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Efficiency tests have been conducted on a single-stage impulse turbine having an 11.0-inch pitch-line diameter wheel. The tests were made to determine the effect of inlet pressure, inlet temperature, speed, and pressure ratio on the turbine efficiency. An analysis is presented that relates the effect of inlet pressure and temperature to the Reynolds number of the flow. The agreement between the analysis and the experimental data indicates that the changes in turbine efficiency with inlet pressure and temperature may be principally a Reynolds number effect.

**INTRODUCTION**

The efficiency of turbines is conventionally represented as a function of the blade-to-jet speed ratio and the pressure ratio. Some data are available in the literature on the effect of these variables on turbine performance. It has been generally assumed that the effects on performance of inlet pressure and temperature for a given blade-to-jet speed ratio and pressure ratio are small. No data on these effects could be found.

Adequate test facilities are not always available for testing turbines under actual operating conditions and experimenters often test at the proper blade-to-jet speed ratios and pressure ratios but at inlet temperatures and pressures that differ from actual operating conditions. Because of the need for information on the effects of inlet temperature and pressure in addition to the effects of blade-to-jet speed ratio and pressure ratio, the NACA Cleveland laboratory conducted tests from July to October 1944 on an exhaust-gas turbine using both hot gases and air as the driving fluids. These tests cover a range of inlet pressures from 10 to 60 inches.
of mercury absolute, inlet temperatures from 550° to 2000° F absolute, and pressure ratios from 1.4 to 5.2. A method of correlation of the data is presented in this report.

An attempt is also made to correlate the effects of inlet pressure and temperature by introducing the Reynolds number. An analysis that shows the relation of the inlet pressure and temperature to the Reynolds number is presented.

APPARATUS

A single-stage impulse turbine having an 11.0-inch pitch-line diameter wheel with inserted buckets and a fabricated nozzle diaphragm was tested. Bucket-to-nozzle clearance was set at 0.138 inch. A high-speed hydraulic dynamometer was coupled to the shaft to absorb the turbine power. The general arrangement of the experimental test setup and the piping system including the hot-gas producer is shown in figures 1 and 2.

The turbine was driven by air at 550° F absolute and hot gases at various inlet temperatures and pressures. An A.S.M.E. orifice installed in the combustion-air piping ahead of the hot-gas producer was used to measure the air flow. The gas temperature at the nozzle-box inlet was measured with a quadruple-shielded chromel-alumel thermocouple and a self-balancing potentiometer. This temperature was taken to be the total temperature at the nozzle-box inlet. The static pressure at the nozzle-box inlet was measured in a manifold connected to four pressure taps in the same cross section of the inlet pipe.

The driving fluid was discharged from the turbine into a plenum chamber, which was directly connected to an altitude-exhaust system. The static pressure in the plenum chamber was taken as the bucket discharge pressure \( p_d \). The static-pressure tap for measuring the discharge pressure was located about 1/2 inch behind the external cooling cap, as shown by the detailed sketch in figure 3. The end of the pressure-tap tube was plugged and a hole was drilled about 1/4 inch from the end of the tube on the downstream side. All pressures were measured with mercury manometers. The location of the points where temperature and pressure measurements were made is shown in figure 3.

Leakage of air between the atmosphere and the turbine was prevented by a housing around the turbine-bearing assembly and by plugs welded into the openings in the annular support between the nozzle box and the nozzle-box baffle. A labyrinth seal gland was installed around the turbine shaft between the housing and a pressure-balancing
chamber, which could be evacuated by a jet pump or pressurized by compressed air. Leakage of air between the chamber and the housing was prevented by adjusting the pressure in the pressure-balancing chamber until a manometer connected to the two spaces between the rings of the labyrinth seal gland showed zero pressure drop. Cooling caps placed in close proximity to both sides of the turbine wheel and cooled by water provided some cooling of the wheel and the bearing housing.

