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CHAPTER I

CONNECTEDNESS

1.1. Definition A topological space $Y$ is connected if it is not the union of two nonempty, disjoint open sets. A subset $B \subseteq Y$ is connected if it is connected as a subspace of $Y$.

1.2. Definition Two subsets $A$ and $B$ of a topological space $Y$ are said to be separated if $A \neq \emptyset$, $B \neq \emptyset$, and $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset = \overline{A} \cap B$.

1.3. Definition A subset $A$ of a set $B$ is called a proper subset of $B$ if and only if $A \neq \emptyset$ and $A \neq B$.

1.4. Theorem Let $A$ and $B$ be nonempty, disjoint subsets of a topological space $Y$. Then, $A$ and $B$ are separated if and only if both $A$ and $B$ are open in $A \cup B$.

Proof:

Part 1 - Let $A$ and $B$ be separated. Thus, $\overline{A} \cap B = \emptyset$ which implies that $A$ is closed in $A \cup B$. Consequently, $(A \cup B) - A = B$ is open in $A \cup B$. Similarly, $A$ is open in $A \cup B$.

Part 2 - Let both $A$ and $B$ be open in $A \cup B$. There is an open set $V \subseteq Y$ such that $V \cap (A \cup B) = B$. Now, suppose that $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then, there is a point $p \in V \cap A$. Thus, $p \in (V \cap A) \cup (V \cap B) = V \cap (A \cup B) = B$. But this implies that $p \in A \cup B$, a
contradiction. Hence, $V\Delta A = \emptyset$, and, since $V$ is open,
$V\Delta A = \emptyset$. Therefore, $E\Delta A = \emptyset$. Similarly, $A\Delta B = \emptyset$. Thus,
(by 1.2) $A$ and $B$ are separated.

1.5. **Theorem** Let $Y$ be a topological space. The following five properties are equivalent:

(1) $Y$ is connected.

(2) $Y$ is not the union of two separated sets.

(3) $Y$ is not the union of two nonempty, disjoint closed sets.

(4) $Y$ contains no proper subset which is both open and closed.

(5) No continuous mapping $f: Y \to 2$ is surjective, where $2$ is the space consisting of the two points $\{0,1\}$
with the discrete topology.

**Proof:**

Show that (1) implies (2).

This follows directly from 1.1 and 1.4.

Show that (2) implies (3).

Assume that $Y = A \cup B$ where $A$ and $B$ are nonempty, dis-
joint closed sets. Then, $Y-A = B$ and $Y-B = A$ are both open; and (by 1.4) $A$ and $B$ are separated, a contradiction.

Show that (3) implies (4).

Assume that $Y$ contains a proper subset $A$, which is both open and closed. Thus, $Y-A$ is nonempty and closed. Since
Y = (Y-A)UA, then Y is the union of two nonempty, disjoint closed sets, namely A and Y-A, a contradiction.

Show that (4) implies (5).

Assume that there is a continuous \( f: Y \to \mathbb{R} \) which is surjective. Thus, \( f^{-1}(0) \neq Y \) and \( f^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset \); consequently, \( f^{-1}(0) \) is a proper subset of \( Y \). Now, \( \{0\} \) is both open and closed in \( \mathbb{R} \), and, since \( f \) is continuous, \( f^{-1}(0) \) is both open and closed in \( Y \), a contradiction.

Show that (5) implies (1).

Assume that \( Y \) is not connected. Then, (By 1.1) \( Y = A \cup B \) where \( A \) and \( B \) are nonempty, disjoint open sets.

Define \( \chi_A : Y \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( \chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in A \end{cases} \). Since \( A \) is nonempty and \( B = Y - A \) is nonempty, then \( \chi_A : Y \to \mathbb{R} \) is surjective.

Now, the set \( \{0,1\} \) is open in \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( \chi_A^{-1}(\{0,1\}) = A \cup B = Y \), which is open in \( Y \). The set \( \{1\} \) is open in \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( \chi_A^{-1}(1) = A \), which is open in \( Y \). The set \( \{0\} \) is open in \( \mathbb{R} \), and \( \chi_A^{-1}(0) = B \), which is open in \( Y \).

Thus, the inverse image of each set open in \( \mathbb{R} \) is also open in \( Y \). Thus, \( \chi_A \) is continuous, a contradiction.

1.6. Lemma Let \( A \) and \( B \) be separated subsets of a topological space \( X \). If \( C \) and \( D \) are nonempty sets such that \( C \subseteq A \) and \( D \subseteq B \), then \( C \) and \( D \) are separated.

Proof: Now, \( C \subseteq A \) implies that \( \overline{C} = \overline{A} \). Since \( A \) and \( B \) are separated, \( \overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset \). Thus, since \( D \subseteq B \), then \( \overline{A} \cap D = \emptyset \).
and, since $\overline{C} \subseteq A$, then $C \cap D = \emptyset$. Likewise, $C \cap \overline{D} = \emptyset$. Therefore (by 1.2), $C$ and $D$ are separated.

**1.7. Theorem** Let $A$ and $B$ be separated subsets of a topological space $X$. If $C$ is a connected subset of $A \cup B$, then $C \subseteq A$ or $C \subseteq B$.

**Proof:** Assume that $C \not\subseteq A$ and $C \not\subseteq B$. Thus, $C$ contains points in both $A$ and $B$; so $C = PUQ$, where $P = C \cap A$ and $Q = C \cap B$. Since $A$ and $B$ are separated, then (by 1.6) $P$ and $Q$ are separated, which implies (by 1.5) that $C$ is not connected, a contradiction.

**1.8. Theorem** Let $C$ be a family of connected subsets of a topological space. If no two members of $C$ are separated, then $\bigcup C$ is connected.

**Proof:** Assume that $\bigcup C$ is not connected. Then (by 1.5) $\bigcup C = PUQ$, where $P$ and $Q$ are separated sets. Let $C_1 \in C$. Then (by 1.7) $C_1 \subseteq P$ or $C_1 \subseteq Q$. Suppose that the lettering is chosen such that $C_1 \subseteq P$. Since (by 1.2) $Q$ is nonempty, there is an element $C_2 \in C$ such that $C_2 \cap Q \neq \emptyset$, and (by 1.7) $C_2 \subseteq Q$. However (by 1.6), $C_1$ and $C_2$ are separated, a contradiction.

**1.9. Corollary** If $C$ is a family of connected subsets of a topological space which have at least one point in common, then $\bigcup C$ is connected.
Proof: Since each two members of $C$ have a point in common, (by 1.2) no two members of $C$ are separated. Thus (by 1.3), $UC$ is connected.

1.10. Remark Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of a topological space $X$ such that $A \subseteq B$. Then, $A$ is connected in $X$ if and only if $A$ is connected in $B$.

1.11. Theorem The continuous image of a connected set is connected. That is, if $X$ and $Y$ are topological spaces, if $A$ is a connected subset of $X$, and if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $f(A)$ is connected.

Proof: Assume that $f(A)$ is not connected. Then (by 1.5), there is a proper subset $P$ of $f(A)$ such that $P$ is both open and closed in $f(A)$. Now, since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, $f|A: A \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. Thus, it follows that $f^{-1}(P)$ is a proper subset of $A$ which is both open and closed in $A$. Therefore (by 1.5), $A$ is not connected, a contradiction.

1.12. Theorem Let $\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}\}$ be a family of connected sets of a topological space $Y$, with $A_i \cap A_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, $\bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ is connected.

Proof: Mathematical induction will be used. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let $P(n)$ represent the statement "$\bigcup \{A_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^+ = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}\}$ is connected."
(1) \( P(1) \) is true since \( A_1 \) is connected.

(2) Assume that \( P(k) \) is true for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). That is, assume that \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_i \) is connected.

(3) Show that \( P(k+1) \) is true.

Since \( A_k \cap A_{k+1} \neq \emptyset \), then \( (\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i) \cap A_{k+1} \neq \emptyset \).

By (2), \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_i \) is connected, and, by the hypothesis, \( A_{k+1} \) is connected, so (by 1.9) \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i \cup A_{k+1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i \) is connected.

Therefore, \( U\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \) is connected.

1.13 Theorem If \( \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\} \) is a family of connected subsets of a topological space \( Y \) such that there exists a connected set \( A \) with \( A \cap A_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \) for each \( \alpha \in T \), then \( \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} (\bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha}) \) is connected.

Proof: Consider the set \( \{\bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\} \). Since for each \( \alpha \in T \), \( A_{\alpha} \) is connected, \( A_{\alpha} \) is connected, and \( A_{\alpha} \cap A_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \), then (by 1.9) \( \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha} \) is connected. Also, \( A \subset \cap\{\bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\} \). Thus (by 1.9), \( U\{\bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} (\bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha}) \) is connected.

1.14 Theorem If \( \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\} \) is a family of connected sets such that any two of them have nonempty intersection, then \( \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} A_{\alpha} \) is connected.

Proof: Let \( A_{\beta} \in \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\} \). For any \( A_{\alpha} \in \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T-\{\beta\}\} \), \( A_{\beta} \cap A_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset \). Thus (by 1.13), \( A_{\beta} U(\bigcup\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T-\{\beta\}\}) = A_{\beta} U(U\{A_{\alpha} : \alpha \in T\}-A_{\beta}) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T-\{\beta\}} A_{\alpha} \) is connected.
1.15. Definition. Given two nonempty sets $U_\alpha$ and $U_\beta$ of a topological space, a collection of sets $U_1, \ldots, U_n$ is a chain from $U_\alpha$ to $U_\beta$, provided that $U_\alpha \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$, $U_\beta \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$, and $U_i \cap U_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

1.16. Theorem. A topological space $Y$ is connected if and only if every open covering $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$ of $Y$ has the following property: for each pair $U_\beta, U_\varphi \in \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$, there is a subcollection of $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$ which forms a chain from $U_\beta$ to $U_\varphi$.

