	SECURITY INFORMATION CI
	Copy 5 BM E51K23
NACA RM E51K23	UNCLASSIED
	RESEARCH MEMORANDUM
	COOLING CHARACTERISTICS OF AN EXPERIMENTAL TAIL-PIPE
	By William K. Koffel and Harold R. Kaufman
	Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory Cleveland, Ohio CLASSIFICATION CHANGED FOR REFERENCE
	UNCLASSIFIED
	By cuttoristy of CASA COR #49 Date 12-29-65- Km2 1-2-4-66
	CLASSIFIED DOCUMENT This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, U.S.C., Secs. 763 and 764, the transmission or revelation of which in any
	NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS WASHINGTON February 26, 1952
	UNCLASSIFIED

-.

:



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

COOLING CHARACTERISTICS OF AN EXPERIMENTAL TAIL-PIPE

BURNER WITH AN ANNULAR COOLING-AIR PASSAGE

By William K. Koffel and Harold R. Kaufman

SUMMARY

The effects of tail-pipe fuel-air ratio (exhaust-gas temperatures from approximately 3060° to 3825° R), radial distribution of tail-pipe fuel flow, and mass flow of combustion gas on the temperature profiles of the combustion gas and on temperature profiles of the inside wall of the combustion chamber were determined for an experimental tail-pipe burner cooled by air flowing through an insulated cooling-air passage 1/2 inch in height. The effects on inside-wall temperature of varying the mass-flow ratio of cooling-air to combustion-gas mass flow from approximately 0.067 to 0.19, inlet cooling-air temperature from about 520° to 1587° R, and combustion-gas mass flow from 22.3 to 13.8 pounds per second were also determined.

Large circumferential variations existed in the combustion-gas temperature near the inside wall. These variations resulted in similar variations in the inside-wall temperature. The circumferential variations formed consistent patterns that were similar, although different in magnitude, for all configurations tested.

The two extremes in radial distribution of tail-pipe fuel flow, high fuel concentration toward the combustion-chamber wall and high fuel concentration in the center of the combustion chamber, changed the circumferential average inside-wall temperature 235° F at a station 48 inches downstream of the flame holder. The configuration having a high fuel concentration near the wall presented a more severe cooling problem as the circumferential variation was greatest for this configuration.

The spread of flame to the inside wall, as determined from measurements of combustion-gas temperature near the wall, was practically unaffected by fuel-air ratio. However, the flame spread to the wall was a function of radial fuel distribution. At no time did the flame impinge on the wall within 24 inches downstream of the flame holder. Radiant heat transfer to this section of the inside wall was insufficient to require wall cooling in the first 24 inches, if the tail-pipe materials could withstand nonafterburning operation without cooling.



 \mathbf{Z}

2

NACA RM E51K23

2408

S 1

With the most uniform distribution of tail-pipe fuel tested and an inlet cooling-air temperature of 520° R, an average inside-wall temperature of 1300° F at a station 48 inches downstream of the flame holder required mass-flow ratios of 0.12 and 0.09 with exhaust-gas temperatures of 3825° and 3435° R, respectively. When the distance was increased to 56 inches downstream of the flame holder, a mass-flow ratio of 0.115 was necessary with an exhaust-gas temperature of 3435° R.

At a mass-flow ratio of 0.145, the inside-wall temperature 48 inches downstream of the flame holder was increased about $4/10^{\circ}$ per degree increase in inlet cooling-air temperature.

The temperature of the structural wall of an insulated tail-pipe burner having an inner liner would be practically the same with or without tail-pipe burning.

INTRODUCTION

The combustion-chamber walls of tail-pipe burners must either withstand high operating temperatures or be cooled to temperatures that give adequate strength and service life. The trend toward nonstrategic materials and improvements in performance and the operating range of tail-pipeburners have made cooling more critical. Many methods have been considered for cooling the walls of a tail-pipe combustion chamber including the flow of air through an annular passage surrounding the combustion chamber, the flow of turbine outlet gas through an annular passage formed by a concentric inner liner, the establishment of a cool-air film between the walls and the combustion gas by means of a porcus wall or a series of annular nozzles, as well as ceramic coatings and fuel additives that coat the walls and reduce the radiant heat transfer to the walls or lower the wall temperature by their insulative properties. Many combinations of these methods have been and are being investigated at the NACA Lewis laboratory. Considerable attention has been given to the annular cooling-air shroud and to the inner liner and to their use in combination.

An analytical method was developed (reference 1) for calculating the maximum average wall temperature in tail-pipe combustion chambers cooled by the parallel flow of air through an annular cooling passage or cooled by turbine discharge gases flowing between an inner liner and the combustion-chamber wall. The method was based on the simplifying assumptions of a uniform transverse temperature profile, a linear rise in combustion-gas temperature from flame holder to exhaust-nozzle exit, and the fact that radiation from the combustion gas to the wall was twice the nonluminous radiation of a completely burned stoichiometric mixture of octane and air. Wall temperatures or cooling-air flows calculated by the method of reference 1 have checked well with values





measured on experimental tail-pipe burners in which a uniform transverse temperature profile was approached. Agreement was poorer for burners producing nonuniform profiles. Some effects of changing the flameholder design and tail-pipe fuel distribution, and consequently the transverse temperature profile, are given in reference 2.

The cooling and pumping characteristics of a tail-pipe burner having an inner liner and an external cooling-air shroud with an ejector nozzle are presented in reference 3, and an analytical method is developed in reference 4 for predicting the pressure drop through the cooling passages. These investigations on tail-pipe-burner cooling had limited ranges of cooling-air flows and inlet cooling-air temperature and no attempt was made to determine the combustion-gas temperature profiles as effected by changes in internal configuration and to relate them to the temperatures of the combustion-chamber walls.

This report includes some results of an experimental investigation on a tail-pipe burner which was extensively instrumented. Ranges of independent control of the cooling-air temperature, flow, and pressure, as well as the combustion-gas temperature and flow wider than those given in the references are presented herein. The data presented were obtained with a combustion chamber having a constant-flow area and an annular cooling passage of constant height. The effects of exhaust-gas temperature level, distribution of tail-pipe fuel across the turbine annulus, and mass flow of combustion gas on the temperature profiles of both the combustion gas and the inside wall are presented.

APPARATUS

Engine

A conventional and axial-flow turbojet engine was used in this investigation. The sea-level static thrust of the engine was approximately 3100 pounds at a rated engine speed of 12,500 rpm and a maximum turbine-outlet temperature of approximately 1200° F (1660° R). At this condition the air flow was slightly less than 60 pounds per second.

The fuel used in the engine and the tail-pipe burner was MIL-F-5572, grade 80, unleaded gasoline and had a lower heating value of 19,000 Btu per pound and a hydrogen-carbon ratio of 0.185.

Installation

The standard tail pipe was replaced by an experimental tail-pipeburner assembly attached to the turbine flange. The engine and the tailpipe burner were mounted on a wing section in the 20-foot-diameter

2408

test section of the altitude wind tunnel. Refrigerated air was supplied to the compressor inlet through a duct from the tunnel make-up air system. This duct was connected to the engine with a labyrinth seal, which made possible measurement of thrust with the tunnel balance system. Air was throttled from approximately sea-level pressure to the desired pressure at the compressor inlet; while pressure in the tunnel test section was maintained at the desired altitude. Cowlings and fairings were omitted from the engine and the tail-pipe burner in order to simplify the installation and to facilitate inspection and servicing of engine, tail-pipe burner, and instrumentation.

Tail-Pipe-Burner Assembly

The entire tail-pipe-burner assembly was fabricated of 1/16-inch Inconel. The over-all length of the engine and tail-pipe burner was approximately 16.1 feet, of which the tail-pipe diffuser, the combustion chamber, and the nozzle were 2, 5, and 1 feet, respectively. Figure 1 is a schematic drawing of the installation showing the fuel-spray bars in the annular diffuser, the cylindrical combustion chamber with insulated cooling passage, and the fixed-conical exhaust nozzle. The flame holder had a single V-gutter with sinusoidal corrugations on the trailing edges. The V-gutter had a mean diameter of 18 inches, a mean width across the corrugations of $1\frac{3}{4}$ inches, and an included angle of 35° . The blockage at the downstream face of the flame holder was about 23 percent and the velocity at the flame holder under the conditions of this investigation was approximately 480 feet per second. The cooling passage had a constant height of 1/2 inch and was insulated with 1 inch of refractory cement.

Fuel-spray bars. - Twelve radial fuel-spray bars were equally spaced 8.75 inches downstream of the turbine flange and 13.25 inches upstream of the flame-holder center line. Each bar had seven holes (number 76 drill) that sprayed fuel normal to the gas flow. Three different sets (twelve bars per set) of spray bars were used to vary the fuel distribution across the turbine discharge annulus. The first set (fig. 2(a)) produced a nearly uniform fuel distribution with a slightly higher fuel concentration at the very center for flame stability and piloting action. The second set (fig. 2(b)) increased the fuel concentration toward the combustion-chamber wall and decreased the fuel flow in the center of the combustion chamber. The third set of spray bars (fig. 2(c)) concentrated more fuel at the center and decreased the fuel concentration near the combustion-chamber walls.

