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NOMENCLATURE

Sound velocity
[c] Damping matrix
C_D Drag coefficient
C_M Added mass coefficient
C_t Two-phase flow damping coefficient
C_V Viscous damping coefficient
C_h Drag coefficient for axial flow
C Cylinder diameter
C_g Cylinder damping coefficient
EI Flexural rigidity of cylinder
GJ Torsional rigidity
f1, f4 Total fluid force components in two orthogonal directions
f_e1, f_e4 Fluid force components that are independent of cylinder motion
f_w/2 Natural frequency of nth mode (Hz)
H Functions specified in Eqs. 6, 7, 8, and 11 for various cases
k Fluidelastic stiffness constant
K_c Keulegan-Carpenter parameter (2nu/D)
l Length of a cylinder or axial half wavelength
m Mass per unit length of cylinder
M_d (= ρr²) Displaced mass of fluid per unit length
M_f Added mass per unit length
N Number of cylinders
P Longitudinal pitch
q_i Generalized coordinate associated with ith mode
r Cylinder radius

Radius of the outside cylinder or radius of curvature for a curved tube
S Kinetic Reynolds number [uRe²]
S_f Forced Strouhal frequency
S_n Strouhal frequency
t Time
T Transverse pitch
u, v, w, u_1, v_1, w_1 Cylinder displacements
u_o Peak cylinder displacement
U_f Reduced flow velocity (V/fD)
V Flow velocity
q_v Void fraction
q_1, q_4, q_1, q_4, q_1, q_4 Fluid viscous damping
q_1, q_4, q_1, q_4 Fluidelastic stiffness
y r/R Fluid viscosity
ν Kinematic viscosity of fluid
q_l Orthonormal modal function of ith mode
ρ Fluid density for a single phase fluid
ρ_e Effective density for a two-phase flow
ρ'_e Fluid density for the higher-density fluid in a two-phase flow
ζ_n Modal damping ratio of nth mode
ω Oscillation frequency
ω_n Natural frequency of nth mode in radian/second
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ABSTRACT

Fluid damping plays an important role for structures submerged in fluid, subjected to flow, or conveying fluid. This design guide presents a summary of calculational procedures and design data for fluid damping for circular cylinders vibrating in quiescent fluid, crossflow, and parallel flow.

I. INTRODUCTION

Fluid damping is the result of energy dissipation caused by the motion of a structure relative to a fluid. It plays an important role for oscillations of structures submerged in fluid, subjected to flow, or conveying fluid. It affects structural response amplitude and stability boundaries. To understand the vibrational behavior of a structural system vibrating in a fluid, to calculate the response amplitude, and to predict the stability-instability boundary, we have to determine the magnitude of fluid damping.

Fluid damping arises from many different sources. In a quiescent viscous flow, the drag force acting on a vibrating structure can contribute to the viscous type of damping. In a compressible fluid, the energy carried away by out-going waves is an energy loss and can also be modeled as viscous damping in some parameter range. In a moving fluid, other fluid force components can be very important—forces are functions of flow velocity and may act as a damping mechanism. In a certain parameter range, the nature of this flow-velocity-dependent force may change from an energy-dissipating mechanism to a destabilizing effect and cause structural instability.
Studies of fluid damping for circular cylinders in various flow conditions have been reported. The objective of this design guide is to summarize the results, which may be useful in the analysis and design evaluation of structural components subjected to fluid flow—in particular, for applications to nuclear internal and plant components. Most of the material in the design guide is based on a recent review [1]. Specifically, this design guide includes:

Some general considerations of fluid damping,

Damping in quiescent fluids,

Damping in parallel flow,

Damping in crossflow, and

Examples of fluid damping.

II. SOME GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. **Fluid Force Components**

Consider an array of N circular cylinders oscillating in a flow as shown in Fig. 1. The axes of the cylinders are parallel to the z axis. The subscript i is used to denote variables associated with cylinder i. The displacement components of cylinder i are \( u_i \) and \( v_i \) and fluid force components are \( f_i \) and \( g_i \), respectively. There are several types of fluid forces [2,3]:

1. **Fluid inertia force**—fluid force that is proportional to the cylinder acceleration.

2. **Fluid damping force**—fluid force that is proportional to the cylinder velocity.

3. **Fluidelastic stiffness force**—fluid force that is proportional to the cylinder displacement.

4. **Fluid excitation force**—fluid force that is independent of the cylinder motion.
Fig. 1. Schematic of a circular cylinder array; (a) a group of circular cylinders; (b) fluid force and cylinder displacement components.
Mathematically, these fluid force components can be written:

\[ f_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ \left[ a'_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial t^2} + a''_{ij} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} + a^{'''}_{ij} u_j \right] + \left[ a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial t^2} \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left. \left. a'_{ij} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} + a^{'''}_{ij} v_j \right] \right\} + f_{01} , \]

and

\[ g_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ \left[ \tau'_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial t^2} + \tau''_{ij} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} + \tau^{'''}_{ij} u_j \right] + \left[ \beta_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 v_j}{\partial t^2} \right. \right. \]

\[ + \left. \left. \beta'_{ij} \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} + \beta^{'''}_{ij} v_j \right] \right\} + g_{01} . \]

The components in the brackets are called motion-dependent fluid forces; these components vanish if the cylinders are stationary. \( f_{01} \) and \( g_{01} \) are resultant fluid excitation forces that are independent of cylinder motion. Note that \( a_{ij}, a'_{ij}, \tau_{ij}, \) and \( \beta_{ij} \) are added mass matrices; \( a''_{ij}, a^{'''}_{ij}, \tau'''_{ij}, \) and \( \beta^{'''}_{ij} \) are damping matrices, and \( a''_{ij}, a''''_{ij}, \tau''''_{ij}, \) and \( \beta''''_{ij} \) are fluidelastic stiffness matrices. In general, these matrices depend on cylinder motion, in particular, the displacement \((u_i, v_i)\), velocity \((\partial u_i / \partial t, \partial v_i / \partial t)\), and acceleration \((\partial^2 u_i / \partial t^2, \partial^2 v_i / \partial t^2)\), and the flow velocity \((V)\). However, in many practical situations, added mass matrices are independent of cylinder motion and flow velocity \( V \), while damping and fluidelastic matrices are functions of flow velocity \( V \) only.

Equation 1 can be written as a single matrix equation:

\[
[F] = [N] \{ \dot{q} \} + [C] \{ \ddot{q} \} + [K] \{ q \} + \{ Q \}. \tag{2}
\]

For a single cylinder, the motions in the two directions are uncoupled in most cases; therefore, the two force components can be written:

\[ f = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \alpha' \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \alpha'' u + f_o , \]

and

\[ g = \beta \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} + \beta' \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \beta'' v + g_o . \tag{3} \]
Furthermore, in some situations, the motion is independent of the direction of oscillations; i.e., either one of the components of Eq. 3 is applicable and can be written as follows:

\[ f = C_M \frac{2}{M_d} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}^2 + C_v \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + ku + f_o, \]  

(4)

where \( M_d \) is the displaced mass per unit length of fluid by the cylinder, \( C_M \) is the added mass factor, \( C_v \) is the viscous damping coefficient, and \( k \) is the fluidelastic stiffness constant.

2. Fluid Damping Coefficients

Without loss of generality, consider a single cylinder oscillating with a displacement given by \( u = u_0 \cos \omega t \) in a flow with a mean flow velocity \( V \). In this case, the following dimensionless parameters are important:

- Reynolds number \( Re = \frac{V D}{\nu} \),
- Reduced flow velocity \( U_f = \frac{V}{f D} \),
- Kinetic Reynolds number \( S = \frac{\nu \omega}{\nu} \),
- Keulegan-Carpenter parameter \( K_c = \frac{2 \mu_0}{D} \).

The first two parameters are associated with the mean flow and the last two parameters are associated with the oscillations of the cylinder. Fluid damping matrices \( \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}, \) and \( \beta_{ij} \) in Eqs. 1 and \([C]\) in Eq. 2, and fluid damping coefficients \( \alpha' \) and \( \beta' \) in Eqs. 3 and \( C_v \) in Eq. 4, in general, are functions of \( Re, U_f, S, \) and \( K_c \).