Proof:

Part 1 - Suppose that the given property holds, and assume that $Y$ is not connected. Thus $Y = A \cup B$ where $A$ and $B$ are nonempty, disjoint open sets. Therefore, $\{A, B\}$ is an open covering for $Y$, and, by the hypothesis, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

Part 2 - Suppose that $Y$ is connected. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$ be an open covering of $Y$. Let $U_\beta \in \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$, and let $C$ be the collection of sets consisting of $U_\beta$ together with all sets $U_\delta \in \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$ such that there is a chain consisting of elements of $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$ from $U_\beta$ to $U_\delta$. $C$ is nonempty, since $U_\beta \in C$. Therefore, $UC$ is nonempty, and, since $C$ is a collection of open sets, then $UC$ is open.

To show that $UC$ is closed, let $p \in (UC)'$. Then, $p \in Y = \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$. This implies that $p \in U$ for some $U \in \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Thus, $U$ is an open set containing $p$, and,
since \( p \in (UC)' \), then \( U \cap (UC) \neq \emptyset \), which implies that there is some \( U_\lambda \in C \) such that \( U \cap U_\lambda \neq \emptyset \). Since \( U_\lambda \in C \), there is a chain \( U_1, \ldots, U_n \) from \( U_\beta \) to \( U_\lambda \) which consists of elements of \( \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \). But, since \( U \cap U_\lambda \neq \emptyset \), the collection \( U_1, \ldots, U_n, U_\lambda \) is a chain from \( U_\beta \) to \( U \). Hence, \( U \in C \). Thus, \( p \in U \subset UC \) which implies that \( UC \) is closed.

Thus, \( UC \) is a nonempty set which is both open and closed in \( Y \), and, since \( Y \) is connected, (by 1.5) \( UC = Y \).

Let \( U_\varphi \in \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \). Then \( U_\varphi \subset UC \), which implies that there is some \( U_k \in C \) such that \( U_\varphi \cap U_k \neq \emptyset \). Since \( U_k \in C \), there is a chain \( U_1, \ldots, U_n \) from \( U_\beta \) to \( U_k \) consisting of elements of \( \{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \). Since \( U_\varphi \cap U_k \neq \emptyset \), the collection \( U_1, \ldots, U_n, U_k \) is a chain from \( U_\beta \) to \( U_\varphi \).

1.17. Theorem Let \( A \) be a connected subset of the topological space \( Y \). Then, any set \( B \) satisfying \( A \subset B \subset \overline{A} \) is also connected. In particular, the closure of a connected set is connected.

Proof: Assume that \( B \) is not connected. Then (by 1.5), \( B = PUQ \) where \( P \) and \( Q \) are separated. Since \( A \subset B \) and \( A \) is connected, then (by 1.7) either \( A \subset P \) or \( A \subset Q \). Suppose that the labeling is chosen so that \( A \subset P \). Thus, \( Q \cap A = \emptyset \). Since \( Q \subset B \subset \overline{A} \), \( Q \cap A = \emptyset \), and \( Q \) is nonempty, then \( Q \) contains a limit point of \( A \). But, since \( A \subset P \), then \( Q \) contains a limit point of \( P \), which implies that \( P \) and \( Q \) are not separated, a contradiction. Thus, \( B \) is connected.
In particular, if $C$ is any connected set, then, since $C = \overline{C} = \overline{C}$, $C$ is connected.

1.18 Theorem Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of a topological space $X$. If $A$ and $B$ are closed in $X$, and $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ are connected, then $A$ and $B$ are connected.

Proof: The conclusion is immediate if $A \subseteq B$ or $B \subseteq A$. So, suppose that $A-B \neq \emptyset$ and $B-A \neq \emptyset$.

Assume that $A$ is not connected. Then $A = P \cup Q$ where $P$ and $Q$ are nonempty, disjoint open sets in $A$. Thus (by 1.4), $P$ and $Q$ are separated, and, since $A\cap B \subseteq A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ is connected, then (by 1.7) either $A\cap B \subseteq P$ or $A\cap B \subseteq Q$.

Suppose the labeling is chosen so that $A\cap B \subseteq P$. Then, $A-B = A-(A\cap B) \supseteq A-P = Q$, and, since $A \supseteq A-B$ and $Q$ is open in $A$, then $Q$ is open in $A-B$. Also, since $B$ is closed in $A \cup B$, then $A-B = A-B$ is open in $A \cup B$. Thus, $Q$ open in $A-B$ and $A-B$ open in $A \cup B$ imply that $Q$ is open in $A \cup B$.

Also, since $P$ is open in $A$, then $A-P = P \cup Q - P = Q$ is closed in $A$, and, since $A$ is closed in $A \cup B$, then $Q$ is closed in $A \cup B$. Thus, $Q$ is a proper subset of $A \cup B$ which is both open and closed in $A \cup B$. Therefore (by 1.5), $A \cup B$ is not connected, a contradiction. Thus, $A$ is connected, and, similarly, $B$ is connected.

1.19 Theorem Let $A$ be a connected subset of a connected topological space $X$. If $B$ is a subset of $X-A$ which is both open and closed in $X-A$, then $A \cup B$ is connected.
Proof: The proof is immediate if either $B = X-A$, $B = \emptyset$, or $A = \emptyset$. So, suppose that $B \neq X-A$, $B \neq \emptyset$, and $A \neq \emptyset$.

Let $H = (X-A)-B$. Since $B$ is a proper subset of $X-A$ which is both open and closed in $X-A$, then $H$ is nonempty and open in $X-A$. Thus (by 1.4), $H$ and $B$ are separated.

Assume that $A\cup B$ is not connected. Then (by 1.5), $A\cup B = R\cup S$, where $R$ and $S$ are separated. But, since $A$ is connected, (by 1.7) either $A \subset R$ or $A \subset S$. Suppose that the labeling is chosen such that $A \subset R$. Now, $S \subset B$ for, if not, $S \cap A \neq \emptyset$ which implies that $S \cap R \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, since $H$ and $B$ are separated, (by 1.6) $H$ and $S$ are separated. Therefore, $X = H \cup (A\cup B) = H \cup (R\cup S) = (H \cup R) \cup S$ and since $H$ and $S$ are separated and $R$ and $S$ are separated, then $H \cup R$ and $S$ are separated. This implies (by 1.5) that $X$ is not connected, a contradiction.

1.20. Definition Let $A$ be a subset of the topological space $X$. The boundary of $A$, written $Fr(A)$, is $\overline{A} \cap \overline{X-A}$.

1.21. Theorem Let $A$ be a subset of the space $X$. If $p$ is a point in $Fr(A)$, then each open set containing $p$ contains at least one point in $A$ and at least one point not in $A$.

Proof: Let $p \in Fr(A)$ and let $U$ be an open set containing $p$. Since (by 1.20) $Fr(A) = \overline{A} \cap \overline{X-A}$, then $p \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{X-A}$. Now, $p \in A$ or $p \in X-A$. Suppose that $p \in A$. 
Then \( p \not\in X-A \), and, since \( p \in \overline{X-A} \), then \( p \in (X-A)' \). Thus, \( U \) contains a point in \( X-A \), and, since \( p \in A \), then \( U \) contains a point in \( A \). Similarly, if \( p \in X-A \), then \( U \) contains points in \( A \) and points not in \( A \).

1.22. **Definition** Let \( A \) be a subset of the topological space \( X \). The **interior of** \( A \), written \( \text{Int}(A) \), is the largest open set contained in \( A \).

The following properties will be assumed without proof.

1.23. **Theorem** Let \( A \) be a subset of the topological space \( S \). Then:

1. \( \text{Fr}(A) = \overline{A} - \text{Int}(A) \)
2. \( \text{Fr}(A) \cap \text{Int}(A) = \emptyset \)
3. \( \overline{A} = \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Fr}(A) \)
4. \( X = \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Fr}(A) \cup \text{Int}(X-A) \) is a pairwise disjoint union.

1.24. **Theorem** Let \( A \) be a subset of a topological space \( Y \). If \( C \) is a connected subset of \( Y \) which contains points of \( A \) and points not in \( A \), then \( C \) must contain points of the boundary of \( A \).

**Proof:** The set \( C \) contains points of \( A \) and points not in \( A \), so \( \text{AnC} \neq \emptyset \) and \( C-A \neq \emptyset \). But, \( C = (\text{AnC}) \cup (C-A) \) and since \( C \) is connected (by 1.5) \( (\text{AnC}) \cap (C-A)' \neq \emptyset \) or \( (\text{AnC})' \cap (C-A) \neq \emptyset \).
Case 1 — Suppose $(A \cap C)' \cap (C-A)' \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there is a point $x$ such that $x \in (A \cap C)'$ and $x \in (C-A)'$. But since $A \cap C \subseteq A$, $x \in (A \cap C)'$ implies that $x \in A = A$. Also, since $x \in C-A \subseteq Y-A$, then $x \in Y-A$. Thus, $x \in \overline{A} \cap Y-A = Fr(A)$, which implies that $C$ contains points in $Fr(A)$.

Case 2 — Suppose $(A \cap C) \cap (C-A)' \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there is a point $x$ such that $x \in (A \cap C)$ and $x \in (C-A)'$. But, $x \in (A \cap C)$ implies that $x \in A-\overline{A}$. Also, since $x \in (C-A)'$ and $C-A \subseteq Y-A$, then $x \in (Y-A)' \subseteq (Y-A)$. Thus, $x \in \overline{A} \cap (Y-A) = Fr(A)$, which implies that $C$ contains points in $Fr(A)$.

1.23. Theorem Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of a topological space $X$, each of which is closed in $A \cup B$. If $A \cup B$ is connected and $A \cap B$ contains at most two points, then $A$ is connected or $B$ is connected.

