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Tea (Camellia sinensis) is rich in specialized metabolites, especially polyphenolic proanthocyanidins (PAs) and their precursors. To better understand the PA pathway in tea, we generated a complementary DNA library from leaf tissue of the blister blight-resistant tea cultivar TRI2043 and functionally characterized key enzymes responsible for the biosynthesis of PA precursors. Structural genes encoding enzymes involved in the general phenylpropanoid/flavonoid pathway and the PA-specific branch pathway were well represented in the library. Recombinant tea leucoanthocyanidin reductase (CsLAR) expressed in Escherichia coli was active with leucocyanidin as substrate to produce the 2R,3S-trans-flavan-3-ol (+)-catechin in vitro. Two genes encoding anthocyanidin reductase, CsANR1 and CsANR2, were also expressed in E. coli, and the recombinant proteins exhibited similar kinetic properties. Both converted cyanidin to a mixture of (+)-epicatechin and (−)-catechin, although in different proportions, indicating that both enzymes possess epimerase activity. These epimers were unexpected based on the belief that tea PAs are made from (−)-epicatechin and (+)-catechin. Ectopic expression of CsANR2 or CsLAR led to the accumulation of low levels of PA precursors and their conjugates in Medicago truncatula hairy roots and anthocyanin-overproducing tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), but levels of oligomeric PAs were very low. Surprisingly, the expression of CsLAR in tobacco overproducing anthocyanin led to the accumulation of higher levels of epicatechin and its glucoside than of catechin, again highlighting the potential importance of epimerization in flavan-3-ol biosynthesis. These data provide a resource for understanding tea PA biosynthesis and tools for the bioengineering of flavanols.
the levels of EGCG in resistant cultivars are significantly lower than in susceptible ones. Epicatechin and EGC levels declined significantly in the infested areas of the leaf during blister blight infection, whereas the levels of ECG and EGCG increased at the transgenic stage of the infection and declined on maturation of the blister (Punyasiri et al., 2005). Furthermore, infection of tea leaves susceptible to blister blight gave rise to a shift in the PA stereochemistry away from 2,3-trans (53% and 61% of the total starter and extension units of the PAs, respectively) toward 2,3-cis (26% and 40%, respectively; Nimal Punyasiri et al., 2004), and infection also resulted in increased gallic acid esterification of catechin and epicatechin.

Flavan-3-ol monomers are synthesized via two distinct branches of the general flavonoid pathway, which share the same upstream biosynthetic pathway to leucoanthocyanidin (Supplemental Fig. S1). Leucoanthocyanidins can be converted either to 2R,3S-trans-flavan-3-ols [(+)-catechins] by the action of leucoanthocyanidin reductase (LAR; Tanner et al., 2003) or to anthocyanidins by anthocyanidin synthase (Saito et al., 1999). Subsequently, anthocyanidins can be converted to 2R,3S-cis-flavan-3-ols [(-)-Es] by anthocyanidin reductase (ANR; Xie et al., 2003; Supplemental Fig. S1). Therefore, the two monomer types [(+)-catechin and (-)-E] are produced from two distinct PA-specific pathways despite their only differing in the stereochemistry at the C3 position. ANRs from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) and Medicago truncatula were shown to produce minor amounts of an epimer of epicatechin identified as (-)-catechin, and it was suggested that this may be formed by nonenzymatic epimerization (Xie et al., 2003, 2004). However, it has recently been shown that grapevine (Vitis vinifera) ANR possesses intrinsic epimerase activity and, produces (+)-E rather than (-)-E as the major product (Gargouri et al., 2009, 2010). The stereochemical outcome of the ANR reaction, therefore, is quite complex, and the implications of this for subsequent downstream steps in PA biosynthesis are unclear. (-)-E can be further glycosylated by the UDP-glycosyltransferase UGT172Z1 to form E-3’O-glucoside in vitro, the substrate for vacuolar transport by the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family transporters TT12 (Arabidopsis) and MATE1 (M. truncatula; Pang et al., 2008; Zhao and Dixon, 2009). Following transport to the vacuole, PA precursors undergo polymerization by mechanisms yet to be fully determined (Pourcel et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2010).

EST sequencing approaches have been applied to elucidate the (differential) biosynthesis of flavonoid classes in tea. Based on the observation that the level of total catechins in young leaves was higher than in mature leaves, Park et al. (2004) sequenced and analyzed 588 ESTs from a suppression subtractive library of young versus old leaves and compared the transcript levels of several putative flavonoid biosynthetic pathway genes that were annotated based only on sequence similarity. Zhao et al. (2005) sequenced 4,320 clones from a tea library and analyzed the sequences of a total of 2,963 ESTs. Recently, the transcriptome of tea was analyzed using a high-throughput Illumina RNA-seq technique (Shi et al., 2011); however, no detailed functional characterization of gene products was attempted beyond preliminary biochemical assays (Singh et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012). Biochemical studies using leaf protein extracts revealed high activities of ANR in tea leaves and linked this to the formation of E and EGC (Punyasiri et al., 2004); the combined enzymatic reactions of dihydroflavonol 4-reductase (DFR) and LAR confirmed that the formation of catechin and GC proceeded from leucoanthocyanidins.

In this study, we sequenced a complementary DNA (cDNA) library from a blister blight-resistant cultivar of tea and functionally annotated the ESTs. Focusing on PA-specific pathway genes, we have biochemically characterized LAR and ANR gene products and shown that tea contains two ANR genes that encode proteins with different levels of epimerase activity, leading to the formation of the less common isomers (+)-E and (-)-catechin. The potential use of these enzymes for pathway engineering in vivo has been addressed through genetic transformation in M. truncatula and anthocyanin-overproducing tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum).