In most cases, we are interested in small-amplitude oscillations; i.e., \( K_c \) is very small. Then fluid damping is a function of \( Re, S, \) and \( U_f \) only. The following two situations are of particular importance:

1. In quiescent fluid, fluid damping is a function of \( S \) only.
2. In flowing fluid, fluid damping is a function of \( U_f \) only.

In other situations, other approximations can be applied.

A summary of available results on damping will be presented based on Eqs. 1 to 4 for three different flow conditions: quiescent fluid, parallel flow, and crossflow.
III. QUIESCENT FLUID

For structures vibrating in a quiescent fluid, fluid damping consists of (1) fluid viscous effect and (2) energy carried away by acoustic waves. Available results are listed in the following text.

1. A Circular Cylinder in a Concentric Annular Viscous Fluid

A circular cylinder vibrating in a confined viscous fluid, as shown in Fig. 2, was studied theoretically and experimentally by Chen et al. [4,5]. A closed-form solution for the fluid force was obtained using the linearized, two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations of motion. Let the cylinder perform a small sinusoidal motion \( u(t) = u_0 \cos \omega t \). The fluid force per unit length acting on the cylinder is

\[
f = C_M \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + C_v \frac{du}{dt},
\]

where

\[
C_M = \text{Re}(H),
\]

\[
C_v = -M_d \omega \text{Im}(H),
\]

\[
H = \left\{ 2a^2 [I_0(a)K_0(b) - I_0(b)K_0(a)] - 4a[I_1(a)K_0(b) + I_0(b)K_1(a)] \right. \\
+ 4a\gamma[I_0(a)K_1(b) + I_1(b)K_0(a)] - 8\gamma[I_1(a)K_1(b) - I_1(b)K_1(a)] \\
+ (a^2(1 - \gamma^2)[I_0(a)K_0(b) - I_0(b)K_0(a)] \\
+ 2a\gamma[I_0(a)K_1(b) - I_1(b)K_0(b) + I_1(b)K_0(a) - I_0(b)K_1(b)] \\
+ 2a\gamma^2[I_0(b)K_1(a) - I_0(a)K_1(a) - I_1(a)K_0(b) - I_1(a)K_0(a)]] - 1,
\]

\[
a = (1 + 1) \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{2\nu}},
\]
Fig. 2. Schematic and coordinate system of a cylinder vibrating in fluid annulus.
\[ b = (1 + i) \sqrt{\frac{\omega r^2}{2v}}, \quad (6) \]

\[ \gamma = \frac{r}{R}, \quad (\text{Contd.}) \]

\[ M_d = \rho \pi r^2. \]

The values of \( C_M \) and \( C_v \) depend on \( H \), which, in turn, is a function of the radius ratio \( r/R \) and kinetic Reynolds number \( S (= \omega r^2/v) \). The values of \( \text{Re}(H) \) and \( -\text{Im}(H) \) are given in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively.

When the absolute values of \( a \) and \( b \) in Eqs. 6 are large (e.g., both \( |a| \) and \( |b| > 50 \)), \( H \) can be simplified:

\[
H = \frac{[a^2(1 + \gamma^2) - 8\gamma] \sinh(b - a) + 2a(2 - \gamma + \gamma^2) \cosh(b - a) - 2\gamma^2 \sqrt{ab} - 2a\gamma \sqrt{\gamma}}{a^2(1 - \gamma^2) \sinh(b - a) - 2a\gamma(1 + \gamma) \cosh(b - a) + 2\gamma^2(ab) + 2a\gamma \sqrt{\gamma}}.
\]

As the radius ratio becomes infinite, \( H \) given in Eq. 6 becomes

\[
H = 1 + \frac{4K_1(a)}{aK_0(a)}. \quad (8)
\]

The values of \( H \) are given in Fig. 5 as a function of \( S (= \omega r^2/v) \). This corresponds to the case of a circular cylinder vibrating in an infinite viscous fluid.

Based on the boundary-layer approximation, Sinyavskii et al. [6] has developed approximate expressions for \( C_M \) and \( C_v \):

\[
C_M = \frac{R^2 + r^2}{R^2 - r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \left( \frac{2v}{\omega} \right)^{1/2},
\]

and

\[
C_v = \frac{4\pi \omega}{\sqrt{2v}} \left[ \frac{R^4 + r^4}{(R^2 - r^2)^2} \right], \quad (9)
\]

where \( \sqrt{\omega/2v} \) is the viscous penetration depth. Note that Eq. 9 is similar to Eq. 7; it is applicable for \( \omega r^2/v >> 1 \). In many practical applications, Eq. 9 can be employed.
Fig. 3. Real values of $H$ as a function of $R/r$ for selected values of $S$ [Ref. 4].
Fig. 4. Imaginary values of $H$ as a function of $R/r$ for selected values of $S$ [Ref. 4].
Fig. 5. Real and imaginary values of $H$ for a cylinder vibrating in an infinite fluid.
Several additional approximate solutions for $C_M$ and $C_v$ also are given in Section III.5.

The theoretical results and experimental data agree well for both a cylinder oscillating in an infinite fluid [7] and in an annular region [4,6]. However, the linear theory is applicable only for small-amplitude oscillations (see Section III.10 for discussions).

For a uniform cylinder with mass per-unit length $m$, the modal damping ratio attributed to fluid viscosity is [4]

$$\zeta_n = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M_d}{C_M M_d + m} \right) \text{Im}(H). \quad (10)$$

The analytical results for $\zeta_n$ were verified using several viscous fluids [4]; the agreement between theory and experiment is good.

2. A Circular Cylinder in a Finite-Length Annular Viscous Region

When the length of the annular region is small (see Fig. 6; $L$ is the same order of magnitude as $r$), the three-dimensional effect becomes significant. An approximate solution for this case was obtained by Mulcahy [8]. It is based on the linearized Navier-Stokes equations and the assumption that the gap clearance is much less than the cylinder radius $r$. The fluid force acting on the cylinder is given by

$$f = C_M \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + C_v \frac{\partial u}{\partial t},$$

$$C_M = \left( \frac{r}{R - r} \right) \text{Re}(H) \left[ 1 - \frac{\cosh(z/R)}{\cosh(L/R)} \right],$$

$$C_v = -M_d \left( \frac{r}{R - r} \right) \text{Im}(H) \left[ 1 - \frac{\cosh(z/R)}{\cosh(L/R)} \right], \quad (11)$$

$$H = \frac{a \sinh a}{(2 + a \sinh a - 2 \cosh a)},$$

and

$$a = \left( 1 + 1 \right) \sqrt{\frac{\omega(R - r)}{2\nu}}.$$
Fig. 6. A circular cylinder in fluid filled annular region.
The theoretical values of $\text{Re}(H)$ and $-\text{Im}(H)$ are given in Fig. 7. Experimental data are shown to agree well with the theory. The solution is valid for $(R - r)/r << 1$ and the viscous penetration depth $\sqrt{\nu/2\nu}$ on the order of $(R - r)$.

Note that Eq. 11 is applicable for transverse motion within the gap. The damping associated with the rotational motion is, in general, much smaller and can be ignored.

3. **A Circular Cylinder in an Eccentric Annular Viscous Fluid**

Closed form solution for this case is not available. However, viscous damping can be obtained using a finite-element method [9]. A system of discretized equations is obtained from the appropriate two-dimensional Navier-Stokes and continuity equations through Galerkin's process. The basic unknowns are velocity and pressure. The added mass and viscous damping coefficients are obtained through a line integration of stress and pressure around the circumference of the cylinder.

Typical results are given in Fig. 8. Both $C_M$ and $C_v$ increase with eccentricity.