Proof: Assume that both $A$ and $B$ are not connected. Then $A = P_1 \cup P_2$ where $P_1$ and $P_2$ are nonempty, disjoint open sets in $A$. Likewise, $B = P_3 \cup P_4$, where $P_3$ and $P_4$ are nonempty, disjoint open sets in $B$. Thus, $A-P_1 = P_2$ and $A-P_2 = P_1$ are closed in $A$, and, likewise, $B-P_3 = P_4$ and $B-P_4 = P_3$ are closed in $B$. Since $A$ and $B$ are closed in $A \cup B$, then $P_1$, $P_2$, $P_3$, and $P_4$ are closed in $A \cup B$.

Case 1 — Suppose that $(A \cap B) \cap P_i = \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. Thus, $P_i \subseteq A-B$ or $P_i \subseteq B-A$. If $P_i \subseteq A-B$, then $P_i \subseteq A$, and, since $A-B \subseteq A$ and $P_i$ is open in $A$, then $P_i$ is open in $A-B$. But, since $B$ is closed in $A \cup B$, $A-B$ is
open in \(A \cup B\). Thus, \(P_i\) is open in \(A \cup B\), and, since \(P_i\) is also a proper subset of \(A \cup B\) which is closed in \(A \cup B\), then (by 1.5) \(A \cup B\) is not connected, a contradiction. Similarly, if \(P_i \subset B-A\), a contradiction is obtained.

Case 2 — Suppose that \((A \cap B) \cap P_i \neq \emptyset\) for all \(i \in \{1,2,3,4\}\). Thus, \(A \cap B \neq \emptyset\). Suppose that there is a point \(p \in X\) such that \(A \cap B = \{p\}\). Then, \((A \cap B) \cap P_1 = \{p\} \cap P_1 = \{p\}\), and \((A \cap B) \cap P_2 = \{p\} \cap P_2 = \{p\}\). Thus, \(p \in P_1\) and \(p \in P_2\), which implies that \(P_1\) and \(P_2\) are not disjoint, a contradiction. Thus, \(A \cap B = \{p,q\}\) where \(p,q \in X\) and \(p \neq q\). This implies that \(P_1\) or \(P_2\) intersects \(P_3\) or \(P_4\). Let the labeling be chosen such that \(P_1 \cap P_3 \neq \emptyset\). Suppose that \(P_1 \cap P_3 = \{p,q\}\). Since \(\{p,q\} \cap P_2 = (A \cap B) \cap P_2 \neq \emptyset\), then \(P_2\) contains either \(p\) or \(q\), implying that \(P_1 \cap P_2 \neq \emptyset\), a contradiction. Thus, \(P_1 \cap P_3\) contains only one point, say \(p\). Consequently, \(P_2 \cap P_4 = \{q\}\). Thus, \(P_1 \cup P_3\) and \(P_2 \cup P_4\) are disjoint, and, since each is closed in \(A \cup B\), then each is open in \(A \cup B\). Finally, since \(A \cup B = (P_1 \cup P_2) \cup (P_3 \cup P_4) = (P_1 \cup P_3) \cup (P_2 \cup P_4)\), then (by 1.1) \(A \cup B\) is not connected, a contradiction.

1.26. Definition A subset \(C\) of a topological space \(Y\) is called a component of \(Y\) if \(C\) is a maximal connected set in \(Y\); that is, there is no connected subset of \(Y\) that properly contains \(C\).
1.27. Theorem Let $X$ be a topological space and $p \in X$. Then the component $C$ of $X$ containing $p$ is the union of all connected subsets of $X$ that contain $p$.

Proof: Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ be the family of all connected subsets of $X$ that contain $p$. Then (by 1.9) $\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ is connected. But, since $C$ is connected and contains $p$, then $C \in \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$, and, thus, $C \subseteq \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$. However (by 1.26), $C$ is a maximal connected set; so $\bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \subseteq C$. Thus $C = \bigcup\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$.

1.28. Definition If $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ is a covering of a topological space $Y$, and, if $A_\alpha \cap A_\beta = \emptyset$ whenever $\alpha, \beta \in T$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then the family $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ is called a partition of $Y$.

1.29. Theorem The set of all distinct components of a topological space $Y$ forms a partition of $Y$.

Proof: For each point $y \in Y$, there is a component containing $y$. Thus, if $S$ is the set of all distinct components of $Y$, then $S$ is a covering for $Y$. Let $C_1$, $C_2 \in S$ such that $C_1 \neq C_2$, and suppose that $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$. Then (by 1.9), $C_1 \cup C_2$ is connected. Since $C_1 \neq C_2$, then $C_1$ is properly contained in $C_1 \cup C_2$, thus implying that $C_1$ is not a component. This is a contradiction, and, therefore, $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. Hence, $S$ is a partition of $Y$.

1.30. Theorem Each component $C$ of a topological space $Y$ is closed.
Proof: Since $C$ is a component, $C$ is connected, and, thus (by 1.17) $\overline{C}$ is connected. Also, since $C$ is a maximal connected set, then $\overline{C} \subseteq C$. However, $C \subseteq \overline{C}$, and, thus, $\overline{C} = C$, which implies that $C$ is closed.

1.31. Theorem If $X$ and $Y$ are topological spaces and $f:X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then the image of each component of $X$ must lie in a component of $Y$.

Proof: Let $C$ be a component of $X$, and let $x \in C$. Since $f$ is continuous and $C$ is connected, (by 1.11) $f(C)$ is connected. Also, since $x \in C$, then $f(x) \in f(C)$. Thus, if $D$ is a component of $Y$ containing $f(x)$ then $f(C) \subseteq D$, since $D$ is a maximal connected set in $Y$ containing $f(x)$.

1.32. Theorem Let $B$ be a connected subset of a topological space $Y$. If $B$ is both open and closed, then $B$ is a component of $Y$.

Proof: Assume that $B$ is not a component of $Y$. Then there is a connected subset $C$ of $Y$ which properly contains $B$. But, since $B$ is both open and closed in $Y$, $B$ is both open and closed in $C$. Thus (by 1.5), $C$ is not connected, a contradiction.

1.33. Theorem Let $A$ be a subset of a topological space $Y$, where both $A$ and $Y$ are connected. If $C$ is a component of $Y-A$, then $Y-C$ is connected.

Proof: Assume that $Y-C$ is not connected. Then $Y-C = P \cup Q$, where $P$ and $Q$ are nonempty, disjoint sets each of which
is open in $Y-C$. Thus, $(Y-C) - P = Q$ and $(Y-C) - Q = P$ are both closed in $Y-C$. Also, since $C$ is connected in $Y-A \subset Y$, then $C$ is connected in $Y$. Thus (by 1.19), $CUQ$ and $CUP$ are both connected.

Now, since $C \subset Y-A$, then $A \subset Y-C$. However (by 1.4), $P$ and $Q$ are separated so (by 1.6) $A \subset P$ or $A \subset Q$. Suppose $A \subset P$. Now, $P \cap Q = \emptyset$ implies that $A \cap Q = \emptyset$. Thus, $Q \subset Y-A$, and, since $C \subset Y-A$, then $CUQ \subset Y-A$. But $Q \subset Y-C$, so $Q \cap C = \emptyset$, and, since $Q$ is nonempty, then $CUQ$ is a connected subset of $Y-A$ which properly contains $C$, a contradiction of the fact that $C$ is a component of $Y-A$. Similarly, if $A \not\subset Q$, a contradiction is reached. Therefore, $Y-C$ is connected.

1.34 Corollary If $Y$ is a connected topological space of at least two points, then there exist two connected subsets $M$ and $N$ of $Y$, which are distinct from $Y$ and such that $M \cup N = Y$ and $M \cap N = \emptyset$.

Proof: Since any set consisting of a single point is connected, then $Y$ contains a connected subset $A$ such that $A$ is distinct from $Y$. Let $M$ be a component of $Y-A$ and let $N = Y-M$. Thus, $M \cup N = Y$, $M \cap N = \emptyset$, $M$ is connected, and (by 1.33) $N$ is connected.

1.35 Definition Let $X$ be a topological space and $x \in X$. Then the quasicomponent of $X$ containing $x$ is the set consisting of $x$ together with all points $y$ of $X$ such that $X$ is not the union of two disjoint open sets, one of which contains $x$, and the other $y$. 
1.36. Lemma Let \( X \) be a topological space, and let \( Q \) be a quasicomponent of \( X \). If \( X = M \cup N \), where \( M \) and \( N \) are nonempty, disjoint open sets, then \( Q \) is a subset of either \( M \) or \( N \).

Proof: Assume that \( Q \not\subseteq M \) and \( Q \not\subseteq N \). Then \( Q \cap M \not= \emptyset \) and \( Q \cap N \not= \emptyset \), which implies that there is a point \( p \in Q \cap M \) and a point \( q \in Q \cap N \). Thus, \( p, q \in Q \) and \( p \in M \) and \( q \in N \), which implies (by 1.35) that \( Q \) is not a quasicomponent of \( X \), a contradiction.

1.37. Theorem If \( Q \) is a quasicomponent of a topological space \( X \), then \( Q \) is closed.

Proof: Let \( x \in Q \) and assume that \( Q \) is not closed. Then \( Q \) has a limit point \( p \) such that \( p \not\in Q \). Thus (by 1.35), there are two disjoint open sets \( M \) and \( N \) such that \( X = M \cup N \) and \( x \in M \) and \( p \in N \). But, since \( p \in Q \), then \( N \) contains a point \( q \in Q \). Now (by 1.36), \( Q \subseteq M \) or \( Q \subseteq N \). However, since \( x \in Q \) and \( x \in M \), then \( Q \subseteq M \). Thus, \( q \in M \) and \( q \in N \) which implies that \( M \) and \( N \) are not disjoint, a contradiction.

1.38. Theorem Let \( X \) be a topological space and \( x \in X \). If \( Q \) is a quasicomponent containing \( x \), then \( Q \) is the intersection of all sets which are both open and closed and contain \( x \).

