NAVAL MEDICAL CENTER PORTSMOUTH, VA
COMMISSION BASE VISIT
May 24, 2005
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### ITINERARY

White = Chairman  
Gray = General Newton

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>POC</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-May 0815</td>
<td>Chairman arrives</td>
<td>Norfolk Airport</td>
<td>Hal Tickle</td>
<td>Meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0845-0930</td>
<td>En route</td>
<td>Medical Center Portsmouth</td>
<td>Hal Tickle/ Leshia Mandzia</td>
<td>Review Briefing Book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0915-1030</td>
<td>Commissioner Brief</td>
<td>Medical Center Portsmouth</td>
<td></td>
<td>Brief Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030-1100</td>
<td>En route</td>
<td>Naval Station</td>
<td>Jim Durso</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100-1230</td>
<td>Commissioner’s Brief</td>
<td>Navy Region Headquarters, Bldg N-21</td>
<td>Captain Zobel, Commander, Mid-Atlantic Region and Captain Becker, Commanding Officer, NS Norfolk</td>
<td>Presentations on affected activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1230-1315</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
<td>Norfolk Officers Club</td>
<td>Hal Tickle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1315-1430</td>
<td>Tour of Submarine and Shipyard Facilities</td>
<td>Naval Station</td>
<td>Capt Zobel</td>
<td>Windsheild/facility tour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430-1515</td>
<td>En route son’s home</td>
<td>126 Nate Turner Blvd, Newport News</td>
<td>Hal Tickle</td>
<td>Travel/debrief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0945</td>
<td>General Newton arrives</td>
<td>Norfolk Airport</td>
<td>Hal Tickle</td>
<td>Meet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030-1100</td>
<td>En route</td>
<td>Naval Station</td>
<td>Hal Tickle</td>
<td>Review Briefing Book</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100-1430</td>
<td>Join Chairman</td>
<td>Naval Station</td>
<td>Hal Tickle</td>
<td>Join Chairman for briefings/lunch/tour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1430-1515</td>
<td>En route hotel</td>
<td>Comfort Inn 12330 Jefferson Ave, Hampton</td>
<td>Jim Durso</td>
<td>Join Gary Dinsick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-May TBD</td>
<td>Meet Chairman</td>
<td>126 Nate Turner Blvd, Newport News</td>
<td>Gary Dinsick</td>
<td>Begin Ft Monroe itinerary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION

BASE SUMMARY SHEET

Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA

INSTALLATION MISSION

- Support force health protection through quality healthcare for our beneficiaries and through education and training of our staff.

Mission of the Naval School of Health Sciences:
Note: the school is responsible for the basic and enlisted medical training being relocated to Fort Sam Houston, TX

- We advance the sea warrior's readiness through exceptional medical education and training.

DOD RECOMMENDATION

- Realign Naval Air Station Great Lakes, IL, Sheppard Air Force Base, TX, Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA, Naval Medical Center San Diego, CA by relocating basic and specialty enlisted medical training to Fort Sam Houston, TX.

DOD JUSTIFICATION

- To transform legacy medical infrastructure into a modernized joint operational medicine platform. This recommendation reduces excess capacity within the San Antonio Multi-Service Market (MSM: two or more facilities co-located geographically with "shared" beneficiary population) while maintaining the level of care for the beneficiaries, enhancing opportunities for provider currency, and maintaining surge capacity.
- Co-locating all (except Aerospace Medicine) medical basic and specialty enlisted training at Fort Sam Houston, TX, with the potential of transitioning to a joint training effort, will result in reduced infrastructure and excess system capacity, while capitalizing on the synergy of the co-location of similar training conducted by each of the three Services.
- The development of a joint training center will result in standardized training for medical enlisted specialties enhancing interoperability and joint deployability.
- Co-location of medical enlisted training with related military clinical activities of the San Antonio Regional Medical Center at Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX, provides synergistic opportunities to bring clinical insight into the training environment, realtime. As a result, both the healthcare delivery and training experiences are exponentially enhanced.
COST CONSIDERATIONS DEVELOPED BY DOD

Note: These cost considerations are for all 4 basic and specialty enlisted training programs being moved to Ft. Sam Houston, TX.

- One-Time Costs: $1,040.9 million
- Net Savings (Cost) during Implementation: $826.7 million
- Annual Recurring Savings: $129.0 million
- Return on Investment Year: Calendar Year (10 Years)
- Net Present Value over 20 Years: $476.2 million

MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS OF ALL RECOMMENDATIONS AFFECTING THIS INSTALLATION (INCLUDES ON-BASE CONTRACTORS AND STUDENTS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Out</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Net Gain (Loss)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Military</td>
<td>(463)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>(435)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian</td>
<td>(25 )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(25 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

Note: Environmental considerations focus primarily on affect at Ft. Sam Houston—the location gaining the basic and specialty enlisted medical training.