Configurations. - The three sets of fuel-spray bars were used in combination with four different exhaust nozzles to form essentially three configurations as follows:





Configuration	Fuel-spray bars	Exhaust-nozzle exit area (sq ft)	Figure
A	Set l	1.846 1.903 1.980 2.160	3(a)
В	Set 2	1.903	3(Ъ)
C	Set 3	1.903 2.160	3(o)

INSTRUMENTATION

Because it was recognized that the combustion pattern would be irregular and the temperatures to be measured were severe on thermocouples, as many thermocouples as practicable were used in order to obtain representative average temperatures and to provide sufficient thermocouples if some thermocouples should fail. Six instrumentation stations. B to G (fig. 3), were provided along the length of the cylindrical combustion chamber. Thermocouples were installed at station B for measurement of the inlet cooling-air temperature. Stations C to F had six groups of instrumentation, equally spaced around the circumference, for measuring the temperatures of the inside and outside walls of the tailpipe burner and of the cooling air as well as the static and total pressures of the cooling air. The temperatures of the inside and outside walls were also measured at four points around the circumference at station G, and the cooling-air temperatures and pressures at station G were measured in the discharge ducts on the downstream plenum chamber. The locations of the instrumentation at each of these stations, at the exhaust nozzle, the cooling-air metering nozzle, and the upstream plenum chamber are shown in figure 4. The cross section of a typical group of instrumentation at stations C through F is shown in figure 5.

The means of providing for longitudinal movement due to thermal expansion can be seen in figure 5. The platinum-rhodium - platinum thermocouple probes extended through sliding seals in the outside wall and the sliding channels connecting the inside and outside walls permitted longitudinal movement of the walls.

The usual pressure and temperature instrumentation was installed at several measuring stations through the engine. Fuel flows to the engine and tail-pipe burner were measured with calibrated rotameters.

<u>Wall-temperature measurement.</u> - The temperature of the inside wall of the tail-pipe burner was measured with chromel-alumel thermocouples spot-welded to the outer surface of the wall (fig. 5). Conductive

÷.

2408

cooling of the junction was reduced by strapping the leads to the wall for 3/4 inch downstream of the junction before extending the leads across the cooling passage. The temperature of the outside wall was measured by a chromel-alumel thermocouple welded into the head of a hollow ovalheaded screw (fig. 5). Conductive cooling of the junction was negligible because the stem of the screw was buried under the cooling-passage insulation.

<u>Cooling-air temperature measurement</u>. - The cooling-air temperatures were measured by means of National Bureau of Standards type (fig. 6) shielded thermocouples (reference 5). The-radiation shield consisted of a 1/4-inch length of 1/8-inch silver tubing which was slid over the bare junction and compressed to a biconvex airfoil section.

<u>Combustion-gas temperature measurement</u>. - Combustion-gas temperatures near the inside wall were measured by means of the platinumrhodium - platinum thermocouples shown in figure 7. Each thermocouple probe had a water-cooled supporting stem and two thermocouples in parallel having a common hot junction. The leads from the junction were arranged in a cross to give mechanical support at high temperatures. Negligible conduction error was obtained by means of the high lengthdiameter ratio of the leads between the junction and the cooled supporting stem. No radiation shield was used because of the low emissivity and absorptivity of the platinum and platinum-rhodium wires.

Gas temperature profiles at station F were obtained by means of a rake having seven sonic-flow orifice temperature probes (fig. 8). The temperature of a gas sample flowing into one of these probes is obtained from a thermodynamic equation and is theoretically independent of radiation effects (see reference 6).

The exhaust-gas temperature was computed (as given in appendix A) from rake measurements of total pressure at the exhaust-nozzle exit and the measured gas flow.

Accuracy

Four flight recorders were used because of the large number of thermocouples and in order to reduce the recording time while maintaining equilibrium conditions. The estimated over-all accuracy of the temperature measurements are as follows:

Wall temperature, ^O F	. <u>+</u> 15
Cooling air, ${}^{O}F$. <u>+</u> 10
Gas temperatures near the wall, F	. <u>+</u> 20
Sonic-flow orifice probe, ^{OF}	. <u>+</u> 150
Exhaust gas temperature, ^O F	. <u>+</u> 50



The geometry of the tail-pipe diffuser and the flame holder in combination with the fuel-spray bars producing approximately uniform distribution of fuel across the turbine annulus (configuration A) was shown, in preliminary tests on a similar burner, to give good performance and operating characteristics over a wide range of altitudes and fuel-air ratios. Cooling characteristics of the experimental tail-pipe burner were obtained with the seven combinations of exhaust-nozzle exit area and fuel-spray bars, at pressure altitudes of 30,000 and 40,000 feet. a flight Mach number of 0.52, and an engine speed of 12,500 rpm. It was impossible to run the tests at lower pressure altitudes because the flow of dry cooling air, at approximately atmospheric pressure from outside the tunnel, was dependent on the difference in the atmospheric pressure and the pressure in the tunnel test section. Dry refrigerated air was supplied to the engine at $505^{\circ}\pm 5^{\circ}$ R. The total pressure at the engine inlet was regulated to correspond to the desired pressure at each altitude with complete free-stream total-pressure recovery.

Most of the data were obtained by adjusting the tail-pipe fuel flow to maintain an average turbine-outlet temperature of $1633^{\circ}\pm12^{\circ}$ R; an approximately constant exhaust-gas temperature was thus obtained for each nozzle-exit area and mass flow. The remainder of the data were taken at lower turbine-outlet temperatures.

The cooling-air flow and the cooling-air temperature were systematically varied while holding all other quantities constant.

The approximate range of variables investigated with a limiting turbine-outlet temperature of 1633⁰ are given in the following table:



Configuration	Altitude (ft)	Exhaust- gas temper- ature ^T g (°R)	Combustion- gas flow Wg (lb/sec)	Mass ratio W _a /W _g	Cooling- air inlet temper- ature Ta (°R)	* 2408
A	30,000	3060	22.1_	0.0672 to .1872	500 to 1587	.2 <u></u>
	30,000	3240	22.2	0.1002 to .1917	500 to 1222	
	30,000	3435	22.3	0.0953 to .1796	502 to 1408	· ·· ·
	40,000	3265	13.8	0.1440	528 to 1340	
	30,000	3825	22.8	0.1374 to .1906	515	
В	30,000	3215	22.2	0.0985 to .1891	495 to 1223	·
C	30,000	3235	22.3	0.1420	524 to 1450	*
	30,000	3764	22.4	0.1912	524	· · · · ·

The cooling-air mass flow was controlled by flap valves on the outlet ducts of the downstream plenum chamber. The static pressure in the cooling passage was balanced against the static pressure of the combustion gas at station F by means of pressure-regulating valves upstream of the air-metering nozzle in conjunction with the flap valves. When the pressures were balanced, large pressure forces were transferred from the hot, and consequently weaker, inside wall to the cooler outside wall. This transfer tended to minimize any changes in cooling-passage height. The cooling-air temperature was varied by means of a turbojet can-type combustor in the cooling-air supply duct downstream of the air-metering nozzle.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Typical results of this cooling investigation are presented graphically and the performance of the three configurations are tabulated in



tables I and II. The effects of exhaust-gas temperature level, radial distribution of tail-pipe fuel flow, and combustion-gas mass flow on the temperature profiles of the combustion gas are presented first because of the influence these profiles have on the temperatures of the inside wall.

Reproducibility of Combustion-Gas Temperature Profiles

Circumferential profiles. - The combustion-gas temperatures near the inside wall, the temperature of the inside and outside walls of the cooling passage and the cooling-air temperature are plotted against the group positions around the circumference at station F in figure 9. The reproducibility of the data is indicated in figures 9(a) to 9(c) for a check point having an exhaust-gas temperature of approximately 3060° R, massflow ratio of 0.098, and an inlet cooling-air temperature of 530° R. The profiles are similar as the accumulated afterburner time increased from 32 minutes to 9 hours and 22 minutes. The profiles with an exhaust-gas temperature of 3484° R (fig. 9(d)) are similar although the temperature levels are higher. The profiles shown in figure 9 were obtained with the first set of fuel bars, which produced the most uniform fuel distribution. The reproducibility shown is typical of data obtained with the other configurations. The large variations in gas temperatures around the circumference are reflected in the inside-wall temperature. The difference between the highest and the lowest gas temperatures around the circumference, as measured by the platinum thermocouples at station F, was approximately 500° to 900° F, and the difference for the inside-wall temperatures was about 400° to 600° F. The larger circumferential variations in gas temperature are believed to be caused by assymetrical distributions in the engine fuel-air ratio and in turbine-discharge gas flows because daily inspections disclosed no plugging of the fuel-spray bars in the tail-pipe burner.

Longitudinal profiles. - Typical longitudinal profiles of the combustion-gas temperature measured by the platinum-rhodium - platinum thermocouples 1/2 inch from the inside wall are shown in figure 10. The general reproducibility of the combustion pattern for a given set of fuelspray bars can be seen by comparing the relative positions of the temperature profiles for each circumferential group as the exhaust-gas temperature is increased (fig. 10). Similar reproducibility of the relative positions of each group was observed in the longitudinal profiles for the combustion-gas temperature measured 1/4 inch from the inside wall and for the temperature of the inside wall.