Proof: Let \( \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \) be the family of all sets which are both open and closed and contain \( x \). The set \( \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \) is nonempty for \( X \in \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \).
Part 1 - Let \( p \in Q \). Assume that \( p \notin \bigcap \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \).
Thus, for some \( A \in \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \), \( p \notin A \). This implies that \( p \in X \setminus A \), and, since \( A \) is closed, \( X \setminus A \) is open. Thus, \( X = A \cup (X \setminus A) \), where \( A \) and \( X \setminus A \) are disjoint open sets such that \( x \in A \) and \( p \in X \setminus A \). But this implies that \( Q \) is not a quasicomponent, a contradiction. Thus \( p \in \bigcap \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \).

Part 2 - Let \( p \in \bigcap \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \). Assume that \( p \notin Q \).
Thus, \( X = M \cup N \), where \( M \) and \( N \) are disjoint open sets such that \( x \in M \) and \( p \in N \). Now, since \( N \) is open, \( X \setminus N = M \) is closed. Thus, since \( x \in M \) and \( M \) is both open and closed, then \( M \in \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \). But since \( p \in N \), and \( M \) and \( N \) are disjoint, then \( p \notin M \). Thus, \( p \notin \bigcap \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \), which is a contradiction. Therefore, \( p \in Q \). It follows that \( Q = \bigcap \{ A_\alpha : \alpha \in T \} \).

1.39. Theorem Each component \( C \) of a topological space \( X \) is a subset of some quasicomponent.

Proof: Let \( x \in C \), and let \( Q \) be a quasicomponent containing \( x \). If \( C = \{x\} \), then immediately \( C \subseteq Q \). Suppose that \( y \in C \), where \( y \neq x \). Assume that \( y \notin Q \). Thus (by 1.35), there are two disjoint open sets \( A \) and \( B \) such that \( X = A \cup B \) and \( x \in A \) and \( y \in B \). Since \( A \) is open, \( X \setminus A = A \cup B \setminus A = B \) is closed. Now, \( y \in B \setminus C \), and, since \( B \) is both open and closed in \( X \), then \( B \cap C \) is both open and closed in \( C \). Also, since \( x \notin B \), then \( x \notin B \cap C \), which implies that \( B \cap C \neq C \). Thus, \( B \cap C \) is a proper subset of \( C \), which implies (by 1.5) that \( C \) is not connected. This is a contradiction, since \( C \) is a component. Thus, \( y \in Q \). It follows that \( C \subseteq Q \).
The proof of the next theorem will depend upon the maximal principle, which will be stated for reference, and also upon a lemma which will follow the statement of the maximal principle.

Maximal Principle Let $A$ be a set partially-ordered by a relation $\prec$. Let $B$ be a subset of $A$ and assume that $B$ is simply-ordered by $\prec$. Then there is a subset $M$ of $A$ that is simply-ordered by $\prec$, contains $B$, and is not a proper subset of any other subset of $A$ with these properties.

1.40. Lemma Let $a$ and $b$ be points of a compact Hausdorff space $X$, and let $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ be a collection of closed sets, and suppose that $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ is simply-ordered by inclusion. If each $H_\alpha$ contains both $a$ and $b$ and is not the union of two separated sets, one containing $a$ and the other containing $b$, then the intersection $\bigcap_\alpha H_\alpha$ also has this property.

Proof: Let $H = \bigcap_\alpha H_\alpha$ and assume that $H = A \cup B$ where $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $A$ and $B$ are separated. Thus, $A$ and $B$ are closed in $H$, and, since $H$ is closed, then $A$ and $B$ are closed. Therefore, since $X$ is compact, $A$ and $B$ are compact. This implies that since $A \cap B = \emptyset$, there are two disjoint open sets $U$ and $V$ such that $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq V$. Since $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, then $a \in U$ and $b \in V$. Thus, for each $\alpha \in T$, $a \in H_\alpha \cap U$ and $b \in H_\alpha \cap V$.

Now, let $R_\alpha = H_\alpha \cap \left[ X - (U \cup V) \right]$ for each $\alpha \in T$. Since $H_\alpha$ is closed and $\left[ X - (U \cup V) \right]$ is closed, then $R_\alpha$ is closed.
Assume that $R_\emptyset = \emptyset$ for some $\emptyset \in T$. Then, $H_\emptyset = H_\emptyset \cap (UUV) = (H_\emptyset \cap U) \cup (H_\emptyset \cap V)$, and, since $U$ and $V$ are disjoint open sets, then $(H_\emptyset \cap U)$ and $(H_\emptyset \cap V)$ are disjoint and open in $H_\emptyset$. Thus (by 1.4), $(H_\emptyset \cap U)$ and $(H_\emptyset \cap V)$ are separated, a contradiction. Therefore, $R_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in T$.

Consider any two distinct sets $R_\beta, R_\phi \in \{R_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$. Then, $R_\beta = H_\beta \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right]$, and $R_\phi = H_\phi \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right]$. Since \([H_\alpha : \alpha \in T]\) is simply-ordered by inclusion, given $H_\beta$ and $H_\phi$, one is a subset of the other. Supposing that $H_\beta$ is a subset of $H_\phi$, then $H_\beta \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right]$ is a subset of $H_\phi \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right]$ implying that \([R_\alpha : \alpha \in T]\) is simply-ordered by inclusion. Therefore, the intersection of any finite number of elements of \([R_\alpha : \alpha \in T]\) is an element of \([R_\alpha : \alpha \in T]\) and, consequently, nonempty. Thus, \([R_\alpha : \alpha \in T]\) satisfies the finite intersection hypothesis, and, since $X$ is compact, $\cap (R_\alpha : \alpha \in T) \neq \emptyset$. But, $\cap (R_\alpha : \alpha \in T) = \cap (H_\alpha \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right] : \alpha \in T) = \cap (H_\alpha : \alpha \in T) \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right] = H \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right]$. Thus, $H \cap \left[ X-(UUV) \right] \neq \emptyset$, which implies that $H \neq (UUV)$, a contradiction.

**1.41 Theorem** In a compact Hausdorff space $X$, every quasicomponent is a component.

**Proof:** Let $Q$ be a quasicomponent of $X$, let $q \in Q$, and let $C$ be a component of $X$ containing $q$. Assume that $Q \neq C$. Since (by 1.39) $C \subseteq Q$, then there must be a point $x \in Q$ such that $x \notin C$. Now, let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ be the
collection of all closed subsets of $X$, each of which contains both $q$ and $x$ but none of which is the union of two separated sets, one containing $q$ and the other containing $x$. Now, since $q, x \in Q$, $X$ cannot be the union of two separated sets, one containing $q$ and the other containing $x$. Thus, $X \in \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ be partially-ordered by inclusion. By the maximal principle, there is a maximal, simply-ordered subcollection $\{B_\beta : \beta \in S\}$ of $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$. Thus, $K = \cap \{B_\beta : \beta \in S\}$ is closed, and (by 1.40) $K \in \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$. Assume that $K$ is not connected. Then $K = K_1 \cup K_2$ where $K_1$ and $K_2$ are separated sets. Since $K \in \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$, then either $K_1$ or $K_2$ must contain both $q$ and $x$. Suppose $q, x \in K_1$. Now, $K_1$ is closed in $K$ and since $K$ is closed in $X$, then $K_1$ is closed in $X$. Also, $q$ and $x$ cannot be separated in $K_1$ because, if so, they could be separated in $K$. Thus, $K_1 \in \{A_\alpha : \alpha \in T\}$ and $K_1$ is a proper subset of $K$, implying that $\{B_\beta : \beta \in S\}$ is not maximal, a contradiction. Hence, $K$ must be connected. But, since $q \in C$ and $q \in K$, then (by 1.9) $C \cup K$ is connected. Also, since $x \in C \cup K$ and $x \notin C$, then $C \cup K$ properly contains $C$, implying that $C$ is not a maximal connected set, a contradiction. Thus, $Q = C$. 
CHAPTER II

LOCAL CONNECTEDNESS

2.1. Definition A topological space $Y$ is locally connected if for each point $p \in Y$ and each neighborhood $U$ of $p$ there is a connected neighborhood $V$ of $p$ such that $V \subseteq U$. A subset $A$ of $Y$ is locally connected if it is locally connected as a subspace of $Y$.

2.2. Definition Let $Y$ be a topological space and let $B$ be a collection of open sets in $Y$. Then $B$ is a basis for $Y$ if for each open set $U$ and each point $x \in U$ there is a set $V \in B$ such that $x \in V \subseteq U$.

2.3. Theorem Let $Y$ be a topological space. The following three properties are equivalent:

1. $Y$ is locally connected
2. The components of each open set in $Y$ are open sets.
3. $Y$ has a basis consisting of connected sets.

Proof:

Show that (1) implies (2).

Let $U$ be open in $Y$, $C$ be a component of $U$, and $y \in C$. Thus, $y \in U$, and, since $Y$ is locally connected (by 2.1) there is a connected neighborhood $V$ of $y$ such that $V \subseteq U$. 
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However, since $C$ is a maximal connected set in $U$ which contains $y$, then $V \subseteq C$. Thus, $C$ is open.

Show that (2) implies (3).

Let $B$ be the family of all components of all open sets in $Y$. Let $U$ be open in $Y$ and $x \in U$. If $C$ is a component of $U$ containing $x$, then $C$ is open and $C \in B$. Thus (by 2.2), is a basis for $Y$, and $B$ consists of connected sets.

Show that (3) implies (1).

Let $B$ be a basis for $Y$, where $B$ consists of connected sets. Let $x \in Y$ and $U$ be a neighborhood of $x$. Then (by 2.2) there is a $V \in B$ such that $x \in V \subseteq U$. This implies that $Y$ is locally connected.

2.4. Theorem Let $X$ be a locally connected topological space. If $A$ is an open subset of $X$, then $A$ is locally connected.

