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The tricobalt cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(1)$ reacts with the bidentate phosphine ligand 2,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)maleic anhydride (bma) in the presence of added $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ to give the diphosphine-substituted cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ (bma) (2). Cluster 2 is unstable in solution, readily losing CO to afford $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \quad$ (3) as the sole observed product. VT-31P NMR measurements on cluster 2 indicate that the bma ligand functions as both a chelating and a bridging ligand. At $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR analysis of $\mathbf{2}$ reveals a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ of 5.7 in favor of the bridging isomer. The bridged bma cluster 2 is the only observed species above $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solidstate structure of 2 does not correspond to the major bridging isomer observed in solution but rather the minor chelating isomer. The conversion of 2 to 3 followed first-order kinetics, with the reaction rates being independent of the nature of the reaction solvent and strongly suppressed by added CO, supporting a dissociative loss of CO as the rate-determining step. The activation parameters for CO loss were determined to be $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\neq}=29.9 \pm 2.2$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\neq}=21.6 \pm 6 \mathrm{eu}$.

Treatment of the cluster 3 with 1.0 equivalent $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gives
the cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) (5), via site-selective $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ addition to the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (maleic anhydride)substituted cobalt center. Cluster 5 readily loses CO at room temperature and transforms to the mono-substituted cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.\stackrel{C}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(6)$. Cluster 6 reacts further with additional $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to afford the di-substituted cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (7).

The reactions of the alkyne-bridged binuclear complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{H})$ with the diphosphine ligand bma in the presence of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ give $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{bma})(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{H})$ with chelating ligand (8a, 11a). Chelate (8a, 11a)-to-bridge (8b, 11b) ligand equilibration is promoted by photolysis and thermolysis conditions. These transformations proceed by dissociative CO loss. The products $(9,12)$ formed from $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR})$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{H}$ ) and $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ exhibit a chelating diphosphine ligand, with no evidence for the analogous chelate-to-bridge exchange. Compound 8 undergoes P-C(maleic anhydride) bond cleavage coupled with alkyneC (maleic anhydride) and alkyne- $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ bond formation at $83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PPh})_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right](10) \text { while }}{ }\right.$ the compound 11 affords the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}\right.$, $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1-(Z)}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{PPh})_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ (13) by a regioselective attack of one of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ moieties on the terminal alkyne carbon.

The redox properties of 8,9 , and 10 have been examined by cyclic voltammetry. The nature of the HOMO and LUMO levels in 8 and 9 has been determined by extended Hückel MO calculations, the results of which are discussed with respect to the redox chemistry.

The reactivity of 13 with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ has been examined. The addition of
$\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to 13 leads to CO insertion to afford the novel binuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right](14)$ in quantitative yield.

All new complexes have been fully characterized by IR and NMR spectroscopies. The solid-state structures of 2-11, 13, and 14 have been determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analyses.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

The chemistry of transition-metal complexes and their phosphinesubstituted derivatives has been extensively investigated. A diverse group of tertiary phosphines has been used widely in the field of organometallic chemistry to stabilize metal-hydrogen, metal-carbon, and metal-olefin bonds. Such phosphine ligands appear to be extremely versatile in their ability to stabilize a wide variety of metals in a wide variety of oxidation states. By changing the substituent groups, one can alter the tertiary phosphine's ability to influence the activity, selectivity, and stability of a catalytic system via electronic and/or steric considerations. Six areas of organometallic chemistry are presented in this dissertation. They encompass the areas of (1) P-C bond cleavage reactions involving the bma-substituted complexes $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{bma})(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})[$ where $\mathrm{bma}=\mathrm{bis}(\mathrm{di}-$ phenylphosphino)maleic anhydride]; (2) the reversible chelate-to-bridge bma ligand exchange reactions in the tricobalt cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ and the dinuclear complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{bma})(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$; (3) phosphido ligand mediated polyhedral cluster expansion and ligand substitution reactivity in the trinuclear cobalt cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h)} \stackrel{C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)}{C}\right.$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) ;$ (4) the formation of the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex by intramolecular phosphine attack on a coordinated alkyne ligand in the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{bma})(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$; (5) CO insertion reactivity in the dinuclear zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}[\mu-$
$\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta 1-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C=C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$; and (6) the redox chemistry and the extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations in the dinuclear complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{bma})(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (chelating and bridging isomers) and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$.

## A. Tertiary Phosphines and Related Ligands

Tertiary phosphines are the most commonly encountered ancillary ligands associated with organotransition-metal compounds. Many investigations involving transition-metal carbonyl compounds have used phosphines ( $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ ) as ligands, because they constitute one of the few series of ligands in which the electronic and steric properties can be altered in a systematic and predictable fashion over a wide range of R groups. Examples of monodentate, bidentate, tridentate, and higher chelating phosphines are well-documented in the literature. 1-3 A list of representive tertiary phosphines and related ligands is shown in Figure 1.1. These ligands exhibit a wide range of steric and electronic effects. For example, $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ is very small compared to $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$, whereas $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ and $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is any perfluoroalkyl group) are sufficiently electron withdrawing, which permits them to act as strong $\pi$-acids, rivalling the coordination properties of the CO ligand. Bidentate phosphines such as diphos are also commonly employed and they generally lead to the formation of chelated five membered-ring complexes in the case of mononuclear systems. Although most bidentate phosphines hold the phosphine groups in mutually cis positions, certain specially designed bidentate phosphines can keep the two phosphine donors in a trans orientation. Chiral phosphines are also known and they are very important as ligands in the asymmetric hydrogenation of prochiral olefins and other
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Figure 1.1 Tertiary Phosphines and Related Ligands
synthetic transformations involving asymmetric induction. Recently, watersoluble phosphines, such as sulfonated phosphines, have attracted a large amount of attention since water is a cheap and clean solvent. 4

Phosphines have a lone-electron pair on the central atom that can be donated to metal. However, they are also $\pi$ acceptors. In the case of the CO ligand, it has long been recognized that it is the $\pi^{*}$ orbitals that accept electron density from the metal. In the case of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ ligands, it has been suggested that the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the P-R bonds plays the role of an acceptor orbital (Figure 1.2). 5,6 Figure 1.2 reveals that as the $P$ group becomes more electron rich, the orbital that the R fragment uses to bond to phosphorus becomes more stable. This implies that $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the P-R bond also becomes more stable. At the same time, the phosphorus contribution to $\sigma^{*}$ increases, so does the size of $\sigma^{*}$ lobe that points toward the metal. Both of these factors make the $\sigma^{*}$ more accessible for back donation. The final order of increasing $\pi$-acceptor character is :
$\mathrm{PMe}_{3}<\mathrm{PAr}_{3}<\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}<\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OAr})_{3}<\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{NR}_{2}\right)_{3}<\mathrm{PCl}_{3}<\mathrm{CO}<\mathrm{PF}_{3}$
The dependence of the electronic effect of various $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ ligands on the nature of the R group has been quantified by Tolman, 7 who compared the $v(\mathrm{CO})$ frequencies of a series of complexes of the type $\mathrm{LNi}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$, containing different $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ ligands. The stronger donor phosphines increase the electron density on Ni , which passes some of this increased electrondensity along to the CO's by $\pi$ backbonding, which in turn lowers $v(C O)$. Tolman has also quantified the steric effect of phosphines by using the concept of the cone angle. 7 This is obtained by taking a space-filling model of the $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)$ group, folding back the R substituents away from the metal as far as they will go, and measuring the apex of the cone at the metal. Table 1.1 summarizes the cone


Figure 1.2 The $\sigma$ * orbitals of the P-R bond play the acceptor role in metal complexes of phosphorus ligands. As the atom attached to phosphorus becomes more electronegative, the empty $\sigma$ * orbitals of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{X}$ bond move to lower energy and become more accessible; the $\mathrm{PX}_{3}$ molecule, therefore, becomes a better acceptor from the metal.
angles of phosphine ligands. 1-3
The steric bulk of phosphine ligands is their most important structural property. Bulky tertiary phosphines such as triphenylphosphine distort the surrounding ligands and shield the metal, thus, markedly affecting the chemistry of phosphine-substituted metal complexes. Bulky phosphines also tend to bind trans to one another. 8 The presence of several bulky phosphine ligands in the same metal coordination sphere can cause deviations from an idealized coordination geometry, which facilitates ligand dissociation. 8 One must be cautious in the separation of steric and electronic effects since they are often interrelated in transition-metal phosphine complexes.

The use of 31 P NMR spectroscopy has proved to be the most important method for studying organometallic complexes, though the underlying theory for explaining chemical shift values associated phosphine complexes is poorly understood. 9,10 The ${ }^{31 P}$ nucleus has a spin of $\mathrm{I}=1 / 2$, is $100 \%$ abundant, and displays moderate NMR sensitivity. ${ }^{31 P}$ NMR data are quite useful for fingerprinting compounds and for distinguishing between related compounds. For example, the size of different phosphine chelate rings can be accessed, and unidentate and bidentate coordination of diphosphines can be easily determined. 9,10 Moreover, the stereochemistry of many metalphosphine complexes can be deduced from the phosphorus-phosphorus coupling constants, because trans phosphines display a larger coupling constant than cis phosphines. The 31P NMR information from both chemical shift and coupling constants is often used to determine the geometry of many complexes. 9,10

Table 1.1 Cone Angles of Tertiary Phosphine Ligands

| Ligands | Cone Angle |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ | $\Theta^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ | 87 |
| $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CR}$ | 101 |
| $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 101 |
| $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OMe}_{3}\right.$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ | 118 |
| $\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 122 |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ | 123 |
| $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | 145 |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu}^{2}\right)$ | 157 |
| $\mathrm{PCy}_{3}$ | 170 |
| $\mathrm{PPh}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{2}$ | 170 |
| $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}$ | 182 |
| $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$ | 184 |
| $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{mesityl}_{3}\right.$ | 212 |

## B. Phosphorus Ligands Other than Phosphines

In addition to the well-known trivalent phosphines, other valences of phosphorus have been developed. ${ }^{11}$ The chemistry of these other phosphorus ligands represents a rapidly growing research area which combines the chemistry of organotransition metals with that of the maingroup elements. Figure 1.3 outlines a variety of phosphorus ligands that exhibit different valences. Most of these ligands are not stable unless they are bound to a metal atom. Sometimes these phosphorus ligands are amphoteric, and as such, can confer upon the metal a different formal oxidation state, coordination geometries, and coordination numbers.

Compared to simple tertiary phosphines, phosphorus ligands such as phosphides and phosphinidenes are much more reactive. Electrocyclic reactions and the addition of both electrophiles and nucleophiles have been observed when these ligands are coordinated to a metal. 11 The phosphido (or phosphenium) ligand has been increasingly explored as an ancillary ligand in organometallic compounds. This ligand can exist in either one of two discrete amphoteric states: (1) as a formally positive "phosphenium" ligand and (2) as a formally negative "phosphido" ligand. The former has a double bond between the metal and the phosphorus atoms, whereas the latter has a lone-electron pair on the phosphorus atom (Figure 1.4). Phosphenium complexes have a planar geometry about the P atom and a short M-P bond, indicating $\mathrm{d} \pi-\mathrm{p} \pi$ double-bond character. The phosphido complexes known exhibit a pyramidal geometry at the phosphorus atom and a long M-P bond (usually longer than that of a tertiary phosphine complex), which indicate the presence of a lone-electron pair on the phosphorus atom. The lone-electron pair on the phosphido ligand is stereo-chemically active,


Figure 1.3 Phosphorus Ligands Other Than Tertiary Phosphines
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since it assumes a conformational position orthogonal to the metal HOMO. 12

For the purpose of oxidation-state formalism, the phosphenium fragment bears a formal positive charge, whereas the phosphido fragment bears a formal negative charge. Both are formal two-electron donors. Conversion of the former to the latter results in a formal two-electron oxidation of the coordinated metal and should create a vacant coordination site. This situation is similar to that of the nitrosyl group, which is the classic case of an amphoteric or non-innocent ligand. ${ }^{13}$ Terminal phosphido ligands are very reactive. In the pyramidal form the lone-electron pair on
the phosphorus can be alkylated, protonated, coordinated to another metal or combined with an oxidizing agent. 11

## C. Catalyst Deactivation by Transition-Metal-Mediated Cyclometallation and Phosphorus-Carbon Bond Cleavage <br> Tertiary phosphines and other phosphorus ligands have been widely

 used in organometallic chemistry, on the basis of the belief that tertiary phosphine ligands have good thermal stability and do not themselves undergo chemical reactions under common catalysis conditions. Related to this belief, special catalysis attention has been given to a variety of polydentate phosphines, arsines, and other similar ligands. Polydentate ligands have the ability to maintain the integrity of polymetallic cores and to ensure that possible metal-metal bond scission might be reversible in clustermediated catalysis. 11d,14-16It was recognized many years ago that a metal can react with an aryl or alkyl carbon-hydrogen bond of a phosphorus ligand to form a metal-carbon bond. Trofimenko 17 first introduced the term "cyclometallation" to describe reactions in which a ligand undergoes an intramolecular metallation with concomitant formation of a chelated ring containing a metal-carbon bond. For metallations of phenyl-substituted ligands the term "ortho metallation" has been used and through the years the terms have been used interchangeably (Equation 1.1). However, such cyclometallation reactions were considered to be trivial since they were found to be reversible and the catalytic activity was not destroyed in hydrogenation processes. 18

It is now clear that many tertiary phosphine metal complexes are chemically reactive and liable with respect to carbon-phosphorus bond
scission, reactivity which is dependent upon the specific reaction conditions. 19 A striking example of a transition-metal-mediated P-C bond cleavage reaction is that between $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1: 2$ ratio) in

refluxing xylene. Nine different products derived from both cyclometallation and P-C bond cleavage pathways have been isolated and fully characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analyses. 20 In the current literature, many P-C bond cleavage reactions were found by first synthesizing the desired phosphine complexes and then studying their thermolysis reactions in various solvents. Among the complexes which have been investigated, the Iron, $19-44$ Cobalt, $19,45-55$ and Nickel $19,56-58$ subgroups have attracted the most attention.

The cleavage of the P-Ph bond in $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ph}$ is one of the commonly observed pathways in P-C bond scission reactions. 19,59 This usually leads to phosphido-bridged derivatives, in addition to benzene, 25,53 benzyne, 21,35 benzaldehyde, 53,60 or biphenyl. 51,53 The intermediates involved in these reactions have been claimed to be $\sigma$-phenyl- $\mu$-dialkylposphido derivatives, but very few such complexes have been isolated and characterized. Cabeza and co-workers have recently prepared an $\eta^{1 \text {-aryl-bridged triruthenium }}$
cluster complex by treating $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{ampy}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\right]$ (ampy $=2-$ amino-6-methylpyridinate) with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas in toluene at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. They found that both triphenylphosphine ligands in $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{H})\left(\mu_{3}\right.\right.$-ampy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right]$ underwent a P-C bond scission to give the $\eta^{1}$-phenyl-bridged derivative $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mu_{3}\right.\right.$-ampy $\left.)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right] .44$ The interaction of the $\eta^{1}$-bridgingphenyl group with the trimetallic fragment has been examined by using extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations. 44 Carty and co-workers have also carried out extensive investigations on the reactions of metal clusters with a series of phosphinoacetylene ( $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC} \equiv \mathrm{CR}$ ) ligands and demonstrated the ease by which the $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}$-C(alkyne) bond undergoes bond scission at a variety of metal centers. The observed C-P bond cleavage reactivity depends on the hybridization of carbon atom of the phosphine ligand, following the order P-C $(\mathrm{sp})>\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{2}\right)>\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{sp}^{3}\right) .22$ The cleavage of the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{sp})$ bond of the phosphine-acetylene ligand is facile and in certain circumstances occurs under ambient conditions. Examples of P-C (sp ${ }^{3}$ ) cleavage were rare until several cases were recently reported. 38,58 The data on the effect of substituents exhibit a trend for $\mathrm{PR}_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{P}_{-\mathrm{C}_{6}} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{X}\right)$ decomposition as a function of the X group: $\mathrm{CF}_{3}>\mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{Me}>\mathrm{OMe} 19,$.53 It is seen that the P-C bond cleavage is enhanced by the presence of electronwithdrawing substituents and inhibited by electron-donating substituents. The theoretical aspects for the shift of an alkyl or aryl group from a coordinated phosphine to a 16 -electron $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ metal center have been examined by Hoffmann and co-workers. They concluded that such migrations are symmetry allowed and thermodynamically accessible. ${ }^{61}$ Little is known about the thermodynamic stability of the starting materials or reaction products of phosphorus-carbon activation processes. Estimation of the thermodynamic
stability of the P-C bond comes from the bond dissociation energy of triethylphosphine. Smith and Patrick 62 have conducted low-pressure pyrolysis studies on $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ and derived a $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\neq}$of $68 \pm 2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This can be compared to values of $104,102,88$, and $84 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ OH , and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bonds, respectively. All evidence currently points to a P C "oxidation addition" to the metal (Equation 1.2), which is considered to be the critical step for the P-C bond cleavage sequence. 19,45,55 Although some

plausible mechanisms have been proposed for P-C cleavage in hydroformylation and hydrogenation, 19,53 only very limited data can be

directly related to the key P-C bond oxidation step. Carty and co-workers 19,27 observed a facile reduction of the $\mu-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group in $\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{10}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{H}\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ via an intermediate electron-deficient hydride, which contains a weak P-Ph interaction with a Ru atom. This metal-ligand interaction provides a unique view of an intermediate stage in P-C bond activation and cleavage (Equation 1.3).

## D. Phosphines as Nucleophiles and Formation of Zwitterionic Species

Tertiary phosphines can also serve as neutral nucleophiles. Tertiary phosphines can readily add to unsaturated hydrocarbon ligands in cationic complexes such as the cyclohexadienyl complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right], 63$ the alkene complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right], 64$ and the arene complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]_{2} 65$ to give adducts in which the positive charge is localized at the phosphonium substituent on the hydrocarbon ligand. The electronwithdrawing properties of the metal atom in each cation render the carbon atom of the polyene electrophilic, reversing the normal reactivity of the free organic ligand.