RESULTS
Generation and Classification of a Tea Leaf cDNA Library

To characterize genes involved in PA biosynthesis in tea, we used an EST approach. The library was generated from RNA isolated from leaves of the blister

Figure 1. Structures of the flavan-3-ol monomers.
blight-resistant cv TRI2043 harvested at three different stages after infection, as described in "Materials and Methods." In total, 6,829 clones were randomly picked for plasmid isolation and sequenced from their 5' ends. These clones clustered into 668 contigs and 3,293 singletons. In total, 3,931 unigenes were obtained for further analysis. These were annotated through BLASTX search against GenBank's nonredundant database and were then classified into three groups based on the "bit score" (Degtyarenko and Archakov, 1993) of the target unigene sequence segments compared with the top BLAST hit. A total of 1,434 unigenes (36%) had a bit score of equal or greater than 200, 1,794 unigenes (46%) had a bit score of less than 200, and the remaining 703 unigenes (18%) had no score (i.e. no hit when compared with the National Center for Biotechnology Information [NCBI] database). We assume that these latter unigenes represent either currently unknown proteins or non-coding RNAs.

The relative frequency of tea leaf unigene hits in each Gene Ontology (GO) category is presented in Supplemental Figure S2. It should be noted that when a unigene is described as unknown or no hit to the GO database, this indicates that it has not been assigned to a GO term. Some unigenes may be classed into overlapping subcategories. Of the top 20 most abundant unigenes from the tea leaf library, 19 have similarity to genes encoding proteins from other plant species (Supplemental Table S1). Among the most abundant ESTs were Rubisco small subunit, the most abundant protein in plants, two chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins, a plastocyanin hypothetical protein, a PSII 10-kD polypeptide, and a PSI reaction center subunit XI, all associated with/inolved in photosynthesis, as would be predicted for a library made from leaf material. It is interesting that a unigene annotated as LAR was among the top 20 most highly expressed unigenes from the tea leaf library. Several ESTs, encoding putative Blight-Associated Protein12 precursors and chitinases, might be involved in fungal resistance in tea (Supplemental Table S2).

Genes of Specialized Metabolism Are Well Represented in the Tea Leaf cDNA Library

To better interrogate specialized metabolism in tea, the BLASTX annotation of the unigenes was searched for genes encoding enzymes involved in phenylpropanoid/flavonoid, terpenoid, and alkaloid biosynthesis. In addition to the above-mentioned LAR, the most highly abundant unigenes potentially involved in specialized metabolism encoded chalcone synthase (11 tandem contigs and 38 ESTs) and flavonol synthase (seven tandem contigs and 19 ESTs). ANR was represented by a single EST in the present tea library (CsANR1). Except for cinnamate 4-hydroxylase, all the other phenylpropanoid/flavonoid pathway genes shown in Supplemental Figure S1 were represented in the tea leaf cDNA library (Supplemental Table S3).

The alkaloid caffeine is an important specialized metabolite from tea, accounting for approximately 2.8% of the dry weight. The main biosynthetic pathway to caffeine consists of four sequential steps with three methylations and one nucleoside reaction. The four enzymes involved are xanthosine N-methylxanthosine synthase (or 7-methylxanthosine synthase), N-methylxanthosine, theobromine synthase (monomethylxanthine N-methyltransferase), and caffeine synthase (dimethylxanthine N-methyltransferase; Kato et al., 1999; Ashihara and Crozier, 2001; Yoneyama et al., 2006; Ashihara et al., 2008). Three unigenes encoding theobromine synthase and three encoding caffeine synthase were represented in the tea cDNA library, and these have been shown to be functional (Kato et al., 1999; Yoneyama et al., 2006); however, the other two enzymes were not represented.

Sequence Analysis of Tea ANR and LAR Genes

By using 3' and 5' RACE approaches, the full-length cDNA of the CsLAR gene was obtained (GenBank accession no. GU992401), corresponding to the longest and most highly expressed of the LAR unigenes in the library (Supplemental Table S3). It is 1,282 bp in length with a 5' untranslated region (UTR) of 100 bp and a 3' UTR of 190 bp. The open reading frame (ORF) of the CsLAR gene is 1,014 bp long and encodes a protein of 342 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 38 kD and a pl of 5.43. It shows 63%, 65%, 66%, and 71% amino acid identity to LARs from M. truncatula, Lotus corniculatus, Desmodium uncinatum, and grape (VvLAR1), respectively (Supplemental Fig. S3). The additional LAR unigenes in the library represent different portions of the above gene with minor nucleotide differences.

An available tea EST sequence similar to ANR (GenBank accession no. AAO13092; released on November 1, 2004), originally annotated as LAR but without functional information in NCBI, was used for the design of primers to amplify its ORF from tea ‘TRI2043’ in order to compare with the ANR gene from the present cDNA library. The ORF of this previously reported gene, designated as CsANR1 here (GenBank accession no. GU992402), was 1,044 bp in length and encoded a protein of 347 amino acids with a molecular mass of 37 kD and a pl of 5.37. It showed 60%, 72%, 73%, and 79% amino acid identity to ANRs from Arabidopsis, M. truncatula, L. corniculatus, and grape at the amino acid level, respectively. The CsANR1 amplified from TR12043 differed from the sequence of AAO13092 by 10 nucleotides and three amino acid substitutions.

The full-length cDNA of the second tea ANR gene (designated as CsANR2; GenBank accession no. GU992400) was obtained by 3' and 5' RACE after sequence analysis of the partial EST from the present tea cDNA library. It is 1,265 bp in length, with a 5' UTR of 114 bp and a 3' UTR of 137 bp. The ORF of the CsANR2 gene is 1,014 bp long and encodes a protein of 337 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 36
kD and a pI of 6.54. It shows 64%, 75%, 76%, and 83% amino acid identity to ANRs from Arabidopsis, *M. truncatula*, *L. corniculatus*, and grape, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S4). CsANR2 shows 83% identity to CsANR1 at the amino acid level.

LAR and ANR proteins are related to members of the reductase-epimerase-dehydrogenase protein superfamily. Phylogenetic analysis with the closely related ANR, DFR, and isoflavone reductase (IFR) protein sequences showed that CsLAR, CsANR1, and CsANR2 group into the corresponding LAR and ANR clusters and are clearly separated from the DFR or IFR clusters (Fig. 2).