Proof: Let $p \in A$, and let $U$ be a neighborhood of $p$ in $A$. Since $U$ is open in $A$ and $A$ is open in $X$, then $U$ is open in $X$. Since $X$ is locally connected, (by 2.1) there is a connected neighborhood $V$ of $p$ such that $V \subseteq U$. Thus, $V$ is also connected and open in $A$. This implies that $A$ is locally connected.

2.5. Theorem Local connectedness is a topological invariant.
Proof: Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces where $X$ is locally connected, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a homeomorphism. Let $y \in Y$, and let $U$ be a neighborhood of $y$ in $Y$. Then $f^{-1}(y) \in f^{-1}(U)$, and, since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism, then $f^{-1}(U)$ is open in $X$. Since $X$ is locally connected, there is a connected neighborhood $V \subseteq X$ such that $f^{-1}(y) \in V \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$. Thus, $f(f^{-1}(y)) \in f(V) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(U))$, which implies that $y \in f(V) = U$. But (by 1.11), $f(V)$ is connected. Also, since $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism and $V$ is open in $X$, then $f(V)$ is open in $Y$. Thus (by 2.1), $Y$ is locally connected.

2.6 Theorem If $X$ is a locally connected Hausdorff space, then every quasicomponent is a component.

Proof: Let $Q$ be a quasicomponent of $X$, let $q \in Q$, and let $C$ be a component containing $q$. Thus (by 1.39), $C \subseteq Q$. Also, since $C$ is a component, (by 1.30) $C$ is closed. Thus, $X-C$ is open. Since $X$ is locally connected, (by 2.3) $C$ is open. Hence, $X = C \cup (X-C)$ where $C$ and $X-C$ are disjoint, open sets. Therefore (by 1.36), $Q = C$ or $Q = X-C$. Since $Q \cap C \neq \emptyset$, then $Q = C$. Thus, $Q = C$.

2.7 Theorem Let $Y$ be a locally connected topological space. If $U$ is a component of the open set $G \subseteq Y$, then $G \cap \text{Fr}(U) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Assume that $G \cap \text{Fr}(U) \neq \emptyset$. Then, there is a point $x \in G \cap \text{Fr}(U) = G \cap (\overline{U} \cap \overline{Y-U})$. 


Suppose $x \in U$. Thus, $x \notin Y-U$, and, since $x \in \overline{Y-U}$, then $x \in (Y-U)'$. But, since $Y$ is locally connected, (by 2.3) $U$ is open and, thus, $U$ contains a point of $Y-U$, a contradiction.

Suppose $x \notin U$. Since $x \in \overline{U}$, then $x \in U'$. Now, $G$ is a neighborhood of $x$, and, since $Y$ is locally connected, (by 2.1) there is a connected neighborhood $V$ of $x$ such that $V \subset G$. Thus, since $x \in U'$, $V$ contains a point of $U$ different from $x$, and (by 1.9) $VUU$ is connected. But, since $VUU$ properly contains $U$, then $U$ cannot be a component of $G$, a contradiction. Therefore, $G \cap \text{Fr}(U) = \emptyset$.

**2.6. Theorem** Let $Y$ be a locally connected topological space, let $A \subset Y$, and let $C$ be a component of $A$. Then the following properties hold:

1. $\text{Int}(C) = C \cap \text{Int}(A)$
2. $\text{Fr}(C) \subset \text{Fr}(A)$
3. If $A$ is closed, then $\text{Fr}(C) = \emptyset \cap \text{Fr}(A)$.

**Proof of (1):**

Since $C \subset A$, then $\text{Int}(C) \subset \text{Int}(A)$. Thus, since $\text{Int}(C) \subset C$, then $\text{Int}(C) \subset (C \cap \text{Int}(A))$. Now, to show that $C \cap \text{Int}(A) \subset \text{Int}(C)$, let $y \in C \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Since $\text{Int}(A)$ is open in $Y$ and $Y$ is locally connected, there is a connected neighborhood $U$ of $y$ such that $U \subset \text{Int}(A)$. This implies that $y \in U \subset A$, and, since $y \in C$ and $C$ is a component of $A$, then $U \subset C$. But $U$ is open, so $U \subset \text{Int}(C)$. Thus, $y \in \text{Int}(C)$, implying that $C \cap \text{Int}(A) \subset \text{Int}(C)$. 
Proof of (2):
Assume that \( \text{Fr}(C) \neq \text{Fr}(A) \). Then there is a point \( x \in \text{Fr}(C) \) such that \( x \notin \text{Fr}(A) \). This implies (by 1.21) that there is a neighborhood \( U \) of \( x \) such that \( U \cap A = \emptyset \), or \( U \cap (Y - A) = \emptyset \). Now, \( x \in \text{Fr}(C) \); so \( U \cap C \neq \emptyset \), and, since \( C \subseteq A \), then \( U \cap A \neq \emptyset \). Thus, \( U \cap (Y - A) = \emptyset \). Since \( Y \) is locally connected, there is a connected neighborhood \( V \) of \( x \) such that \( V \subseteq U \). Thus, \( V \cap (Y - A) = \emptyset \), which implies that \( V \subseteq A \). Now, since \( x \in \text{Fr}(C) \) and \( x \in V \), then \( V \) contains points in \( C \) and points not in \( C \). Thus (by 1.9), \( V \cup C \) is connected. Also, \( V \cup C = A \) and \( V \cup C \) properly contains \( C \), which implies that \( C \) is not a component of \( A \), a contradiction.

Proof of (3):
Part i — Let \( x \in \text{Fr}(C) \). By part (2), \( \text{Fr}(C) \subseteq \text{Fr}(A) \), implying that \( x \in \text{Fr}(A) \). Now, \( x \in \text{Fr}(C) = \overline{C} \cap (Y - C) \), which implies that \( x \in \overline{C} \). But, since \( C \) is a component of \( A \), (by 1.30) \( C \) is closed in \( A \), and, since \( A \) is closed in \( Y \), then \( C \) is closed in \( Y \), implying that \( \overline{C} = C \). Thus, \( x \in C \cap \text{Fr}(A) \).

Part ii — Let \( x \in C \cap \text{Fr}(A) \), and let \( U \) be a neighborhood of \( x \). Since \( x \in \text{Fr}(A) \) (by 1.21), \( U \) contains a point \( p \in A \) and a point \( q \notin A \). Since \( C \subseteq A \), then \( q \notin C \). Thus, \( x \in C, q \notin C, \) and \( x, q \in U \), which implies that \( x \in \text{Fr}(C) \). Thus, it follows that \( \text{Fr}(C) = C \cap \text{Fr}(A) \).

2.9 Theorem Let \( Y \) be a locally connected topological space and \( A \subseteq Y \). If \( S \subseteq A \) is connected and open in \( A \), then \( S = A \cap C \), where \( C \) is connected and open in \( Y \).
Proof: Since $A \subset Y$ and $S$ is open in $A$, then there is an open set $U$ such that $S = A \cup U$. Let $p \in S$ and $C$ be the component of $U$ containing $p$. Since $p \in S \subset U$ and $S$ is connected, then $S \subset C \subset U$, which implies that since $S = A \cup U$, then $S = A \cup C$. Finally, since $Y$ is locally connected, (by 2.3) $C$ is open.

2.10. Theorem Let $Y$ be a locally connected topological space which is not connected. Then, a decomposition of $Y$ into two nonempty, disjoint open sets can always be accomplished by taking any component as one of the sets, and all the rest as the other set.

Proof: Let $C$ be the collection of all components of $Y$. Let $A \in C$, and let $K = C - \{A\}$. The set $K$ is nonempty since $Y$ is not connected, and $Y = A \cup (UK)$. Since $Y$ is locally connected and $Y$ is open, (by 2.3) each component in $Y$ is open. Thus, $A$ is open, and $UK$ is open.

Now, for each $B \in K$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$, since $A$ and $B$ are maximal connected sets. Thus, $A \cap (UK) = \emptyset$. Hence, $A$ and $UK$ are nonempty, disjoint open sets that decompose $Y$.

2.11. Theorem Let $X$ be a connected, locally connected topological space. If $A$ is a nonempty, closed subset of $X$, then the closure of each component of $X - A$ meets $A$.

Proof: Assume that there is a component $C$ of $X - A$ such that $C \cap A = \emptyset$. If $X - A = \emptyset$, then the proof is trivial. Suppose that $X - A \neq \emptyset$. Then $C \neq \emptyset$, and, since $A$ is nonempty and $C \subset X - A$, then $C \cap X$. Thus, $C$ is a proper subset of $X$. 
Now, since $A$ is closed, $X-A$ is open. Thus, since $X$ is locally connected, (by 2.3) $C$ is open. Also, since $C$ is a component of $X-A$, then (by 1.30) $C$ is closed in $X-A$. Thus, $\overline{C} \cap (X-A-C) = \emptyset$, and, since $\overline{C} \cap A = \emptyset$, then $\emptyset = \overline{C} \cap [(X-A-C) \cup A] = \overline{C} \cap (X-C)$. This implies that $C$ is closed in $X$. Therefore, $C$ is a proper subset of $X$ which is both open and closed in $X$, implying (by 1.5) that $X$ is not connected, a contradiction.

2.12. Theorem Let $X$ be a connected, locally connected topological space. If $A$ and $B$ are two disjoint, closed subsets of $X$, then $X-(A \cup B)$ has a component whose closure meets both $A$ and $B$.

Proof: If $X-(A \cup B) = \emptyset$, the proof is trivial. So, suppose that $X-(A \cup B) \neq \emptyset$. Since $A$ and $B$ are closed, $A \cup B$ is closed, and, thus, (by 2.11) the closure of each component of $X-(A \cup B)$ meets $A \cup B$. Assume that if $C$ is a component of $X-(A \cup B)$, then either $\overline{C} \cap A = \emptyset$ or $\overline{C} \cap B = \emptyset$. Let $J$ and $K$ be the sets of all components of $X-(A \cup B)$ whose closures meet $A$ and $B$ respectively. Let $J^* = \cup J$ and $K^* = \cup K$. Thus, $X-(A \cup B) = J^* \cup K^*$, and, since $X-(A \cup B) \neq \emptyset$, either $J^* \neq \emptyset$ or $K^* \neq \emptyset$. Let the labeling be chosen such that $J^* \neq \emptyset$.

