



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, DC 20350-1000

DCN:5445

MN-0502

IAT/JAN

17 March 2005

MEMORANDUM

Subj: MINUTES OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)
MEETING OF 3 MARCH 2005

Encl: (1) 3 March 2005 IEG Meeting Agenda
(2) Recording Secretary's Report of IEG Deliberations on
3 March 2005

1. The fifty-sixth meeting of the Department of the Navy (DON) Infrastructure Evaluation Group (IEG) was convened at 1032 on 3 March 2005 in room 4D584 at the Pentagon. The following members of the IEG were present: Ms. Anne R. Davis, Special Assistant to the Secretary of the Navy for all matters associated with BRAC 2005 (Special Assistant for BRAC), Co-Chair; Ms. Ariane Whittemore, Assistant Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Fleet Readiness and Logistics (N4B) serving as alternate for VADM Justin D. McCarthy, USN, Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Fleet Readiness and Logistics (N4), Member; VADM Kevin J. Cosgriff, USN, Deputy and Chief of Staff, U.S. Fleet Forces Command, Member; LtGen Richard L. Kelly, USMC, Deputy Commandant for Installations and Logistics (I&L), Member; Dr. Michael F. McGrath, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Research Development Test & Evaluation (DASN(RDT&E)), Member; Mr. Robert T. Cali, Assistant General Counsel, Assistant Secretary of the Navy, Manpower & Reserve Affairs (M&RA), Member; Mr. Ronnie J. Booth, Navy Audit Service (NAVAUDSVC), Representative; Mr. Thomas N. Ledvina, Navy Office of General Counsel (OGC), Representative; Mr. David W. LaCroix, Senior Counsel, Infrastructure Strategy and Analysis; LCDR Vincent J. Moore, JAGC, USNR, Recorder; and, Capt James A. Noel, USMC, Recorder. Gen William L. Nyland, USMC, Assistant Commandant of the Marine Corps (ACMC), Co-Chair; ADM John B. Nathman, USN, Vice Chief of Naval Operations (VCNO), Co-Chair; and, LtGen Michael A. Hough, USMC, Deputy Commandant for Aviation (AVN), Member were absent.

2. The following members of the DON Analysis Group (DAG) were present: MajGen Emerson N. Gardner Jr., USMC, Assistant Deputy Commandant for Programs and Resources and Deputy Commandant for PP&O; RADM Christopher E. Weaver, USN, Commander, Navy Installations Command/Director, Ashore Readiness Division (OPNAV

Subj: MINUTES OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)
MEETING OF 3 MARCH 2005

N46); Ms. Carla Liberatore, Assistant Deputy Commandant for Installations and Logistics (I&L), Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; Mr. Paul Hubbell, Deputy Assistant Deputy Commandant for Installations and Logistics (Facilities) Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; and, Mr. Michael F. Jaggard, Chief of Staff, Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition (ASN(RD&A)).

3. The following members or representatives of the Functional Advisory Board (FAB) were present: RADM William R. Klemm, USN, Deputy Commander, Logistics, Maintenance, and Industrial Operations, SEA-04, NAVSEASYSKOM; RADM Jay Cohen, USN, Chief of Naval Research; MajGen (sel) Willie J. Williams, USMC, Assistant Deputy Commandant, Installations and Logistics (Facilities); RADM(sel) Alan S. Thompson, SC, USN, Director, Supply, Ordnance and Logistics Operations Division, N41, OPNAV; Ms. Karin Dolan, Assistant Director of Intelligence for Support, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; Mr. Michael Rhodes, Assistant Deputy Commandant for Manpower and Reserve Affairs (M&RA), Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; Mrs. Claudia Erland, Deputy Director of Naval Intelligence (DDNI); Ms. Susan C. Kinney, Deputy Director, Logistics Plans, Policies and Strategic Mobility Division, Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; BGen Edward G. Usher III, USMC, Director, Logistics Plans, Policies and Strategic Mobility Division; BGen Thomas L. Conant, USMC, Commanding General, Training Command and Deputy Commanding General, Training and Education Command; RDML Jan C. Gaudio, USN, Commandant, Naval District Washington; RDML Mark Hugel, USN, Deputy Director, Fleet Readiness Division, N43B, OPNAV; Ms. Shanna Poole, Deputy, Logistics Chain Management Center, Installations and Logistics (I&L), Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps; Col Michael J. Massoth, USMC; CAPT David W. Mathias, CEC, USN; CAPT William Wilcox, USN; CAPT Nancy Hight, MSC, USN; Mr. Frank Bethel; and, Mr. Thomas Grewe.

