Synthetic Approaches to (smif)$_2$Ti (smif = 1,3-di-(2-pyridyl)-2-azaallyl) Reveal Redox Non-Innocence and C–C Bond-Formation
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ABSTRACT: Attempted syntheses of (smif)$_2$Ti (smif = 1,3-di-(2-pyridyl)-2-azaallyl) based on metatheses of TiCl$_2$(μ$_n$-L$_m$) (n = 2–4) with M(smif) (M = Li, Na), in the presence of a reducing agent (Na/Hg) when necessary, failed, but several apparent Ti(II) species were identified by X-ray crystallography and multidimensional NMR spectroscopy: (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1, X-ray), [(smif)Ti]$_2$(μ$_n$-L$_m$)-N$_2$N(pyr)-smif-smif (2), (smif)Ti[(L$_m$-N$_2$N(pyr)-smif-his)(smif)] (3), and (smif)Ti(dpma) (4, dpma = di-2-pyridylmethyl-amide). NMR spectroscopy and K-edge XAS showed that each compound possesses ligands that are redox noninnocent, such that d$^1$ Ti(III) centers AlI-couple to ligand radicals: (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti$_2$ (1), [(smif)$_2$]Ti$_2$(μ$_n$-L$_m$)-N$_2$N(pyr)-smif-smif (2), [(smif)$_2$]Ti$_2$H (3), and (smif)$_2$Ti$_2$(dpma) (4). The instability of (smif)$_2$Ti relative to its C–C coupled dimer, 2, is rationalized via the complementary nature of the amide and smif radical dianion ligands, which are also common to 3 and 4. Calculations support this contention.

INTRODUCTION

During the course of examining polydentate chelates that could effect strong fields about first row transition elements,$^{1–3}$ a ligand degradation occurred that enabled the synthesis of (smif)$_2$Cr$_2$(TMS)$_2$ (smif = 1,3-di-(2-pyridyl)-2-azaallyl),$^1$ as shown in Scheme 1. A condensation route was then employed to prepare (smif)H (1,3-di-(2-pyridyl)-2-azaapropene), which could be deprotoxonated by standard basic reagents to afford (smif)M (M = Li, Na, etc.). The neutral and anionic precursors were then utilized to synthesize new series of Werner complexes, (smif)$_2$M (M = V, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn), via metathetical procedures, in addition to other derivatives.$^5$ The compounds have unusual optical properties due to intraligand (IL) transitions emanating from the charge transfer from nonbonding allyl-type orbitals (CNN), located principally on the azallyl, to π*-orbitals on the pyridine rings. Conspicuously absent in the application to the first row transition elements are the metals titanium and copper.

Calculations on (smif)$_2$M, and redox chemistry of related azallyl compounds, revealed that the relative energy of the CNN orbitals vs those of the metal are critical with regard to the stability of smif-containing complexes.$^5$ For the case of hypothetical (smif)$_2$Cu, it is likely that the copper d$_x^2$ and d$_{x-y}^2$ orbitals are below those of the smif anion, a situation where the metal internally oxidizes the ligand, thereby causing decomposition. All attempts at preparing (smif)$_2$Cu generated degradation products, in support of this contention.

The situation for titanium is different. Calculations suggest that the energies of the d-orbitals are well above those of the CNN orbitals that constitute the HOMOs of the smif anion, but the π*-orbitals of smif are likely to be close, raising the possibility that smif may be redox noninnocent.$^6$ In (smif)$_2$Cr, spectroscopic and calculational investigations provided evidence that the compound was best formulated as (smif)–(smif)$_2$Cr, (smif)$_2$Cr$_2$, and related studies of (smif)$_2$V suggested that the HOMO of the compound possessed roughly even amounts of ligand and metal character (i.e., V(2,5+)).$^4$
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In the quest for (smif)$_2$Ti, the possibility that smif may be redox innocuous is consistent with the limited number of Ti(II) coordination compounds that have been characterized with confidence. Divalent titanium species typically contain organometalic strong field ligands, such as cyclopentadienyl, C$_5$H$_5$; cyclohexadienyl, C$_6$H$_7$; and cyclooctadienyl, C$_8$H$_9$, and ligands capable of significant z-backbonding, which stabilize the low oxidation state by dispersing excess electron density. The coordination compounds that can legitimately be described as Ti(II) are limited to trans-(tmeda)$_2$TiCl$_2$, trans-(dmpe)$_2$TiX$_2$, X = Cl, Br, I, Me$_2$Si, Me$_3$Si, and related derivatives (e.g., trans-(dmpe)$_2$TiMe$_2$(dieno)$_2$)$_{22-23}$ and (porphyrin)Ti(alkynes)$_{24,25}$, and the recently prepared bis-(tris-pyrazolylborate)Ti$_{22,27}$). In the context of applications to organic synthesis, calixarene dianion Ti(II) ane and alkyn complexes have also been explored.$^{32,33}$

Herein is described the quest for (smif)$_2$Ti and the actual compounds generated, which were identified within mixtures by modern multidimensional NMR spectroscopic methods. In addition, X-ray spectroscopy is utilized as a means to validate oxidation state measurements based on NMR spectroscopic findings.

