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FOREWORD

This report describes theoretical and experimental analyses developed by staff of the University of Colorado at Boulder in collaboration with the Electromagnetic Fields Division of the National Bureau of Standards (NBS), under a contract sponsored by NBS. Professor David C. Chang heads the University team. Dr. Mark T. Ma of NBS serves as the technical contract monitor. The period covered by this report extends from July 1980 to July 1981.

The work presented in this report represents a further aspect of establishing a theoretical basis for the technical analyses of transverse electromagnetic (TEM) transmission line cells. The general purpose of pursuing theoretical studies is to evaluate the use of TEM cells for (1) measuring the total rf radiated power by a device inserted into the cell for test, or (2) performing necessary susceptibility tests on a small electronic device.

The particular topic addressed herein is to determine the input impedance of a probe antenna which may be inserted into a TEM cell to measure or excite fields. The formulation of the problem is based on a variational principle, which insures that the input impedance so obtained is stationary for small arbitrary variations in the probe current distribution about its true value. The evaluation of the variational integral requires a knowledge of the field distribution inside the cell excited by a vertical short electric dipole. This latter problem was the subject of a previous report by the same authors [4], the results of which are readily adapted to the present problem. Thus, the input impedance treated in this report represents an extension to the previous studies.

The analysis of the present problem is significantly simplified by assuming that the gap between the inner septum and the outer walls of the cell is electrically small, and that the operating frequency is such that no higher order modes are excited inside the cell. These assumptions are consistent with the practical cell utilization and design principle.

The final impedance expression is shown to consist of two distinct terms, which can be clearly identified as the respective contributions by the ordinary rectangular waveguide and the gap perturbation.
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The input impedance of a probe antenna exciting a transverse electromagnetic (TEM) transmission line cell is formulated by a variational approach. The formulation also utilizes the results from a previous work on the field distribution inside a TEM cell excited by a vertical electrical Hertzian dipole. The final result of impedance is shown to consist of two distinct terms, which are respectively contributed by the ordinary rectangular waveguide and the gap perturbation. Numerical results for both the real and imaginary parts of the impedance are given. The resistive part is found to be proportional to the square of the probe length, and the reactive part largely capacitive.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The National Bureau of Standards (NBS) has been interested in developing a method for testing the emission and susceptibility properties of electronic equipment. One approach to this problem is the transverse electromagnetic (TEM) transmission line cell. The TEM cell, shown in figure 1, consists of a section of rectangular coaxial transmission line (RCTL) coupled at each end to standard 50Ω cylindrical coaxial line via a tapered section. The RCTL section supports a dominant TEM mode and provides an isolated, standard test field environment. The TEM cell results may be related to the free space environment via results given by Tippet [1] and Sreenivasiah [2].

This report concerns the manner in which an idealized coaxial probe excites an infinite section of RCTL. The results may be used to study the effect of coaxial probes inserted into the TEM cell either to excite, or to measure fields. In addition, the configuration studied may be useful in modeling feed lines to electronic equipment. The method of solution is to formulate a variational expression for the input impedance of the probe at the aperture plane, as was done by Collin [3] in analyzing the coaxial probe excitation of a rectangular waveguide. The evaluation of the variational integral requires that the Green's function corresponding to the fields excited in an RCTL by a vertical electric dipole (VED) be known. The VED excitation of an RCTL was the subject of a previous report by the authors [4],
the results of which will be adapted to the present problem. The analysis of
the Green's function is significantly simplified by assuming that the gap
between the inner septum and the outer walls, is electrically small, and that
the operating frequency is not too large. Both these premises are consistent
with the TEM cell usage.

Evaluating the variational impedance integral results in two physically
distinct terms, which may be referred to as the ordinary rectangular waveguide
contribution, and the gap perturbation contribution. The ordinary term gives
the input reactance due to a coaxial probe in a rectangular waveguide with the
same dimensions as that of the RCTL's upper chamber. Since the dimensions of
the cell are such that the rectangular waveguide modes in the upper chamber
would be cutoff, the result is a highly capacitive term for which the analysis
yields an approximate analytic expression. The gap perturbation term
represents the change from the ordinary result due to the presence of the gap
fields, and contains the radiation resistance resulting from the dominant TEM
mode. The perturbation term, as derived, remains in an integral form which
must be treated numerically. However, if the TEM mode radiation resistance is
extracted, the remaining reactive integral is negligible when compared to the
highly capacitive ordinary contribution. Thus neglecting the perturbation
reactance results in a computationally simple algebraic form for the probe
input impedance.

Section 2 of this report will define the variational impedance integral to
be evaluated and discuss the necessary probe idealizations. The Green's
function associated with the VED excitation of an RCTL is examined in Section
3. Section 4 gives the result of the evaluation of the impedance integral and
examines the distinct physical nature of the resulting terms. Certain special
cases are used to verify the solution. These checks are examined in Section 5
along with numerical results. Many details of the analysis and a listing of
the FORTRAN program written to evaluate the impedance terms are left to the
Appendices.
2. VARIATIONAL FORMULA FOR THE PROBE INPUT IMPEDANCE

The RCTL cross section and the coaxial-line probe antenna are depicted in figure 2. The septum, of width $2w$, is allowed to be vertically offset with the heights of the upper and lower chambers given by $b_1$ and $b_2$, respectively. The width of the upper chamber is $2a_1$, and the width of the lower chamber is $2a_2$. The gap between the septum and the outer wall is $g$.

The probe, of length $d$ and radius $t$, is located in the upper chamber. A TEM cell would have an RCTL cross section with equal chamber widths and previous discussions have assumed this geometry. However, in order to avoid any degeneracies caused by letting $a_1 = a_2 = a$, the analysis will initially assume general chamber widths. The time convention will be $\exp(-i\omega t)$.

If the coaxial-line aperture is small, it is reasonable to neglect the higher order modes excited at the aperture discontinuity and assume only incident and reflected TEM modes exist in the coaxial-line. As shown by Collin [3] in his analysis of the coaxial-line probe antenna excitation of an ordinary rectangular waveguide, the above approximation allows the antenna input impedance $Z$ to be expressed as follows:

$$Z = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{S} \int_{S'} J(\bar{x}) \cdot K(\bar{x}, \bar{x}') \cdot J(\bar{x}') \, ds \, ds'$$

where $\bar{x}$ and $\bar{x}'$ denote source and observation points, $J(\bar{x})$ is the unknown current density on the probe, $\bar{K}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}')$ is a dyadic Green's function corresponding to the electric field excited by a unit strength dipole source, and $I_t$ is the total current at the aperture plane. $S$ denotes the probe surface plus the aperture plane which carries an equivalent electric current $\bar{J}(\bar{x}) = \bar{n} \times \bar{H}(\bar{x})$ where $\bar{n}$ is a unit vector in the outward normal direction.

Experimental studies have shown that $Z$ is effectively independent of the aperture size for electrically small apertures [3]. Therefore it is reasonable to idealize the probe as driven by a $y$-directed $E$-field concentrated at the base. Thus we need consider a $y$-directed current only, which reduces $J(\bar{x})$ to $\bar{a}_y J(\bar{x})$, and $\bar{K}(\bar{x}, \bar{x}')$ to $\bar{a}_y K(\bar{x}, \bar{x}') \bar{a}_y$ with $\bar{a}_y$ being the $y$-directed unit vector.
The above impedance expression may be shown to be variational in \( J(x) \). That is, a first order approximation for \( J(x) \) leads to a second order approximation for \( Z \). At normal TEM cell operating frequencies, the length of the probe will be small compared to a wavelength. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the current distribution is approximately sinusoidal,

\[
J(x) = \frac{I_0}{2\pi} \sin k(b_1-d-y)\delta(p-t)
\]

where the delta function is interpreted in the sense of a generalized function. The form of the Green's function \( K(x,x') \) will be considered next.

3. THE GREEN'S FUNCTION \( K(x,x') \)

The appropriate Green's function for the special case of equal chamber widths has been derived previously [4]. However, the modifications due to generalizing the chamber widths are not difficult. Since a VED in an RCTL will excite both transverse electric (TE or h-type), and transverse magnetic (TM or e-type) modes, both cases need to be treated. For each modal type we begin by formulating an integral equation for the unknown field in the gap. These integral equations could be solved by Chebyshev polynomial expansion methods [5]. However, a less cumbersome solution may be achieved by making the following pair of assumptions. First, the gap is assumed to be small, and second, the frequency is not allowed to be too high. These assumptions lead to an approximate integral equation which may be solved directly. The small gap assumption amounts to discarding terms which are of the order \( G^2 \ln G \), where \( G \) is a normalized gap parameter defined by \( G = \pi g/2a \), \( a = \min(a_1, a_2) \). Up to the order of this approximation only the TE type modes contribute significantly to the gap field.

