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Summary

Congress has considered, at various points in time, numerous pieces of legislation that relate to genetic and genomic technology and testing. These include bills addressing genetic discrimination in health insurance and employment; personalized medicine; the patenting of genetic material; and the oversight of clinical laboratory tests (in vitro diagnostics), including genetic tests. The focus on these issues signals the growing importance of public policy issues surrounding the clinical and public health implications of new genetic technology. As genetic technologies proliferate and are increasingly used to guide clinical treatment, these public policy issues are likely to continue to garner attention. Understanding the basic scientific concepts underlying genetics and genetic testing may help facilitate the development of more effective public policy in this area.

Humans have 23 pairs of chromosomes in the nucleus of most cells in their bodies. Chromosomes are composed of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and protein. DNA is composed of complex chemical substances called bases. Proteins are fundamental components of all living cells, and include enzymes, structural elements, and hormones. A gene is the section of DNA that contains the sequence which corresponds to a specific protein. Though most of the genome is similar between individuals, there can be significant variation in physical appearance or function between individuals due to variations in DNA sequence that may manifest as changes in the protein, which affect the protein’s function. Many complex factors affect how a genotype (DNA) translates to a phenotype (observable trait) in ways that are not yet clear for many traits or conditions.

Most diseases have a genetic component. Some diseases, such as Huntington’s Disease, are caused by a specific gene. Other diseases, such as heart disease and cancer, are caused by a complex combination of genetic and environmental factors. For this reason, the public health burden of genetic disease, as well as its clinical significance, may be large. Experts note that society has recently entered a transition period in which specific genetic knowledge is becoming more integral to the delivery of effective health care. Therefore, the value of and role for genetic testing in clinical medicine is likely to increase in the future.

Policymakers may need to balance concerns about the potential use and misuse of genetic information with the potential of genetics and genetic technology to improve care delivery, for example by personalizing medical care and treatment of disease. In addition, policymakers face decisions about the balance of federal oversight and regulation of genetic tests, patients’ safety, and innovation in this area. Finally, the need for and degree of federal support for research to develop a comprehensive evidence base to facilitate the integration of genetic testing into clinical practice (for example, to support coverage decisions by health insurers) may be debated.
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Introduction

Congress has considered, at various points in time, numerous pieces of legislation that relate to genetic and genomic technology and testing. These include bills addressing genetic discrimination in health insurance and employment; personalized medicine; the patenting of genetic material; and the oversight of clinical laboratory tests (in vitro diagnostics), including genetic tests. The focus on these issues signals the growing importance of public policy issues surrounding the clinical and public health implications of new genetic technology. As genetic technologies proliferate and are increasingly used to guide clinical treatment, these public policy issues are likely to continue to garner attention. Understanding the basic scientific concepts underlying genetics and genetic testing may help facilitate the development of more effective public policy in this area.

Considering that virtually all disease has a genetic component, the potential public health impact of genetic disease may be significant. Over time, as translational obstacles are addressed, the value of and role for genetic testing in clinical medicine may increase. As the role of genetics in clinical medicine and public health continues to be better understood, the importance of public policy issues raised by genetic technologies is likely to grow.

Knowledge of the potential relevance of genetic information to the clinical management of patients, coupled with incomplete information about the genetic and environmental factors underlying disease, may create a challenging climate for public policymaking. As genetic research continues to advance rapidly, it will often be the case that genetic testing may be able to provide information about the probability of a health outcome without an accompanying treatment option. This situation again creates public policy challenges, for example, in terms of decisions about the coverage of genetic testing services and education about the value of testing.

Policymakers may need to balance concerns about the potential use and misuse of genetic information, as well as issues of genetic exceptionalism and genetic determinism, with the potential of genetics and genetic technology to improve care delivery, for example by personalizing medical care and treatment of disease. In addition, policymakers face decisions about the balance of federal oversight and regulation of genetic tests, patients’ safety, and innovation in this area. Finally, the need for and degree of federal support for research to develop a comprehensive evidence base to facilitate the integration of genetic testing into clinical practice (for example, to support coverage decisions by health insurers) may be debated.

This report summarizes basic scientific concepts in genetics and provides an overview of genetic tests, their main characteristics, and the key policy issues they raise.