Dynamometer-torque measurements were made with an NACA balanced-diaphragm torque indicator (reference 1). The turbine speed was measured with a standard engine tachometer. The tachometer was fitted with an enlarged indicating dial having very fine divisions to increase the accuracy and the ease of reading the instrument. Two tachometer generators were used to cover the range of turbine speeds in this test. One of the generators turned at the same speed as the turbine tachometer drive and the other turned at twice the speed. The higher-speed generator was used at the low turbine speeds for greater accuracy of speed measurement.

The nozzle box was insulated for the final series of tests. The insulation used was an asbestos base material, which was plastered directly on the nozzle box with a thickness from 1 to 2 inches.

A cross section of the hot-gas producer is shown in figure 4. Air passes through the orifice, enters the producer near the top, and flows downward through the annular space between the inner and the outer shells to provide cooling for the shells. The air is turned through an arc of 180° at the bottom of the producer, where the flow is divided between the space provided for the igniter-nozzle assemblies and the two vaned sections.

The producer has eight igniter-nozzle assemblies and can be operated with any number of the igniter-nozzle assemblies in use. Each igniter assembly has a fuel-spray nozzle with a double-electrode igniter, which furnishes continuous ignition. The fuel flow to the nozzles is individually controlled by needle valves in the lines to the fuel nozzles. The temperature of the gas is varied by using a different size or number of nozzles. Small adjustments of temperature are made by throttling the fuel lines to change the fuel pressure at the spray nozzles. The fuel flow is measured with a calibrated rotameter.

The air is heated by burning gasoline, which is injected through the fuel nozzles. No fuel was burned for the tests at 550° F absolute. The gases flow from the producer to the turbine nozzle box through a pipe approximately 23 feet long and insulated with a 3-inch thickness of mixed asbestos fiber and milled silice held in place by
a larger duct that encloses the pipe conveying the hot gases. A diagram of the inlet pipe is shown in figure 4. The pipe diameter changes from 10 to 6 inches before it is connected to the turbine nozzle box.

Before the turbine was installed, temperature and total-pressure surveys were made in the piping system at a point approximately 8 inches upstream from the flange to which the nozzle box was attached. Traverses were made across 2 diameters of the 6-inch pipe at right angles to each other. At a gas temperature of 1400°F, a variation of 100°F was found. Total-pressure surveys were made at different gas temperatures for a weight flow of approximately 2.2 pounds per second. There was no variation in total pressure within a 5-inch-diameter circle with its center line on the center line of the 6-inch pipe. At points 1/4 inch from the pipe wall, the total pressure was found to be approximately 75 percent of the total pressure measured in the center of the pipe. These tests indicated that the velocity and the temperature profiles across the pipe were very uniform.

Although these surveys were made with impact tubes, the total pressure at the inlet to the turbine for the test data was computed by adding the measured static pressure at the nozzle-box inlet to the average velocity pressure computed from the continuity equation. The use of the continuity equation was justified by the existence of the flat velocity and temperature profiles.

The outside wheel diameter was measured at intervals throughout the tests. No measurable stretching of the wheel was observed at the end of the tests.

ACCURACY

The method suggested by the A.S.M.E. for estimating the accuracy of measurement of air flow utilizing their orifice data gives a probable error of ±1.17 percent. Turbine-shaft torque was measured to the nearest 0.15 foot-pound. The tachometers were calibrated and the readings taken in the tests were corrected by use of the calibration curve. The turbine-speed measurements were accurate to ±15 rpm for speeds from 0 to 17,000 rpm and ±30 rpm for speeds from 17,000 to 21,000 rpm. All pressure readings were taken to 0.05 inch of mercury.

TESTS

Efficiency tests were made over a range of inlet temperatures and pressures and pressure ratios. The following table shows the approximate test conditions:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure ratio $\frac{P_i}{P_d}$</th>
<th>Total inlet pressure $P_i$ (in. Hg abs.)</th>
<th>Total inlet temperature $T_i$ (°F abs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tests with uninsulated nozzle box; speed range, 3000 to 21,000 rpm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4, 2.2, 3.0, 3.9, 5.2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4, 2.2, 3.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4, 2.2, 3.0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests with uninsulated nozzle box; constant blade-to-jet speed ratio, 0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tests with insulated nozzle box; speed range 3000 to 21,000 rpm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4, 2.2, 3.0, 3.9, 5.2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SYMBOLS**