4. The following members of the IAT were also present: Mr. Dennis Biddick, Chief of Staff; CAPT Jason A. Leaver, USN; Col Walter B. Hamm, USMC; CAPT Gene A. Summerlin, USN; CAPT Christopher T. Nichols, USN; CAPT Jan G. Rivenburg, USN; Mr. Andrew S. DeMott; CAPT Matthew A. Beebe, CEC, USN; CDR Edward J. Fairbairn, USN; CDR Judith D. Bellas, NC, USN; CDR Margaret M. Carlson, JAGC, USN; CDR Peter R. Reif, USN; Maj Stanley A. Sober, USMC; LCDR William J. Billingsley, USN; LCDR Paul V. Neuzil, USN; and, LCDR Maria L. Aguayo, CEC, USN. All attendees were provided enclosure (1). Ms. Davis presented the minutes from the 17 February 2005 IEG meeting for review and they were approved.

Subj: MINUTES OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)
MEETING OF 3 MARCH 2005

5. Ms. Davis discussed the schedule of Infrastructure Executive Council and Infrastructure Steering Group meetings, noting that there are only 71 days until 13 May 2005, the date that OSD has set for DOD BRAC recommendations to be published in the Federal Register and delivered to the BRAC Commission and congressional defense committees. The IEG moved into deliberative session at 1034. See enclosure (2). The meeting adjourned at 1215.



Anne Rathmell Davis
Co-Chair, IEG

TAB 1



Infrastructure Evaluation Group

3 March 2005
1030-1200
Pentagon, Room 4D584

Meeting called by: Chairs Recorder: Capt Noel

----- Agenda Topics -----

Review and approve minutes of IEG Meeting of Ms. Davis
17 February

Deliberative Session :

- CR Package 3 Status Ms. Davis
- Red Team Review
- ISG/IEC Update
- Operational
 - Surface/Subsurface (DON-0032B)
- HSA DON-Specific
 - Reserve Centers (JAST)
 - NMCRC Tulsa to AFRC Broken Arrow (DON-0129)
 - Criteria 6-8
- Fencelines
 - Portsmouth Naval Shipyard Closure
 - Status/Update
- DON CR Summary
- Remaining Analysis
- JCSG Candidate Recommendations
- Candidate Recommendation Status
- IEG/FAB Open Discussion

Administrative

- Next meeting 10 Mar 05, 1000-1200, 4D447

Other Information

Draft minutes of 17 Feb 05 IEG meeting provided [To IEG members only]
Report of 17 Feb 05 IEG deliberative session provided [To IEG members only]
Other Read Aheads [To all attendees]

TAB 2



INFRASTRUCTURE ANALYSIS TEAM
ODASN (IS&A), 2221 South Clark Street, Suite 900, Arlington, VA 22202

(703)-602-6500

RP-0503
IAT/JAN
7 March 2005

MEMORANDUM FOR THE INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION GROUP (IEG)

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

Encl: (1) DON Analysis Group Brief to IEG of 3 March 2005
(2) Special Assistant for BRAC memo for Director, OSD
BRAC of 1 Mar 05