The derivation of the Green's function begins with the removal of the \( z \)-dependence via a Fourier-transform defined by

\[
K(j)(x,x') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(j)(x,x')e^{i\alpha z} d\alpha
\]
where \( j = 1, 2 \) refer to the upper, and lower chambers respectively, the subscript \( t \) denotes the transverse plane, \( \alpha \) is the transform variable representing a continuum of propagation factors, and the superscript tilde signifies a function in the transform domain. The analysis outlined above yields the following result

\[
\tilde{K}^{(j)}(\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{x}_t') = \tilde{K}^{(j)}_1(\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{x}_t') + \tilde{K}^{(j)}_2(\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{x}_t')
\]

(4)

where \( \tilde{K}^{(j)}_1 \) represents the Green's function expected if there were no gap, i.e., the ordinary rectangular waveguide result, and \( \tilde{K}^{(j)}_2 \) gives the gap field perturbation contribution. \( \tilde{K}^{(j)}_1 \) and \( \tilde{K}^{(j)}_2 \) derived in Appendix A are found to be

\[
\tilde{K}^{(j)}_1(\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{x}_t') = -\delta \left( \frac{i\omega}{\mu} \right)^{2} \left[ \frac{x}{2} \right] \left[ \frac{x'}{2} \right] \left[ \frac{\xi (x - x')}{\xi} \right] + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta [M^2 + \alpha^2]}{M^2 + N^2} g^{(j)}(x, x')
\]

(5)

\[
\tilde{K}^{(j)}_2(\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{x}_t') = \frac{i\omega \mu}{2a \zeta} L(\alpha)f_j(\tilde{x}_t)f_1(\tilde{x}_t')
\]

(6)

where \( \omega = 2\pi f \) is the angular frequency, \( \mu \) is the permeability, \( k = \omega(\varepsilon/2)^{1/2} \) is the TEM mode propagation constant, \( \delta_{ij} \) is the Kronecker delta function, \( \zeta^2 = k^2 - \alpha^2 \), \( M_j = mn/2a_j \), and \( N_j = nm/b_j \). The remaining functions are defined by

\[
g^{(j)}_{mn}(\tilde{x}_t, \tilde{x}_t') = \left( -\frac{2}{a_j b_j} \right) \sin M_j(x + a_j) \sin M_j(x' + a_j) \cos N_j y \cos N_j y'
\]

(7)

\[
L(\alpha) = \left\{ \frac{8a_j a_{2j}}{\pi g} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{m_j}{b_j} \alpha_j \right\}^{-1}
\]

(8)
\[ f_j(\tilde{x}_t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M \cos K_m (b_j - y)} \frac{M_j \cos \left( \frac{m \pi}{b_j} \right)}{K_m \sin \left( \frac{m \pi}{b_j} \right)} \sin \frac{m \pi}{2} \cos M_j x J_0 (M_j g) \]  

(9)

\[ K_m^2 = \xi^2 - \xi_j^2 \]  

(10)

\[ \Delta_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & n=0 \\ 1 & n=1 \end{cases} \]  

(11)

with \( m_0 \) denoting the summation over odd \( m \) only \((m=1, 3, 5...), \) and \( J_0 \) is the zeroth order Bessel function. Again the delta function should be understood in the sense of a generalized function.

4. THE INPUT IMPEDANCE \( Z \)

4.1. The Green's function terms \( \check{\tilde{\xi}}^{(j)} \) and \( \check{\tilde{\xi}}^{(j)} \) will lead to two distinct impedance contributions \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) which represent the ordinary and perturbation contributions to the probe input impedance. The total impedance is the sum of these two terms. Combining the form of the Green's function given by (4), with the impedance integral (1), yields \( Z = Z_1 + Z_2 \), where

\[ Z_1 = \frac{-1}{2\pi i_2} \int J(\tilde{x}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_1(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}') e^{iaz} J(\tilde{x}') \, d\alpha \, ds' \, ds \]  

(12)

\[ Z_2 = \frac{-1}{2\pi i_2} \int J(\tilde{x}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_2(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}') e^{iaz} J(\tilde{x}') \, d\alpha \, ds' \, ds \]  

(13)

The integrals defined for \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) are evaluated in Appendices B and C for a centrally located probe. The approximate form found for \( Z_1 \) depends upon two assumptions: (1) the probe is taken to be thin, i.e., \( \pi t/2a_1 < 1 \), and (2) the frequency is restricted so that \((ka_1)^2 \) is small. More precisely, terms in \( t \) of the order \((\pi t/2a_1)^2 \) are discarded and terms in \( ka_1 \) of the order \((k/2M_1)^4 \)
are discarded. The evaluation of $Z_2$ involves no approximations. However, $Z_2$ remains in an integral form. The results of Appendices B and C are as follows

$$Z_1 = \frac{i \omega \mu}{2 \pi b_1 k^2} \tan 2 \frac{k d}{2} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{4 a_1}{\pi t} \right) + \frac{2 k^2}{\pi^2} \right\} (4.207175)$$

$$Z_2 = \frac{-i \omega k^2}{4 a_1^2} \csc^2 kd \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L(\alpha)}{\sin^2 \alpha} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n} m \right\} h_m (\alpha, d) \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{L(\alpha)}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right\} h_m (\alpha, d) J_0 [t(a^2 + \frac{M^2}{M_1})^{1/2}] \, da \quad (14)$$

$$Z_2 = \frac{-i \omega k^2}{4 a_1^2} \csc^2 kd \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L(\alpha)}{\sin^2 \alpha} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n} m \right\} h_m (\alpha, d) \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{L(\alpha)}{\sin^2 \alpha} \right\} h_m (\alpha, d) J_0 [t(a^2 + \frac{M^2}{M_1})^{1/2}] \, da \quad (15)$$

$$h_m (\alpha, d) = \frac{M_1 \sin \frac{\pi}{2} J_0 (M_1 g)}{K_m (1) \sin K_m (1) b_1} \left( \cos kd - \cos K_m (1) d \right) \frac{M^2}{M_1 + \alpha^2} \quad (16)$$

where $K_0(x)$ is a modified Bessel function.

The perturbation term $Z_2$ contains the radiation resistance due to the propagating TEM mode, which may be extracted by evaluating the half residues at $\alpha = \pm k$ due to the forward and backward propagating TEM modes. The TEM mode radiation resistance is found to be:

$$R = \frac{\pi n_0 k^2}{4 a_1^2} \csc^2 kd \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L(k)}{\sin^2 k} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{n} m \right\} h_m (k, d) \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{L(k)}{\sin^2 k} \right\} h_m (k, d) J_0 [t(k^2 + \frac{M^2}{M_1})^{1/2}] \quad (17)$$

where $n_0 = 120\pi$.

If we now let $a_1 = a_2 = a$ so that we are considering a normal TEM cell geometry, and recall that the characteristic impedance $Z_C$ of an RCTL is given by [4]

$$Z_C = \frac{n_0 \pi}{8} L(k) \quad (18)$$
The radiation resistance may be written

\[ R = \frac{2k^2}{a^2} Z \text{csc}^2 kd \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m(k,d) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(k,d) J_0[k(t^2 + M^2)^{1/2}] \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Because only the TEM mode is allowed to propagate, \( R \) represents the total resistance. We may also express \( Z \) as the sum of the radiation resistance \( R \) and a reactance \( i(X + \delta X) \), with \( X \) and \( \delta X \) defined by

\[
X = \frac{\eta_0}{2\pi b} \tan^2 \frac{kd}{2} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{4a}{\pi k} \right) + \frac{a^2 k^2}{2} \right\} (4.207175)
\]

\[
-2k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin^2 \frac{N_1 d/2}{2}}{\sin^2 \frac{kd/2}{2}} \right) \frac{K_0[k(N_1^2 - k^2)^{1/2}]}{N_1^2 - k^2} \right\}
\]

\[
\delta X = -\frac{\omega b}{4a} \frac{k^2}{\text{csc}^2 kd} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{L(\alpha)}{\zeta^2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_m(\alpha, d) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_n(\alpha, d) J_0[\alpha^2 + M^2] \frac{1}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where the integral is now understood in the principal value sense. The dominant reactive contribution comes from the sum over \( n \) and therefore the reactance is highly capacitive. The capacitive behavior is expected from comparisons to either a monopole in free space, or a similar probe in a rectangular guide. For typical TEM cell geometries, the principal value integral does not significantly add to the reactance. Therefore, a very good algebraic approximation for the reactance is simply \( X \) which allows us to write \( Z \) as follows:

\[ Z = R + iX, \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where \( R \) and \( X \) are given respectively in (19) and (20).
5. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In order to check our result we would like to compare our expression to certain special cases for which solutions are known. The impedance $Z$ consists of three terms: (i) the radiation resistance $R$ due to the propagating TEM mode, (ii) the reactive ordinary rectangular waveguide contribution $Z_1$, and (iii) the gap field perturbation reactance principal value integral. Ideally, since each of these terms has a distinct physical interpretation, each term should be checked individually. Because the probe is electrically short, the probe radiation resistance may be compared to that of a Hertzian dipole via a result given earlier by Tippet [1]. His expression for the radiation resistance of a Hertzian dipole involves the square of $IE_0 d\lambda$ where $I$ is the current magnitude, $E_0$ is the TEM mode electric field distribution, and $d\lambda$ is the effective dipole length. In the monopole case, the dipole quantity $(IE_0 d\lambda)$, may be replaced by an effective value which results from integrating the product $I(y) E_0(y)$ over the monople length. The two results agree up to the order of our approximations. Next, by letting the gap width go to zero $(g\rightarrow 0)$ thereby reducing the upper chamber to an ordinary rectangular waveguide, $Z_1$ may be compared to a result given by Collin [3]. If we allow the frequency to be large enough that the ordinary rectangular waveguide $TE_{10}$ mode will propagate, then our result reduces exactly to that found by Collin. Both these checks are detailed in Appendix D for the special case when $a_1=a_2=a$.