It is now clear that tertiary phosphines can also attack neutral complexes to afford zwitterionic species. Carty $66-79$, Deeming, $80-84$ and others $85-87$ have reported numerous mono-, di-, and trimetallic zwitterionic species by adding various nucleophiles, including phosphines, to correspondent neutral complexes. As a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ hydrocarbyl with the highest degree of unsaturation and unsurpassed versatility as a bridging ligand, acetylide ligands have received the most attention. The reactivity patterns for these multi-bound ligands have been established. The chemistry of $\mu_{3}-\eta^{2}-$ acetylides toward nucleophiles, which has been studied extensively by

Deeming and co-workers, 82 is dominated by attack at $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$, although reaction at $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ has been observed (Equation 1.4). Semiempirical CNDO calculations have indicated that the regiospecificity observed in these reactions is charge controlled. ${ }^{88}$ Edge-bridging $\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}$-acetylides also have a rich chemistry with nitrogen, phosphorus, and carbon nucleophiles. Seyferth's group 86 has


reported similar reactivity for the thiolate-bridged acetylides $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu_{3}-\eta^{2-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CR}^{\prime}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{SR})$. In these systems, nucleophiles can add at the $\beta$-carbon of the acetylide to form a one-carbon bridged product, or at the acetylide $\alpha$-carbon atom to form a two carbon bridge (Equation 1.5). 69 The reactivity

patterns for $\mu-\eta^{1}: \eta^{2}$-allenyl complexes have also been established. Reaction of the bimetallic allenyl complex $\mathrm{Ru}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu-\eta^{1}: \eta^{2}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ with carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus nucleophiles has led to the formation of dimetallacyclopentanes and dimetallacyclopentenes (Equation 1.6). 79 Carty has summarized the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shift data for several classes of zwitterionic hydrocarbyl-bridged dimetallic compounds and used the data to

ascertain the amount of carbene character in various types of two-carbon bridges. 67

In contrast to the coordinated acetylide chemistry, examples of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ attack on a coordinated alkyne ligand to afford a zwitterionic complex that contains a $\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{i}$ - hydrocarbyl moiety are rare. The only known complex involves the reaction between $\mathrm{CpRh}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{CH} \equiv \mathrm{CH}\right)$, which yields $\mathrm{CpRhOs}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{HCCH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\right]$, presumably as a result of an intermolecular $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ attack on the acetylide ligand (Equation 1.7).

90 Prior to 1994, there was no precedent in the literature for an intramolecular phosphine attack on a coordinated alkyne ligand (vide infra).


The formation of zwitterionic species by $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ attack on a coordinated alkyne is remarkably facile. In most cases, the zwitterions are air-stable and can be prepared in high yields. The fact that tertiary phosphines can undergo the P-C bond cleavage in certain circumstances, coupled with the formation of zwitterions by $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ nucleophilic attack on coordinated ligands, may limit the usefulness of phosphines in technological applications involving homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts.

## E. A Novel P-C Bond Cleavage Reaction Between $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and Bis(diphenylphosphino)maleic Anhydride (bma) <br> As one of my beginning practice projects, the reaction between

 $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and the bidentate phosphine ligand bis(diphenylphosphino) maleic anhydride (bma) was examined as part of my interest in the synthesis and reactivity of polynuclear clusters bearing redox-active phosphine ligands. The bma ligand is unique on the basis of the work of Fenske 91 and Tyler 92 , who have demonstrated that this ligand assists in the stabilization ofmononuclear 19-electron complexes through electron delocalization with the low-lying $\pi^{*}$ orbital of the phosphine ligand. Thus, the initial plan was to prepare the cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$, which would allow for the examination of the redox chemistry and stability of the corresponding oneelectron reduction product $\left[\mathrm{PhCCO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})\right]^{--}$. The effect of the bma ligand on the redox properties of the cluster can be easily assessed by a comparison with the extensive electrochemical data of the known phosphine-substituted $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ clusters. ${ }^{93}$ However, when the reaction between $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and bma was initially examined in toluene solvent at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the expected $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ (bma) cluster was not observed, and it was subsequently shown that $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}\right.$ (O) $]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ was present (Equation 1.8).


The novelty of this cluster resides in the facile P-C bond cleavage observed in the bma ligand and activation of the $\mu_{3}$-benzylidyne capping ligand in $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and its conversion to a $\mu_{2}$-benzylidene ligand. The
transformation of the $\mu_{3}$-benzylidyne capping ligand to a $\mu_{2}$-benzylidene ligand, coupled with P-C bond cleavage during the thermal substitution reaction condition, is unprecedented in this type of cluster. Thus, an effort was made to explore the full details of the above P-C cleavage reaction. The intermediate tricobalt cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ involved in the formation of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \subset=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ has been successfully prepared from the reaction between $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and bma in either THF or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$-promoted oxidative decarbonylation. A kinetic investigation for the transformation from $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ to $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\right.$
 constants and activation parameters determined. This kinetic study represents the first kinetic investigation on a P-C bond scission process in an organometallic complex. The corresponding tricobalt cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ $\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$, which is expected to be structurally similar yet electronically different compared to the cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$, has also been synthesized and studied under conditions analogous to $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ in order to highlight reactivity differences between them.

## F. Phosphido-Ligand-Mediated Polyhedral Cluster Expansion and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ Ligand Substitution in the Tricobalt Cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

The ligand substitution behavior of multinuclear metal carbonyl complexes with metal-metal bonds has been an important topic in transitionmetal organometallic chemistry. Ligand substitution studies at multinuclear metal complexes are not only of academic interest but are of significance in providing a detailed analysis of homogeneous catalytic processes. The three
possible intimate mechanisms for ligand substitution processes in mononuclear metal complexes have been classified as (a) dissociative (D), (b) associative (A), and (c) interchange (I). 94 The interchange mechanism may be divided into $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{b}}$ processes, depending on the binding strength of both the entering and leaving ligands to the metal in the transition state. In addition to these substitution pathways, Darensbourg has distinguished that polynuclear metal compounds can have other mechanisms which include metal-metal bond dissociation with and without cluster fragmentation. 95,96

The dissociative mechanism is one of the most common pathways for ligand substitution reactions, with many examples having been reported in the current literature. For example, the ligand substitution reaction of $\mathrm{Os}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}(\mathrm{NCMe})$ with phosphines has been shown to be quantitative, resulting in replacement of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ligand. 97 The rate constants were found to be independent of both the nature and concentration of the entering phosphine ligands. The trinuclear ruthenium hydride anion $\mathrm{HRu}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{11}{ }^{-}$ undergoes facile CO substitution with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in THF. 98 At a given [ $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ], $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs} \text { d }}$ decreased with increasing [CO], suggesting a reaction pathway proceeding by CO dissociation.

While the associative mechanism is not common, it may hold for electron-poor metal clusters. For example, the triplatinum clusters $\mathrm{Pt}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{L}=$ phosphine) have been found to undergo a facile ligand substitution reaction with phosphines. 99 In these platinum clusters each metal center formally contains 16 electrons. The reaction rate was found to be dependent on both the nature and concentration of the incoming phosphine ligand. Basolo has stated the following rule: substitution reactions
involving 18-electron transition-metal organometallic compounds may proceed by an associative mechanism provided that the metal complex can delocalize a pair of electrons onto one of its ligands like NO, cyclopentadienyl, or arenes. 100

The polyhedral expansion of certain clusters during ligand addition reactions has been documented. 11c,101,102 According to the current literature, the majority of cluster opening reactions involves ligand attack at a metal center and assistance from one or more of the ancillary CO groups. Here the formal transfer of the two electrons in the ruptured metal-metal bond is ultimately redistributed about the cluster polyhedron, giving rise to coordinatively saturated metal centers. CO loss and reformation of the metalmetal bond complete the overall sequence for the net substitution of CO by the incoming ligand in many transition-metal clusters. 102

In this dissertation, data will be presented on the addition reaction of
 $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$, which proceeds to give the hypho cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$. The addition reaction is accompanied by cleavage of one of the Co -Co bonds in $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ and a formal change in how the $\mu_{2}-$ phosphido ligand distributes its three available bonding electrons to the ligated cobalt centers in $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\Gamma}{C=}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ $\overline{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$. This flexibility in the ligand donation properties of the $\mu_{2}-$ phosphido group is believed to be a key factor in promoting the observed polyhedral cluster expansion. There is no precedent in the literature for the phosphido ligand assisted redistribution of electron density in a ligand substitution reaction involving a tricobalt cluster. The interception and
characterization of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}}{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ $(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ as a kinetic product en route to the mono-substituted cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h)} \stackrel{\left.C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \text { represent }}{ }\right.$ another rare example of metal-metal bond reactivity in a substitution reaction involving a polynuclear system.

## G. Reversible Chelate-to-Bridge Ligand Exchange in $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathbf{C O}_{4}{ }_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma) and Alkyne-Diphosphine Ligand Coupling

The reactivity of transition-metal complexes possessing an ancillary alkyne ligand continues to be investigated by a diverse group of researchers. 103 While many metal-alkyne complexes are known, probably the most thoroughly studied class of alkyne complexes is that derived from dicobalt hexacarbonyl, i.e., $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu$-alkyne). 104 Such complexes have found wide use in various organic transformations. For example, it has been demonstrated that cobalt-complexed propargyl cations function as propargyl cation synthons in carbon-carbon bond formation reactions, 105,106 while the protection of alkynes and the marking of acetylide steroids by the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ moiety are routinely employed in organic syntheses 107 and biochemical receptor recognition studies, 108 respectively. Another well-known use of cobalt-alkyne compounds involves the cobalt-mediated ene-yne reaction, otherwise known as the Pauson-Khand reaction. Here complex carbocyclic ring systems are prepared regio- and stereoselectively under relatively mild conditions. 109

Phosphine ligand substitution in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu$-alkyne $)$ has also been extensively studied from both mechanistic and theoretical aspects. 110 In the case of bidentate phosphine ligands both bridged and chelated products of the
form $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6-2 \mathrm{n}}(\mu$-alkyne $)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{L})_{n}$ (where $\mathrm{n}=1,2$ ) are observed, with the exact product being dependent on the nature of the phosphine and the mode of dinuclear complex activation. 111 Thermal ligand substitution with the common phosphines dppm and dppe yields the phosphine-bridged alkyne dinuclear compounds, whereas electron transfer catalysis has been successfully utilized to give chelated or bridged dimers with impressive stereochemical control. 112 By comparison, ligand substitution studies with unsaturated diphosphine ligands have received less attention. 113

The reaction between $\mathrm{PhCCO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and the bidentate phosphine ligand bis(diphenylphosphino)maleic anhydride (bma) initially afforded the expected cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ (bma). Thermolysis or photolysis of this cluster led to the new cluster $\left.\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h}\right) \stackrel{C}{C}=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ as a result of $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}($ maleic anhydride $)$ bond cleavage coupled with $\mathrm{C}\left(\mu^{-}\right.$ benzylidyne)-C(maleic anhydride) bond reductive elimination. 45,46 On the basis of the isolobal relationship between $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) 114$ and my desire to explore the generality of the bma $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage in different cluster systems, the reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ ) and bma was also studied. The synthesis and characterization of both the chelating and bridging isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and the transformation to the P-C bond cleavage product $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta_{1}^{1}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(Z)-\right.$ $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ ] have been summarized in this dissertation. The corresponding dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ $\left[(Z)-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ has also been synthesized and studied under conditions analogous to $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ in order to highlight reactivity differences between the two diphosphine-substituted complexes.

## H. Intramolecular Phosphine Attack on a Coordinated Alkyne Ligand in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$

The thermolysis reaction of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ in $1,2-$ dichloroethane results in an initial isomerization to give the corresponding bma-bridged complex; this latter isomer then undergoes an alkynediphosphine ligand coupling and formation of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1-(Z)}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right] .55$ However, while examing the reactivity of the corresponding phenylacetylene complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$, a different course of reactivity was observed upon thermolysis. Refluxing a 1,2 -dichloroethane solution of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu$ - PhC $\equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ leads to the formation of the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta 1-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \stackrel{C}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$, in an isolated yield of $70 \%$. The migration of one of the phosphine moieties associated with the bma ligand to the terminal alkyne carbon of the coordinated phenylacetylene ligand has been established by X-ray diffraction analysis. This regioselective transformation gives rise to the first $\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}$-hydrocarbyl ligand complex formed from an intramolecular P-ligand attack on a coordinated alkyne moiety. 115 The full details of the this novel reaction are summarized in this dissertation.

The corresponding dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})[(\mathrm{Z})$ $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ] has also been synthesized and studied under conditions analogous to $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ in order to highlight reactivity differences between the two diphosphine-substituted complexes.

The reaction of the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}[\mu-$ $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ has also been investigated. The novel CO insertion product $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta_{1}-\right.$
$\left.\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ has been isolated and characterized by spectroscopic methods and X-ray diffraction analysis.
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## CHAPTER II

## EXPERIMENTAL

## A. Materials

## 1. Solvents

$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, and MeCN were distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. THF, $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, toluene, and $2,5-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{THF}$ were distilled from sodium/benzophenone ketyl. The deuterated solvents benzene-d ${ }^{6}$ and THF- $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ were vacuum distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, while $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ were distilled from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. All distilled solvents were stored under argon in Schlenk vessels equipped with Teflon stopcocks.

## 2. Reagents

$\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ and $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ were purchased from Pressure Chemical Co. and used as received. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-enriched carbon monoxide ( 99 $\%{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) was obtained from Isotec, Inc. The $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ PTMS used in the synthesis of bma was prepared according to the published procedure. $1 \quad \mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ was prepared by the procedure reported by Seyferth, 2 and the bma ligand was synthesized by using the method of Tyler. ${ }^{3} \quad \mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CR})(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph})$ were prepared according to the published procedure. 4 Trimethylphosphine, 5 cobaltocene, 6 and $\left[\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right] 7$ were prepared from known literature procedures.

MeLi (1.0 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ( 2.5 M in hexane), PhMgBr ( 1.0 M in
$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ were all purchased from Aldrich and used as received. MeLi and BuLi were titrated against diphenylacetic acid prior to use. 8 The tetra-n-butylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) used in the electrochemical studies was purchased from Johnson Matthey Electronics and recrystallized from ethyl acetate/petroleum ether and dried in vacuo for 2 days.

## B. Instrumentation

All reactions were conducted under argon using Schlenk techniques or in a nitrogen-filled Vacuum Atmosphere DXL series inert-atmosphere DriBox. 9 Routine infrared spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 20SXB FT-IR spectrometer in 0.1 mm NaCl cells. Low-temperature IR spectra were recorded on the same spectrometer with a Specac Model P/N 21.000 variabletemperature cell equipped with inner and outer $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ windows. Dry ice/acetone was used as coolant, and the reported cell temperature, taken to be accurate to $\pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was determined with a copper-constantan thermocouple. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13 \mathrm{C}}, 31 \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 300,75 , and 121 MHz , respectively, on a Varian $300-\mathrm{VXR}$ spectrometer. The reported ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts are referenced to external $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(85 \%)$, taken to have $\delta=0$. Positive chemical shifts are to low field of the external standard. C and H analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab, Atlanta, GA.

Cyclic voltammetric measurements were conducted with a PAR Model 273 potentiostat/galvanostat, equipped with positive feedback circuitry to compensate for IR drop. The CV cell used was of airtight design and based on a three-electrode configuration, which enabled all cyclic voltammograms to be obtained free from oxygen and water. The CV experiments employed a platinum disk (area $=0.0079 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) as the working electrode and a coiled
platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode. All voltammograms utilized a silver wire quasi-reference electrode and all potential data are referenced relative to the formal potential of the $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}+$ redox couple run under identical conditions, taken to have an $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=0.306 \mathrm{~V} .10$

The bulk electrolysis experiments were carried out in the constantpotential mode at desired potentials in an airtight bulk-electrolysis cell at the desired temperature in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent containing 0.1 M TBAP. After the electrolysis was completed, the solution was examined by IR spectroscopy, using a low-temperature IR cell.

## C. Extended Hückel Molecular Orbital Calculations.

All calculations were carried out by using the original program developed by Hoffmann, 11 as modified by Mealli and Proserpio. 12 The HOMO and LUMO orbitals shown in the dissertation were done with CACAO drawing program of Mealli and Proserpio.

## D. Preparation of Compounds

## 1. $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$

To a mixture of $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bma in 20 ml of THF was added $0.06 \mathrm{~g}(0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$. The color of the solution changed from brown to green-black immediately. The reaction, which was monitored by IR, was complete after 0.5 hr . The reaction solution was removed in vacuo at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ was isolated by column chromatography at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether (1:1). Yield: $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2065(\mathrm{~s}), 2015(\mathrm{~s}), 1986(\mathrm{~s}), 1824(\mathrm{w}$,
asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1772 ( m , symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF, $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 62$ (chelate), 54 (chelate), 37 (bridge). ${ }^{13 \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}}$ NMR (THF, -97 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 209(2 \mathrm{C}$, bridge), 207 (chelate), 204 ( 2 C , bridge), 202 ( 3 C , bridge). The $\left.\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ was not submitted for combustion analysis because of its thermal instability.
2. $\quad \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})} \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)}{ }\right.$

To $0.5 \mathrm{~g}(0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and $0.47 \mathrm{~g}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bma was added 50 ml of toluene, after which the solution was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Upon cooling, TLC analysis revealed the presence of the desired product along with a trace of the parent cluster. Purification was achieved by column chromatography on silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluant. The analytically pure sample was obtained by recrystallization from benzene/isooctane ( $1: 1$ ). Yield: $0.5 \mathrm{~g}(58 \%)$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2062(\mathrm{~m})$, 2042 (vs), 2025 (vs), 2010 ( sh ), 1939 (b, m), 1811 (m, asymmetric bma C=O), 1748 ( m , symmetric bma C=O) cm-1. 31P $\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF, $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 201.0\left(\mu_{2^{-}}\right.$ phosphido), 12.0 (phosphine). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF, $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 210.5$ ( 1 C, Jp-C $=$ 12.3 Hz ), 202.9 ( $1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{JP}_{\mathrm{C}}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $202.5(1 \mathrm{C}), 201.9(1 \mathrm{C}), 197.0(1 \mathrm{C}), 192.8(1 \mathrm{C})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 54.69 (54.55); H, 2.80 (2.88).

