide extraction module is comprised of a feed preparation system, extraction/gravity settling system, a treated solids filtration system, a solvent recovery system, and associated utility and reagent systems.

In the feed preparation system, the contaminated sludge is temporarily stored in day tanks. From the tanks, the sludge is screened, released into a feed hopper, and pumped into the solvent extraction system. The carbon dioxide solvent extraction process does not require other forms of soil pretreatment.

The extraction/gravity settling system consists of one or more agitation extraction vessels where contaminated sludge is mixed with liquid carbon dioxide. The number and sizes of the vessels determine the rate of throughput and the degree of organics removal. After each stage of extraction, the agitators are stopped and gravity separation of the waste material and carbon dioxide is allowed to occur. Following gravity separation, the solvent/organic phase is drained to the solvent recovery system, where the carbon dioxide is recovered in a vapor recompression cycle. This entire process is repeated in the extraction vessel until the organic extraction is complete. Once the extraction is complete, water is injected into the extraction vessel to displace and remove the residual carbon dioxide. The water and treated waste material form a sludge which is fed to the filtration system.

The treated solids filtration system includes a day tank, centrifuge, and all required drums and pumps for routine operation. The treated cake from the centrifuge has high compressive strength and is suitable for land disposal. The treated cake also has the appropriate moisture content for addition of stabilization reagents to fixate metals, if required. Filtrate from the centrifuge is collected and purified for reuse.
The solvent recovery system contains surge vessels, a solvent recovery still and compressor, a solvent condenser, and a recycle pump. The solvent and organics mixture flows from the agitation extraction vessels through the surge vessels to the recovery still, where liquid carbon dioxide is vaporized using the heat of condensation from the compressor. Carbon dioxide vapor from the still is condensed, stored, and reintroduced on demand into the extraction/gravity settling system. In the boiler section of the still, oil-rich extract flows to a low-pressure organic recovery tank, residual carbon dioxide is removed from the extract and sent to the vent gas recovery system and recovered oil is sent to organics storage. A low-pressure compressor recovers carbon dioxide vapor from the organic recovery tank and the oil product storage. The vapor is compressed and returned to the still for recycling.

18.2.1 Integration of the Module

Input waste to the sludge washing process comes from the receiving and shipping module. The solvent extraction output is dewatered filter cake and recovered organics. The filter cake is sent to the stabilization module and the recovered organics are handled in the wet oxidation portion of the aqueous waste treatment module. Treated water is reused. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, liquid carbon dioxide, and containers.

18.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The cost estimates for all equipment are based on prices provided by CF Systems, a subsidiary of MK. The equipment used in the process as well as the itemized costs of the equipment are considered proprietary information. The costs which have been provided are total costs for individual units that make up the process. Estimated FTE workers are shown in Figures 18-3 and 18-4.

Cost summaries for the sludge washing module are shown in Figures 18-5, 18-6, and 18-7.
Figure 18-1. Equipment layout for the sludge washing (SWASH) module.
Figure 18-2. Process flow diagram for the sludge washing (SWASH) module.
Figure 18-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha sludge washing (B-SWASH) module.
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Figure 18-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha sludge washing (A-SWASH) module.
Figure 18-5. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha sludge washing (B-SWASH) module.
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Figure 18-6. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha sludge washing (A-SWASH) module.
Figure 18-7. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the sludge washing (SWASH) module.
19. SOIL WASHING (MODULE EWASH)

19.1 Basic Information

The soils washing module, shown in Figure 19-1, is a flameless organic removal unit that collects and treats soils contaminated with organic residues. The module must be used in conjunction with the receiving and shipping (RCINS) module, the aqueous treatment (AQWTR) module and the stabilization (GROUT or PLYMR) module or be installed at a location where similar functions are available in existing facilities.

It is assumed that 50% of the input soils contain up to 10% organics.

Module EWASH is applicable to both nonalpha and alpha waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 19-2.

19.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

Soils contaminated with organic waste will be treated using a detergent washing process. The process consists of a material size separation and washing system, an oil/water/solids separator, a soils washing system, and ancillary support systems.

Soils, stones, and other debris are washed in a primary washing trommel using warm water mixed with 5% surfactant. Undersized material, smaller than 3/16-in., is pumped to an oil/water/solids separator. Oversized material is introduced into a secondary washing trommel, rinsed with clean water, and discharged onto a concrete pad for dewatering. Water from the concrete pad is cleaned and reused.

The oil/water/solids separator performs three functions on the undersized material. First, oil collects on the surface of the waste water retention tank, and is drawn off into a small internal collection tank. Second, the waste water is pumped through bag filters and ion exchange units and recirculated. The waste oil collected at the separator and the oily substances obtained from the ion exchange units are collected and sent to the organic destruction portion of the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module. Third, solids from the incoming waste stream are removed. Inclined plates in the retention tank allow the solids to settle to the bottom where a low-velocity drag conveyor removes the material. The solids are then pumped into the soils washing system.

The soils washing system consists of an agitator scrubber and rinser. Undersized solids from the separator are mixed with clean water. The resulting sludge is pumped to a centrifuge and the final clean soil product is produced. Filtrate from the centrifuge is collected in the filtrate water tank and purified for reuse. Solids are sent to stabilization.

19.2.1 Integration of the Module

Input waste to the soils washing modules comes from the receiving and shipping module. The output consists of dewatered cake, recovered organics, and cleaned oversized debris. The cake and debris are sent to the stabilization module, and the recovered organics are sent to the organics
destruction module. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, surfactant, and containers.

19.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The cost estimates for all equipment are based on prices submitted by various vendors. Estimated FTE workers versus capacity is shown in Figures 19-3 and 19-4.

Cost summaries for the soils washing module are shown in Figures 19-5, 19-6, and 19-7.
Figure 19-1. Equipment layout for the soil washing (EWASH) module.
Figure 19-2. Process flow diagram for the soil washing (EWASH) module.
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Figure 19-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha soil washing (B-EWASH) module.
Figure 19-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha soil washing (A-EWASH) module.
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Figure 19-5. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha soil washing (B-EWASH) module.
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Figure 19-6. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha soil washing (A-EWASH) module.
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Figure 19-7. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the soil washing (EWASH) module.
20. DEBRIS WASHING (MODULE DWASH)

20.1 Basic Information

The debris washing module, shown in Figure 20-1, is a flameless unit that collects and treats oversized material contaminated with organic residues. The module must either be used in conjunction with the receiving and shipping (RCINS) module, the aqueous treatment (AQWTR) module and stabilization (GROUT or PLYMR) module, or installed at a location where similar functions are available at existing facilities. This module also accepts oversized debris from the soils washing module.

The module is designed for processing cemented solids containing no more than 2% organics and debris containing up to 5% organics. It is assumed that 75% of the material to be processed is cemented solids with other debris comprising the remaining 25%.

Module DWASH is applicable to both non-alpha and alpha waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 20-2.

20.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

The module consists of a feed preparation unit, a surfactant spray and washing system, an oil/water/solids separator, and ancillary support systems.

In the feed preparation unit, all debris will be reduced to a maximum 2-in. particle size by a single auger shredder. The reduced debris is transported by drag conveyor to the surfactant spray and washing system.

The surfactant spray and washing system consists of two high-pressure spray wash tanks. The tanks are equipped with high-pressure nozzles to discharge the surfactant solution over the incoming debris. The tanks are vented through condensers to prevent emission of organics to the atmosphere.

The surfactant solution consists of water and five percent surfactant (detergent). The solution is initially preheated and pumped into a storage tank. After washing the debris, the surfactant solution is collected in the sump portion of the drag conveyers below the tanks and pumped into a wash solution hold tank. The wash solution hold tank has an inclined bottom for sludge collection. The sludge is pumped to an oil/water/solids separator.

The washed debris from the surfactant spray and washing system is sent to a third high-pressure spray tank and rinsed with clean water. The cleaned debris is then deposited on a concrete pad for dewatering. The water collected from the concrete pad is cleaned and reused.

The oil/water/solids separator performs three functions on the sludge. Oil collects on the surface of the waste water retention tank, and is drawn off into a small internal collection tank. The waste water is pumped through bag filters and ion exchange units and recirculated. The waste oil collected at the separator and the oily substances obtained from the ion exchange units are collected and sent to the organics destruction portion of the aqueous water treatment (AQWTR) module. Solids from the
incoming waste stream are removed. Inclined plates in the retention tank allow the solids to settle to the bottom where a low-velocity drag conveyor removes the material. The solids are then pumped into the secondary high-pressure spray tank for surfactant washing. The solids are subsequently sent to stabilization.

**20.2.1 Integration of the Module**

Input waste to the debris washing module comes from the receiving and shipping module. The output is dewatered filter cake and recovered organics. The filter cake is sent to the stabilization module, and the recovered organics are sent to the organics destruction module. Materials purchased for O&M include such consumables as personal protective equipment, surfactant, and containers.

**20.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results**

The cost estimates for all equipment are based on prices submitted by various vendors. Estimated FTE workers versus capacity is shown in Figures 20-3 and 20-4. Cost summaries for this module are shown in Figures 20-5, 20-6, and 20-7.
Figure 20-2. Process flow diagram for the debris washing (DWASH) module.
DEBRIS WASHING

FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: DWASH  Waste Type: Nonalpha MLLW

Figure 20-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha debris washing (B-DWASH) module.
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Figure 20-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha debris washing (A-DWASH) module.
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Figure 20-5. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha debris washing (B-DWASH) module.
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Figure 20-6. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha debris washing (A-DWASH) module.
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Figure 20-7. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the debris washing (DWASH) module.
21. AQUEOUS WASTE TREATMENT (MODULE AQWTR)

21.1 Basic Information

The aqueous waste treatment module, shown in Figures 21-1, 21-2, and 21-3, must be either used in conjunction with the receiving and inspection (RCINS) module and stabilization (PLYMR) module, or installed at a location where similar functions are available in existing facilities. Module AQWTR is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste.