## RESULTS

### Synthesis of (smif)Ti Compounds

Analogous to the synthesis of (smif)$_2$V$^5$ treatment of TiCl$_3$(THF)$_3$ with 2 equiv of Li(smif), generated in situ from LiN(TMS)$_2$ and (smif)H, and one equiv Na/Hg in THF led to a deep purple solution containing a mixture of diamagnetic products, which was later determined to be comprised of four main compounds (1–4, Scheme 2). Crystals of (smif)$_2$Li(smif-smif)Ti (1) were deposited upon concentration of a benzene solution, and its structure was subsequently determined by X-ray crystallography. The compound possesses one isolated smif anion, but the remaining two smif ligands are connected by a new C–C bond (shown in red), and this coupled-smif ligand contains a pocket in which the lithium resides, rendering it an anion. Complex 1 is drawn such that an anionic metalaziridene unit is bound to a 7-coordinate titanium center, consistent with a formal Ti(II) oxidation state; either the amide or its adjacent carbon can be considered bound to Li, and the other an anion with respect to titanium.

The purification of one component of the mixture (1 in 46%) obtained from eq 1 prompted efforts to vary synthetic conditions and reagents toward additional isolations. Ti-containing starting materials TiCl$_3$, TiCl$_3$(THF)$_3$, TiCl$_2$(THF)$_2$, and TiCl$_2$(tmdea)$_2$ were exposed to Li(smif), Na(smif), (smif)$_2$Zn$^5$ and (smif)$_2$Mg (eq 2, 68%) in various solvents under varied conditions, and no clean route to any of the products was obtained. The attempts are compiled in the Supporting Information and reveal that in certain cases only one or two of the products that were ultimately identified are present, but in these cases additional unidentified substances hampered purification. For example, direct treatment of TiCl$_2$(tmdea)$_2$ with two anionic smif equiv obliterated the generation of (smif)$_2$Li(smif-smif)Ti (1), but additional species appeared. Furthermore, the sporadic nature of the product distributions was consistent with highly sensitive species.

When (smif)$_2$Li(smif-smif)Ti (1) was redissolved in C$_2$D$_6$, degradation occurred to afford significant quantities of compound 2 within 10 min, and similar amounts of compounds
3 and 4 within 44 h after heating at 80 °C (Scheme 2). Given the similar characteristics of the products as judged by 1H NMR spectroscopy, it seemed plausible that modern multidimensional NMR spectroscopic methods could differentiate the products, despite the difficulties encountered in attempting to purify the mixture.

X-ray Crystal Structure of (smi)2Li[(smi)2Ti (1)].
Selected crystallographic and refinement data for (smi)2Li[(smi)2Ti (1)] are given in Table 1, while important metric parameters are listed in the caption of Figure 1, which provides a view of the molecule. The coordination geometry of 1 is pseudo-octahedral, counting the metalaziridine as a single site opposite a pyridine of the Li[(smi)2] unit. In contrast to the (smi)2M2 (n = 0, M = V, Cr, Fe, Co, and Ni; n = 1, M = Cr, Co) series, which possess smi bite angles from ~77–84°, \( \angle N1-Ti-N2 = 73.41(4)\)°, and \( \angle N3-Ti-N2 = 72.63(4)\)°, concomitant with an elongated titanium-aza distance of \( d(Ti-N2) = 2.1956(12)\) Å expected for the low valent early metal \( (\bar{r}_{ave}(Ti) = 1.32)\). The corresponding titanium–nitrogen distances of the smi pyridines are also longer, with \( d(Ti-N1) = 2.1693(11)\) Å and \( d(Ti-N3) = 2.1678(13)\) Å, and the CNC backbone distances of \( d(N2-C6) = 1.344(2)\) Å and \( d(N2-C7) = 1.322(2)\) Å are consistent with a delocalized anion.