## 3. $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

To a Schlenk tube containing $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ was added 20 ml of toluene via syringe. The reaction was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and then allowed to cool to room temperature. TLC examination showed the presence of a single product. Purification was achieved by using silica gel chromatography with
petroleum ether $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluant. An analytically pure sample was obtained from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution containing $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right]$ that had been layered with heptane. Yield : $0.26 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{CO}) 2057$ ( s ), 2006 (vs), 1831 (w, b) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta$ 36.2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 211(2 \mathrm{C}), 204(2 \mathrm{C}), 203(3 \mathrm{C})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot 1 / 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 54.61$ (54.63); $\mathrm{H}, 3.20$ (3.15).
4. $\quad \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2^{-}}-2\right)}{ }\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ )
To the $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.20 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})}{\square}\right.$ $\widehat{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ in $20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1.1 equiv. of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.47$ ml of a 0.48 M solution of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in THF) by syringe. The reaction was instantaneous as judged by the color change from brown to red brown and IR spectroscopy. The reaction solution was treated with 50 ml of cold petroleum ether and then maintained at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hr . The solvent was decanted, and the resulting solid was washed twice with cold petroleum ether. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction and combustion analyses were grown at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from a toluene/heptane solution ( $1: 1$ ) containing $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\right.$
 amount of diethyl ether. Yield: 0.18 g (ca. $90 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2035$ (s), 2010 (vs), 1999 (vs), 1900 (w), 1795 (m, asymmetric bma C=O), 1736 (s, symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ). ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) : $\delta 184.3$ (1P), 55.4 (1P, JP-P $=51 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.3(1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Jp-p}=51 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 212.5(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{Jp}_{\mathrm{C}}=67 \mathrm{~Hz}, \quad \mathrm{Jp}-\mathrm{C}=29 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 208.3 (2C), 205.0 (1C), 202.1 (1C), 201.4 (1C). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{3} \cdot 1 / 4$ heptane : $\mathrm{C}, 54.86$ (54.65); $\mathrm{H}, 3.82$ (4.09).
5. $\quad \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ To $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ ( $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) in 20 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1.1 equiv of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.47 \mathrm{ml}$ of a 0.48 M solution of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in THF) by syringe. The initially formed product
 transformed into the mono-substituted cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2^{-}}\right.$
 for 3 hrs . The reaction solution was removed in vacuo and product was isolated by column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluant. Yield: 0.15 g (ca. $70 \%$ ). $\mathbb{R}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2040(\mathrm{~s}), 2006(\mathrm{vs}), 1984(\mathrm{~s}), 1801(\mathrm{~m}$, asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1741 ( s , symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ). ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{THF},-}$ $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 190.5$ (1P, phosphido), 14.3 and $7.8\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right.$ (maleic anhydride) and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ groups $] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 216.1\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{JP}_{\mathrm{C}}=23.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 206.4 (1C), 204.6 (1C), 200.5 (1C), 191.9 (1C, $\mathrm{JP}_{\mathrm{C}}=29 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{P}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 51.19$ (51.34); $\mathrm{H}, 3.51$ (3.55).
6. $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

To the $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}\right.$ ( O$)]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ in $20 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature was added 2.1 equiv of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\left(0.94 \mathrm{ml}\right.$ of a 0.48 M solution of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ in THF) by syringe. The color of the solution changed from brown to brown-green. The reaction, which was monitored by IR, was complete after 3 hrs. The reaction solution was then removed in vacuo and $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ ( $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) was isolated by column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /acetone (95 : 5). The analytical sample was obtained by recrystallization from benzene/heptane ( $1: 1$ ). Yield : 0.15 g (ca. $70 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{CO}) 1993$ (sh),

1976 (vs), 1864 (w, broad), 1993 (sh), 1976 (vs), 1864 (w, broad), 1791 (m, asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1728(\mathrm{~m}$, symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (THF, $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 172.9$ ( 1 P , phosphido), $7.0,0.8$ and $-10.0\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right.$ (maleic anhydride) and two $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ groups]. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF, $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 224.0(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Jp-C}=25.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 207.4(1 \mathrm{C}), 206.7(2 \mathrm{C})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{4} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 55.67$ (55.29); H, 4.48 (4.77).
7. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating isomer)

To a mixture of $0.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.5 g of bma ( 1.07 mmol ) in 50 ml of THF was added 0.16 g of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}(2.13 \mathrm{mmol})$. The color of the solution gradually changed from brown to black-green. The reaction, which was monitored by IR, was complete after 1 hr . The reaction solution was removed in vacuo and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma) (chelating) was isolated by column chromatography at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether ( $3: 1$ ). Yield : $0.65 \mathrm{~g}(69 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{CO}) 2047$ (vs), 1993(vs), 1952 (sh), 1842 ( w , asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1775 ( m , symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}-1.31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3},-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 60.3 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{THF},-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta$ 207.5 (1C, bma-substituted cobalt), 201.1 (3C, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 60.84$ (60.73); H, 3.49 (3.40).

## 8. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma) (bridging isomer)

To a Schlenk tube containing 0.2 g of the chelating isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})(0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added 40 ml of $1,2-$ dichloroethane, after which the solution was heated at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. IR analysis at this point revealed the presence of a mixture of the desired bridging isomer and chelating isomer in a $1: 1$ ratio. Longer reaction times
did not change the ratio of the chelating and bridging isomers. Due to inefficient compound separation on silica gel, column chromatography could not be employed in the purification of this isomeric mixture. The solvent was instead removed by vacuum, followed by repeated crystallizations using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether. By this method, a pure sample of the bridging isomer was ultimately isolated from the mother liquor. The analytical sample was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /pentane ( $1: 1$ ). Yield : 0.02 g ( $10 \%$ ). IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2032(\mathrm{~s}), 2009(\mathrm{vs}), 1982(\mathrm{~s}), 1818$ ( w , asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), $1765(\mathrm{~m}$, symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}-1 . \quad 31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta$ 30.5. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF, $\left.-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 203.2(4 \mathrm{C})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 58.48$ (58.83); H, 3.49 (3.36).

## 9. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

To a Schlenk tube containing 0.2 g of the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(0.43$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}(0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added 50 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, after which the solution was treated with 64.5 mg of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}(0.86$ mmol ). Stirring was continued for 3 hr , after which time IR analysis indicated the presence of the desired product $(>90 \%)$. Product purification was achieved by chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether (1:1). Yield : 0.25 g ( $73 \%$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) : v (CO) 2039 (vs), 1983 (vs). ${ }^{31 P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF, $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 71.8 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR (THF , $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 208.8(1 \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{P}_{2}$-substituted cobalt), $202.8\left(3 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 65.68 (65.77); H, 4.01 (4.01).
10.

$$
\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.
$$

To 0.25 g of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 0.25 g of bma ( 0.54 mmol ) was added 30 ml of 1,2-dichloroethane solvent by syringe, after which the solution was heated to reflux for 5 hr and then allowed to cool to room temperature. TLC examination showed the presence of only one slow moving spot. Purification was achieved by using silica gel chromatography with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluant. Yield : $0.05 \mathrm{~g}(10 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2049$ (s), 2025 (vs), 2008 (s), 1981 (m), 1808 (w, asymmetric bma C=O), 1745 $(\mathrm{m}$, symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \quad 31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 46.7\left(1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{p}}=\right.$ 17.0 Hz ), $27.8\left(1 \mathrm{P}\right.$, broad). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (THF, $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): $\delta 193.7(1 \mathrm{C}), 196.9$ $(1 \mathrm{C}), 201.1\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}}=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 202.1(1 \mathrm{C})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ : C, 62.82 (63.18); H, 3.94 (3.46).

## 11. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})($ bma $)$ (chelating)

To a mixture of $0.1 \mathrm{~g}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and 0.13 g of bma ( 0.27 mmol ) in 20 ml of THF was added 0.039 g of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}(0.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The color of the solution immediately changed from brown to black-green. The reaction, which was monitored by IR, was complete after 0.5 hr . The reaction solution was removed in vacuo and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating) was isolated by column chromatography at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ / petroleum ether (3:1). Yield : 0.13 g . IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2046$ (s), 1985 (vs), 1841 (w asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1775 ( m , symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \quad 31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \delta 54.3,64.6(1 \mathrm{P}$ each for pseudo-axial and pseudo-equatorial bma $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups $) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 201.8(3 \mathrm{C}), 206.9$ (1C), Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \bullet 1 / 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 58.52$ (58.59); H, 3.42 (3.25).

## 12. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

To a Schlenk tube containing 0.2 g of the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(0.43$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $0.17 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}(0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added 50 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, after which the solution was treated with 64.5 mg of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}(0.86$ mmol ). Stirring was continued for 3 hr , after which time IR analysis indicated the presence of the product ( $>90 \%$ ). The purification was achieved by chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ petroleum ether ( $1: 1$ ). Yield $: 0.25 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{CO}) 2041(\mathrm{vs}), 1984(\mathrm{vs}) .{ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{THF},-$ $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) : $\delta 85.5,76.0$ ( 1 P each for pseudo-axial and pseudo-equatorial ( Z )$\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 62.65$ (62.72); H, 3.87 (3.93).
13. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

To $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bma was added 20 ml of 1,2 -dichloroethane solvent by syringe, after which the solution was heated to reflux for 1 hr and then allowed to cool to room temperature. TLC examination showed the presence of a single product. Purification was achieved by using silica gel chromatography with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the eluant. Yield : $0.3 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2035$ (s), 2006 (vs), 1984 (m), $1969(\mathrm{~m}), 1795(\mathrm{w}$, asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1742(\mathrm{~m}$, symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}-1 .{ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 10.6\left(1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=48.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 38.3(1 \mathrm{P}$, Jp-p $=48.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{H}\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 201.1(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Jp}-\mathrm{C}=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $203.2(1 \mathrm{C}), 203.0(1 \mathrm{C}), 208.1(1 \mathrm{C})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \bullet 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 57.84 ( 57.87 ); H, 3.24 (3.26).
14. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\widehat{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]}$
To the $0.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ in 15 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added 0.4 ml of $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PMe}_{3}(0.2$ mmol ) in THF by syringe. The color of the solution immediately changed from brown to black-green. The reaction, which was monitored by $I R$, was complete after 5 minutes. The reaction solution was removed in vacuo and product was isolated by column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /acetone (95: 5). Yield : 0.18 g . $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): v(\mathrm{CO}) 2022$ (vs), 1985 (vs), 1777 (w, asymmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1719 ( m , symmetric bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), 1555 (broad, acyl) cm 1. $31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 31.2(1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{J}-\mathrm{P}=69.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.4\left(1 \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=69.1\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.6(1 \mathrm{P}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{THF},-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right): \delta 234.5(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{JP-C}=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 206.6$ (2C), $197.8\left(1 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{JP-C}=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 59.06$ (58.36); H, 4.03 (4.38).
15. Preparation of Sealed NMR Tube Reactions

Starting material and solvent ( 0.8 ml for a 5 mm NMR tube) were transferred into a NMR tube under argon. After the tube was shaken well, the NMR tube and its contents were cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and desired reagent was added. The tube was then evacuated to ca. $10-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ Hg prior to flame sealing.

## E. Kinetic Study on the Conversion of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ to $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\eta^{2}, \eta^{\left.1-C(P h) C=C\left(P P h_{2}\right) C(O) O C(O)\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)}$

All kinetic reactions involving the conversion of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ to $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ were carried out in Schlenk tubes under argon and monitored for a minimum of 2-3 half-lives by
following the IR absorbance of the $1772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ band. Plots of $\ln \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}$ vs. time gave the first-order rate constants, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {obsd }}$. The activation parameters ( $\Delta \mathrm{H} \neq$ and $\Delta S \neq$ ) were determined by using the Eyring equation, and error limits were calculated by using the available least-squares regression program. ${ }^{13}$

## F. X-Ray Crystallography

## 1. $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$

A dark green-black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions 0.04 x $0.42 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>28^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 40.0^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega-$ scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode. Three reflections (800, 080, $00-6$ ) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<2 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SHELX-86, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix leastsquares refinement. With the exception of the phenyl and CO carbons, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $\mathrm{R}=0.0367$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.0391$ for 1344 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$.
2.

$$
\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{\left.\left.1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right) .}\right.
$$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of
$\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.04 \times 0.42 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>25^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$ $\leq 44.0^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode. Three reflections $(600,080,0012)$ were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<1 \%$ ). The structure was solved by MULTAN, which revealed the positions of the Co and $P$ atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of the phenyl and CO carbons, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0392$ and $R_{w}=0.0432$ for 2012 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ).

## 3. $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.22 \times 0.43 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>25^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 $K$ using the $\theta / 2 \theta$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode. Three reflections $(600,180,008)$ were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure
time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<1 \%$ ). The structure was solved by MULTAN, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of the phosphorus phenyl carbons, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0445$ and $R_{w}=0.0488$ for 4559 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ (I).
4. $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta \eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ )

A black crystal, which was grown from a toluene/heptane solution (1 : 1) of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ that had been layered with a small amount of diethyl ether, of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.22 \times 0.48 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>25^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 40^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\theta / 2 \theta$ scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode. Three reflections (500, 050, 007) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<8 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SIR, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix leastsquares refinement. With the exception of the cobalt and phosphorus atoms, all other atoms were refined isotropically. Refinement converged at $\mathrm{R}=$ 0.0667 and $R_{w}=0.0816$ for 1768 unique reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$.
5. $\quad \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.28 \times 0.48 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $K \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>25^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$ $\leq 44.0^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode. Three reflections ( $600,0120,006$ ) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<1 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SIR, which revealed the positions of the Co and $P$ atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of the phenyl carbons, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0469$ and $R_{w}=0.0577$ for 2623 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ (I).
6. $\quad \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2} \cdot \eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h}\right) \stackrel{C}{\left.C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)}$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{\left.1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \text { that had been }}\right.$ layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.25 \times 0.27 \times 0.30 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>30^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$
$\leq 44.0^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode. Three reflections ( $600,0110,0010$ ) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<1 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SHELX-86, which revealed the positions of the Co and $P$ atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of the phosphorus phenyl carbons, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0453$ and $R_{w}=$ 0.0489 for 3795 unique reflections with $I>3 \sigma$ (I).
7. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma) (bridging isomer)

A dark green-black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma $)$ (bridging) that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.08 \times 0.42 \mathrm{~mm}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo K $\alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>24^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode and were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption (DIFABS). Three reflections (400, 060, 004) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<2 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SIR, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix leastsquares refinement. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $\mathrm{R}=0.0697$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.0850$ for 1711 unique
reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ).

## 8. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.11 \times 0.22 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $K \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>25^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$ $\leq 44^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\theta / 2 \theta$-scan technique in the variablescan speed mode and were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption (DIFABS). Three reflections ( $500,060,006$ ) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<2 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SIR, which revealed the positions of the Co and $P$ atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with difference Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of the phenyl carbons, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0587$ and $R_{w}=0.0650$ for 2425 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ).
9. $\underset{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]}{\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right.}$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.04 \times 0.22 \times 0.31 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed
inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an EnrafNonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo K $\alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>25^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$ $\leq 44^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode and were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption (DIFABS). Three reflections (600, 060, 0012) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<2 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SIR, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with different Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement and refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0529$ and $R_{w}=0.0608$ for 1141 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$.
10.

$$
\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]
$$

A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.11 \times 0.22 \times 0.45 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>30^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$ $\leq 44^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\theta / 2 \theta$-scan technique in the variablescan speed mode and were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption (DIFABS). Three reflections (500, 080, 008) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<4 \%$ ).

The structure was solved by SIR, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with different Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of the phenyl carbons and hydrogens, all atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $\mathrm{R}=0.0365$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=0.0469$ for 2749 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ).
11. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \stackrel{\Gamma}{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)}\right.$ $\xrightarrow[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]]{ }$
A black crystal, which was grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ that had been layered with heptane, of dimensions $0.08 \times 0.11 \times 0.24 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was sealed inside a Lindemann capillary and mounted on the goniometer of an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. The radiation used was Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ monochromatized by a crystal of graphite. Cell constants were obtained from a least-squares refinement of 25 reflections with $2 \theta>30^{\circ}$. Intensity data in the range $2.0 \leq 2 \theta$ $\leq 44^{\circ}$ were collected at 298 K using the $\omega$-scan technique in the variable-scan speed mode and were corrected for Lorentz, polarization, and absorption (DIFABS). Three reflections ( $800,0100,0012$ ) were measured after every 3600 seconds of exposure time in order to monitor crystal decay ( $<1 \%$ ). The structure was solved by SHELX-86, which revealed the positions of the Co and P atoms. All remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located with different Fourier maps and blocked-matrix least-squares refinement. With the exception of phenyl carbons, all atoms were refined anisotropically. Refinement converged at $R=0.0427$ and $R_{w}=0.0460$ for 2127 unique reflections with $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ).
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## CHAPTER III

## RESULTS

## A. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$

The reaction between $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and bma was initially examined in toluene solvent at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an effort to prepare the diphosphine-substituted cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ (2). While the conditions chosen were identical to those employed in the synthesis of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (4) 1 (vide infra), the spectral properties (IR and NMR) of the isolated product did not match that of the expected cluster 2. It was subsequently shown that the isolated product from the thermolysis reaction was the tricobalt cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{C}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (3) (vide infra). When the same reaction was carried out at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with monitoring by IR spectroscopy, no intermediates were observed and cluster 3 was again the only observed product. On the basis of the many examples of diphosphine-substituted $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ clusters that have been prepared and characterized, cluster 2 was considered to be a logical precursor to cluster 3. 2.5 Therefore, efforts were made to synthesize the cluster 2 by alternative routes since this would allow for the exploration of the mechanism leading to the cluster 3.

Treatment of an equimolar mixture of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and bma with 2 equivalents of the oxidative-decarbonylation reagent $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}^{6}$ in either $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or THF at room temperature led to an immediate reaction, as judged by the immediate color change of the reaction solution from red-brown to green. IR and TLC analyses also supported this premise. Cluster 2 was
isolated in 70-80\% yield after passage across a short silica gel column. Maximum yields of 2 were obtained by flash-column chromatography at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ petroleum ether ( $2: 1$ ) as the eluting solvent. 2 is very soluble in polar solvents, yielding green color solutions. 2 does not appear to be overly air-sentive in solution; however, it is extremely temperature sensitive.

The IR spectrum of 2 exhibits two $v(C O)$ bands at 2065 and $2015 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ along with a weak $\vee(\mathrm{CO})$ band at $1986 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which are assignable to terminal cobalt-carbonyl groups. The coordinated bma ligand displays two $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at $1824(\mathrm{w})$ and $1772(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, representing the vibrationally coupled asymmetric and symmetric carbonyl stretches, respectively. 7 The possibility that these last two assignments may represent $\mu_{2}$-bridging carbonyl groups was ruled out by the preparation of cluster 2 that was isotopically enriched with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ gas. Only the frequencies of the latter two carbonyl bands were unaffected, consistent with their anhydride nature.

A single, broad resonance at $\delta 37$ in the ${ }^{31 P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum was observed for cluster 2 in THF at room temperature. The presence of only one ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}}$ resonance along with its high-field location suggests that the ancillary bma ligand bridges adjacent cobalt centers. The same sample was next examined at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in order to reduce the scalar coupling between the 31 P and ${ }^{59}$ Co nuclei. Reduced temperatures effectively serve to shorten the molecular correlation time $\left(\tau_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and have been shown to afford sharper 31 P resonances in other phosphine-substituted cobalt clusters. 8 The low-temperature ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of 2 revealed the same bridging ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonance that was observed at room temperature and the presence of two additional 31P resonances at $\delta 54$ and 62 . These latter two resonances are deshielded relative
to the bridging bma resonance, making them readily assignable to a chelating bma ligand. 9 The fact that two new inequivalent ${ }^{31 P}$ resonances were observed at low temperatures indicates that both $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups cannot reside in the equatorial plane defined by the cobalt atoms. This is, however,


consistent with the presence of a chelating isomer with equatorial and axial $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups. Warming this sample to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the disappearance of the chelating ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}}$ resonances and was accompanied by the expected increase in the resonance at $\delta 37$. Cooling the sample back down to $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the original spectrum and demonstrated the reversible nature of the interconversion between the bridging and chelating isomers of cluster 2. This equilibration process is depicted in Equation 3.1, and a representative ${ }^{31} P\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of 2 exhibiting both the bridging and chelating ${ }^{31 P}$ resonances is seen in Figure 3.1. Concern over the possibility that the chelating isomer may only reflect the existence of a separate and discrete impurity was eliminated by the invariant bridge/chelate ratio measured for different samples of 2. ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR measurements of 2 consistently gave a Keq $=5.7$ in favor of the bridging isomer at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reactivity studies


Figure $3.1 \quad{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectra of $(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{PhCCO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ before the thermolysis at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and (B) $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ $\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ after the thermolysis at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All $31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectra were recorded at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF.