Now, assume that $Bn(J^*)' \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there is a point $p \in Bn(J^*)'$. Since $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A$ and $B$ are closed, then $p \notin A'$. Thus, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. Let $V$ be a component of $U$ which contains $p$. Since
X is locally connected, (by 2.3) V is open. Since \( p \in (J^*)' \), V contains a point \( q \in J^* \). Thus, q is a point in some element C of J, and (by 1.9) VUC is connected. Also, since both V and C are open, then VUC is open. Therefore, since X is locally connected, (by 2.4) VUC is locally connected. Also, since B is closed, then Bn(VUC) is closed in VUC.

Now, since C is a component of X-(AUB) and C \( \subseteq (VUC) - B \), then C is a component of (VUC)-B. Thus (by 2.11), \( \overline{C} \cap \left[ Bn(VUC) \right] \neq \emptyset \), implying that \( \overline{C} \cap B \neq \emptyset \), a contradiction, since C \( \in J \) implies that \( \overline{C} \cap A \neq \emptyset \). Thus, \( Bn(J^*)' = \emptyset \). Likewise, if \( K^* \neq \emptyset \), then \( A \cap (K^*)' = \emptyset \).

Now, if \( K^* = \emptyset \), then \( X-(AUB) = J^* \), and \( X = (A \cup J^*) \cup B \). Since A and B are disjoint and closed, then A and B are separated. Also, since \( Bn(J^*)' = \emptyset \), \( Bn(J^*) = \emptyset \), and \( B = \overline{B} \), then \( Bn(J^*) = \emptyset = \overline{B} \cap (J^*) \), implying that B and \( J^* \) are separated. Thus, \( A \cup J^* \) and B are separated, which implies (by 1.5) that X is not connected, a contradiction. Likewise, if \( J^* = \emptyset \), a contradiction is reached.

Suppose that \( J^* \neq \emptyset \) and \( K^* \neq \emptyset \). Then, \( X = (A \cup J^*) \cup (B \cup K^*) \). Assume that \( J^* \) and \( K^* \) are not separated. Then either \( J^* \cap K^* \neq \emptyset \) or \( J^* \cap K^* \neq \emptyset \). Let the labeling be chosen such that \( J^* \cap K^* \neq \emptyset \). Since \( J^* \cap K^* = \emptyset \), then \( (J^*)' \cap K^* \neq \emptyset \). Let \( p \in (J^*)' \cap K^* \). Thus, p belongs to some \( C \in K \). Since C is open and \( p \in (J^*)' \), then C contains a point \( q \in J^* \). Thus, q belongs to some \( D \in J \). Therefore, (by 1.9) CUD is connected, and, since \( C \neq D \), then \( C \subseteq CUD \),
contradicting the maximality of C. Thus, J* and K* are separated. Consequently, (AUJ*) and (BUJ*) are separated, implying (by 1.5) that X is not connected, a contradiction.

Hence, X-(AUB) has a component whose closure meets both A and B.

Closely related to local connectedness is the idea of "connected im kleinen."

2.13 Definition A topological space X is connected im kleinen at a point x provided that for each open set U containing x there is an open set V containing x such that V \( \subseteq \) U and, if y is any point in V, then there is a connected subset of U containing x and y.

2.14 Theorem If X is a topological space which is locally connected at a point x, then X is connected im kleinen at x.

Proof: Let U be a neighborhood of x. Since X is locally connected at x, there is a connected neighborhood V of x such that V \( \subseteq \) U. Thus, if y \( \in \) V, then V is a connected subset of U which contains x and y. This implies that X is connected im kleinen at x.

2.15 Theorem If X is a topological space which is connected im kleinen at each point, then X is locally connected.

Proof: Let U be an open set in X, let C be a component of U, and let x \( \in \) C. Thus (by 2.13), there is
an open set $V \subseteq U$ containing $x$ such that if $p \in V$, then there is a connected subset $D_p$ of $U$ which contains $p$ and $x$. Thus, $V \subseteq \bigcup \{D_p : p \in V\}$, and since (by 1.9) $\bigcup \{D_p : p \in V\}$ is connected, then $V \subseteq \bigcup \{D_p : p \in V\} \subseteq C$. This implies that $C$ is open, and (by 2.3) $X$ is locally connected.

2.16 Theorem Let $Y$ be a topological space such that $Y = A \cup B$, where $A$ and $B$ are closed. If $Y$ is locally connected and $A \cap B$ is locally connected, then both $A$ and $B$ are locally connected.

Proof: If either $A \cap B = \emptyset$, $A = B$, or $B = A$, then the theorem is trivial. Therefore, suppose that $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, $A \neq B$, and $B \neq A$. Let $x \in A$ and let $U$ be an open set containing $x$. Since $A$ is closed, $A = \overline{A} = \text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Fr}(A)$. Thus, $x \in \text{Int}(A)$ or $x \in \text{Fr}(A)$.

Suppose that $x \in \text{Int}(A)$. Then $x \in U \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Since $U \cap \text{Int}(A)$ is open and $Y$ is locally connected, there is a connected neighborhood $V$ of $x$ such that $V \subseteq U \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Thus, $V \subseteq U \cap A$, and, if $y \in V$ then $x, y \in V \subseteq U \cap A$ where $V$ is connected.

Suppose that $x \in \text{Fr}(A)$. Now, $\text{Fr}(A) \subseteq A \cap B$, for, if not, there is a point $p \in \text{Fr}(A)$ such that $p \notin A \cap B$. Thus, either $p \in A - B$ or $p \in B - A$. If $p \in A - B$, then $p \in \text{Int}(A)$, a contradiction. If $p \in B - A$, then, since $p \in \text{Fr}(A)$, (by 1.21) $(B - A) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus, $x \in A \cap B$. Since $A \cap B$ is locally connected, (by 2.14) $A \cap B$ is connected im kleinen at $x$. Therefore, there is an open set $W \subseteq U$
containing $x$ such that if $y \in W \cap (A \cap B)$, then there is a connected set $N(x,y) = W \cap (A \cap B)$ which contains $x$ and $y$.

Since $Y$ is locally connected (by 2.14) $Y$ is connected im kleinen at $x$. Thus, there is an open set $V \subseteq W$ containing $x$ such that if $y \in V$, then there is a connected set $N(x,y) \subseteq W$ which contains $x$ and $y$.

Now, consider the set $V \cap A = V \cap [\text{Int}(A) \cup \text{Fr}(A)]$. The set $V \cap A$ is nonempty since $x \in V \cap A$. Let $t \in V \cap A$. Then, either $t \in V \cap \text{Int}(A)$ or $t \in V \cap \text{Fr}(A)$.

Suppose that $t \in V \cap \text{Fr}(A)$. Thus, $t \in W \cap \text{Fr}(A)$. Since $\text{Fr}(A) \subseteq A \cap B$, then $t \in W \cap (A \cap B)$. Thus, there is a connected set $M(x,t) \subseteq W \cap (A \cap B)$ which contains $x$ and $t$.

Suppose that $t \in V \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Since $t \in V$, there is a connected set $N(x,t) \subseteq W$ which contains $x$ and $t$. Let $C$ be a component of $W \cap \text{Int}(A)$ which contains $t$. Since $W \cap \text{Int}(A)$ is open and $Y$ is locally connected, then (by 2.3) $C$ is open.

Let $K = N(x,t) \cap C$ and let $H = [N(x,t) \cap \text{Int}(A)] - K$. Now, it will be shown that $[N(x,t) \cap \text{Int}(A)] - K \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $[N(x,t) \cap \text{Int}(A)] - K = \emptyset$. Clearly, $N(x,t) = N(x,t) \cap (B - A)$.

Now $C = W \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Since $N(x,t) \subseteq W$, then $N(x,t) \cap \text{Int}(A) \subseteq W \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Thus, $H \subseteq W \cap \text{Int}(A)$, and $C \cup H \subseteq W \cap \text{Int}(A)$. Now, $C$ is open in $W \cap \text{Int}(A)$, and, since $C$ is a component
of \( W \cap \text{Int}(A) \), then (by 1.30) \( C \) is closed in \( W \cap \text{Int}(A) \). Thus, \( C \) is both open and closed in \( CUH \), which implies (by 1.4) that \( C \) and \( H \) are separated. Since \( K \subseteq C \), then (by 1.6) \( K \) and \( H \) are separated.

Now, \( \overline{\text{Fr}(A) \cap N(x,t)} \) = \( \emptyset \), and, since \( C \) is open, \( \overline{\text{Fr}(A) \cap N(x,t)} \) = \( \emptyset \). Thus, \( C \) and \( \overline{\text{Fr}(A) \cap N(x,t)} \) are separated. Since \( K \subseteq C \), then (by 1.6) \( K \) and \( \overline{\text{Fr}(A) \cap N(x,t)} \) are separated.

Now, \( C = W \cap \text{Int}(A) \subseteq \text{Int}(A) \subseteq A \) which implies that \( C \) and \( B-A \) are disjoint. Also, \( C \) and \( B-A \) are both open. Thus, (by 1.4), \( C \) and \( B-A \) are separated. Since \( K \subseteq C \), then (by 1.6) \( K \) and \( B-A \) are separated. Thus, \( K \) and \( \overline{B-A \cap N(x,t)} \) are separated.