4. **A Circular Cylinder in an Infinite Compressible Inviscid Fluid**

When a cylinder vibrates in a confined ideal fluid, no energy loss occurs. While in an infinite fluid, there is a radiation loss attributed to the energy carried away by the out-going waves. Let the cylinder radius be $r$, sound velocity in fluid $c$, and oscillation frequency $\omega$. The two-dimensional solution of the fluid field yields the added mass and viscous damping coefficients [10]:

\[
C_M = \frac{2\Delta}{a\Delta}
\]

and

\[
C_v = M_d \omega \left(\frac{2\Delta}{a\Delta}\right),
\]

where
Fig. 7. Added mass and damping multipliers, Re(H) and Im(H) [Ref. 8].
Fig. 8. Added mass and damping coefficient as a function of eccentricity [Ref. 9].
\[ a = \frac{\omega R}{c} \text{ (c = velocity of sound)}, \]

\[ \Delta = [J_2(a) - J_0(a)]^2 + [Y_2(a) - Y_0(a)]^2, \]

\[ \Delta_1 = J_1(a)[J_2(a) - J_0(a)] + Y_1(a)[Y_2(a) - Y_0(a)], \]

\[ \Delta_2 = Y_1(a)[J_2(a) - J_0(a)] - J_1(a)[Y_2(a) - Y_0(a)]. \]

The values of \( C_M \) and \( C_v \) are given in Fig. 9.

For a uniform cylinder with mass per-unit length \( m \), the modal damping ratio attributed to fluid compressibility is

\[ \zeta_n = \frac{\frac{d}{m} \Delta}{[a \Delta + 2 \frac{d}{m} \Delta_1]}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

5. Two Coaxial Circular Cylinders Separated by Viscous Fluid

Fluid forces acting on two coaxial tubes separated by an incompressible fluid are obtained based on the linearized two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation [Ref. 5]. The fluid forces acting on the two cylinders are (Fig. 10)

\[ f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left( a_{ij} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x^2} + a'_{ij} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial t} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[ a_{ij} = \rho m R_i R_j \text{Re}(a_{ij}), \]

\[ a'_{ij} = \rho m R_i R_j \text{Im}(-a_{ij}) , \]

\[ a_{11} = -(1 + 2a); \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)
Fig. 9. $C_M$ and $C_V$ as functions of $\omega r/c$ for a cylinder vibrating in an infinite compressible fluid.
Fig. 10. Schematic of two concentric cylinders containing viscous fluid.
\[ a_{12} = a_{21} = 2 \gamma a = -\gamma(1 + a_{11}) , \]
\[ a_{22} = 1 - 2 \gamma^2 a = 1 + \gamma^2(1 + a_{11}) , \]
\[ b_1 = (-i \omega/v)^{1/2} R_1 , \quad (15) \]

(Contd.)

\[ \gamma = r/R , \quad \text{and} \]

\[ a = - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & F_1(b_1) & G_1(b_1) \\ 0 & 1 & \gamma F_1(b_2) & \gamma G_1(b_2) \\ 2 & 2 & b_1 F_0(b_1) & b_1 G_0(b_1) \\ 0 & 2 & b_1 F_0(b_2) & b_1 G_0(b_2) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & F_1(b_1) & G_1(b_1) \\ \gamma^2 & 1 & \gamma F_1(b_2) & \gamma G_1(b_2) \\ 0 & 2 & b_1 F_0(b_1) & b_1 G_0(b_1) \\ 0 & 2 & b_1 F_0(b_2) & b_1 G_0(b_2) \end{vmatrix} , \]

where \( F_n \) and \( G_n \) are the nth-order Bessel functions. They can be either the first- and second-kind Bessel functions, \( J_n \) and \( Y_n \), or the Hankel functions, \( H_n^{(1)} \) and \( H_n^{(1)} \). The selection of the functions mainly depends on computational considerations.

The coefficients \( a_{ij} \) and \( a_{ij}' \) depend on the \( S = \omega r^2/v \) and \( \gamma \) in a very complicated way. Approximate solutions can be obtained in special cases:

(a) \textbf{Viscous fluid and very large radius ratio} (e.g., \( \gamma > 10, S > 1 \))

For \( \gamma \to 0 \) and \( |b_2| \gg 1 \),

\[ a_{11} = 1 - 4 H_1^{(2)}(b_1)/b_1 H_0^{(2)}(b_1) . \quad (16) \]

Furthermore, if \( |b_1| \gg 1 \),

\[ a_{11} = 1 - \frac{4i}{b_1} . \quad (17) \]
(b) **Viscous fluid and large value of \( S \) (e.g., \( S > 10^4 \))

\[
an_{11} = -1 + \{(16b_1^2 - (73 - 578\gamma + 9\gamma^2)/8)\sin(Gb_1) \\
- 2b_1(1 - \gamma)(16 + \sqrt{\gamma})\cos(Gb_1)\} \\
+ \{(1 - \gamma^2)[8b_1^2 - (9 + 30\gamma + 9\gamma^2)/16]\sin(Gb_1) \\
+ b_1(1 + \gamma)(1 + 14\gamma + \gamma^2)\cos(Gb_1) - 32b_1\gamma \sqrt{\gamma}\}, \quad (18)
\]

\[G = (1 - \gamma)/\gamma.\]

(c) \( S \gg 1 \) and \( G^2 S \ll 1 \)

\[
a_{11} = -12i/G^3 S. \quad (19)
\]

(d) \( S \gg 1 \) and moderate gap (e.g., \( G > 0.01 \) and \( S > 10^4 \))

\[
a_{11} = \frac{b_1(1 + \gamma^2)\sin(Gb_1) - 2(2 - \gamma + \gamma^2)\cos(Gb_1) + 4\gamma \sqrt{\gamma}}{b_1(1 - \gamma^2)\sin(Gb_1) + 2\gamma(1 + \gamma)\cos(Gb_1) - 4\gamma \sqrt{\gamma}}. \quad (20)
\]

(e) \( S \gg 1 \) and \( G^2 S \gg 1 \) (e.g., \( S > 10^4 \), and \( G^2 S > 10^4 \))

\[
a_{11} = |b_1(1 + \gamma^2) \\
- i2(2 - \gamma + \gamma^2)|/[b_1(1 - \gamma^2) + i2\gamma(1 + \gamma)]. \quad (21)
\]

(f) \( S \gg 1, G^2 S \gg 1 \) and \( G \ll 1 \) (e.g., \( S > 10^7, G^2 S > 10^4 \)) and \( G < 0.05 \)

\[
a_{11} = \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{1 - \gamma^2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G^2 S^{1/2}} \left[1 - i\left(1 - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{S^{1/2}}\right)\right]. \quad (22)
\]

(g) \( v + 0, S + \infty \)

\[
a_{11} = \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{1 - \gamma^2}. \quad (23)
\]
6. **Cylinder Arrays in Incompressible Viscous Fluid**

The viscous damping coefficient matrices $\sigma_{ij}$, $\tau_{ij}$, and $s_{ij}$ for general cylinder arrays can be calculated based on the linearized two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equation.

There are several experimental studies on the damping of multiple cylinders. Shimogo et al. [11] present the results of two cylinders vibrating in a viscous fluid. The effects of fluid viscosity on tube motions are studied. A series of experiments to study the diagonal terms, $\sigma_{ij}$ and $\tau_{ij}$ is reported by Chen et al. [12].

For cylinder arrays vibrating in a quiescent fluid, $\sigma_{ij}$ and $\tau_{ij}$ are symmetric, and $\tau_{ij} = \sigma_{ji}$. Physically, this means that the damping of cylinder $i$ due to the motion of cylinder $j$ is equal to the damping of cylinder $j$ due to the motion of cylinder $i$.

It should be pointed out that in a viscous fluid, the motion of a cylinder is coupled to other cylinders in an array through added mass coupling and viscous coupling as given in Eq. 2, where $[M]$ represents the mass effect and $[C]$ represents the viscous damping. In general, $[C]$ is not proportional to $[M]$.

For a group of $N$ cylinders, there are $N^2$ elements of damping coefficients. It is impractical to compile all available data in this design guide. In fact, there are practically no complete data for any cylinder arrays consisting of more than three cylinders. In most cases, it is not practical to calculate all these coefficients. However, if the detailed damping coefficients are needed, the finite element method can be applied.