**Functional Characterization of Recombinant Tea LAR and ANR in Vitro**

The ORFs of CsLAR, CsANR1, and CsANR2 were cloned into the bacterial expression vector pQE30 containing a six-His tag at the N terminus and expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain M15. For functional characterization of the recombinant CsLAR protein, \(^{3}H\)leucocyanidin was used as the substrate. After incubation with the purified CsLAR protein, a small peak corresponding to authentic (+)-catechin in retention time and UV spectrum was observed by HPLC analysis (Fig. 3A, bottom panel), and this peak contained the peak of the radioactivity as determined by scintillation counting of fractions (Fig. 3B). No compound peak or radioactivity at this retention time were observed from control incubations with boiled enzyme (Fig. 3A, top panel). These data are consistent with the functional identification of LAR from other species (Bogs et al., 2005; Pang et al., 2007; Paolocci et al., 2007).

After incubation of purified recombinant CsANR1 protein with cyanidin and analysis of the products by reverse-phase HPLC, a major peak with the same

![Figure 2](image-url). Unrooted phylogram comparison of LAR, ANR, DFR, and related proteins from the reductase-epimerase-dehydrogenase superfamily. The tree was constructed from the ClustalW alignment using the neighbor-joining method and MrBayes software. The proteins are labeled according to the species followed by the name of the protein (e.g. CsDFR is DFR from tea; AAT66505). The protein names not listed in Supplemental Figures S3 or S4 are as follows: ANR from *Ginkgo biloba* (AAU95082); DFR from Arabidopsis (P51102); DFR1 and DFR2 from *M. truncatula* (AAR27014 and AAR27015); DFR from *Cymbidium hybrid* (AAC17843); IFR from *Pisum sativum* (AA831368); IFR from alfalfa (P52575); pinoresinol:lariciresinol reductase (PLR) from *Forsythia × intermedia* (AAC49608); PLR from *Thuja plicata* (AAF63507); phenylcoumaran benzylc ether reductase (PCBER) from *P. trichocarpa* (CAA06707); and PCBER from *Pinus taeda* (AAF64173). The scale bar indicates the estimated number of amino acid substitutions per site.
retention time and identical UV spectrum as epicatechin, and a minor peak possibly corresponding to catechin, were observed (Fig. 3C, top panel). Mass spectrometry (MS) analysis confirmed that both compounds had the same molecular ion. Control incubations with boiled protein gave no conversion of cyanidin (Fig. 3C, bottom panel). CsANR1 was also able to convert the anthocyanidins delphinidin and pelargonidin into the corresponding flavan-3-ols EGC and epiafzelechin, with putative epimers GC and afzelechin as minor products (Fig. 3, D and E).

In contrast to CsANR1, purified recombinant CsANR2 protein used delphinidin and cyanidin as substrates to produce major products with the same retention times and UV spectra as GC and catechin and with lower levels of products with the retention times of EGC and epicatechin (Fig. 3, F and G, top panels). No products were formed with boiled protein (Fig. 3, F and G, bottom panels). Interestingly, the reaction with pelargonidin as substrate gave epiafzelechin as the major product with afzelechin as a minor product; again, no products were generated in incubations with boiled enzyme (Fig. 3H).

To determine the chirality of the different products generated from cyanidin, we analyzed the reaction products by HPLC on a chiral column, along with authentic standards. The results indicated that both CsANR1 and CsANR2 produce (+)-E and (−)-catechin, but in varying proportions (Fig. 3, I and J).

Kinetic analyses revealed similar Vₘₐₓ values for CsANR1 and CsANR2 with cyanidin and delphinidin. Although the Kₘ values for cyanidin, delphinidin, and pelargonidin were somewhat lower for CsANR2 than for CsANR1, the Kₘ for NADPH was higher and the Kₐₚ/Kₘ values (where Kₐₚ is the unimolecular rate constant or turnover number) were similar (Table I). The optimal pH and temperature for CsANR1 and CsANR2 with cyanidin as substrate were 7.5 and 6.5, 37°C and 55°C, respectively (Table I).

Expression of Tea LAR and ANR in M. truncatula Hairy Roots

Previously, we have shown that transformation of M. truncatula hairy roots with the MYB transcription...
factor TT2 from Arabidopsis results in a greater than 10-fold increase in ANR levels, accompanied by strong induction of ANR (Pang et al., 2008). Therefore, we asked whether simply expressing tea LAR or ANR in *M. truncatula* hairy roots would lead to the accumulation of PA monomers or oligomeric/polymeric PAs. To facilitate the screening of transgenic events, we used a vector with GFP as selection marker for the CsANR2 transgene, in parallel with phosphinothricin selection (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S5A). More than 100 independent hairy root lines were generated from *M. truncatula* A17 with the four constructs, and four highly expressing lines (based on reverse transcription [RT]-PCR analysis of the transgene transcripts) were selected for further analysis (Supplemental Fig. S5A). Anthocyanin levels were reduced in all the CsANR1- and CsANR2-expressing lines, whereas three of the four CsANR-expressing lines had clearly increased levels (Fig. 4B). Based on an assay with dimethylaminocinnamaldehyde (DMACA) reagent, total soluble PA-like material was increased in all CsANR2-expressing lines, but no consistent changes were observed in CsANR1 or CsLAR-expressing lines (Fig. 4C). Furthermore, total insoluble PA content was not significantly affected in the transgenic lines compared with the wild-type control (Fig. 4D).

The DMACA method detects flavan-3-ol monomers as well as oligomers and polymers. To more specifically quantify PA oligomers/polymers, soluble PA extracts were assayed by the butanol/HCl hydrolysis method (Pang et al., 2007). The results (Supplemental Fig. S6) confirmed increased levels of PAs in individual transformants with all four transgene constructs, although the overall levels were very low. In contrast to the results obtained by DMACA assay, the highest oligomeric PA levels were in CsLAR and CsANR1 trans transformants. This suggests that these lines possess a higher ratio of oligomers to monomers than the CsANR2-expressing lines.