The aqueous waste treatment module collects and treats input aqueous waste, which is generally assumed to contain less than one percent total organic carbon (TOC). The aqueous waste is received at the module in cans, drums, special transport containers having several different capacities, or by pipeline. In addition to the input waste, the aqueous waste treatment module treats the secondary waste (floor drains, equipment drains, and chemical wastes) from the alpha and nonalpha waste treatment facility. This module also contains a wet-air oxidation unit operation which is used for organic destruction. The module has a number of unit operations that accomplish the required functions. These unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 21-4.

21.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

21.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The aqueous waste treatment module has all the unit operations needed for treating an incoming liquid waste having a broad range of RCRA-controlled toxic, heavy-metal, and organic contaminants. Toxic metal contaminants can include mercury, cadmium, chromium, and lead. Organic contaminants can include VOCs, such as carbon tetrachloride, chloroform, methylene chloride, tetrachloroethylene, trichlorethylene, and trichloroethane; aromatics, such as benzene, toluene, and xylene; alcohols; glycols; ketones, such as methyl ethyl ketone and methyl isobutyl ketone; phenols; and petroleum compounds. The unit operations also have the capability to treat secondary liquid waste generated by the alpha and nonalpha waste treatment facility, such as distillate from air pollution control (module INCIN), rinse water from container washdown operations (modules RCINS and PLYMR), and liquids collected from the module equipment and floor drains.

The incoming liquid waste is precharacterized before it is brought to the treatment module. For bulk operations, the incoming waste is segregated into four different groups: high TOC liquid [between 1 and 10,000 parts per million (ppm)], liquid with a low concentration (less than 100 ppm) of total dissolved solids (TDS), high TDS liquid (greater than 100 ppm TDS), and mercury contaminated liquid.

The aqueous waste treatment unit operations have maximum flexibility and can be used in series, in parallel, or as stand alone units. Flexible piping connectors are provided at the inlet and outlet of each treatment device. The waste is transferred from the transport containers to appropriate batch tanks or directly to a desired treatment unit operation.

Typical steps for treating bulk quantities of the various waste categories are as follows (refer to Figure 21-4):
**High TOC waste.** Waste high in TOC is first processed through a gross-organic removal unit. Typical treatment techniques used in this unit operation could include flotation thickeners or coalescing devices. The organics from the gross-organic removal unit are collected and sent to an incineration module. The aqueous phase is sent to a suspended-solids filtration unit utilizing typical techniques including super-fine filtration or back-flushable filters. Solids captured by the filtration unit are sent as a slurry to a concentration unit that further concentrates the sludge. The sludge phase is sent to the stabilization module while the liquid phase is sent for final polishing by a dissolved-solids removal unit, which could use a combination of cation, anion, and mixed-bed ion exchange resins. The aqueous phase from the suspended-solids filtration unit is sent to a dissolved-organic removal unit that, typically, could use an activated carbon unit for removing VOCs and aromatics. This is followed by a combination of stripping and chemical oxidation for removing alcohols, ketones, phenols, and glycols. Organics removed by the dissolved-organic removal unit are oxidized and discharged or are captured using activated carbon. The aqueous effluent from the dissolved-organics removal unit is sent to the dissolved-solids removal unit for final polishing. The treated water is then sent to a sampling unit before recycling and reuse. If the high TOC input waste also has a high TDS content, it is further processed through an intermediate step involving a neutralization and settling unit, as appropriate.

**Low TDS waste.** Waste low in TDS is processed through the suspended-solids filtration unit followed by the dissolved-solids removal unit. The treated water is then sent to a sampling unit before recycling and reuse. If the input waste has organic contamination, it is processed through intermediate steps involving gross-organic removal and dissolved-organic removal, as appropriate. Inorganic solids and organic liquids removed during treatment of low TDS waste are handled in the same manner as described for high TOC waste.

**High TDS waste.** Waste high in TDS is processed through the neutralization and settling unit, where chemicals are added to neutralize, precipitate, and enhance settling of solids as sludge. The sludge is sent to the concentration unit, where the water is removed and the resulting concentrated sludge is sent to the stabilization module. Supernate from the neutralization and settling unit is sent to the suspended-solids filtration unit, followed by a final polishing by the dissolved-solids removal unit. The treated water is then sent to a sampling unit before recycling and reuse. Solids and organic liquids removed during treatment of low TDS waste treatment steps are handled in the same manner as described for high TOC waste.

**Mercury-contaminated waste.** Waste that contains mercury (or other toxic metals) is sent to the neutralization and settling unit operation, where precipitating chemicals are added to force settling of the heavy metal as an insoluble salt and concentrate them as a bottom sludge. The bottom sludge is sent to the concentration unit, where the water is removed. The resulting concentrated sludge is sent to the stabilization module. The aqueous waste from the precipitation and neutralization unit is sent to the suspended-solids removal unit, followed by the dissolved-solids removal unit. This latter unit has absorbent and ion-exchange media (e.g., thiol ion-exchange resin media, such as Duolite GT-83 or Ionac SR-4, designed to remove trace quantities of mercury). The treated water is sent to a sampling tank before recycling and reuse. Solids and organic liquids removed during the treatment of low TDS waste are handled in the same manner as described for high TOC waste.
The unit operations remove radioactivity and RCRA-regulated metal and organics to a level such that the treated water can be either recycled for reuse or discharged, if allowed by the site discharge permits.

21.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input waste to the aqueous waste treatment module comes from the receiving and inspection module or from onsite waste generators which have been pre-characterized. Other input includes secondary waste from the incineration, decontamination, organic liquids and stabilization modules. Output from the aqueous waste treatment module to the stabilization module includes spent resins, spent carbon, and concentrated sludge. Treated water output is sent to various modules for reuse. Recovered liquid organics are sent either to the organic liquids wet-air oxidation module or to the incineration module. Materials purchased for O&M, such as personal protective equipment, ion-exchange resins, activated carbon, chemicals, and containers, are assumed to be consumable supplies, and their costs are estimated accordingly.

21.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Functions and operations of a small generator aqueous waste treatment module are essentially the same as for a large generator module.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 269 m² (2,900 ft²). The required ceiling height is 8 m (25 ft). The area required for the new building is the same.

21.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

Integration of the module is the same as a large generator module with the exception that the module interfaces with the small generator front-end and back-end (FBSPT) module.

21.2.5 Function and Operation of the Portable Module

The portable small aqueous waste treatment module requires the use of four process trailers and a control trailer. Each trailer is of standard roadway size: 8-ft wide x 40-ft long. The trailers contain all equipment and support units necessary to treat aqueous waste. Trailer A contains the liquid waste batch tanks, gross organic removal, suspended solids removal and dissolved solids removal. Trailer B contains the precipitation, neutralization, and settling unit and part of the dissolved-organic removal unit. Trailer C contains the remainder of the dissolved-organics removal and the concentration unit. Trailer D contains the treated water sampling unit and all mechanical and utility equipment. The control trailer contains the instrumentation and communication equipment necessary for the operation of the module.

Input waste to the module comes from the generators at the installation. For each waste treatment campaign, the module is mobilized and demobilized. Costs are presented for campaigns involving treatment of waste in increments of 2 m³ (88.3 ft³) per campaign.
21.2.6 Integration of the Portable Module

The portable aqueous waste treatment module is capable of treating waste from any of the following modules if located at the installation: storage, front-end and back-end support, fixed or portable decontamination, fixed incineration, fixed or portable wet-air oxidation (WETOX) modules, fixed or portable thermal desorption, fixed mercury separation and fixed or portable stabilization modules. Output from the portable aqueous treatment module includes spent resins, spent carbon, and concentrated sludge, which must be sent to a stabilization module. Another module output is treated water meeting installation discharge standards. Recovered liquid organics are sent to a fixed incineration module. Items purchased for O&M are assumed to be consumable supplies and their costs are estimated accordingly.

21.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

Input waste is assumed to consist of (see mass flow rates in Figure 21-4) 33% high TOC liquid, 25% low TDS liquid, 38% high TDS liquid, and 4% mercury contaminated waste. The precipitation and neutralization unit, gross-organic removal filter, backflushable filters, ion exchanger, organic distillation unit, charcoal filter, and evaporator constitute the major equipment capital cost items. Their costs are based on prices submitted by various vendors. The cost estimate for the gross-organic removal unit is based on a quotation by McTighe Industries, Inc. of Mitchell, South Dakota. The cost estimate for the organic stripper unit is based on a quotation by APV Crepaco, Inc. of Tonawanda, New York. The cost estimate for the evaporation unit is based on a quotation by LCI Processing, Inc. of Charlotte, North Carolina. The cost estimate for the chemical oxidation using a combination of hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet light is based on a quotation by Peroxidation System, Inc. of Tucson, Arizona. The cost estimate for the suspended-solids filtration unit is based on using a membrane filtration system called Memberalox, whose price was quoted by U.S. Filter Corporation of Warrendale, Pennsylvania. Operating manpower staffing for the small generator module is based on an approximate flow rate of 9.1 kg/hour. Estimated FTE workers for the small generator aqueous waste treatment module is shown in Table 21-1. Costs for small generator module are presented in Table 21-2. Figures 21-5 through 21-7 show estimated FTE workers for the aqueous waste treatment module. Cost versus capacity for the aqueous waste treatment module is shown in Figures 21-8 through 21-11.