From the above three paragraphs, it is concluded that \( K \) and \( \overline{N(x,t) \cap \text{Fr}(A)} \cup \overline{N(x,t) \cap (B-A)} \) are separated. Thus, \( N(x,t) \) is not connected, a contradiction. Therefore, \( \overline{\text{Fr}(A) \cap N(x,t)} \) is not empty. Let \( p \in \overline{\text{Fr}(A) \cap N(x,t)} \). Since \( N(x,t) \subseteq W \), then \( p \in \overline{W \cap \text{Fr}(A)} = \overline{W \cap (A \cap B)} \). Then, \( M(x,p) \) is connected set containing \( x \) and \( p \) such that \( M(x,p) = \overline{\cap (A \cap B)} \). Now, \( C = W \cap \text{Int}(A) \subseteq U \cap \text{Int}(A) \subseteq U \cup A \). Thus, \( \overline{U \cap A} \). Since \( p \in \overline{C}, p \in M(x,p) \), and \( C \) and \( M(x,p) \) are connected, then (by 1.8) \( \overline{U \cap A} \) is connected. Also, \( \overline{U \cap A} \) contains both \( x \) and \( t \). Therefore, \( A \) is connected in kleinen at \( x \), and (by 2.15) \( A \) is locally connected. Similarly, \( B \) is locally connected.
2.17 Theorem Let $Y$ be a locally connected topological space, and let $A$ be a subset of $Y$. If $Fr(A)$ is locally connected, then $\overline{A}$ is locally connected.

Proof: The space $Y = \overline{A} \cup \overline{Y-A}$, and both $\overline{A}$ and $\overline{Y-A}$ are closed. Also, $A \cap \overline{Y-A} = Fr(A)$, which is locally connected. Thus (by 2.16) $\overline{A}$ is locally connected.

2.18 Theorem A metric space $(X, d)$ is connected im kleinen at a point $x$ if and only if, given $e > 0$, there is a number $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then $x$ and $y$ lie in a connected set of diameter less than $e$.

Proof:

Part 1 — Let $x \in X$. Suppose that, given $e > 0$, there is a number $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta$, then $x$ and $y$ lie in a connected set of diameter less than $e$. Let $U$ be an open set containing $x$. Then, there is an open set $W = B(x, e_1)$ such that $W \subseteq U$. Since $e_1 > 0$, there is a number $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if $d(x, y) < \delta_1$, then $x$ and $y$ lie in a connected set of diameter less than $e_1$.

Let $V = B(x, \delta_1)$, and let $p \in V$. Thus, $d(x, p) < \delta_1$, and $x, p \in C_p$, where $C_p$ is a connected set of diameter less than $e_1$. Thus, $C_p \subseteq W \subseteq U$.

Assume that $V \not\subseteq W$. Then, there is a point $q \in V$ such that $q \not\in W$. This implies that $d(x, q) < \delta_1$ and $d(x, q) \geq e_1$. Since $d(x, q) < \delta_1$, then $x, q \in C_q$ where $C_q$ is a connected set of diameter less than $e_1$. Thus, $d(x, q) < e_1$, a contradiction. Hence, $V \subseteq W \subseteq U$, and (by 2.13) $X$ is connected im kleinen at $x$. 
Part 2 — Suppose that $X$ is connected in kleinen at $x$. Let $e > 0$, and let $U = B(x, \frac{e}{3})$. Then (by 2.13), there is an open set $V$ containing $x$ such that $V \subseteq U$ and, if $y \in V$, then there is a connected subset of $U$ containing $x$ and $y$.

Now, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the open set $W = B(x, \delta)$ is a subset of $V$. Let $p \in W$. Then, $p \in V$, and $d(x, p) \leq \delta$. Also, there is a connected subset $C$ of $U$ which contains $x$ and $p$. Since the diameter of $U = 2(\frac{e}{3}) < e$ and $C \subseteq U$, then the diameter of $C < e$.

Thus, for $e > 0$, there is a number $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(x, p) < \delta$, then $x$ and $p$ lie in a connected set $C$ where the diameter of $C < e$.

Another concept which is related to local connectedness but used only in metric spaces is "property S."

2.19. Definition A metric space $M$ has property $S$ if for every $e > 0$, $M$ is the union of a finite number of connected sets, each of diameter less than $e$.

2.20. Theorem If $(X, d)$ is a metric space having property $S$, then $X$ is connected in kleinen at each of its points and, hence, is locally connected.

Proof: Let $x \in X$ and let $U$ be an open set containing $x$. There is an open set $G = B(x, e)$ such that $G \subseteq U$. Since $X$ has property $S$, $X = \bigcup \{G_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ where $\{G_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of connected sets each of diameter
less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Let $C$ be the collection of all elements of
$\{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ whose closure contains $x$. Now, $x \in C_a$
where $C_a \in C$. Thus, if $C_b \in C$, then $x \in C_a \cap C_b$, which
implies (by 1.8) that $C_a \cup C_b$ is connected. Hence, $UC$ is
connected. Now, to show that $UC \subseteq U$, let $y \in UC$. Then,
y $\in$ some $C_k \in C$, which implies that $x \in C_k$. Thus, $d(x,y) \leq
\frac{\varepsilon}{3} < \varepsilon$ implying that $UC \subseteq U$.

Now, consider the collection $D = \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} - C$.
Thus, if $C_j \in D$, then $x \notin C_j$. It follows that $x \notin \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D)$. Hence, $x \in X - \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D)$, which is open, since
$\bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D)$ is closed.

Next, it will be shown that $X - \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D) \subseteq UC$.
Let $p \in X - \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D)$. Thus, $p \notin \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D) \Rightarrow
\bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D) = UD$. Since $p \in X = \bigcup(C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n) =
(UC) \cup (UD)$ and $p \notin UD$, then $p \in UC$. Hence, $X - \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D) \subseteq UC$.

In summary, $X - \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D)$ is an open set containing
$x$, and $X - \bigcup(C_j : C_j \in D) \subseteq UC \subseteq U$ where $UC$ is connected.
Thus $X$ is connected im kleinen at $x$ and hence (by 2.3)
is locally connected.

2.21. Theorem If $X$ is a compact, locally connected
metric space, then $X$ has property $S$.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$, let $x \in X$, and let $U_x = B(x, \frac{\varepsilon}{3})$.
Since $X$ is locally connected, there is a connected neighbor-
borhood $V_x$ of $x$ such that $V_x \subseteq U_x$. Thus, the diameter of
$V_x$ is less than or equal to the diameter of $U_x$, which is
less than or equal to $\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon$. The collection $\{V_p : p \in X\}$
of all such connected neighborhoods forms an open cover for $X$, and, since $X$ is compact, $\{V_p : p \in X\}$ has a finite subcover for $X$. Thus, $X$ has property $S$.

2.22. Theorem Let $(X,d)$ be a metric space and let $M$ be a subset of $X$ such that $M$ has property $S$. If $N$ is a subset of $X$ such that $M \subseteq N \subseteq \overline{N}$, then $N$ has property $S$.

Proof: Let $e > 0$. Then $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_i$, where $\{C_i : i=1, \ldots, n\}$ is a collection of connected sets, each of diameter less than $e$. Consider the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{N \cap C_i}$. Clearly, $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{N \cap C_i} \subseteq N$. Now, if $p \in N$, then, since $N \subseteq M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{C_i}$, $p \in \overline{C_k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$. Thus, $p \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{N \cap C_i}$, which implies that $N \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{N \cap C_i}$. Therefore, $N = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \overline{N \cap C_i}$. Next, it will be shown that $C_j \subseteq \overline{C_j \cap N} \subseteq \overline{C_j}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. To show this, let $p \in C_j$. Thus, $p \in C_j$. Also, $p \in M$, since $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_i$, and this implies that $p \in N$, since $M \subseteq N$. Thus, $p \in \overline{C_j \cap N}$, implying that $C_j \subseteq \overline{C_j \cap N}$, and, clearly, $\overline{C_j \cap N} \subseteq \overline{C_j}$. Thus (by 1.17), $\overline{C_j \cap N}$ is connected. Also, since the diameter of $C_j < e$, then the diameter of $\overline{C_j} < e$, which implies that the diameter of $\overline{C_j \cap N} < e$. Thus, $N$ has property $S$.

Another concept relating to local connectedness which applies only to metric spaces is "uniform local connectedness."

2.23. Definition A metric space $(X,d)$ is uniformly locally connected provided that, given $e > 0$, there is a
number \( \delta > 0 \), independent of position, such that any two points \( x \) and \( y \) with \( d(x,y) < \delta \), lie in a connected set of diameter less than \( \varepsilon \).

2.24. **Theorem** If \((X,d)\) is a compact, locally connected metric space, then \((X,d)\) is uniformly locally connected.

**Proof:** Let \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Since \( X \) is compact and locally connected, (by 2.21) \( X \) has property S. Thus, \( X = \bigcup \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \), where \( \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \) is a finite collection of connected sets, each of diameter less than \( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \). If for each pair \((C_k,C_\ell)\), where \( C_k,C_\ell \in \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \), \( \overline{C_k} \cap \overline{C_\ell} \neq \emptyset \), then the proof is immediate. Therefore, assume that the collection \( D = \{ (C_k,C_\ell) : C_k,C_\ell \in \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \text{ and } \overline{C_k} \cap \overline{C_\ell} = \emptyset \} \) is nonempty. Thus, if \( \delta_{k,\ell} = d(C_k,C_\ell) \) for all \((C_k,C_\ell) \in D\), then \( \delta_{k,\ell} > 0 \). Since \( D \) is finite, the collection of all such \( \delta_{k,\ell} \) is finite. Let \( \delta \) be one half the minimum of this collection. Thus, \( \delta > 0 \). Now, let \( x,y \) be any two points in \( X \) such that \( d(x,y) < \delta \). Since \( X = \bigcup \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \), then there are a \( a,b \in \{C_i : i = 1, \ldots, n\} \) such that \( x \in a \) and \( y \in b \). If \( a = b \), then, clearly, \( x \) and \( y \) lie in a connected set of diameter less than \( \varepsilon \).