Inasmuch as the longitudinal temperature profiles for various circumferential positions reproduced in a consistent manner in spite of large circumferential temperature variations, the effects of exhaust-gas temperature, of fuel distributions, and of combustion-gas mass flow are based on circumferential average temperatures. (The temperatures in table II are circumferential averages.)

9



2Z

240⁸



Effect of Variables on Average Longitudinal Profiles

of Combustion-Gas Temperature

Exhaust-gas temperature. - The effect of increased exhaust-gas temperature (or tail-pipe fuel-air ratio) and the spread of the flame toward the inside wall are shown in figure 11. The combustion-gas temperature within 1/4 inch of the wall (fig. 11(a)) remains at approximately turbinedischarge temperature as far downstream as station D indicating that, for the same fuel distribution, the spread of the flame toward the inside wall is practically unaffected by fuel-air ratio (exhaust-gas temperature level) although the transverse temperature gradients between stations C and D increase with fuel-air ratio as can be seen from figure 11(b). Consequently, no cooling would be required for configuration A in the first 24 inches downstream of the flame holder if the burner walls could withstand the nonafterburning operation without cooling. Downstream of this point, the cooling requirements increase as the transverse gas temperature gradients near the wall increase with both distance from the flame holder and with exhaust-gas temperature level.

Fuel distribution. - The effects of marked changes in tail-pipe fuel distribution across the turbine-discharge annulus on the gas temperatures near the inside wall are shown in figure 12. Figure 12(a) shows that the flame spreads out to the wall between 24 and 36 inches downstream of the flame holder depending on the radial distribution of fuel. The flame intercepted the wall first with configuration B, which had a high fuelair ratio near the wall, and last with configuration C, which had a high fuel-air ratio in the center of the burner. The cooling problem apparently can be altered by changes in fuel distribution at a given exhaustgas temperature level. It is not, however, always possible to alleviate the cooling problem by altering the radial distribution of fuel because of possible adverse effects on performance and operational characteristics of the tail-pipe burner. For example, configuration C produced low insidewall temperatures with the third set of fuel-spray bars, and had very smooth combustion and the exhuast nozzle was colder than for configuration A at the same exhaust-gas temperature, but it was impossible to obtain a turbine=outlet gas temperature of 1633° R with these fuelspray bars when the exhaust-nozzle exit area was 2,160 square feet. On the other hand, configuration B, which produced high inside-wall temperatures, was difficult to ignite, burned roughly, and blew-out whenever the turbine-outlet gas temperature dropped below 1615° R.

The corresponding changes in transverse temperature profiles with changes in fuel distribution will be discussed in the section Fuel Distribution.

Combustion-gas mass flow. - The effect of decreasing the combustiongas mass flow on the gas temperatures near the inside wall is shown in

figure 13. The decrease in mass flow of combustion gas from 22.29 to 13.85 pounds per second, resulting from increasing the altitude from 30,000 to 40,000 feet, lowered the combustion-gas temperatures between stations E and F, about 400° and 200° F at distances from the inside wall of 1/4 and 1/2 inch, respectively. These temperature reductions, however, would be about one-half as great if cross-plotted data from figure 11 were used to estimate the longitudinal temperature profile at the same exhaust-gas temperature occurred because the tail-pipe fuel flow was adjusted for a constant indicated turbine-outlet gas temperature, but the mean turbine-outlet gas temperature decreased because of a change in the radial temperature profile as altitude was changed.

Variation of Gas Temperatures Near the Wall with

Cooling-Air Flow and Temperature

The temperature of the combustion gas near the wall was affected slightly by the inside-wall temperature, and consequently, by the mass flow and the temperature of the cooling air. The influence of coolingair flow and the inlet cooling-air temperature on the gas temperature measured 1/4 inch from the inside wall was found to be negligible at stations C and D. The effect of cooling-air flow at stations E and F is given by the approximate equation

$$\Delta T_{g,1/4} = 1000 \Delta \left(\frac{W_a}{W_g} \right)$$
 (1)

and the effect of inlet cooling-air temperature is about $1/10^{\circ}$ per degree rise in inlet cooling-air temperature. (The symbols used are defined in appendix B.)

Effects of Variables on Transverse Gas-

Temperature Profile at Station F

Some of the more representative transverse profiles of the combustiongas temperature at station F were selected for presentation. The temperatures in the combustion zone were obtained by means of the sonic-flow orifice rake and the temperatures near the wall were measured by the platinum-rhodium - platinum thermocouples 1/4 inch from the inside wall.

Exhaust-gas temperature. - Transverse temperature profiles are shown for configuration A in figure 14. Temperature peaks in figure 14(a) corresponding to the wake of the single-V flame holder tend to disappear and the profile to become more uniform as the exhaust-gas temperature is increased (figs. 14(b) and (c)).



The gas temperatures 1/4 inch from the inside wall and in the center of the combustion zone increased 600° to 700° R as the average exhaustgas temperature increased approximately 440° R.

Fuel distribution. - The effects of changing the radial distribution of fuel across the turbine annulus on the transverse profile of combustiongas temperature are shown in figure 15. Figure 15(a) shows that the transverse temperature profile of configuration A at an exhaust-gas temperature of 3266° R had a temperature peak in the wake of the flame-holder gutter similar to the peaks existing at an exhaust-gas temperature of approximately 2926° R (fig. 14(a)). The high fuel concentrations near the inside wall in configuration B (fig. 15(b)) resulted in much higher gas temperatures near the inside wall at the bottom of the burner and the gas temperature at the center of the burner was greatly reduced because the tail-pipe fuel-air ratio and exhaust-gas temperatures were practically constant. The average gas temperatures 1/4 inch from the inside wall were approximately 400° R higher for configuration B than for configuration A at a mass-flow ratio of 0.143 and an exhaust-gas temperature of approximately 3240° R. The fuel distribution of configuration C moved the peak temperatures toward the center of the burner and the average gas temperature 1/4 inch from the inside wall was about 350° R lower than for configuration A at a mass-flow ratio of 0.143. For the three radial fuel distributions tested, the increase in fuel concentration in the center of the burner produced a slightly smaller effect on the gas temperatures near the inside wall than did the increase in the fuel concentration toward the walls. This fuel distribution also aggravated the circumferential temperature variations. The relation of these profiles to the average insidewall temperature will be discussed in the next section.

Effect of Variables on Longitudinal Profiles of

Average Inside Wall Temperatures

Because the variations in longitudinal and circumferential temperature profiles of the inside-wall temperature were consistent, circumferential average temperatures are used in the following comparisons.

Exhaust-gas temperature. - The variations in the longitudinal profile of the average inside-wall temperature with exhaust-gas temperature level is shown in figure 16. The inside-wall temperature increases from the flame holder to the exhaust-nozzle inlet with exhaust-gas temperature level. The variation of wall temperature with exhaust-gas temperature level is slight at stations C and D because the flame has not spread to the wall. The wall temperatures at these stations are influenced more by the mass flow and inlet temperature of the cooling air than by the exhaustgas temperature level. Downstream of station D, the wall temperature

increases because the temperature gradients near the wall and the radiant heat transfer increase as exhaust-gas temperature level increases. The profiles shown were obtained with a mass-flow ratio of approximately 0.145. The effect of mass-flow ratio on the wall temperature will be shown in the Combustion-Gas Mass Flow section.

<u>Fuel distribution</u>. - The effect of fuel distribution on the insidewall temperatures is shown in figure 17 for an average exhaust-gas temperature of 3290° R and a mass-flow ratio of 0.145. The curves have been extrapolated linearly to station G, as indicated by the data of figures 16 and 18, because only two thermocouples were functioning during these readings and the temperatures at these positions were usually higher than the circumferential average temperature. Configuration B had the highest average inside-wall temperature as a result of the very high gas temperatures at the bottom of the burner; the average inside-wall temperatures of configuration A are intermediate, whereas configuration C had the lowest wall temperatures as a result of the lower gas-temperature gradients near the walls of the burner. For the two extremes in fuel distribution tested, the spread in average inside-wall temperatures at station F was 235° F, but the circumferential variations in wall temperature were greatest with configuration B.

<u>Combustion-gas mass flow</u>. - With an average mass-flow ratio of 0.144, the average inside-wall temperature was lowered 40° to 100° at stations F and G when the mass flow of combustion gas was decreased from 22.29 to 13.85 pounds per second (fig. 18). Comparison of the wall temperatures at the lower mass flow with wall temperatures interpolated from figure 16 indicates, however, that these reductions resulted primarily from the decrease in exhaust-gas temperature level.

Effect of Mass-Flow Ratio and Cooling-Air Temperature on

Average Inside-Wall Temperatures

<u>Mass-flow ratio</u>. - The effect of cooling-air mass-flow ratio on the average inside-wall temperature is shown in figure 19. The limiting values of the average inside-wall temperature at stations C, D, and E with no cooling-air flow were assumed to coincide with their respective average gas temperatures 1/4 inch from the inside wall with no coolingair flow.

As previsouly discussed, the inside-wall temperatures at stations C and D are nearly independent of the exhaust-gas temperature level and vary inversely with mass-flow ratio. The higher wall termperatures at station D result from increased radiant heat transfer from the combustion zone. Both radiant and convective heat transfer became important downstream of station D as a result of the higher gas-temperature level and

the flame impingement on the walls. Thus, from station D on downstream, a distinct curve results for each tail-pipe fuel-air ratio (exhaust-gas temperature level) as shown in figure 19. Figure 19(a) shows that no cooling air is required in the first 24 inches downstream of the flame holder (station D) if the tail-pipe materials can withstand nonafterburning operation without cooling.