The portable aqueous waste treatment module has an estimated cost of $311,000 per campaign. Each campaign will require approximately one FTE and will treat 2.5 m³ (88.3 ft³) of waste.
Table 21-1. FTE workers for the small generator aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 21-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>9,768</td>
<td>7,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>7,880</td>
<td>7,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>1,306</td>
<td>1,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>19,657</td>
<td>17,102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 21-1. Equipment layout for the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module.
Figure 21-2. Equipment layout for the portable aqueous waste treatment (PWWT) module.

Trailer A - Contains unit operation 1, 2, 4, 7

Trailer B - Contains unit operation 3, 4, 5 (partition) 4, 6

Trailer C - Contains unit operation 5 (partition) 8, 9

Service Workshop

Equipment List
Figure 21-3. Equipment layout for the control trailer for the portable aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module.
Figure 21-4. Process flow diagram for the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module.
Figure 21-4. (continued)
**Figure 21-5.** FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha aqueous waste treatment (B-AQWTR) module.
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Figure 21-6. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha aqueous waste treatment (A-AQWTR) module.
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Figure 21-7. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH aqueous waste treatment (R-AQWTR) module.
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Figure 21-8. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha aqueous waste treatment (B-AQWTR) module.
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Figure 21-9. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha aqueous waste treatment (A-AQWTR) module.
Figure 21-10. PLCC versus capacity for the RH aqueous waste treatment (R-AQWTR) module.
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Figure 21-11. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module.
22. GROUT STABILIZATION (MODULE GROUT)

22.1 Basic Information

The grout stabilization module, shown in Figure 22-1, provides secondary waste treatment capability and is used at the end of the treatment modules. The module output is sent to the certification and shipping (CSHIP or FBSPT) module. The primary purpose of this module is to solidify solid and liquid waste and sludge that arrive from treatment modules, storage facilities, or the generators. Module GROUT is applicable to nonalpha, alpha, and RH waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 22-2.

The module is composed of five main process unit operations that incorporate all buildings, systems, processes, equipment, devices, controls, and accessories required to prepare the incoming waste and stabilize it either by macro or microencapsulation techniques.

22.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

22.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

The module receives concentrated liquid waste and sludge via a pipeline. A chemical addition unit is used to adjust the chemistry of the feed before it is fed to the solidification unit operation. A preparation and feed unit crushes and shreds incoming solid waste; the shredded waste is then collected in a storage hopper.

The microsolidification unit operation solidifies concentrated liquid waste, sludge, or a combination of the two. The unit has a remotely operated in-drum solidification assembly equipped with intake tanks and hoppers for sludge, liquid waste, and grout. To accomplish the solidification process, a drum is placed onto a transfer cart. The cart moves the drum to various fill stations where feeders place sludge, liquid waste, and binder in the drum. Next, the cart moves the filled drum to a mixing station where the drum is capped and tumbled to achieve the required mixture. The cart moves the drum for a repeat of the filling and mixing steps to maximize the fill efficiency.

The macroencapsulation unit operation solidifies bulkier solids, such as spent filters, shredded solids, and pelletized debris. This waste material and these objects are placed in a drum, and binding agents are added. Macroencapsulation operation is accomplished by placing the solids in a drum, adding grout, and mixing the two components. In large generator modules, a pugmill accomplishes this function.

After encapsulation, the operator remotely moves the filled container to a capping and washing unit. This unit operation provides for sample collection, capping of the container, and removal of loose contamination from the container surface by high-pressure spray water jets. The containerized waste is ready for processing through radioassay and final certification, which are included in the back-end (FBSPT or CSHIP) module.
22.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Input to the module consists of concentrator bottom from the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module; process residues from the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module, ash from the incinerator (INCIN) module; sludge from the wet-air oxidation (WETOX) module, filtration solids from the deactivation (DEACT) module; spent filters from treatment modules; and drums, containers, and inorganic debris from the shredding and compaction (CMPCT) module. Major O&M purchased materials, such as personal protective equipment, laboratory material, binder, and containers, are assumed to be consumable supplies, and their costs are estimated accordingly.

Output consists mainly of drummed, solidified nonalpha and alpha waste, all of which are moved to a back-end (FBSPT or CSHIP) module. Waste water from drum washing is sent to the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module. Treated offgas is discharged to the atmosphere.

22.2.3 Function of the Small Generator Fixed Module Installed in a New or Existing Building

The small generator grout stabilization module was combined with the small generator polymer stabilization module (see Section 23).

22.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Incoming waste size reduction and preparation units (shredders) and solidification mixers are the major equipment capital cost items. Costs for the preparation and feed unit are based on vendor quotes for shredders, conveyors, and dust collection equipment. Solidification module assembly prices are based on quotes by Stock Equipment Company of Chagrin Falls, Ohio. Estimated FTEs and PLCC versus capacity for the large module are shown in Figures 22-3 to 22-9.
Figure 22-1. Equipment layout for the grout stabilization (GROUT) module.
Figure 22-2. Process flow diagram for the grout stabilization (GROUT) module.
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Figure 22-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha grout stabilization (B-GROUT) module.
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Figure 22-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha grout stabilization (A-GROUT) module.
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Figure 22-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH grout stabilization (R-GROUT) module.
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Figure 22-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha grout stabilization (B-GROUT) module.
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Figure 22-7. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha grout stabilization (A-GROUT) module.
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Figure 22-8. PLCC versus capacity for the RH grout stabilization (R-GROUT) module.
Figure 22-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the grout stabilization (GROUT) module.
23. POLYMER STABILIZATION (MODULE PLYMR)

23.1 Basic Information

The polymer stabilization module, shown in Figures 23-1 and 23-2, is used for micro or macroencapsulating solids and slurries discharged from the treatment modules in the waste treatment facility. The module consists of several main unit operations that incorporate all systems, processes, equipment, devices, controls, and accessories required to encapsulate the incoming waste. This module must be used in conjunction with the receiving and inspection (RCINS or FBSPT) module.

Input waste to this module consists of inorganic debris, spent filters, spent carbon, spent resins, incinerator ash, sludges, and concentrator bottom. All waste input is pretreated to a level that facilitates compliance of the stabilized material with the TCLP criteria of the Land Disposal Restrictions imposed by EPA.

All stabilized and encapsulated waste is packaged in drums and sent to the certification and shipping module for shipment to an appropriate disposal module. Most of the secondary waste is recycled. Distillate from a sludge dryer unit and rinse water from drum cleaning are sent to the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module. Module PLYMR is applicable to nonalpha, alpha and RH waste. Figure 23-3 presents a PFD of the module.

23.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

23.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Input waste is sent to a preparation and feed unit operation, after which it goes to a dryer. All waste input is pretreated to a level such that the stabilized material complies with the TCLP criteria of the land disposal restrictions. At a proportioning and blending unit operation, the dried waste and polymer agent are metered into drums and then mixed in a blender. Once blended, the polymer and waste mixture is transferred to the encapsulation unit operation.

The encapsulation unit operation consists of an extruder that melts and pushes the waste and polymer matrix into 55-gal drums. When filled, the drums are transferred to an enclosure where cooling is achieved by forced air ventilation. After cooling, the drum is moved to the drum capping and washing unit operation. Then the drums are moved to the certification and shipping module for final disposal.

23.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

The stabilization module receives primary waste (shredded inorganic debris) from the receiving and inspection module and receives secondary waste (concentrator bottom, spent carbon, and spent resins) from the aqueous waste treatment (AQWTR) module, slag and lead from the lead recovery (PBRCR) module, and salts from the special processing module (SPECL) and from open, dump, and sort module (OSORT). All empty transfer containers are returned to the receiving and inspection module for reuse.
The stabilization module has three secondary waste streams: (a) contaminated air from the process area, which is filtered through active carbon and released to the atmosphere, (b) contaminated distillate from the drying unit operation, which is sent to the aqueous waste treatment module, and (c) contaminated rinse water from the drum capping and washing unit operation, which is also sent to the aqueous waste treatment module.

The major output waste type from this module is stabilized waste. Materials purchased for O&M include personal protective equipment, laboratory material, polymers for encapsulation, HEPA and carbon filters, and disposal containers, which are all assumed to be consumable supplies.

23.2.3 Function and Operation of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

A fixed small generator suitable for installation in a new or existing building space has been cost estimated. Function and operation of the fixed small generator modules are essentially the same as for the large generator modules. The small generator module can also accomplish grout stabilization. Figure 23-4 shows a PFD of the small generator modules.

Existing building space required for installation of this module is 223 m² (2,400 ft²). The required ceiling height is 8 m (25 ft). The area required for a new building is the same.

23.2.4 Integration of the Small Generator Fixed Module in a New or Existing Building

The stabilization module receives waste from the front-end and back-end support module, the aqueous waste treatment module, and the lead recovery module. Module input includes spent carbon, spent resins, salts, slag, lead, and concentrator bottom. Materials purchased for O&M include personal protective equipment, laboratory material, polymers for encapsulation, HEPA and carbon filters, and disposal containers, which are assumed to be consumable supplies. The major output waste type from this module is stabilized waste. Contaminated air, distillate, and rinse water are collected and transferred to the appropriate treatment modules.