---

1 Genetic exceptionalism is the concept that genetic information is inherently unique, should receive special consideration, and should be treated differently from other medical information. For more information about genetic exceptionalism in public policy, see CRS Report RL34376, Genetic Exceptionalism: Genetic Information and Public Policy, by Amanda K. Sarata.

2 Genetic determinism is the concept that an individual’s genes solely determine his or her behavioral and physical characteristics. This concept has mostly fallen out of favor as the substantial role of the environment in determining characteristics has been recognized.
Background

Virtually all disease has a genetic component. The term “genetic disease” has traditionally been used to refer to rare monogenic (caused by a single gene) inherited disease, for example, cystic fibrosis. However, research now shows that many common complex human diseases—including common chronic conditions such as cancer, heart disease, and diabetes—are influenced by several genetic and environmental factors. For this reason, they could all be said to be “genetic diseases.”

The genetic make-up of an individual’s disease—as well as an individual patient’s genetic make-up—will help guide clinical decision making. Experts note that “(w)e have recently entered a transition period in which specific genetic knowledge is becoming critical to the delivery of effective health care for everyone.” This sentiment is broadly shared, despite the fact that the translation to practice has perhaps been slower than anticipated. This is due, in part, to the lack of a comprehensive evidence base to inform clinical validity and utility determinations for many genomic technologies.

Researchers have identified a translational gap between genetic discoveries and application in clinical and public health practice and note that “the pace of implementation of genome-based applications in health care and population health has been slow.” The information provided by the Human Genome Project is helping scientists and clinicians to identify common genetic variation that contributes to disease, primarily through genome-wide association studies (GWAS). In addition, efforts are underway to close the translational gap, specifically the 2009 establishment of the National Institutes of Health (NIH)-Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) collaborative Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention Network (GAPPNet).

Experts note that the moderate effect of many common genetic variations, uncovered by GWAS, has helped to highlight the multifactorial nature of complex disease, and that research efforts will be required to detect “missing” genetic influences. GWAS efforts have identified 1,100 well-

---

6 The clinical validity of a genetic test is its ability to accurately diagnose or predict the risk of a particular clinical outcome. Clinical utility takes into account the impact and usefulness of the test results to the individual and family and primarily considers the implications that the test results have for health outcomes (for example, is treatment or preventive care available for the disease). See “Characteristics of Genetic Tests.”
8 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) are defined by the National Human Genome Research Institute as “an approach used in genetics research to associate specific genetic variations with particular diseases. The method involves scanning the genomes from many different people and looking for genetic markers that can be used to predict the presence of a disease.” National Human Genome Research Institute, Glossary of Terms, http://www.genome.gov/glossary/index.cfm?id=91.
10 See note 2 at page 751.
validated genetic risk factors for common disease; however, the potential for many of these factors to serve as drug targets is unknown.11

Science is beginning to better understand the complex nature of the interaction between genes and the environment in common disease, and their respective contributions to the disease process. Research conducted using large population databases that collect health, genetic, and environmental information about entire populations will likely provide more information about the genetic and environmental underpinnings of common disease. Many countries have established such databases, including Iceland, the United Kingdom, and Estonia.

In many cases, the results of genetic testing may be used to guide clinical management of patients, and a particularly prominent role is anticipated in the realm of preventive medicine.12 For example, more frequent screening may be recommended for individuals at increased risk of certain diseases by virtue of their genetic make-up, such as colorectal and breast cancer. In some cases, preventive surgery may even be indicated. Decisions about courses of treatment and dosing may also be guided by genetic testing, as might reproductive decisions (both clinical and personal).

However, many diseases with an identified molecular cause do not have any treatment available; specifically, therapies exist only for approximately 200 of the more than 4,000 conditions with a known molecular cause.13 In these cases, the benefits of genetic testing lie largely in the information testing provides an individual about his or her risk of future disease or current disease status. The value of genetic information in these cases is personal to individuals, who may choose to utilize this information to help guide medical and other life decisions for themselves and their families. The information can affect decisions about reproduction; the types or amount of health, life, or disability insurance to purchase; or career and education choices.

**Fundamental Concepts in Genetics**

The following section explains some key concepts in genetics that are essential for understanding genetic testing and issues associated with testing that are of interest to Congress.

**Cells Contain Chromosomes**

Humans have 23 pairs of chromosomes in the nucleus of most cells in their bodies. These include 22 pairs of autosomal chromosomes (numbered 1 through 22) and one pair of sex chromosomes (X and Y). One copy of each autosomal chromosome is inherited from the mother and from the father, and each parent contributes one sex chromosome.