Figure 3.2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}$ of $(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ and $(\mathrm{B})$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{\left.1-C(P h) C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) C(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right), ~(\mathrm{P}}\right.$ recorded at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF.
involving the conversion of 2 to 3 also support the proposed temperaturedependent bma ligand equilibration process in 2 (vide infra).

It is believed that this bridge/chelate bma ligand equilibration is the first of its kind, and the olefinic bond of the bma ligand is believed to play a role in the equilibration process based on the absence of similar 31P NMR behavior in the cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}($ dppe $)$, which contains a saturated diphosphine ligand. Here the bridging ${ }^{31 P}$ resonance is the only observed resonance over all temperatures measured. 10

The solution structure of 2 was also investigated by variabletemperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy. At $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, three terminal carbonyl resonances at $\delta 209(2 \mathrm{C}), 204(2 \mathrm{C}), 202(3 \mathrm{C})$ with an integral ratio of $2: 2: 3$, respectively, are observed. The above spectrum is identical to the published ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectra for the diphosphine-bridged clusters $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{dppe})$ and $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (vida infra), whose chemical shift assignments and CO exchange pathways have been thoroughly discussed. 1 The major isomer of 2 in solution by both 31 P and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy clearly possesses an equatorially disposed bridging bma ligand. Besides these three major carbonyl resonances, a small resonance at $\sim \delta 207$ is seen and assigned to the minor chelating bma isomer of 2 . The presence of only one carbonyl resonance for this isomer indicates that intramolecular CO exchange is still rapid at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, conditions which would give rise to the single observed resonance. Alternatively, the other carbonyl groups of 2 (chelating) may be obscured by the CO groups associated with the bridged isomer of 2. The former explanation is favored at this point since it is known that CO scrambling is, as a rule of thumb, generally faster than that of the phosphine-ligand scrambling. $5,8 \mathrm{~b}$ The low-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum
of 2 is shown in Figure 3.2.
As the temperature is raised to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the carbonyl resonances broaden, more or less at the same rate, and merge to give a single, broad resonance at $\delta 205$, which is in agreement with the weighted-average chemical shift for the bridging isomer of 2. Further warming to room temperature leads to a slight sharpening of the resonance at $\delta 205$. This ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR behavior is fully reversible as evidenced by repeated cooling and warming cycles. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of 2 agree well with the reported ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR data, where the bridging isomer is the major isomer in solution at ambient temperature. The dynamic NMR behavior underscores the extreme ease by which the ancillary bma and CO groups interconvert in solution.

## B. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h)}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

Cluster 2 readily loses CO and transforms to cluster 3 upon gentle heating in a variety of solvents. These thermolysis reactions unequivocally establish the intermediacy of the diphosphine cluster 2 in the formation of 3 and allow the overall reaction to be delineated as illustrated in Equation 3.2. The inability to observe cluster 2 in the thermolysis reactions involving $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and bma provides an important view on the relative rates of formation for clusters 2 and 3. Starting from cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$, the observation of cluster 2 is not expected if the subsequent reactions leading to 3 all occur at faster rates. Independent kinetic measurements that confirm this scenario are discussed in the next section.

Cluster 3 was isolated by chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

solvent and characterized spectroscopically in solution. The IR spectrum of 3 displays terminal carbonyl stretching bands at 2062 (m), 2042 (vs), 2025 (vs), 2010 (s), and 1939 (m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ along with the characteristic bma carbonyl bands at 1811 and $1749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. As with the precursor cluster 2, these latter two bands are assigned to the asymmetric and symmetric $v(C=O)$ modes of the anhydride moiety. 7 The ${ }^{31 P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of 3 showed a pair of equal intensity resonances at $\delta 201.0$ and 12.0 , which are attributed to a $\mu_{2}{ }^{-}$ phosphido and a coordinated $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ group, respectively. A ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-enriched sample of 3 was prepared and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum was recorded at $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reduce the undesired quadrupolar broadening between the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CO}$ nuclei. The resulting spectrum exhibited six, equal-intensity resonances as shown in Figure 3.2b. Of these resonances, the two downfield resonances centered at $\delta 210.5$ and 202.9 reveal phosphorus coupling with JP-C values of 12.3 and 7.9 Hz , respectively. No further effort has been made to assign these resonances to a specific CO group. The observation of six CO groups supports the static structure of 3 shown in Equation 3.2. In comparison to the dynamic ligand behavior observed in 2, 3 maintains a static structure over the temperature range of $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature.

A study of the conversion of 2 to 3 was carried out by ${ }^{31 P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy, which strongly supports the equilibrium between the chelating
and bridging isomers of 2. The $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of a 0.034 M THF solution of 2 was initially examined before the thermolysis reaction. The spectrum is shown in Figure 3.1a, and as discussed, a Keq value of about 5.7 in favor of the bridged-bma isomer was obtained. The same sample was next removed from the spectrometer probe and placed in a temperaturecontrolled bath at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a period of time sufficient to effect partial conversion to cluster 3. After quenching the sample in a dry ice/acetone bath, the ${ }^{31 P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum was recorded again at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting spectrum, which is shown in Figure 3.1b, reveals the presence of cluster 3, on the basis of the resonances at $\delta 201.0$ and 12.0 , and cluster 2. More importantly, the ratio of the bridging and chelating isomers of 2 remained unchanged relative to the initial spectrum, evidence which supports a facile equilibrium between these two isomers.

## C. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathbf{P h C C O}_{3}(\mathbf{C O})_{7}(\mathbf{Z}) \cdot \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P C H}$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

A clean reaction between $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ and $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ was observed when equimolar amounts of reactants were heated overnight at 75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TLC analysis revealed the presence of a slower moving material that is readily assigned to the product cluster, $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (4). Cluster 4 was isolated in $75 \%$ yield by chromatography using silica gel

and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /petroleum ether mixture solvent. Alternative synthetic methods were also examined as a route to cluster 4. Me3NO-promoted oxidative decarbonylation 6 and sodiobenzophenone ketyl-initiated electrontransfer chain (ETC) ${ }^{11}$ reactions also gave cluster 4 in isolated yields that ranged from 50 to $70 \%$ (Equation 3.3).

The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ revealed two prominent $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at 2057 (s) and 2006 (vs) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which are assigned to terminal carbonyl groups, along with a very weak $v(\mathrm{CO})$ band at $1831 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The intensity pattern and frequency of these terminal carbonyl bands are in agreement with the IR data reported for the diphosphine-bridged cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{dppe}) .{ }^{4}$ The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of a sample of 4 , recorded at $-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, exhibited a major ( $>95 \%$ ) 31P resonance at $\delta 36.2$ along with a pair of equal intensity resonances at $\delta 77.7$ and 103.6. The major resonance is assigned to cluster 4 with a bridging diphosphine ligand, while the minor species is ascribed to the isomeric cluster possessing a chelating diphosphine ligand. A chelating diphosphine ligand may be rationalized due to the existence of two highly deshieled, inequivalent ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances. $2 \mathrm{~b}, 9$ The possibility of a chelate-tobridge equilibration reaction involving $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ has been ruled out since the amount of the chelating isomer remained unchanged relative to the bridging isomer in a variety of solvents and different temperatures.

The variable-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR behavior of 4 was examined next by using a sample of 4 that was $\sim 20-30 \%$ enriched in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$. The limiting spectrum of 4 at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reveals the presence of three terminal carbonyl resonances at $\delta 211,204$, and 203 with a relative integral ratio of $2: 2: 3$, respectively. The two resonances at $\delta 211,204$ are assigned to the pairwise
equivalent axial and equatorial carbonyl groups at the phosphine-substituted cobalt centers. 5,12 The remaining high-field resonance at $\delta 203$ represents the carbonyls associated with the cobalt tricarbonyl group, which are rendered magnetically equivalent as a result of rapid, intramolecular 3-fold carbonyl scrambling. ${ }^{13}$ As the temperature is raised to $-57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the carbonyl resonances broaden, more or less at the same rate, and merge to give a single, broad resonance at $\delta 206$, which is in agreement with the weighted-average chemical shift. Further warming to room temperature promotes rapid, intramolecular carbonyl scrambling about the cluster polyhedron; this gives rise to a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum possessing a single sharp resonance at $\delta 206$. Using the CO exchange pathways proposed by McGlinchey ${ }^{5}$ for related tetrahedron clusters along with the knowledge of the limiting ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ chemical shifts and the coalescence temperature, $\tau_{c}$, an activation energy of $\sim 9.6$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the complete carbonyl scrambling in $\mathbf{4}$ is calculated. 14 This value is in excellent agreement with the data reported by McGlinchey. 5

## D. Kinetic Study on the Transformation of 2 to 3.

The kinetics for the reaction of 2 to 3 were investigated by $I R$ spectroscopy in THF solution over the temperature range of $27-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction followed first-order kinetics over a period of 2-3 half-lives, and the reported first-order rate constants, which are quoted in Table 3.1, were calculated by monitoring the absorbance decrease in the bma band at 1772 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ of 2. Changing the solvent from THF to either $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or 2,5 -dimethylTHF (entries 3 and 6) did not affect the rate of the reaction, but the introduction of $\mathrm{CO}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ resulted in a sharp retardation in the rate. Entries 2 and 4 allow for a direct comparison of the effect of the CO on this reaction.
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The presence of CO slows the formation of cluster 3 down by a factor of almost 36. These data suggest that the rate-determing step is best described by an unimolecular mechanism involving dissociative CO loss, as outlined in Equation 3.4. Treating the unsaturated intermediate $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{bma})$ (e) within the steady-state approximation affords the rate law:

$$
\text { rate }=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})\right]}{\mathrm{k}_{-1}[\mathrm{CO}]+\mathrm{k}_{2}}
$$

which, in the absence of added $\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}>\mathrm{k}_{-1}[\mathrm{CO}]\right)$, reduces to rate $=$ $\mathrm{k}_{1}\left[\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})\right]$, as expected for a dissociative mechanism. The observed CO inhibition and the enthalpy of activation $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\neq}=29.9 \pm 2.2\right.$

Table 3.1 Experimental Rate Constants for the Reaction of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ (2) to $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta ^ { 1 - C } ( \mathrm { Ph } ) \longdiv { C = C ( \mathrm { PPh } _ { 2 } ) }\right.$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$
(3) a

| Entry no. | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $10 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obsd},}, \mathrm{b}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 27.0 |  |
| 2 | 34.2 | $3.7 \pm 0.8$ |
| 3 c | 34.2 | $18.10 \pm 0.02$ |
| $4^{\text {d }}$ | 34.2 | $20 \pm 1$ |
| 5 | 43.6 | $0.51 \pm 0.06$ |
| 6 e | 43.6 | $63 \pm 6$ |
| 7 | 50.2 | $63 \pm 9$ |
| 8 | 57.0 | $185 \pm 24$ |

aFrom $\sim 8.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{PhCCo} 3$ (CO) $)_{7}$ (bma) in THF by following the disappearance of the $1772 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ IR band. All THF kinetic data quoted represent the average of two measurements. bError limits at $95 \%$ confidence level. $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{CH}}^{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ used as solvent. din the presence of 1 atm of $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{e} 2,5-$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{THF}$ used as solvent.
$\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and the entropy of activation ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\neq}=21 \pm 6 \mathrm{eu}$ ) are fully consistent with the proposed mechanism. It is noteworthy to mention here that this mechanism does not give any information concerning the timing of the P-C bond cleavage of the bma ligand and formation of the $\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-}$ benzylidene(diphenylphosphino)-maleic anhydride ligand because these steps occur after the rate-determing step. However, the lower limit for the rates of these steps cannot be less than the reported rates in Table 3.1.

## E. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}($ bma $)$

Single crystals of 2 were grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution containing 2 that had been layered with heptane. Compound 2 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusually short inter- or intramolecular contacts. The $X$-ray data collection and processing parameters for 2 are given in Table 3.2, with the selected bond distances and angles given in Table 3.3. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.3.
F. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}\right.$ (O)] $\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

Single crystals of 3 were grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution containing 3 that had been layered with heptane. Compound 3 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusually short inter- or intramolecular contacts. The X-ray data collection and processing parameters for 3 are given in Table 3.4, with the selected bond distances and angles given in Table 3.5. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.4.

Table 3.2. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})(2)$

Space group
Cell Constants
a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
V, $\AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell ( $Z$ )
$\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), cm-1
radiation $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
scan speed range, $\mathrm{deg} \cdot \min ^{-1}$
total data collected
independent data
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I )
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

Pna ${ }_{1}$, orthorhombic
20.488 (2)
10.620 (1)
17.665 (1)
3843.8(9)
$\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{P}_{2}$
928.41

4
1.604
14.17
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 40.0$
0.67-8.0

2080
2080
1344
0.0367
0.0391
$\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.3. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})(2) \mathrm{a}$

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.504(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.505(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.411(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.247(4) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.198(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.80(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.89(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.91(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 2.24(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.79(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.74(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.88(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.94(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2.02(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.77(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.74(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.91(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.96(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.16(2) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.13(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.15(2) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.15(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.16(2) | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.16(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.21(2) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.14(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.40(2) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.39(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.19(2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.53(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.33(2) | C(14)-C(15) | 1.47(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.39(2) |  |  |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 57.53(8) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 61.25(7) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 61.21(7) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 123.1(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 144.4(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 60.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 48.9(4) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 90.5(1) |

Table 3.3. continued

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $172.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $97.3(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $54.4(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $102.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $158.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $96.7(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $102.3(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $160.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $97.3(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $160 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $124 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $175 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $176 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $76.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $148 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $177 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $82.5(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $143 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $135 .(1)$ |  |  |

aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.


Figure 3.3. ORTEP diagram of the tricobalt cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 3.4. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (3)

Space group
Cell Constants
a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
$\beta$, deg
$\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell (Z)
$\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
scan speed range, deg ${ }^{\bullet} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$
total data collected
independent data
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I )
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

P $21 / n$, monoclinic (\#14)
11.538 (1)
17.0754 (8)
19.506 (1)
92.108(7)
3840.4 (7)
$\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{2}$
900.40

4
1.557
14.14
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$
0.67-8.0

5169
4898

$$
2012
$$

| R | 0.0392 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.0432 |
| weights | $\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]-1$ |

Table 3.5. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \subset=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \quad(3) \mathrm{a}$

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.576(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.412(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $2.696(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.72(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.75(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.92(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.264(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.78(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.06(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.12(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.234(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.81(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.023(9) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.17(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.15(1) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.14(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 1.15(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.129(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 1.13(1) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.13(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 1.20(1) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | 1.40(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.39(1) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | 1.18(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.47(1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.45(1) |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 65.34(6) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 54.40(5) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 60.26(5) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 54.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 101.0(4) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 108.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 106.1(5) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 106.3(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 98.0(5) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 150.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 110.9(3) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 97.4(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 100.3(4) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 101.9(4) |

Table 3.5. continued

| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $104.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $142.7(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $40.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $50.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $73.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $108.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $103.7 .(4)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(16)$ | $85.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $96.3(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $158.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $95.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $71.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $169(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $174 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $103.7 .(4)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(16)$ | $85.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $179(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $176(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $177(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $176(1)$ |

aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.


Figure 3.4. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \sqrt{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})}\right.$ $\overline{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 3.6. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right](4)$

Space group

## Cell Constants

a, $\AA \quad 12.7065$ (9)
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
$\beta$, deg
V, $\AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell ( Z )
$\rho, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
scan speed range, $\operatorname{deg} \cdot \min ^{-1}$
total data collected
independent data
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I )
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

P $21 / \mathrm{n}$, monoclinic
18.385 (2)
15.943 (1)
98.025 (6)
3688.0 (5)
$\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}$
858.40

4
1.546
14.65
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$
0.67-8.0

5169
4786
2021
0.0445
0.0488
$\left[\left(\sigma \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) 2\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.7. Selected Bond Distances ( $\mathbf{\AA}$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right] \quad(4) \mathrm{a}$

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.473(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.483(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.206 (3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.75(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.79(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.88(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $2.486(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.75(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.206(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.75(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.75(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.74(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.16(1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.81(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.14(1) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 1.14(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.79(1) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 1.16(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | 1.15(2) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | 1.17(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.31(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.90(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.80(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.94(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.816(9) |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 60.22(6) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 98.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 143.0(4) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 106.7(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 99.8(5) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 107.0(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 140.2(5) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 101.9(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 94.0(6) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 107.2(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 141.9(5) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 96.5(5) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 117.8(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 118.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 177.(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 178.(1) |

Table 3.7. continued

| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $178 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $178 .(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $176 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $126.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $128.0(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $123 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $117(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $121 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $120 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $122 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $94.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $101.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $103.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $101.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $93.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $128.0(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $59.69(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(5)$ | $151.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(6)$ | $97.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $99.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $48.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $96.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $102.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $145.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $49.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $81.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $136.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $80.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $131.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $124.9(7)$ |  |  |

aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.


Figure 3.5. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
G. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P C H}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

Single crystals of 4 were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution containing 4 that had been layered with heptane and the molecular structure was determined. Cluster 4 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusually inter- or intramolecular contacts. The X-ray data collection and processing parameters for $\mathbf{4}$ are given in Table 3.6, with the selected bond distances and angles given in Table 3.7. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.5.
H. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\eta^{2}, \eta^{\left.1-C(P h) C=C\left(P_{2}\right) C(O) O C(O)\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right), ~}$
The reaction between the cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(3) \text { and } \mathrm{PMe}_{3} \text { was initially examined at room }}$ temperature in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent in an attempt to explore the substitution chemistry associated with 3 . When a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 3 was treated


3
5
with 1.1 equivalent of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, an instantaneous reaction took place and the reaction solution acquired a red-brown color (Equation 3.5). The new cluster 5 was found to be unstable at room temperature, transforming into a new
species, 6 , during a period of 3 h in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. It was subsequently shown that cluster 6 is the mono-substituted cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$, where the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ group coordinates to the cobalt center that is not bridged by the ancillary phosphido group (vide infra). The intermediacy of 5 as a precursor to $\mathbf{6}$ is of interest with respect to metal cluster reactivity and crucial for understanding of the formation of 6.

The stability of cluster 5 in solution was greatly improved when the reaction was conducted at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Pure 5 was isolated in $>90 \%$ yield by treating the reaction solution with excess petroleum ether at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 5 does not appear to be overly air sensitive in solution, but it is extremely temperature sensitive.