A mass-flow ratio of 0.12 is required in order to maintain an average inside-wall temperature of 1300° F, 48 inches downstream of the flame holder (station F) with an exhaust-gas temperature of 3825° R, and the mass-flow ratio is about 0.09 with an exhaust-gas temperature of 3435° R. An average inside-wall temperature of 1300° F, 56 inches downstream of the flame holder (station G), requires a mass-flow ratio of approximately 0.115 at 3435° R. An average inside-wall temperature of 1300° F was selected as representative in order to allow for possible hot spots as high as 1600° F.

<u>Cooling-air temperatures</u>. - The variation of inside-wall temperature with inlet cooling-air temperature (fig. 20) is similar for all exhaustgas temperatures but differs in temperature level. The wall temperature increased with a slightly increasing rate as the cooling-air temperature was increased. When the inlet cooling-air temperature was increased 1000° F, the inside-wall temperatures increased at stations F and G about 400° F at a mass-flow ratio of 0.145. The inside-wall temperatures at station G (fig. 20(b)) were about 100° F higher than at station F (fig. 20(a)) with an exhaust-gas temperature of approximately 3060° R, and about 150° higher with an exhaust-gas temperature of 3435° R.

Interrelation of Temperatures

The interrelation of the exhaust-gas temperature, gas temperatures near the wall, inside-wall temperature, and cooling-air temperatures are shown in figure 21 for station F. The cooling-air temperature rise to station F is the vertical distance between the cooling-air temperature curve and the diagonal dashed line. This rise in cooling-air temperature becomes small as the inlet cooling air is raised to temperatures of 1500° to 1700° R, indicating that a combustion chamber with an inner liner maintains a layer of gas at approximately turbine-outlet temperature next to the outside structural wall. Consequently, the temperature of the structural wall of an insulated tail-pipe burner having an inner liner would be practically the same with or without tail-pipe burning.

The data of figure 22 can be shown to better advantage by means of the parameter $\frac{T_{g,F} - T_{w,F}}{T_{w,F} - T_{a,F}}$ which is obtained from a heat balance across the inside wall at station F. This parameter is the ratio of the over-





all heat-transfer coefficients on the cooling-air and combustion-gas

sides of the inside wall H_g/H_g . The ratio H_g/H_g is a function of the inlet cooling-air temperature, exhaust-gas temperature, turbine-discharge gas temperature, and mass-flow ratio for a given fuel distribution and burner geometry. This parameter can be plotted against the ratio of the inlet cooling-air temperature to the exhaust-gas temperature $T_{a,B}/T_{g}$ for given mass-flow ratios, turbine-discharge gas temperatures, and radial fuel distributions. Inasmuch as the cooling-air temperature Ta.F and the effective-gas temperature $T_{g,F}$ are not generally known, and because these temperatures are functions of the same variable as the ratio H_g/H_g , the more convenient parameter $\frac{T_g - T_w, F}{T_w, F - T_a, B}$ is plotted in figure 22 against $\frac{T_{a,B}}{T_g}$. The parameter $\frac{T_g - T_{w,F}}{T_{w,F} - T_{a,B}}$ varies approximately linearly with $\frac{T_{a,B}}{T_g}$ but varies in level and slope with the radial fuel distribution and mass-flow ratio. The upper curve is for configuration C with a mass-flow ratio of 0.143. The second curve from the top is the mean line through the data of configuration A with mass flows of combustion gas of 22.3 and 13.8 pounds per second at a mass-flow ratio of approximately 0.143. The effect of exhaust-gas temperature level from 3064° to 3845° R is not apparent within the scatter of the data. The large discrepancy between the data points and the curve for configuration A at $\frac{T_{a,B}}{T_{c}} = 0.54$ amounts to only 41° R in $T_{w,F}$. The parameter $\frac{T_g - T_{w,F}}{T_{w,F} - T_{a,B}}$ is very sensitive to small changes in $T_{w,F}$ for values of

 $\frac{L_{a,B}}{T_{a}}$ greater than approximately 0.50.

The third curve is for configuration A at a mass-flow ratio of 0.098. The data of configuration C fall along the lowest curve at a mass-flow ratio of 0.143.

COOLING-AIR PRESSURE DROP

The pressure drop through the cooling passage is shown in figure 23 against the cooling-air flow. The use of σ based on inlet temperature and pressure satisfactorily correlated the data. The pressure drop increases with exhaust-gas temperature because of increased momentum pressure drop accompanying higher heat transfer to the cooling air.

The isothermal friction factor for the instrumented cooling passages is shown in figure 24. The turbulence created by the instrumentation and the interlocking stringers was great enough to make the friction factor practically independent of Reynolds number. The value was about 0.009 for a Reynolds number range of 1.6×10^4 to 1.3×10^5 . Without the instrumentation the friction factor should lie closer to the line for commercial pipe.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The effects of tail-pipe fuel-air ratio (exhaust-gas temperature level), radial distribution of tail-pipe fuel, and mass flow of combustion gas on the temperature profiles of the combustion gas and inside wall of the combustion chamber were determined for an experimental tailpipe burner cooled by air flowing through an insulated cooling-air passage 1/2 inch in height.

Large circumferential variations existed in the combustion-gas temperature near the inside wall. These variations in combustion-gas temperature resulted in similar variations in the inside-wall temperature. The difference between the highest and the lowest gas temperatures around the circumference 1/4 inch from the inside wall was approximately 500° to 900° F, whereas the corresponding difference in the inside-wall temperatures formed consistent patterns that were similar, although different in magnitude, for all configurations tested.

The two extremes in radial distribution of tail-pipe fuel flow, high fuel concentration toward the combustion-chamber wall and high fuel concentration in the center of the combustion chamber, produced a spread in circumferential average inside-wall temperatures of 235° F at a station 48 inches downstream of the flame holder. The configuration having a high fuel concentration toward the wall presented more of a cooling problem than is indicated by the difference in average inside-wall temperatures because the circumferential variation in temperature was greatest for this configuration.

The distance downstream of flame holders at which the flame spread to the inside wall, as determined from measurements of combustion-gas temperature near the wall, was practically unaffected by tail-pipe fuelair ratio. However, the spread of the flame toward the wall was a function of radial fuel distribution. At no time did the flame impinge on the inside wall closer than 24 inches downstream of the flame holder. Radiant heat transfer to this section of the inside wall was insufficient as to require wall cooling in the first 24 inches if the tail-pipe materials could withstand nonafterburning operation without cooling. 3Z

2408

With the most uniform distribution of tail-pipe fuel tested and an inlet cooling-air temperature of 520° R, an average inside-wall temperature of 1300° F at a station 48 inches downstream of the flame holder required mass-flow ratios of 0.12 and 0.09 at exhaust-gas temperatures of 3825° and 3435° R, respectively. Increasing the distance to 56 inches downstream of the flame holder necessitated a mass-flow ratio of 0.115 with an exhaust-gas temperature of 3435° R.

At a mass-flow ratio of 0.145, the inside-wall temperatures at a station 48 inches downstream of the flame holder were increased approximately $4/10^{\circ}$ per degree increase in inlet cooling-air temperature.

It was shown that the temperature of the structural wall of an insulated tail-pipe burner having an inner liner would be practically the same with or without tail-pipe burning.

Lewis Flight Propulsion Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Cleveland, Ohio.

t

*

....

2408

-

, ·....

APPENDIX A

CALCULATION OF EXHAUST-GAS TEMPERATURE

The exhaust-gas temperature was calculated from the following equation when the nozzle was choked:

$$T_{g} = \gamma_{g} \frac{(\gamma_{g} + 1)}{2} \frac{g}{R} \left(\frac{p_{n} C_{n} C_{T} A_{n}}{W_{g}} \right)^{2}$$
(B1)

where $C_n = 0.965$.

$$C_{T} = \left[1 + 9 \times 10^{-6} (t_n - 70)\right]^2$$

and p was obtained from the critical pressure ratio corresponding to γ_g

$$P_n = P_n \left(\frac{\gamma_g + 1}{2}\right) \frac{\gamma_g}{\gamma_g - 1}$$

When the nozzle was unchoked

$$\mathbb{T}_{g} = \frac{(\gamma_{g} - 1)}{\gamma_{g} W_{g}^{2}} \frac{g}{2\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{p_{0}}{P_{n}}\right)^{\gamma_{g}}\right]} \left(\frac{F_{j}}{C_{j}}\right)$$
(B2)

where $C_j = 0.97$.

,

APPENDIX B

.