Many syndromes involving abnormal human development result from abnormal numbers of chromosomes (such as Down Syndrome). Other diseases, such as leukemia, can be caused by breaks in or rearrangements of chromosome pieces.

---

Chromosomes Contain DNA

Chromosomes are composed of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and protein. DNA is comprised of complex chemical substances called bases. Strands made up of combinations of the four bases (adenine (A), guanine (G), cytosine (C) and thymine (T)) twist together to form a double helix (like a spiral staircase). Chromosomes contain almost 3 billion base pairs of DNA that code for about 20,000-25,000 genes (this is a current estimate, although it may change and has changed several times since the publication of the human genome sequence).14

DNA Codes for Protein

Proteins are fundamental components of all living cells. They include enzymes, structural elements, and hormones. Each protein is made up of a specific sequence of amino acids. This sequence of amino acids is determined by the specific order of bases in a section of DNA. A gene is the section of DNA which contains the sequence which corresponds to a specific protein. Changes to the DNA sequence, called mutations, can change the amino acid sequence. Thus, variations in DNA sequence can manifest as variations in the protein which may affect the function of the protein. This may result in, or contribute to the development of, a genetic disease.

Genotype Influences Phenotype

Though most of the genome is similar between individuals, there can be significant variation in physical appearance or function between individuals. In other words, although individuals share most of the genetic material other individuals have, there are significant differences in physical appearance (height, weight, eye color, etc.). Humans inherit one copy (or allele) of most genes from each parent. The specific alleles that are present on a chromosome pair constitute a person’s genotype. The actual observable, or measurable, physical trait is known as the phenotype. For example, having two brown-eye color alleles would be an example of a genotype and having brown eyes would be the phenotype.

Many complex factors affect how a genotype (DNA) translates to a phenotype (observable trait) in ways that are not yet clear for many traits or conditions. Study of a person’s genotype may determine if a person has a mutation associated with a disease, but only observation of the phenotype can determine if that person actually has physical characteristics or symptoms of the disease. Generally, the risk of developing a disease caused by a single mutation can be more easily predicted than the risk of developing a complex disease caused by multiple mutations in multiple genes and environmental factors. Complex diseases, such as heart disease, cancer, immune disorders, or mental illness, for example, have both inherited and environmental components that are difficult to separate. Thus, it can be difficult to determine whether an individual will develop symptoms, how severe the symptoms may be, or when they may appear.

Glossary

**Allele:** An allele is one of two or more versions of a gene. An individual inherits two alleles for each gene, one from each parent.

**Amino acid:** Amino acids are a set of 20 different molecules used to build proteins.

**Autosomal chromosome:** An autosome is any of the numbered chromosomes, as opposed to the sex chromosomes.

**DNA:** DNA is the chemical name for the molecule that carries genetic instructions in all living things. The DNA molecule consists of two strands that wind around one another to form a shape known as a double helix.

**Genotype:** A genotype is an individual’s collection of genes. The term also can refer to the two alleles inherited for a particular gene.

**Karyotype:** A karyotype is an individual’s collection of chromosomes.

**Metabolite:** A product of metabolism.

**Phenotype:** A phenotype is an individual’s observable traits, such as height, eye color, and blood type. The genetic contribution to the phenotype is called the genotype.

**RNA:** Ribonucleic acid (RNA) is a molecule similar to DNA. Unlike DNA, RNA is single-stranded.

**Genetic Tests**

**What Is a Genetic Test?**

Currently, there is no single definition for “genetic test,” and the scientific community has not reached a consensus about the best definition. However, one way that a genetic test may be defined scientifically is as follows:

> An analysis performed on human DNA, RNA, genes, and/or chromosomes to detect heritable or acquired genotypes, mutations, phenotypes, or karyotypes that cause or are likely to cause a specific disease or condition. A genetic test also is the analysis of human proteins and certain metabolites, which are predominantly used to detect heritable or acquired genotypes, mutations, or phenotypes.\(^\text{16}\)

Once the sequence of a gene is known, looking for specific changes is relatively straightforward using the modern techniques of molecular biology. In fact, these methods have become so