The IR spectrum of 5 exhibits terminal carbonyl stretching bands at 2034 (m), 2009 (vs), 2000 (vs), 1996 (vs), 1960 (sh) cm-1 along with the characteristic bma carbonyl bands at $1795(\mathrm{~m})$ and $1736(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1 .} 7$ Compared to the starting cluster 3, the carbonyl bands are shifted to lower frequencies, consistent with the stronger electron donating ability of the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand. The possibility that the $1795(\mathrm{~m})$ and $1736(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ carbonyl bands may represent bridging carbonyl groups was ruled out by the preparation of cluster 5 that was isotopically enriched with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ gas. Only the frequencies of these two bands were not affected, consistent with their anhydride nature. The 31P NMR spectrum of 5 in THF at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ displayed three equal-intensity resonances at $\delta 184.3,55.4\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}}=51 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and $0.6\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}}=51 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$. The most downfield resonance is easily assigned to the $\mu_{2}$-phosphido ligand, while the resonances at $\delta 55.4$ and 0.6 are attributed to coordinated $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ groups (bma phosphine and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ groups). The solution structure was also probed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. Over temperature range of $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF, 5
displayed five carbonyl resonances at $\delta 212.3,208.3,205.0,202.1$, and 201.4 with an integral ratio of $1: 2: 1: 1: 1$. No attempt has been made to assign these resonances to specific carbonyl groups. The observation of six CO groups from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data and three phosphorous resonances from the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data in 5 suggests that the reaction between cluster 3 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ involves no CO loss and that 5 is an adduct of cluster 3 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$.

## I. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

Single crystals of 5 were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 5 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- and intramolecular contacts. Table 3.8 lists the X-ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.9 gives the selected bond distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.6.

## J. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{5}\right)_{\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-}-1\right.}$

 $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(6)$Cluster 5 readily loses CO and transforms to cluster 6 upon stirring in a variety of solvents at room temperature. The overall reaction between cluster 3 and 1.1 equivalent of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ can now be delineated as that illustrated in Equation 3.6.

Cluster 6 was isolated by chromatography over silica gel using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent and characterized spectroscopically in solution. The IR spectrum displays terminal carbonyl stretching bands at 2040 (m), 2006 (vs), 1980 (sh), 1884 (w) cm-1, along with the characteristic bma carbonyl bands at 1801 (w) and $1741(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}}$ NMR spectrum of 6 exhibits three, equal-
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6
intensity resonances at $\delta 190.5,14.3$, and 7.8 , which are attributed to a $\mu_{2^{-}}$ phosphido and coordinated $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ groups (bma $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ groups), respectively. A sample of 6 enriched with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ was prepared and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum was recorded at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reduce the undesired quadrupolar broadening between the Co-CO nuclei. The resulting spectrum exhibited five, equal-intensity resonances at $\delta 216.1,206.4,204.6,200.5$ and 191.9. Of these resonances, the most downfield resonance centered at $\delta 216.1$ and the highest field resonance centered at 191.9 reveal phosphorus coupling with JP-C values of 23.1 and 7.9 Hz , respectively. No further effort has been made to assign these resonances to specific CO groups. The observation of five CO

Table 3.8. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\left.\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{C}{=}=\mathrm{PPh} 2\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ )

Space group
Cell Constants
a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
$\alpha, \operatorname{deg}$
$\beta$, deg
$\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$
$\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
$\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g} * \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
total data collected
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

P1/triclinic
11.236 (2)
12.802 (4)
17.886 ( 1 )
86.41 (2)
73.26 (2)
83.79 (2)

2448 (1)
$\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{3}$
1076.68
1.461
11.53
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 40.0$
4544
4544
0.0667
0.0816
$\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.9. Selected Bond Distances ( $\mathbf{\AA}$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ $\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (5)a

|  | bond distances |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $2.663(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $2.523(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.129(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.73(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.68(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.02(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.07(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.188(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.71(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.75(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(6)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $2.28(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2.02(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.278(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.72(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.69(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2.04(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.83(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.18(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.20(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.18(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.17(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.16(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.21(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.22(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.22(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.38(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.23(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.46(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.43(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.43(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.48(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.49(3)$ |
|  |  | bond angles |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $52.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $97.5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $50.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $76.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $147.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $52.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $105.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $97.8(2)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 3.9. continued

| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $124.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $51.1(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $86.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $174.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $101.0(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $76.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $110(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $115(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $109(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $127(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $113(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $109(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $125(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $129(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $106(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $116(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $111(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $133(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $68(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $105(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $110(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $104(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $123 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $129(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $76.8(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $103(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $128(1)$ | $114(1)$ |  |

[^1]

Figure 3.6. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\Gamma}{C=C( }\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (5) with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
groups supports the proposed structure of 6 and reveals that the ancillary CO groups do not participate in any intramolecular scrambling about the cluster core.
K. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (6)

Single crystals of 6 were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 6 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- and intramolecular contacts. Table 3.10 lists the X-ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.11 gives the selected bond distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.7.
L. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

Cluster 6 reacts with additional $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to afford the disubstituted cluster 7 (Equation 3.7). The same result can be obtained by treating a
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$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 3 at room temperature with excess $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. Unlike the reaction between 3 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, which gave cluster 5 as an intermediate, no

Table 3.10. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (6) ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Space group $\quad \mathrm{P}_{1} \ln /$ monoclinic

Cell Constants
a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
$\beta$, deg
$\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$
mol formula

## fw

formula units per cell ( $Z$ )
$\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
collection range, deg
total data collected
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I )
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

$\qquad$

Table 3.11. $\quad$ Selected Bond Distances ( $\mathbf{A}$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\quad . \quad{ }^{-}\right)}{ }\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) (6)a

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.571(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.779(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.253(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.79(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.14(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(11)$ | 2.035(9) | $\mathrm{Co}(2) \mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $2.437(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.77(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.73(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.90(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.135(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.240(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $2.256(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.79(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.01(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | 1.82(1) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | 1.82(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.776(9) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(211)$ | 1.82(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$-C(217) | 1.80(1) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.82(1) | $P(3)-C(33)$ | 1.82(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.14(1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.13(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.15(1) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.16(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.12(1) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.18(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.41(1) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.39(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.17(1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.48(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.43(1) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.43(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.46(1) | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.47(1) |
| C(17)-C(18) | 1.39(1) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.39(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | 1.38(2) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | 1.35(2) |

Table 3.11. continued

| $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.34(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $1.43(2)$ |  |
|  | bond angles |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $54.02(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $52.01(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $98.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $93.17(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $65.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $135.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $54.02(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $52.01(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $98.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $62.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $93.17(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $71.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $65.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $148.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $109.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $39.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | $58.64(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $126.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $119.7(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $49.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $70.93(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $170.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $72.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $99.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $87.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $103.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)$ | $71.7(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $91.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $111.6(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $101.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $74.0(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $132.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $107.0(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $119 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $134(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $122 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106.1(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $132(1)$ |

aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.


Figure 3.7. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta ^ { 1 } - \mathrm { C } ( \mathrm { Ph } ) \longdiv { C = C ( \mathrm { PPh } _ { 2 } ) }\right.$ $\overline{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \text { with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the }}$ $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
intermediates were observed when the reaction between 6 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ was monitored by IR spectroscopy. Cluster 7 was isolated by chromatography over silica gel using a mixture solvent of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /acetone (95:5) and characterized spectroscopically in solution. The IR spectrum of 7 displays terminal carbonyl stretching bands at 1993 (sh), 1976 (vs), 1864 ( w , broad) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, along with the characteristic bma carbonyl bands at 1791 and $1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of 7 exhibits four equal-intensity resonances at $\delta 172.9,7.2$, 0.8 , and -10.0 , which are attributed to a $\mu_{2}$-phosphido and coordinated Co$\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ groups (bma $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ and two $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ groups), respectively. A ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$-enriched sample of 7 was prepared and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum recorded at $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting spectrum exhibited three resonances at $\delta 224.0,207.4$, and 206.7 with an integral ratio of $1: 1: 2$. Of these resonances, the most downfield resonance at $\delta 224.0$ reveals phosphorus coupling with a $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}}$ value of 25.5 Hz . No further effort has been made to assign these resonances to specific CO groups. The observation of four CO groups supports a static structure of 7, which is maintained over the temperature range of $-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature.
M. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h}\right) \stackrel{C=C( }{C}$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$

Single crystals of 7 were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 7 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- and intramolecular contacts. Table 3.12 lists the X-ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.13 gives the selected distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.8.

Table 3.12. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right), ~}{\text { ( }}\right.$ (7)

Space group
Cell Constants
a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
$\beta$, deg
$\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell (Z)
$\rho, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ) , $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation Mo $K \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
total data collected
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma(\mathrm{I}) \quad 5906$
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights
0.0489
$\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{n} /$ monoclinic
11.0047(8)
19.558(2)
17.683(1)
94.947(6)
4664.4(6)
$\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{4}$
996.54

4
1.419
12.33
0.71073

6253
0.0453
$\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.13. $\quad$ Selected Bond Distances $(\dot{\AA})$ and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) (7)a

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.422(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.832(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.235(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 2.242(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2.035(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 2.592(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.139(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.377(7) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.900(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.241(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $2.265(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.068(7) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.198(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.788(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.201(9) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.405(9) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.402(9) | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.180(9) |
| $C(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.45(1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.47(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.48(1) | $C(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.44(1) |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 58.51(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 118.14(7) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 127.28(7) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 49.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 70.15(6) | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 170.33(8) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 74.3(2) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 100.48(8) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 86.8(2) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 103.4(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | 68.68(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 106.31(7) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 68.7(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 82.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 137.9(2) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 37.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 52.81(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 91.38(6) |

Table 3.13. continued

| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $170.82(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $71.1(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $63.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $51.91(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{P}(4)$ | $133.15(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $95.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $58.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $145.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $105.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $100.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $112.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $144.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $92.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $110.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $99.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $74.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $130.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $120.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $111.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $119.1(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $132.3(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $108.5(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $120.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $107.3(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $131.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ | $68.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $126.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $115.7(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $53.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $65.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $112.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(3)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $109.7(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $106.1(6)$ |

aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.


Figure 3.8. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)}{\square}\right.$ $\overline{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \text { with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the }}$ $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
N. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$
The reaction between the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma was initially examined in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature using the oxidative-decarbonylation reagent $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$, as a route to $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma}$ ) (Equation 3.8). A clean and immediate reaction was

$+\quad \xrightarrow[P=\mathrm{PPh}_{2}]{ }$



8a
observed when the reaction was monitored by IR spectroscopy. TLC analysis revealed the presence of small amount of starting material and product ( $>90 \%$ ). The resulting green solution was purified by chromatography over a short silica gel column at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ as an airsensitive solid in routinely isolated yields of $50-60 \%$. The lowered yield of isolated product compared to the reaction solution stems from the partial decomposition of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ on the silica gel, presumably the result of anhydride ring hydrolysis.

Two prominent terminal $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at 2047 (vs) and 1993 (vs) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ were observed for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma $)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution, in addition to a weak shoulder at $1952 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The symmetry of this IR spectrum
is inconsistent with the IR data reported for other diphosphine-bridged $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu$-alkyne $) \mathrm{P}_{2}$ complexes. Accordingly, the bma ligand was assigned to a chelating role in the chromatographed material. The expected $v(C O)$ bands associated with the bma ligand were observed at 1842 (w) and 1775 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and ascribed to the vibrationally coupled asymmetric and symmetric bma carbonyl stretches, 7 respectively. These latter two IR assignments were unequivocally established by an isotope study. Repeating the experiment depicted in Eq. 3.8 with a sample of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ that was $20-30 \%$ enriched in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ afforded the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ with unchanged bma carbonyl bands.

The ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution displayed a single resonance at $\sim \delta 60$ over the temperature range $-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature. The low-field location of the 31 P resonance supports the chelating nature of the bma ligand in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma), as it is known that five-membered diphosphine-metal chelate rings experience a large nuclear deshielding of the 31 P centers, causing a lower-field resonance relative to the alternative six-membered ring isomer (vide infra). 9 The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$, prepared from ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ enriched $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$, bma, and $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$, was recorded as a function of temperature in THF solution. At room temperature two, broad resonances at $\delta 207.5$ and 201.1 were observed in an integral ratio $1: 3$, for the lone CO group at the bma-chelated cobalt center and the unsubstituted $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ center, respectively. Reducing the temperature to $-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led only to the sharpening of these resonances, as a result of the diminution of the dinuclear complex's molecular correlation time ( $\tau_{c}$ ), which is expected to afforded sharper ${ }^{13 \mathrm{C}}$ resonances. $2 \mathrm{a}, 15$ The variable-temperature NMR data
indicate that intramolecular carbonyl scrambling between the two cobalt centers is not important in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$.

While the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data clearly show that the sole product from the reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma under $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}-$ induced carbonyl activation is the chelating isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$, the thermolysis reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma yields a mixture of chelating and bridging isomers of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma). Refluxing an equimolar mixture of the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ overnight led to a more complicated IR spectrum than previously recorded, and this suggested the existence of an isomeric mixture of bridging and chelating $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. Examination of the ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}}$ spectrum of the crude thermolysis product revealed the presence of two ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances at $\delta 60.6$ and 30.3, assignable to the chelating and bridging isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$, respectively. The solubility of the bridging isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ in common solvents is slightly higher than that of the chelating isomer and this allowed, after repeated recrystallizations, the isolation of small quantities of pure bridging isomer from the mother liquor. Three terminal $v(C O)$ bands at 2031 (s), 2009 (vs), and $1982(\mathrm{sh}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ are observed in the IR spectrum of this isomer, which are identical to the IR data reported the analogous dppm- and dppe-substituted complexes. 16,17 The $v$ (CO) bands at $1818(\mathrm{w})$ and $1765(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ belong to the bridging bma ligand. It is interesting to note that these latter two stretches are $\sim 24$ and $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower than the corresponding bma stretches in the chelating isomer. The bridged isomer exhibits a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\{1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum with a broad carbonyl resonance at $\delta 203$ at room temperature, which upon cooling to $-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sharpens only
slightly. Two separate carbonyl resonances were expected at low temperature given the NMR studies on related dppm-bridged cobalt-alkyne complexes published by Hansen. 18 In that report, dynamic ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR behavior is derived from a rocking motion of the coordinated acetylene ligand within the nido polyhedronal core of the cobalt dinuclear complex. Two possible explanations for the low-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR behavior in bridged isomer are resonable, namely (1) a small chemical shift difference exists between the unique carbonyl groups, or (2) the diphenylacetylene ligand is still rocking rapidly within the nido polyhedral core .

Since it was not immediately clear whether the formation of both of the isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ proceeded by separate pathways or if a chelate-to-bridge isomerization sequence was operative, the thermolysis reactivity of the pure chelating isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ was next examined. Refluxing the chelating isomer in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ slowly afforded $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ as an isomeric mixture. The resulting IR and ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}}$ NMR spectra were identical to those obtained from the thermolysis reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma (vide supra). The equilibrium nature of this reaction was also demonstrated by exploring the ratio of the bridging-to-chelating isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma $)$ by ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy. Heating a 0.03 M sample of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in a sealed NMR tube at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hrs gave a Keq (bridge/chelate) of $\sim 1$. No other phosphorus-containing products were observed in this reaction. The same results were also obtained when the equilibration was approached by heating a 0.01 M sample of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma) (bridging) in dichloroethane overnight at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Moreover, added CO strongly suppresses isomer equilibration. No isomerization was
observed after 2 days at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma) (chelating) was stirred under 100 psi of CO . Consistent with the isomerization inhibition by CO is the fact that near-UV photolysis of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating) also gives an isomeric mixture of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. Here optical excitation is expected to promote CO dissociation and, thus, initiates the isomerization sequences. Taken collectively, the ligand substitution data strongly support consecutive reaction pathways, where the initially formed chelating isomer gives rise to the corresponding bridging isomer as outlined in Equation 3.9.


The reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ was studied next because the anticipated product $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})[(\mathrm{Z})-$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ would allow for disparate reactivity between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ to be probed. Since the two compounds are expected to be structurally similar, it could be argued that any observed reactivity differences would have their origin in the electronic properties inherent to each ancillary diphosphine ligand. The dinuclear compound $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ] was obtained exclusively as the chelating isomer when $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-$
$\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ was allowed to react with $(Z)-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ in either dichloromethane at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature (Equation 3.10). The IR spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ] exhibits two terminal $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at 2039 (vs) and 1983 (vs) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. These $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands are $\sim 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower in energy than the analogous carbonyl bands in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating), which reflects the better donor properties of the $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ligand relative to the bma ligand, with its electron-withdrawing anhydride ring. The chelating nature
 $+\quad \xrightarrow[\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{PPh}_{2}]{\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}}$


of the ancillary diphosphine was ascertained by ${ }^{31 P}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopies, where a single resonance at $\delta 71.8$ and two resonances at $\delta$ 208.8 (1C) and $202.8(3 \mathrm{C})$, respectively, have been recorded at $-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF solution.

The thermolysis and photolysis reactivity of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ under conditions comparable to those employed in the isomerization of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ did not afford any of the bridging isomer. In both cases, the only observed reaction was the
decomposition of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$, with decomposition from the thermolysis reaction being more extensive than that from the photolysis reaction. The absence of isomerization in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ suggests that the diphosphine remains associated with the cobalt center and does not become free, a condition that would be required for isomerization.

## O. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathbf{C O})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P C}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathbf{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

During the course of the investigation on the isomerization of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ in refluxing dichloroethane or toluene solutions, the appearance of a new product was found by IR and TLC analyses. It was subsequently shown that at temperatures higher than $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the compound $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma $)$ was unstable, giving as the only isolable product the new complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$ $\overline{\mathrm{OC}}(\mathrm{O})](\mathbf{1 0})$ as shown in Eq. 3.11. This same product is obtained from the reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma in refluxing dichloroethane,
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by way of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. The dinuclear complex 10 , which
results from the formal coupling of the diphenylacetylene and bma ligands, was isolated in $10 \%$ yield by column chromatography and characterized in solution by IR and NMR spectroscopies. When the thermolysis reaction was repeated under $\mathrm{CO}(100 \mathrm{psi})$ using either isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma), no reaction was observed. Only unreacted starting material was isolated at the end of these reactions.

The IR spectrum of 10 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ shows four terminal $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at 2050 (s), 2025 (vs), 2008 (s), and 1981 (m) cm-1, in agreement with the highly asymmetric structure of the dinuclear complex which should yield a greater number of carbonyl stretching bands relative to the starting bma-substituted compound. The $v(C O)$ bands derived from bma ligand are observed at 1809 (w) and 1745 (m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. At $-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ four, equal-intensity carbonyl resonances were observed at $\delta 202.1,201.1$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 196.9, and 193.7 in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}[1 \mathrm{H}\}$ NMR spectrum of 10 . The doublet resonance at $\delta 201.1$ is presumed to result from coupling with one of the phosphine atoms in 10. The 31P NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ recorded under comparable conditions shows the presence of two inequivalent phosphine ligands at $\delta 46.7$ (broad) and 27.8 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}}=17.0$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. While no attempt has been made to assign the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ resonances to specific groups, we note that the NMR data are consistent with the structure of 10. Warming these samples to room temperature led only to the broadening of these resonances as ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Co}$ quadrupolar-induced line broadening becomes more pronounced.