1. The fortieth deliberative session of the Department of the Navy (DON) Infrastructure Evaluation Group (IEG) convened at 1034 on 3 March 2005 in room 4D584 at the Pentagon. The following members of the IEG were present: Ms. Anne R. Davis, Co-Chair; Ms. Ariane Whittimore, alternate for VADM Justin D. McCarthy, USN, Member; VADM Kevin J. Cosgriff, USN, Member; LtGen Richard L. Kelly, USMC, Member; Dr. Michael F. McGrath, Member; Mr. Robert T. Cali, Member; Mr. Ronnie J. Booth, Navy Audit Service, Representative; and, Mr. Thomas N. Ledvina, Navy Office of General Counsel (OGC), Representative. The following members of the DON Analysis Group (DAG) were present: MajGen Emerson N. Gardner Jr., USMC; RADM Christopher E. Weaver, USN; Ms. Carla Liberatore; Mr. Paul Hubbell; and, Mr. Michael F. Jaggard. The following members or representatives of the Functional Advisory Board (FAB) were present: RADM William R. Klemm, USN; RADM Jay Cohen, USN; MajGen (sel) Willie J. Williams, USMC; RADM (sel) Alan S. Thompson, SC, USN; Ms. Karin Dolan; Mr. Michael Rhodes; Mrs. Claudia Erland; Ms. Susan Kinney; BGen Edward G. Usher III, USMC; BGen Thomas L. Conant, USMC; RDML Jan C. Gaudio, USN; RDML Mark Hugel, USN; Ms. Shanna Poole; Col Michael J. Massoth, USMC; CAPT David W. Mathias, CEC, USN; CAPT William Wilcox, USN; CAPT Nancy Hight, MSC, USN; Mr. Frank Bethel; and, Mr. Thomas Grewe. The following members of the IAT were also present: Mr. Dennis Biddick, Chief of Staff; Mr. David W. LaCroix, Senior Counsel; CAPT Jason A. Leaver, USN; Col Walter B. Hamm, USMC; CAPT Gene A. Summerlin, USN; CAPT Christopher T. Nichols, USN; CAPT Jan G. Rivenburg, USN; Mr. Andrew S. DeMott; CAPT Matthew A. Beebe, CEC, USN; CDR Edward J. Fairbairn, USN; CDR Judith D. Bellas, NC, USN; CDR Margaret M. Carlson, JAGC, USN; CDR Peter R. Reif, USN; Maj Stanley A. Sober, USMC; LCDR William J. Billingsley, USN; LCDR Paul V. Neuzil, USN; LCDR Maria L. Aguayo, CEC, USN; LCDR Vincent J.

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

Moore, JAGC, USNR; and, Capt James A. Noel, USMC. All attendees were provided enclosures (1) and (2).

2. Ms. Davis used slide 3 of enclosure (1) to update the IEG concerning the status of DON candidate recommendation package three (CR3). She informed the IEG that on 2 March 2005 the DON senior leadership reviewed DON-0138, close NAS Brunswick, ME; DON-0084A, close NAS JRB Willow Grove, PA, realign Cambria Airport, Johnstown, PA, and relocate assets to McGuire AFB, NJ; DON-0032B, close NAVSTA Ingleside, TX and relocate assets to NAVSTA San Diego, CA, and relocate HM-15 from NAS Corpus Christi, TX to NAVSTA Norfolk, VA; and 10 Joint Action Scenario Team (JAST) Reserve Center scenarios and approved forwarding them as candidate recommendation packages to OSD.

3. With respect to DON-0138, Ms. Davis noted that at its 17 February 2005 deliberative session, the IEG decided to forward a candidate recommendation package for DON-0138 along with its analysis of DON-0138A (realign NAS Brunswick to a Naval Support Activity) and DON-0138B (realign NAS Brunswick to a Naval Air Facility) to DON senior leadership for their consideration. Ms. Davis noted that DON senior leadership discussed DON-0138, DON-0138A and DON-0138B and determined that total closure of NAS Brunswick presented the greatest benefit to DON given the savings associated with a complete closure and the ability to satisfy mission requirements with other assets.

4. Concerning the JAST Reserve Center scenarios, Ms. Davis noted that at its 10 February 2005 deliberative session, the IEG approved participation in the following nine JAST Reserve Center scenarios:

DON-0102 - close NMCRC Des Moines, IA and relocate to Armed Forces Reserve Center (AFRC) Camp Dodge, IA;

DON-0115 - close NMCRC Madison, WI, NRC LaCrosse, WI and NRC Dubuque, IA, and relocate to AFRC Madison, WI;

DON-0096 - close NMCRC St Louis, MO and NRC Cape Girardeau, MO, and relocate to AFRC Jefferson Barracks, MO;

DON-0089 - close NMCRC Los Angeles, CA and relocate to AFRC Bell, CA;

DON-0130 - close NMCRC Mobile, AL and relocate to AFRC Mobile, AL;

DON-0118 - close NMCRC Baton Rouge, LA and relocate to AFRC Baton Rouge, LA;

DON-0114 - close NMCRC Milwaukee, WI and relocate to AFRC Milwaukee, WI;

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

DON-0120 - close NMCRC Lehigh Valley, PA and NMCRC Reading, PA and relocate to AFRC Allentown-Bethlehem, PA; and

DON-0113 - close NMCRC Akron, OH and NRC Cleveland, OH, and relocate to AFRC Akron-Canton, OH.