$A_d$  
annular area swept by turbine buckets (sq ft)

$g$  
acceleration due to gravity; 32.2 (ft)/(sec)$^2$  
or dimensional constant, 32.2 (lb)/(slug)

$M_a$  
mass flow of air (slugs)/(sec)
\[ M_t \] mass flow of air plus fuel (slugs)/(sec)
\[ N \] turbine speed (rpm)
\[ P_d \] static pressure of turbine discharge at plenum chamber (in. Hg absolute)
\[ P_1 \] total pressure at nozzle-box inlet (in. Hg absolute)
\[ P_t \] turbine shaft power (ft-lb)/(sec)
\[ P_{th} \] theoretical turbine power available for expansion from inlet total temperature and pressure to outlet static pressure (ft-lb)/(sec)
\[ R_a \] gas constant for air, 53.35 (ft-lb)/(lb-°F)
\[ R_b \] gas constant for combustion products (ft-lb)/(lb-°F)
\[ Re \] Reynolds number
\[ T \] gas temperature (°F absolute)
\[ T_i \] total temperature at nozzle-box inlet (°F absolute)
\[ u \] blade pitch-line speed (fps)
\[ v \] theoretical jet speed (fps)
\[ v_a \] average axial component of turbine discharge velocity (fps)
\[ \gamma \] ratio of specific heat at constant pressure to specific heat at constant volume
\[ \eta \] turbine efficiency defined as ratio of shaft power to theoretical power computed from total temperature and pressure at turbine inlet and static pressure at the turbine discharge
\[ \eta_s \] value of \( \eta \) at standard value of \( p_1/T_i^{1.1} \)
\[ 0.009 \text{ in. Hg} / (\text{°F absolute})^{1.1} \]
\[ \eta' \] turbine efficiency defined as ratio of shaft power to difference between theoretical power and kinetic power corresponding to average axial component of velocity at turbine discharge
\[ \mu \] viscosity of combustion products (lb-sec)/(sq ft)
METHOD OF ANALYSIS

The turbine efficiency for the tests reported herein was calculated according to the methods of references 2 and 3. The calculations of air flow were made according to the standard practice of the A.S.M.E.

The efficiency of a gas turbine of the constant-pressure type is assumed to be a function of the blade-to-jet speed ratio, the pressure ratio, and the Reynolds number. Other variables, such as leakage, windage, heat losses, and bearing friction, are assumed to be of secondary importance or dependent on the principal variables. This statement may be written in the following equation:

\[ \eta = f\left(\frac{u}{V}, \frac{p_1}{p_d}, \text{Re}\right) \]  

(1)

If the assumption is made that viscosity is proportional to \( T^n \), where \( n \) is a constant, the theoretical Reynolds number corresponding to the theoretical jet velocity \( V \) is given by

\[
\text{Re} = \frac{\rho v L}{\mu} = \frac{L p_1 (70.73)}{K_1 T_1 n \beta b T_1} \left(\frac{p_d}{p_1}\right)^{\frac{1+n-n\gamma}{\gamma}} \sqrt{2g \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} R_b T_1 \left[1 - \left(\frac{p_d}{p_1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma}}\right]} 
\]

(2)

where \( K_1 \) is a constant depending on the viscosity of the fluid, \( \rho \) is the theoretical density corresponding to the theoretical jet velocity, and \( L \) is a characteristic dimension of the turbine. For a given turbine, \( L \) is constant. If the variations of \( \gamma \) and \( R_b \) are neglected, the Reynolds number (equation (2)) for a given turbine and fluid becomes

\[
\text{Re} = \frac{p_1}{T_1 \left(\frac{n + \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} f\left(\frac{p_d}{p_1}\right) 
\]

(3)

Inasmuch as the ratio \( \frac{p_1}{p_d} \) is already listed as a variable in equation (1), the only new variable added by the Reynolds number is the ratio of \( \frac{p_1}{T_1 \left(\frac{n + \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)} \). Equation (1) may then be written

\[
\eta = f\left[\frac{u}{V}, \frac{p_1}{p_d}, \frac{p_1}{T_1 \left(\frac{n + \frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)}\right] 
\]

(4)
Although the Reynolds number corresponding to isentropic flow was used to establish the factor \( \frac{p_1}{T_1} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \), the Reynolds number of the flow over the buckets change only by functions of \( \frac{p_1}{p_d} \) and \( u/v \) and thus introduce no new variables.