Analysis by normal-phase HPLC revealed that the CsLAR-expressing line 5 produced a range of PA-like compounds of short chain length, with a small peak eluting at the same retention time as the catechin/epicatechin standard (14–15 min) and a larger, unidentified peak eluting earlier (10 min; Fig. 5A). In contrast, extracts from hairy roots of both CsANR1 (line 82) and CsANR2 (line 9) as well as CsANR2(GFP) (line 3) expressing lines exhibited a strong peak by normal-phase HPLC at the same retention time as the epicatechin standard (Fig. 5, B–D). No PA-like compounds were seen on normal-phase HPLC analysis of extracts from the vector control line (Fig. 5E).

### Expression of Tea LAR and ANR in *PAP1 Tobacco*

To determine whether tea ANR or LAR genes have better potential for metabolic engineering of PAs in a system that can potentially supply excess upstream precursors, they were next transformed into purple tobacco plants that accumulate massive levels of anthocyanins as a result of expression of the Arabidopsis *Production of Anthocyanin Pigment1 (PAP1)* gene (Borevitz et al., 2000; Xie et al., 2006). The PAP1-expressing tobacco can theoretically provide leucoanthocyanidin and anthocyanidin as endogenous substrates for LAR and ANR, respectively. Thirty phosphinothricin-resistant lines expressing each of the three target genes in *PAP1* tobacco were detected by PCR and RT-PCR screening (Supplemental Fig. S5B), and, for each tea gene, the three lines with highest transcript level were selected for further analysis, together with *PAP1*-expressing and wild-type tobacco lines and a previously generated transgenic line coexpressing *PAP1* and *M. truncatula* ANR (Xie et al., 2006) as a positive control. Anthocyanin levels decreased significantly in all CsLAR- and CsANR-expressing lines compared with PAP1 tobacco, and the same was observed for the PAP1 × MtANR line (Fig. 6, A and B). Soluble PA-like materials, based on colorimetry after reaction with DMACA reagent, were detected in all the transgenic lines, with highest values in several of the ANR-expressing lines (Fig. 6C). It should be pointed out that anthocyanins are weakly reactive with DMACA reagent and that the bulk of the signal in lines expressing PAP1 may be due to nonspecific detection of anthocyanins. Furthermore, analysis of the soluble PA extracts by butanol/HCl hydrolysis indicated that levels of oligomeric/polymeric PAs were low and that none of the transgenic lines accumulated significantly more oligomeric/polymeric PAs than the original PAP1-overexpressing line (Supplemental Fig. S7). Insoluble PA levels were also extremely low in all plants analyzed; therefore, the apparent increase in insoluble PA levels in plants expressing CsANR2 may not be of significance (Fig. 6D).

The expression of CsLAR in *PAP1* tobacco produced a range of DMACA-reactive compounds as detected.

### Table I. Kinetic properties of CsANR1 and CsANR2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>CSANR1</th>
<th>CSANR2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(V_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>(K_m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{nmol min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1})</td>
<td>(\mu M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanidin</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>26.7 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delphinidin</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>24.4 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelargonidin</td>
<td>20.1 ± 1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH (with cyanidin)</td>
<td>97.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by normal-phase HPLC (Fig. 7A), with a distinct broad peak at the retention times of the catechin and epicatechin standards (14–15 min). Both CsANR1 (Fig. 7B) and CsANR2 (Fig. 7C) expressing lines, together with PAP1 × MtANR lines (Fig. 7D), produced DMACA-reactive compounds at retention times from 25 to 32 min; however, such compounds are also present in extracts from PAP1 lines not expressing ANR or LAR (Fig. 7F) and likely represent cross-reactive anthocyanin derivatives that do not accumulate in wild-type control tobacco (Fig. 7F). The levels of these compounds were reduced in CsLAR, CsANR, and PAP1 × MtANR lines when compared with the PAP1 line, suggesting that pathway flux was shifted away from anthocyanin toward PAs.

Ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-MS analysis was performed to confirm the presence of putative flavan-3-ol monomers and quantify other flavonoids produced in the transgenic tobacco lines. On this basis, the expression of PAP1 led to the
accumulation of several phenylpropanoid-derived compounds that were not detectable in wild-type tobacco (Table II; Supplemental Data Set S1), including 7,3',4',5'-tetrahydroxyflavone, luteolin-7-O-glucoside malonate, esculin, kaempferol-7-neohesperidoside, cyanidin-3-O-glucoside, 3,7,3',4',5'-pentahydroxyflavone, epicatechin-3'-O-glucoside, 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid, and gentisic acid. Wild-type plants accumulated low but detectable levels of epicatechin and catechin, which were increased on expression of *PAP1*. Epicatechin levels increased by 1.6- to 2.0-fold in ANR-expressing lines (CsANR1-45, CsANR1-55, and CsANR2-69) when compared with *PAP1* tobacco. However, the *CsLAR15*-expressing line accumulated 13.7- and 7.2-fold more epicatechin and catechin, respectively, and 21.8-fold more epicatechin glucoside than the *PAP1* control (Table II; Supplemental Data Set S1).

Figure 6. Expression of *CsLAR* and *CsANRs* in *PAP1*-expressing tobacco. A, Anthocyanin pigmentation phenotype of transgenic tobacco expressing *CsLAR*, *CsANR1*, or *CsANR2* in the *PAP1* background, together with *PAP1*, *PAP1* × *MtANR*, and wild-type (CK) plants. B to D, Levels of anthocyanins (B), soluble PAs (C), and insoluble PAs (D) in transgenic tobacco expressing *CsLAR*, *CsANR1*, or *CsANR2* in the *PAP1* background, along with *PAP1* × *MtANR*, *PAP1* tobacco, and wild-type control (CK). Values show means and SD of triplicate analytical replicates from independent transgenic lines and controls that were pooled from several clonally propagated plants of the same transgenic line. Asterisks indicate values that are significantly different from that of the *PAP1* line by Student’s *t* test (*P* < 0.05). FW, Fresh weight.