---


Policy Issues

The way genetic test is defined can be important to the development of genetics-related public policy. For example, the above scientific definition is broad, including both predictive and diagnostic tests and analyses on a broad range of material (nucleic acid, protein, and metabolites), but this may not be the best way to achieve certain policy goals. It may sometimes be desirable to limit the definition only to predictive, and not diagnostic, genetic testing because often, predictive tests raise public policy concerns that diagnostic tests do not (see “What Are the Different Types of Genetic Tests?”). On the other hand, policymakers wishing to avoid raising potentially controversial issues associated with predictive genetic testing may instead choose a definition limited to diagnostic testing. In still other cases, it may be desirable to limit the definition to only analysis of specific material, such as DNA, RNA, and chromosomes, but not metabolites or proteins, for example, to help avoid capturing certain types of tests, such as some newborn screening tests, in the scope of a proposed law. Policies extending protection against discrimination may aim to be as broad as possible, whereas policies addressing the stringency of oversight of genetic tests may aim to be more limited (to predictive or probabilistic tests only, or to those for conditions with no treatment, or to those tests relying on a highly complex algorithm, for example).

In certain cases, the lack of an accepted definition for “genetic test” may affect policy making. For example, in discussions about whether to add a genetic testing specialty under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) of 1988 [P.L. 100-578]), the law regulating clinical laboratories, it was decided not to do so, partially based on the fact that there is “no widely accepted definition of a ‘genetic test.’”18

How Many Genetic Tests Are Available?

In February of 2012, the National Institutes of Health (NIH) established an online registry of genetic tests.19 This registry includes information voluntarily submitted by genetic test providers about their genetic tests. Submissions include basic test information, such as the test’s purpose and whether it is for research or clinical use, and also more complex test information, such as details about the test’s analytical and clinical validity and about its clinical utility.20 In August of
2013, the NIH Gene Testing Registry reported that over 10,000 genetic tests have been registered for 3,350 conditions.21

**What Are the Different Types of Genetic Tests?**

Most clinical genetic tests are for rare disorders, but increasingly, tests are becoming available to determine susceptibility to common, complex diseases and to predict response to medication.

With respect to health-related tests (i.e., excluding tests used for forensic purposes, such as “DNA fingerprinting” or those used for ancestry), there are two general types of genetic testing: (1) diagnostic and (2) predictive. Diagnostic genetic tests can be utilized to identify the presence or absence of a disease. Predictive genetic tests can be used to predict if an individual will definitely get a disease in the future (presymptomatic) or to predict the risk of an individual getting a disease in the future (predispositional). For example, testing for mutations in the BRCA1 and/or BRCA2 genes provides probabilistic information about how likely an individual is to develop breast or ovarian cancer in his or her lifetime (predispositional). The genetic test for Huntington’s Disease provides genetic information that is predictive in that it allows a physician to predict with certainty whether an individual will develop the disease, but does not allow the physician to determine when the onset of symptoms will actually occur (presymptomatic). In both of these examples, the individual does not have the clinical disease at the time of genetic testing, as they would with diagnostic genetic testing.

Within this broader framework of diagnostic and predictive genetic tests, several distinct types of genetic testing can be considered, including (1) reproductive genetic testing, (2) newborn screening, and (3) pharmacogenomic testing.

Reproductive genetic testing can identify carriers of genetic disorders, establish prenatal diagnoses or prognoses, or identify genetic variation in embryos before they are used in in vitro fertilization (preimplantation genetic diagnosis). Reproductive genetic testing, such as prenatal testing, may be either diagnostic or predictive in nature.

Newborn screening is a type of genetic testing that identifies newborns with certain metabolic or inherited conditions (although not all newborn screening tests are genetic tests). Traditionally, most newborn screening has been diagnostic, but some states have chosen to add certain predictive genetic testing to their newborn screening panels (for example, Maryland includes testing for cystic fibrosis).22

Pharmacogenomic testing, or testing to determine a patient’s likely response to a medication, may be considered either diagnostic or predictive, depending on the context in which it is being utilized (i.e., before administration of a medication to determine potential effectiveness, dosing levels, or potential adverse interactions or events vs. after administration and manifestation of a clinical event, for use in determining the basis of the specific event or outcome in the particular patient).

---

Policy Issues

Generally, predictive genetic testing (both presymptomatic and predispositional), rather than diagnostic testing, raises more complex ethical, legal, and social issues. For example, issues surrounding insurance coverage and reimbursement for this type of test, especially if no treatment is available, are more complex than with diagnostic genetic testing. A private insurer may feel that paying for a test that predicts the onset of a disease with no treatment is not cost-effective. Even more complicated are cases where the test only shows an increased probability of getting a disease.