23.2.5 Function and Operation of the Portable Module

The portable stabilization module contains all equipment and support units necessary to stabilize the incoming waste. In order to accommodate all equipment and support units, four standard roadway trailers are required: three process trailers and one control trailer. Trailer A houses the drying, proportioning and blending unit operations. Trailer B contains the encapsulation, the drum cooling, and the drum capping and washing unit operations. A control trailer contains the instrumentation and communication equipment necessary for the operation of this module. The portable stabilization module receives waste from the specific sites where the mobile unit is operating. It is assumed all feed preparation is provided by the host facility. The portable module can also accomplish grout stabilization.
23.2.6 Integration of the Portable Module

The portable stabilization module may receive input waste from the following modules if they are located at the host site: storage facilities, front-end and back-end support module, fixed or portable aqueous waste treatment module, fixed or portable decontamination module, incineration module, fixed or transportation thermal desorption module, mercury separation module, and fixed or portable WETOX modules. A portable module layout appears in Figure 23-2. The major type of output waste from this module is stabilized waste. Distillate and rinse water are collected and transferred to the appropriate treatment modules. The portable stabilization module must be accompanied by the portable aqueous waste treatment module when dispatched to sites without this capability.

23.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

One part of polymer is required for one part of waste. Estimated manpower for small generator module O&M is based on the assumption that batch operations are used and that incoming waste is stabilized at a rate of about 9.1 kg/hour. For the portable stabilization module, a waste process rate of 2.5 m³ (88.3 ft³) per campaign is assumed. The polymer stabilization module has an estimated cost of $260,000 per campaign and will require approximately one FTE. Estimated FTE workers versus capacity is shown in Figures 23-5 and 23-6. Figures 23-7, 23-8, and 23-9 show the relationship between the PLCC and capacity.

Costs for equipment in this module are based on vendor quotes. The incoming-waste dryer, blender, and extruder are the major equipment capital cost items. The cost estimate for the drying equipment is based on prices obtained from Wyssmont Co., Inc. of Fort Lee, New Jersey. The cost estimate for the blending equipment is based on prices obtained from Velmac Associates, Inc. of Novato, California. The cost estimate for the extruder equipment is based on prices obtained from Sterling Extruders, Davis-Standard Division of Edison, New Jersey. FTE estimates and PLCC for small generator modules are shown in Tables 23-1 and 23-2.
Table 23-1. FTE workers for the small generator polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of module</th>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 23-2. PLCC ($1,000) for the small generator polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of module</th>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Fixed, in new building(s)</th>
<th>Fixed, in existing building(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>853</td>
<td>769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>9,760</td>
<td>6,725</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td>9,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>21,478</td>
<td>18,359</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 23-1. Equipment layout for the polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.
Figure 23-2. Equipment layout for the portable polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.
Figure 23-3. Process flow diagram for the polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.
Figure 23-4. Process flow diagram for the small generator polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.
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Figure 23-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha waste for the polymer stabilization (B-PLYMR) module.
Figure 23-6. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha polymer stabilization (A-PLYMR) module.
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Figure 23-7. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH polymer stabilization (R-PLYMR) module.
Figure 23-8. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha polymer stabilization (B-PLYMR) module.
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Figure 23-9. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha polymer stabilization (A-PLYMR) module.
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Figure 23-10. PLCC versus capacity for the RH polymer stabilization (R-PLYMR) module.
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Figure 23-11. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the polymer stabilization (PLYMR) module.
24. VITRIFICATION (MODULE VITRF)

24.1 Basic Information

The vitrification module, shown in Figure 24-1, consists of 12 operations designed to convert the incoming waste into a leach-resistant, rock-like or glass-like material. Vitrified alpha, nonalpha and RH waste that is similar in composition to iron-enriched basalt should meet both the land disposal restrictions and DOE disposal requirements (Roads 1992). Secondary liquid is sent to aqueous waste treatment module AQWTR. Offgas is sent to the offgas treatment unit operation. Secondary waste treatment by-products, such as offgas scrubber sludge, are sent to the polymer solidification treatment module PLYMR. Module VITRF is applicable to nonalpha, alpha and RH waste. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 24-2.

The vitrification module processes noncombustible waste such as inorganic sludge, ash, soil, brick, concrete, and other similar material. The module can process solid waste of various shapes and forms. The size limitation imposed by the crusher/shredder is approximately 0.3 m³ (1 ft³) and the incoming waste can contain as much as 10% combustibles. The module is equipped with a predryer for processing wet sludge and solids.

24.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

24.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Specific operations include an input waste preparation and feed unit that crushes and shreds the incoming waste and transfers it to a melter unit operation. At the melter unit operation, a predryer, operating at approximately 300-400° F, receives, dries, and feeds shredded waste and any sludge that must be vitrified to a vitrification furnace (or melter). Soil is added to the melter through a soil storage and feed unit operation. The furnace melts the soil and waste to form a molten slag. A slag cooling and packaging unit is used to receive the molten slag from the melter and cast it into containers. Containers are then sealed and washed by high pressure water to remove loose contamination from the container surface. Containers are then sent out of this module to the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.

The melter is equipped with a secondary combustion unit that completes the volatile gas destruction. An induced air blower moves the secondary combustor effluent through the air pollution control device that is designed to remove particulates. A surge tank retains offgas for reprocessing in the event of a process upset. Secondary liquid waste is sent to the aqueous waste-treatment module.

24.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Major module inputs include waste from the open, dump, and sort (OSORT) module, waste from the generator sites, and soil. Major O&M purchased materials are consumables, such as personal protective equipment, laboratory material, binder, soil, and disposable containers.
Major module outputs are containerized and vitrified. Nonalpha and alpha wastes are transported to a certification and shipping module (see module CSHIP). Treated offgas is discharged into the atmosphere. Secondary liquid waste is sent to the aqueous waste treatment module.

24.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Incoming waste sizing and preparation (shredders), melter and its offgas unit are the major equipment capital cost items. Cost for the preparation and feed unit are based on vendor quotes for shredders, conveyors, and dust collection equipment. Melter prices are based on quotes received from two vendors, Callidus Technologies and Retec. Callidus Technologies provided cost quotes for the various offgas units. Estimated FTEs and PLCC versus capacity are shown in Figures 24-3 to 24-9.
Figure 24-1. Equipment layout for the vitrification (VITRF) module.
Figure 24-2. Process flow diagram for the vitrification (VITRF) module.
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Figure 24-3. FTE workers capacity for the nonalpha vitrification (B-VITRF) module.
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Figure 24-4. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha vitrification (A-VITRF) module.
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Figure 24-5. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH vitrification (R-VITRF) module.
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Figure 24-6. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha vitrification (B-VITRF) module.
Figure 24-7. PLCC workers versus capacity for the alpha vitrification (A-VITRF) module.
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Figure 24-8. PLCC workers versus capacity for the RH vitrification (R-VITRF) module.
Figure 24-9. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the vitrification (VITRF) module.
25. CERTIFICATION AND SHIPPING (MODULE CSHIP)

The certification and shipping module, shown in Figure 25-1, is the same for alpha and nonalpha waste. There are only minor differences in the assay and certification equipment that do not affect the overall PLCC estimates. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 25-2.

25.1 Basic Information

The certification and shipping module consists of three unit operations: incoming material storage, assay and certification, and truck loading. This module receives packaged waste containers from treatment modules and provides temporary storage, radiological and physical characterization of the waste, and shipment of the containers.

The certification and shipping module is used for large generator facilities only. It is used in conjunction with treatment modules when the required functions are not available at existing facilities. The module includes all equipment needed for certification of the waste in compliance with the transportation, storage, and disposal regulations and requirements.

25.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

25.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Packaged waste containers arrive from treatment modules on conveyors, carts, or other transport devices. Containers are removed from the transport devices and placed in a staging area. The containers are then visually examined, tagged, logged, recorded, and sent to an assay and certification unit operation. In this unit operation, the containers are examined by radioassay devices to allow both alpha and gamma radioactivity classification in accordance with transportation, storage, and disposal criteria. Various devices, such as passive/active neutron counting and SGS instruments, may be used.

Next, the containers are weighed and measured to determine waste density. The presence of material restricted by transportation, storage, and disposal criteria is determined by nondestructive examination by ultrasonic instruments or an RTR device. After examination, each container is labeled and its properties are logged and recorded into a computerized database. After inspection, the container is moved to a temporary storage area until readied for shipment to an interim storage or disposal facility. Containers that do not meet the transportation dose criteria are shipped in a truck equipped with shield overpacks.

The shipping and certification module is equipped with a bridge crane and a forklift. Containers can be loaded onto flat-bed trailer or van trucks. Containers can also be loaded into large transportation overpacks (e.g., TRAMPAC). This module is designed to be installed contiguous to a treatment module.

To allow year-round operations and minimize the effects of a potential spill, it is assumed that the certification and shipping operations will take place indoors.
25.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

Module input includes packaged waste from treatment modules. Input from the site includes utilities, service water, normal and emergency power, and communications. O&M consumables including personal protective equipment must be purchased. Module output includes truck shipments of containerized alpha and nonalpha waste, which are sent to storage and disposal modules.

25.2.3 Small Generator Fixed and Portable Module

No separate or portable small generator certification and shipping module has been developed. Module FBSPT includes certification and shipping functions for a portable or small generator facility.