- This recommendation is expected to impact air quality at Fort Sam Houston and has the potential to impact cultural or historic resources at Fort Sam Houston and Lackland AFB.
- Additional operations at Fort Sam Houston may further impact federally listed species leading to additional restrictions on training and operations.
- Significant mitigation measures to limit releases may be required at Fort Sam Houston to reduce impacts to water quality and achieve US EPA water quality standards.
- This recommendation will require spending approximately $1.2M for environmental compliance activities. This cost was included in the payback calculation. This recommendation does not otherwise impact the costs of environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities. The aggregate environmental impact of all recommended BRAC actions affecting the bases in this recommendation has been reviewed. There are no known environmental impediments to implementation of this recommendation.

REPRESENTATION

Governor: The Honorable Mark R. Warner
Senators: The Honorable John Warner
The Honorable George Allen
Representative: Robert C. “Bobby” Scott (3rd Congressional District)
ECONOMIC IMPACT

- Potential Employment Loss: 1,013 jobs (489 direct and 524 indirect)
- MSA Job Base: Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA
- Percentage: -0.1 percent

MILITARY ISSUES

- The Naval School of Health Sciences is a tenant on the Naval Medical Center Portsmouth campus.

COMMUNITY CONCERNS/ISSUES

- None at this time

Lesia Mandzia
Joint Cross-Service Team
May 21, 2005
Relocate
Basic & Enlisted Medical Training to
Fort Sam Houston San Antonio, TX

Realign
Sheppard Air Force Base, TX
Naval Air Station Great Lakes, IL
Naval Medical Center San Diego, CA
Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA
**Realignment**

Sheppard Air Force Base, TX
Naval Air Station Great Lakes, IL
Naval Medical Center San Diego, CA
Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA

**Relocating**

Basic and Specialty Enlisted Medical Training to Fort Sam Houston, San Antonio, TX
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Installation</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Mil</th>
<th>Civ</th>
<th>Mil</th>
<th>Civ</th>
<th>Net Gain/Loss</th>
<th>Net Mission Contractor</th>
<th>Total Direct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Monroe</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>(1,393)</td>
<td>(1,948)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1,393)</td>
<td>(1,948)</td>
<td>(223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3,564)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leased Space - VA</td>
<td>Close/Realign</td>
<td>(6,199)</td>
<td>(15,754)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(6,199)</td>
<td>(15,754)</td>
<td>(972)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(22,925)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Supply Center Richmond</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(77)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belvoir</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(466)</td>
<td>(2,281)</td>
<td>4,537</td>
<td>8,010</td>
<td>4,071</td>
<td>5,729</td>
<td>2,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lee</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(392)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6,531</td>
<td>1,151</td>
<td>6,139</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headquarters Battalion, Headquarters Marine Corps, Henderson Hall</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(52)</td>
<td>(22)</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langley Air Force Base</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(53)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Base Quantico</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>496</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>1,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Shipyard Norfolk</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Station Norfolk</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(373)</td>
<td>(1,085)</td>
<td>3,820</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>3,447</td>
<td>(729)</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Support Activity Norfolk</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arlingon Service Center</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(224)</td>
<td>(516)</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>(383)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Naval Research</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(313)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(313)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Finance and Accounting Service, Arlingon</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(401)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>(401)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Eustis</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(3,863)</td>
<td>(852)</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>1,432</td>
<td>(2,901)</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Station Oceana</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Medical Center Portsmouth</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(463)</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(435)</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Surface Warfare Center Dahlgren</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(503)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(334)</td>
<td>(17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Weapons Station Yorktown</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(179)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(179)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond International Airport Air Guard Station</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(101)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Marine Corps Direct Reporting Program Manager Advanced Amphibious Assault</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This list does not include locations where there were no changes in military or civilian jobs.
Military figures include student load changes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Area Installation</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Out Mil</th>
<th>Out Civ</th>
<th>In Mil</th>
<th>In Civ</th>
<th>Net Gain/(Loss) Mil</th>
<th>Net Gain/(Loss) Civ</th>
<th>Net Mission Contractor</th>
<th>Total Direct</th>
<th>Indirect Changes</th>
<th>Total Job Changes</th>
<th>Economic Area Employment</th>
<th>Changes as Percent of Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utica-Rome, NY Metropolitan Statistical Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense Finance and Accounting Close Service, Rome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rome Laboratory</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(124)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(124)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(137)</td>
<td>(122)</td>
<td>(259)</td>
<td>158,421</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(414)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(13)</td>
<td>(414)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(427)</td>
<td>(396)</td>
<td>(823)</td>
<td>158,421</td>
<td>-0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdosta, GA Metropolitan Statistical Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moody Air Force Base Gain</td>
<td></td>
<td>(604)</td>
<td>(145)</td>
<td>1,274</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>65,992</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>(604)</td>
<td>(145)</td>
<td>1,274</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>(95)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>65,992</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicksburg, MS Micropolitan Statistical Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Reserve Center Close</td>
<td></td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(28)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td>29,916</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicksburg</td>
<td></td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(28)</td>
<td>(15)</td>
<td>(43)</td>
<td>29,916</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC Metropolitan Statistical Area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Monroe</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>(1,393)</td>
<td>(1,948)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(1,393)</td>
<td>(1,948)</td>
<td>(223)</td>
<td>(3,564)</td>
<td>(4,418)</td>
<td>(7,982)</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langley Air Force Base Gain</td>
<td></td>
<td>(53)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>1,545</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Amphibious Base Little Creek</td>
<td>Gain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Shipyard Norfolk Gain</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1,774</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>2,036</td>
<td>2,744</td>
<td>4,780</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Station Norfolk Gain</td>
<td></td>
<td>(373)</td>
<td>(1,085)</td>
<td>3,820</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>3,447</td>
<td>(729)</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,807</td>
<td>2,716</td>
<td>5,523</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Support Activity Norfolk Gain</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>573</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>899</td>
<td>1,687</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Eustis</td>
<td>Realign</td>
<td>(3,863)</td>
<td>(852)</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>1,432</td>
<td>(2,901)</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>(2,152)</td>
<td>(2,066)</td>
<td>(4,218)</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>-0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Station Oceana Realign</td>
<td></td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(110)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(60)</td>
<td>(46)</td>
<td>(106)</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Medical Center Portsmouth Realign</td>
<td></td>
<td>(403)</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(435)</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(461)</td>
<td>(494)</td>
<td>(955)</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Weapons Station Yorktown Realign</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(179)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(179)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>(179)</td>
<td>(248)</td>
<td>(427)</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>(6,261)</td>
<td>(4,138)</td>
<td>6,350</td>
<td>3,915</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>(223)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(69)</td>
<td>(68)</td>
<td>978,888</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This list does not include locations where no changes in military or civilian jobs are affected.