Another issue is appropriate federal oversight of genetic tests. Decisions about the need for federal oversight of genetic testing may be based on numerous factors, including whether the information a test provides is probabilistic rather than diagnostic, and whether a treatment is available for the tested condition or disease. Oversight decisions may also be affected by the complexity of a test’s algorithm and, therefore, the complexity of its interpretation, as well as the severity of the tested disease or condition. Federal oversight of genetic tests broadly would likely apply to both genetic tests offered in a health care setting as well as to those offered direct-to-consumer, or as direct access tests.

Issues of genetic discrimination may be different with predictive testing than they are with diagnostic testing. Title I of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008 (GINA, P.L. 110-233) addressed potential discriminatory action based on predictive testing and the possibility of something happening in the future in the context of health insurance. The definition of “genetic test” in that statute specifically excluded tests that are “an analysis of proteins or metabolites that [are] directly related to a manifested disease, disorder, or pathological condition that could reasonably be detected by a health care professional with appropriate training and expertise in the field of medicine involved.” With probabilistic genetic information (generated by predictive testing, see above), the health outcome at issue may never manifest, or if it is certain to, may not manifest for decades into the future.

An individual’s concern about the privacy of her genetic information may be different if the information is probabilistic as opposed to diagnostic. For example, an individual who tests positive for being at increased risk of developing breast cancer in the future might believe unfavorable insurance or employment decisions based on this information in the present (when she does not have breast cancer) would be unfair. In this case, this individual may have heightened concern with keeping this information private from health insurers or employers.

Research has demonstrated that this concern persists, despite the passage of GINA. A 2008 survey on personalized medicine found that few consumers are readily willing to share the results of genetic tests with current employers (2%), health insurers (3%), or a prospective employer (1%). This finding is supported by another survey conducted by Cogent Research at almost the

---

23 For more information about direct-to-consumer genetic testing, see http://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/handbook/testing/directtoconsumer.

24 Genetic discrimination may be defined as differential treatment in either health insurance coverage or employment based upon an individual’s genotype.

25 Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-233), See for example §101(d) [29 USC 1191b(d)].

same time (late May to early June of 2008). This survey found that compared with attitudes in 2006, Americans are less interested in sharing the results of their genetic tests with their health insurer (decrease of 3%), the lab that conducted the genetic test (decrease of 9%), and even with their doctor (decrease of 9%).

Cogent carried out a survey again in 2010, and found that Americans are increasingly concerned about access to their genetic information; specifically, the 2010 Cogent survey found that 71% of Americans are concerned about storage of and access to their information, with the same percentage concerned specifically about access by health insurers. In addition, the survey found that Americans worry about life insurance companies and the government accessing their genetic information, and are increasingly concerned that their information will be used without their authorization (56% up from 49% in 2008).

Characteristics of Genetic Tests

Genetic tests function in two environments: the laboratory and the clinic. Genetic tests are evaluated based primarily on three characteristics: analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility.

Analytical Validity. Analytical validity is defined as the ability of a test to detect or measure the analyte it is intended to detect or measure. This characteristic is critical for all clinical laboratory testing, as it provides information about the ability of the test to perform reliably at its most basic level. This characteristic is relevant to how well a test performs in a laboratory.

Clinical Validity. The clinical validity of a genetic test is its ability to accurately diagnose or predict the risk of a particular clinical outcome. A genetic test’s clinical validity relies on an established connection between the DNA variant being tested for and a specific health outcome. Clinical validity is a measure of how well a test performs in a clinical rather than laboratory setting. Many measures are used to assess clinical validity, but the two of key importance are clinical sensitivity and positive predictive value. Genetic tests can be either diagnostic or predictive and, therefore, the measures used to assess the clinical validity of a genetic test must take this into consideration. For the purposes of a genetic test, positive predictive value can be defined as the probability that a person with a positive test result (i.e., the DNA variant tested for is present) either has or will develop the disease the test is designed to detect. Positive predictive value is the test measure most commonly used by physicians to gauge the usefulness of a test to clinical management of patients. Determining the positive predictive value of a predictive genetic test may be difficult because there are many different DNA variants and environmental modifiers that may affect the development of a disease. In other words, a DNA variant may have a known association with a specific health outcome, but it may not always be causal. Clinical sensitivity

---


30 An analyte is a substance or chemical constituent undergoing analysis.
may be defined as the probability that people who have, or will develop a disease, are detected by the test.