The perturbation reactance term is more difficult to verify and is not comparable to any simple special case result. However, a good check of the self consistency of our expression exists. If we had allowed $k$ to be sufficiently large, such that $k^2 > M_1^2$, the expression (12) for $Z_1$ would contain poles at $\alpha = \pm \beta_{10}^{(1)}$, where $\beta_{10}^{(1)} = (k^2 - M_1^2)^{1/2}$. This would imply an apparent mode propagating the same as an upper chamber $TE_{10}$ mode. Equation (15) for $Z_2$ would also imply the existence of this mode. However, the guide as a whole supports no such mode, therefore, we expect that the contributions from $Z_1$ and $Z_2$, to this evident $TE_{10}^{(1)}$ mode, should cancel out to the order of our previous
approximations. It is found in Appendix D that the radiation resistance due to a propagating TE\(_{10}^{(1)}\) mode in a rectangular waveguide of the dimensions of the upper chamber, is given by

\[
R = \frac{\eta_0}{2a_1 b_1 k \beta_{10}^{(1)}} \tan^2 \frac{kd}{2}
\]  

(23)

Now consider the perturbation contribution given by \(Z_2\) in (15). In order to avoid the degeneracy due to an additional TE\(_{10}^{(1)}\) mode also propagating in the lower chamber, we refrain from letting the chamber widths be equal until after we have evaluated the pole contribution at \(\alpha = \pm \beta_{10}^{(1)}\). As \(\alpha\) approaches \(\pm \beta_{10}^{(1)}\), we see that \(k_1 = (\beta_{10}^{(1)} - \alpha^2)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0\). Evaluating the half residues at \(\alpha = \pm \beta_{10}^{(1)}\), we find

\[
L(\alpha) \rightarrow \frac{2a_1 b_1 (\beta_{10}^{(1)} - \alpha^2)}{\pi}
\]

(24)

\[
\zeta^2 + (\pi/2a_1)^2
\]

(25)

\[
\frac{\pi (1 - \cos kd)}{2a_1 b_1 k^2 (\beta_{10}^{(1)} - \alpha^2)} - J_0 \left(\frac{\pi g}{2a_1}\right)
\]

(26)

\[
J_0 \left[ t(M_1^2 + \alpha^2)^{1/2} \right] \rightarrow J_0(kt)
\]

(27)

Because both \(\pi g/2a_1\) and \(kt\) are small, the Bessel functions may be replaced by unity. Collecting results gives

\[
Z_2 \left|_{\alpha = \pm \beta_{10}^{(1)}} \right. = \frac{-i \omega_k^2}{4a_1^2} \csc^2 kd (-2\pi i) \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \pm \beta_{10}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{L(\alpha)}{(\pi/2a_1)^2} h^2 \beta_{10}^{(1)}, d) \right)
\]

(28)

\[
= \frac{-\eta_0}{2a_1 b_1 k \beta_{10}^{(1)}} \tan^2 \frac{kd}{2}
\]
which indeed will cancel the unperturbed result given by expression (23). Thus the poles at $\alpha = \pm B_1^{(1)}$, that apparently imply a physically meaningless mode, do not contribute up to the order of our approximations. The impedance expressions will next be used to generate numerical data for typical TEM cell geometries.

The radiation resistance $R$ given by (19) is plotted in figure 3 for $g/a=0.1$ and 0.2. The dimensions of the RCTL cross section are $a_1=a_2=1.0$ m, and $b_1=b_2=1.0$ m. The probe varies in length from $d/a=0.0$ to $d/a=0.95$, and is of radius $t=1$ mm. The frequency is set at 1 MHz. The curves show a basically parabolic dependence on $d$ with the main difference between the two curves resulting from the logarithmic dependence of $Z_c$ on the gap size. This $d^2$ variation is significantly larger than the free-space probe radiation resistance which is proportional to $(kd)^2$, a frequency dependent quantity. This difference between the radiated power by a short monopole in free space and that delivered to a TEM mode has also been encountered in other types of problems such as the coupling between a dipole antenna and an infinite cable [8]. Figure 4 gives the dependence on $d$ of the ordinary rectangular waveguide reactance $X$. The same set of parameters is used to plot $X$, given by (20), as that used to plot $R$. The curve shows a highly capacitive contribution which is independent of the gap size. Again, this result may be compared to the free-space result for an electrically short monopole which is known as proportional to $-i(kd)^{-1}$ [9]. From (20), the dominant reactance behavior for small $kd$ is more like $-i(kd)^{-2}$. Thus, we find that the reactance of a monopole in a TEM cell is more highly capacitive than that in free space. Finally, the perturbation reactance $\delta X$ is plotted in figure 5 using (21), again for the same parameter set. We find that $|\delta X|<\Omega$ for the entire range of probe lengths. Thus $\delta X$ is at least three orders of magnitude less than $X$. Therefore, neglecting $\delta X$ will yield excellent results. The FORTRAN program used to compute $Z$ is listed in Appendix E.
6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

This report has detailed the manner in which a coaxial probe excites a TEM cell. The analysis utilizes three simplifying assumptions, which are (i) the gap is small, that is \( G^2 \ll 1 \) where \( G = \frac{g}{2a} \), (ii) the probe is thin, or \( \pi t/2a \ll 1 \) where \( t \) is the probe radius, and (iii) the frequency is not too high so that only the TEM mode propagates and \( (ka)^2 \) is small when compared to unity. None of the requirements is restrictive in terms of common TEM cell usage. The resulting expression for the probe input impedance consists of three distinct terms \( R, X, \) and \( \delta X \), these being the radiation resistance due to the propagating TEM mode, the ordinary rectangular waveguide reactance, and the reactance perturbation due to the gap fields. The first two terms, \( R \) and \( X \), are computationally simple, with \( R \) showing a basically \( d^2 \) dependence on probe length and \( X \) giving a highly capacitive reactance. Although \( \delta X \) consists of a nontrivial principal value integral, numerical results show that \( |\delta X| \ll X \), and therefore \( \delta X \) may be neglected. Thus a reasonably straightforward form for \( Z \) is achieved which may be used to analyze probes inserted into a TEM cell either to measure, or excite fields as well as other types of feed lines.
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APPENDIX A

DERIVATION OF $\tilde{K}(j)$ and $\tilde{K}_2(j)$

Generalizing the chamber widths involves some modifications in the expressions derived in NBS Technical Note 103 [4]. For the most part, this involves nothing more than replacing $a$ by $a_j$. The details will be briefly outlined beginning with the TE type mode kernel which becomes

$$Q(h)(t,t') = Q_s(h)(t,t') - Q_f(h)(t,t') \quad (a-1)$$

$$Q_s(h)(t,t') = -\frac{1}{\pi} \ln \left[ \frac{\pi}{4a_1} \frac{\pi}{4a_2} |t^2 - t'^2| \right] \quad (a-2)$$

$$Q_f(h)(t,t') = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_j} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \cot K_m b_j + \frac{1}{M_j} \right] \equiv Q_f \quad (a-3)$$

where $t = a - x$, $t' = a - x'$, $a = \min(a_1, a_2)$, $K_m(j)^2 = \zeta^2 - M_j^2$, $M_j = m/2a_j$, and $m_0$ refers to the summation over odd $m$. This kernel will result in the following solution for the unknown gap field

$$f(h)(t') = \frac{-I d}{2a_1 g^2 - t'^2} L(\alpha)f_1(\vec{x}_t') \quad (a-4)$$

$$L(\alpha) = \left[ \ln \left( \frac{8a_1a_2}{\pi g} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} Q_f \right]^{-1} \quad (a-5)$$

$$f_j(\vec{x}_t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_j \cos K_m(j)(b_j - y)}{K_m(j) \sin K_m(j)b_j} \frac{\sin \frac{m\pi}{2} \cos M_jx}{\cos M_jg} J_0(M_jg) \quad (a-6)$$

where we recall that $\vec{x}_t'$ is the dipole location. The above solution assumes that the dipole is centrally located.

The $y$-component of the electric field in the upper, and lower chambers may now be found. Both TE and TM type unperturbed (ordinary) modes, as well as TE type perturbed modes will contribute significantly to $\tilde{E}_y$. The unperturbed modes exist only in the upper chamber. Denoting the unperturbed
contribution by \( \tilde{K}_1^{(j)} \), and the gap perturbation contribution by \( \tilde{K}_2^{(j)} \), and referring to Appendix C of NBS Technical Note 1037 [4], we find

\[
\tilde{K}_1^{(j)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') = \delta_{ij} \left\{ -\frac{i\omega_\mu}{\zeta^2} \partial_x \tilde{H}^{(j)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') + \frac{i\alpha}{\zeta^2} \partial_y \tilde{E}^{(j)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') \right\}
\]

Suitably modifying equation (49) of NBS Technical Note 1037 [4] yields

\[
\tilde{K}_2^{(j)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') = \frac{i\omega_\mu}{2a_1a_j\zeta^2} \int d\alpha L(\alpha) f_1(\bar{x}_t) f_2(\bar{x}_t')
\]

Taking the proper derivatives required in (a-7) and substituting the proper forms for \( \tilde{E}^{(j)} \), and \( \tilde{H}^{(j)} \) allows \( \tilde{K}_1^{(j)} \) to be written as follows.

\[
\tilde{K}_1^{(j)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') = \delta_{ij} \frac{i\omega_\mu}{\zeta^2} \int d\alpha \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_n M_j^2 + \alpha^2 N_j^2}{M_j^2 + N_j^2 - \zeta^2} g_{mn}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t')
\]

\[
g_{mn}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') = \left( \frac{2}{a_j b_j} \right) \sin M_j(x + a_j) \sin(x' + a_j) \cos N_j y \cos N_j y'
\]

where

\[
\Delta_n = \begin{cases} \frac{\zeta}{2} & n = 0 \\ 1 & n \geq 1 \end{cases}
\]

and \( N_j = \pi n / b_j \). It will prove convenient to extract the delta function nature in \( \tilde{K}_1^{(j)} \) which occurs when \( \bar{x}_t = \bar{x}_t' \). Notice that

\[
\Delta_n M_j^2 + \frac{\zeta^2}{k^2} N_j^2 = \Delta_n \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{k^2} [M_j^2 + N_j^2] - \zeta^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{k^2} M_j^2 + \zeta^2 \right)
\]

Therefore \( \tilde{K}_1^{(j)} \) becomes

\[
\tilde{K}_1^{(j)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') = \delta_{ij} \frac{i\omega_\mu}{k^2} \int d\alpha \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{\zeta^2} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n g_{mn}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t') \right\}
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta_n [M_j^2 + \alpha^2]}{M_j^2 + N_j^2 - \zeta^2} g_{mn}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t')
\]

If we expand a delta function in a double Fourier series over a chamber cross section we will find

\[
\delta(\bar{x}_t - \bar{x}_t') = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n g_{mn}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}_t')
\]