A logarithmic plot (fig. 5) of viscosity of air against the absolute temperature reveals that for the range of temperatures from 1000° to 2000° F absolute there is very little variation from a straight line with a slope of approximately 0.6. The slope of the curve for lower temperatures is slightly greater. The data for this curve were taken from reference 4. The composition of the working fluid during the tests varied negligibly from that of air. Equation (4) then reduces to:

\[
\eta = f \left( \frac{u}{v}, \frac{p_1}{p_d}, \frac{p_1}{T_1} \right) \tag{5}
\]

The variables for correlating the mass-flow data of the turbine are revealed by a consideration of the equation for mass flow through either an ideal or a convergent nozzle; both show the same variables. The mass flow through an ideal nozzle of area \( A \) for example, is given by

\[
M_t = \rho A v = \frac{p_i (70.73)}{g R_b T_i} \left( \frac{p_d}{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} A \sqrt{2g \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} R_b T_i \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{p_d}{p_i} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}} \right]} \tag{6}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the theoretical density at the nozzle exit and \( v \) is the theoretical velocity at the nozzle exit. This relation reveals that the quantity \( \frac{M_t}{p_1} \sqrt{g R_b T_i} \) is a function of \( \frac{p_d}{p_i} \). The equation for mass flow through a simple converging nozzle in the supersonic range indicates that in this range \( \frac{M_t}{p_1} \sqrt{g R_b T_i} \) is a constant. The presence of the turbine wheel introduces some interference with the flow from the nozzle. It is reasonable to expect that the amount of interference depends on the blade-to-jet speed ratio. The theoretical jet speed is given by

\[
v = \sqrt{2 \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} g R_b T_i \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{p_d}{p_i} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}} \right]} \tag{7}
\]
The blade speed $u$ for a given turbine is proportional to the turbine speed $N$. The ratio $u/v$ is therefore proportional to $\sqrt{519/T_1} f(p_1/p_d)$. The ratio $p_1/p_d$ has already been listed as one of the variables for plotting the mass-flow factor; thus, the only additional variable indicated by this discussion is $\sqrt{519/T_1} N$. The tentative correlation of the mass-flow data can be made by plotting the factor $\frac{M_t}{P_1} \sqrt{gR_d T_1}$ against $p_1/p_d$ with $\sqrt{519/T_1} N$ as a parameter.

**RESULTS**

Figures 6 to 8 are conventional curves of the turbine efficiency $\eta$ plotted against the blade-to-jet speed ratio. They show the effect of the nozzle-box inlet pressure and temperature and the pressure ratio on the turbine efficiency. These curves are all substantially parabolic with maximum efficiency occurring at a blade-to-jet speed ratio of approximately 0.4. The efficiency for a normal operating condition of the turbine in a turbosupercharger (inlet pressure, approximately 27 in. Hg absolute; inlet temperature, 1800° F absolute; blade-to-jet speed ratio, 0.4; and pressure ratio, 2.2) is 59 percent.

Cross plots showing the variation of turbine efficiency at a constant blade-to-jet speed ratio (0.4) with the three variables are presented in figure 9. The general trend of the efficiency variation is more easily visualized from these curves than from those in figures 6 to 8. Figure 9(a) shows that maximum efficiency occurs at a pressure ratio of approximately 3 and figure 9(b) shows that efficiency decreases as nozzle-box inlet temperature increases. The increase in efficiency with nozzle-box inlet pressure is presented in figure 9(c). Plots of the curves of figures 9(b) and 9(c) on logarithmic paper indicate that the efficiency varies with the inlet temperature to the $-0.075$ power and with the inlet pressure to the $0.067$ power over the range of tests. The ratio of these exponents is 1.12.