7. **An Infinite Circular Cylinder in a Concentric Annular Two-Phase Flow**

A circular cylinder vibrating in a confined two-phase flow was studied experimentally by several investigators [13-16]. The inertia and damping forces can be written

$$ f = C_M \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + (C_v + C_t) \frac{du}{dt} \quad \text{(24)} $$
Note that Eq. 24 is the same as Eq. 5 with the additional term \( C_t(du/dt) \), called the two-phase-flow damping. However, the added mass coefficient \( C_M \) and viscous damping coefficient \( C_v \) are not the same as those for a single-phase flow. Based on the limited experimental data, and on analytical results, \( C_M \) can be calculated based on Eq. 6 with the exception that the effective density should be used. The ratio of effective density \( \rho_e \) to that of the single-phase flow \( \rho \) is given in Fig. 11, which includes experimental data and analytical results. The theoretical values \( \rho_e \) of the effective density are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
(1) \quad \rho_e &= (1 - \alpha_e)\rho + \alpha_e\rho', \\
(2) \quad \rho_e &= \rho(1 - \alpha_e)/(2\alpha_e + 1), \\
(3) \quad \rho_e &= \rho(1 - \alpha_e)(1 + 2\alpha_e)/(1 + 4\alpha_e - 2\alpha_e^2),
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( \alpha_e \) is the void fraction, and \( \rho \) and \( \rho' \) are the densities of the two fluids. Equation 25a is applicable for small \( \alpha_e \); at large \( \alpha_e \), it predicts much larger \( \rho_e \) than the experimental data. Equations 25b and 25c correlate better with the experimental data at high values of \( \alpha_e \) and are applicable in that range.

The coefficient \( C_v \) in Eq. 24 for two-phase flow is calculated following the single-phase flow described in Section III.1. However, the effective density \( \rho_e \) given in Eq. 25a and the mixture kinetic viscosity based on McAdams' definition [17] should be used.*

There is no analytical expression for \( C_t \). The most complete experimental data are those by Carlucci and Brown [14]; these data are given in Fig. 12. With Fig. 12, the two-phase damping coefficient \( C_t \) can be calculated based on \( C_v \).

The added mass and damping of circular cylinders vibrating in a two-phase flow are still not well understood. More theoretical and experimental

\*The mixture viscosity according to McAdams is given by the following equation:

\[
\frac{1}{\mu_{\text{mixture}}} = \frac{\alpha_e}{\mu_{\text{vapor}}} + \frac{(1 - \alpha_e)}{\mu_{\text{liquid}}},
\]
Fig. 11. Effective density for two-phase flow as a function of void fraction.
Fig. 12. Viscous damping coefficient for two-phase flow.
studies are needed; in particular, there are practically no experimental
data for cylinder arrays.

8. **Circular Cylindrical Shells**

For small-amplitude oscillatory motion, the fluid damping force may be
calculated based on the linearized viscous flow theory. The results are
very complicated, in general, and the details of the analytical and
experimental results cannot be included in this design guide. The following
text includes several cases that may be of some use in determining the role
of fluid damping.

a. **An Infinitely Long Cylindrical Shell Surrounded by**
   Compressible Fluid

The response of this type of shell in fluid to an excitation can be
analyzed using the cylindrical shell theory and inviscid compressible
flow theory [18]. Depending on the frequency range, the solution may be of
a traveling or a stationary wave type. Let \( c \) = velocity of sound in fluid,
\( \lambda \) = axial half wavelength, and \( \omega \) = oscillation frequency. Then for
\( \omega > \frac{c\pi}{\lambda} \), it is a traveling wave solution; the energy carried away will
contribute to damping of the shell. For \( \omega < \frac{c\pi}{\lambda} \), it is a stationary wave
solution; no energy will be carried away by the acoustic medium.

b. **Two Infinitely Long Coaxial Cylindrical Shells Coupled by**
   Viscous Fluid

An analysis is presented for coupled vibration of two concentric
shells separated by a viscous fluid [19]. The coupling effects are
accounted for by using a fluid stress coefficient matrix of concentric
shells. With this type of analysis, the natural frequencies and modal
damping ratio of coupled, concentric shells in viscous fluid can readily be
obtained.

The lowest natural frequency of the coupled shell system with
fluid is significantly lower than those of the individual shells. The
frequencies of the first coupled modes (out-of-phase modes—the two shells
moving out of phase with respect to each other) are lower than either of the
uncoupled natural frequencies. The effect of the fluid viscosity on the
system natural frequencies is negligibly small in most practical systems.
However, the modal damping ratio is noticeably increased for some cases when the fluid viscosity is included, especially for the lower-frequency cases. For a coupled shell, the viscous effects are most pronounced for the out-of-phase modes, but these effects are considered to be negligible for the in-phase mode. In general, the effect of fluid viscosity on damping can be estimated based on the corresponding structure vibrating in an infinite fluid for the radius ratio of the two shells larger than 1.15. However, if it is less than 1.15, the viscous damping should be calculated based on the coupled mode.

c. Two Finite-Length Coaxial Cylindrical Shells Coupled by Viscous Fluid

The natural frequencies and modal damping ratio of two coaxial cylindrical shells of finite length are determined in tests by several investigators [20,21]. The modal damping ratio depends on different modes. In general, the results are consistent with the analytical results obtained for the infinite shells [19]; i.e., there is a significant increase in damping ratios of the shell system as the gap decreases for the out-of-phase modes, but only a moderate increase for the in-phase modes.

9. Cylinder Arrays in Compressible Fluid

The radiation damping for cylinder arrays depends on arrangement and wave number \( \lambda r \) (\( r \) = cylinder radius, \( \lambda = \omega / c \), \( \omega \) = oscillation circular frequency, and \( c \) = speed of sound). A general method of analysis to determine fluid damping for a group of cylinders is available [22]. The perturbed fluid motion is described by a two-dimensional acoustic wave equation with Newmann conditions on the cylinders and the radiation condition at infinity. The solution is in terms of a series of cylindrical wave functions associated with the polar coordinates of each cylinder. To satisfy the boundary conditions on a particular cylinder, all cylindrical wave functions are transformed to the local coordinates of that cylinder. The resulting equations are a system of linear algebraic equations for the undetermined constants that are then solved numerically by a digital computer.

In general, for small values of \( \lambda r \) (i.e., 0.01), incompressible flow theory is a valid approximation for determining added mass and the radiation
damping is approximately zero. For $\lambda r > O(1)$, the values of added mass are relatively small and the effect of radiation damping will be dominant.

Other techniques can also be used to study the damping, e.g., T-matrix approach [23].

10. Effects of Other Parameters

The results presented in Sections III.1 through III.9 are applicable to small-amplitude oscillations; i.e., the structure displacement must be much smaller than a characteristic length, such as cylinder diameter, clearance between two cylinders, or shell radius. When the displacement becomes large, nonlinear effects will become important.

a. Nonlinear Effect of a Cylinder Oscillating in an Infinite Fluid

The added mass and damping of a cylinder are studied in detail by Skop et al. [24]. The added mass coefficient $C_M$ (see Eq. 5) is essentially equal to 1, as predicted by linear theory. For vibrational amplitudes less than 0.4 cylinder diameter, the fluid damping force is essentially viscous and can be calculated based on the equation given in Section III.1. However, for $\beta_a > 0.4$ ($\beta_a$ = oscillation amplitude/cylinder diameter), the fluid damping contains both linear and velocity-squared components. The viscous damping coefficient $C_v$ to account for the large amplitude oscillations is given as follows:

$$C_v = \pi \rho \bar{w} \omega \left[ 4.5 + 0.91 \left( \frac{\omega r}{\nu} \right)^{0.5} (\beta_a - 0.4) \right] H(\beta_a - 0.4), \quad (26)$$

where $\rho$ = fluid density, $\omega$ = oscillation frequency, $\nu$ = kinetic viscosity, $r$ = cylinder radius, and $H$ is the Heaviside unit step function. Equation 26 is determined from experimental data obtained for $230 < \omega r^2/\nu < 5220$.

For large-amplitude vibrations, the results presented in Section III are not strictly applicable, and there are very few data available for large-amplitude oscillations. Fortunately, in most practical applications, one is more interested in the small-amplitude oscillations, since the large-amplitude vibration is not acceptable in general. In addition, linear theory is also valid to determine the stability-instability boundary.
b. Scale Model

The current state-of-the-art knowledge of vibration of cylinders in flow is not well enough developed to rely solely upon analytical predictions; therefore, scale-model testing is employed frequently for design verification. Fluid damping is one of the parameters that is difficult to scale.