25.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

Major equipment capital cost items for this module are a 20-ton bridge crane, alpha assay, gamma assay, and RTR units. The equipment estimates were obtained as discussed in this report’s sections describing the receiving and inspection module. Estimated FTE workers versus capacity is shown in Figure 25-3. Cost versus capacity for the certification and shipping module is shown in Figures 25-4 and 25-5.
Figure 25-1. Equipment layout for the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.
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Figure 25-2. Process flow diagram for the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.
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Figure 25-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.
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Figure 25-4. PLCC versus capacity for the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.
CERTIFICATION AND SHIPPING
Total Life Cycle Costs
Module: CSHIP Waste Type: Alpha, Non-Alpha, and Remote Handled MLLW

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M
Triangles indicate capacities where detailed cost estimates were developed.

CERTIFICATION AND SHIPPING
Total Life Cycle Unit Costs
Module: CSHIP Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, Remote Handled MLLW

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Figure 25-5. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the certification and shipping (CSHIP) module.
26. STORAGE ADMINISTRATION (MODULE SADMN)

26.1 Basic Information

The storage administration module is used in conjunction with the storage modules (STORE) and supply all the necessary accommodations for storing alpha, nonalpha, and RH wastes. The modules combine receiving and inspection operations with administration, laboratory, unloading and shipping functions. Module STORE is applicable to nonalpha, alpha and RH waste.

26.2 Technical Bases and Requirements

26.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

Containers arrive on a transport vehicle and are unloaded using a forklift or overhead bridge crane, and placed in a staging area. The containers are visually examined, labeled, logged, recorded, and sent to inspection and assay. At the inspection and assay operation, the category of the received waste is verified against the results obtained from the storage receiving and shipping module. After inspection, the containers are moved to a storage area. The module is also used for shipping and loading containers that are ready for transport to disposal modules.

The technical bases and requirements for storage administration module are the same as outlined in the treatment administration module, receiving and inspection module, and shipping and certification module, except that the assay, inspection, and certification functions are for verification purposes only. In addition, the storage administration module is equipped with a computer inventory system that tracks the incoming and outgoing waste, as well as types of sampling and analysis that may be performed at the staging area (nondestructive) or the laboratory. Secondary waste generated by sampling activities is treated and packaged.

26.2.2 Integration of the Module

Module input includes vehicles that carry waste from the treatment module or forklift trucks that carry waste containers from storage areas (STORE) module. Module output includes containerized alpha and nonalpha waste, which are transferred to the storage bays or loaded onto trucks in containers for transport to disposal sites.

26.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Staffing levels were estimated based on the number of personnel required to support about ten separate support functions similar to treatment administration, receiving and inspection, and shipping and certification. Staffing levels reflect waste input (unload and inspection) and waste output (certification and shipping) throughput requirements. For a large module, staffing levels could support, as an example, 20 drums per hour input in addition to 20 drums per hour as output. Major equipment capital cost items for this module are the laboratory analytical equipment and overhead bridge crane. An allowance is made for the analytical instruments and components needed for a mixed waste laboratory. Mixed waste laboratory vendors have been consulted to ensure that the laboratory allowance is adequate. The crane cost is estimated based on vendor quotes. FTE and cost
summaries for the storage administration module are shown in Figures 26-1 through 26-3. Table 26-1 lists plan dimensions of the storage administration module.

Table 26-1. Plan dimensions of storage administration (SADMN) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module size</th>
<th>Dimensions (ft)</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 26-1. FTE workers versus capacity for the storage administration (SADMN) module.
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Figure 26-2. PLCC versus capacity for the storage administration (SADMN) module.
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Figure 26-3. PLCC versus capacity plus unit rates for the storage administration (SADMN) module.
27. STORAGE RECEIVING AND SHIPPING (MODULE SRCSH)

27.1 Basic Information

The storage receiving and shipping module is used in conjunction with the storage (STORE) module and supplies all the necessary accommodations for receiving truck shipments, unloading containers, transporting containers to the storage, and the reverse operations, as needed, for shipping containers out of the storage facility.

27.2 Technical Bases and Requirements

27.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

Containers arrive on a transport vehicle and are unloaded using a forklift or overhead bridge crane, and placed in a staging area. The containers are visually examined, labeled, logged, recorded, and transported for inspection and assay. At the inspection and assay operation, the category of the received waste is verified against the results obtained from the storage receiving and shipping module. After inspection, the containers are moved to a storage area. The module is also used for shipping and loading containers that are ready for transport to disposal modules. The loading and shipping operations are the reverse of receiving and unloading steps.

The technical bases and requirements for the storage receiving and shipping module is the same as those outlined in the treatment receiving and inspection, and shipping and configuration modules, except that the assay, inspection, and certification functions are for verification purposes only. In addition, the storage receiving and shipping module is equipped with a computer inventory system that tracks the incoming and outgoing waste, as well as types of sampling and analysis that may be performed at the staging area (nondestructive).

27.2.2 Integration of the Module

Module input includes vehicles that carry waste from the treatment module or forklift trucks that carry waste containers from storage areas (STORE) module. Module output is containerized LLW waste that is transferred to the storage bays or loaded onto trucks in containers for transport to disposal sites.

27.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Major equipment capital cost items for this module are the equipment and overhead bridge crane. The crane cost is estimated based on vendor quotes. Table 27-1 lists plan dimensions of the storage receiving and shipping module. Estimated FTEs and cost versus capacity data for this module are shown in Figures 27-1 through 27-3.
Table 27-1. Plan dimensions of storage receiving and shipping (SRCSH) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module size</th>
<th>Dimensions (ft)</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small generator</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 27-1. FTE workers versus capacity for the storage receiving and shipping (SRCSH) module.
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Figure 27-2. PLCC versus capacity for the storage receiving and shipping (SRCSH) module.
Figure 27-3. PLCC versus capacity plus unit rates for the storage receiving and shipping (SRCSH) module.
28. STORAGE (MODULE STORE)

28.1 Basic Information

The storage module (Figure 28-1) consists of three unit operations. Waste that arrives from assay and inspection is stored at a specified location. The module is equipped with a cleanup unit operation for responding to potential spills. The module also has permanent monitoring capabilities to ensure the integrity of the stored waste containers. The module should be used in conjunction with the storage administration and storage receiving and shipping modules (see SADMN and SRCSH) or as an addition to an existing facility where similar functions are already available.

28.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

28.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

The storage areas include features, such as spill collection and a combination of sloping floors and sumps, that achieve compliance with the storage requirements of RCRA. Designated storage areas are separated by 6-in.-high (minimum) concrete berms that extend the length of the storage bays. In bays located along the outside walls, floors slope to the rear of the module. Floors in the remainder of the bays are sloped to the center. Area monitors are included for both gamma and alpha radiation control.

28.2.2 Integration of the Module

Module interfaces include packaged waste to and from the staging or assay and inspection area at the storage administration (SADMN) and storage receiving and shipping (SRCSH) module.

28.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

The storage capacity has been sized to handle an accumulation of up to 20 years worth of waste input from treatment modules. This module includes no major equipment capital cost items. The storage building is the only major (cost) element, which in turn, depends on the size of the facility. Accordingly, a preconceptual design of the storage building with concrete walls and concrete roof was developed for several module sizes. These designs were used to generate an estimate. Estimated FTE workers and PLCC versus capacity are shown in Figures 28-2 through 28-6.
Figure 28-1. Equipment layout for the storage (STORE) module.
Figure 28-2. FTE workers versus capacity for the nonalpha storage (B-STORE) module.
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Figure 28-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the alpha and RH storage (A/R-STORE) module.
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Figure 28-4. PLCC versus capacity for the nonalpha storage (B-STORE) module.
Figure 28-5. PLCC versus capacity for the alpha and RH storage (A/R-STORE) module.
Figure 28-6. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the storage (STORE) module.
29. SILO STORAGE (MODULE STOSI)

29.1 Basic Information

The silo storage module, shown in Figure 29-1, is used in conjunction with the storage administration module and the storage receiving and shipping module for storage facilities. In addition, the facility can accept packaged waste from generators or other sources. The facility receives RH MLLW waste in metal drums and holds them in shielded storage silos for long-term storage. The unit operations that accomplish these functions are shown in the PFD in Figure 29-2.

29.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

29.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

A transport vehicle brings drums loaded in a radiation shielding cask to the storage yard where prefabricated storage silos are located. The designated storage silo is prepared in advance to accept the drums.

At the storage yard, an unloading and staging unit operation receives and inspects the transportation vehicle and shield cask and removes the cask cover. Using remote-handling techniques, the waste drum is transferred from the transportation cask to a storage pallet. When a drum removal operation is completed, the pallet is remotely placed inside the silo. The empty cask and trailer is then inspected, the cask lid is attached, and the trailer is transported either to the parking area or back to the treatment facility.

The vault safely stores the drums for the desired period. A monitoring unit operation continuously monitors the storage confinement system and drum leakage. It will be able to determine when corrective action needs to be taken to maintain safe storage conditions.

Operation steps for shipment of the drums out of the storage facility are the reverse of the incoming drum operation steps discussed above. The storage facility does not generate secondary waste.