The Li[(smi)2] ligand contains a reduced pyridine-imine component, with an elongated \( d(N5-C18) = 1.3780(18)\) Å adjacent to a N5–C19 single bond of 1.466(2) Å, a shortened C17–C18 distance of 1.367(2) Å, and a long pyridine N4–C17 distance of 1.411(2) Å. In the context of Wieghardt’s and Chirik’s analyses,25–28 the pyridine-imine is at least monoreduced and a case can be made for the dianionic form. A normal titanium–pyridine \( d(Ti-N4) = 2.120(12)\) Å and a titanium–imine \( d(Ti-N5) = 2.023(12)\) Å are also observed, and the latter is considerably shorter than the aforementioned Ti–N_m linkage of the smi ligand. The remaining

| Table 1. Selected Crystallographic and Refinement Data for (smi)2Li[(smi)2Ti (1)] |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| formula         | C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}LiTi |
| formula weight  | 760.69           |
| space group     | P1               |
| Z               | 2                |
| a, Å            | 10.5641(5)       |
| b, Å            | 10.7538(5)       |
| c, Å            | 17.1299(9)       |
| α, deg          | 88.685(2)        |
| β, deg          | 78.420(2)        |
| γ, deg          | 82.808(2)        |
| V, Å³           | 1891.38(16)      |
| \( \rho_{calc} \) \( g cm^{-3} \) | 1.336 |
| \( \mu \), mm⁻¹ | 0.273            |
| temp, K         | 173(2)           |
| λ, Å            | 0.71073          |
| R indices       | R1 = 0.0416      |
| \( |F_{o}|-|F_{c}| \) | 0.0587           |
| R indices       | R2 = 0.0625      |
| all data \( \delta F \) | R3 = 0.1084     |
| GOF²            | 1.089            |

\( \delta F = [ \sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / w(F_o^2)^{1/2} / (n-p)]^{1/2}, n = \) number of independent reflections, \( p = \) number of parameters.

The asymmetric unit contains 1 and 1.5 molecules of C_{21}H_{24}N_{2}LiTi. The Ti-N的距离为1.390(2) Å，是Ti–N_bond A. The Ti–N bond lengths of 1.453(2) and 1.42(2) Å, respectively, clearly represent single bonds, and a

(CN)Ti interaction is best construed as an anionic metalaziridine unit, where an elongated imine \( (d(N8-C31) = 1.390(2)\) A) is bound to the titanium via a short \( d(Ti-N8) = 1.9722(12)\) Å, and a long \( d(Ti-N31) = 2.3260(14)\) Å. The adjacent N8–C28 and C31–C32 bond lengths of 1.453(2) and 1.42(2) Å, respectively, clearly represent single bonds, and a
new carbon–carbon single bond is formed from the coupling of Li(smif) and smif (Li(C18–C25) = 1.5472(19) Å). The remaining three pyridine rings associated with the coupled ligand and N are ligated to Li in a highly distorted trigonal monoprismonic geometry: ΔN8–Li–N8 = 93.07(11)°, ΔN8–Li1–N7 = 84.76(10)°, ΔN8–Li–N11 = 85.79(10)°, N11–Li–N7 = 89.69(11)°, N6–Li–N8 = 154.60(14)°, N7–Li–N9 = 116.45(12)°, N8–Li–N9 = 85.79(10)°.

The structure of (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1) exhibits three anionic ligand or ligand fragments: the smif anion, the reduced pyridine-imine considered as a radical anion, and the anionic aziridine of the coupled Li(smif-smif) ligand. From the structure and its diamagnetism, it is proposed that it contains a d^3 Ti(III) center antiferromagnetically coupled to the pyridine-imine radical anion.

NMR Spectroscopic Characterization. 1. Structure Elucidation. With the crystal structure of (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1) determined, NMR spectroscopy was used to investigate 1 in solution to see if its solid state conformation was retained. The spectral assessment proved more challenging than anticipated when degradation compounds, all of which had been observed in the aforementioned synthetic efforts, appeared during data acquisition. As Scheme 2 reveals, 1 degraded to give substantial quantities of 2 within 30 min, and further decomposition to 3 and 4 occurred over extended periods. A rough mixture of 1–4 was conveniently obtained for spectroscopic purposes upon heating 1 at 80 °C for 44 h.

To elucidate the identity of the four compounds in solution, a series of 2D NMR spectroscopic experiments were employed, the details of which are given in the Supporting Information. Initial efforts focused on 2D correlation spectroscopy (COSY), which facilitated identification of protons that were spin coupled to one another, specifically adjacent protons on pyridine rings. From these correlations, the gCOSY (gradient selected) spectrum provided an estimate of 15 different pyridine rings, and identified the most downfield chemical shifts as mostly α-CH resonances. Unfortunately, due to overlapping cross peaks and weak correlations, all 15 rings were not solely assembled via gCOSY experiments. Adiabatic heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQCAD) 2D spectroscopy displayed correlations between 75 protons and their corresponding carbons, and permitted identification of backbone (–CH(N)– and pyridine ring protons. Diverse 13C chemical shifts aided in differentiating overlapping proton resonances, thereby helping to elucidate 6 pyridine rings. Additionally, HSQCAD revealed new 1H–13C correlations that went undetected in the COSY experiments because they were weak, and a total of 15 pyridine rings were ultimately identified. The quaternary carbons of the pyridine rings were observed via strong correlations with the α-CH positions obtained from 2D gHMBC (adiabatic heteronuclear multiple bond correlation) experiments. Cross peaks were observed that proved useful toward assigning the protons around the pyridine rings, as each displayed the same correlation patterns and 13C chemical shift patterns. Ligand fragments were established by correlations observed between pyridine rings and their adjacent methine or methylene backbone resonances.