Small-scale models frequently are used in practice for design evaluation. The kinetic Reynolds number \( S = \frac{\omega r^2}{v} \) has to be simulated to obtain proper fluid damping. However, in most cases, other parameters, such as Strouhal number, may be more important. It is very difficult to simulate all the parameters simultaneously. In this situation, the kinetic Reynolds number has to be distorted. Considerations must be made to account for the scaling effect on fluid damping. In general, a small-scale model will give a larger value of fluid damping that is not conservative.

IV. PARALLEL FLOW

In a flowing fluid, in addition to the damping associated with fluid viscosity and fluid compressibility, a damping attributed to flow velocity, called flow-velocity-dependent damping, is important. In this section and the next one on crossflow, the flow-velocity-dependent damping will be discussed. Therefore, in a flowing fluid, the total damping is the sum of damping in stationary fluid plus flow-velocity-dependent damping.

1. Tubes Conveying Fluid

Consider a uniform straight tube with mass per unit length \( m \), and flexural rigidity \( EI \), conveying fluid of mass per unit length \( M_f \) flowing axially with velocity \( V \) (see Fig. 13). The linear equation of motion is [25, 26]

\[
\frac{EI}{\partial z^2} u + \frac{M_f V}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} + 2M_f V \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial t} + C_s \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \left( m + M_f \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0. \tag{27}
\]

Let

\[
u(z,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i(t) \phi_i(z), \tag{28}
\]
Fig. 13. Schematic of a tube conveying fluid.
where \( \phi_i(z) \) is the orthonormal modal function without the effect of the Coriolis force. Equation 27 can be reduced to a system of coupled equations using Eq. 28:

\[
\ddot{q}_n + 2\dot{q}_n + 2\lambda V \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{nj} \dot{q}_j + \omega_n^2 q_n = 0,
\]

(29)

where \( \omega_n \) is the dimensionless natural frequency of nth mode without the effect of the Coriolis force, and

\[
c_{nj} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\ell \frac{d\phi_j(z)}{dz} \phi_n(z)dz,
\]

(30)

and

\[
\lambda = \frac{M_f}{(m + M_f)},
\]

\[
\delta = \frac{C_s}{2(m + M_f)}.
\]

Note that Eqs. 29 are coupled; the coupling arises from the Coriolis force. Because of the Coriolis force, the system does not possess classical normal modes, in which the various parts of the system pass through the equilibrium position at the same instant of time. In addition, the Coriolis force may act as a damping mechanism.

From Eq. 30, it follows that:

\[
c_{nj} + c_{jn} = \phi_n(t)\phi_j(t) - \phi_j(0)\phi_n(0).
\]

(31)

If the tube is not movable at the ends,

\[
c_{nj} = -c_{jn}.
\]

(32)

The work done by the Coriolis force is

\[
\mathcal{W} = -2\lambda V \sum_n \sum_j c_{nj} \dot{q}_n q_j \dot{q}_j dt.
\]

(33)

If the tube is not allowed to move at the ends, Eq. 32 is satisfied and \( \mathcal{W} = 0 \); the Coriolis force does not dissipate or supply any energy to the
system and is not a damping mechanism. However, if the tube is allowed to move at the end, the Coriolis force is a damping mechanism.

Alternatively, this can also be demonstrated as follows: the Coriolis force is given by

\[ f(z,t) = -2M_f V \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial t} \]  

(34)

The work done by the Coriolis force is

\[ AW = \int_0^L \int_0^t f(z,t) du \, dz \]
\[ = -2M_f V \int_0^t \int_0^L \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z \partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \, dt \, dz \]
\[ = -M_f V \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 \, dt . \]  

(35)

As long as there is no movement at the ends, \( AW = 0 \) and the Coriolis force is not a damping mechanism.

For tubes allowed to move at the ends, the modal damping ratio attributed to the Coriolis force is approximately

\[ \zeta_n = \frac{M_f V}{(m + M_f) \omega_n} \int_0^L \frac{d\phi_n(z)}{dz} \phi_n(z) \, dz . \]  

(36)

Equivalently, the damping coefficient \( C_v \) attributed to the Coriolis force is

\[ C_v = \frac{2M_f V}{L} \int_0^L \frac{d\phi_n(z)}{dz} \phi_n(z) \, dz . \]  

(37)

Damping is proportional to flow velocity and fluid mass per unit length inside the tube.

In summary, the effects of the damping associated with the Coriolis force are as follows:

- For tubes that are not movable at the ends, the Coriolis force is not a damping force; its effect is to induce phase distortion such that the tube-fluid system does not possess classical normal modes.
For tubes that are movable at the ends, the Coriolis force may become a damping force. For a given mode, the damping value increases with the flow velocity. For example, in a cantilevered tube conveying fluid, the damping attributed to the Coriolis force can become very large and the tube is overdamped in the first mode [27].

For curved tubes, either vibrating in the in-plane or out-of-plane directions, fluid Coriolis forces also play the same role as that in a straight tube. Consider a uniformly curved tube conveying fluid as shown in Fig. 14. The tube has radius of curvature $R$, mass per unit length $m$, flexural rigidity $EI$, torsional rigidity $GJ$, and subtended angle $\alpha$, conveying fluid of mass per unit length $M_f$. The equations of motion for the four displacement components are [28,29]

**In-plane motion (inextensional theory):**

\[
\frac{FI}{R^3} \left( \frac{\partial^6 w}{\partial \theta^6} + 2 \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \theta^4} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + \frac{M_f V^2}{R} \left( \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \theta^4} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} + w \right)
\]

\[
+ 2M_f V \left( -\frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \theta^4} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + R(m + M_f) \left( \frac{\partial^4 w}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = 0 \quad (38)
\]

and

\[
u = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}.
\]

**Out-of-plane motion:**

\[
\frac{EI}{R^3} \left( \frac{\partial^4 v}{\partial \theta^4} - \frac{R^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} \right) - \frac{GJ}{R^3} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{R \partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} \right) + \frac{MV^2}{R} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2}
\]

\[
+ 2MV \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta \partial t} + R(m + M) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} = 0
\]

and

\[
\frac{EI}{R^2} \left( R \phi - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} \right) - \frac{GJ}{R^2} \left( R \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = 0.
\]
Fig. 14. Definition of coordinates and displacements of a uniformly curved tube conveying fluid.
The force components \( 2M_fV \left( \frac{4}{3} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right) \) in Eq. 38 and \( 2M_fV \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \) in Eq. 39 are attributed to the Coriolis force. The effect of these fluid forces is similar to that of a straight tube.

Tubes conveying pulsating flow [30-32] and two-phase flow [33] also have been studied. The results of fluid damping are rather complicated and cannot be included in this design guide.

2. A Single Cylinder Submerged in Parallel Flow

For a single cylinder submerged in axial flow, the flow-velocity dependent damping force is [34]

\[
f = 2M_fV \frac{3}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} C_N \frac{M_fV}{D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t},
\]

(40)

where \( C_N \) is the drag coefficient. The first term is the Coriolis force, and the second term is the drag-induced damping. The modal damping ratio attributed to the flow-velocity-dependent force for a uniform rod with mass per unit length \( m \) is given by

\[
c_n = \frac{M_fV}{(m + m_f) \omega L} \int_0^L \frac{d \phi_n(z)}{dz} \phi_n(z) dz + \frac{M_fV C_N}{4D \omega (m + M_f)}.
\]

(41)

The damping coefficient \( C_v \) attributed to the Coriolis force and drag force is

\[
C_v = \frac{2M_fV}{L} \int_0^L \frac{d \phi_n(z)}{dz} \phi_n(z) + \frac{1}{2} C_N \frac{M_fV}{D}.
\]

(42)

The damping attributed to the Coriolis force is the same as that of tube conveying fluid (see Eq. 37).

Two typical examples of modal damping ratios are given in Figs. 15 and 16 for a fixed-fixed cylinder and a cantilevered cylinder [34]. Figures 15 and 16 show the total damping. For the fixed-fixed cylinder, the contribution from the Coriolis force is zero; therefore, the increase of damping with flow velocity is attributed to the drag force (second term in Eq. 42). For the cantilevered cylinder, the Coriolis force contributes significantly to the damping. Consequently, the total damping is much
Fig. 15. Modal damping ratio of a fixed-fixed cylinder.
Fig. 16. Modal damping ratio of a cantilevered cylinder.
larger than that of the fixed-fixed cylinder. In the flow velocity range tested the theoretical model agrees well with experimental data.