5. Ms. Davis then noted that DON-0129 (close NMCRC Tulsa, OK and relocate to AFRC Broken Arrow, OK) had not been included with the above-listed JAST scenarios because NMCRC Tulsa had been misidentified as a tenant activity when, in fact, it is a Navy owned facility. Recognizing this error, the DAG reviewed DON-0129 at its 28 February 2005 deliberative session and recommended that it be added to the group of nine JAST Reserve Center scenarios in which DON would participate. Ms. Davis informed the IEG that DON senior leadership conditionally approved forwarding candidate recommendation packages to OSD for all 10 JAST Reserve Center scenarios (including DON-0129), pending IEG review on 3 March 2005.

6. The IEG reviewed the updated Payback summary for Candidate Recommendation Package Three (CR3), noting that these scenarios have total one-time costs of \$530.07 million and 20-year NPV savings of \$2.47 billion. Ms. Davis noted that the cost to NPV savings ratio for CR3 is one to five. See slide 3 of enclosure (1).

7. Ms. Davis informed the IEG that she briefed the OSD Red Team concerning the DON BRAC process and candidate recommendations on 2 March 2005. She stated that the OSD Red Team reiterated that its role in the BRAC 2005 process was to review DON candidate recommendations from the BRAC Commission's standpoint, i.e., do they deviate substantially from the force structure plan (FSP) and Selection Criteria, and examine DON's compliance with BRAC policies and analytical tools. Ms. Davis noted that the OSD Red Team is particularly focusing on the correlation between BRAC candidate recommendations and BRAC strategy and objectives, the application of military judgment in the BRAC process, and Selection Criteria 6-8 analyses, particularly Criterion 8 cumulative impacts. She noted that the OSD Red Team would provide DON with a report of its initial observations in the near future. See slide 4 of enclosure (1).

8. Ms. Davis updated the IEG concerning the Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC) process. She stated that at its 23 February 2005 meeting, the IEC requested identification of candidate recommendation issues and objections from the Services. Ms. Davis informed the IEG that DON would provide a written review of candidate recommendations prior to each

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) and IEC meeting. Enclosure (2) provides the DON comments for the candidate recommendations presented to the IEC on 23 February 2005 and the ISG on 25 February 2005. Ms. Davis noted that the DON comments would place a candidate recommendation in one of three categories: candidate recommendations to which DON objects; candidate recommendations for which DON has analytical concerns and recommends that the OSD Red Team perform a detailed review; and candidate recommendations for which DON has concerns regarding the projected investment, in particular where the Payback period exceeds 20 years, and warrant special attention during the OSD integration process. See slide 5 of enclosure (1).

9. Ms. Davis also noted that the IEC requested that the Services provide a list of BRAC "philosophical" issues that the IEC should discuss. She informed the IEG that, based upon DON senior leadership discussion of important BRAC concepts, she had provided input to the OSD BRAC office on 28 February 2005, comprising a list of suggested IEC discussion topics. See slide 6 of enclosure (1).

10. The IEG reviewed DON-0032B, which amended DON-0032 (close NAVSTA Ingleside, TX) by adding the relocation of HM-15 to NAVSTA Norfolk. Ms. Davis noted that DON-0032B uses the updated FSP (i.e., 10 MCMs plus HSV-2). She reminded the IEG that at its 27 January 2005 deliberative session, it had reviewed the Selection Criteria 6-8 analyses and CRRA associated with the addition of HM-15 and approved amending the candidate recommendation package for DON-0032 to include relocation of HM-15 to NAVSTA Norfolk. The IEG had also decided to forward this amendment to OSD as an administrative correction after the FSP revisions were finalized. The IEG reviewed the updated COBRA model results for DON-0032B that indicate one-time costs of \$178.4 million, Payback in two years, and 20-year net present value (NPV) savings of \$777.03 million and compared them to DON-0032, which indicates one-time costs of \$231.64 million, Payback in four years, and 20-year NPV savings of \$541.42 million. See slide 8 of enclosure (1).