29.2.2 Integration of the Module

This facility interfaces directly with the storage administration (SADMN) module; the receiving, preparation, and shipping facility (SRCSH) module; and the waste generator facilities. Operations and maintenance consumables, including personal protective equipment, must be purchased. Facility input is the incoming RH MLLW drums in casks mounted on transport devices. The output is the same as the input. The facility includes security fence, monitoring, and security and access control features.
29.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

Each storage silo holds 16 drums in four pallets. It is assumed that prefabricated silos will be a complete package supplied by vendors. Hence, design cost is estimated at 6% of the silo construction costs. Inspection cost is 2%. Decommissioning costs are estimated at $1,500 per fabricated concrete silo. Estimated FTEs and cost versus capacity data for this module are shown in Figures 29-3 through 29-5.
Figure 29-2. Process flow diagram for the silo storage (STOSI) module.
Figure 29-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the RH silo storage (R-STOSI) module.
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Figure 29-4. PLCC versus capacity for the RH silo storage (R-STOSI) module.
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Figure 29-5. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the RH silo storage (R-STOSI) module.
30. DISPOSAL ADMINISTRATION (MODULE DADMN)

30.1 Basic Information

The disposal administration module is used in conjunction with the disposal (AGDSP, SLDSP, SIDSP, and BHDSP) modules and provides all the necessary common functions for disposal of alpha and nonalpha waste. The disposal administration module unit operations include truck loading and unloading areas, administrative offices, analytical laboratory facilities, and truck inspection and washdown.

30.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

30.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

The containers arrive in a transport vehicle, are unloaded using a forklift or overhead bridge crane, and are placed in a staging area. The containers are visually examined, labeled, logged, and sent to inspection and assay. At the inspection and assay unit, the category of the received waste is verified against the results obtained from the back-end treatment or storage modules. After inspection, the drums are sent to the disposal module.

The technical bases and requirements for all disposal administration modules are the same as those outlined in the treatment receiving and inspection and administration modules except that the assay, inspection, and certification functions are for verification purposes only.

30.2.2 Integration of the Module

Module input includes packaged waste brought from the treatment or storage modules. O&M consumables include personal protective equipment, which must be purchased. Module output consists of certified containers that are transferred to the disposal modules.

30.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Assessments

The cost and design of the module are based on several simplifying assumptions and information gathered from a variety of sources. Major equipment and module cost items for this module are based on data obtained from the Illinois LLW Disposal Facility (Morrison Knudsen/Chem Nuclear Services 1991). Staffing levels were estimated based on the number of personnel required for support of approximately ten separate support functions similar to those identified in the treatment administration and receiving/inspection modules.

FTE staffing levels are based on data obtained from the Illinois LLW Disposal Facility (Morrison Knudsen/Chem Nuclear Services 1991). A $1 million allowance is made for the analytical instruments and components needed for a mixed-waste laboratory. FTE and cost summaries for the disposal administration module are shown in Figures 30-1 through 30-3. Table 30-1 shows the plan dimensions of the disposal administration module.
Table 30-1. Plan dimensions of the disposal administration (DADMN) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Module size</th>
<th>Dimensions (ft)</th>
<th>Dimensions (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>172.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 30-1. FTE workers versus capacity for the disposal administration (DADMN) module.
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Figure 30-2. PLCC versus capacity for the disposal administration (DADMN) module.
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Figure 30-3. PLCC versus capacity plus unit rates for the disposal administration (DADMN) module.
31. DISPOSAL RECEIVING (MODULE DRECV)

31.1 Basic Information

The disposal receiving module, shown in Figure 31-1, is effectively the same for alpha and nonalpha waste. There are minor differences in the assay and inspection equipment that are negligible at a PLCC estimate level. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 31-2.

The disposal receiving and inspection module is intended to receive waste from treatment modules and to inspect waste prior to disposal. It consists of two unit operations: (a) unload and stage, and (b) inspect and assay. The containers of waste arrive at the receiving and inspection module on a transport vehicle. Containers are removed from the transport vehicle and placed in a staging or storage area. The containers are visually examined, labeled, logged, recorded, and sent to assay and inspection.

The purpose of the assay and inspect unit operation is to physically and radiologically characterize the waste ensure compliance with the disposal facility waste acceptance criteria. Based on the assay and inspection results, the waste containers are accepted or rejected. Accepted waste containers are sent to the disposal modules and rejected waste is sent back to the generating site.

The receiving and unloading area is equipped with a bridge crane and a forklift truck. It is designed to receive and unload containers from flat-bed trailers or van trucks.

31.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

31.2.1 Function and Operation of the Large Generator Module

Transportation vehicles are used to ship the containers (in overpacks, if necessary) from the generators to the receiving and inspection module. These vehicles are not included in the module. In the unloading and staging unit operation, the transportation vehicles are unloaded, and containers are placed in the staging area. Surge storage is also provided. Containers may be moved within the unloading, staging, and surge storage areas.

Containers are unloaded in an enclosed truck bay and placed in an indoor staging area. The area is large enough to maneuver the containers and provide sufficient surge storage capacity to meet the desired operational reliability.

The inspect and assay unit operation determines the radioactivity, physical properties, and other parameters needed to categorize the containerized waste before processing in accordance with the criteria established for the processing unit operations. Various devices, such as passive/active neutron counting instruments, may be used. Containers holding waste classified as other than alpha and nonalpha LLW, or wastes not acceptable to the ultimate disposal site, are returned to the generator. After examination, each container is labeled and its contents are logged and entered into a computerized database.
To allow year-round operations and to minimize the effects of a potential spill, it is assumed that loading, unloading and staging operations will take place indoors.

31.2.2 Integration of the Large Generator Module

In addition to general interfaces typical for all modules, waste from treatment facilities becomes input to the disposal receiving module. The O&M consumables, including personal protective equipment, must be purchased. Module output consists of containers of alpha and nonalpha waste that are moved to the open, dump, and sort module or to treatment modules.

31.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

Cost bases and assumptions were derived from a variety of sources. Major equipment capital cost items for this module include alpha assay, gamma assay, 20-ton bridge crane, and RTR units.

The crane cost is based on vendor quotations. The inspection and assay units are based on conceptual designs and cost estimates for a radiological and hazardous material measurement system provided by Lockheed Martin. Budget estimate for an inspection and assay system is $2 million. Figure 31-3 shows the relationships between estimated FTE workers and capacity of the module. Figures 31-4 and 31-5 show the relationship between PLCC and capacity.
Figure 31-1. Equipment layout for the disposal receiving (DRBVC) module.
Figure 31-2. Process flow diagram for the disposal receiving (DREVC) module.
Figure 31-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the disposal receiving (DREVC) module.
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Figure 31-4. PLCC versus capacity for the disposal receiving (DREVC) module.
DISPOSAL RECEIVING
Total Life Cycle Costs
Module: DRECV Waste Type: Alpha, Non-Alpha, and Remote Handled MLLW

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M
Triangles indicate capacities where detailed cost estimates were developed.

Figure 31-5. PLCC versus capacity plus unit rates for the disposal receiving (DREVC) module.
32. ENGINEERED DISPOSAL (MODULE AGDSP)

32.1 Basic Information

The engineered disposal module, shown in Figure 32-1, should be used in conjunction with the disposal administration (DADMN) module or as an addition to existing facilities where similar functions are already available. The module consists of engineered disposal units that are based on the Illinois LLW disposal module design, shown in Figure 32-1, which uses an earth mound and concrete cell concept (Morrison Knudsen/Chem Nuclear Services 1991). Engineered disposal modules for non-RCRA waste and RCRA waste are essentially the same except that a RCRA disposal unit has a double leachate collection system in compliance with the RCRA requirements. Unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 32-2.

The unit operations include receiving the inspected drums from the disposal administration module, placing the drums into concrete canisters, and constructing the disposal units that will house incoming concrete waste canisters. Disposal unit construction includes foundation, leachate collection system, and monitoring system, concrete vaults, and earth covers. Construction of the modules is intended to be a continuous process concurrent with the placement of the canisters.

32.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

32.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

The containers received from the disposal administration module are packaged into concrete canisters that are then sealed with grout. The canisters are transported to the disposal units for placement. Each disposal unit is comprised of a double row of concrete cells with an access aisle between the rows. Concrete canisters that arrive from the packaging area are placed in a cell by overhead crane or forklift from the access aisle and stacked three canisters high. Once the cell is full, it is backfilled with sand and a concrete cover is concurrently constructed to seal the cells.

The cells are capped with an earth layer that is engineered to withstand long-term environmental and weathering effects. The layered cap consists of sandy drain layers placed directly over the cells, an impervious clay layer, a high density polyethylene liner, and another drain layer to deter seepage into the cells. The top layer consists of either subsoil and vegetative material or subsoil, bedding, and riprap. The monitoring system includes sensors that will detect any leakage from the cells.

Decontamination and decommissioning includes module demolition and the disposal unit maintenance. Disposal unit maintenance is planned in two stages, each with two substages: (a) short-term maintenance, which consists of closure and post-closure periods, and (b) long-term maintenance, which consists of active institutional care and passive institutional care.

Closure activities take place during the first two years after the facility ceases to accept waste. Closure includes decontamination of the modules, initial demolition of buildings, site development, closure of the cells, site remediation, and monitoring of the cell performance and groundwater. Years 1 through 10 after the facility ceases to accept waste are designated as the post-closure period. During this period monitoring of the groundwater and cell performance will continue, as well as site
remediation and restoration. Active institutional care is planned for 11 to 100 years following the post-closure period. During this stage, any buildings not previously demolished are torn down and all site services are removed, in addition to ongoing monitoring activities. The last stage, passive institutional care, extends from 101 to 300 years after the facility ceases to accept waste. Passive institutional care includes closing the center aisles of the vaults, completing the earth caps and site grading, removing the retention ponds and retaining walls, and installing passive drains. Site maintenance is discontinued after 300 years.

32.2.2 Integration of the Module

Input interfaces include waste drums received from the disposal administration module (refer to module DADMN). O&M consumables, including empty concrete canisters, grout, sand, and personal protective equipment, must be purchased. The module is intended for permanent disposal of the waste and designed for site maintenance and monitoring as described above. No module output is anticipated for the post-closure period (at least 300 years).