Suppose that \( a \neq b \). Since \( d(x,y) < \delta \), then \( d(a,b) < \delta \). This implies that \((a,b) \in D\), which, in turn, implies that \( \overline{a} \cap \overline{b} \neq \emptyset \). Since \( a \) and \( b \) are connected, (by 1.17) \( \overline{a} \) and \( \overline{b} \) are connected. Thus (by 1.9), \( \overline{a} \cup \overline{b} \) is connected. Also, since the diameters of \( a \) and \( b \) are less than \( \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \), the diameters
of $\overline{c}_a$ and $\overline{c}_b \leq \frac{\delta}{3}$. Thus, the diameter of $\overline{c}_a \cup \overline{c}_b \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3} = \frac{2\delta}{3} < \epsilon$. Hence, $X$ is uniformly locally connected.
CHAPTER III
PATH-CONNECTEDNESS

3.1. Definition A path in a topological space Y is a continuous mapping \( f: I \rightarrow Y \), where I is the unit interval. The point \( f(0) \in Y \) is called the initial (or starting) point, and \( f(1) \in Y \), the terminal (or end) point of the path \( f \), and \( f \) is said to run from \( f(0) \) to \( f(1) \), or join \( f(0) \) to \( f(1) \).

It will be noted that if \( f \) is a path running from \( f(0) \) to \( f(1) \), then the mapping \( g: I \rightarrow Y \) defined by \( g(t) = f(1-t) \), where \( t \in I \), is a path running from \( f(1) \) to \( f(0) \).

3.2. Definition A topological space Y is path-connected if each pair of its points can be joined by a path.

3.3. Theorem Let \( Y \) be a topological space, and let \( y_0 \in Y \). \( Y \) is path-connected if and only if each \( y \in Y \) can be joined to \( y_0 \) by a path.

Proof:
Part 1 — Let \( Y \) be path-connected. Thus, each \( y \in Y \) can be joined to \( y_0 \) by a path.

Part 2 — Suppose that each \( y \in Y \) can be joined to \( y_0 \) by a path. Let \( a, a' \in Y \). Then, there is a path \( f: I \rightarrow Y \) joining \( a \) to \( y_0 \). Thus, \( f(0) = a \), and \( f(1) = y_0 \). Also, there
is a path joining \( a' \) to \( y_0 \), which implies there is a path 
\( g : I \to Y \) joining \( y_0 \) to \( a' \). Thus, \( g(0) = y_0 \), and \( g(1) = a' \).

Now, let \( A = \{ t : 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \} \), \( B = \{ t : 1/2 < t < 1 \} \) and 
\( h(t) = \{ f(2t), t \in A \} \) and \( g(2t-1), t \in B \). Thus, \( h \) maps \( I \) into \( Y \),
\( h(0) = f(0) = a \), and \( h(1) = g(1) = a' \).

Next, it will be shown that \( h \) is continuous. Let 
\( q \in h(I) \), and let \( U \) be a closed set containing \( q \). Since
\( (h|A)(t) = f(2t) \) for all \( t \in A \) and \( (h|B)(t) = g(2t-1) \) for
all \( t \in B \), then \( h|A \) and \( h|B \) are continuous on \( A \) and \( B \)
respectively. Now, \( h^{-1}(U) \) is closed. Let the
labeling be chosen such that \( h^{-1}(U) \) is closed. Clearly,
\( h^{-1}(U) = (h|A)^{-1}(U) \) and \( (h|A)(A) \) is
closed. Since \( (h|A)(A) \subseteq Y \) and \( U \) is closed in \( Y \), then
\( U \cap (h|A)(A) \) is closed in \( (h|A)(A) \). Since
\( h|A \) is continuous, then
\( (h|A)^{-1}[U \cap (h|A)(A)] \) is closed in \( A \). But, since \( A \) is
closed in \( I \), then \( (h|A)^{-1}[U \cap (h|A)(A)] \) is closed in \( I \).
Thus, \( h^{-1}(U) \) is closed in \( I \).

Suppose that \( h^{-1}(U) \cap B = \emptyset \). Then, \( h^{-1}(U) = h^{-1}(U) \cap A \),
which is closed in \( I \).

Suppose that \( h^{-1}(U) \cap B \neq \emptyset \). Then, \( h^{-1}(U) \cap B = (h|B)^{-1}
\[ U \cap (h|B)(B) \] \), which is closed in \( I \). Thus, \( h^{-1}(U) = [h^{-1}
(U) \cap A] \cup [h^{-1}(U) \cap B] \), implying that \( h^{-1}(U) \) is closed, since
\( h^{-1}(U) \cap A \) and \( h^{-1}(U) \cap B \) are both closed. Consequently, \( h \)
is continuous.

Therefore, \( h \) is a path joining \( a \) to \( a' \), implying that
\( Y \) is path-connected.
3.4. Theorem The union of any family of path-connected spaces having a point in common is path-connected.

Proof: Let \( \{Y^\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \) be a family of path-connected spaces so that for each \( \alpha \in T \), \( y_\circ \in Y^\alpha \). Thus, \( y_\circ \in \bigcup\{Y^\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \). Now, let \( y \in \bigcup\{Y^\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \). Thus, for some \( \beta \in T \), \( y \in Y^\beta \), and, since \( y_\circ \in Y^\beta \) and \( Y^\beta \) is path-connected, there is a path joining \( y \) to \( y_\circ \). Therefore (by 3.3), \( \bigcup\{Y^\alpha : \alpha \in T\} \) is path-connected.

3.5. Definition A subset \( C \) of a topological space \( Y \) is called a path component of \( Y \) if \( C \) is a maximal path-connected set in \( Y \).

3.6. Theorem Each path-connected topological space \( Y \) is connected.

Proof: Let \( y_\circ \in Y \). Now, if \( y \in Y \), then, since \( Y \) is path-connected, \( y \) can be joined to \( y_\circ \) by a path \( f_y : I \rightarrow Y \). Thus, \( y_\circ, y \in f_y(I) \). Also, since \( f_y : I \rightarrow Y \) is continuous and \( I \) is connected, then (by 1.11) \( f_y(I) \) is connected. Thus, \( y_\circ \in f_x(I) \) for all \( x \in Y \), and (by 1.9) \( \bigcup\{f_x(I) : x \in Y\} = Y \) is connected.

3.7. Corollary Each path component is connected.

3.8. Theorem The following two properties of a topological space \( Y \) are equivalent:

(1) Each path component is open (and, therefore, also closed).

(2) Each point of \( Y \) has a path-connected neighborhood.
Proof:

Part 1 — Suppose that each path component is open. Then, if \( y \in Y \) and \( C \) is a path component containing \( y \), then \( C \) is a path-connected neighborhood of \( y \).

Part 2 — Suppose that each point of \( Y \) has a path-connected neighborhood. Let \( C \) be a path component in \( Y \), and let \( x \in C \). Thus, \( x \) has a path-connected neighborhood \( U \), and, since \( C \) is a maximal path-connected set containing \( x \), then \( x \in U \subseteq C \), which implies that \( C \) is open.

Since \( X-C \) is the union of the remaining path components in \( Y \), each of which is open, then \( X-C \) is open implying that \( C \) is closed.

3.9. Theorem If each path component of a topological space \( Y \) is open and closed, then the path components of \( Y \) coincide with the components of \( Y \).

Proof:

Part 1 — Let \( C \) be a path component of \( Y \). Thus, \( C \) is open and closed, and (by 3.7) \( C \) is connected. Then (by 1.4) there is no connected set which properly contains \( C \), implying that \( C \) is a component of \( Y \).

Part 2 — Let \( C \) be a component of \( Y \), let \( y \in C \), and let \( D \) be a path component of \( Y \) containing \( y \). Since \( D \) is path-connected, (by 3.6) \( D \) is connected, and, thus, \( D \subseteq C \) since \( C \) is a maximal connected set containing \( y \). But \( D \) is both open and closed, so (by 1.4) \( D = C \).
3.10. Theorem  A topological space \( Y \) is path-connected if and only if it is connected, and each \( y \in Y \) has a path-connected neighborhood.

**Proof:**

Part 1 — Suppose that \( Y \) is path-connected. Then (by 3.6) \( Y \) is connected. Also, if \( y \in Y \), then \( Y \) is a path-connected neighborhood of \( y \).

Part 2 — Suppose that \( Y \) is connected and each \( y \in Y \) has a path-connected neighborhood. Then (by 3.8), each path component \( C \) is both open and closed. If \( C \neq Y \), then \( C \) is a proper subset of \( Y \), which implies (by 1.4) that \( Y \) is not connected, a contradiction. Thus, \( Y = C \), implying that \( Y \) is path-connected.

3.11. Theorem  The continuous image of a path-connected topological space is path-connected.

**Proof:** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be spaces where \( X \) is path-connected, and let \( f:X \rightarrow Y \) be continuous. Thus, \( f:X \rightarrow f(X) \) is continuous. Let \( y_0, y_1 \in f(X) \). Thus, \( f^{-1}(y_0) \) and \( f^{-1}(y_1) \) are nonempty subsets of \( X \). Let \( p \in f^{-1}(y_0) \) and \( q \in f^{-1}(y_1) \). Since \( X \) is path-connected, there is a path \( g:I \rightarrow X \) joining \( p \) to \( q \). Therefore, \( g \) is continuous, \( g(0) = p \) and \( g(1) = q \), which implies that \((f \circ g)(0) = f(g(0)) = f(p) = y_0 \) and \((f \circ g)(1) = f(g(1)) = f(q) = y_1 \). Also, since \( g:I \rightarrow X \) and \( f:X \rightarrow f(X) \) are continuous, then \((f \circ g):I \rightarrow f(X) \) is continuous, and, therefore, is a path which joins \( y_0 \) to \( y_1 \). Thus, \( f(X) \) is path-connected.
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