(a-14)
Therefore $\tilde{\kappa}_1^{(j)}$ becomes

$$
\tilde{\kappa}_1^{(j)}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}_t') = \delta_{1j} \frac{i\omega n}{k^2} \text{Id}_z \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{\zeta^2} \delta(\vec{x}_t - \vec{x}_t') \right\} + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta [M^2_j + \alpha^2]}{M^2_j + N^2_j - \zeta^2} q_{mn}^{(j)}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}_t') \right\} (a-15)
$$

$\tilde{\kappa}_1^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_2^{(j)}$ represent the y-component of the electric field excited by a symmetrically located VED at $\vec{x}_t'$. There remain only two small changes to adapt this result to the probe excitation problem. First, the probe carries a negative y-directed current. Thus $\text{Id}_z + -1$. Second, the Green's function represents results from a unit strength dipole. Therefore $-\text{Id}_z + -1$. Therefore $\tilde{\kappa}_1^{(j)}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_2^{(j)}$ finally become

$$
\tilde{\kappa}_1^{(j)}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}_t') = -\delta_{1j} \frac{i\omega n}{k^2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2}{\zeta^2} \delta(\vec{x}_t - \vec{x}_t') \right\} + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta [M^2_j + \alpha^2]}{M^2_j + N^2_j - \zeta^2} q_{mn}^{(j)}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}_t') \right\} (a-16)
$$

$$
\tilde{\kappa}_2^{(j)}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}_t') = \frac{i\omega n \mu}{2a_j \zeta^2} \frac{L(\alpha) f_j(\vec{x}_t) f_1(\vec{x}_t')}{a_j} (a-17)
$$
APPENDIX B

EVALUATION OF $Z_1$

The integral defined for $Z_1$ is to be evaluated.

$$Z_1 = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_S \int_{S'} J(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_1^{(1)}(\bar{x}_t', \bar{x}_t) e^{i\alpha z} J(x') d\alpha d\alpha' ds' ds \quad (b-1)$$

$$K_1^{(1)}(\bar{x}_t', \bar{x}_t) = -\frac{i\omega_1}{k^2} \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\zeta^2} \delta(\bar{x}_t' - \bar{x}_t) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \Delta n \frac{M_1^2 + \alpha^2}{M_1^2 + N_1^2 - \zeta^2} g_{mn}^{(1)}(\bar{x}_t', \bar{x}_t')$$

(b-2)

The $\alpha$-integration may be performed first, which requires evaluating the following pair of integrals.

$$I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^2}{\zeta^2} \delta(\bar{x}_t' - \bar{x}_t) e^{i\alpha z} d\alpha$$

(b-3)

$$I_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \Delta n \frac{M_1^2 + \alpha^2}{M_1^2 + N_1^2 - \zeta^2} g_{mn}^{(1)}(\bar{x}_t', \bar{x}_t') e^{i\alpha z} d\alpha$$

(b-4)

In each integral we will deform into the upper $\alpha$ half plane for $z > 0$ (forward waves), and into the lower $\alpha$ half plane for $z < 0$ (backward waves).

For $I_1$, we find

$$I_1 = -i k \delta(\bar{x}_t' - \bar{x}_t) e^{ik|z|}$$

(b-5)

The denominator of $I_2$ may be factored as follows.

$$M_1^2 + N_1^2 - \zeta^2 = \alpha^2 + \Gamma_{mn}^{(1)}$$

(b-6)

$$\Gamma_{mn}^{(1)} = [M_1^2 + N_1^2 - k^2]^\frac{1}{2}$$

(b-7)
Thus we see that a simple pole arises in the $I_2$ integrand when $\alpha = \pm i n_{mn}$.
Again deforming as for $I_1$ gives the following result.

$$I_2 = \pi \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \Delta_n \frac{k^2 - N_1^2}{\Gamma(1)} g_{mn}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}'_t) e^{-\Gamma(1)|z|}$$

We next proceed to the integration over the current distributions $J(\vec{x})$ and $J(\vec{x}')$. The source current $J(\vec{x}')$ will be concentrated on an idealized linear antenna located at the origin, i.e. $\vec{x}'_t = (0, y')$. Therefore $\vec{x}_t \neq \vec{x}'_t$ on $S$ and the delta function term will not contribute to $Z_1$. Thus $Z_1$ reduces to

$$Z_1 = \frac{i\omega}{2k^2 I_t} \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \Delta_n \frac{k^2 - N_1^2}{\Gamma(1)} \int_S \int_{S'} J(\vec{x}) g_{mn}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}'_t) J(\vec{x}') e^{-\Gamma(1)|z|} ds' ds$$

The simpler integration over the source current will be considered first.

We recall that the assumed current density on the probe will be

$$J(\vec{x}) = \frac{I_0}{2\pi t} \sin k (b_1 - d - y) \delta(\rho - t)$$

For the $\delta'$ integration we let $t' \to 0$, and therefore

$$\int_S \left[ J(\vec{x}') g_{mn}(\vec{x}_t, \vec{x}'_t) ds' \right] = I_3 \left( \frac{2}{a_1 b_1} \right) I_0 \sin M_1 (x + a_1) \sin \frac{m\pi}{2} \cos N_1 y e^{-\Gamma(1)|z|}$$

where the integral $I_3$ is given by

$$I_3 = \int_{b_1-d}^{b_1} \sin k (b_1 - d - y) \cos N_1 d' dy' = \frac{k \cos n \pi (\cos kd - \cos N_1 d)}{k^2 - N_1^2}$$

Because of the factor $\sin m\pi/2$, only the summation over odd $m$ will contribute. Therefore $Z_1$ takes the form

$$Z_1 = \frac{i\omega}{a_1 b_1 k I_t} \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \Delta_n \frac{\cos n\pi}{\Gamma(1)} \int_S J(\vec{x}) M_1 x \cos N_1 y e^{-\Gamma(1)|z|} ds$$

$$= \frac{i\omega}{a_1 b_1 k I_t} \sum_{m,n=0}^\infty \Delta_n \frac{\cos n\pi}{\Gamma(1)} \int_S J(\vec{x}) M_1 x \cos N_1 y e^{-\Gamma(1)|z|} ds$$
The integration over $S$ may be split into separate integrations over $y$ and $\theta$. The $y$-integration has already been encountered and we find
\[
\int_{S} f(x) \cos M_1 x \cos N_1 y e^{-iM_1 t \cos \theta} e^{-iN_1 t |\sin \theta|} ds = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{I_0}{2\pi r} \int_{0}^{2\pi r} \int_{0}^{2\pi r} f(M_1 t \cos \theta) e^{iM_1 t \cos \theta} e^{iN_1 t |\sin \theta|} r dr d\theta
\]
(b-14)

If we now interchange the remaining theta integration with the double summation, $Z_1$ may be written
\[
Z_1 = \frac{i\omega_0 l_0^2}{\pi a b l^2} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n \frac{(\cos kd - \cos N_1 d)^2}{k^2 - N_1^2} \right\}
\]
\[
\times \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{1}{\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn}} \cos(M_1 t \cos \theta) e^{-i\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn} t |\sin \theta|} d\theta
\]
(b-15)

where we have noted that the theta integration is symmetric about $\pi$. Let us now consider the sum over $m$
\[
S_m(\nu) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{1}{\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn}} \cos(M_1 t \cos \theta) e^{-i\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn} t |\sin \theta|}
\]
(b-16)

The dominant series will be for $n = 0$. $S_o(\theta)$ may be expressed as follows.
\[
S_o(\theta) = \text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{iM_1 t \cos \theta - i\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn} t |\sin \theta|}{\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn}} \bigg|_{n=0}
\]
(b-17)

For large $m$ we see that $\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn}$ $\sim M_1$. Adding and subtracting the asymptotic form of the summand will thus give
\[
S_o(\theta) = \text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{e^{iM_1 t \cos \theta}}{M_1} \bigg( e^{-i\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn} t |\sin \theta|} - e^{-M_1 t |\sin \theta|} \bigg) + \text{Re} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{e^{iM_1 t \cos \theta}}{M_1} \bigg( e^{-i\Gamma^{(1)}_{mn} t |\sin \theta|} - e^{-M_1 t |\sin \theta|} \bigg)_{n=0}
\]
(b-18)

where the first series represents the major contribution. The first series may be summed
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\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{i} M_1 t (\text{e}^{\text{i} \theta})}{M_1} = \frac{-a_1}{\pi} \ln \left( \tan \left( \frac{4a_1}{4a_1} \right) \right) + \frac{\text{i} a_1}{2} \]  

We now assume that the probe is thin, \( \pi t/4a_1 << 1 \), which allows us to replace the tangent by its argument

\[ \ln[\tan(\frac{\pi t e^{\text{i} \theta}}{4a_1})] \approx \ln \left( \frac{\pi t e^{\text{i} \theta}}{4a_1} \right) = \ln(\frac{\pi t}{4a_1}) + \text{i} \theta \]  

If we now take the real part of the first sum in (b-18) we see that

\[ S_0(\phi) = \frac{a_1}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{4a_1}{\pi t} \right) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{i} M_1 t \cos \theta \left[ \frac{-1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \cdots}}}} \right]}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \cdots}}})} \] 

The correction series will be considered next. Again consider \( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \)

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{i} M_1 t \cos \theta}{M_1} \left[ \frac{-1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{1 - \cdots}} \text{e}^{\text{i} \theta}} \right] \] 

where \( \epsilon = k^2/2M_1^2 \) is assumed to be small. The correction series may be written

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{i} M_1 t \epsilon \sin \theta}{M_1} \left[ \frac{\text{e}^{\text{i} \theta}}{1 - \epsilon} - 1 \right] \] 

For \( \epsilon \) small, we have \( (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \approx 1 + \epsilon \). This series converges very rapidly, thus only a few significant terms may be considered. Because \( \pi t/2a_1 << 1 \) for these few terms, the exponents may be replaced by unity with little error giving