32.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Results

Major equipment capital cost items are a forklift and an overhead crane for placement of the drums into canisters and placement of filled canisters into the concrete cells. Costs for these items are based on vendor quotes.

Estimated FTE workers versus capacity is shown in Figure 32-3. This staffing is based on data on the Illinois LLW Disposal Facility (Morrison Knudsen/Chem Nuclear Services 1991) and a DOE conceptual design report (DOE 1987).

Construction of the disposal units is a major cost item. A preconceptual design of one disposal unit that applies to all modules, including the concrete cell and cover design, was developed based on the design of the Illinois LLW Disposal Facility. A unit cost per cell was developed based on data from the Illinois facility, and an estimate was generated according to the rate of incoming waste and number of cells required for each small, medium, and large module. Minimum size capacity is provided as a lower bound for the smallest economical engineering designed facility. Estimates are based on a disposal facility in accordance with DOE and Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) criteria, but a NRC license is not assumed. Cost versus capacity for the engineered disposal module is shown in Figure 32-4 and 32-5.
Figure 32-1. Equipment layout for the engineered disposal (AGDSP) module.
Figure 32-2. Process flow diagram for the engineered disposal (AGDSP) module.
ENGINEERED DISPOSAL

FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: AGDSP Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, Remote Handled MLLW

Figure 32-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the engineered disposal (AGDSP) module.
ENGINEERED DISPOSAL
Cost by Work Breakdown Structure Element
Module: AGDSP Waste Type: Alpha, Nonalpha, Remote Handled MLLW

Figure 32-4. PLCC versus capacity for the engineered disposal (AGDSP) module.
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NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M
Triangles indicate capacities where detailed cost estimates were developed.

ENGINEERED DISPOSAL
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NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Figure 32-5. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the engineered disposal (AGDSP) module.
33. SHALLOW LAND DISPOSAL (MODULE SLDSP)

33.1 Basic Information

The shallow land disposal module essentially consists of trench disposal without engineered features. The cost for a shallow land disposal consists of three components: disposal administration capital cost, disposal O&M cost, and site closure cost. Disposal administration capital cost is discussed in module DADMN, and should be added only if a new disposal module is under consideration. Since shallow land trench disposal units are commonly used by both the DOE and the commercial nuclear industry, a preconceptual design of disposal units to develop capital and O&M costs was not necessary.

33.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

33.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

Containers received from the disposal administration module are transported to a disposal unit. Each disposal unit consists of an excavated trench. The containers are stacked in the bottom of the trench using a boom crane. After one row is completed, a layer of fill is placed on top of the containers. The cap consists of at least 21 m (7 ft) of engineered fill dirt and clay. The disposal site includes all of the appropriate storm drainage collection and discharge equipment. Site monitoring includes both groundwater and air sampling systems.

33.2.2 Integration of the Module

Input interfaces include waste drums received from the disposal administration module (refer to module DADMN). Operation and maintenance consumables, including personal protective equipment, must be purchased. No module output is anticipated for a post-closure period (at least 300 years).

33.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Assessments

The cost for disposal of contact-handled waste at the INEL has historically ranged from $1.42/m³ ($50/ft³) for 2,832 m³/year (100,000 ft³/year) to $4.25/m³ ($150/ft³) for 708 m³/year (25,000 ft³/year).\textsuperscript{k} Disposal costs have varied considerably because of varying annual disposal volumes. Site closure costs should be added to the shallow land disposal costs to obtain total costs for the SLDSP module. As an alternative, shallow land disposal costs can be compared to rates from commercial disposal sites. Disposal fees at commercial sites include all of the three cost elements. FTE and cost versus capacity for the shallow land disposal module are shown in Figures 33-1 through 33-3.

\textsuperscript{k} Based on RWMC contact-handled alpha and nonalpha waste disposal costs calculated by Darris Bright of Lockheed Idaho, and on a memorandum (JAL-5-92) by J. A. Logan regarding costs of waste disposal.
Figure 33-1. FTE workers versus capacity for the shallow land disposal (SLDSP) module.
Figure 33-2. PLCC versus capacity for the shallow land disposal (SLDSP) module.
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![Graph showing estimated cost versus total capacity for shallow land disposal (SLDSP) module.]

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

Triangles indicate capacities where detailed cost estimates were developed.

**SHALLOW LAND DISPOSAL**

Total Life Cycle Unit Costs
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![Graph showing estimated cost per unit versus total capacity for shallow land disposal (SLDSP) module.]

NOTE: Basis includes 20 years O&M

**Figure 33-3.** PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the shallow land disposal (SLDSP) module.
34. SILO DISPOSAL (MODULE SIDSP)

34.1 Basic Information

The silo disposal module, shown in Figure 34-1, is used in conjunction with the receiving and inspection module. This module should be used for sites requiring disposal of a small quantity of waste. In addition, the module can directly accept packaged waste from generators or other sources. The unit operations are shown in the PFD in Figure 34-2.

34.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

34.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

Waste material arrives in 55-gal drums placed in the transportation casks mounted on flat-bed trailers. Drums are removed by overhead bridge crane or boom crane and transported to the silo area. Silos are constructed in place with reinforced concrete on a concrete pad and underlying liner and leachate collection system. Drums are placed by boom crane five drums in a row and seven drums high. Silos are backfilled with sand, and a reinforced concrete cap seals the silo. A multi-layered earth materials mound covers all silo areas.

34.2.2 Integration of the Module

Input includes waste drums received from the generators. Operation and maintenance consumables, including personal protective equipment, must be purchased. No module output is anticipated for the post-closure (at least 300 years).

34.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions and Assessments

FTE and cost versus capacity for the silo disposal module are shown in Figures 34-3 through 34-5.
**Figure 34-1.** Equipment layout for the silo disposal (SIDSP) module.

**NOTES:**
1. SILOS TO HAVE 0.6m THICK WALLS AND HOLD 5 ROWS OF 7 DRUMS EACH.
2. EACH SILO CONTAINS 7m³ OF WASTE.
3. EACH SILO IS TO BE PLACED 1 1/2m MINIMUM DISTANCE FROM OTHER SILOS AND FROM THE EDGE OF THE PROTECTIVE LINER.
Figure 34-2. Process flow diagram for the silo disposal (SIDSP) module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NODE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>TREATED WASTE</td>
<td>TREATED WASTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITY SIZE</td>
<td>TOTAL CF</td>
<td>TOTAL CF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 SILOS</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>1,024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 SILOS</td>
<td>3,532</td>
<td>3,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 SILOS</td>
<td>17,658</td>
<td>17,658</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SILO DISPOSAL
FTE by Work Breakdown Structure Element
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Figure 34-3. FTE workers versus capacity for the silo disposal (SIDSP) module.
Figure 34-4. PLCC versus capacity for the silo disposal (SIDSP) module.
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SILO DISPOSAL

Total Life Cycle Unit Costs
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Figure 34-5. PLCC versus capacity including unit rates for the silo disposal (SIDSP) module.
35. BOREHOLE DISPOSAL (MODULE BHDSP)

35.1 Basic Information

The borehole concept, shown in Figure 35-1, is considered an alternative disposal method for small generator installations. A single borehole allows disposal of 1.0 m³ (35.3 ft³) of waste. This disposal concept may not meet the RCRA requirement for a double liner leachate collection system. Also, the borehole may not be acceptable where groundwater is within 0.85 m (2.8 ft) of the ground surface.

35.2 Technical Bases and Assumptions

35.2.1 Function and Operation of the Module

A borehole is drilled 0.91 m (3 ft) in diameter and 7.6 m (25 ft) deep. Concrete is placed in the lower 0.6 m (2 ft) of the hole. Waste material arrives in 55-gal drums placed in the transportation casks mounted on flat-bed trailers. Five drums are lowered into the hole, stacked one on top of another. The hole is grouted around the drums to the surface. A cover, consisting of a 2.4 m (8 ft) thick concrete slab, is constructed atop the borehole. A 2.1 m (7 ft) thick engineered earth cover is constructed on top of the concrete cover. Boreholes installed in low- versus high-sensitivity areas would be constructed in a similar manner. Additional site characterization would be required at high-sensitivity areas.

In low-sensitivity areas, such as at existing DOE sites, which are well above the water table, and with borehole installation associated with the installation of other modules for which NEPA permitting activities have been included, the borehole would require minimal attention.

In high-sensitivity areas, such as a non-DOE facility that is near a populated area, close to the water table, and requiring NEPA permitting activities or front-end support, a much higher level of attention throughout its life term would be necessary.

35.2.2 Integration of the Module

Input includes waste drums received from storage. Operation and maintenance consumables, including personnel protective equipment, must be purchased. No module output is anticipated for a lengthy time period (at least 300 years).

35.3 Cost Bases, Assumptions, and Results

The major capital cost item is the drill rig if subcontracting the drilling is not feasible. Costs for excavating and completing the borehole for use in disposal is based on past experience with similar excavations and wells used for other purposes. Table 35-1 presents estimates of FTE workers and PLCC for each borehole at both low- and high-sensitivity areas. Data shown in Table 35-1 do not include site characterization or NEPA for the low-sensitivity area, but do include it, plus front-end support, for the high-sensitivity area. If detailed site characterization and NEPA are required for the low-sensitivity area, an estimated cost of $7.4 million should be added to the operation-budget-funded
activities. The cost for 100 years of active monitoring and 200 years of inactive monitoring is included in the $14.77 million for D&D.