## P. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{4}\right)_{4}(\mu \mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma) (bridging)

 Single crystals of $\mathbf{8 b}$ were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 8b exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusualTable 3.14. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (bridging isomer) (8a)

Space group

## Cell Constants

a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
$\alpha$, deg
$\beta$, deg
$\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$
V, $\AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell (Z)
$\rho, g \bullet \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff $(\mu), \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
total data collected
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I )
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

P1/triclinic
10.712 (1)
11.1467 (4)
19.944 (1)
78.311 (6)
89.029 (7)
68.169 (7)
2160.4 (3)
$\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}$
959.49

2
1.475
10.13
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$
5230
1711
0.0697
0.085
$\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.15. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (bridging isomer 8a) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $2.469(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.77(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.223 (6) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.71(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.91(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 2.01(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.214(5) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.75(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.65(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.91(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.93(2) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.81(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.78(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.15(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.18(3) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.15(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.21(3) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.19 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.38(3) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.32(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.21(3) | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.29(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.48(3) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.42(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.48(3) |  |  |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 108.3(2) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 109.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 97.0(8) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 138.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 100.0(6) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 97(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 96.8(2) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 106.6(6) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 103.4(8) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 142.9(5) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 110.8(5) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 99(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 115.6(6) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 113.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 177(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 179(3) |

Table 3.15. continued

| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $169 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $175 .(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $108.1(6)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $122.9(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $97 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $107.5(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $140.1(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $96.9(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $105 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $38.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $99 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $96.8(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $135.8(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $100.9(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $95 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $39.1(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $105 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $101.6(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $101.3(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $80.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $139 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $71 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $129 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $67 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $69 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $127 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $127 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $119 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $132 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $110 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $110 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $122 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $113 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $125 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $104 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $131 .(2)$ | $125 .(2)$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

[^2]

Figure 3.9. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma) (bridging isomer 8a) with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table 3.16. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for the Dinuclear Complex $\mathrm{PhCCPhCo}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (9)
Space group $\quad \mathrm{P} 1 /$ triclinic

Cell Constants

| a, $\AA$ | 9.993 (2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| b, $\AA$ | 12.047 (2) |
| c, $\AA$ | 16.657 (2) |
| $\alpha, \mathrm{deg}$ | 74.67. 1 ( |
| $\beta$, deg | 77.99 (1) |
| $\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$ | 84.52 (1) |
| V, $\AA^{3}$ | 1889.2 (6) |
| mol formula | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ |
| fw | 804.56 |
| formula units per cell ( $Z$ ) | 2 |
| $\rho, \mathrm{g} \bullet \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.414 |
| abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 10.00 |
| collection range, deg | $2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$ |
| total data collected | 4836 |
| independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ) | 2425 |
| R | 0.0587 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | 0.0658 |
| weights | $\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]^{-1}$ |

3.17. Selected Bond Distances $(\AA)$ and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (9)a

|  |  | bond distances |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $2.485(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.78(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.237(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.188(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.930(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.92(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.77(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.79(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.71(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.99(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.98(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.16(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.13(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.17(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.31(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $87.7(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $106.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $96.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $104.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $103.8(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $98.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $171.8(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $179(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $175(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $176(1)$ |



Figure 3.10. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ] with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
short inter- and intramolecular contacts. Table 3.14 lists the X-ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.15 gives the selected distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.9.

## Q. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z}) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathbf{P P h}_{2}$ ]

Single crystals of 9 were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 9 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- and intramolecular contacts. Table 3.16 lists the X -ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.17 gives the selected distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.10.

## R. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1-(Z)}-\mathrm{Ph} \mathbf{P}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

Single crystals of 10 were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 10 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- and intramolecular contacts. Table 3.18 lists the X -ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.19 gives the selected distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 11.

## S. Cyclic Voltammetric Investigation of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$, $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1-}\right.$ ( Z ) $\left.-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$.

The electrochemical behavior of the dinuclear complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma}), \mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ $\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ was next examined

Table 3.18. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})\right.$
$\mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})](10)$

Space group
P212121/orthorhombic
Cell Constants
a, $\AA$

$$
10.352 \text { ( } 1 \text { ) }
$$

b, $\AA$
15.883 (1)
c, $\AA$
V, $\AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
23.437 (2)
3853.5 (6)
formula units per cell ( $Z$ )
$\rho, \mathrm{g} \bullet \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
1.507
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} 9.92$
radiation $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
0.71073
collection range, deg
scan speed range, deg $\cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$
(ox scan (s) (s)
max scan time, ( s ) 120
total data collected 2694
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ ( I ) 1141
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
0.0608
weights
$\left[0.04 F^{2}+(\sigma F)^{2}\right]-1$

Table 3.19. Selected Bond Distances ( $\mathbf{\AA}$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-C=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})](10)^{a}$

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.594(4) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.244(6) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.77(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.73(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.09(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 2.11(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.179(6)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.76 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.81(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.11(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.00(2) | $P(1)-C(11)$ | 1.76(2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$-C(17) | 1.81(2) | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.16(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.17(3) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.19(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.12(3) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.22(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.42(3) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.39(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.19(3) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.53(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.45(3) | C(16)-C(17) | 1.51(3) |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 71.8(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 174.3(7) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 94.3(7) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 90(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 100.5(9) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 96.5(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 161.5(9) | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 122.2(9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 84.5(7) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 94(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 115.5(9) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 155(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 92.7(7) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 175(2) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 170(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 115(2) |


| Table 3.19 continued |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $178(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $115 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $110.4(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $110.4(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $102.0(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $88.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $127.9(6)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $101.8(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $110.4(8)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $84.5(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $119.4(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $122.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $109 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $112 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $101 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $141 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $111 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $115 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $132 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $113 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $121 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $109 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $130 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $122 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $103 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $124 .(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $112 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $91.6(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $68 .(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(171)$ | $126 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(171)$ | $124 .(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(161)$ | $119 .(1)$ |  |  |

[^3]

Figure 3.11. ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
as part of my interest in redox-active polynuclear systems that bear a pendant redox-active ligand. 2a Here the dinuclear complexes with the bma ligand would be expected to display redox properties different from the analogous phosphine-substituted $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6-\mathrm{n}}\left(\mu\right.$-alkyne) $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ (where $\mathrm{n}=1,2$ ). 17,19,20

The cyclic voltammetry studies were conducted in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing 0.1 M tetra-n-butylammonium perchlorate (TBAP) as the supporting electrolyte at a platinum electrode. The two isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma) allow a direct comparison regarding the redox properties as function of the coordination mode adopted by the bma ligand. Both of these isomers display three well-defined redox responses as shown by the cyclic voltammograms (CV) in Figure 3.12, while Table 3.20 gives the pertinent potential data for all of the compounds studied. The $0 /-1$ redox couple of both isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ is reversible, on the basis of peak current ( $\mathrm{Ip} / \mathrm{Ipc}$ ) ratios of unity and plots of the current function ( Ip ) vs. the square root of the scan rate ( $\mathrm{v}^{1 / 2}$ ), 21 which were linear over the scan rates examined. Calibration of the peak currents against ferrocene also supports the one-electron nature of this couple. The second reduction step is less reversible as judged by the diminished current ratios of 0.58 and 0.88 for the chelating and bridging isomers, respectively. The reduction waves of both isomers exhibit only slight potential differences and no significance is attached to these potentials as a function of the coordination mode of the bma ligand. How the bma ligand coordinates to the Co -Co core does, however, affect the potential of the $0 /+1$ redox couple. It can be seen that $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma) (chelating) is oxidized at 0.13 V more positive than $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma) (bridging). This is of interest because such an effect has not been previously reported for a dinuclear complex. 22 The $0 /+1$


Figure 3.12. Anodic Scan Cyclic Voltammogram of (A) 8a (chelating) (ca. $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ) and (B) 8 b (bridging) (ca. $1.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing 0.1 M TBAP at $100 \mathrm{mv} / \mathrm{s}$.

redox couple in both isomers is only partially reversible, as then current ratio for both isomers is $\sim 0.8$ at room temperature. Moreover, the small amount of unknown material in the CV at -0.05 V (Fig. 3.12a) and 0.01 V (Fig. 3.12b) arises from the radical cation of each isomer. Lowering the temperature to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ renders the oxidation wave fully reversible.

The CV of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ reveals the presence of a quasi-reversible oxidation ( $\mathrm{Ipc} / \mathrm{Ipa} \sim 0.50$ ) at $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=0.22 \mathrm{~V}$. No reduction wave was observed when the CV was scanned out to the -2 V . The lack of a reduction wave(s) in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ is consistent with the data reported for other diphosphine-substituted dinuclear complexes of this type, 18 as the LUMO is predicted to be an antibonding coCo orbital. 23,24 Since the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ isomers display lowenergy reduction waves, this suggest that the bma ligand is the site of electron accession, a fact supported by the work of Fenske and Tyler in addition to the MO calculations reported here (vide infra). 20,25,26

The dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph} 2 \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CC}=\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ exhibits similar reductive CV behavior with respect to the isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$, showing two redox waves at $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=-$ 0.82 and -1.53 V . The assignments for these waves are the same as those for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma $)$ (i.e., bma-based reductions). Unlike the quasireversible $0 /+1$ wave found in each isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$, an irreversible oxidation ( $\mathrm{Ipa}=1.06 \mathrm{~V}$ ) is recorded for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph} 2 \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$.

The CV of the parent complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ has been recorded under conditions identical to those used for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$,
$\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$, and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ and is included for comparative purposes only, as the electrochemical behavior of this compound has been fully explored. 23a In $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent, both the oxidation and reduction waves are irreversible at a scan rate of $0.1 \mathrm{~V} \mathrm{~s}-1$. Since the substitution of two CO ligands in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ by P -ligands is anticipated to decrease the reduction potential of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO}){ }_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ by $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{~V}$ per P -ligand, 27 the absence of a reduction wave for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ is not surprising. However, the observation of multiple reduction waves for both isomers of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ surely attests to the ability of the bma ligand to control the electron transfer process by stabilizing the added electron in a low-energy $\pi^{*}$ orbital associated with the anhydride ring. The CV responses of the bma ligand have been included in Table 3.20, and the presence of two reduction waves at potentials close to those reported for the dinuclear complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1-}\right.$ (Z) $\left.-\mathrm{Ph} h_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ supports the LUMO as being a primarily bma-based orbital.
$\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating) was also examined by constantpotential coulometry in order to verify the electron stoichiometry associated with the $0 /-1$ redox couple and to explore the effect of electron accession on the IR stretching bands of the CO and bma ligands. The compound was reduced electrochemically at -0.60 V in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CI}_{2}$ solvent containing 0.1 M TBAP at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The total charge passed upon completion of the reduction was $\mathrm{Q}=$ 0.97 coulombs per mole of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ in agreement with the one-electron nature of this redox couple. IR analysis of the catholyte at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Figure 3.13. Infrared Spectra of the Carbonyl Region for (A) 8a (chelating), and (B) $8 a^{-\cdot}$ (chelating) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing 0.1 M TBAP at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
shows the presence of four new $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at 2031 (vs), 1972 (vs), 1772 (s), and 1638 ( s ) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The former two bands belong the same terminal carbonyl groups already described for the neutral chelating isomer $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma) but shifted by 16 and $21 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to lower frequency. This slight shift observed in the terminal CO bands indicates that only a small amount of the added electron density is associated with these CO groups. 28 A much larger shift is found in the latter two bma y ( CO ) bands, which reveals that extensive odd-electron density is localized in the anhydride ring of the bma ligand. ${ }^{18,25,26}$ Figure 3.13 shows the IR data of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (chelating) and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma) (chelating) $\cdot-$. In view of the current thinking on $18+\delta$ complexes put forth by Brown and Tyler, 18,26,29, the radical anion derived from each isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ can be considered as a $36+\delta$ complex, as opposed to formal 37 -electron complex.

## T. Extended Hückel Calculations.

The nature of the HOMO and LUMO in both isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{Ph}$ $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma $)$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ was determined by carrying out extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations. For simplicity, the phenyl groups associated with the acetylene and diphosphine ligands were replaced by hydrogen groups. Figure 3.14 shows the threedimensional CACAO drawings of these molecular orbitals along with their respective energies.

In each dinuclear complex examined, the HOMO, which is found at ~ 11.9 eV , can best be described as a metal-based orbital that is composed of an in-phase overlap of hybridized dyz orbitals. The nature of this hybridization has been fully analyzed by Hoffmann and co-workers in an earlier paper on
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Figure 3.14. CACAO Drawings of the HOMO (left) and the LUMO (right) for (A) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{bma}\right)$ (chelating), and (B) $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right.$-bma) (bridging), and (C) $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHPH}_{2}\right]$ (chelating).
the parent compound $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{CH} \equiv \mathrm{CH}) .24,30-32$ More importantly, the calculations clearly reveal that the LUMO in both isomers of the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ resides primarily on the bma ligand, in full agreement with the electrochemical data and the $36+\delta$ formalism ascribed to the one-electron reduction product derived from $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma). The nodal pattern of the bma ligand in each LUMO of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma) can be envisioned as arising from $\psi_{4}$ of an isolated six $\pi$ electron system (e.g., maleic anhydride or hexatriene). 33 The LUMO energy for each of these compounds is calculated at $\sim-10.4 \mathrm{eV}$, and is substantially below the LUMO found for complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ], which does not possess a redox-active diphosphine ligand.

## The LUMO in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ is

represented by an out-of phase overlap of hybridized dyz orbitals, with a contribution from the acetylene $\pi$ bond. This LUMO is the same as calculated by Hoffmann and co-workers for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mathrm{CH} \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 24$ and is best described as an antibonding Co-Co orbital, whose energy is $\sim-9.9 \mathrm{eV}$. The effect of the unsaturated ethano bridge on the HOMO and LUMO in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ was also probed by conducting calculations on the model compound $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{CH} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ (1,1-substitution). The calculated HOMO and LUMO energy levels and the orbital compositions exhibited no major perturbations from those data obtained from the dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$.

## U. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})($ bma) and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$

A clean and immediate reaction was observed when an equimolar
mixture of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and bma in THF was treated with 2.0 equivalents of the oxidative-decarbonylation reagent $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ at room temperature (Equation 3.12). 6 TLC and $\mathbb{R}$ analyses revealed the presence of small amount of starting material and product ( $>90 \%$ ). The resulting green solution was purified by chromatography over a short silica gel column at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})(11 \mathrm{a})$ as an air-sensitive solid, that was

$+$
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routinely isolated yields of $50-65 \%$. The lowered yields of isolated product compared to the solution reactions stem from the partial decomposition of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ on the silica gel, presumably the result of anhydride ring hydrolysis.

The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution IR spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ reveals two prominent terminal $v(C O)$ bands at 2048 (vs), 1989 (vs) cm-1. The symmetry of this IR spectrum is consistent with the IR data for the chelating isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. The expected $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands of the coordinated bma ligand were observed at $1841(\mathrm{~m})$ and 1775 (s) and attributed
to the vibrationally coupled asymmetric and symmetric bma carbonyl stretches, respectively. 7 These latter two IR assignments were unequivocally established by an isotope study. Repeating the substitution reaction depicted in equation 3.12 with a sample of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ that was $20-30 \%$ enriched in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ afforded the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$-enriched compound $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ PhC $\equiv \mathrm{CH}$ )(bma) with unchanged bma carbonyl bands.

The 31P NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution displayed a pair of equal-intensity resonances located $\delta 64.6$ and 54.4. The low-field location of the 31 P resonances supports the presence of a chelating bma ligand with pseudo-axial and pseudo-equatorial bma $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups. 9 Furthermore, the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ (bma) in THF reveals the two terminal carbonyl resonances at $\delta 207.5$ and 201.1 in an integral ratio of $1: 3$, for the lone CO group at the bma-chelated cobalt and the unsubstituted $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ centers, respectively. Raising the temperature from $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not lead to any major changes in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.

The reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ was studied next because the anticipated product $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})[(\mathrm{Z})$ $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh} h_{2}$ would allow for an examination of disparate reactivity between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}\right.$ $=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ]. Since the two compounds are expected to be structurally similar, it could be reasonably argued that any observed reactivity differences would have their origin in the electronic properties inherent to each ancillary diphosphine ligand. The complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ] (12) was obtained exclusively as the chelating isomer when $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ $(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ was allowed to react with $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ in either $1,2-$
dichloroethane at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}^{6}$ in THF at room temperature (Equation 3.13). The IR spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ] exhibits two terminal $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands at 2041 (vs) and $1982(\mathrm{vs}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. These $v(C O)$ bands are $\sim 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower in energy than the analogous carbonyl bands in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})($ bma) (chelating), which reflects the better donor properties of the $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ligand relative to the bma ligand, with its electron-withdrawing anhydride ring. The chelating
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nature of the ancillary diphosphine was ascertained by ${ }^{31 P}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopies. The ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}\right.$ $\left.=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution displayed a pair of equal-intensity resonances located at $\delta 85.5$ and 76.0 with JP-P values of 19.4 Hz . The low-field location of the ${ }^{31 P}$ resonances supports the presence of a chelating bma ligand, which possesses pseudo-axial and pseudo-equatorial phosphine groups. ${ }^{9}$

The thermolysis and photolysis reactivity of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})[(\mathrm{Z})-$ $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ] under conditions comparable to those employed in the reactivity study of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ (bma) did not afford any of the
bridging isomer. In both cases the only observed reaction was the decomposition of the diphosphine-bridged cobalt complex, with decomposition from the thermolysis reaction being more extensive than that from the photolysis reaction. The absence of isomerization in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ suggests that the diphosphine remains associated with the cobalt center and does not become free, a condition that would be required for isomerization.