SYMBOLS

A _n	area of exhaust-nozzle throat at 70° F, sq ft
сj	ratio of scale jet thrust to ideal jet thrust
Cn	exhaust-nozzle flow coefficient
c _T	area thermal expansion coefficient
Dh	hydraulic diameter of cooling passage (twice cooling passage height), ft
Fj	scale jet thrust, 1b
ſ	isothermal friction factor
f/a	fuel-air ratio
$(f/a)_t$	tail-pipe fuel-air ratio
g	acceleration due to gravity, ft/sec ²
Ha	combined coefficient of heat transfer on the cooling-air side, Btu/(hr)(sq ft)(^O R)
^H g	combined coefficient of heat transfer on combustion-gas side, Btu/(hr)(sq ft)(^o R)
2.	flow distance between stations B and F, ft
Pn	total pressure at exhaust-nozzle throat, 1b/sq ft abs.
P ₅	turbine-outlet total pressure, 1b/sq ft abs.
P ₈	exhaust-nozzle total pressure, lb/sq ft abs.
^p 0.	static pressure in tunnel test section, lb/sq ft abs.
p _n	static pressure at exhaust-nozzle throat, lb/sq ft abs.
<u>q</u>	average dynamic pressure between stations B and F, 1b/sq ft
R	gas constant, ft-lb/(lb)(^O R)
Re	Reynolds number

......

2408

-

-

-

20	NACA RM E51K23	
Ta	cooling-air temperature, ^O R or ^O F	
тg	exhaust-gas temperature at nozzle exit, ^O R	
^T g,1/4	combustion-gas temperature measured 1/4 inch from inside wall, or or oF	
^T g,1/2	combustion-gas temperature measured 1/2 inch from inside wall, °R or °F	±
ца	outside-wall_temperature, ^o F	
Tg'	turbine-outlet total temperature, ^O R	
Tw.	inside-wall temperature, ^O R or ^O F	
Tl	engine-inlet total temperature, ^O R	•
tn	average temperature of exhaust nozzle lip, ^O F	
W _a	cooling-air flow, lb/sec	_
W _{f,0}	engine fuel flow, lb/hr	
W _{f,t}	tail-pipe fuel flow, 1b/hr	
Wg	combustion gas flow, lb/sec	
w _a /w _g	mass-flow ratio	
γ _g	ratio of specific heats of exhaust gas corresponding to total fuel-air ratio and exhaust-gas temperature	_
η _{b,t}	tail-pipe combustion efficiency	•
σ	ratio of density at prevailing temperature and pressure to density at standard temperature and pressure	

Subscripts:

.

B to G longitudinal stations

REFERENCES

-

 Koffel, William K., Stamper, Eugene, and Sanders, Newell D.: Cooling of Ram Jets and Tail-Pipe Burners - Analytical Method for Determining Temperatures of Combustion Chamber Having Annular Cooling Passage. NACA RM E9L09, 1950.



- 3. Wallner, Lewis E., and Jansen, Emmert T.: Full-Scale Investigation of Cooling Shroud and Ejector Nozzle for a Turbojet Engine -Afterburner Installation. NACA RM E51J04
- 4. Sibulkin, Merwin, and Koffel, William K.: Chart for Simplifying . Calculations of Pressure Drop of a High-Speed Compressible Fluid under Simultaneous Action of Friction and Heat Transfer -Application to Combustion-Chamber Cooling Passages. NACA TN 2067, 1950.
- 5. Fiock, Ernest F., and Dahl, Andrew I.: Sixteenth Monthly Report of Progress on the Development of Thermocouple Pyrometers for Gas Turbines. Nat. Bur. Standards. April 14, 1947.
- 6. Blackshear, Perry L., Jr.: Sonic-Flow-Orifice Temperature Probe for High-Gas-Temperature Measurements. NACA IN 2167, 1950.

1

~ ...

.

- . .

•

٠

. . . .

-

.........

ī

.

- - -

_	TANTE I OFFRATING CONDITIONS Rum Alti- Exchanged Flight ambient Engine - Regime - Cooling- Engine Tail- Engine Total Tail- Mess Tail-pipe Turbine- Turbine															MAC				
Rom	Alti- tude (ft)	Exhansb hozzle srit area (sq ft)	Flight Mach number No	Ambient pressure Po (1b sq ft abs.)	Engine- inlet total pressure P ₂ (<u>lb</u> sq ft abs.)	Regime- inlet total temper- sture ^T 1 (°R)	Cooling- air inlet temper- ature T _E (°R)	Ingine fuel flow V _{f,} (1b) (ar)	Tail- pipe fuel flow Vf,t (10) hr	Engine air flow Na (Ib Bec)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Total} \\ \text{fuel-} \\ \text{air} \\ \text{ratio} \\ \left(\frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{a}}\right) \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	Home retio We Wg	Tail-pipe combustion efficiency "b,t	Turbine- outlet total pressure P5 (<u>1b</u> (sq ft abs.)	Turbine- outlet total to	Exhaust- nozle total proseure P 8 (1b (sq ft abs.)	Erhaust- gas total tamper- ature fg (°R)	Rup	
									COSE	IGURATI	A B				La	<u> </u>	k		<u> </u>	
12545	50,000	1.846	0.512 .520 .521 .549 .516	633 629 627 620 627 626	757 755 763 761 751	506 508 484 501 508	541. 530 526 51.B 528 518	1194 1186 1858 1204 1194 1190	1885 1845 2090 1855 1850	21.03 21.14 21.95 21.40 20.85 21.09	0.0407	0.0525 .0515 .0545 .0513 .0513	0.0672	0.959 .871 .885 .902 .945 .919	1573 1550 1452 1361 1361	1640 1652 1650 1627 1646 1657	1272 1248 1527 1271 1261 1261	2994 2858 2993 2691 2996 2954	1 2 3 4 5	
7			.511	630 527	753	497	518	1265	2050	21.19	.0432	.0547	.0966	.945	1415	1628	1513	3117	7	
9			.514 .516 .512 .519	629 627 629 626	755 753 753 753	505 505 505	835 835 942	1222 1221 1250 1285	1970 1955 1955 1955	20.99 21.05 20.99 21.05	.0428 .0419 .0422 .0419	.0539 .0535 .0556 .0556	.0960 .1002 .0965	965 954 959	1597 1596 1400 1596	1621 1830 1687 1622	1296 1896 1296 1296	51.06 5086 5110 5091	9 10 11	
13 14 15 16			.511 .510 .507 .512	626 628 631 629	748 750 752 753	497 508 508 508	965 1057 1115 1845	1259 1225 1228 1231	2005 1955 1950 1950	21.15 20.88 20.88 21.05	.0426 .0423 .0423 .0423	.0544 .0539 .0538 .0535	.0946 .0950 .0986	3.955 967 975	1408 1395 1395 1395	1652 1629 1635 1850	1507 1295 1295 1295	5110 5121 5155 5065	13 14 15 16	
17 19 19 20			.510 .506 .524	629 633 628 629	751 753 787 758	508 506 499 805	1343 1413 494 500	1232 1211 909	1955 1950 0	21.11 20.95 22.92 21.25	.0417 .0416 .0110 .0119	.0532 .0532 .0110	.0965 .1016 .0959	.942	1392 1392 969	1832 1828 1186 1188	1291 1291 665 885	3045 5094	17 18- 19	
21 22 25 24			.515 .514 .511	631 633 631 831	756 758 754 752	505 504 506	508 510 525 525	990 1075 1151	1485 1815 1975 2015	21.66 21.48 21.41 21.21	.0516 .0574 .0405 .0418	.0228 .0290 .0526	.1012	.304 .645 .756	1136 1259 1338	1330 1451 1561	1045 1162 1235	1706 2589 2702 2787	21 22 23	
25 26 27 28		i	.508 .514 .514	631 629 689	755 755 755 753	514 505 503	555 546 529 539	1255 1244 1248	2019 2052 1998	20.94 21.15 21.13 21.45	.0433 .0431 .0437	.0350 .0347 .0343	.0662 .0654 .0985	.958 .934 .948	1392 1404 1410 1421	1641 1652 1524 1648	1291 1302 1306	5121 5068 5102 5059	25 28 27 28	
29 30 31			.909 .506 .514	631 639 631	753 761 755 755	505 505 520	517 507 541	1268 1268 1221	2079 2079 1988	21.35 20.78	.0440 .0458 .0489	.0556	.1519 .1872 .1487	.941 .922 .916	1422 1426 1580	1656 1652 1648	1517 1525 1278	5152 5102 5076 3101	29 50 51	
53 54 35			.510	629 629 628	755 754 756	504 808 805	750 850 1028	1165 1168 1224	2028 2045 1998	21.24 21.06 21.50	.0430	.0345 .0351 .0577	.143	.945 .957 .948	1406 1407 1412	1652 1657 1626	1505 1505 1510	3065 5104 3067	55 54 55	
57 38 39			.523 .511 .520 .521	630 631	760 757 759	505 501 506 306	1335 1355 1462 1587	1221 1220 1225 1230	1968 1978 1978	21.59 21.54 21.54 21.42	.0414 .0414 .0417 .0416	.0535 .0535 .0534 .0535	.1410	.945 .945 .956 .958	1415	1534 1530 1528 1538	1516 1517 1315 1312	5088 5068 504,0	37 38 39	
2122			.518 .518 .518 .514	628 627 627 851	754 751 752 755	506 500 503 508	509 1555 1550 1850	889 819 974 1217	0 1615 2005 1942	21.17 21.37 21.27 21.18	.0117 .0516 .0589 .0415	.0117 .0255 .0352 .0351	.1010 .1455 .1412 .1435	.578 .636 .960	955 . 1165 1559 1404	1202 1356 1548 1651	890 1064 1237 1302	1806 2752 3087	40 41 42 43	
44 45 46 47	50,000	1.905	0.518	628 654 629 630	755 751 751 753	504 506 506 508	519 515 515 515	1262 1262 1265 1268	2360 2360 2255 2260	21.28 20.94 20.94 21.05	0.0473	0.0401	0.1216 .1444 .1688 .1917	0.858	 	1854 1640 1849 1847	1505 1304 1299	3215 3317 3266	44 45 46 47	
40			.509	620	749	508	514	1240	2227	20.77	.0465	.0587	.1481	.981	1405	1627	1295	3541		

*

22

MACA RM E51K23

80₽S

۲

,

.