Figure 7. PA profiles from transgenic tobacco expressing the *PAP1* transcription factor and tea ANR and LAR enzymes. Normal-phase HPLC chromatograms show DMACA-reactive PA peaks (by post-column derivatization) in extracts from *CsLAR* (line 15; A), *CsANR1* (line 45; B), *CsANR2* (line 69; C), *PAP1* × *MtANR* (D), *PAP1* (E), and wild-type tobacco (CK; F) detected at 640 nm. The arrow indicates the peak that coelutes with an authentic catechin standard.
DISCUSSION

PAs are important bioactive compounds for both human health and agriculture. Tea accumulates unusually high levels of PAs and related compounds in the leaves, which makes it an excellent subject in which to investigate the PA biosynthetic pathway. We here determined the biochemical properties of the two entry point enzymes into the PA pathway from tea and examined how these may be used for the engineering of flavanols and PAs in other species.

Table II. Levels of selected flavonoid compounds in leaf tissues of wild-type and transgenic (CsLAR- or CsANR-expressing) PAP1 tobacco lines determined by UPLC-MS analysis

The data represent the peak area corresponding to each compound divided by that of the internal standard and multiplied by 1,000. The complete list of data from biological replicates with SD is provided in Supplemental Data Set S1. CK, Wild-type control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ion Used for Quantification</th>
<th>Compound Name</th>
<th>CK</th>
<th>PAP1</th>
<th>Ratio PAP1/CK</th>
<th>Ratio PAP1 × MIANR/ PAP1</th>
<th>Ratio PAP1 × MIANR/ PaP1</th>
<th>Ratio CsANR2 Line 69/ PAP1</th>
<th>Ratio CsANR2 Line 45/ PAP1</th>
<th>Ratio CsANR1 Line 45/ PAP1</th>
<th>Ratio CsANR1 Line 15/ PAP1</th>
<th>Ratio CsLAR Line 15/ PAP1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>161.0239</td>
<td>Umbelliferone</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285.0407</td>
<td>7,3',4',5'-Tetrahydroxyflavone</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>489.1040</td>
<td>Luteolin-7-O-glucoside malonate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>339.0712</td>
<td>Esulin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593.1554</td>
<td>Kaempferol-7-neohesperidoside</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465.1035</td>
<td>Cyanidin-3-O-glucoside</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451.1235</td>
<td>Epicatechin-3-glucoside</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>∞</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.0168</td>
<td>3,5-Dihydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301.0373</td>
<td>3,7',3',4',5'-Pentahydroxyflavone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153.0178</td>
<td>Gentisic acid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137.0226</td>
<td>4-Hydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134.0358</td>
<td>1-Hydroxybenzotriazole</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.0025</td>
<td>3,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301.0353</td>
<td>Dihydroquercetin</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455.3562</td>
<td>α-Boswellic acid</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299.0183</td>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>609.1505</td>
<td>Luteolin-3,7-di-O-glucoside</td>
<td>1,765</td>
<td>10,471</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>11,607</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>12,197</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>8,685</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301.0335</td>
<td>Taxifolin</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179.0367</td>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>2,915</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3,478</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3,414</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2,582</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351.0731</td>
<td>Chrysochlorogenic acid</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>1,837</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1,778</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1,738</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1,680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285.0398</td>
<td>Luteolin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447.0954</td>
<td>Luteolin-4'-O-glucoside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191.0344</td>
<td>Scopoletin</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289.0726</td>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285.0396</td>
<td>Kaempferol</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447.0950</td>
<td>Kaempferol-7-O-glucoside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>479.0830</td>
<td>Delphinidin-3-O-glucoside</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>607.1317</td>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>1,333</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1,333</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1,272</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193.0482</td>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593.1505</td>
<td>Kempferol-3-O-rutinoside</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1,523</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1,568</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151.0347</td>
<td>2,6-Dihydroxycacetophenone</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>351.0742</td>
<td>Neochlorogenic acid</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285.0418</td>
<td>3,6,2',4'-Tetrahydroxyflavone</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>289.0712</td>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Tea Leucoanthocyanidin Reductase and Anthocyanidin Reductase
LAR and PA Engineering in Transgenic Plants

The LAR enzymes characterized to date from *D. uncinatum* (Tanner et al., 2003), grape (Bogs et al., 2005; Maugé et al., 2010), *M. truncatula* (Pang et al., 2007), and *L. corniculatus* (Paolocci et al., 2007) produce flavan-3-ols from the corresponding leucoanthocyanidin in vitro. CsLAR, which shares more than 60% amino acid identity with the above LARs, likewise produces (+)-catechin from leucoanthocyanidin in vitro. Although the LAR from *D. uncinatum* was confirmed to be active in vitro, transgenic tobacco and white clover (*Trifolium repens*) plants overexpressing this LAR did not produce detectable levels of (+)-catechin in vivo (Tanner et al., 2003), even though LAR activity could be detected in extracts from the leaves of these transgenic plants. Likewise, the expression of other LARs in transgenic plants also failed to result in the accumulation of catechin (Bogs et al., 2005; Pang et al., 2007; Paolocci et al., 2007).

*M. truncatula* hairy roots accumulate significant levels of anthocyanins (Pang et al., 2008), suggesting that the upstream leucoanthocyanidin may be present as a substrate for LAR in these tissues. DMACA-reactive PA-like oligomers were found in transgenic hairy roots expressing CsLAR. Likewise, when CsLAR was overexpressed in the PAPI-expressing line of tobacco that accumulates massive amounts of anthocyanins (Borevitz et al., 2000), catechin monomer and DMACA-reactive PA oligomers accumulated. Surprisingly, however, a comparable amount of epicatechin and epicatechin glucoside also accumulated in PAPI tobacco expressing CsLAR. The simplest hypothesis to explain this result is that the epicatechin arises from the epimerization of catechin and is then glucosylated. However, the specific stereochemistry of the compounds produced in transgenic plants remains to be determined, and it cannot be ruled out that CsLAR may use some other endogenous substrate to produce epicatechin monomers.