To finish assigning the 15 pyridine rings, total correlation spectroscopy (TOCSY) was utilized since all protons within a specific spin system produced a series of cross peaks. Each pyridine ring possessed its own spin system, and all protons corresponding to one ring appeared in a line, subsequently verifying assignments made from other 2D experiments. Rotating-frame Overhauser effect spectroscopy (ROESY) was used to determine which ligand environments were associated with a specific titanium center, and provided information regarding the spatial orientation of the ligands. The complete assignments of compounds 1–4 are given in Scheme 2.

The solution structure of (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1) was found to be the same as in the solid state, with coupling of smif and Li(smif) units generating a complicated tetradeinate ligand comprised of an imino-pyridine group connected to an anionic metalaziridine via a C–C bond.5,48 Compounds 2–4 were clearly differentiated by α-pyridine resonances of δ 13.88, 13.99, and 13.61 that corresponded to bound pyridine linked through a C(sp^2)–N–C(sp^2) amide bridge. Compound 2 initially appeared to be (smif)2Ti because of the presence of two disconnected smif ligands, but only 5 proton resonances would be expected for a D_{5h} arrangement, yet 15 were observed. Furthermore, one smif possessed mirror symmetry, and backbone 1H and 13C resonances (1H, δ 2.19; 13C, δ 74.93) more consistent with an sp^2 carbon center. A structure that accommodates the presence of an asymmetric smif ligand and sp^2 carbons in the backbone is [(smif)2Ti]_2(μ^3-η^3,p,N=N,py)(η^2-smif)2 (2), where dimerization of (smif)2Ti has occurred via the formation of two C–C bonds5,49 between the CNC backbones of the ligands. In the C2v dimer, a 180° rotation axis splits the two C–C bonds of the coupled smifs, and renders the...
Figure 2. (a) Normalized Ti K-edge spectra (10 K) for (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1), a sample of [(smif)Ti]_2(μ-κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif-smif) (2) that was assayed as ~90% pure by ^1H NMR spectroscopy, TiCl_3(THF)_3 as a reference Ti(III) sample, and TiO_2 as a reference for Ti(IV). b. Expansion of the pre-edge region.

uncoupled smif ligands asymmetric, as both lie in the horizontal mirror plane. A related coupling of smif ligands was observed in the structurally characterized [(TMS)_2NF][μ-κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif-smif] (3).

Compound 3 also possessed an asymmetric smif ligand and two symmetric smif components with sp^3-carbon backbone spectral signatures (^1H δ: 4.38, 7.85; 2.80, 66.39). A key finding was an NH resonance that suggested a structure related to [(smif)Ti]_2(μ-κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif-smif) (2), but with one titanium-smif moiety replaced by a proton. A C, symmetric structure, (smif)Ti(κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif-smif)H (3) possesses an uncoupled smif ligand in the plane of symmetry, and a smif(H) ligand that manifests mirror symmetry. Methylene backbone resonances (1H δ: 3.29; 13C δ: 61.83) consistent with a symmetric di-2-pyridylmethyl amide (dpma) ligand, in addition to a smif ligand, characterized compound 4, which was thus formulated as (smif)Ti(dpma) (4).

2. NMR Evidence of Redox Noninnocence. The X-ray structure determination of 1 led to the conclusion that the metal center was best considered (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]TiH (1); the smif anion, a pyridine-imine radical anion, and an anionic metalaziridine comprised the three formally negative entities. The structural evidence and diamagnetism of 1 is satisfied by a model whereby a Ti(II) center is antiferromagnetically coupled to the radical anion of the pyridine imine unit. Consider the structures proposed for 2–4. All of the titanium centers contain nominally two anionic ligands, one smif and one amide, rendering the metals formally Ti(II), a situation that appears remarkable in view of the paucity of Ti(II) coordination compounds and the potential for the redox noninnocence of smif.