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{i} M_1 t (\text{i} \cos \theta - \sin \theta)}{M_1} \left[ \frac{\text{e}^{\text{i} \theta}}{1 - \epsilon} - 1 \right] \] 

The sum of the inverse cube over odd \( m \) may be done in terms of the Riemann Zeta function. Recalling that

\[ \zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^s} \] 

For \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \), we see that our expression may be written

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^3} = \frac{7}{8} \zeta(3) \]
The Riemann-Zeta function is well tabulated, and from [6] we find

$$\zeta(3) \approx 1.20205$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-27)

Collecting these results we have the following for the dominant series $S_0(\theta)$

$$S_0(\theta) = \frac{a_1}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{a_1}{\pi t} \right) + \frac{a_3 t^2}{\pi^3} (4.207175)$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-28)

The remaining series $S_n(\theta)$ for $n \geq 1$ will be summed in a manner similar to that used by Collin [3] in treating the probe excitation of a rectangular waveguide. We will make use of the following Poisson summation formula: if $f(x)$ is a square integrable function, then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} F\left( \frac{2\pi n}{\alpha} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-29)

where $F(\omega)$ is the Fourier-transform of $f(x)$ defined by

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{i\omega x} dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-30)

Our particular problem involves the function $f(x)$ defined by

$$f(x) = e^{i x t \cos \theta} - \Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x) t \sin \theta$$

$$\Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x) = [x^2 + N_1^2 - k^2]^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-31)

This function is clearly $L_2$ so Poisson's summation formula applies. The Fourier transform is given by

$$F(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-\Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x) t \sin \theta}{\Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x)}} e^{i x (t \cos \theta + \omega) dx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-32)

If we now rewrite the complex exponential, and notice that $\Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x)$ is even in $x$, then $F(\omega)$ takes the form

$$F(\omega) = 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{-\Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x) t \sin \theta}{\Gamma_{11}^{(1)}(x)}} \cos((t \cos \theta + \omega)x) dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-33)
This integral may be evaluated in terms of the modified Bessel function \( K_0 \) with the result

\[
F(\omega) = 2K_0 \left[ (N_1^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( t^2 \sin^2 \theta + (t \cos \theta + \omega)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \tag{b-35}
\]

If we now return to the definition of \( S_n(\theta) \) we see that

\[
S_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} f(\frac{m \pi}{2a_1}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ f(\frac{m \pi}{2a_1}) - f(\frac{m \pi}{2a_1}) \right\} \tag{b-36}
\]

Thus applying Poisson's summation formula we have

\[
S_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \frac{2a_1}{\pi} F(4a_1 m) - \frac{a_1}{\pi} F(2a_1 m) \right\} \tag{b-37}
\]

which in terms of modified Bessel functions \( K_0 \) becomes

\[
S_n(\theta) = \frac{a_1}{\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ 2K_0 \left[ (N_1^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (4a_1 m)^2 + 8a_1 m t \cos \theta + t^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right.

\left. - K_0 \left[ (N_1^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (2a_1 m)^2 + 4a_1 m t \cos \theta + t^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\} \tag{b-38}
\]

The modified Bessel function decays very rapidly so the only significant contribution comes from \( m = 0 \). Thus

\[
S_n(\theta) \approx \frac{a_1}{\pi} K_0 \left[ (N_1^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} t \right] \quad (n \geq 1) \tag{b-39}
\]

Returning to the integral for \( Z_1 \) in (b-15) we have the following

\[
Z_1 \approx \frac{i\omega t}{\pi a_1 b_1 I_t} \int_0^\pi \left\{ \left( 1 - \cos kd \right)^2 \frac{\left( \cos kd - \cos N_1 d \right)^2}{2k^2} S_0(\theta) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin^2 N_1 d / 2}{\sin^2 kd / 2} \frac{K_0 \left[ t(N_1^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]}{N_1^2 - k^2} S_n(\theta) \right\} d\theta \tag{b-40}
\]

Note that the approximate form for \( S_n(\theta) \) in (b-39) is now independent of \( \theta \). Thus combining all these results, we have

\[
Z_1 \approx \frac{i\omega t}{2\pi a_1 b_1 I_t} \left( 1 - \cos kd \right)^2 \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{4a_1}{\pi t} \right) + \frac{a_1^2 k^2}{\pi^2} \right\} + \frac{4a_1}{\pi t} \quad (4.207175)

- 2k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin^2 N_1 d / 2}{\sin^2 kd / 2} \right)^2 \frac{K_0 \left[ t(N_1^2 - k^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]}{N_1^2 - k^2} \right\} \tag{b-41}
\]
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Note that $Z_1$ given in (b-41) is approximate, based on two assumptions that the probe is thin ($\pi t/2a_1 << 1$) and that the frequency is not too high ($k^2 a_1^2 << 1$).

Finally, we note that the total current at the aperture ($y = b_1$) is given by $I_t = -I_{\text{sink}} k d$. Thus,

$$Z_1 \approx \frac{i \omega l}{2 \pi b_1 k^2} \tan^2 \frac{k d}{2} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{4a_1}{\pi t} \right) + \frac{a_1^2 k^2}{\pi^2} \right\}$$

$$- 2k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin^2 N_1 d/2}{\sin^2 kd/2} \right)^2 \frac{K_0[t(N_1^2 - k^2)^{1/2}]}{N_1^2 - k^2}$$

\[ (b-42) \]
APPENDIX C

EVALUATION OF $Z_2$

The integral defined for $Z_2$ in (13) is to be evaluated.

$$Z_2 = \frac{-1}{2\pi iL} \left[ \int_{S'} J(\bar{x}) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_2^{(1)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}'_t) e^{i\alpha z} J(\bar{x}') d\alpha d\bar{s}' d\bar{s} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-1)

where

$$K_2^{(1)}(\bar{x}_t, \bar{x}'_t) = \frac{i\omega L}{2a^2} L(\alpha) f_1(\bar{x}_t) f_1(\bar{x}'_t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-2)

$$L(\alpha) = \left[ 2\ln \left( \frac{8 \sqrt{a_1 a_2}}{\pi g} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{1}{a_j} \sum_{m=1}^{L(t')} \left[ \cot \left( \frac{j b_{1j}}{k_m} \right) + \frac{1}{M_j} \right] \right]^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-3)

and

$$f_1(\bar{x}_t) = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \frac{M_1 \cos k_m^{(1)}(b_{1j} - y)}{k_m^{(1)} \sin k_m^{(1)} b_{1j}} \sin \frac{m\pi}{2} \cos M_1 x \ J_0(M_1 g)$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-4)

If we define two integrals $I_4$ and $I_5$ via

$$I_4 = \int_{S'} J(\bar{x}') f_1(\bar{x}'_t) d\bar{s}'$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-5)

$$I_5 = \int_{S} J(\bar{x}) f_1(\bar{x}_t) e^{i\alpha z} d\bar{s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-6)

and interchange the $\alpha$- and surface integrations, we may write $Z_2$ in the following form

$$Z_2 = \frac{-i\omega L}{4a^2 L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{L(\alpha)}{2} \right] I_4 I_5 d\alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (c-7)

The integrals $I_4$ and $I_5$ now need to be evaluated.
The assumed current distribution is given by (2). Therefore $I_4$ becomes

$$I_4 = I_0 \sum_{m_0} \frac{M_1 \sin \frac{m \pi}{2} J_0 (M_1 g)}{K_m (1) \sin K_m (1) b_1} \int_{b_1 - d}^{b_1} \sin (b_1 - d - y') \cos K_m (1) (b_1 - y') dy'$$

Performing the $y'$-integration gives

$$I_4 = k I_0 \sum_{m_0} \frac{M_1 \sin \frac{m \pi}{2} J_0 (M_1 g)}{K_m (1) \sin K_m (1) b_1} \frac{(\cos kd - \cos K_m (1) d)}{M_1^2 + \alpha^2}$$

The integral $I_5$ is similar to $I_4$ except that an additional azimuthal integration around a probe must be performed. The $y'$-integration is the same as in $I_4$ so $I_5$ becomes

$$I_5 = \frac{k I_0}{2 \pi t} \sum_{m_0} \frac{M_1 \sin \frac{m \pi}{2} J_0 (M_1 g)}{K_m (1) \sin K_m (1) b_1} \frac{(\cos kd - \cos K_m (1) d)}{M_1^2 + \alpha^2}$$

$$\times \int_0^{2\pi} \cos (M_1 t \cos \theta) e^{i \alpha t \sin \theta} t d\theta$$

If we designate the theta integration by $H_m (t)$, then letting $\theta = 2\pi - \theta$ in the interval $[\pi, 2\pi]$ allows $H_m (t)$ to be written

$$H_m (t) = 2t \int_0^\pi \cos (M_1 t \cos \theta) \cos (\alpha t \sin \theta) d\theta$$

The second cosine term may be expanded in terms of Bessel functions [7]

$$\cos (\alpha t \sin \theta) = J_0 (\alpha t) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty J_{2k} (\alpha t) \cos 2k \alpha$$

Interchanging the sum and integral gives

$$H_m (t) = 2t \left\{ J_0 (\alpha t) \int_0^\pi \cos (M_1 t \cos \theta) d\theta + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty J_{2k} (\alpha t) \int_0^\pi \cos 2k \alpha \cos (M_1 t \cos \theta) d\theta \right\}$$

Again consulting [7] allows us to evaluate these integrals with the result that

$$H_m (t) = 2\pi t \left\{ J_0 (\alpha t) J_0 (M_1 t) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^\infty (-1)^k J_{2k} (\alpha t) J_{2k} (M_1 t) \right\}$$

(c-14)
This is of the form described by Gegenbauer's Addition Theorem [6], which for the special case that the function being expanded is a zeroth order Bessel function, becomes

\[ J_0(pR) = J_0(pq)J_0(pr) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \cos k\phi J_k(pq)J_k(pr) \]  