**Clinical Utility.** Clinical utility takes into account the impact and usefulness of the test results to the individual and family and primarily considers the implications that the test results have for health outcomes (for example, is treatment or preventive care available for the disease). It also includes the utility of the test more broadly for society, and can encompass considerations of the psychological, social, and economic consequences of testing.

**Policy Issues**

These three above-mentioned characteristics of genetic tests—analytical validity, clinical validity, and clinical utility—have ties to public policy issues. Specifically, these characteristics are relevant to (1) the federal regulation of genetic tests, (2) the utility and potential risk of the information generated by genetic tests to patients and consumers, and (3) coverage decisions by payers.

Genetic tests are regulated by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), through the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA).** FDA regulates genetic tests that are manufactured by industry and sold for clinical diagnostic use. These test kits usually come prepackaged with all of the reagents and instructions that a laboratory needs to perform the test and are considered to be products by the FDA. FDA requires manufacturers of the kits to ensure that the test detects what the manufacturer says it will, in the intended patient population. With respect to the characteristics of a genetic test, this process requires manufacturers to prove that their test is clinically valid. Depending on the perceived risk associated with the intended use promoted by the manufacturer, the manufacturer must determine that the genetic test is safe and effective, or that it is substantially equivalent to something that is already on the market that has the same intended use.

Most genetic tests, however, are performed not with test kits, but rather as laboratory testing services (referred to as either laboratory-developed or “homebrew” tests), meaning that clinical laboratories themselves perform the test in-house and make most or all of the reagents used in the tests. Laboratory-developed tests (LDTs) are not currently regulated by the FDA in the way that test kits are and, therefore, the clinical validity of the majority of genetic tests is not regulated. The FDA does currently regulate certain components used in LDTs, known as Analyte Specific Reagents (ASRs), but only if the ASR is commercially available. If the ASR is made in-house by a laboratory performing the LDT, the test is not regulated at all by the FDA. This type of test is sometimes referred to informally as a “homebrew-homebrew” test.

Any clinical laboratory test that is performed for health-related reasons on a human specimen with results returned to the patient must be performed in a CLIA-certified laboratory. CLIA is primarily administered by CMS in conjunction with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the FDA.** FDA determines the category of complexity of the test so the laboratories know which requirements of CLIA they must follow. As previously noted, CLIA regulates the analytical validity of a clinical laboratory test only. It generally establishes

---


requirements for laboratory processes, such as personnel training and quality control or quality assurance programs. CLIA requires laboratories to prove that their tests work properly, to maintain the appropriate documentation, and to show that tests are interpreted by laboratory professionals with the appropriate training. Supporters of the CLIA regulatory process argue that regulation of the testing process gives laboratories optimal flexibility to modify tests as new information becomes available. Critics argue that CLIA does not go far enough to assure the accuracy of genetic tests since it only addresses analytical validity and not clinical validity.

Although the analytical validity of genetic tests is regulated by CMS through CLIA (P.L. 100-578), as noted, the majority of genetic tests are not regulated based on (in any part) an assessment of their clinical validity. Given that the majority of genetic tests are LDTs, advocates for increased regulation of genetic tests have expressed concern that the majority of genetic tests are not assured to be clinically valid and that, therefore, the results of the tests could be either misleading or not useful to the individual. This has also raised concerns about direct-to-consumer marketing of genetic tests—as most of these tests are also LDTs and not test kits—where the connection between a DNA variant and a clinical outcome (clinical validity) has not been clearly established. Because clinical validity is not part of the regulatory regime for LDTs currently, tests with unproven clinical validity are allowed to be marketed to consumers. Marketing of such tests to consumers directly may mislead consumers into believing that the advice given them based on the results of such tests could improve their health status or outcomes when in fact there is no scientific basis—or inadequate evidence—underlying such an assertion. This issue was the subject of a July 2006 hearing by the Senate Special Committee on Aging, as well as two reports by the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), in 2006 and 2010.