Since the smif in (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1) appears to be a standard, closed-shell anion, its chemical changes can be compared with those in compounds 2–4 to check for differences indicative of redox noninnocence. The -CHN=CH- backbone proton resonances of 1 are δ 6.34 and 6.42 (1H), whereas the related signals on 2–4 average δ 3.29(16). The Ti(II) chemical shifts of 1 are δ 110.72 and 112.87, which are close but high relative to the average shift value (δ 108.52(19)) for the related carbons on 2–4. Pyridine proton chemical shifts for compounds 2–4 were dramatically lower than those observed for (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1), lending credence to the possibility that all smif ligands in these species were radical anions. The δ-CH resonances of δ 7.17 and 8.15 for 1 were ~3 ppm greater than the average δ-CH chemical shift for 2–4 (δ 4.28(69)), and the p-CH proton chemical shifts of 1 (δ 6.59, 6.62) were about 2 ppm greater than the corresponding average shift (δ 4.39(28)) for 2–4. The m-CH nearest the quaternary center changed the least, but the average shift from species 2–4 of δ 4.83(22) was still over 1 ppm lower than the corresponding values for 1 (δ 5.95, 6.40). The remaining meta-position, opposite the bridge, had an average shift of δ 3.10(33) for compounds 2–4, roughly 3 ppm below those of compound 1 (δ 5.78, 6.02).

While the proton chemical shifts provided a clear indication that the smif ligands in 2–4 were electronically different than the model smif of (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1), comparison of the 13C resonances afforded a subtler differentiation. The quaternary centers and p-C 13C resonances were statistically similar for all four complexes, but significant deviations were observed for the meta and para resonances. The average p-C 13C chemical shift of δ 125.66(33) for 2–4 was ~8 ppm lower than those of 1, while the average resonance (δ 122.81(29)) of the m-C adjacent to the quaternary center was ~5 ppm greater than the corresponding shifts of 1, and the remaining m-C average chemical shift of δ 100.34(34) was ~11 ppm lower than those of 1. Overall, the statistical similarities of the resonances pertaining to compounds 2–4, and their clear differences with those of 1, provided a compelling case for an electronically differentiated ligand. In accord with these observations, compounds 2–4 are taken to be [(smif)TiH](κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif) (2), [(smif)TiH](κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif) (3), and (smif)Ti(dpma) (4). The smif radical dianion is considered to be antiferromagnetically coupled to Ti(III) d^0 centers in compounds 2–4.

X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy. Corroboration for the NMR analysis that suggested the presence of a smif dianion radical in compounds 2–4 was sought from K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy.5,9–11 Figure 2 shows normalized K-edge titanium spectra for (smif)[Li(smif-smif)]Ti (1) and [(smif)Ti](μ-κ^3,N,N,N-(py)_2-smif-smif) (2), which was generated in ~90% purity via removal of 1 via crystallizations. For comparison, TiCl_3(THF)_3 and TiO_2 were also examined as references for Ti(III) and Ti(IV) formal oxidation states, respectively. An expanded view of the pre-edge region is also given, and it shows that the lowest features for 1, 2, and TiCl_3(THF)_3 are shifted to lower energies relative to TiO_2 by roughly 1 eV, consistent with a Ti(III) oxidation state assignment. Furthermore, the two features that comprise the leading edge of 1

8181 dx.doi.org/10.1021/ic100909r | Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 8177–8186
and 2, presumably the 1s \(3d(\pi)\) and 1s \(3d(\pi^*)\) transitions, are separated by \(\geq 2\) eV, consistent with the expected 17,000–20,000 cm\(^{-1}\) field strength for a \(\text{smif}^+\) dianion and dipyrrolide amide ligand set.\(^5\)

**DISCUSSION**

**Nature of (smif)\(_2\)Ti.** While the quest for (smif)\(_2\)Ti failed, it was the intrinsic instability of the compound that prevented isolation, as the NMR spectroscopic identification of \([(\text{smif})_2\text{Ti}(\mu-\kappa^2\kappa^N\kappa^N,N,N(N)\text{py})_2)]\(_2\) (2) revealed. Complex 2 is essentially (smif)\(_2\)Ti trans-coupled to another (smif)\(_2\)Ti via two C–C bonds linking the CNC backbone.\(^4\) At the M06/6-31G(d) level of calculation, the free energy for dimerization at 298 K of \(-5.5\) kcal/mol suggests that (smif)\(_2\)Ti may be thermally accessible via 2, as eq 3 indicates; B3LYP calculations yielded substantially worse agreement.

**Nature of (smif)Ti Complexes.** Although \([(\text{smif})\text{Li}((\text{smif})\text{smif})\text{Ti})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(3)}\), and \([(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{dpma})\text{H})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{H})\text{(4)}\) are typically and more easily as Ti(II) species with doped-shell ligands, the structural characterization of 1, and the XAS and NMR spectroscopic investigations of 2–4 suggest otherwise. The metric parameters of 1 indicate the presence of a pyridine-amine radical anion, rendering the complex best described as Ti(III), that is, \([(\text{smif})\text{Li}((\text{smif})\text{smif})\text{Ti})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(1)}\), with the d\(^5\) metal center antiferromagnetically (AF) coupled to the ligand radical anion. Likewise, the spectral evidence is persuasive for a smif radical dianion AF-coupled to the d\(^3\) Ti(II) centers of compounds 2–4, affording \([(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(2)}\), \([(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(3)}\), and \([(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(4)}\) as better descriptions.

