ENGINEERING CHANGE NOTICE

Page 1 of 2

1. ECN 651401

Proj.
ECN

<p>2. ECN Category (mark one)</p> <p>Supplemental ☐</p> <p>Direct Revision ☒</p> <p>Change ECN ☐</p> <p>Temporary ☐</p> <p>Standby ☐</p> <p>Supersedure ☐</p> <p>Cancel/Void ☐</p>	<p>3. Originator's Name, Organization, MSIN, and Telephone No.</p> <p>R. A. Sexton, Process Engineering, R3-86, 376-9682</p>	<p>4. USQ Required?</p> <p>☐ Yes ☒ No</p>	<p>5. Date</p> <p>11/5/98</p>
<p>12a. Modification Work</p> <p>☐ Yes (fill out Blk. 12b)</p> <p>☒ No (NA Blks. 12b, 12c, 12d)</p>	<p>12b. Work Package No.</p> <p>N/A</p>	<p>12c. Modification Work Complete</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Design Authority/Cog. Engineer Signature & Date</p>	<p>12d. Restored to Original Condition (Temp. or Standby ECN only)</p> <p>N/A</p> <p>Design Authority/Cog. Engineer Signature & Date</p>
<p>13a. Description of Change</p> <p>13b. Design Baseline Document? ☐ Yes ☒ No</p> <p>Complete document revision. States that high pressure detection capability will be pursued. Evaluation will be done to include it without impacting cost and schedule. Otherwise, a BCR will be prepared and submitted.</p>			
<p>14a. Justification (mark one)</p> <p>Criteria Change ☒ Design Improvement ☐ Environmental ☐ Facility Deactivation ☐</p> <p>As-Found ☐ Facilitate Const ☐ Const. Error/Omission ☐ Design Error/Omission ☐</p> <p>14b. Justification Details</p> <p>RL comments on high pressure detection capability.</p>			
<p>15. Distribution (include name, MSIN, and no. of copies)</p> <p>See Page 2 of the ECN for Approval signatures.</p>		<p>RELEASE STAMP</p> <p>11-9-98</p> <p>DATE: 11-9-98</p> <p>STA: 4</p> <p>19</p>	

651401

MCO MONITORING ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

*R. A. Sexton

DE&S Hanford, Inc., Richland, WA 99352

U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: ECN-651401

UC: 510

Org Code: 2F300

Charge Code: 105355

B&R Code: RE3135040

Total Pages: 6

Key Words: Monitoring, CSB

Abstract: Spent Nuclear Fuel remaining from Hanford's N-Reactor operations in the 1970s has been stored under water in the K-Reactor Basins. This fuel will be repackaged, dried and stored in a new facility in the 200E Area. The safety basis for this process of retrieval, drying, and interim storage of the spent fuel has been established.

The monitoring of MCOs in dry storage is a currently identified issue in the SNF Project. This plan outlines the key elements of the proposed monitoring activity.

Other fuel stored in the K-Reactor Basins, including SPR fuel, will have other monitoring considerations and is not addressed by this activity description.

*Technical Resources International, Inc., Richland, WA.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: Document Control Services, P.O. Box 950, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

11/9/98
Release Approval Date

11-9-98		98
DATE:		19
STA:		ID:
4		
Release Stamp		

Approved for Public Release

MCO MONITORING ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	2
2.0 MONITORING OBJECTIVES.	2
3.0 LIMITED OBSERVATION AND SAMPLING OF MCOs IN STORAGE	3
4.0 MCO HIGH PRESSURE DETECTION CAPABILITY	4
5.0 CONCLUSION	4

MCO MONITORING ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Spent nuclear fuel remaining from Hanford's N Reactor operations in the 1970s has been stored under water in the K Reactor Basins. The K Basins are more than 40 years old and are less than a mile away from the Columbia River. This fuel will be retrieved and packed into containers (MCOs) each holding many fuel elements. The fuel in the MCOs will be dried and stored in a new facility, the Canister Storage Building, in the 200-E Area, away from the Columbia River. The safety basis for this process of retrieval, drying, and interim storage of the spent fuel has been established.