11. The IEG next reviewed DON-0129 (close NMCRC Tulsa and relocate to AFRC Broken Arrow) mentioned above in paragraph 5. Ms. Davis noted the DAG reviewed Selection Criteria 5-8 analyses and Candidate Recommendation Risk Assessment (CRRA) for DON-0129 at its 28 February 2005 deliberative session. The DAG determined that DON-0129 is consistent with the DON criteria used for determining participation in a JAST Reserve Center scenario (i.e., indicates a Payback within 20 years for DOD and

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

DON, closes a DON reserve center with an average military value score, and reduces excess capacity). Accordingly, the DAG recommended development of a candidate recommendation package for DON-0129.

12. The IEG reviewed the COBRA analysis for DON-0129 that indicates one-time cost of \$5.97 million, Payback in 12 years, and 20-year NPV savings of \$1.74 million. Ms. Davis noted that although the Payback for DON-0129 is less advantageous than most of the other nine JAST scenarios, DON-0129 is consistent with the DON approach. See slide 11 of enclosure (1). The IEG reviewed the Selection Criteria 6-8 analyses for the 10 JAST scenarios and noted that there were no significant economic, community or criterion 8 impacts. See slide 12 of enclosure (1). The IEG next reviewed the CRRA for these 10 JAST scenarios noting that they indicate low executability risk and minimal warfighting/readiness risk. See slide 13 of enclosure (1). The IEG approved inclusion of DON-0129 as a candidate recommendation with the other nine JAST Reserve Center scenarios.

13. The IEG next reviewed a fenceline scenario to close Naval Ship Yard (NSYD) Portsmouth, NH (DON-0133). See slide 15 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis noted that the updated FSP reduces capacity requirements in the ship repair function, allowing for consideration of a shipyard closure. An Industrial JCSG scenario (IND-0056) consolidates the ship repair function at the remaining three NSYDs (NSYD Norfolk, VA, NSYD Puget Sound, WA and NSYD Pearl Harbor, HI). Ms. Davis stated that the DAG reviewed DON-0133, which incorporates IND-0056, at its 1 March 2005 deliberative session. She indicated that DON has requested IND-0056 receive a detailed review by the OSD Red Team to ensure completeness and consistency in treatment of costs. See enclosure (2). Additionally, Ms. Davis stated that the DAG disallowed \$141 million in costs for DON-0133 for building closures, historical preservation, post-closure sustainment, and other costs already accounted for by COBRA.

14. The IEG reviewed the initial COBRA data results for IND-0056 and DON-0133. See slide 16 of enclosure (1). IND-0056 indicates one-time costs of \$426.2 million, Payback in seven years, and 20-year NPV savings of \$485.7 million. DON-0133 indicates one-time costs of \$511.4 million, Payback in four years, and 20-year NPV savings of \$1.47 billion. The IEG approved the DAG's recommendation to conduct Selection Criteria 6-8 and CRRA analyses for DON-0133 and, absent any unusual findings that need to be brought to the IEG's attention, to

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

prepare and forward a candidate recommendation package for DON-0133.

15. Ms. Davis used slides 17-19 of enclosure (1) to discuss the status of fenceline closures being considered based on JCSG candidate recommendations. With respect to DON-0070A/B/C (close Naval Post Graduate School Monterey, CA), Ms. Davis noted that DON has registered two objections with the IEC. First, the enabling Education and Training JCSG privatization scenario does not provide for the movement of Navy military unique graduate level courses to NAVSTA Newport, RI. Additionally, DON is analyzing enclave possibilities for the Fleet Numerical Meteorology and Oceanography Command (FNMOC) and the Naval Research Lab (NRL) detachment at Monterey, the latter of which would be relocated to Stennis Space Center, MS in a Technical JCSG scenario. DON believes that FNMOC and the NRL detachment should remain together. The IEG noted that it appears that the Technical JCSG Chair will concur with DON's position to create an enclave for these activities.