It has been shown in the method of analysis that, for constant pressure ratios and blade-to-jet speed ratios, the turbine efficiency is a function of the ratio of nozzle-box inlet pressure to the $1.1$ power of the inlet temperature. Plots of the turbine efficiency against the factor $p_1/T_1^{1.1}$ are shown in figure 10. Curves are shown for variable pressure ratio at several constant blade-to-jet speed ratios. Very good correlation is noted in the data for the inlet-temperature range shown (1200° to 2000° F absolute) and for inlet pressures from 10 to 60 inches of mercury absolute. The set of points corresponding to the air tests with an inlet temperature
of 550°F absolute are slightly displaced from the faired curves; the magnitude of the displacement does not exceed $2\frac{1}{2}$ percent in efficiency (fig. 10). This displacement may be caused by the changing slope of the viscosity curve at temperatures less than 1000°F absolute. The good correlation obtained with the factor $p_1/T_1^{1.1}$ indicates that the change of turbine efficiency with nozzle-box inlet temperature and pressure for constant pressure ratio and blade-to-jet speed ratio may be a Reynolds number effect. Further investigation is required, however, to establish this fact conclusively because of the small changes in efficiency involved.

The parallelism of the curves of constant pressure ratio at a given value of blade-to-jet speed ratio $u/v$ in figure 10 suggested the following procedure for condensing the presentation of the turbine efficiency: For any given pressure ratio the quantity $\eta_s$ is defined as the value of the turbine efficiency at a value of $p_1/T_1^{1.1}$ of 0.009 inch mercury per (°F absolute)$^{1.1}$. These values of $\eta_s$ are shown in figure 11(a) plotted against $u/v$ for various values of $p_1/p_d$.

The values of turbine efficiency for other than the standard value of $p_1/T_1^{1.1}$ are divided by the value of $\eta_s$ for the same value of $u/v$ and $p_1/p_d$ and are plotted against $p_1/T_1^{1.1}$ for various values of $u/v$ in figure 11(b). For a given value of $u/v$ and $p_1/T_1^{1.1}$ the value of $\eta/\eta_s$ is nearly independent of $p_1/p_d$. This sort of plot is an expedient that works fairly well in the present case but cannot be generally recommended. The more accurate and fundamentally more sound presentation is that of figure 10. In other types of turbine, the correlation of figure 10 may not hold inasmuch as there is no assurance that Reynolds number will affect a turbine of different design in the same manner or that other factors not involving the Reynolds number will not cause some variation.

The accuracy of the correlation varies somewhat with the operating conditions. Figure 12 shows an example of a curve calculated from figure 11 and an experimental curve. The slight scatter shown is typical of the maximum variation to be expected, approximately ±1 percent in efficiency, over the temperature range from 1200°F to 2000°F absolute. The data at 550°F absolute show a somewhat larger scatter; the maximum scatter of approximately $2\frac{1}{2}$ percent in efficiency occurred at pressure ratios less than 2.
Figure 13 is a plot of the gas-flow factor \( \frac{M_t}{P_1} \sqrt{S_{b/T_1}} \) against the pressure ratio and the product of the turbine speed and the square root of the ratio of NACA standard sea-level temperature to the nozzle-box inlet temperature. This ratio is proportional to the blade-to-jet speed ratio for a constant pressure ratio. From figure 13(a), the gas flow can be correlated within ±1.5 percent for the range of test values of \( P_1 \) and for a range of \( T_1 \) from 1200° to 2000° F absolute. Because the data for an inlet temperature of 550° F absolute did not fall on the same curve, an additional chart (fig. 13(b)) is shown for these data. Cross plots of figures 13(a) and 13(b) are shown in figures 13(c) and 13(d). With figures 11 and 13, and reference 3, the turbine power for any condition covered by the reported tests may be calculated.

The results of tests with the nozzle box insulated are compared with tests in which the nozzle box was not insulated in figure 14. No air was blown over the nozzle box in the uninsulated tests. It is evident from figure 14 that the difference in performance caused by the insulation was small and within the magnitude of experimental error. Although the curves are given only for a pressure ratio of 2.2, data on other pressure ratios show similar results. It may be concluded that, for inlet-gas temperatures from 1200° to 1800° F absolute, the differences in efficiency for the cases of insulated and uninsulated nozzle box are negligible when the air external to the nozzle box is quiet.