Recently, a LAR homolog, *PtrLAR3*, encoding an enzyme with yet unidentified in vitro activity, was isolated from *Populus trichocarpa* (Yuan et al., 2012); its overexpression in Chinese white poplar (*Populus tomentosa*) led to a significant increase of PA levels, although no flavan-3-ol monomer product was reported. Interestingly, the crude leaf extracts from *PtrLAR3*-expressing poplar were able to inhibit significantly the hyphal growth of the fungal pathogen *Marsonnina brunnea* f. sp. *multigerntubii* (Yuan et al., 2012), presumably as a result of the production of catechin or catechin-derived PAs. CsLAR is among the top 20 most abundantly expressed unigenes from our blister blight-resistant tea cDNA library, consistent with the observation that catechins may play important roles in blister blight resistance in tea. CsLAR, therefore, may be of value for engineering disease resistance in plant genetic backgrounds that provide the necessary leucoanthocyanidin substrate. However, it is important to note that epicatechin, rather than catechin, derivatives are the major flavan-3-ols found in tea leaves, although LAR transcripts are expressed at a significantly higher level than ANR transcripts. Although relative transcript levels do not necessarily equate to in vivo enzyme activity, it is interesting to consider the possibility that LAR may function in the biosynthesis of both catechin and epicatechin in tea, based on the increased production of epicatechins in tobacco expressing tea LAR. The increase in anthocyanin levels in *M. truncatula* hairy roots expressing tea LAR is also interesting; the reason for this apparently counterintuitive observation is not currently obvious.

Tea ANRs and PA Engineering in Transgenic Plants

The two purified ANRs from tea produce (+)-E (along with (−)-catechin), in contrast to the more commonly observed (−)-E, which is the major product of ANR proteins from Arabidopsis and *M. truncatula* (Xie et al., 2003, 2004). Likewise, the ANR protein from grape also produced (−)-E (Gargouri et al., 2009, 2010), and the two CsANRs exhibit higher amino acid sequence identity to VvANR (79% and 83%) than to MtANR or AtANR (64%–73%). In contrast to the widely distributed and well-documented (+)-catechin and (−)-E isomers, there are only a few reports of the presence of (−)-catechin and (−)-E in plants, such as (−)-catechin from *Chamaebatia foliolosa* and *Centaura maculosa* (Nährstedt et al., 1987; Bais et al., 2003; Perry et al., 2005) and (−)-E from *Palmae* spp. and *Paulinia cupiina* (Delle Monache et al., 1972; Yamaguti-Sasaki et al., 2007). (+)-Catechin, (−)-E, and their derivatives such as (−)-ECG are the major flavan-3-ols reported from tea (Tijburg et al., 1997; Henning et al., 2003; Hodgson, 2008). To the best of our knowledge, neither (−)-catechin nor (−)-E have been described from the tea plant itself, as is the case in grape, where the in vitro products of ANR appear to be the enantiomers of the most abundant in vivo metabolites (Gargouri et al., 2009). Except for the products of VvANR and the CsANRs reported here, the identification of flavan-3-ols in previous studies was achieved by comparison of UV spectra and HPLC retention times with authentic standards by reverse-phase HPLC, which can separate cis- and trans-isomers, such as epicatechin and catechin, but cannot distinguish enantiomers. Therefore, the lack of stereospecific assays could be one of the main reasons why the nature of the ANR products appears puzzling (Gargouri et al., 2009). In this respect, it will also be important to obtain a more detailed picture of the exact flavan-3-ol stereoisomers generated in transgenic plants expressing LARs and ANRs from other sources, including the tea enzymes reported here. Another ANR from a different cultivar of tea with only two amino acid substitutions (15 nucleotide substitutions) from CsANR2 (and therefore possibly an allelic variant) has been isolated and its in vitro product identified as (−)-E by reverse-phase HPLC (Singh et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012); this needs reevaluation in view of the criteria above.
The epimerase activity inherently associated with ANR was first observed for VvANR, and the epimerization was found to be at the C3 position (Gargouri et al., 2010). In addition to (+)-E, the two CsANRs also produced (−)-catechin, but in different proportions, indicating that the two CsANR proteins may have different degrees of epimerase activity. We previously reported that production of the minor product (−)-catechin by AtANR and MtANR was a result of the nonenzymatic epimerization of the major product (−)-E (Xie et al., 2003, 2004); this is distinct from the demonstrated epimerase activity of VvANR and CsANRs. Further evidence that this epimerization is an intrinsic activity of the tea enzymes is provided by the fact that the proportions of the two epimers produced by CsANR1 and CsANR2 are quite different.

When the two CsANRs were introduced into hairy roots of *M. truncatula* or PAP1 tobacco, DMACA-reactive compounds could be detected by normal-phase HPLC in all events, with a corresponding decrease of anthocyanins, suggesting diversion of anthocyanins to PAs or their precursors. However, except for putative flavan-3-ol-like compounds in the hairy root cultures, no definitively identified PAs were detected, as was also the case following the overexpression of *MtANR* and *VvANR*; although the levels of anthocyanins in petals were reduced at the same time as an increase of DMACA-reactive PA-like compounds, no solid evidence supported the appearance of anthocyanins in petals were reduced at the same time. This contrasts with the case when *MtANR* and CsANRs were overexpressed in *PAP1* tobacco lines that accumulated massive levels of anthocyanins and clearly detectable levels of DMACA-reactive PA-like material (Xie et al., 2006). This apparent paradox may be explained if the monomers produced are used immediately (through coupled or channeled reactions) for the production of PA-like compounds using endogenous modification and polymerization enzymes or if ANR possesses additional functions for producing novel DMACA-reactive compounds in vivo.