(c-15)

where \( R = [q^2 + r^2 - 2qr \cos \phi]^{1/2} \). If we let \( p = t, q = \alpha, r = M_1 \), and \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} \), we find

\[ R = [\alpha^2 + M_1^2]^{1/2} \]  

(c-16)

Therefore, \( H_m(t) \) in (c-14) becomes

\[ H_m(t) = 2\pi t J_0(t(\alpha^2 + M_1^2)^{1/2}) \]  

(c-17)

If for simplicity, we define the following quantity

\[ h_m(\alpha, d) = \frac{M_1 \sin \frac{m\pi}{2} J_0(M_1g)}{K_m(1) \sin K_m(1) b_1} \cdot \frac{(\cos \alpha - \cos K_m)}{M_1^2 + \alpha^2} \]  

(c-18)

the above results for \( I_4 \) and \( I_5 \) combine to give \( Z_2 \) as

\[ Z_2 = -i\omega k^2 I_0^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{L(\alpha)}{\zeta^2} \sum_{m} h_m(\alpha, d) \right\} d\alpha \]  

(c-19)

If we now recall that \( I_t \), the total current at the aperture, is given by

\[ -I_0 \sin kd, \]  

\( Z_2 \) becomes

\[ Z_2 = -i\omega k^2 \frac{csc^2 k d}{4a_1^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{L(\alpha)}{\zeta^2} \sum_{m} h_m(\alpha, d) \right\} d\alpha \]  

(c-20)

Unfortunately, the \( \alpha \)-integration is sufficiently complex that some numerical approach has to be used in evaluating this integral. Notice that for large \( m \), since \( d < b_1 \), \( h_m(\alpha, d) \) will contain an exponential decay according to \( \exp(-\alpha(b_1-d)) \). Therefore, the integrand will decay rapidly with \( \alpha \) and a numerical routine should not prove time consuming.
APPENDIX D

CHECKS OF R AND Z₁

This appendix will detail checks of two of the impedance contributions. First, the radiation resistance will be checked against a result given by Tippet [1], and second, Z₁ will be compared to the rectangular waveguide result given by Collin [3]. The radiation resistance \( R'_e \) of an electric dipole inside a TEM cell due to the TEM mode, as given by Tippet [1], is

\[
R'_e = \frac{2Z_c}{|I|^2} \left( \frac{IE_e \cos \theta}{2V} \right) \tag{d-1}
\]

where \( Z_c \) is the characteristic impedance of an RCTL, \( I \) is the magnitude of the current, \( dl \) is the effective length of the dipole, \( E_0 \) is the TEM mode electric field distribution, \( \theta \) is the angle between the dipole direction and the \( y \) axis, (for a vertical dipole \( \theta = 0 \)), and \( V \) is the voltage associated with the TEM mode. Because the probe is an electrically short monopole (\( kd \ll 1 \)) the radiation resistance should be nearly that of a dipole with the same current distribution. Expression (d-1) assumes an elementary dipole, however. We may replace \( IE_e dl \) with an effective value defined by

\[
(IE_e dl)_{\text{eff}} = \int_{b_1-d}^{b_1} I(y)E(y)dy \tag{d-2}
\]

where \( I(y) = \sin k(b_1-d-y) \), and \( E(y) \), for a centrally located dipole, is found in [4] to be

\[
E(y) = \frac{2V}{a} \sum_{m_0} \frac{\cosh M(b_1-y)}{\sin h Mb_1} \sin \frac{mn}{2} J_0(Mg) \tag{d-3}
\]

Integrating yields the following

\[
(IE_e dl)_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2Vk}{a} \sum_{m_0} (\cos kd - \cosh Md) \sin \frac{mn}{2} J_0(mg) \tag{d-4}
\]

which, if we recall the definition of \( h_m(\alpha,d) \), may be written

\[
(IE_e dl)_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{2Vk}{a} \sum_{m_0} h_m(k,d) \tag{d-5}
\]
If we now normalize by the magnitude of the current, \(|I| = \sin kd\), we find 
\[ R'_e = \frac{2Z_c k^2}{a^2} \csc^2 kd \left( \sum_{m_0} h_m(k,d) \right)^2 \]  
(d-6)

This may be compared to the radiation resistance \( R \) due to the TEM mode given by 
\[ R = \frac{2Z_c k^2}{a^2} \csc^2 kd \sum_{m_0} h_m(k,d) \sum_{m_0} h_m(k,d)J_0[t(k^2+M^2)^{1/2}] \]  
(d-7)

The term \( h_m(k,d) \) has a strong decay in \( M \) according to 
\[ h_m(k,d) \sim \frac{1}{M^2} e^{-M(b_1-d)} \]  
(d-8)

Only a few terms will be significant. For these few terms \( J_0 \) will remain near unity since we have assumed that \( \pi t/2a \ll 1 \). Thus, the radiation resistance may be approximated by 
\[ R \approx \frac{2Z_c k^2}{a^2} \csc^2 kd \left( \sum_{m_0} h_m(k,d) \right)^2 = R'_e \]  
(d-9)

and the results indeed do check.

Next the case of no gap will be considered. As \( g \rightarrow 0 \), \( L(\alpha) \rightarrow 0 \) so only \( Z_1 \) contributes. Collin discusses a rectangular waveguide with a short circuit at a distance \( \ell \) from the probe [3]. Thus we need to modify his result by letting \( \ell \rightarrow \infty \). Making the appropriate changes, the radiation resistance for a probe in a rectangular waveguide becomes

\[ R = \frac{\eta_0}{2ab} \tan^2 k\ell \frac{k\ell}{2} \]  
(d-10)

The equivalent reactance is given by 
\[ X = \frac{\eta_0}{2\pi bk} \tan^2 k\ell \frac{k\ell}{2} \left\{ \ln(\frac{4a}{\pi t}) + \frac{k^2 a^2}{\pi^2} (.2072) - 2(1 - \frac{t}{a}) - 2k^2 \sum_{n=1} \left( \frac{\sin^2 N_1 d/2}{\sin^2 kd/2} \right)^2 \frac{K_0[t(N_1^2 - k^2)^{1/2}]}{N_1^2 - k^2} \right\} \]  
(d-11)

In order to compare our expression to that of Collin, we must return to Appendix B (b-4) and let \( k \) be larger than \( M (a_1 = a_2 = a) \). This will create a pole on the real axis when \( \alpha = b_{10} \). Thus \( I_2 \) becomes
\[ I_2 = \frac{-\text{i} \kappa^2}{2 \beta_{10}} g_{10} (\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_t, \mathbf{\tilde{x}}'_t)^{\text{i} \beta_{10}} \mathbf{z} + \pi \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{k^2 - N_1^2}{r_{mn}^{(1)}} g_{mn}(\mathbf{\tilde{x}}_t, \mathbf{\tilde{x}}'_t)^{\text{-r}_{mn}^{(1)}} \mathbf{z}, \]

where the primed summation indicates that the term \( m = 1, n = 0 \) is missing.

Performing the current integrations yields

\[ Z_1 = \frac{i \omega \beta}{\pi \text{ab}} \frac{I_0^2}{I_0^2} \prod_{t} \left( \frac{1 - \cos k \theta}{2 \kappa^2 \theta} \right)^{\text{i} \beta_{10}} \sin \theta \]

\[ + \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\cos k \theta - \cos N_1 \theta)^2}{k^2 - N_1^2} \frac{1}{r_{mn}^{(1)}} \cos \left( \frac{\text{Mt} \cos \theta \psi}{\text{t}} \right) e^{\text{-r}_{mn}^{(1)} \text{t} \sin \theta} \]

\[ \text{(d-13)} \]

The sum over \( m \) and \( n \) is precisely that encountered by Collin [3] with the result that

\[ \psi \frac{(\cos k \theta - \cos N_1 \theta)}{k^2 - N_1^2} \frac{1}{r_{mn}^{(1)}} \cos \left( \frac{\text{Mt} \cos \theta \psi}{\text{t}} \right) e^{\text{-r}_{mn}^{(1)} \text{t} \sin \theta} \]

\[ = \frac{(1 - \cos k \theta)^2}{2k^2} a \frac{1}{n} \left[ n \left( \frac{4 \alpha}{\pi \text{t}} \right) + \frac{k^2 a^2}{2} (0.2072) - 2(1 - \frac{t}{a}) \right] \]

\[ + a \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\cos k \theta - \cos N_1 \theta}{k^2 - N_1^2} - \kappa_0 \left[ t \left( N_1^2 - k^2 \right)^2 \right] \right) \]

\[ \text{(d-14)} \]

The significant contribution for \( \pi t / 2 a \ll 1 \) may be approximated via

\[ \cos \left( \frac{\pi t}{2 a} \cos \psi \right) e^{\text{i} \beta_{10} \text{t} \sin \psi} \ll 1 \]

\[ \text{(d-15)} \]

Combining these results and integrating over \( \psi \), which simply introduces a factor of \( \pi \), yields

\[ Z_1 = \frac{i \omega \beta}{\pi \text{ab}} \frac{I_0^2}{I_0^2} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \cos k \theta)^2}{2k^2 \theta_{10}} + a \frac{(1 - \cos k \theta)^2}{2k^2} \left[ n \left( \frac{4 \alpha}{\pi \text{t}} \right) + \frac{k^2 a^2}{2} (0.2072) \right] \right\} \]

\[ -2(1 - \frac{t}{a}) + a \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\cos k \theta - \cos N_1 \theta)^2}{k^2 - N_1^2} \kappa_0 \left[ t \left( N_1^2 - k^2 \right)^2 \right] \}

\[ \text{(d-16)} \]
Finally, letting \( I_t = -I_0 \sin kd \), and separating \( Z_1 \) into real and imaginary parts \( R \) and \( X \) respectively, we obtain

\[
R = \frac{n_0}{2abk^3b_{10}} \tan^2 \left( \frac{kd}{2} \right)
\]

\[
X = \frac{n_0}{2\pi bk} \tan^2 \left( \frac{kd}{2} \right) \left\{ \ln\left( \frac{4a}{\pi t} \right) + \frac{k^2 a^2}{\pi^2} (0.2072) - 2\left(1 - \frac{r}{a}\right) \right. \\
\left. - 2k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{\sin^2 N_1 d/2}{\sin^2 kd/2} \right)^2 \frac{K_0 \left[ t(N_1^2 - k^2) \right]}{N_1^2 - k^2} \right\}
\]

which are the precise results found by Collin in (d-10) and (d-11).
SUBROUTINE ZIN