The turbine efficiency as previously defined gives the shaft work as a ratio of the theoretical work for an expansion from the total pressure at the turbine inlet to the static pressure at the turbine outlet. This efficiency includes in the theoretical power available some energy that may be utilized for jet propulsion or in succeeding turbine stages. In applications where the turbine-discharge velocity is utilized for jet propulsion, it is appropriate to define an efficiency in which the turbine is credited for the kinetic energy of the discharge jet. A number of definitions of this efficiency are possible. In the choice of a definition, consideration should be given to the ease of measurement and computation and to the practical aspect of the portion of the discharge velocity recoverable for jet propulsion. In the present report the turbine efficiency under discussion is defined as

\[
\eta' = \frac{P_t}{P_{th} - \frac{1}{2} M_t v_a^2}
\]  

The value of \( v_a \) is equal to the mass flow of gas divided by the bucket annular area \( A_d \) and the gas density at the bucket exit and
is given by the equation

$$v_a = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma - 1} 70.73 \frac{p_d A_d}{M_c}\right)^2 + \left(2g \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma - 1} R_b T_1 - \frac{2P_r}{M_c}\right) - \frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma - 1} 70.73 \frac{p_d A_d}{M_c}}$$

(9)

It is apparent that the turbine is credited in equation (8) with the kinetic energy corresponding to the energy of the axial component of this average discharge velocity. Theoretically, the total discharge velocity can possibly be utilized for jet propulsion by means of properly shaped guide vanes. Because of the changing angle of attack at the guide vanes with changing operating conditions, a set of vanes that may be good for one condition may be poor at others; furthermore, because the total velocity varies with radial and angular position, a survey would be required for its determination. The average axial velocity $v_a$, on the other hand, can be computed from the measured mass flow of gas and the area swept by the buckets. It is evident that the proposed definition of turbine efficiency is not without objection but it does have the advantage that it may be readily calculated.

Figure 15 shows a plot of $\eta'/\eta$ where $\eta'$ was calculated by the turbine-efficiency equation (8). The values of $\eta'$ range from about 5 to 22 percent higher than the corresponding values of $\eta$; the ratio $\eta'/\eta$ is higher for higher pressure ratios and lower blade-to-jet speed ratios. All the data over the range of temperatures from 1200° to 2000° F absolute and inlet pressures from 10.3 to 59.7 inches of mercury absolute are correlated on this curve with an accuracy of ±1 percent. For any given value of pressure ratio and blade-to-jet speed ratio the quantity $\eta'/\eta$ is seen to be independent of inlet temperature and pressure. From figures 11 and 15, the efficiency $\eta'$ may be calculated for any condition within this range.

**SUMMARY OF RESULTS**

Efficiency tests on a single-stage impulse turbine having an 11.0-inch pitch-line diameter wheel with inserted buckets and a fabricated nozzle diaphragm over a range of inlet pressures from 10 to 60 inches of mercury absolute and inlet temperatures from 1000° to 2000° F absolute have indicated the following results:

1. The efficiency for a normal operating condition (inlet pressure, approximately 27 in. Hg absolute; inlet temperature, 1800° F absolute; blade-to-jet speed ratio, 0.4; and pressure ratio, 2.2) was 59 percent.
2. The change in turbine efficiency for constant pressure ratio and blade-to-jet speed ratio over a range of inlet temperatures and pressures was correlated against the factor \( \frac{p_1}{T_1^{1.1}} \), which was derived from the Reynolds number equation

\[
p_1 \quad \text{total inlet pressure (in. Hg absolute)}
\]

\[
T_1 \quad \text{total inlet temperature (°F absolute)}
\]

This result indicates that the variation in turbine efficiency with inlet conditions for a constant pressure ratio and blade-to-jet speed ratio may be principally a Reynolds number effect.