16. Concerning DON-0126A/B (close Naval Supply Corps School Athens, GA), Ms. Davis noted that DON is evaluating a scenario to move the Supply Corps School to NAVSTA Newport, RI and will present the analysis to the Education and Training JCSG for consideration. With respect to DON-0161 (close NSWC Division Corona, CA), Ms. Davis informed the IEG that DON has concerns with the Technical JCSG's candidate recommendations that distribute NSWC Corona assets to multiple receiver sites and may negatively impact functionality. DON has forwarded a letter to the Technical JCSG suggesting alternate receiving sites for NSWC Division Corona assets. With respect to DON-0162 (close NAS Pt. Mugu, CA), she informed the IEG that further analysis is necessary to evaluate the cost to relocate operational assets and the surface launch test facility. The IEG noted that DON-0162 is potentially linked to DON-0161 since NAS Pt. Mugu could serve as a receiving site for NSWC Division Corona assets. Ms. Davis noted that DON-0163 (close NAES Lakehurst, NJ) remains an unlikely candidate since the DAG has determined it is infeasible to enclave the ALRE components at Lakehurst. Concerning DON-0165 (close MCLB Barstow, CA), she informed the IEG that DON objected to the enabling Industrial JCSG candidate recommendation presented to the ISG and IEC. Ms. Davis noted that DON remains concerned about the accuracy of the shift capacity analysis (i.e., 1.5 capacity multiplier) and the potential loss of the west coast depot capability. She noted that the Army has also objected to this candidate recommendation

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

on the basis that the Army needs to retain the railhead at MCLB Barstow.

17. Concerning DON-0166 (close NSWC Crane, IN), Ms. Davis noted that two of three Technical JCSG candidate recommendations affecting NSWC Crane have been posted. She informed the IEG that the Technical JCSG candidate recommendations would still leave DON industrial functions at NSWC Crane and that "closure" would not divest DOD of this asset since the Army has a substantial presence that will remain at NSWC Crane. DON will seek to relocate its remaining industrial functions and transfer the base to the Army. With respect to DON-0167 (close NSA Philadelphia, PA), Ms. Davis noted that the current status of decisions by the Supply and Storage JCSG concerning Inventory Control Point realignments appear to make closure infeasible since activities will remain in Philadelphia. She noted that DON-0169 (close NSWC Indian Head, MD) also does not appear viable since JCSG functional realignments relocate less than five percent of NSWC Indian Head assets. Ms. Davis noted that of the eight possible fenceline closure scenarios, four (including DON-0165) appear infeasible. She indicated that DON will remain poised for additional fenceline closure possibilities and noted that OSD has requested that the JCSGs review their analyses to identify additional closure possibilities.

18. The IEG next reviewed the Payback summary for DON Candidate Recommendations (including DON-0133) that indicates one-time costs of \$1.84 billion and a 20-year NPV savings of \$7.74 billion. Ms. Davis noted that the cost to NPV ratio remains one to four. See slide 20 of enclosure (1). She then provided the IEG with a cartographical display of approved DON candidate recommendations to depict the affected geographical areas, see slide 21 of enclosure (1), and noted that further scenario analysis is required for the IGPBS directed relocation of a CVN and CVW, weapons stations, fenceline closures resulting from JCSG Candidate Recommendations, and integration of JCSG candidate recommendations.

19. Ms. Davis provided the IEG with cartographical displays of known JCSG candidate recommendations, see slide 23 of enclosure (1), and JCSG candidate recommendations that have been or will be briefed to the ISG and IEC, see slide 24 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis summarized the DON position (no objection, objection, analytical concerns, or investment concerns) for the JCSG candidate recommendations briefed to the ISG on 25 February 2005. See slides 25-27 of enclosure (1) and enclosure (2).

Subj: REPORT OF IEG DELIBERATIONS OF 3 MARCH 2005

20. The IEG next discussed the DON position for JCSG candidate recommendations that will be briefed to the ISG on 4 March 2005. See slides 28-30 of enclosure (1). Of note, the IEG discussed DON objections to Technical JCSG candidate recommendations affecting NSWC Division Corona (TECH-0005 and TECH-0006v2) and decided to defer a DON objection concerning the Technical JCSG candidate recommendation that consolidates Maritime C4ISR RDAT&E (TECH-0042A) pending resolution of the issues concerning NSWC Division Corona.

21. The IEG next reviewed a summary of all BRAC Candidate Recommendations that indicates one-time costs of \$16.45 billion and 20-year NPV savings of \$35.31 billion. See slide 31 of enclosure (1). Ms. Davis noted that costs and savings data for IGPBS directed actions have been factored out and that DON-0133 has not yet been included in the Navy costs and savings.

22. The IEG adjourned at 1215.



JAMES A. NOEL
CAPTAIN, USMC
Recorder, IAT