The values of $C_N$ obtained for several cases are given in Table 1. These tests were conducted for a cylinder vibrating in an annual region with the radius ratio of 1.24 to 4.0.

The effect of trailing-end geometry on the damping of a cantilevered cylinder is important. Eleven different trailing-end geometries were studied by Wambsganss and Jendrzejczyk [35]. The total modal damping ratio was given by

$$\zeta = b + cV . \tag{43}$$

The values of $b$ and $c$ are given in Table 2. The second term is the resultant effect of the Coriolis force, drag force, and end effect. Equation 43 can be written

$$\zeta = b + c_c V + c_d V + c_e V . \tag{44}$$

The damping attributed to the Coriolis force $c_c V$ and drag force $c_d V$ do not change with end geometry; therefore, the variation in $cV$ is attributed to the end effect. The coefficients $c_c$ and $c_d$ can be calculated from Eqs. 41:

$$c_c = \frac{M_f}{(m + m_f)\omega l} \int_0^l \frac{d\phi_n(z)}{dz} \phi_n(z)dz ,$$

and

$$c_d = \frac{M_NC_N}{4D\omega (M_f + m)} . \tag{45}$$

Because most of these parameters are not available, $c_e$ can be approximately calculated as follows. The damping for the tapered end ($\theta = 30^\circ$) increases most slowly with the flow velocity. It is assumed that $c_e$ is zero for this case. Then $c_e$ for other cases can be calculated; the result is given in Table 2.
Table 1. Drag coefficient $C_N$ for a cylinder in an annular region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigators</th>
<th>Support Condition of Cylinder</th>
<th>Radius Ratio R/r</th>
<th>$C_N$</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Wambsganss [34] (1972)</td>
<td>Clamped-Clamped</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>Brass Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clamped</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>Brass Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clamped</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>Brass Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>Brass Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clamped-Clamped</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>Steel Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlucci [13] (1980)</td>
<td>Clamped-Clamped</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>Brass Tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clamped</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>Brass Tube</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Empirical relationships for damping as a function of mean axial flow velocity [35]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAILING END GEOMETRY</th>
<th>b x 10^2</th>
<th>c x 10^2</th>
<th>c_e x 10^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STEPPED</td>
<td>-6.47</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r/D=1/2</td>
<td>-2.04</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ = 30°</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQUARE</td>
<td>-2.88</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r/D=1/8</td>
<td>-3.17</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ = 90°</td>
<td>-6.96</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ = 60°</td>
<td>-5.19</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r/D=1/4</td>
<td>-2.42</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r/D=3/8</td>
<td>-8.46</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r/D=1/2</td>
<td>-5.90</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BULLET</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \zeta = b + cV, \text{ for } 6.1 \text{ m/s} < V < 16.8 \text{ m/s} \]
3. **Multiple Cylinders in Axial Flow**

When an array of \( N \) cylinders is subjected to axial flow, fluid damping consists of three parts: viscous damping, Coriolis force, and drag force. This is the same as for a single cylinder. However, multiple cylinders include coupling effect. The damping coefficients can be written as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha'_{ij} &= \alpha_{ij}^o + 2V \sigma_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \alpha'_{dij}, \\
\sigma'_{ij} &= \sigma_{ij}^o + 2V \sigma_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \sigma'_{dij}, \\
\tau'_{ij} &= \tau_{ij}^o + 2V \tau_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \tau'_{dij}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

The first terms are the viscous damping coefficients in stationary fluid, the second terms are associated with the Coriolis force, and the third terms are drag-induced damping coefficients.

At present, these coefficients are not available for arbitrary cylinder arrays. The viscous damping coefficients in stationary fluid, \( \alpha_{ij}^o \), \( \sigma_{ij}^o \), \( \tau_{ij}^o \), and \( \beta_{ij}^o \) can be obtained using a finite element technique [9]. The coefficients in the Coriolis force terms are those of added mass, which can be calculated using the potential flow theory [2] or linearized viscous flow theory [9]. The drag coefficients are not well understood. Paidoussis and Suss proposed a method of solution based on the potential flow solution but the results have not been verified [36].

The damping of an uncoupled mode of a \( 3 \times 3 \) array of 6.35-mm (0.25-in.) diameter rods with an effective length of 0.495 m (19.5 in.) between simple supports has been reported [37]. The rods are arranged in a square pattern with a pitch-to-diameter ratio of 1.33. The modal damping ratio is given by
\[
\xi_1 = 1.71 \left( \frac{\rho D^2}{m + m_f} \right) \left( \frac{\nu}{f_n D^2} \right)^{0.5} \exp \left( -0.00018 \frac{DV}{\nu} \right)
\]
\[+ 0.052 \left( \frac{\rho D^2}{m + m_f} \right) \left( \frac{V}{f_n D} \right) \left( \frac{\nu}{DV} \right)^{0.22}, \tag{47}\]

where \( f_n \) = natural frequency, \( D \) = rod diameter, \( m + m_f \) = effective mass per unit length, \( \nu \) = kinetic viscosity, \( \rho \) = fluid density, and \( V \) = flow velocity. The first term in Eq. 47 is the viscous damping in quiescent fluid and the second is the damping induced by drag force. Because the rods are supported at both ends, the damping associated with the Coriolis force is zero.

Further investigations are needed to quantify the fluid damping for cylinder arrays in axial flow.

4. Cylindrical Shells Conveying Fluid

The fluid damping for a cylindrical shell conveying fluid also is important. Most studies are based on the linearized, unsteady potential flow theory [38-41]. The analysis for this problem is quite involved. It is difficult to present fluid damping for general applications. For a specific problem, a detailed study would have to be performed for each case.

V. CROSSFLOW

1. A Single Cylinder Subjected to Crossflow

The mathematical representation of a single cylinder in crossflow is very complicated. If the motion of the cylinder is small with respect to the approaching flow, the flow-velocity-dependent damping force can be represented by [42,43] (see Fig. 17)

\[ f = \rho VDC_D \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \zeta}, \]

and

\[ g = \frac{1}{2} \rho VDC_D \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial \zeta}, \tag{48}\]
Fig. 17. A single cylinder subjected to crossflow.
where $C_D$ is the drag coefficient. The modal damping ratios in the $x$ and $y$ directions are

$$\zeta_x = \frac{C_D}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{M_d}{m + M_d} \right) \left( \frac{V}{f_nD} \right)$$

and

$$\zeta_y = \frac{C_D}{2\pi} \left( \frac{M_d}{m + M_d} \right) \left( \frac{V}{f_nD} \right),$$

(49)

where $f_n$ is the natural frequency of the cylinder in cycles per second.

Experimental data obtained in water for low reduced-flow velocity ($V/f_nD < 5$) are given in Figs. 18 and 19 in the lift and drag directions [43]. The resultant damping is given; the flow-velocity dependent damping may be obtained by subtracting the damping value at zero flow velocity. The Reynolds number ranges from $10^3$ to $5 \times 10^4$ in Figs. 18 and 19. The steady state drag coefficient is about 1. Based on the steady state drag, the modal damping calculated from Eqs. 49 will be larger than the experimental results given in Figs. 18 and 19. Therefore, the drag coefficient for steady flow cannot be applied to this case, in which the steady flow is superimposed with a pulsating component. Based on the results of Figs. 18 and 19, the values of drag coefficient are 0.35 and 0.19, respectively.

In the "synchronization region," in which cylinder motion is locked in to vortex shedding process, flow/cylinder interaction becomes important. Equation 49 is no longer applicable in this region. Damping ratios in the lift direction for a system in wind tunnel are shown in Fig. 20 for reduced flow velocity ($V/f_nD$) from 0 to 20 and Reynolds number from 300-1000 [44]. Damping changes slowly at low flow velocity and then decreases to a minimum value as the velocity increases within the resonant range. The minimum range of damping occurs near the maximum amplitude of the cross-flow vibration, and damping begins to increase thereafter. Above the lock-in range, the damping increases more rapidly with flow.