**Application of LAR and ANR for PA Biotechnology**

Introduction of PAs into the foliage of forage crops has long been a goal of forage improvement programs for preventing lethal pasture bloat. So far, it has not been fully successful, although intensive efforts have been made via the introduction of positive regulators of the PA pathway into alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) or white clover; these genes include the transcription factors *Lc* (Ray et al., 2003), *LAPI* (Peel et al., 2009), *MtWD40-1* (Pang et al., 2009), and *MtPAR* (Verdier et al., 2012). Although increased levels of anthocyanins and/or DMACA-reactive PA-like compounds could be detected by HPLC/UPLC, the level of PAs was far lower than desired, and the endogenous ANR genes were not greatly up-regulated (Pang et al., 2009; Peel et al., 2009; Verdier et al., 2012). Although the MYB transcription factor TT2 can activate PA accumulation in *M. truncatula* hairy roots with very strong induction of ANR (Pang et al., 2008), expressing the same gene in alfalfa plants does not up-regulate ANR or lead to PA production in foliage (Peel et al., 2009). This indicates the importance of ANR for PA engineering. However, our observation here that provision of sufficient substrate and high expression of LAR and ANR are still insufficient to support high levels of PA accumulation in transgenic plants highlights our lack of understanding of the interface between PA and anthocyanin metabolism and the downstream mechanisms of PA polymerization. The recently discovered epimerase activity of ANR (and possibly other enzymes?) may be an important component of this puzzle and clearly requires further study in the context of the stereochemistry of naturally occurring flavanol-3-ols.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Chemicals**

The tea plants (*Camellia sinensis*) used in this study were grown and plucked at the Tea Research Institute of Sri Lanka. Leaf discs were harvested from healthy leaves and blisters infected with *E. cava* and were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, lyophilized, and stored for later use.

Cyanidin, delphinidin, and pelargonidin chlorides were purchased from Indofine. Ten millimolar methanol stock solutions were stored at −20°C. NADPH was from Sigma, and solutions were freshly prepared in cold reaction buffer when used for enzymatic assays. (+)-Catechin, (−)-catechin, and (−)-E were purchased from Sigma, and methanolic stock solutions were stored at −20°C.

**Construction, Sequencing, and Statistical Analyses of a Leaf cDNA Library from Tea**

Total RNAs were isolated separately from healthy leaves, leaf discs showing the translucent symptoms of blister blight disease, and leaf discs from healthy areas of infected leaves, all from the blister blight-resistant tea ‘TR204Y’, using the cetyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide method (Jaakola et al., 2001). Equal amounts of total RNA from the three leaf samples were mixed together, and poly(A)+RNA was isolated using an Oligotex mRNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). The Creator Smart cDNA Library Construction Kit (Clontech) and 3′ and 5′ RACE Kit (Clontech) were used for the cDNA and RACE library construction according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Colonies were randomly picked for overnight culture in Terrific Broth liquid medium supplemented with 30 mg L−1 chloramphenicol. Biomek 2000 robots were used to isolate sequencing templates, and sequencing was performed on an ABI 3730 sequencer. An automated in-house NEST-PIPE (Noble internal EST-PIPipe) system was used for the analysis of the total EST sequences. Features of the NEST-PIPE include sequence error detection, sequence cleaning, vector removal, and sequence assembly. The unigenes were assembled using PLAN, a Web platform for automating high-throughput BLAST searches and for managing and mining results (bioinfo.noble.org/plan/). The unigene sequences were then annotated through BLAST search against GenBank’s nonredundant sequences and furthermore annotated using GO (http://www.geneontology.org/) based on sequence alignment. All EST sequences are deposited in NCBI GenBank under the library accession no. LIGEST_027432.

**Sequence Alignment and Phylogeny Analysis**

A multiple alignment of the deduced amino acid sequences of CsLAR, CsANR1, CsANR2, and other LAR and ANR proteins was constructed using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 1994). The resulting alignment was further used to generate an unrooted consensus tree by using MrBayes online software at
In Vitro Expression of LAR and ANR Genes in *Escherichia coli*

ESTs encoding CsLAR and two CsANRs were obtained from the cv TRILI2043 leaf cDNA library, and their UTRs were obtained from the RACE library according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The ORFs were amplified by *pfu* PCR with cDNA from total RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The primers used for *CsLAR* were CsLAR-BF (5'-GGATCATTTGGAGAATCTGTTCTGC-3', forward) and CsLAR-SR (5'-GACCTCTCCAGCAACATCTTGATGGGAAG-3', reverse) with introduced BamHI and SacI restriction enzymes sites (underlined) at the 5' end of the forward and reverse primers, respectively. The primers for *CsANR1* were CsANR1-BF (5'-GGATCATTTGGAGAATCTGTTCTGC-3', forward) and CsANR1-SR (5'-GAGCTCCTGAGCAATGCACACACATCTTGATGGGAAG-3', reverse) with introduced BamHI and SacI restriction enzymes sites as above. The primers for *CsANR2* were CsANR2-SF (5'-GAGCTCCTGAGCAATGCACACACATCTTGATGGGAAG-3', forward) and CsANR2-SR (5'-GGATGCAATCTTCTGAAATCCCTTGTAG-3', reverse) with introduced SacI and SalI sites at the 5' end of the forward and reverse primers, respectively. PCR was carried out at 94°C for 5 min, 30 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a 7-min extension at 72°C. The PCR products were gel purified using a Qiagen Gel Extraction Kit, ligated into the pEASY Easy vector (Promega), and transformed into *E. coli* strain DH5α competent cells for sequencing. The construct containing the correct ORF of each gene was excised by digestion with the corresponding restriction enzymes and ligated into the *E. coli* expression vector pQE-30 (Qiagen) digested with the same enzymes to generate protein expression constructs pQE30-CsLAR and pQE30-CsANR1/2, in which the ORFs have a 6x His tag at their N termini.

**Enzyme Assays and HPLC Analysis of Products**

Assay of *CsLAR* was carried out by 60 min in a total volume of 100 μL containing 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 5 mM [3H]leucocyanidin, 2.5 mM NADPH, and 20 μg of *CsLAR*, as described previously (Pang et al., 2007). HPLC fractions with retention times ranging from 20 to 26 min were collected at 0.5 min per vial for scintillation counting. CsANR assays were carried out for 2 h in a total volume of 100 μL containing 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, 100 μM cyanidin (delphinidin and pelargonidin) chloride, 2.5 mM NADPH, and 30 μg of CsANR1 or CsANR2 recombinant protein. Reactions were stopped with methanol, and 100 μL was injected for HPLC analysis.

Products from ANR enzyme assay were analyzed by reverse-phase HPLC on an Agilent HP1100 HPLC device with the following gradient: solvent A (1% phosphoric acid) and B (acetonitrile) at 1 ml/min flow rate: 0 to 5 min, 6% B; 5 to 10 min, 6% to 10% B; 10 to 20 min, 10% to 11% B; 20 to 25 min, 11% to 12% B; 25 to 45 min, 12% to 37% B; 45 to 48 min, 37% to 100% B; 48 to 58 min, 100% B; 58 to 60 min, 100% to 6% B. Data were collected at 280 and 230 nm (for the latter enzyme kinetic calculation only). Identifications were based on chromatographic behavior and UV spectra.