The monitoring of MCOs in dry storage is a currently identified issue in the SNF Project. This plan outlines key elements of the proposed monitoring activity.

Other fuel stored in the K Basins, including SPR fuel, will have other monitoring considerations and is not addressed by this activity description.

2.0 MONITORING OBJECTIVES

The safety of SNF Project processes for retrieving, packaging, handling, conditioning, and storing the N Reactor spent nuclear fuel has been demonstrated by conservative analyses, as compiled in the project safety basis and licensing documentation. Appropriate quality assurance and independent checking of engineering, fabrication, and construction are being applied, and there will be in-process monitoring and verification of MCO loading and conditioning actions. Once the MCOs have been placed in storage, there is no safety requirement, regulatory requirement, or precedent to monitor them.

Although not required, a limited monitoring program is considered valuable for several reasons:

Good engineering practice - Acquiring data at a reasonable cost that may be useful in developing a fuller understanding the behavior of an engineered system is good engineering practice.

Actual data on full scale MCOs is otherwise unavailable - Previous investigations have been limited to small fuel samples or simulant prototypes and have been relatively short in duration. MCO monitoring can provide data on large loads of actual fuel, in full scale configuration, over longer time periods. Additional knowledge of this fuel type may prove valuable in future analyses or applications.

On that basis, a limited program to observe pressure/temperature/gas composition relationships in a small number of MCOs during the first two years in storage, is planned.

An additional monitoring consideration is the desirability of providing for simple future verification that internal MCO pressures are well within structural limits. The MCOs are likely to be stored for 40 years or longer. On that basis, it is desirable to incorporate in each MCO simple means to confirm at any time in the future, that internal pressure of the MCO is not high enough to threaten its structural integrity. While routine checking of such indication is not required or anticipated, the capability would always be available and is likely to be used prior to MCO handling and transport.

Monitoring is to be kept practical and cost effective such that it will not interfere with the fundamental project objective of putting the spent fuel in a safe configuration away from the river.

3.0 LIMITED OBSERVATION AND SAMPLING OF MCOs IN STORAGE

Objective: The MCO monitoring activity objective is to obtain data on the actual behavior of stored MCOs.

Scope: The MCO monitoring activity will permit acquisition of temperature, pressure, and gas composition data from a small number of MCOs over a limited period of time using non-intrusive, cost-effective data acquisition methods.

Impact to Project Baseline: Impacts must be minimized to CSB Operations staffing and throughput, and to the project cost and schedule baseline. No new activities may be introduced which would impact the Safety Analysis Report schedule.

Approach, in Concept: The proposed approach would monitor 4 to 6 MCOs for up to two years. Over the course of the MCO loading campaign, several MCOs would be designated for monitoring, based on the following target characteristics.

- 1) One MCO with minimal exposed fuel surface area and no aluminum hydroxide.
- 2) One MCO with minimal exposed fuel surface area, but high in aluminum hydroxide
- 3) One MCO from KW high in exposed fuel surface area and scrap
- 4) One MCO from KE high in exposed fuel surface area and scrap

One or two additional MCOs could be included in the monitoring activity, if there are loadings of special interest identified during CVD operations, such as an MCO with two scrap baskets.

The designated MCOs would be staged and configured to permit monitoring, via engineered connections to ports in the mechanical closure head. Temperature and pressure will be recorded frequently or continuously. The pressure range of interest is 0 to 50 psig, with 2 % accuracy. The temperature range of interest is 0 to 120°C, with accuracy within 2°C.

Gas sampling is proposed no more frequently than quarterly, with removal of a sample through a

simple connection to the MCO.

Monitoring must be completed in time to weld the MCO closure and store the monitored MCO prior to SNF Project completion.