In addition, clinical utility and clinical validity both figure prominently into coverage decisions by payers, by both private health insurers and public programs, and in particular, “clinical utility data are necessary for reimbursement decisions.” There are many genomics-based tests where the evidence of clinical utility is limited, and therefore, “[a] critical challenge to genomic medicine is how we bridge the evidence gap necessary to pave the way for coverage and reimbursement of genetic tests.” While a lack of such data can hinder or complicate coverage and reimbursement decisions, potentially leaving patients without coverage for these tests, the lack of data also may leave payers unable to comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of a test.

Payers, both private and public, have implemented approaches to covering genomic technologies concomitant with the collection of clinical utility data. For example, United HealthCare covers the OncotypeDX test for breast cancer for patients meeting specific criteria, and requires data collection on the subsequent course of clinical treatment. In this way, the payer covers the test as

---

36 McCormack, RT et al. “Codevelopment of Genome-Based Therapeutics and Companion Diagnostics.” JAMA, Published online February 12, 2014.
38 For more information on this test, see http://www.oncotypedx.com/.
the relevant clinical utility data are being collected. In addition, CMS issued a national coverage determination (NCD) for Pharmacogenomic Testing for Warfarin Response; this allows for Coverage with Evidence Development (CED) for pharmacogenomic testing with the use of warfarin. In this way, CMS will cover testing for specified Medicare beneficiaries and in so doing will generate data on the clinical utility of the test.

The Genetic Test Result

Genetic tests can provide information about both inherited genetic variations, that is, the individual’s genes that were inherited from their mother and father, as well as about acquired genetic variations, such as those that cause some tumors. Acquired variations are not inherited, but rather are acquired in DNA due to replication errors or exposure to mutagenic chemicals and radiation (e.g., UV rays). In contrast with most other medical tests, genetic tests can be performed on material from a body, and may continue to provide information after the individual has died, as a result of the stability of the DNA molecule.

DNA-based testing of inherited genetic variations differs from other medical testing in several ways. These test results can have exceptionally long-range predictive powers over the lifespan of an individual; can predict disease or increased risk for disease in the absence of clinical signs or symptoms; can reveal the sharing of genetic variants within families at precise and calculable rates; and, at least theoretically, have the potential to generate a unique identifier profile for individuals.

Genetic changes to inherited genes can be acquired throughout a person’s life (acquired genetic variation). Tests that are performed for acquired genetic variations that occur with a disease have implications only for individuals with the disease, and not the genetic constitution of a family member. Tests for acquired genetic variations are also usually diagnostic rather than predictive, since these tests are generally performed after the presentation of symptoms.

Pharmacogenomic testing may be used to determine both acquired genetic variations in disease tissue (i.e., acquired variations in a tumor) or may be used to determine inherited variations in an individual’s drug metabolizing enzymes. For example, with respect to determining acquired genetic variations in disease tissue, a tumor may have acquired genetic variations that render the tumor susceptible or resistant to chemotherapy.

---

39 Carlson, Bob. “Payers Try New Approaches to Manage Molecular Diagnostics.” Biotechnology Healthcare 7(3): 26-30; Fall 2010. “In its contract with United Healthcare, Genomic Health agreed to screen all physician orders for Oncotype DX to make sure patients met test criteria. United has the right to audit Genomic Health records and is entitled to a refund for tests performed on United patients who did not meet the criteria. United also audits Oncotype DX test results annually and matches those results with claims for chemotherapy. A low recurrence score suggests low benefit from chemotherapy. A high percentage of patients with a low score but who still received chemotherapy allows United to open and renegotiate the contract.”


A companion diagnostic (CoDx) test—a type of pharmacogenomic test—is a test that can be used to determine and guide the appropriate use of companion pharmaceuticals. Companion diagnostics may be co-developed with respective drugs (in a process utilizing FDA review for both the test and the drug) or they may be developed in-house by laboratories as LDTs. With respect to inherited genetic variation in drug metabolizing enzymes, a pharmacogenomic test may determine that an individual, for example, is a slow metabolizer of a certain type of drug (e.g., statins) and this information can be used to guide both drug choice and dosing.

Policy Issues

Many public policy issues are associated with personalized medicine. Personalized medicine is health care based on individualized diagnosis and treatment for each patient determined by information specific to the individual or his disease, including information at the genomic level. Advocates maintain that pharmacogenomic testing is important because it will help provide the foundation for personalized medicine; “[g]enome-based, targeted therapeutics and codeveloped CoDx tests are the foundation of personalized medicine and have potential for contributing to high-value health care.”