The portrayals of 1–4 as Ti(III) d\(^5\) metal centers AF-coupled to ligand radical anions is not surprising in view of the paucity of nonorganometallic Ti(II) species of unquestionable formal oxidation state. One wonders whether \(\text{Ti}(\text{tris-pyrazolylborate})\text{Ti})\text{Ti}(\text{porphyrin})\text{Ti}(\text{alkynes})\)\(^2\) actually have related electronic features that would better fit a Ti(III) description, although the latter compounds have metalacyclopropene character, and are thus oxidized by π-backbonding.

![Image](Image)

One surprise from this study and analysis is the finding that the combination of dipyrrolic amide (dpma) and smif act as a pair of tridentate ligands that clearly renders the Ti(III) centers stable. The stability was calculationally assayed via the dehydrogenation of (smif)\(_2\)Ti(dpma) illustrated in eq 4, and the numbers essentially run counter to those calculated for the dehydrogenation of (smif)Fe(dpma) to (smif)Fe and H\(_2\) (\(H = -2.9; S = 8\) eV; \(G_{298} = -5.2\)). Viewing \((\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(4)}\) in \(C_{2v}\) such that the tridentate ligands are in the xy and xz planes, an electronic configuration of \((d_{xy}^2)(\text{ligand})\pi^*)^1\) is expected. The N(NO) Ti(\(d_{xy}^2\)) donation is coupled with the slight \(\sigma^*\)-character of the \(d_{xy}^2\)-orbital that derives from the bite angle of smif being less than 180°. A smif radical dianion might be expected to have shorter Ti–N than the monocation, and a correspondingly greater bite angle. This stronger interaction, presumably electrostatic in origin, would attenuate the \(\pi^*\)-character of the \(d_{xy}^2\)-orbital, thereby permitting a stronger N(NO) Ti(\(d_{xy}^2\)) donation. In this fashion, the tridentate amine ligand and smif radical dianion are complementary in their interactions with the Ti(III) center. In contrast, transient \((\text{smif})\text{Ti})^2\) may not be metrically and electronically disposed toward a Ti(III) electronic configuration, but in dimerizing, an amide-smif ligand set is achieved along with the higher oxidation state of Ti(III).

**Molecular Orbital Diagram of (smif)\text{Ti}(\text{dpma}) (4).** A truncated molecular orbital diagram of (smif)\text{Ti}(\text{dpma}) (4) is given in Figure 3, and while the calculations support the above contention regarding complementary binding of amide and smif, significant covalency in the metal–ligand interactions provides a greater perspective on why these complexes are stable. Central to the figure is the CNC\(^{ab}\) smif \(\pi^*\) orbital that shows greater delocalization than in the previous set of \(\text{smif}^+\)N calculations.\(^2\) For M = Fe, Co, Ni, the \(d_{xy}^2\) orbitals are below the CNC\(^{ab}\) pair, whereas for Mn, Cr, V, and Ti, they are above. As in the calculations of hypothetical (smif)\(_2\)Ti, the \(d_{xy}^2\) orbitals are 1.5–2.0 eV above the CNC\(^{ab}\) orbital in 4, allowing for significant mixing with \(\pi^*\)-orbitals on the dpma and smif ligands.

The AF-coupled \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) electrons reside in orbitals that have considerable ligand character. As anticipated, the lowest \(d\)-orbital SOMO is \(d_{xy}^2\), but it is only \(\sim 50\%\) titanium, and it manifests subtle \(\pi\)-bonding with a dpma \(\pi^*\) orbital. The remainder of \(d_{xy}^2\) is spread among two higher orbitals with mostly smif \(\pi^*\) character. The other SOMO is \(\sim 67\%\) smif \(\pi^*\) that is bonding with respect to \(d_{xy}^2\) \(\sim 33\%\); again the major portion of \(d_{xy}^2\) is at \(\sim 0.17\) (not shown) where it interacts with a different smif \(\pi^*\) orbital. The \(d_{xy}^2\) orbital at \(\sim 0.40\) is decidedly antibonding with respect to the N(NO), as predicted. While a back of the envelope depiction of a Ti(III) d^5 complex would surely be \((d_{xy}^2)^1\), the covalency in the complex reveals the metal \(d\)-character to be smeared between \(d_{xy}^2\) and \(d_{xy}^2\); the other electron is localized on the smif, rendering it a dianion. Given the disparate nature of dpma and smif, it is not surprising that very few of the MOs show a delocalization about both ligands in \(C_{2v}\) symmetry.