## V. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of the Zwitterionic Hydrocarbyl Complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

The thermolysis of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})($ bma) in 1,2-dichloroethane was next investigated under the same conditions as employed in thermolysis of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. The goal of this work was to explore the generality of the P-C bond cleavage exhibited by the coordinated bma ligand in different alkyne-substituted dinuclear cobalt complexes. However, refluxing a 1,2 -dichloroethane solution of the chelating isomer of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ results in the attack of a $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ moiety on the terminal alkyne carbon to give the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}[\mu-$ $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C=C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$, rather than the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond cleavage which was observed in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma ) (Equation 3.14). The zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ (13) was isolated in $70 \%$ yield after passage over a silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent. This new compound was characterized in solution by IR and NMR spectroscopies. The IR spectrum of 13 displays terminal carbonyl stretching bands at 2035 (s), 2005 (vs), 1983 (m), and 1966 (m) cm-1, in agreement with the highly asymmetric structure
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associated with this complex. The $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands derived from the bma ligand are observed at $1796(\mathrm{~m})$ and $1741(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and are attributed to the vibrationally coupled asymmetric and symmetric bma carbonyl stretches, respectively. ${ }^{7}$ The ${ }^{31}$ P NMR spectrum of 13 reveals a pair of equal-intensity resonances at $\delta 31.3$ and 4.7 ppm with a Jp-p value of 77.0 Hz . The higher field resonance has been assigned to the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group attached to the cobalt center, while the lower field resonance has been assigned to the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group attached to the terminal alkyne carbon. A ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$-enriched sample of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}[\mu-$ $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ was prepared and the ${ }^{13 \mathrm{C}}$ NMR spectrum recorded in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent. At $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, four, equal-intensity resonances were observed at $\delta 201.1\left(\mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}}=19.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 203.2,203.0$, and 108.1 with an integral ratio of $1: 1: 1: 1$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum did not change appreciably as the temperature was raised to room temperature. No attempt has been made to assign these resonances to specific CO groups. It is interesting to note that the IR and NMR ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ) spectra of 13 are very similar as those of the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}\right.$ (O)OC(O)], which suggest that $\mathbf{1 3}$ might be structurally similar to $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ $\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$. However, an $X$-ray
diffraction study of $\mathbf{1 3}$ revealed that this was not the case (vide infra).
The thermal reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and bma was next examined in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent. As discussed, the reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ and bma in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent resulted an equimolar mixture of bridging and chelating isomers of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma}) .{ }^{34}$ However, only the chelating isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ )(bma) was observed after refluxing an equimolar mixture of
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$\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and bma in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ overnight. Monitoring this reaction by IR spectroscopy did not reveal the presence of the bridging isomer. When the reaction was performed in a sealed NMR tube in 1,2 -dichloroethane as solvent, a small amount of the bridging isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})($ bma $)$
was observed by 31 P NMR spectroscopy. Heating a sealed NMR tube containing the chelating isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ in $1,2-$ dichloroethane at $83^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by quenching and 31P NMR analysis at room temperature, revealed a new 31 P chemical shift at $\delta 25.9$. The observed high-field shift of this new resonance is assigned to a bridging bma ligand in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})(11 \mathrm{~b})$, consistent with the 31 P chemical shift of the known bridging isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma}) .34$ On the basis of the chemistry observed with the related complexes $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$, the bridged isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ (bma) is believed to serve as an intermediate en route to the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex 13 (Equation 3.15).

## W. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathbf{C o}_{2}(\mathbf{C O})_{\mathbf{4}}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathbf{P h C}=\mathbf{C}(H)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

Single crystals of 13 were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 13 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- or intramolecular contacts. Table 3.21 lists the X-ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.22 gives the selected bond distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.15.

## X. Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}\right.$, $\left.\eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

The reaction between cluster 13 with $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ was next examined at room temperature in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent in an attempt to explore the substitution chemistry associated with 13 . When a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 13 was treated with

Table 3.21. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{C}}{=} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right](13)$

Space group
Cell Constants
a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c. $\AA$

V, $\AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell (Z)
$\rho, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
scan speed range, $\operatorname{deg} \cdot \min ^{-1}$
max scan time, (s)
total data collected
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ (I)
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights

P1/triclinic
9.8093 (7)
12.215 (1)
15.744 (2)
1859.1 (3)
$\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2}$
798.46

2
1.426
10.21
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$
0.67-8.0

$$
120
$$

4603
2749
0.0365
0.0469
$\left[0.04 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+(\sigma \mathrm{F})^{2}\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.22. Selected Bond Distances ( $\AA$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right] \quad(13) \mathrm{a}$

|  | bond distances |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $2.549(1)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.204(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.777(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.766(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2.105(8)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.899(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.803(9)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.763(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.056(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.016(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $2.005(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.811(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.797(8)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.758(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.14(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.14(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.13(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.13(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.18(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.38(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.40(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.20(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.46(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.43(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.46(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.43(1)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $72.87(6)$ | $\mathrm{Con}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $96.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $167.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $75.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $51.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $106.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $106.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $112.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $120.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $124.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $83.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $90.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $47.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $41.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $118.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $96.4(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 3.22 continued

| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $100.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $105.9(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $109.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $119.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $68.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $129.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.2(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $107.3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $133.3(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $108.2(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $118.7(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $108.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $108.2(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $133.0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $104.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $104.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $71.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $114.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $119.5(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $106.3(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $111.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $64.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $115.8(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | $81.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $73.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $95.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $135.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $98.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $93.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $124.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $94.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $109.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $149.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $110.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $124.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $97.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $95.8(4)$ |  |  |

[^4]

Figure 3.15.
ORTEP diagram of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H})\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ with the thermal ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity


13
14
1.0 equivalent of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, an instantaneous reaction was observed and the reaction solution acquired a green color (Equation 3. 16). TLC analysis revealed that 14 was the only product. Compound 14 was purified by chromatography over silica gel column using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ /acetone (95:5), giving 14 as an air-stable solid in routinely isolated yields of $>80 \%$.

The IR spectrum of 14 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution reveals two prominent terminal carbonyl $v(C O)$ bands at 2022 (vs), 1985 (vs) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The $v(C O)$ bands derived from the bma ligand are observed at $1777(\mathrm{~m})$ and $1719(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which are attributed to the vibrationally coupled asymmetric and symmetric bma carbonyl stretches, respectively. 7 Besides the terminal $v(C O)$ bands and the $v(\mathrm{CO})$ bands of the coordinated bma ligand, an additional weak, broad $v(\mathrm{CO})$ band was observed at $1555 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which is assigned to the acyl moiety. 35 A ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$-enriched sample of 14 was prepared, and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum was recorded in THF solvent at $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting spectrum exhibited three resonances observed at $\delta 234.5,206.6$, and 197.8 , with an integral ratio of $1: 2: 1$, respectively. Of these resonances, the downfield
resonance at $\delta 234.5$ and high-field resonance at $\delta 197.8$ reveal phosphorus coupling and $J_{P-C}$ values of 15.0 and 11.4 Hz , respectively. The downfield resonance at $\delta 234.5$ is easily attributed to the acyl carbon, on the basis of the well-documented CO insertion chemistry 35 in other transition-metal complexes, while the other two resonances at $\delta 206.6$, and 197.8 are assigned to the terminal CO groups. Changing the temperature from $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature did not perturb the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 14 .

The ${ }^{31 P}$ NMR spectrum of 14 in THF solution displayed three, equalintensity resonances located at $\delta 31.2,7.4$, and 4.6 , respectively. Of these resonances, the two downfield resonances centered at $\delta 31.2$ and 7.4 reveal phosphorus-phosphorus coupling with Jp-p values of 69.1 Hz . Compared to the starting complex 13 , the low-field resonance at $\delta 31.2$ is assigned to the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group attached to alkyne carbon while the resonances at 7.4 , and 4.6 are assigned to the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ groups (maleic anhydride $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ groups).

Other than some minor temperature-dependent chemical shift changes, the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ remained unaltered over the temperature range of $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature.

## Y. X-Ray Diffraction Analysis of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C=C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$

Single crystals of $\mathbf{1 4}$ were grown and the molecular structure was determined. 14 exists as discrete molecules in the unit cell with no unusual short inter- or intramolecular contacts. Table 3.23 lists the X-ray data collection and processing parameters, while Table 3.24 gives the selected bond distances and angles. The ORTEP diagram is shown in Figure 3.16.

Table 3.23. X-Ray Crystallographic Collection and Processing Data for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.}$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right](14)$

Space group

## Cell Constants

a, $\AA$
b, $\AA$
c, $\AA$
V, $\AA^{3}$
mol formula
fw
formula units per cell ( $Z$ )
$\rho, \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
abs coeff ( $\mu$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
radiation $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda), \AA$
collection range, deg
scan speed range, deg $\cdot \min ^{-1}$
total data collected
independent data, $\mathrm{I}>3 \sigma$ (I)
R
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$
weights
$\mathrm{P}_{2}{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ /orthorhomic
10.2936(7)
17.471 (1)
22.294 (2)
4009.3 (5)
$\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{3}$
874.54

4
1.449
9.91
0.71073
$2.0 \leq 2 \theta \leq 44.0$
0.67-8.0

2811 2127
0.0427
0.0460
$\left[0.04 F^{2}+(\sigma F)\right]^{-1}$

Table 3.24. Selected Bond Distances ( $(\dot{\mathbf{A}}$ ) and Angles (deg) for $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right.$ $\stackrel{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]}{(14)_{a}^{a}}$

| bond distances |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ | 2.650(2) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 2.187(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.77(1) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.79(1) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 2.030(9) | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 2.022(9) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 2.239(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.944(9) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 2.095(9) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 2.006(9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.7787(9) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | 1.81(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | 1.83(1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.776(9) |
| $P(2)-C(16)$ | 1.758(9) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(211)$ | 1.800(9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$-C(217) | 1.798(9) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.81(1) |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 1.81(1) | $\mathrm{P}(3)$-C(33) | 1.80(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.15(1) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.14(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.16(1) | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.23(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.20(1) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.42(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.39(1) | $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 1.19(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.48(1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.44(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.47(1) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.47(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.43(1) |  |  |
| bond angles |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | 71.08 (8) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 91.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 173.1(3) | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 83.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 75.2(3) | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 104.2(3) |

Table 3.24 continued

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $104.8(4)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $106.3(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $135.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | $159.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $81.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $89.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $109.6(4)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $103.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $116.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $98.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $41.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $110.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $132.5(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $123.4(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $92.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $114.7(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $121.2(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $123.4(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $65.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $90 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $118.2(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $133 .(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $145.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1043 .(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $93.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $106.9(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $98.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $114.4(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $155.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $135.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $105.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | $109.1(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(117)$ | $107.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $109.6(4)$ |  |  |

[^5]

Figure 3.16. ORTEP diagram of the $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}\right.\right.$ $( \mathrm { CO } ) = \mathrm { C } ( \mathrm { H } ) \longdiv { \mathrm { C } = \mathrm { C } ( \mathrm { PPh } _ { 2 } ) \mathrm { C } ( \mathrm { O } ) \mathrm { OC } ( \mathrm { O } ) ] \text { (14) with the thermal } }$ ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. H atoms are omitted for clarity.
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## CHAPTER IV

## DISCUSSION

## A. Syntheses and Kinetics Studies for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})(2)$, $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right)\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(3)$, and $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (4).

The reaction of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}(1)$ with the bidentate phosphine bma proceeds initially to give the diphosphine cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})(2)$. Variable-temperature ${ }^{31 P}$ NMR measurements indicate that this cluster exists as a mixture of bridging and chelating isomers. At all temperatures examined, the bridging isomer has been found to be the predominant isomer in solution by ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. However, X-ray diffraction analysis reveals that the solid-state structure is derived from the chelating isomer.

The noncoordinated $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of the maleic anhydride ring is believed to facilitate the observed bma equilibration process that is outlined in Scheme I. Starting with the bridged isomer, $\mathbf{2 b}$, and invoking an unimolecular mechanism that does not rely on CO loss, phosphine ligand displacement by the maleic anhydride $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond affords the saturated cluster a. Assuming that the coordinated olefinic bond in species a behaves similarly to a CO ligand in terms of migratory ability, an in-plane migration of two equatorial CO groups and the olefin moiety leads to cluster $\mathbf{b}$ with $\mathbf{a}$ bridging olefinic bond. Completion of the terminal-to-bridge ligand migration then yields the chelated alkenyl phosphine cluster $\mathbf{c}$, which, upon

## Scheme I


olefin displacement by the free, dangling $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ moiety, gives the chelating diphosphine cluster 2c. It is this chelating isomer, with its axial and equatorial $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups, that was observed in the low-temperature ${ }^{31 \mathrm{P}}$ NMR spectra of 2 . The determined X -ray structure of 2 is produced by one last terminal/bridge exchange of the equatorial CO groups in $\mathbf{2 c}$.

The proposed bma ligand equilibration is consistent with the fact that terminal/bridge CO exchange is known to be facile in this type of cluster and that the saturated diphosphine cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}($ dppe $)$ does not exhibit such P-ligand movement. 1 Only the bridging isomer is observed in the dppesubstituted cluster since the requisite olefinic bond, which serves to tether the ligand to the cluster and preserves the electronic saturation at the cobalt centers in each of the intermediates, is absent.

The reactivity of 2 toward P-C(olefin) bond cleavage relative to that of the structurally similar cluster $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (4) was investigated by independent thermolysis and photolysis experiments. When 2 was heated in THF solution at $43.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was converted to 3 in $>95 \%$ yield after $90 \mathrm{~min}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\sim 18 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ while near-UV irradiation of 2 at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF solution gave 3 in quantitative yield. In contrast, when $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ $\left[(Z)-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ was heated overnight at $43.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, no new cobalt carbonyl containing material was observed and the starting cluster was recovered in $64 \%$ yield. Photolysis led only to the complete decomposition of 4. It is important that no P-C bond cleavage products of any kind were observed in either reaction involving 4. This suggests that if P-C(olefin) bond cleavage occurs, it is relatively inefficient in comparison to that of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}$ (bma) and that the resulting product(s) must decompose before analysis.

## Scheme II








$\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$

The formation of $\mathbf{3}$ from the bridging isomer of $\mathbf{2}$ is considered in Scheme II. As shown from the kinetic studies, dissociative CO loss from 2 represents the rate-determining step in the reactions leading to 3 and is expected to afford the unsaturated cluster $\mathbf{e}$. The site of the lost CO has been shown in Scheme II to originate from a phosphine-substituted cobalt center. ${ }^{2}$ Whether or not this is the initial site of CO loss is immaterial due to the rapid rates of intramolecular carbonyl scrambling in 2, which would assist in the transfer of unsaturation to a bma-substituted cobalt atom. ${ }^{3}$ Phosphine displacement by the non-coordinated $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond of the maleic anhydride ring would furnish species f. P-C(olefin) oxidative addition yields the terminal phosphido cluster $g$, which is followed by a reductive elimination of the benzylidyne and (diphenylphosphino)maleic anhydride groups to give h. 4 The final product, cluster 3, is obtained after a terminal-to-bridge conversion of a phosphido group that is coupled with the migration of a CO ligand from the lone $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group to the adjacent olefin-substituted cobalt center.

The oxidative addition step is selective in that only P-C(olefin) bond cleavage is observed. No evidence for P-C(aryl) bond cleavage was observed. These results are in keeping with the established reactivity trends concerning P-C bond scission; namely, electron-withdrawing substituents facilitate the cleavage of P-C bonds. 5 In the case of cluster 2, the more strongly electronwithdrawing maleic anhydride group is the preferred site for the P-C bond scission.

## B. X-Ray Diffraction Structures of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})(2)$, $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \subset=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (3), and $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}\left[(\mathrm{Z}) \cdot \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathbf{P C H}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ (4).

Single crystals of 2, 3, and 4 were grown, and the molecular structure of each cluster was determined. The ORTEP diagram of 2 establishes the chelating disposition of the bma ligand and bridging disposition of the carbonyl groups. Several attempts were made to recrystallize the bridging isomer of 2 , but these were not successful and only the chelating isomer was observed. Additional proof concerning the preference of 2 to adopt a chelating bma ligand with bridging CO groups in the solid state is seen in the IR spectrum ( KBr ) of 2, where an intense bridging CO band at $1885 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is observed. This same band is not present in solution as a result of rapid bma/CO ligand fluxionality, which serves to give the chelating isomer of 2 with rapidly exchanging carbonyl groups and the bridging isomer of 2.

The polyhedral core of 2 consists of a triangular array of cobalt atoms capped by a $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{CPh}$ moiety. Unequal Co-Co bond lengths are seen in 2, with the bma-substituted Co -Co bonds being $0.094 \AA$ longer than the unique $\mathrm{Co}(2)-$ $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ bond. The reason for this difference can be attributed to a sterically induced perturbation of the cluster core by the bma ligand. The mean value for the $\mu_{3}-\mathrm{C}$ - $\mathrm{Co}(1.94 \AA)$ and the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{CO}(1.76 \AA)$ distances are consistent with those reported for related clusters. 6 The remaining distances and angles are unexceptional and require no comment.

The Co-Co bond distances in cluster 3 range from 2.412(2) to 2.696(2) $\AA$, with a mean distance of $2.197 \AA$ being observed for the $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Co}$ bonds. The $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{P}$-Co distances found are in good agreement with those of other phosphido-bridged cobalt clusters. 7 All other bond distances and angles are within normal limits and require no comment.

The internal polyhedron of 4 consists of a triangular array of cobalt atoms capped by a $\mu_{3}$-benzylidyne group. The mean value for the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$
( $2.481 \AA$ ) and $\mu_{3}$ - C-Co $(1.91 \AA)$ bond lengths are similar to those reported for the parent cluster and related diphosphine-substituted derivatives. 7 The CoCO bond distances range from 1.74 (1) to 1.80 (1) $\AA$, with an average distance of $1.77 \AA$. The remaining bond lengths and angles are unexceptional and require no comment.

## C. Synthesis and Reactivity of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathbf{C O})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C(P h}\right)$

 $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(5), \quad \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(6), \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C=C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (7) $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) (3), reacts with 1.0 equivalent of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to initially give the cluster $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta \eta^{2}, \eta 1-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right),(5) \text {, via }}{ }\right.$ site-selective $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ addition to the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ (maleic anhydride)-substituted cobalt center. This addition reaction is accompanied by cleavage of one of the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$ bonds in 3 and a formal change in how the $\mu_{2}$-phosphido ligand distributes its three available bonding electrons to the ligated cobalt centers in 5 . The vast majority of similar cluster substitution reactions involves assistance from one or more of the ancillary CO groups, as outlined in Equation 4.1. 8,9 Here the formal transfer of the two electrons in the ruptured metal-metal bond is ultimately redistributed about the cluster polyhedron, giving rise to coordinately saturated metal centers. CO loss and reformation of the metalmetal bond complete the overall sequence for the net substitution of CO by the incoming ligand in many transition metal clusters.

Use of conventional electron counting formalism allows for the change in the coordination mode of the $\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ moiety in 5 relative to 3 to

be easily rationalized. Initially, the phosphido ligand donates $2 e^{-}$and $1 e^{-}$to $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(1)$, respectively, in 3, but this donation mode is ultimately reversed in the formation of 5 , as shown in Scheme III. Such a transformation, which enables all of the cobalt centers in 5 to achieve a coordinatively saturated state, is akin to the assistance rendered to the cluster by the CO groups in Equation 4.1 and related substitution reactions. The zwitterionic intermediate proposed in Scheme III is supported by the numerous zwitterionic clusters prepared by Carty and Deeming. 10 Furthermore, the observed polyhedral expansion in going from 3 to 5 can be explained by using Polyhedral Skeletal Electron Pair (PSEP) theory, ${ }^{11}$ which allows for the prediction of an adopted molecular polyhedron upon ligand addition to a transition-metal cluster. Regarding cluster 3 as a fourvertex arachno cluster that possesses 7 skeletal electron pairs (SEP), it is expected and experimentally found that $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ addition to 3 leads to the formation of the hypho cluster 5 with 8 SEP.