Table 35-1. FTE workers and PLCC ($1,000) per excavation for the borehole disposal module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost element</th>
<th>Low-sensitivity</th>
<th>High-sensitivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PLCC FTE workers</td>
<td>PLCC FTE workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.0) Preoperations</td>
<td>32 0</td>
<td>7,059 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.0) Construction</td>
<td>52 1</td>
<td>437 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3.0) O&amp;M (20 years)</td>
<td>568 4</td>
<td>8,200 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4.0) D&amp;D</td>
<td>1,757 7</td>
<td>14,770 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cost elements (total for 20 years O&amp;M)</td>
<td>2,409 12</td>
<td>30,466 161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 35-1. Equipment layout for the borehole disposal (BHDSP) module.
36. COST ESTIMATION PROCEDURE

36.1 Overview

To this point, this report describes how the costs and FTEs of the various TSD modules were estimated. These costs and FTEs were estimated over a wide range of input capacities. These data were used to define cost and FTE versus capacity relationships for large generator modules, represented by curves on a graph. For fixed small generator and portable modules, tables containing a single cost and FTE data are presented. The data in these tables are applicable to a limited capacity range of the lowest end of the given treatment modules. From either a curve or a table, one can estimate the costs and FTEs of a module given its capacity. From a group of such curves and tables, one can estimate the FTEs and cost for treating, storing, and disposing of a given inventory of any combination of nonalpha and alpha MLLW. A combination of modules that treats, stores, and disposes of a given inventory of such waste is referred to as a treatment scenario. Figure 36-1 shows how modules can be combined to create a treatment facility based on thermal desorption of debris. Figure 36-2 shows a layout of a thermal (flame) integrated facility; and Figure 36-3 shows a layout of a nonthermal (flameless) facility.

The cost estimation procedure in this section has been developed to allow the reader to estimate the total cost of a scenario for treating, storing, and disposing of this waste. The scenario estimation procedure essentially consists of three major steps which are discussed in the following subsections.

36.2 Waste Loads Definition

In the waste loads definition step, the capacity requirement for each module is defined. To use the WMPCI cost and FTE data, the total capacity requirements need to be converted into the appropriate processing rate (e.g., kg/hour, m³/hour) or total storage or disposal capacities (e.g., m³) by developing operating assumptions. There are three basic calculations that an estimator may need to use. These calculations are required to establish treatment processing rates, storage and disposal input/throughput rates, and storage and disposal total volumetric requirements. The basic calculations and examples are provided as follows:

1. **Front-end and treatment modules.** The total unprocessed waste volume (m³) can be converted to a treatment input capacity processing rate (kg/hour) by the following calculations. The total volume in cubic meters (m³) is multiplied by the unprocessed waste density (kg/m³) to obtain the total mass in kilograms (kg). This mass is divided by the total hours of facility (module) operations. An example is as follows: (1,000 m³ × 1,242 kg/m³ (density of soil) / (4,032 hours/year × 20 years of operation) = 15.4 kg/hour processing rate for 20 years.

2. **Storage administration, storage receiving and shipping, and disposal administration.** The total processed waste (kg/hour) can be converted to a post-treatment storage or disposal throughput capacity (m³/hour) by the following calculations. The processed waste rate (kg/hour) is divided by the processed waste density (kg/m³) to obtain the throughput capacity rate for storage or disposal. An example is as follows: 1,000 kg/hour /
2,013 kg/m³ (density of soils that have been processed and grouted) = 0.50 m³/hour storage input/output (or disposal input) capacity.

3. **Storage and disposal modules.** The storage administration, storage receiving and shipping, and disposal administration throughput rates (m³/hour) can be converted into total volumes (m³) for storage or disposal by the following calculations. The storage input/output (or disposal input) capacity is multiplied by the total hours of facility (module) operations. An example is as follows: (0.50 m³/hour x 4,032 hours/year x 20 years of operation) = 40,320 m³ total volume for storage (or disposal).

These calculations would need to be completed for existing or new facilities. The existing facility capacities are used for estimating O&M and D&D costs and FTEs only. New facility capacities are used to define the preoperations, facility construction, O&M, and D&D costs, and FTEs.

There are three types of modules in the WMFCI report: treatment, storage, and disposal. Processing rates for each type of module may be defined as described in the following sections.

### 36.2.1 Large Generator Facility Treatment Waste Loads

Large generator facility treatment waste loads may be documented in a data sheet similar to that shown in Figure 36-4. As shown, the treatment modules are separated into four categories which are discussed below.

1. **Treatment front-end modules.** To estimate cost and FTEs for the front-end module, the total processing rate of the treatment facility must be defined. The processing rate of the treatment facility is used to size the treatment front-end modules even though some of the modules (e.g., maintenance module) do not process the input waste per se. For large generator facilities, there are four front-end modules: treatment administration (analytical laboratory and administration building); receiving and inspection; open, dump and sort; and maintenance. The user must define both the existing and new module loads for each of the four modules.

2. **Primary treatment modules.** The total treatment processing rate must be subdivided according to the processing needs. The treatment modules in this report are designed to satisfy the processing needs of 32 waste matrix categories. The waste matrix categories are defined in Kirkpatrick 1995. Primary treatment modules are selected based on the processing requirements and the contaminants present in the waste. Processing rates for each module identified in the treatment train need to be specified.

3. **Secondary treatment and stabilization modules.** The processing rate for the secondary treatment and stabilization modules must be defined by considering two sources. The first source is the input unprocessed waste that bypasses the primary treatment modules. For example, the vitrification module will receive both the ash from an incinerator plus inorganic solids that do not need primary treatment. The second source is the secondary waste from the primary treatment systems. For example, the concentrated aqueous waste
discharged from the aqueous waste treatment module will have to be treated by the polymer stabilization module. The secondary waste generation rates can be determined from the mass balance data depicted on the PFDs. Of the four secondary waste treatment and stabilization modules, polymer and grout stabilization and shredding and compaction modules are used for the nonflame and LDR compliance treatment strategies (the shredding module is used to compress and microencapsulate the output waste from the thermal desorption module). The vitrification module is used in the vitrification treatment strategy.

4. **Treatment back-end module.** The waste load for the back-end module is defined by summing the output waste from the secondary treatment and stabilization modules. There are also some wastes that do not require secondary treatment (e.g., amalgamated waste from the mercury separation module), which must be added to the sum of the output from the secondary treatment and stabilization modules.

### 36.2.2 Small Generator Facility and Portable Treatment Waste Loads

The fixed small generator treatment facility and the portable treatment trailer concept are useful for installations requiring an overall processing rate of less than approximately 19.8 m³/year (700 ft³/year). The FTEs and cost data in this report are included for estimating a fixed small generator module either in an existing building space or in a new building. The fixed small generator and portable treatment waste loads may be documented in a data sheet similar to that shown in Figure 36-5. As shown, the small generator facility has three categories of modules because all of the front-end and back-end functions are combined into a single module (FBSPT). There are also fewer primary and secondary treatment modules than with a large generator facility because of the expectation that a more limited variety of waste will be treated at a small generator facility.

The processing rate for the small generator modules can be determined the same way as for the large generator modules referenced earlier in this section. The portable module capacity is defined by determining the number of treatment campaigns.

### 36.2.3 Storage and Disposal Waste Loads

Unlike the treatment modules, which use mass feed rates as waste loads, storage and disposal capacities are expressed in volumetric feed rates or as total volumes (i.e., stored, disposal). Figure 36-6 may be used to document the storage and disposal feed rates. As shown, there are five storage and disposal module categories: storage administration, storage receiving and shipping, storage, disposal administration, and disposal. As with treatment, the existing and new capacities must be defined for each of the five categories.

### 36.3 Estimating TSDs Facility Cost and FTEs

Estimates of FTEs and PLCC for TSD facilities are prepared based on the processing requirements developed in the waste load definition step. The corresponding FTEs and cost for each module are developed by referring to the FTEs and cost versus capacity curves and tables given in this report. For existing capacities, operating costs (which consists of O&M and D&D costs) will need to be defined. For new capacity needs, the facility construction and preoperation estimates must
be added to the facility O&M and D&D costs. To obtain total TSD facilities cost, a sum of the cost or FTEs from all TSD modules must be prepared.

36.4 Transportation Costs

Transportation costs can be estimated by defining the total volume of waste to be transported and the distance in each of the potential transport segments (e.g., from generator to treatment facility, from treatment facility to storage facility, or from storage facility to disposal facility). Once the volumes and mileages are defined for each transportation segment, the cost data presented in Feizollahi et al. 1995 can be used to calculate the number of shipments and the associated transportation costs.
Figure 36-1. Layout for an integrated treatment facility based on thermal desorption of debris.
Figure 36-2. Layout for a thermal (flame) full-capability integrated treatment facility.
Figure 36-3. Layout for a nonthermal (flameless) full capability integrated treatment facility.
Figure 36-4. Treatment waste load data sheet for a large generator facility.
Figure 36-5. Treatment waste load data sheet for a small generator facility.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modules</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Total Capacity</th>
<th>Existing Capacity</th>
<th>New Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Storage front-end and back-end support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>① Storage administration/Storage receiving and shipping</td>
<td>m³/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Storage</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>③ Silo storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Disposal front-end</td>
<td>m³/hr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>④ Disposal administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Disposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⑤ Engineered disposal</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⑥ Shallow land disposal</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⑦ Silo disposal</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>⑧ Borehole disposal</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 36-6.** Storage and disposal waste load data sheet.
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