SUBROUTINE ZIN is used to compute the input impedance $Z$ of a probe inserted into a TEM cell. ZIN calls upon four major subroutines. These are (1) SUBROUTINE ZC which computes the characteristic impedance of a section of RCTL according to (18); (2) SUBROUTINE HMSUM which sums $h_m(\alpha,d)$, and $h_m(\alpha,d)J_0[t(\alpha^2+M_1^2)^{1/2}]$; (3) SUBROUTINE XSUM which finds the reactance $X$ given by (20), and (4) SUBROUTINE INTEGR which integrates a complex function over the real axis. INTEGR is called twice in order to evaluate the principal value integral defined by (21). The input to ZIN is mostly via a common block PARAM which contains the cell dimensions $a_1$, $a_2$, $b_1$, $b_2$, and $g$, the probe dimensions $d$ and $t$, the constant $\pi$, and the TEM mode propagation constant $k$. Figure 6 gives a flow chart of SUBROUTINE ZIN. The only direct input to ZIN is $\varepsilon$ which sets the desired accuracy. ZIN outputs $Z_c$, $R$, $X$, and $\delta X$ as well as the total impedance $Z$.

The constant $\varepsilon$ is used to set the upper summation limit in the various sums evaluated by the subroutines. The infinite integral in $\delta X$ is also truncated according to $\varepsilon$. There are three basic sums; the sums inherent in $L(\alpha)$ and $X$, and the summation of the $h_m(\alpha,d)$. We will begin with the last. For large $m$, that is $M_1^2 >> k^2 - \alpha^2$, we have $K_m^{(1)} \cdot iM_1$, and

$$h_m(\alpha,d) = \frac{\sin \frac{m\pi}{2} \cosh M_1d}{M_1^2 \sinh M_1b_1} \approx \frac{\sin \frac{m\pi}{2} e^{-M_1(b_1-d)}}{M_1^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (e-1)

We wish to truncate the summation so that the remainder is less than $\varepsilon$. Thus we need to look at the remainder sum

$$\sum_{m=K}^{\infty} \frac{4a_1^2(-1)^m}{(2m-1)^{2\pi^2} \pi/2} e^{-(2m-1)\pi(b_1-d)/2a_1} < \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (e-2)

Clearly the sum is dominated by the following much simpler sum.
\[ R_k(x) = \sum_{m=K}^{\infty} (-1)^m e^{-(2m-1)x} \]  

so we will set \( M \) by requiring that

\[ \frac{4a_j}{\pi^2} R_K \left( \frac{n(b_1-d)}{2a_1} \right) < \epsilon \]  

Summing \( R_k(x) \) is straightforward and yields

\[ R_k(x) = \frac{2e^{-2(K-1)x}}{\cosh x} \]  

Thus inserting this result into (e-4) and solving for \( K \) we find

\[ K > \frac{a_1}{n(b_1-d)} \ln \left[ \frac{8a_1^2}{\pi(n(b_1-d)) \cosh \frac{2a_1}{2a_1}} \right] + 1 \]  

This condition will be used to truncate the \( h_m(z,d) \) summation.

Next consider the summation in \( L(z) \) given by

\[ \frac{1}{a_j} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \cot \left\{ \frac{K_j}{m} \right\} \left[ \frac{1}{M_j} \right] \]  

For large \( m \) the remainder will behave according to

\[ \frac{2}{a_j} \sum_{m=K_j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m-1)} \left( 1 - \coth \frac{(2m-1)b_j}{2a_j} \right) \]  

Again we choose a simpler dominating sum. For large \( m \), such that \( (2m-1) \cdot b_j/2a_j \ll 1 \), the coth term may be replaced by

\[ \coth \frac{(2m-1)b_j}{2a_j} = 1 + 2e^{-2m-1} \]  

Therefore we need to consider

\[ \frac{4}{a_j} \sum_{m=K_j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2m-1)} e^{-\frac{(2m-1)b_j}{a_j}} \]
Clearly we have

\[ \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=K_j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m-1} e^{-(2m-1)\pi b_j/a_j} \leq \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{m=K_j}^{\infty} e^{-(2m-1)\pi b_j/a_j} \quad (e-11) \]

Summing the R.H.S. and requiring that the result is less than \( \varepsilon/2 \) yields

\[ K_j \geq \frac{a_j}{2\pi b_j} \ln \left[ \frac{16}{\varepsilon \pi \sinh \frac{\pi b_j}{a_j}} \right] + 1 \quad (e-12) \]

This condition will be checked for both \( j = 1 \) and \( 2 \), and the maximum value \( K_j \) chosen.

We next consider the series contained in \( X \). Because both \( k_d \) and \( k_t \) are small, the decay of the series will be primarily due to the \( N_1^2 \) in the denominator. Thus, we will consider the remainder series dominated by

\[ \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n}, \quad (N_1 = n\pi/b_1) \quad (e-13) \]

This series in turn may be bounded by a simple integral

\[ \sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n} < \left( \frac{b_1}{\pi} \right)^2 \left( \int_{N_1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^2} = \left( \frac{b_1}{\pi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{N_1-1} \right) \quad (e-14) \]

So requiring that the R.H.S. be bounded by \( \varepsilon \) implies that

\[ N_1 > \frac{b_1^2}{\varepsilon \pi^2} + 1 \quad (e-15) \]

What remains is to bound the truncation of the principal value integral. In terms of \( \alpha \) we see \( h_m(\alpha, d) \) behaving roughly as \( \alpha^{-2} \). Therefore, the total integrand behaves approximately as \( \alpha^{-6} \). Thus, the truncation gives a remainder integral similar to

\[ C \int_{x}^{\infty} \alpha^{-6} \, dx = \frac{C}{5} \alpha^{-5} \quad (e-16) \]

where \( C = -\omega_k k^2 \csc^2 k d/4a_1^2 \). If we bound this result by \( \varepsilon/2 \), \( x \) must satisfy

\[ x > (2C/5\varepsilon)^{1/5} \quad (e-17) \]
which is the criterion used to set the upper limit of integration.

A listing of the subroutines follows. They were run at the University of Colorado using the MNF compiler, Version 5.4. It should be stressed that $\epsilon$ sets a percentage error rather than an absolute error. That is $\epsilon = 0.01$ implies 1% error rather than accuracy to two digits beyond the decimal point.
SUBROUTINE ZIN(EPS,ZC,ZR,ZI,DELZI,Z)

SUBROUTINE ZIN COMPUTES THE INPUT IMPEDANCE Z OF A PROBE IN A TEM CELL. THE ONLY DIRECT INPUT TO ZIN IS EPS (LESS THAN ONE), THE DESIRED ACCURACY. THE GUIDE PARAMETERS ARE PASSED INTO ZIN VIA THE COMMON BLOCK PARAM WHICH CONTAINS THE FOLLOWING:

A1,A2 THE UPPER AND LOWER CHAMBER HALF-WIDTHS
B1,B2 THE UPPER AND LOWER CHAMBER HEIGHTS
D THE PROBE LENGTH
G THE GAP WIDTH
T THE PROBE RADIUS
PI THE SIXTEENTH LETTER OF THE GREEK ALPHABET
KO THE TEM MODE PROPAGATION CONSTANT

THE OUTPUTS ARE:

ZC THE CHARACTERISTIC IMPEDANCE OF THE RCTL SECTION
ZR THE REAL RADIATION RESISTANCE (19)
ZI THE ORDINARY WAVEGUIDE REACTANCE (20)
DELZI THE GAP PERTURBATION REACTANCE (21)
Z THE TOTAL IMPEDANCE, Z=R+J*(ZI+DELZI)

COMMON/PARAM/A1,A2,B1,B2,D,G,T,PI,KO
COMMON/CPARAM/ZERO,UNITY,J
REAL PI,KO
COMPLEX ZERO,UNITY,J,HMBJ,VAL1,VAL2,Z
LOGICAL GG
EXTERNAL XOFA
ZERO = (0.0,0.0)
UNITY = (1.0,0.0)
J = (0.0,1.0)
NSTEP = 1024
XO = 30.0*PI*KO*((KO/A1/SIN(KO*D))**2.0)
XI = 0.0
X2 = KO-EPS
X3 = KO+EPS

END
SUBROUTINE ZONORM(EPS,X)
COMMON/PARAM/A1,A2,B1,B2,D,G,T,PI,KO
REAL PI,KO,KM1,KM2,QO,L1,L2,LIM
Q0=0.0
X1=PI*B1/A1
X2=PI*B2/A2
X3=(EXP(X1)-EXP(-X1))/2.0
X4=(EXP(X2)-EXP(-X2))/2.0
L1=1.0+ALOG(16.0/EPS/PI/X3)/X3/2.0
L2=1.0+ALOG(16.0/EPS/PI/X4)/X4/2.0
LIM=AMAX1(L1,L2)
MLIM=INT(LIM)+1
DO 10 M=1,MLIM
  KM1=(2.0*M-1.0)*PI/2.0/A1
  KM2=(2.0*M-1.0)*PI/2.0/A2
  ST1=KM1*B1
  ST2=KM2*B2
  ST3=1.0-1.0/TANH(ST1)
  ST4=1.0-1.0/TANH(ST2)
  ST5=FLOAT(2*M-1)
  QO=QO+(ST3+ST4)/ST5
10 CONTINUE
ST6=8.0*SQRT(A1*A2)/PI/G
ST7=ALOG(ST6)+QO
X=120.0*PI PI/ST7/8.0
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE BJO(X,BJ)
T=X/3.
Z=3./X
Y=T+T
IF (X GE. 3.) GO TO 10
BJ=1.-Y*(2.2499997-Y*(.0444479-
RETURN
END