Based on these results, it appears that for a single cylinder, Eq. 49 can be applied to obtain fluid damping outside the lock-in range, although the value of the drag coefficient for steady flow cannot be used. In the
Fig. 18. Modal damping ratio in the lift direction [Ref. 43].
Fig. 19. Modal damping ratio in the drag direction [Ref. 43].
Fig. 20. Modal damping ratio in the lift direction [Ref. 44].
lock-in region, representation of fluid damping is still difficult; the results given in Fig. 20 actually are a manifestation of the coupling between vortex shedding and cylinder motion.

The drag coefficient for an oscillating cylinder in crossflow has been measured directly by Souders et al. [45]. The drag coefficient is found to depend on the cylinder oscillation amplitude, Reynolds number, and ratio of forced oscillation frequency to Strouhal frequency. Figures 21 and 22 show the variation of drag and lift coefficients as a function of frequency ratio for different vibration amplitude; $C_D$ is in general greater than that for a non-oscillating cylinder, and approximately independent of $S_f/S_n$ for $S_f/S_n >$ about 0.4. For $S_f/S_n < 0.4$, $C_D$ is basically the same as for the non-oscillating cylinder. The effect of Reynolds number on $C_D$ seems to decrease as the vibration amplitude increases.

The lift coefficient given in Fig. 22 shows that for the smaller values of oscillation amplitude and $S_f/S_n$, the lift coefficient decreases with increasing Re. For larger values of oscillation amplitudes and $S_f/S_n$, $C_L$ is not sensitive to Re.

Most recently, Kato et al. [46] have systematically studied the drag force on oscillating cylinders in a uniform flow. The drag coefficient $C_D$ is a function of reduced flow velocity $V/f_n D$ and Keleugan-Carpenter parameter $K_c$. In general the drag coefficient increases with $V/f_n D$ and $K_c$. More measurements are needed to determine the value of the drag and lift coefficients for a cylinder oscillating in a flow.

2. A Pair of Cylinders in Crossflow

The flow field around a pair of circular cylinders is very complex and has been studied extensively [47]. However, there is very limited information on damping. A complete description of the damping characteristics requires the knowledge of $\alpha'_{ij}$, $\beta'_{ij}$, $\tau'_{ij}$, and $\delta'_{ij}$ in Eq. 1. At present, no such information is available for a pair of cylinders.

Modal damping for two cylinders normal to a flow was measured by Jendrzejczyk et al. [48]. The modal damping for a particular mode is given in Fig. 23. Those damping values correspond to the out-of-phase mode of in-plane motion. Note that damping increases with flow at small flow
Fig. 21. Variation of drag coefficient as function of the ratio of forced to natural Strouhal numbers [Ref. 45].
Fig. 22. Variation of lift coefficient as function of the ratio of forced to natural Strouhal numbers [Ref. 45].
Fig. 23. Modal damping ratio in the lift direction for two tubes normal to a flow [Ref. 48].
velocities. It reaches a peak at a flow velocity approximately equal to that associated with the maximum cylinder displacement in the drag direction, a velocity at which tube motion in the drag direction synchronizes with vortex shedding. With further increase in flow velocity, damping decreases with flow velocity.

The aerodynamic forces acting on twin circular conductors have been considered in the study of "wake-induced flutter" [49]. The fluid damping forces depend on conductor spacing and conductor arrangement. In general, detailed measurements have to be performed to quantify the amplitude of fluid forces. It is not possible, at present, to present a simple design guide for this problem.

3. A Group of Cylinders in Crossflow

As in the case of two cylinders, no complete data on $\alpha_{ij}$, $\beta_{ij}$, $\tau_{ij}$, and $\beta_{ij}$ are available. There are only a few studies directed to obtain the damping for tube arrays [50-52].

Two tube arrays, as shown in Figs. 24, were tested by Chen and Jendrzejczyk [50]: (1) In-line array with longitudinal pitch $P/D = 1.5$ and transverse pitch $T/D = 1.5$ and (2) Staggered array with $P/D = 1.5$, and $T/D = 1.6$. Damping was measured for the active tubes, located at positions 1, 2, and 3, in both lift and drag directions. The measured damping corresponds to the contribution from the diagonal terms of $\alpha_{ij}$ and $\beta_{ij}$.

Two typical results are given in Figs. 25 and 26; the total damping is presented for all tests. The flow velocity-dependent damping can be obtained by subtracting the damping at zero flow from the total damping.

Based on the experimental results, general trends of the flow velocity-dependent damping for tube arrays are given in Fig. 27 in the drag and lift directions for $V/f_{nD} < 10$. In general, $V_1$ corresponds to the beginning of synchronization of vortex shedding with tube natural frequency; $V_2$ corresponds to the coincidence of vortex shedding and tube natural frequencies; and $V_3$ corresponds to the decrease of damping again in the lift direction. General characteristics of damping in tube arrays are similar to those of a single tube. However, in cylinder arrays, flow velocity-dependent damping may change from dissipating energy to causing instability.
Fig. 24. Two tube array.

(a) \( P/D = T/D = 1.5 \)

(b) \( P/D = 1.5, \ T/D = 1.6 \)
Fig. 25. Modal damping ratio for a tube in a square array [Ref. 50].
Fig. 26. Modal damping ratio for a tube in a staggered array [Ref. 50].
Fig. 27. General trends of flow-velocity-dependent damping for tube arrays [Ref. 50].
The fluid force components acting on tube arrays are measured and reported by Tanaka and his colleagues for a row of tubes and a square array, both with a pitch-to-diameter ratio of 1.33, as shown in Fig. 28 [51,52]. In addition, the fluid forces for a square array with a pitch-to-diameter ratio of 2.0 also are measured [53]. From these data, fluid-damping coefficients can be calculated. Tables 3, 4, and 5 show the fluid-damping coefficients $\tilde{a}_{ij}$, $\tilde{b}_{ij}$, $\tilde{c}_{ij}$, and $\tilde{\tau}_{ij}$; these coefficients are defined as follows:

$$\tilde{a}_{ij} = \left(\frac{\omega}{\rho V}\right) a_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{b}_{ij} = \left(\frac{\omega}{\rho V}\right) b_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{c}_{ij} = \left(\frac{\omega}{\rho V}\right) c_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{\tau}_{ij} = \left(\frac{\omega}{\rho V}\right) \tau_{ij}.$$ (50)

Note that Eq. 50 is applicable for reduced flow velocity $U_f$ not equal to zero only. The coefficients depend on the reduced flow velocity $U_f$. For larger values of $U_f$, the absolute values of these coefficients are approximately constants.

VI. EXAMPLES OF APPLICATION

Consider a simply supported tube with a baffle plate at midspan (see Fig. 29). The tube is a stainless tube submerged in water (70°F). Tube properties are given as follows:

Tube O.D. (2r) = 1 in.,
Tube wall thickness = 1/8 in.,
Tube length $l$ = 48 in.,
Fig. 28. A row of cylinders and a square array.
Table 3. Fluid-damping coefficients for a tube row with P/D = 1.33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U_f$</th>
<th>$\alpha'_{11}$</th>
<th>$\alpha'_{12}$</th>
<th>$\sigma'_{12}$</th>
<th>$\rho'_{11}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\tau}'_{12}$</th>
<th>$\tilde{\rho}'_{12}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>-2.228</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>-0.804</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>-1.167</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>-0.611</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.349</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>-0.667</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>-0.344</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-0.956</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>-0.373</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-1.256</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>-0.394</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-0.994</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>-0.379</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-0.656</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>-0.330</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>-0.338</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.446</td>
<td>-0.230</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>-0.030</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>-0.074</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Fluid-damping coefficients for a square array with P/D = 1.33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(U_f)</th>
<th>(\bar{\alpha}_{11}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\alpha}_{12}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\alpha}_{13}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\alpha}_{14}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\beta}_{11}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\beta}_{12}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\beta}_{13}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\beta}_{14}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\alpha}_{15}')</th>
<th>(\bar{\beta}_{15}')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>1.021</td>
<td>-0.471</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>-0.414</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-2.561</td>
<td>-0.933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>-0.521</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>-0.272</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>-1.682</td>
<td>-1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.500</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>-0.524</td>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>1.406</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
<td>-0.131</td>
<td>-1.303</td>
<td>-1.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>-0.539</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>1.465</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>-0.412</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
<td>-0.919</td>
<td>-1.141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.500</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.517</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>1.245</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>-0.324</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>-0.602</td>
<td>-0.940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>-0.485</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>1.320</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>-0.323</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>-0.356</td>
<td>-0.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>-0.101</td>
<td>-0.359</td>
<td>0.679</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>1.166</td>
<td>-0.305</td>
<td>-0.355</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>-0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>-0.249</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>1.031</td>
<td>-0.340</td>
<td>-0.357</td>
<td>0.495</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>-0.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.000</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
<td>-0.179</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>-0.220</td>
<td>-0.335</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-0.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>-0.283</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-0.481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>-0.169</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>-0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.000</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-0.222</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>-0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>-0.103</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>-0.072</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>-0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>-0.125</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>-0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.000</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>-0.047</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>-0.175</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>-0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.000</td>
<td>-0.179</td>
<td>0.080</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>-0.051</td>
<td>-0.151</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>-0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.000</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>-0.061</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>-0.136</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>-0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>-0.113</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>-0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>-0.215</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>-0.129</td>
<td>0.167</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>-0.088</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.000</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>-0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.000</td>
<td>-0.181</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>-0.195</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>-0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>-0.154</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>-0.023</td>
<td>-0.022</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>-0.168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Fluid-damping coefficients for a square array with P/D = 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$U_f$</th>
<th>$\bar{\alpha}_{11}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\alpha}_{12}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\alpha}_{13}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\alpha}_{14}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\beta}_{11}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\beta}_{12}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\beta}_{13}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\beta}_{14}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\alpha}_{15}$</th>
<th>$\bar{\tau}_{15}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.000</td>
<td>-0.820</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>-0.056</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>-0.095</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>-0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.000</td>
<td>-0.797</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>-0.097</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>-0.486</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>-0.314</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>-0.288</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>-0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.000</td>
<td>-0.249</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.000</td>
<td>-0.219</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>-0.018</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.006</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.000</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>-0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.000</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 29. A simply-supported tube with a baffle-plate support.
Baffle-plate-hole diameter \(2R\) = 1.02 in.,

Baffle plate thickness \(2L\) = 1.5 in.

We wish to calculate the fluid damping with and without the baffle plate.

(a) No Baffle Plate

For the case of a tube vibrating in an infinite fluid, the modal damping ratio attributed to fluid can be calculated from Eq. 10:

\[
\zeta_n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M_d}{C_M M_d + m} \right) \text{Im}(H) .
\]  

(51)

Note that the natural frequency of the fundamental mode is given by

\[
\omega_1 = \frac{\pi^2}{\xi^2} \left( \frac{EI}{C_M M_d + m} \right)^{0.5} ,
\]  

(52)

and that

\[
E = 30 \times 10^6 \text{ lb/in. ,}
\]

\[
I = \frac{\pi}{64} (1.04 - 0.75^4) \text{ in.}^4 = 0.0336 \text{ in.}^4 ,
\]  

(53)

\[
m = \frac{\pi}{4} (1 - 0.75^2) \times 7.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.}^2 = 2.58 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.}^2 ,
\]  

and

\[
M_d = \frac{\pi}{4} \times 0.935 \times 10^{-4} \times 1.0^2 \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.}^2 = 0.734 \times 10^{-4} \text{ lb-sec}^2/\text{in.}^2
\]

The effect of fluid viscosity on \(C_M\) is small; \(C_M\) is assumed to be 1. Substituting these values into Eq. 52 yields

\[
\omega_1 = 236.3 \text{ rad/sec}
\]

and

\[
S = \frac{\omega_1 r}{v} = \frac{236.3 \times 1^2}{0.00157} = 1.5 \times 10^5 .
\]

From Fig. 5, \(\text{Re}(H) = 1\) and \(-\text{Im}(H) = 0.0075\). Therefore,

\[
\zeta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{0.734}{0.734 + 2.58} \right) \times 0.0075 = 0.083% .
\]
The modal damping attributed to fluid is small.

(b) With Baffle Plate

The equation of motion of the tube is given as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial z^4} + C_v \delta \left(\frac{\partial}{2}\right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (m + C_{M_d}) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0 ,
\]  

(54)

where \( EI \) = flexural rigidity, \( u \) = tube displacement, \( t \) = time, \( \delta \) = delta function, \( m \) = tube mass per unit length, and \( C_{M_d} \) = added mass per unit length. The second term is the damping associated with the fluid in the annular region of the baffle plate; all other damping and excitation forces are neglected in Eq. 54. Since the tube is hinged at both ends, let

\[
u = q(t)\sin \frac{n\pi z}{L} .
\]  

(55)

Using Eqs. 54 and 55 yields

\[
\frac{d^2 q}{dt^2} + 2\zeta_n \omega_n \frac{dq}{dt} + \omega_n^2 q = 0 ,
\]

\[
\omega_n = \frac{n \pi}{L} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{m + C_{M_d}}} 0.5 ,
\]

\[
\zeta_n = \frac{C_v}{(m + C_{M_d}) \omega_n} , \quad n = \text{odd}
\]

\[
= 0 , \quad n = \text{even} .
\]

The damping coefficient \( C_v \) is given by

\[
\bar{C}_v = \int_{-L}^{L} C_v dz ,
\]  

(57)

where \( C_v \) is given in Eq. 11,
\[ C_v = -M_d \left( \frac{r}{R - r} \right) \omega_n \text{Im}(H) \left[ 1 - \frac{\cosh(z/R)}{\cosh(L/R)} \right]. \quad (58) \]

Substituting Eq. 58 into 57 yields

\[ \bar{C}_v = 2LKM_d \left( \frac{r}{R - r} \right) \omega_n [-\text{Im}(H)] \]

and

\[ K = 1 - \frac{F}{L} \tanh \left( \frac{L}{r} \right). \quad (59) \]

Substituting Eq. 59 into 56 yields

\[ \zeta_n = KM_d \left( \frac{r}{R - r} \right)[-\text{Im}(H)] \frac{2L}{(m + C_d M_d) \ell}, \quad n = \text{odd} \]

\[ = 0, \quad n = \text{even}. \quad (60) \]

When \( n \) is an odd number, the tube vibrates within the gap (see Fig. 30); the fluid in the annular contributes to damping. When \( n \) is even, the tube vibrates against the baffle plate, the midspan at the baffle plate is a nodal point and the damping attributed to the fluid at the baffle plate is zero.

In Eq. 60, the function \( H \) depends on the oscillation frequency; therefore, the modal damping for different modes are different. Based on Eq. 60 and Fig. 7 the modal damping for the fundamental mode is calculated as follows:

\[ \frac{1}{R - r} \sqrt{\frac{2v}{\omega_1}} = \frac{1}{0.01} \left( \frac{2 \times 0.00157}{236.3} \right)^{0.5} = 0.365. \]

From Fig. 7, \(-\text{Im}(H) = 0.82,\)
Fig. 30. Different modes for a tube with motion-limiting gap.
\[ K = 1 - \frac{c}{L} \tanh \left( \frac{L}{r} \right) \]

\[ = 1 - \frac{0.5}{0.75} \tanh \left( \frac{0.75}{0.5} \right) = 0.397 , \]

\[ \zeta_1 = 0.397 \times 0.734 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{0.5}{0.01} \right) \times 0.82 \frac{1.5}{(2.58 + 0.734) \times 10^{-4} \times 48 \times 12} \]

\[ = 0.94\% . \]

Therefore, the total fluid damping is equal to

\[ \zeta_1 = 0.083\% + 0.94\% = 1.02\% . \]

VII. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Fluid damping is important; however, its characteristics for general cases are still difficult to quantify. In particular, very few data are available for cylinder arrays. Systematic theoretical and experimental studies remain to be done in evaluating the damping matrices \( a'_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \eta_{ij}, \) and \( B_{ij} \) as functions of geometry, reduced flow velocity, and flow direction as well as other system parameters.

When cylinder motion is small, a linear representation of fluid damping as given in Eq. 1 is applicable. However, when cylinder motion becomes large, other flow phenomena, such as vortex shedding, and cylinder/flow interaction, become significant; more detailed characterization of the damping effect will be needed.

At present, most of the mathematical models for cylinders vibrating in a flow are based on the damping value obtained in stationary fluid. It has been shown that flow-velocity dependent damping can be very important. Without considering the flow velocity-dependent force, we may reach erroneous conclusions.
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