												<u> </u>							
10	\$0.000	1 905	0.515	651	756	501	725	1243	2217	81,54	0.0450	0.0374	0.1420	0.928	1408	1617	1296	3175	49
20			.520	525	751	499	659	1355	2239	21,32	.0455	.0379	.1398	,925	14-06	1622	1298]	3189)	50
ണ്ടി	i		515	897	75	501	915	1243	2166	21,22	0199	.0572	.1441	.948	14.06	1624	1296	3207	51
껆	· •		514	828	759	505	1013	1224	2166	21.12	.0448	.0574	.1445	.970	1405	1622	1297)	3237	52
쁥			518	690	754	505	1117	1221	2186	21.25	.0445	.0571	.1427	.957	1403	1613	1295	3204	53
200			.010	297	754	505	1999	1255	2186	21.15	.0450	.0373	.1424	.950	1400	1616	1395	3214	54
옙	· ·			870	760	806	500		0	80 54	0117	.0117	.1039		961	1189	878		55
22			-510	800	750	805	500	019	1200	22 49	0201	0198	.1048	.094	1066	1280	857	1352	56
56			.560 [028	100	400	503	1002	1805				4 1053		1184	1347	1055		877
57				630			800	1000	1000	90 45	(1100	0200	1096	400	1949	1451	1139	2180	59
58			.609	651	755	004	503	1000	10%0	66.WJ	.0004	,0633	101060	1100	1221	1440	1111	(60
57				828		810	O'TS	1040	1065	30 47	0706	0110	1005	me	1897	1630	1015	2004	60
60			,505	655	765	500	210	1102	2000	00 17	.0380	.0010	1000		1891	1695	1034	9882	61
5ц			.521	652	760	505	BOO	<u> </u>	2000	. 22 . 1	.041/	,0001	.1002	\$20.	1061	106.0			
62	30,000	1,960	0.511	652	755	507	533	1236	2620	20,87	0.0513	0.0453	0.0955	0,953	1400	1650	1200	3516	62
63			.509	651	753	506	530	1247	2660	21.00	0517	.04.59	.1050	.950	1405	1840	1294	5464	63
64			, 51.8	631	787	504	526	1247	2615	21.24	.0505	.0144	.1071	.956	1410	1627	1299	3443	54
65			.518	629	754	505	526	1250	2610	81.17	.0506	.0145	.1326	1.945	1411	1636	1500	547U	65
86		1		629			530	1220	2550				-1430		1388	1621	1279		66
67			.520	629	758	497	518	1250	2620	21,55	.0503	.0443	.1524	.951	1414	1625	1305	. 3422	67
68	1			629			519	1241	2545				a.1671		1393	1656	1282		68
69			.520	628	756	505	513	1260	2600	21,50	.0505	.0441	1699	,925	1409	1625	1297	5408	69
70	1 1		.524	629	758	505	502	1269	2590	21,45	.0499	.0438	.1796	,829	1415	1620	1308	3401	70
71	[[.512	652	756	512	1046	1227	2600	20.87	,0509	.0451	.1571	,852	1595	1637	1263	5457	71
72	1		.521	627	754	515	1059	1218	2610	20.88	.0509	.0453	1711	.918	1391	1648	1278	5427	72
75			.516	629	754	504	1050	1240	2620	21.22	,0505	.0147	.1715	.910	1410	1637	1295	5594	73
74			.522	624	751	498	493	889	0	81.41	.0115	.0115	.0992		964	1162	850		74
75			.519	828	754	506	511	91.5.	1395	81.15	.0304	.0215	.0974	.102	1040	1241	\$53	1353	75
78			.518	629	755	509	580	959	1520	21.00	.0325	.0239	,1010	.275	1094	1308	987	1659	78
77			.512	627	750	500	502	967	1845	21.87	.0344	.0258	,1000	.368	1147	1342	1041	1880	77
78			.511	631	754	500	507	1078.	2000	21.30	.0401	.0323	.0966	.798	1260	1455	1150	\$737	78
70			1572	652	758	505	512	1163	2150	81.50	.0452	.0558	.1008	.955	1335	1555	1226	3108	79
80			594	620	759	507	1550	1240	8710	21.10	0520	.0465	.1000	.906	1405	1656	1294	5449	80
61			521	628	782	505	680	1235	2592	21.25	.0501	.0441	.1436	.925	1401	1626	1289	3594	81
03			5/17	650	751	505	859	1225	2600	80.98	.0507	.0448	.1480	.952	1401	1631	1289	3481	88
010			510	624	74.0	507	271	1913	2612	20.98	0507	.0450	.1411	.821	1594	1652	1255	5425	83
				620			699	1261	2864				R. 1608		1400	1637	1285		84]
			510	828	740	804	1040	1956	2628	21.02	0510	04.58	1578	.951	14.08	1631	1289	5454	85
80			.046	804	751	505	1136	1 1998	2621	81.17	.0505	.0446	1429	1 .912	1398	1627	1286	5393	88
00				898	101		1229	1568	6629				A 1442		1399	1632	1287		87
01	ļ			060	75.0	508	1500	1400	9628	81 18	0528	0449	1450	.909	1408	1651	1291	\$408	88
00			1010	899	100	490	1409	1851	2619	21.26	0505	.0444	.1418	.919	1409	1625	1295	3403	89
08				060	1 101		1	1			10.000		1477	0.002	05	1891	700	\$272	
80	40,000	1,980	0,515	392	471	805	54.5	828	1411	13.16	0.0173	0.088	<u></u>	0.881	855	1001	705	3676	1 21
91	ł	1	l	392	473	504	628	804	12541	13,25	.04.59	.0860	1.101	.678	929	1020	791	1 3404	3
92		1		395	473	505	720	822	1264	13,85	,0434	.0542	.1440	1.028	804	1607	766	7950	04
95	1	1	1	392	472	505	837	631	1269	13.26	0436	.0544	.1415	1.013	855	1000	786	3400	1 22
94	4	l		585	470	[501	1013	į 820	1292	13.16	.0448	,0353	.1458	.970	848	1608	778	3663	
95	1	{	[394	471	506	1154	817	1306	13.03	.0485	.0361	.1459	983	845	1615	778	2561	
98	l l	[1	389	469	500	1210	851	1273	15.25	.0142	.0547	.1366	.994	854	1611	785	5244	
97	1			285	469	504	1340	829	1206	12.99	.0457	.0562	1418	.985	84.7	1617	779	5006	- 81
96	30.000	2.16	0.514	636	761	501	523	1268	3665	21.57	0,0561	0.0650	0.1574	0.825	1427	1657	1502	3611	98
99		1	.518	654	760	504	520	1875	3870	21.47	.0666	.0653	1651	.820	1487	1642	1299	3817	,99
100	3		.515	631	758	506	515	1858	3870	21.24	,0870	.0660	.1871	.625	1415	1642	1291	3645	100
101		1	.518	654	761	503	508	1087	2296	21,52	.0437	.056	.1906		1269	14.58	1147		102
102		1	.511	630	753	500	510	1177	\$850	21,44	.0522	.0470	.1884	. 824	1540	1552	1218	3480	105
	1	J					_											NACA	2
- d			- 17																. · ·

.

Based on average Wg. DApproximately 0.515.

٠

.

80**4**2

.