**Mechanism of (smif)Li((smif)smif)Ti (1) Degradation.** Scheme 3 illustrates a potential process by which highly sensitive (smif)Li((smif)smif)Ti (1) degrades into various products. Reversible formation of the C–C bond (red) that can be considered to form via nucleophilic attack of Li(smif) on a bound (smif)Ti entity permits generation of (smif)Ti, which can then dimerize (eq 3) to give \([(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\mu-\kappa^2\kappa^N\kappa^N,N,N(N)\text{py})_2]\text{smif}\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(2)}\). Coupling of Li(smif) and (smif)Ti via two C–C bonds could lead to \(3\cdot\text{H}\)–Li, and adventitious protonation of this entity could generate \([(\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\mu-\kappa^2\kappa^N\kappa^N,N(N)\text{py})_2]\text{smif}\text{smif})\text{H})\text{(3)}\). Alternatively, protonolysis or hydrolysis of 2 can also afford 3, and oxidation of (smif)Ti(OH) 3 related species with water can generate the additional dihydrogen needed to convert H(smif) to H(dpma), thereby permitting amide amine exchange of 2 or 3 to afford (smif)\(_2\)Ti(dpma) (4).
Figure 3. Truncated molecular orbital diagram of (smif)Ti(dpma) (4; the dpma is in the xz plane, the smif is in the yz plane) illustrating orbitals near the HOMO/LUMO gap. The left side of the diagram features orbitals with dpma character, while the right side pictures those with smif character. The SOMOs whose electrons are AF-coupled to afford the ground state singlet are at \( \sim -2.0 \) eV; the left orbital is \( \sim 50\% \) dpma while the right orbital is \( \sim 67\% \) smif \( \pi^* \), with some \( d_{\alpha} \) admixed. The remaining orbitals with predominately \( d \)-character are not shown, but \( d_{\alpha} \) and \( d_{\pi} \) are \( \sim -0.17 \) and \( -0.40 \) eV, respectively, while the \( \sigma^* \)-orbitals (\( d_{\gamma} \) and \( d_{\gamma}^* \)) are at \( \sim +1.4 \) and \( +2.0 \) eV.

Scheme 3

**CONCLUSION**

Metathesis and reduction processes have allowed the synthesis of various titanium compounds, \((\text{smif})\{\text{Li}(\text{smif-smif})\}\text{Ti} (1), [(\text{smif})\text{Ti}]_2(\mu-\text{κ}^2\text{κ}^2\text{N,N}(\text{py})_2\text{smif-smif}) (2), (\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{κ}^2\text{N,N}(\text{py})_2\text{smif-smif})\text{H} (3), and (\text{smif})\text{Ti}(\text{dpma}) (4), whose solution structures have been determined from multidimensional
NMR spectroscopy. NMR spectroscopy and K-edge XAS have shown that each compound possesses ligands that are redox noninnocent. Consequently, the best electronic descriptions of the complexes are a d4 Ti(III) centers Al-coupled to ligand radicals: (smif)(Li(smif-smif))2[Ti(III)1] (1), (smif2)(Ti(III)2)2[Li-x,N,N,p(2)-smif] (2), (smif2)(Ti(III)3)3[Li-x, N,N-p(2)-smif,smif] (3), and (smif2)(Ti(III)4)[dpm] (4). Calculations of 4 show considerable covalency, consistent with the presence of the titanium d-orbitals with the extended π*-orbitals of dpma and smif.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Considerations. All manipulations were performed using either glovebox or high vacuum line techniques. All glassware was oven-dried. THF and ether were distilled under nitrogen from pure sodium benzenophenone ketyl and vacuum transferred from the same prior to use. Hydrocarbon solvents were treated in the same manner with the addition of 1–2 mL/L tetraglyme. Benzene-d6 and toluene-d8 were dried over sodium, vacuum transferred and stored over activated 4 Å molecular sieves. THF-d6 was dried over sodium and vacuum transferred from sodium benzenophenone ketyl prior to use. C3Ti(1,4-cyclooctadiene)2 Li(smif-na(smif),smif) and 1,3,2-pyridazine-2-azapropene (smif2) were prepared according to literature procedures. Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide was purchased from Aldrich and recrystallized from hexanes prior to use. All other chemicals were commercially available and used as received.

NMR spectra were obtained using an INOVA 400 and 600 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported relative to benzene-d6 (H 6.76; DCl(H) δ 2.389), 2,6-dimethylpyridine (H 7.13; DCl(H) δ 7.257). All multinuclear techniques were conducted using INOVA software affiliated with the spectrometers.