3. The air flow could be correlated with an accuracy of ±1.5 percent over the range of inlet temperatures from 1200° to 2000° F absolute and total inlet pressures from 10 to 60 inches of mercury absolute by plotting 

\[
\frac{M_t}{p_1 \sqrt{R_b T_1}}
\]

against the pressure ratio and blade-to-jet speed ratio

where

\[
M_t \quad \text{mass flow of air plus fuel (slugs)/(sec)}
\]

\[
R_b \quad \text{gas constant for combustion products (ft-lb)/(lb-°F)}
\]

4. Over the range of inlet gas temperatures from 1200° to 1800° F absolute, insulating the nozzle box caused no change in the turbine efficiency, as compared with tests of an uninsulated nozzle box in which the external air was quiet.

5. In the computation of turbine efficiency when the turbine is credited with the kinetic energy corresponding to the average axial component of the velocity behind the turbine buckets, an increase in efficiency between 5 and 22 percent for pressure ratios between 1.4 and 5.2 and blade-to-jet speed ratios between 0.1 and 0.6 was obtained. In general, the larger changes in efficiency occurred at the smaller blade-to-jet speed ratios and the larger pressure ratios.

Aircraft Engine Research Laboratory,
National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics,
Cleveland, Ohio.
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Figure 1. - Schematic diagram of test setup showing hot-gas producer.
Figure 2. — Photograph of test apparatus showing turbine and dynamometer arrangement.
Figure 3. - Schematic diagram showing the turbine housing and the location of the various points where measurements were made.
Figure 4. - Inlet system.
Figure 5.— Variation of the viscosity of air with temperature.
Figure 6.— Variation of turbine efficiency with blade-to-jet speed ratio for various pressure ratios.
Figure 7.— Variation of turbine efficiency with blade-to-jet speed ratio for various inlet temperatures.
Figure 8.— Variation of turbine efficiency with blade-to-jet speed ratio for various inlet pressures. Inlet temperature, 1200°F absolute.
(a) Efficiency variation with pressure ratio. Inlet total pressure, 27 inches mercury absolute (approximate).

(b) Efficiency variation with inlet temperature. Inlet total pressure, 27 inches mercury absolute (approximate).

(c) Efficiency variation with inlet total pressure. Inlet temperature, 1200° F absolute.

Figure 9.— Variation of turbine efficiency with pressure ratio, inlet temperature, and inlet total pressure. Blade-to-jet speed ratio, 0.4.
Figure 10.- Variation of turbine efficiency with Reynolds number factor.
Figure 11. - Turbine-efficiency correlation.

(a) Variation of efficiency standard with blade-to-jet speed ratio; \( p_1/T_{11.1} \), 0.009.

(b) Variation of the efficiency ratio with the Reynolds number factor.
Figure 12.— Comparison between calculated and experimental data. Inlet total pressure, 43.3 inches mercury absolute; inlet temperature, 1200°F absolute; $p_1/p_d$, 3.0.
### Test data for inlet-temperature range, 1200° to 2000° F absolute; inlet total-pressure range, 10 to 63 inches of mercury absolute.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T_1$ (°F abs.)</th>
<th>$P_1$ (lb/ln. ft² abs.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1200</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $P_1/P_d$ varies from 3.0 to 5.4
- $N$ varies from 2,900 to 18,000 rpm

### Test data for inlet temperature, 650° F absolute.

- $P_1/P_d$ varies from 1.4 to 5.4
- $N$ varies from 2,900 to 18,000 rpm

Figure 13: Correlation of the gas-flow data.
Figure 13 - Concluded. Correlation of the gas-flow data.

(c) Cross plots for inlet temperature range, 1200° to 2000° F absolute; inlet-pressure range, 10 to 60 inches of mercury absolute.

(d) Cross plots for inlet temperature, 550° F absolute.
Figure 14. - Comparison of tests using insulated nozzle box with those using uninsulated nozzle box. Pressure ratio, 2.2; inlet total pressure, 26.8 inches mercury absolute.
Figure 15.— Variation of the ratio $\eta'/\eta$ with the blade-to-jet speed ratio for various pressure ratios. Inlet-temperature range, 1200° to 2000° F absolute; inlet total-pressure range, 10 to 60 inches of mercury absolute.