-	Run Alti- Exhanst Flight Ambient Engine- Engine Cooling- Engine Tail- Engine Tail- Engine Tail-																			
Bru.		lti- nde it)	Exhanst nozzle erit area (sq ft)	Flight Maah number Mo	Ambient pressure Po (1b (aq ft aba.)	Engine- inlet total pressure P2 (<u>1b</u> sq ft abs.)	Ingins- inlet total temper- sture Th (°R)	Cooling- air inlet temper- ature Ta (°R)	Engine fuel flow v. (11) kr	Tail- pipe fuel flow f,t (1b) hr	Engine ir flow W_{a} $\left(\frac{1b}{aso}\right)$	Total fuel- air ratio $\begin{pmatrix} f \\ a \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Tail-pipe} \\ \text{fuel-} \\ \text{air} \\ \text{ratio} \\ \begin{pmatrix} f \\ e \end{pmatrix}_{t} \end{array}$	Mena ratio V _A V _B	Emil-pipe combustion efficiency ⁹ b,t	Turbine- cublet total pressure P5 (<u>lb</u> sq ft abs.)	Turbine- cutlet total temper- ature Ture Ture (OR)	Ethenst- possie total pressure P_{θ} $\left(\frac{1b}{sq\ fb\ abs.}\right)$	Erhanst- gas total temper- ature T 5 (°H)	18an
L																				
		0,000	1,903	0,511 .524 .519 .514 .516 .514 .514 .514 .512 .514 .512 .514 .512	630 625 627 629 628 628 628 628 631 629 629 629 629 629 629 629 629 629 629	753 754 753 755 755 755 757 754 755 752 759 759 754 759	508 501 507 504 501 504 505 505 505 505 505 507 506 507 501	507 500 500 500 495 748 767 838 938 1058 1127 1223	1255 1260 1282 1279 1258 1258 1258 1256 1256 1256 1250 1158 1129 1115	2359 2555 2345 2355 2373 2355 2375 2342 2345 2345 2545 2545 2436 2438 2438	21.14 21.45 21.28 21.28 21.29 21.17 21.01 21.29 21.17 21.04 20.94 21.42 21.06 21.82	0.0475 .0468 .0475 .0477 .0469 .0481 .0487 .0471 .0477 .0471 .0475 .0476 .0464 .0470 .0468	0.0402 .0598 .0598 .0598 .0405 .0397 .0409 .0597 .0400 .0592 .0405 .0408 .0418	0.0965 .1196 .1422 .1691 .1499 .1417 .1452 .1457 .1412 .1425 .1425	0.904 .875 .865 .890 .921 .894 .957 	1406 1409 1410 1414 1404 1416 1411 1422 1421 1421 1409 1396 1399	1619 1623 1631 1636 1622 1635 1625 1625 1628 1635 1630 1625 1617 1622	1300 1501 1298 1505 1506 1506 1501 1511 1299 1999 1999 1389 1289	5248 3181 3215 3232 3140 3305 3197 3289 3285 3156 3206 3164	1 8 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
() ال	-									COROFI	COURATIC	Σī							L	
	50	0,000	1.903 2.18	0.512 .507 .515 .510 .504 .512 .510 0.510	632 634 627 629 624 632 635 635 634	758 756 751 751 754 756 756 757	505 500 496 501 498 505 506 508	584 725 828 925 1040 1233 1450 884	1251 1258 1259 1857 1844 1385 1424 1205	2190 2210 2195 2195 2190 2190 2190 2160	21.26 21.45 21.39 21.28 21.34 21.35 21.36 20.84	0.0450 .0450 .0449 .0451 .0451 .0465 .0466 .0469	0.0572 .0370 .0572 .0570 .0572 .0577 .0371 .0373	0.1472 .1419 .1428 .1439 .1459 .1415 .1415	0.972 .957 .955 .965 .960 .975 .980 0.715	1412 1420 1415 1422 1419 1419 1417 1417	1625 1627 1624 1625 1622 1621 1628	1506 1512 1508 1507 1515 1512 1512 1512	5248 5820 3214 5237 5251 5245 5245 5257	1254567
																		4600	NACA	<u> </u>

· -

÷ 1

: r#• ::

.

٠

NACA RM E51K23

,

80**₽**2

.

TABLE II - CIRCUMPERENTAL AVERAGE TEMPERATURES, "F

А

.

٠

							1.41		CIRCON		AVAGRU			, ,					er v	NACA-	~
Run			Station	1 C				Station	. D				Statio	n X				Statio	1 7		Run
	Combu	tion	Inaide vall	Outside vall	Cooling air	Ocebus ges	tion	Incide vall	Outside	000ling air	Combas gas	tion	Inside wall	Outside vall	0ooling air	Combu gas	stion	Inside vall	Outside Wall	Cooling air	
.	^т в,1/2	^T g,1/4	T.v	T,	Ta	т g,1/2	^T g,1/4	T.	T _s	T a	^T g,1/2	7 _{g,1/4}	T.	T	T.	^т в,1/2	^т в,1/4	Ť	T	T	
									CO	II DODRAT	TON A										[
5	1098	1093	711	125	110	1517	1127	843	229	204	1567	1410	963	525	891,	1619	1885	11,96	489	395	1
13	1085	1068	661	100	93	1290	1110	780	185	174	1550	1388	884	258	245	1818	1828	1107	405	330 268	2
	1092	108/	500 600	78	73	1275	1107	708	137	144	1517	1359	799	195	201	1784	1576	94.8	306	269	Ĭ
	1091	1098	612	82	81	1306	1103	728	150	155	1561	1397	628	214	315	1815	1629	1046	339	290	5
1	1085	1090	564	73	75	1292	1090	663	124	139	1525	1567	759	176	188	1805	12607	962	281	280	;
	1103	1076	631	72	70	1510	1114	899	1288	141	1611	145	892	253	100	1951	1787	1052	599	346	i él
	1096	1079	891	202	191	1299	1120	768	265	252	1652	1448	912	356	518	1968	1774	1038	502	415	9
). 10	1103	1079	175	392	588	12,92	1125	854	436	441	1645	1456	966	494	448	1956	1777	1090	610	558	1.0
ຸ່ມ	1069	1.099	888	489	492	1298	1119	884	580	538	1673	1490	1015	575	572	1979	1795	1137	680	639	끊
12	1087	1079	852	498	500	1505	1151	868	846	554	1655	1450	1011	511	589	1972	1778	1145	880	650	13
14	14119	1100	863	582	585	1324	1189	916	591	606	1681	1499	1044	638	840	1997	1824	1168	735	701	14
15	1130	1110	915	681	662	1311	1198	983	665	645	1702	1511	1085	707	720	8011	1855]] 1201,	791	771	18
16	1134.	1118	• 983	775	786	1548	1188	1.032	782	812	1727	1558	1147	620	829	2022	1856	1256	899	876	18
17	1137	1146	. 1048	872	887	1527	1217	1090	. 869	910	1778	1611	1205	907	918	2055	1849	1364	1028	1012	111
1 28	221	1138 AB7	1075 S2A	859	956.	1514	0131	363	54	71	1/40	100%	366	n	101			365	95	112	19
20	. 704	551	548	44	43			366) ei	80			370	85	104			571	118	12%	20
21	647	817	400	50	52			450	77	68	1051		469	103	134	1105	1065	514	145	155	21
22	958	960	469	59	82			541	97	114	1216		573	131	160	1658	1445	1 799	280	245	23
1	1046	1025	581	73	77	1149	1061	847	120	135	1404	1185	701	168	196	1775	1533	850	244	247	24
2	1129	1098	798	181	185	1524	1150	889	262	227	1657	1636	1087	557	310	2111	1865	1226	635	438	25
26	1005	1085	772	114	116	1318	1138	863	214	807	1850	1411	3086	510	285	. 2095	1841	1169	475	578	26
27	1094	1077	684	90	92	1308	1122	774	265	173	1612	1386	918	245	: 238	1002	1848	11016	370	295	28
86	1110	1091	843	82	90	1329	11100	731	114	155	1677	1445	788	157	190	8014	1820	812	286	263	29
12	1051	1062	520	57	1 /3 B1	1299	1119	568	88	216	1635	1348	697	128	159	1986	1770	857	824	206	30
5	1144	1150	807	123	108	1365	1170	701	190	172	1662	1509	854	248	344	1682	1508	967	370	515	31
32	1101	1080	840	813	210	1315	1125	697	256	269	1619	1597	848	\$95	512	1958	1781	966	398	378	1 38
1	1103	1082	681	896	306	11500	11136	758	339	358	1885	145	9201	452	472	2000	1817	1064	551	523	34
1 54	1098	1090	850	574	575	1296	1106	896	579	610	1641	1350	1001	516	635	1965	1801	11.55	678	676	35
36	1102	1078	906	662	674	1305	1120	949	664	705	1885	1394	1054	704	725	2055	1807	1156	757	758	55
37	1110	1077	1024	64.8	871	1310	1139	1065	64.8	892	1639	1420	1159	874	895	2071	1853	1253	920	920	37
36	1160	ш	1109	985	1000	1308	1169	1148	879	1017	1694	1460	1241	1003	1017	2109	1917	1594	11060	1152	59
38	1131	1107	1188	1100	1128	1339	100	389	1 70	87	1007		386	125	118			590	126	137	40
1.5	908	882	912	864	895		1	913	858	668		1001	932	855	869	1070	1087	923	838	854	41
4	1000	1085	1183	1069	1069	1119	1071	1143	1049	1105	1381	1244	1166	1059	1080	1595	1469	1232	1077	1090	
4	1148	1138	1834	1171	1189	1373	1200	1269	1161	1196	1718	1535	1356	1182	1180	8186	1,556	1029	1032	509	12
4	1200	1067	620	77	78	1302	1086	698	133	1.153	1727	1479	14/19	180	1 190	8252	1970	922	274	265	45
4	1102	1062	585	- 78 R0	75	1305	1065	816	105	132	1711	1526	768	149	177	2102	1909	903	244	239	48
1	1100	1048	513	85	87	1308	1071	585	95	128	1720	1497	655	155	163	2119	1894	866	211	220	47
14	1098	1075	584	78	74	1342	1089	687	132	147	1737	1492	819	171	204	2170	1895	945	255	274	48