There is some uncertainty currently as to how health insurers will assess and choose to cover pharmacogenomic testing as it becomes available. In addition, there are issues surrounding the regulation of pharmacogenomic testing and the encouragement of the co-development of drugs and diagnostic genetic tests (companion diagnostics). With respect to CoDx tests, advocates maintain that the uncertain regulatory environment, and specifically, the differing regulatory requirements for CoDx tests co-developed with a drug using FDA review and CoDx tests that are developed as LDTs, is a key policy concern.

Finally, in some cases, people feel differently about their genetic information than they do about other medical information, a sentiment embodied by the concept of genetic exceptionalism. This viewpoint may be based on actual differences between genetic testing and other medical testing, but also may be based on personal belief that genetic information is inherently different than other medical information. For example, genetic information about an individual may reveal things about family members, and therefore decisions by an individual to share her own genetic information can potentially also affect her family. Partially as a result of these considerations, Congress passed GINA, and many states, beginning in the early 1990s, enacted laws addressing genetic discrimination in health insurance, employment, and life insurance. Since GINA was enacted, the genetics community and others have considered and weighed possible expansions to the law. These potential changes have included extending the law to additional types of insurance (e.g., life insurance, disability insurance) or to additional health systems (e.g., Indian Health
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Service (IHS) or the Military Health Service (MHS)). Congress has not taken up any of these proposed modifications to the law.

Coverage by Health Insurers

Health insurers are playing an increasingly large role in determining the availability of genetic tests by deciding which tests they will pay for as part of their covered benefit packages. Medicare coverage determinations are often closely monitored by private health insurance plans, and many private plans will follow Medicare’s decisions. Therefore, a decision by CMS to cover a new test through a positive NCD will often result in more rapid diffusion and adoption of a test in the health care system. Many aspects of genetic tests, including their clinical validity and utility, may complicate the coverage decision-making process for insurers.

While insurers require that, where applicable, a test be approved by the Food and Drug Administration, they also want evidence that it is “medically necessary;” that is, evidence demonstrating that a test will affect a patient’s health outcome in a positive way. This additional requirement of evidence of improved health outcomes underscores the importance of patient participation in long-term research in genetic medicine. Particularly for genetic tests, data on health outcomes may take a long time to collect. Although payers are beginning to cover pharmacogenomic tests and treatments, they often require stringent evidence that a given test will improve health outcomes.

Policy Issues

Decisions by insurers to cover new genetic tests have a significant impact on the utilization of such tests and their eventual integration into the health care system. The integration of personalized medicine into the health care system will be determined in large part by coverage decisions. Test manufacturers’ decisions to develop a given test are affected, among other things, by both the likelihood of gaining favorable coverage decisions and by the likelihood of gaining reimbursement that accurately reflects the costs of developing and carrying out the test. One issue with respect to gaining favorable coverage decisions has been the length of time required to do so. Manufacturers have stated that they will often focus their efforts on gaining FDA approval, without realizing that upon receiving such approval, Medicare coverage of the test is not automatic. Medicare NCDs have traditionally been done serially with FDA pre-market review. To attempt to address this issue, FDA and CMS began a parallel review process whereby FDA approval is underway at the same time as is the CMS coverage determination. This pilot program, initiated in 2011 for a period of two years, was recently extended until 2015.

Coverage of many genetic tests and services, which may be considered preventive in some cases, might be affected by the passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010

46 75 Federal Register 57046, September 17, 2010.
47 The concepts of medical necessity and clinical utility share some similarities; as noted previously in the report, clinical utility takes into account the impact and usefulness of the test results to the individual and family and primarily considers the implications that the test results have for health outcomes (for example, is treatment or preventive care available for the disease).
48 76 Federal Register 62808, October 11, 2011.
49 78 Federal Register 76629, December 18, 2013.
(ACA, P.L. 111-148). The ACA requires private health insurers, Medicare, and Medicaid to cover clinical preventive services (as specified in the law) and outlines cost-sharing requirements in some cases for these services. However, the ACA provisions in some cases tie coverage of clinical preventive services to determinations by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF, located in the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality [AHRQ]), and these determinations are based on the quality of the evidence available to support a given clinical preventive service. For this reason, coverage of genetic tests and services (that are determined to be preventive clinical services) may be negatively affected by a lack of high-quality evidence to support their use.
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