Monitoring Location: Location is under evaluation. It is anticipated that monitoring will be conducted with the MCOs in storage tube (upper positions) at locations selected based on accessibility and minimum interference with other MCO handling. A special monitoring station could also be established. For reasons of data quality and quantity, it is intended to conduct monitoring at a location that does not require frequent MCO movement.

Implementation Actions: Three steps are required to implement the monitoring described above.

- 1) Gain concurrence from the DOE-RL and the TAG on the proposed monitoring approach.
- 2) Perform up-front engineering, including detailed requirements, alternatives evaluation, conceptual design, and safety analysis/hazards analysis review. A DN may be required.
- 3) Enact monitoring, including the detailed design, fabrication, and implementation of the monitoring activity. A DN may be required.

4.0 MCO HIGH PRESSURE DETECTION CAPABILITY

Objective: Provide a means of confirming that the internal pressure of each MCO is well within its structural capability, anytime in its life.

Scope: Provide high pressure detection capability in each MCO, and provide means of accessing the detection, as desired.

Impact to Project Baseline: The detectors must not be developmental, but must have been demonstrated in a similar application. They must not require maintenance or routine inspection, must be unaffected by radiation, and must not diminish the MCO pressure capability.

Approach, in Concept: A specific approach has not yet been determined. Available technology is being evaluated to determine whether this detection can be included in the MCOs without impacting cost and schedule. Otherwise, a BCR will be prepared and submitted.

5.0 CONCLUSION

This properly focused, limited monitoring program should be implemented as part of the SNF Project.

DISTRIBUTION COVERSHEET

Subject: MCO ISSUE PAPERS PER ATTACHED DISTRIBUTION INDEX

DISTRIBUTION

Name	Location	w/att
<u>Spent Nuclear Fuel Project</u>		
W. C. Alaconis	R3-86	X
G. D. Bazinet	S8-06	X
J. D. Cloud	R3-86	X
D. R. Duncan	R3-86	X
J. R. Frederickson	R3-86	X
L. J. Garvin	R3-26	X
L. H. Goldmann	R3-86	X
A. R. Hollins, Jr.	R3-86	X
C. R. Hoover	R3-86	X
J. J. Irwin	R3-86	X
B. D. Lorenz	R3-26	X
C. R. Miska	R3-86	X
R. G. Morgan	R3-26	X
R. P. Omberg	H0-40	X
A. M. Segrest	R3-11	X
R. A. Sexton	R3-86	X
K. E. Smith	R3-86	X
J. A. Swenson	R3-11	X
N. H. Williams	R3-11	X
Project File	R3-11	x
<u>U.S. Department of Energy</u>		
C. B. Loftis	S7-41	X
P. G. Loscoe	S7-41	X
E. D. Sellers	S7-41	X
J. B. Sullivan	S7-41	X

DISTRIBUTION INDEX

The Multi-Canister Overpack Issue papers listed below are being distributed as a package to facilitate future reference and use by SNF Project personnel. The following issue papers are attached:

1. HNF-2876, Oxygen Gettering Issue Closure Package
2. HNF-3265, MCO Number of Shield Plug Ports
3. HNF-3399, MCO Necessity of the Rupture Disk
4. HNF-3267, MCO Dual Pressure Rating
5. HNF-3293, MCO Ultrasonic Examination of Closure Weld
6. HNF-3354, MCO Monitoring Issue Closure Package and HNF-3312, MCO Monitoring Activity Description
7. HNF-3292, MCO Sealing Configuration
8. HNF-3266, MCO Design Pressure Rating
9. HNF-3255, ASME Code Requirements for MCO Design and Fabrication
10. HNF-3398, MCO Inservice Inspection and Maintenance
11. HNF-3420, MCO Internal HEPA Filters
12. HNF-3036, Low Reactive Surface Area Issue Closure Package
13. HNF-3270, MCO Pressure Testing