Synthesis. 1. [smif]Mg(6-Mg). To a 2.5 mL round-bottom flask charged with Mg(12% 0.091 g, 0.49 mmol) and Li(smif) (0.200 g, 0.98 mmol) was vacuum transferred 10 mL THF at −78 °C resulting in a deep magenta solution which was slowly warmed to 23 °C. After the solution stirred for 12 h, the volatiles were removed in vacuo. The solid was triturated with Et2O (8 × 5 mL) and filtered to yield [smif]Mg as a microcrystalline gold solid (0.14% 0.488 g, 83% H2 NMR (CD2O 300 MHz): δ 2.25 (s, pyridine-2CH, 1 H), 2.70 (dd, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 6.6, 1.1 Hz), 3.05 (s, azamethinic CH, 1 H), 3.18 (t, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 8.6 Hz), 3.35 (s, azamethinic CH, 1 H), 3.06 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 7.1 Hz), 4.05 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 8.1 Hz), 4.60 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 5.8 Hz), 5.50 (s, azamethinic CH, 1 H), 5.68 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 6.59 (t, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 8.0 Hz), 6.52 (dd, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 6.1, 1.9 Hz), 6.70 (t, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 6.3, 1.7 Hz), 6.74 (dd, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 7.1, 1.9 Hz), 7.05 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.17 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.4 Hz), 7.39 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.7 Hz), 7.46 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.7 Hz), 7.53 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.7 Hz), 7.65 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.7 Hz), 7.75 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.7 Hz), 7.89 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 4.5 Hz), 8.15 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 5.5 Hz), 8.42 (d, pyridine-2CH, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz). 2. [smif]MgCl2. [smif]MgCl2 was prepared according to literature procedures. Lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide was purchased from Aldrich and recrystallized from hexanes prior to use. All other chemicals were commercially available and used as received.
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1 H, J = 9.4 Hz), 7.36 (t, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.84 (t, J = 7.5 Hz), 8.41 (s, 2 CH, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz), 13.61 (d, J = 7.5 Hz), 10.11 (py, J = 5.0 Hz). 

\[ \begin{align*} 
\text{C][H]} & \text{Cl} (5.0 \text{ ppm, } J = 5.0 \text{ Hz}), \\
\delta &= \text{6.818 (ppm, } J = 5.0 \text{ Hz),} \\
\text{10.43 (ppm, } J = 5.0 \text{ Hz),} \\
\text{12.68 (ppm, } J = 5.0 \text{ Hz),} \\
\text{-12.14 (ppm, } J = 5.0 \text{ Hz).} \\
\end{align*} 

XAS Spectroscopy. XAS data were measured at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource using focused beamline 7-3, under ring conditions of 3 GeV and 60–100 mA. A Si(200) double-crystal monochromator was used for energy selection and a Rh-coated mirror (set to an energy cutoff of 9 keV) was utilized in combination with 30% detuning for rejection of higher harmonics. All samples were prepared as diluted in BN and measured as transmission spectra. Samples were maintained at 10 K using an Oxford continuous flow. To check for reproducibility, 2–3 scans were measured for all samples. The energy was calibrated from Ti foil spectra, with the first inflection set to 4066.0 eV. A step size of 0.11 eV was used over the edge region. Data were averaged, and a smooth background was removed from all spectra by fitting a polynomial to the pre-edge region and subtracting this polynomial from the entire spectrum. Normalization of the data was accomplished by fitting a flat-topped polynomial or straight line to the postedge region and normalizing the edge jump to 10 at 5000 eV.

Single Crystal X-ray Diffraction Study of (smif)[Li][smif]Ti | (1). A metallic gold green block (0.5 x 0.5 x 0.2 mm) of (smif)[Li][smif]Ti | (1) was obtained from benzene at 23 °C. Upon isolation, the crystals were found in polycrystals and placed under a 173 K N2 stream on the glass-rectangular cell of a Siemens P4 SMART CCD area detector (graphite-monochromated MoKα radiation, λ = 0.71073 Å). The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically unless stated, and hydrogen atoms were treated as idealized contributions (Riding model). A total of 352 reflections were collected with 8.94 determined to be symmetry independent (Rint = 0.0336), and 62 were greater than 2σ(1). A difference Fourier synthesis revealed a hydrogen atom on the center of symmetry with Biso = 0 Å2 and a hydrogen atom on the center of symmetry with Biso = 0 Å2. The positional parameters of the hydrogen atoms were refined.

Computational Methods. B3LYP 35 geometry optimization utilized the Gaussian03 suite of programs; the 6-31G(d) basis set was employed. Tests with the larger 6-311+G(d) basis set did not reveal significant differences in the optimized geometries. No symmetry constraints were employed in geometry optimizations, which were started from a pseudo-Cp structure. Calculation of the energy Hessians was performed to confirm species as minima on their respective potential energy surfaces at this level of theory.
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