1. 000000B        SUBROUTINE HMSUM(ALPHA,HM,HMBJ,EPS)
  2. 000000B        COMMON/PARAM/A1,A2,B1,B2,D,G,T,PI,KO
  3. 000000B        COMMON/CPARAM/ZEROUNITY,J
  4. 000000B        REAL PI,KO,M1,LIM
  5. 000000B        COMPLEX ZEROUNITY,J,HMHMBJKM1,ST2,ST3
  6. 000000B        HM=ZERO
  7. 000001B        HMBJ=ZERO
  8. 000001B        X1=PI*(B1-D)/2.0/A1
  9. 000001B        X2=(EXP(X1)+EXP(-X1))/2.0
 10. 000002B        X3=8.0*A1*A1/EPS/PI/X2
 11. 000003B        LIM=1.0+ALOG(X3)/X1/2.0
 12. 000003B        MLIM=INT(LIM)+1
 13. 000041B       DO 10 M=1,MLIM
 14. 000043B       M1=(2.0*M-1,0)*PI/2.0/A
 15. 000047B       ST1=ALPHA*SIN(M1*A)
 16. 000051B       KM1=CSQRT(UNITY*(KO*KO-ST1))
 17. 000061B       ST2=M1*KM1*CSIN(KM1*B1)*ST1
 18. 000107B       ST3=KM1*CSIN(KM1*B1)*ST1
 19. 000121B       ST4=KM1*G
 20. 000123B       ST5=SQRT(ST1)*T
 21. 000126B       CALL BJO(ST4,BJG)
 22. 000131B       CALL BJO(ST5,BJT)
 23. 000133B       HMBJ=HMBJ*ST2*BJG*BJT/ST3
 24. 000150B       HM=HM+ST2*BJG/ST3
 25. 000167B      10 CONTINUE
 26. 000172B       RETURN
 27. 000174B       END

1. 000000B        SUBROUTINE BJKO(XY)
  2. 000000B        IF (X.GE.2.0) GO TO 10
  3. 000002B        Z=X/2.0
  4. 000003B        V=Z*Z
  5. 000004B        T=X/3.75
  6. 000005B        W=T*T
  7. 000006B        U=1.0+W*(3.5156229+W*(3.0899424+W*(1.2067492+W*(0.2659732+
10.00587872+W*(0.0025154+W*(0.0005328))))))
  8. 000017B        Y=-ALOG(Z)*U-0.57721566+V*(0.4227842+V*(0.23069756+V*(0.0348859+
10.0001728+V*(0.0000074))))
  9. 000036B        RETURN
 10. 000040B       10 Z=2.0/X
 11. 000041B       U=1.25331414-Z*(0.07832356-Z*(0.02189568-Z*(0.01062446-Z*(
10.00587872-Z*(0.0025154-Z*(0.0005328))))))
 12. 000053B       Y=U/SQRT(X)/EXP(X)
 13. 000062B       RETURN
 14. 000065B       END
SUBROUTINE XSUM(EPS, X)
COMMON/PARAM/A1, A2, B1, B2, D, G, T, PI, KO
REAL PI, KO, N1, LIM
SUM=0.0
LIM=1.0+B1*B1/EPS/PI
NLIM=INT(LIM)+1
DO 10 N=1, NLIM
   N1=FLOAT(N)*PI/81
  ST1=SIN(N1*D/2.0)/SIN(KO*D/2.0)
   ST2=(1.0-ST1*ST1)**2.0
   ST3=N1*N1-KO*KO
   ST4=SQRT(ST3)*T
   CALL BJKO(ST4, BKT)
   SUM=SUM+ST2*BKT/ST3
10 CONTINUE
ST5=4.0*A1/PI/T
ST6=A1*KO/PI
ST7=ALOG(ST5)+ST6*ST6*4.207175-2.0*KO*KO*SUM
ST8=TAN(KO*D/2.0)
X=30.0*ST8*ST8*ST7/B1/KO
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE LALPHA(ALPHA, X, EPS)
COMMON/PARAM/A1, A2, B1, B2, D, G, T, PI, KO
COMMON/CPARAM/ZERO, UNITY, J
REAL PI, KO, M1, M2, L1, L2, LIM
COMPLEX ZERO, UNITY, J, KM1, KM2, SUM, ST1, ST2, X
SUM=ZERO
ZETA2=KO*KO-ALPHA*ALPHA
X1=PI*B1/A1
X2=PI*B2/A2
L1=1.0+ALOG(16.0/EPS/X3)/X3/2.0
L2=1.0+ALOG(16.0/EPS/X4)/X4/2.0
LIM=AMAX1(LI, L2)
MLIM=INT(LIM)+1
DO 10 M=1, MLIM
   M1=FLOAT(M)*PI/2.0/A1
   M2=FLOAT(M)*PI/2.0/A2
   KM1=CSQRT(UNITY*(ZETA2-M1*M1))
   KM2=CSQRT(UNITY*(ZETA2-M2*M2))
   ST1=CCOS(KM1*B1)/CSIN(KM1*B1)/KM1+UNITY/M1
   ST2=CCOS(KM2*B2)/CSIN(KM2*B2)/KM2+UNITY/M2
   SUM=SUM+ST1/A1+ST2/A2
10 CONTINUE
ST3=8.0*SQRT(A1*A2)/PI/G
X=UNITY/(UNITY*ALOG(ST3)+PI*SUM/2.0)
RETURN
END

SUBROUTINE XOFA(ALPHA, Y, EPS)
COMMON/PARAM/A1, A2, B1, B2, D, G, T, PI, KO
REAL PI, KO
COMPLEX LA, HM, HMBJ, Y
ZETA2=KO*KO-ALPHA*ALPHA
CALL LALPHA(ALPHA, LA, EPS)
CALL HNSUM(ALPHA, HM, HMBJ, EPS)

ST1=K0/A1/SIN(K0*D)
ST2=-60.0*K1*K0*ST1*ST1
Y=LAXHM*HMBJ*ST2/ZETA2

RETURN

SUBROUTINE INTEGR(A, B, EPS, NSTEP, F, VALUE, X, XRELTV, K, G)

COMPLEX FCNA, FCNB, FCNXI, T, SUM, QX1, QX2, VALUE, Q(16)

LOGICAL G

QX1=0.0
QX2=0.0
H=B-A
CALL F(A, FCNA, EPS)
CALL F(B, FCNB, EPS)
T=(FCNA+FCNB)*H/2.0

NX=1
N=1
K=2**N
H=H/2.0
SUM=(0,0,0,0)
DO 20 1=1,NX
XI=2.0*FLOAT(I)-1.0
XA=A+XI*H
CALL F(XA, FCNXI, EPS)
SUM=SUM+FCNXI
20 CONTINUE
T=T/2.0+H*SUM
Q(N)=(T+H*SUM)*2.0/3.0
IF (N-2) 100,30,30
FX=4.0
DO 40 J=2,N
I=N+1-J
FX=FX*4.0
Q(I)=Q(I+1)+(Q(I+1)-Q(I))/FX
40 CONTINUE
IF (N-3) 90,50,50
X=0.0
COMPA=X-3.0*EPS
COMPR=XRELTV-3.0*EPS
IF (COMPA.LE.0.0.0R.COMPR.LE.0.0) 110,80
IF (NSTEP-K) 110,110,90
QX1=QX2
QX2=Q(1)
NX=NX*2
G=NSTP.LT.K
THE GUIDE DIMENSIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS
A1= 1.000000
A2= 1.000000
B1= 1.000000
B2= 1.000000
G= .200000

THE PROBE DIMENSIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS
D= .850000
T= .001000

THE RESULTING IMPEDANCES ARE AS FOLLOWS
ZC= 62.640845
ZR= 2.289899
ZI= -3132.962182
DELZI= -.122230

THE TOTAL IMPEDANCE Z= 2.289899 +J -3133.084413

THE GUIDE DIMENSIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS
A1= 1.000000
A2= 1.000000
B1= 1.000000
B2= 1.000000
G= .200000

THE PROBE DIMENSIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS
D= .875000
T= .001000

THE RESULTING IMPEDANCES ARE AS FOLLOWS
ZC= 62.640845
ZR= 2.447836
ZI= -3049.147672
DELZI= -.130126

THE TOTAL IMPEDANCE Z= 2.447836 +J -3049.277799

THE GUIDE DIMENSIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS
A1= 1.000000
A2= 1.000000
B1= 1.000000
B2= 1.000000
G= .200000
Figure 1. An NBS TEM Cell
Figure 2. A RCTL with coaxial-line probe
Figure 3. Radiation resistance for $a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = 1$ m, $t = 1$ mm, and $f = 1$ MHz.
Figure 4. Reactance for $a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = 1$ m, $t = 1$ mm, and $f = 1$ MHz
Figure 5. Perturbation reactance for $a_1 = a_2 = b_1 = b_2 = 1$ m, $t = 1$ mm, and $f = 1$ MHz
The input impedance of a probe antenna exciting a transverse electromagnetic (TEM) transmission line cell is formulated by a variational approach. The formulation also utilizes the results from a previous work on the field distribution inside a TEM cell excited by a vertical electrical Hertzian dipole. The final result of impedance is shown to consist of two distinct terms, which are respectively contributed by the ordinary rectangular waveguide and the gap perturbation. Numerical results for both the real and imaginary parts of the impedance are given. The resistive part is found to be proportional to the square of the probe length, and the reactive part largely capacitive.
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