The formation of 6 from 5 is considered in Scheme IV. Starting with cluster 5, the conversion of the bridging CO group between $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ into a terminal CO group on $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ affords the species 1 . Reformation of the metal-metal bond between $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(3)$, coupled with a change in the

## Scheme III
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## Scheme IV
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coordination mode of the $\mu_{2}$-phosphido moiety, followed by the CO loss, ultimately gives 6 as the thermodynamic mono-substitution product. It is important to note that the coordination mode of $\mu_{2}$-phosphido moiety in 6 is same as that in the cluster 3 (Scheme V). This flexibility in the ligand donation properties of the $\mu_{2}$-phosphido group is presumed to be a key factor in promoting the observed polyhedral cluster expansion in 5. The

## Scheme V
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## $\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{P P h}_{2}$

interception of 5 as a kinetic product en route to the mono-substituted cluster 6 represents another example of metal-metal bond reactivity in a substitution reaction involving a polynuclear system.

The mono-substituted cluster 6 can undergo further reaction with additional $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ to give the bis-substituted cluster 7. The second $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ adds to 6 in a site-selective fashion at the cobalt atom with the maleic anhydride double bond. It is interesting to note that in this CO substitution no evidence
has been found for the replacement of the maleic anhydride double bond by the incoming phosphine ligand. Furthermore, no CO insertion was observed in the reaction between 6 and $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. In the case of zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\right.$
$\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})]$, the addition of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ leads to the quantitative CO insertion into the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}$ (hydrocarbyl) bond. Unlike the reaction between cluster 3 and 1.0 equivalent of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, no intermediates were found when 6 was treated with additional $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$.
D. X-Ray Diffraction Structures of $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$ $\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(5), \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\right.$ $\left.C=C\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(6)$, and $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta 1-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(7)$.
Single crystals of 5,6, and 7 were grown, and the molecular structure of each cluster was determined. The ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{5}$ establishes the disposition of the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand and the cleavage of the $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ bond, the latter feature being supported by the $3.668(4) \AA$ internuclear distance between these two atoms, and conversion of a terminal CO group at $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ into a semi-bridging CO group that serves to span $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(2)$. The bond distance between $\operatorname{Co}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Co}(3)(2.663 \AA)$ is longer than that between $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ (2.523 $\AA$ ).

The structural features of clusters 6 and 7 can be directly compared to those of the parent cluster 3. Figure 4.1 shows the changes of $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond distances for clusters 3,5,6, and 7. The lengthening of the $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ bond is evident in clusters 6 and 7 (from $2.696 \AA$ in 3 to $2.832 \AA$ in 7 ) upon the addition of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. In comparison to clusters 6 and 7, the distance


Figure 4.1 Comparison of the Co - Co bond lengths $(\AA)$ in $(\mathrm{A}) \mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left[\mu_{2}\right.$
 $(\mu-\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \subset=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(5)$, $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)$ (6), and $\mathrm{Co}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\left[\mu_{2}-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1-\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ $\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)(7)$.

Table 4.1 The $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co} \leftarrow \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ Bond Distances in Clusters 3, 5, 6 , and $7\left(-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right.$ refers to the phosphido ligand ).

| Clusters | $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ <br> $(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{Co} \leftarrow \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ <br> $(\AA)$ | $\Delta$ <br> $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 2.264 | 2.129 | 0.135 |
| 5 | 2.188 | 2.129 | 0.059 |
| 6 | 2.253 | 2.135 | 0.118 |
| 7 | 2.241 | 2.139 | 0.102 |

between the $\operatorname{Co}(2)$ and the $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ centers in cluster 5 is much longer ( $3.668 \AA$ ), clearly supporting the breaking of the $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ bond in cluster 5 . The lengthening of $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{Co}(3)$ bond in clusters $5-7$ can be attributed to the sterically induced perturbation by the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand(s). It is of interest that the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand(s) only slightly affects the distances of the $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{Co}(2)$ and $\mathrm{Co}(1)-$ $\mathrm{Co}(3)$ bonds in clusters 5-7.

The phosphido-cobalt bond distances reveal an interesting trend. Table 4.1 summarizes the changes of the two-electron $\mathrm{Co} \leftarrow \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ donor bond and the one electron $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ covalent bond in clusters 3,5,6, and 7. It is apparent from Table 4.1 that the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ covalent bond is longer than the corresponding $\mathrm{Co} \leftarrow \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ donor bond in all four clusters. The difference between the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Co} \leftarrow \mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ bonds in clusters 3,5,6, and 7 is $0.135,0.059,0.118$, and $0.102 \AA$, respectively, which is consistent with the established coordination properties of phosphido ligand, where the M- $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ covalent bond is usually longer than that of a tertiary phosphine-metal bond.

12 The longer $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ bond in cluster 5 is significant, a fact that is in full agreement with the observed cluster expansion and reversed donation mode adopted by phosphido ligand in going from cluster 3 to 5 upon $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ addition. The remaning distances and angles are unexceptional and require no comment.

## E. Synthesis and Reactivity of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma) (8), $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right](9)$, and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ $\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right](10)$.

The cobalt dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ reacts with the diphosphine ligand bma in the presence of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ at room temperature to yield $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma}), 8 \mathrm{a}$, which contains a chelating bma ligand. The identity of this compound has been established by IR and NMR ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31 P}$ ) spectroscopies. Equilibration of the chelating isomer to the bridging isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})($ bma), 8 b , occurs upon thermolysis, which agrees with the reversible chelate-to-bridge bma ligand exchange observed in $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{As}$ in the case of $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$, the uncoordinated $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of the bma ligand is believed to be crucial for the isomerization of the bma ligand in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. Scheme VI shows a series of equilibria that would serve to equilibrate the bma ligand, starting from the chelating $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ isomer (8a). The inhibition of the isomerization reaction by added CO supports an initial step involving dissociative CO loss, which would generate the unsaturated species $\mathbf{m}$. Coordination of the maleic anhydride $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in concert with displacement of one of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ groups affords species $\mathbf{n}$. Terminal-to-bridge exchange of the coordinated $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in $\mathbf{n}$ with a carbonyl group from the
$\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ moiety then gives species p , via intermediate o . Displacement of the coordinated olefinic bond by the dangling $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group leads to the unsaturated bma-bridged complex $\mathbf{q}$, which upon capture of CO furnishes the bridging isomer $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ ( 8 b$)$.

The absence of facile diphosphine isomerization in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ is of interest, as this highlights one of the unique reactivity differences between the bma ligand and normal diphosphine ligands devoid of an electron-withdrawing substitutent(s). Heating or photolyzing solutions of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph} 2 \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CH}$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ] lead only to decomposition, presumably due to the retarded ability of the $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ diphosphine ligand to enter into the series of reactions outlined in Scheme VI. Analogous reactivity has been observed for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})$ [where $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{bma},(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ] as discussed earlier. ${ }^{13}$

Reasonable pathways that account for the formation of $\mathbf{1 0}$ are considered in Scheme VII, starting from bridging isomer of $\mathbf{8 b}$. CO loss from the $\mathbf{8 b}$ generates species $\mathbf{r}$, which was an intermediate in Scheme VI. Exchange of the bma $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond for the coordinated $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group at the unsaturated cobalt center gives compound s, and sets up the molecule for the requisite P-C(olefin) bond oxidative addition step leading to species $\mathbf{t}$. 5 The reaction temperature is important with respect to the transient species r. Only at higher temperatures does the oxidative addition reaction become competitive with the isomerization of the bma ligand and recapture of dissociated CO. The coupling of the alkyne and the maleic anhydride ligands occurs in the reductive elimination step that provides $\mathbf{u}$. The terminal phosphido group in $\mathbf{u}$ next attacks the other end of the initial alkyne ligand to

## Scheme VI
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## Scheme VII





give the zwitterionic species $\mathbf{v}$, which may also be viewed in terms of the non-charged resonance contributor shown in the lower left-hand corner of Scheme VII. ${ }^{14}$ Capture of CO at the unsaturated cobalt center of $\mathbf{w}$ completes the scheme and affords the complex 10.

The low yield associated with the production of $\mathbf{1 0}$ stands in contrast to the bma/cluster activation for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma}) .{ }^{13}$ Although the exact reason(s) for the low yield of 10 is unknown, it is likely that the oxidative addition of the P-C(olefin) bond and/or phosphido attack on the alkyne carbon are less favorable in the dinuclear case compared to the trinuclear cluster.

The nature of the ancillary P-ligand in 10 is related to complexes obtained from the reaction between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left(\mu_{2}-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)_{2}$ and alkynes. 15,16 Double insertion of alkynes into the Co-phosphido bond leads to the metallocyclic complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left\{\mu-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCRCR}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CR}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{CR}^{\prime \prime \prime} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right\}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. The nine-membered ring, which tethers both of the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ groups, is identical to eight-electron ligand $\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph} 2 \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ in 10, except for the presence of the inserted carbonyl group.

## F. X-Ray Diffraction Structures of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})(8 \mathrm{~b})$, $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right](9)$, and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}\right.$, $\left.\eta^{1}, \eta^{1-(Z)}-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{Ph})=(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ (10)

The dinuclear complexes $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ (bma) (bridging), 8 b , and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$, 9 , are structurally similar with respect to the polyhedral ( $\mu$-alkyne) $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ core, the only significance difference between these two structures being the bonding mode adopted by the ancillary diphosphine ligand. Several attempts were made to grow single
crystals of the chelating isomer 8a; however, these attempts were not successful, yielding only twinned and amorphous crystals, which necessitated the structural characterization of the bridging isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$. Both 8 b and 9 have $\mathrm{a}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}) \mathrm{Co}_{2}$ core, where the Co-Co bond length of $2.469(4) \AA$ in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ (bridging ) and $2.594(4) \AA$ in 9 is comparable to other crystallographically characterized $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ complexes. 18 The $0.125 \AA$ longer Co-Co bond in 9 (relative to $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) results from the chelating diphosphine ligand, which exerts a steric destabilization on the cobalt dinuclear complex, manifesting itself in an elongation of the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond. The ancillary CO and diphosphine ligands occupy sites in the dinuclear complexes that give rise to the commonly observed "sawhorse" geometry associated with the $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{6}$ fragment in $8 \mathbf{8 b}, 9.19$ Considering each cobalt atom as defining a distorted octahedron, with a ligand sphere consisting of a shared diphenylacetylene ligand, CO/P ligands, and a $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond, allows for a ready description of the ancillary $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{P}$ ligands at a given cobalt center. In $8 \mathbf{b}$, each cobalt atom possesses a pseudoequatorial phosphine and CO ligand and a single pseudoaxial CO group. Similar ligand arrangements have been observed in other diphosphine-bridged dinuclear complexes of this type. ${ }^{20,21}$ Neglecting the alkyne ligand, a view down the Co-Co bond generates a nearly eclipsed Newman projection (i.e., "sawhorse" conformation) defined by the $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{P}$ ligands at each cobalt center. The unique psuedoaxial ligand in such a projection is defined by the ligand that bisects the alkyne moiety. The dinuclear compound $\mathbf{9}$ is similar to $\mathbf{8 b}$, except that the chelating diphosphine ligand occupies a psuedoaxial ( $\mathrm{P}(1)$ ) and psuedoequatorial ( $\mathrm{P}(2)$ ) at the same cobalt center. The bond distances and angles in these two complexes exhibit no unusual properties that merit a
detailed discussion.
The structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ consists of a $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ core that is ligated by the eight-electron ligand 2-[(Z)-1,2-diphenyl-2-(diphenylphosphino)ethenyl]-3(diphenylphosphino)maleic anhydride. A picture of the isolated ligand is shown below. The Co-Co bond length of $2.594(4) \AA$ is in agreement with

other complexes with a Co-Co single bond. ${ }^{17}$ The two Co-P bond lengths of $2.244(6) \AA$ for the $\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ bond and $2.179(6) \AA$ for the $\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ bond are normal in comparison to other phosphine-substituted cobalt complexes, 22 but it is notable that the shorter Co-P distance corresponds to the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group that is attached to the electron-withdrawing maleic anhydride ring. The alkene bonds of the ethene and maleic anhydride ligands are bound to different cobalt atoms in an $\eta^{2}$ fashion, with the adjacent $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group of each alkene being bound to the opposite cobalt atom in a typical $\eta^{1}$ fashion. The complexation of this eight-electron ligand to the two $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}$ moieties results in the formation of an eight-electron membered dimetallocyclic ring. The X ray structure is important as it reveals that two phenyl groups that were originally from the diphenylacetylene ligand are situated cis to each other, as are the $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and (diphenylphosphino)maleic anhydride groups. The remaining bond distances and angles are unexceptional and require no comment.

## G. Synthesis and Reactivity of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})(11)$, $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z}) \cdot \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right](12)$, and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}[\mu-$ $\left.\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ (13).

The reaction between the cobalt dinuclear complex $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and the diphosphine ligand bma in the presence of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ at room temperature affords the chelating isomer $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma}), 11 \mathrm{a}$.
The identity of this compound has been established by IR and NMR ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ ) spectroscopies. Conversion of the chelating isomer to bridging isomer of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma}), 11 \mathrm{~b}$, occurs upon thermolysis. The bma ligand isomerization in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ can be easily explained on the basis of the same chemistry observed for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh}$ )(bma) (see Scheme I and Scheme VI). ${ }^{33,23}$

The thermolysis reactivity of the diphosphine complex $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-$ $\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right.$ ] was also examined in refluxing 1,2dichloroethane solvent in order to determine the reactivity differences between the bma ligand and normal diphosphine ligands devoid of an electron-withdrawing substitutent(s). Heating or photolyzing solutions of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ lead only to decomposition, presumably due to the retarded ability of the $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ diphosphine ligand to enter into the series of reactions outlined in Scheme VI. Analogous reactivity has also been observed for $\mathrm{PhCCo}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{7}(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P})$ [where $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{bma},(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ]. 13 Again, the absence of facile diphosphine isomerization in $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})\left[(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}\right]$ is of interest, because it that highlights an unique reactivity difference between the bma ligand and the $(\mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}=\mathrm{CHPPh}_{2}$ ligand.

Given the multidentate nature of the bma ligand (i.e., two phosphine
and one alkene groups), it is unreasonable to consider a scheme for the formation of 13 that involves the total dissociation of the bma ligand from $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$. The likely sequence of steps leading to $\mathbf{1 3}$ is considered in Scheme VIII, starting from bridging isomer of 11b. CO loss from the 11b generates species $x$. Exchange of the bma $C=C$ bond for the coordinated $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group at the unsaturated cobalt center gives dinuclear species $\mathbf{y}$, and sets up the molecule for the requisite P-C bond formation step leading to species $\mathbf{z}$. Recapture of CO at the unsaturated cobalt center of $\mathbf{z}$ completes the scheme and affords the zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex 13. The coupling between the dangling - $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group and the coordinated $\mu$ $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ ligand is regioselective, as only the terminal alkyne carbon is attacked. No evidence was found for the formation of the product derived from attack of the $-\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ group on the sterically protected alkyne carbon bearing the phenyl group. Intermediate $\mathbf{y}$ in Scheme VIII can be directly compared with the intermediate $\mathbf{s}$ in Scheme VII. There P-C(olefin) bond oxidation took place and no zwitterionic product (i.e., 13) was formed. Although the exact reasons for the different reactivities exhibited by $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ $(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})(\mathrm{bma})$ and $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mu-\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CH})(\mathrm{bma})$ are not clear, it is likely that the oxidative addition of the P-C(olefin) bond and the coupling between $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ and alkyne are sterically controlled. Future studies are needed in order to fully understand the electronic and steric effects associated with the reactions between $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(\mu$-alkyne $)$ and the bma ligand.

## H. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right.$ $\stackrel{\left.\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right] \text { (13) }}{ }$

The structure of zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex 13 was unequivi-

## Scheme VIII
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cally established by X -ray diffraction analysis, which revealed the migration of one of the phosphine moieties associated with the bma ligand to the terminal alkyne carbon of the coordinated phenylacetylene ligand. This regioselective transformation gives rise to the first $\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{1}$-hydrocarbyl ligand complex formed from an intramolecular P-ligand attack on a coordinated alkyne moiety. The zwitterionic nature of 13 requires a negative charge on $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ and a positive charge on $\mathrm{P}(2)$; the latter center is best described as a phosphonium center given the tetrasubstituted nature of the $\mathrm{P}(2)$ atom. Of interest here is the utilization of the maleic anhydride bond as an $\eta^{2}$-donor ligand in the product, which allows each cobalt center to achieve a coordinatively saturated state. The Co-Co bond distance of 2.549 ( $\AA$ ) in 13 is comparable to other crystallographically characterized $\mathrm{Co}_{2}$ dinuclear complexes. ${ }^{17}$ The remaining bond distances and angles are unexceptional and require no comment.

## I. Synthesis of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{CO})=\mathbf{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\right.$ ( $\mathrm{PPh}_{2}$ ) C(O)OC(O)] (14).

The zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex 13 reacts with 1.0 equivalent of $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ at room temperature to afford the new zwitterionic hydrocarbyl complex 14 in quantitive yield. The incoming $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand selectively attacks the cobalt atom that is coordinated by the maleic anhydride double bond, coupled with a presumed insertion of CO into the Co-alkyne bond. The CO insertion step is highly regioselective, as only the product observed is that from CO insertion into the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}$ bond. The reaction involves no change in the formal oxidation state of either cobalt atom and can be classified as a phosphine-promoted intramolecular insertion reaction, the
type of which is well-documented. 24 Unfortunately, the mechanism associated with this CO insertion is not clear at this point. Kinetic measurements are prohibited due to the extremely fast rates associated with this reaction. The formation of 14 from 13 is novel. There is no literature precedent for the CO insertion into a metal-hydrocarbyl bond upon the addition of a phosphine ligand. It is interesting to note that no other substitution product was seen for this reaction.

## J. X-Ray Diffraction Structure of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left[\mu-\eta^{2}, \eta^{2}, \eta^{1}, \eta^{1}-\mathrm{PhC}\right.\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})\right]$ (14).

The structure of 14 was unequivocally established by X-ray diffraction analysis. The structure features of 14 can be directly compared to those of 13 . The ORTEP diagram of 14 (Figure 3.16) confirms the migration of one CO group into the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CH}$ bond of 13 . Like 13 , the zwitterionic structure of 14 requires a negative charge on $C o(2)$ and positive charge on $P(2)$, thereby allowing each cobalt atom to achieve a coordinatively saturated state.

The Co-Co bond distance of $2.650(\AA)$ in 14 is comparable to other crystallographically characterized $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ dinuclear complexes. 17 The $0.101 \AA$ longer Co-Co bond in 14 relative to 13 results from a steric perturbation induced on the dinuclear core by the $\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$ ligand. The remaining bond distances and angles are unexceptional and require no comment.
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[^1]:    aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.

[^2]:    aNumbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the least significant digits.
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