Enzyme kinetic studies for ANR proteins were as described by Xie et al. (2006). The primers used for *CsANR1* and *CsANR2* were also used to transfer the ORF into pXG5 or pHW5D, and the recombinant proteins were purified by FPLC chromatography on a Q-Sepharose Fast Flow column (Pharmacia) and subsequently purified by reverse-phase HPLC on a C18 column (Agela, China) with a gradient of 0–60% methanol in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid.

**Construction of Binary Vectors for Plant Transformation**

The ORFs of the *CsLAR*, CsANR1, and CsANR2 genes were amplified from their corresponding sequenced *E. coli* expression vector, using DNA polymerase with proofreading activity, with the following primer pairs: CsLARCF (5'-CACCATGACTGTGTTGGAAATCTGGTCTCGG-3') and CsLARR (5'-TCAAGCACAGCATCGATGGGAAG-3') for the *CsLAR* gene; CsANRCF (5'-CACATGGCAATGCGAATGGCAAGACACAC-3') and CsANRR (5'-TCAGTTCTGCAAAAGCCTTTTAG-3') for the *CsANR1* gene; and CsANRCF (5'-CACATGGCAATGCGAATGGCAAGACACAC-3') and CsANRR (5'-TCAGTTCTGCAAAAGCCTTTTAG-3') for the *CsANR2* gene. PCR products were purified and cloned into the Gateway Entry vector pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) and confirmed by sequencing. Entry vectors were then transferred into the Gateway plant transformation destination vector pBI2G7 (Karimi et al., 2002) using Gateway LR Clonase enzyme mix according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). The ORFs of the resulting vectors, pBG2W7-CsLAR, pB2GW7-CsANR1, and pB2GW7-CsANR2, were confirmed by sequencing, followed by transformation into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain AGL1 by electroporation. A single colony containing each target construct was confirmed by PCR and used for genetic transformation of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) overexpressing the *PAPI* gene (Bonevitz et al., 2000) using the leaf disc transformation method (Horsch et al., 1988) with 10 mg L-1 phosphinothricin selection. pENTR-CsANR2 was also used to transfer the *CsANR2* ORF in pBG2W7D with phosphinothricin and GFP selection; the resulting vector, pBG2W7D-CsANR2, together with pBG2W7-CsLAR, pB2GW7-CsANR1, and pB2GW7-CsANR2, were introduced into Agrobacterium for *Malvaceae truncatula* hairy root transformation as reported previously (Pang et al., 2008).

**Transcript Analysis by RT-PCR**

Total RNA from leaves of *M. truncatula* hairy roots and PAPI tobacco lines was isolated using the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized from 3 μg of total RNA in a total volume of 20 μL using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions; 1 μL was used in subsequent RT-PCRs. The primers used were CsLAR (5'-ATGACTGTGGAGAATCTGGTCTCGG-3') and CsLARR for the *CsLAR* transcript sequence; CsANR1F (5'-ATGGCATTTGGAGAACACAA-AC-3') and CsANR1R for *CsANR1*; and CsANR2F (5'-ATGGCATTTGGAGAACACAA-AC-3') and CsANR2R for *CsANR2*. Optimized PCR conditions were 94°C for 5 min, 25 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 7 min. Actin was used as an internal standard with the primers actin-F and actin-R for tobacco (Pang et al., 2007). PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis of 10-μL aliquots of reactions on 1% agarose gels in Tris-acetate-EDTA buffer and visualized with SYBR Green DNA Stain Dye (Molecular Probes).

**Extraction, Quantification, and Analysis of Anthocyanins, PAs, and Other Flavonoids**

Anthocyanins were extracted with methanol and 0.1% HCl, followed by the addition of water and chloroform to remove chlorophyll, and then measured spectrophotometrically at 530 nm as described previously (Pang et al., 2007). Soluble PAs were extracted three times with 70% acetone and 0.5% acetic acid, three times with chloroform, and twice with hexanes; the aqueous phase was then freeze dried and resuspended in 70% acetone and 0.5% acetic acid, and PA levels were determined by reaction with 0.2% DMAA (Peel and Dixon, 2007) or by heating with butanol/HCl (95:5) and determining the A500 (Pang et al., 2007). The size distribution profile of soluble PAs was determined by normal-phase HPLC with postcolumn DMAA derivatization (Peel and Dixon, 2007). Insoluble PAs were measured by heating with butanol/HCl and determining the A500, and the released anthocyanidins were further monitored by reverse-phase HPLC as described previously (Pang et al., 2007, 2008; Peel et al., 2001; Deseure et al., 2008).

The products of ANR reactions were further distinguished on an analytical chiral column (catalog no. 80325; Chiral Technologies) with a guard cartridge (catalog no. 80311; Chiral Technologies) on the same HPLC device with the following gradient: solvent A (hexanes with 0.5% acetic acid) and B (ethanol with 0.5% acetic acid) at 1 mL min⁻¹ flow rate: 0 to 20 min, 20% B; 20 to 23 min, 20% to 50% B; 23 to 38 min, 50% B; 38 to 40 min, 50% to 20% B. UV absorption data were collected at 280 nm. Identifications were based on comparison of chromatographic behavior and UV spectra with authentic standards.
and Dixon, 2007). For UPLC-MS analysis, 10 ± 0.6 mg of dried material was extracted with 500 μL of 80% methanol containing 18 mg mL⁻¹ umbelliferone as an internal standard, and 5 μL of the clear supernatant was injected into a Waters Acquity UPLC system fitted with a hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight Premier mass spectrometer (Waters). Details of flavonoid identification and data analysis by this method have been given elsewhere (Pang et al., 2009).

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers L127924 (all tea ESTs), GU992400 (CsANR2), GU992401 (CsLAR), and GU992402 (CsANR1).
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