NACA RM E51K23

42

ŧ

ស្ង

471 555 \$77 51 52 464 1320 52 662 765 52 58 54 55 58 810 54 77 420 58 ----------------**** ---m 57 ____ ----------------~ - - -69 69 70 ____ 11.84 84Z 119 115 134 131 189 ----~~~~ -----174 501 ____ 554 155 617 165 ----278 1795 ---------1029 580 720 1513 2098 192 268 61 63 63 63 65 69 69 69 70 96 96 86 81 87 5 79 70 ----____ 64.0 998 681 90 1174 790 160 1950 240 21.66 2134 301 130 116 111 106 1096 1104 1090 1099 1096 1105 1155 1118 716 £ 671 141 1966 851; 188 191 285 581 91. 74 22,99 1124 1139 132 118 178 161 555 1365 635 1,644 2280 1120 71 72 73 74 592 595 595 591 38 62 594 606 598 598 58 68 70 870 1218 928 516 642 2013 656 639 79 667 700 121 169 198 712 2217 1219 346 435 348 57 140 155 449 *------------------------458 455 76 ------------**** --------118 146 189 271 500 85 ------------------63 75 95 79 218 672 171 1529 1297 781 225 298 234 286 125 --------____ ----1053 719 821 129 171 77 96 ____ 1185 150 144 264 199 701 1750 -965 2394 2145 1085 268 369 440 599 1099 1119 1120 1120 1115 1102 1114 962 745 443 514 2417 364 972 1719 572 1090 426 562 450 594 11,58 1205 1170 841. 81.5 977 1759 719 752 1258 801 774 651 1133 973 757 **50** 1178 842 950 289 189 189 358 458 871 1775 24,66 2261 1110 1045 1052 1059 1045 1045 1052 181 113 24.59 801.9 1025 1013 1255 853 21.66 1740 475 278 391 755 605 691. 754 409 1164 1027 701 581. 699 785 958 1067 1083 97 77 127 112 78 1179 1091. 707 199 1068 597 155 1080. 1078 11,67 67 422 479 71 99 1135 574 99 151 1120 1907 825 171 200 ī

•

_ _ _

: 907-2

Run			Stat10	n C		Station D						Station	a R		Station F						
	Combus	tion	Inside	Outside	Cooling	Oombu	stion	Inside Outside Ocoling		Combustion Inside Out		Outside	Cooling	Combu	stion	Inside	Outaide	Cooling			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MUTT	#att	EJL.	6 24	•	AUTT	WATT	air	<u>ga</u>		WALL	WOLL	alr	<u>BA</u>		VALL	VALL	Alr	
1	T	T	T	T	T	T ,	π]	T	T	Ť	F	Τ.,	Ŧ	T	T	Γ., Γ	T	т	T) 🛨	1 1
	B ,1/2	8,1/4		8	<u>a</u>	B,1/2	в,1/4	<u>v</u>	8	۹.	g,1/2	в,1/4	¥	B	4	g,1/2	g,1/4	¥	8		
CONFIGURATION B																					
1	1078	1059	602	72	74	1406	1073	743	123	152	2519	2202	1058	209	264	2758	2599	1254	377	373	
2	1088	1026	549	60	65	1542	1064	688	99	133	2490	1925	986	161	221	2752	2598	1170	291	313	2
5	1078	1025	510	50	58	1308	1051	627	98	117	2424	2129	900	149	165	2706	2380	1089	221	270	5
4	1069	1031	477	51	56	1182	1082	592	89	109	2512	2009	856	143	189	2585	2404	1067	215	248	4
Б	1077	1013	445	52	54	1332	1038	543	61.	103	2459	1849	777	110	151	2727	2525	995	169	219	5
6	1085	1044	516	64	67	1382	1078	657	101	130	2500	1936	914	154	207	2718	2410	1164	286	296	6
7	1054	1041	628	290	293	1335	1066	726	321	338	2214	1788	972	361	388	2607	2232	1199	458	468	7
8	1061	1052	835	296	200	1403	1088	737	326	345	2488	1729	989	364	395	2680	2488	1196	463	473	8
1.9	1073	1087	691	382	389	1427	1111	794	411	431	2307	1797	1062	451	479	2746	2543	1251	543	567	9
1 10	1099	1031	744	476	465	1457	1128	842	499	521	2415	1977	1077	548	564	2705	2552	1284	638	637	20
111	1088	1060	797	589	583	1402	1118	886	563	585	24.91	1932	1106	635	641	2452	2194	1278	692	701	11
1 18	1070	1073	851	659	671	1412	1124	959	670	696	8245	1958	1156	726	726	2725	2344	1355	780	784	12
1-10-	TOAA	<u>1100</u>	914	755	768	1452	1153	1009	761	788	2500	2057	TRAT	804	814	2509	2325	13/9	999	009	172
L_										MITIGURAI	TON C				_						
1	1101	1073	575	92	78	1841	1107	654	140	146	1610	1190	800	179	201	1967	1639	928	259	267	11
2	1108	1074	668	871	271	1254	1101	725	304	322	1646	1525	856	345	359	2015	1647	945	440	\$13	2
] 3	1112	1067	787	567	377	1258	1117	780	390	421	1603	1255	911	433	449	1994	1639	9999	513	498	3
4	1115	1095	780	463	473	1255	1122	851	483	511	1625	1338	968	526	537	2000	1647	1045	596	582	4
5	1117	1095	845	572	585	1258	1128	892	585	627	1624	1321	1014	625	836	1990	1649	1096	685	675	5
6	11,35	1115	963	764	778	1278	11152	1009	768	802	1560	1364	1186	796	813	2020	1701	1209	850	845	6
7	1142	1127	1091	971	991	1285	1167	1134	967	1003	1682	1374	1241	994	1003	2029	1718	1310	1027	1026	2
<u> </u>	1069	1069	501	64	85	1402	1066	567	96	119	2121	1670	805	130	168	2512	2200	993	824	233	8

TABLE II - CIRCUMPERENTIAL AVERAGE TEMPERATURES, OF - Concluded

1



- - - -

. . .

. .. .

Figure 1. - Tail-pipe burner assembly.

¥

i

NACA RM E51K23

. ..

28

-







Figure 3. - Instrumentation stations on the tail-pipe burner.

•

NACA RM E51K23

٤

30





(a) Station B, cooling-passage inlet, looking downstream.

Figure 4. - Location of instrumentation.

1



_







-





Figure 4. - Continued. Location of instrumentation.

2408

5Z

.

- 1977-1

. . . ---



(d) Station G.

Figure 4. - Continued. Location of instrumentation.

2.08



Ζ.

(e) Exhaust-nozzle exit, looking downstream.

Figure 4. - Continued. Location of instrumentation.



(f) Throat of cooling-air metering nozzle.

Figure 4. - Continued. Location of instrumentation.





(g) Cooling-air inlet plenum chamber, looking downstream.Figure 4. - Concluded. Location of instrumentation.





;

-5258

. . .

.

NACA RM E51K23

807Z



Figure 6. - National Bureau of Standards type shielded thermocouple for cooling-air temperature measurement.



. . . .

......

. . .



NACA RM E51K23

C-26128

80**₽**2

6Z



Figure 8. - Interior view of combustion chamber showing installation of sonic-flow orifice rake and platinum-rhodium - platinum thermocouples.





- 1

(b) Accumulated afterburner time, 3 hours and 36 minutes; exhaust-gas total temperature approximately 3060° R; massflow ratio, 0.0949; inlet cooling-air temperature, 536° R.

Figure 9. - Continued. Circumferential temperature variations at station F, configuration A.

2408

ı



(c) Accumulated afterburner time, 9 hours and 22 minutes, exhaust-gas total temperature, 3102° R; mass-flow ratio, 0.0985; inlet cooling-air temperature, 529° R.

Figure 9. - Continued. Circumferential temperature variations at station F, configuration A.

*

O Combustion gas 1/2 in. from inside wall □ Combustion gas 1/4 in. from inside wall ♦ Inside wall 2800 Outside wall ▼ Cooling air 2400 2000 Temperature, ^oF 1600 1200 . 800 400

•

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 Group positions (d) Accumulated afterburner time, 3 hours and 48 minutes:

 (d) Accumulated afterburner time, 3 hours and 48 minutes; exhaust-gas total temperature, 3484° R; mass-flow `ratio, 0.1050; inlet cooling-air temperature, 530° R.

Figure 9. - Concluded. Circumferential temperature variations at station F, configuration A.

























49

٤

, 72















Figure 15. - Effect of fuel distribution on transverse profiles of combustion-gas temperature at station F.



۰.

2408

x

3

- - - -

- - -



Figure 16. - Effect of exhaust-gas temperature on longitudinal profiles of average inside-wall temperature for configuration A.



Figure 17. - Effect of fuel distribution on longitudinal profile of average insidewall temperature.







Figure 19. - Variation of average inside-wall temperature with mass-flow ratio of cooling air to combustion gas for configuration A. Approximate inlet cooling-air temperature, 520° R.

.

2408

. .





·····



gas flow, 22.3 pounds per second; mass-flow ratio, 0.098.

(b) Configuration A; exhaust-gas temperature, 3095° R; combustion-gas flow, 22.3 pounds per second; mass-flow ratio, 0.148.

Figure 21. - Relation of temperatures at station F.





\$





(e) Configuration A; exhaust-gas temperature, 3265° R; combustiongas flow, 13.8 pounds per second; mass-flow ratio, 0.143. (f) Configuration B; exhaust-gas temperature, 3225^o R; combustiongas flow, 22.3 pounds per second; mass-flow ratio, 0.144.

Figure 21. - Continued. Relation of temperatures at station F.

Classification of the



Figure 21. - Concluded. Relation of temperatures at station F.





.

e,





19

10Z





NACA-Langley - 1-25-52 - 325



; **;**

į

n.