Military figures include student load changes.
Installation Review – Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA

- The Naval School of Health Sciences in Portsmouth, VA is a tenant of the Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, VA campus.
- The Naval Medical Center Portsmouth occupies a 112-acre site along the Elizabeth River in downtown Portsmouth.
- The Naval Medical Center has an extensive Graduate Medical Education Program and conducts internships and residency training in medicine, dentistry, psychology, and pastoral care.
- The Naval Medical Center Portsmouth is one of three major teaching hospitals in the Navy with residency programs in 13 specialty areas. Each year, approximately 75 officers complete internships at the Naval Medical Center.

Naval Medical Center Portsmouth

Commander Thomas K. Burkhard, Rear Admiral, Medical Corps, USN
Deputy Commander C. Forrest Faison, M.D., Captain, Medical Corps, USN

Naval School of Health Sciences Portsmouth

Commanding Officer Brad L. Bennett, Captain, Medical Service Corps, USN
Executive Officer Susan E. Herron, Captain, Nurse Corps, USN
Rear Admiral Thomas K. Burkhard, Medical Corps, United States Navy. He graduated cum laude from Harvard College in 1969 and was commissioned a Line Ensign through the NROTC Program. His first duty station was aboard the minesweeper USS Whippoorwill (MSC 207) home ported in Sasebo, Japan on which he performed coastal patrols in South Vietnam. In 1971, he attended the Staff Mine Warfare Course at Naval Schools Mine Warfare in Charleston, S. C., and subsequently was assigned to the school as an instructor. At the conclusion of the Vietnam War; he was attached to the staff of Commander, Mobile Mine Countermeasures aboard USS New Orleans (LPH 11) where he participated in Operation ENDSWEEP clearing mines from North Vietnam waters.

Resigning his Line Lieutenant commission in September 1973, he entered Duty Under Instruction at the University of Connecticut School of Medicine and was commissioned an Ensign, United States Naval Reserve. Graduating in 1977, he reported to Naval Regional Medical Center, San Diego where he completed his internship in 1978 and a Diagnostic Radiology residency in 1981. He was awarded the Outstanding Senior Resident Award in Diagnostic Radiology. Following residency training, he was stationed at U.S. Naval Hospital, Guam where he served as Radiology Department Head and Director for Ancillary Services. In 1984, he returned to Naval Hospital, San Diego as a staff radiologist and was awarded the “Golden Ray Award” by the radiology residents as the outstanding teacher. Upon completing an Imaging Fellowship in 1986, he became Assistant Chairman of Radiology and Division Head for Computerized Tomography/Ultrasound/Body MRI. Subsequently, he held the positions of Director for Ancillary Services, Medical Director, and Deputy Commander at Naval Medical Center, San Diego. From 1994 to 1996, he was the Deputy Commander at National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda and then commanded Naval Hospital, Camp Pendleton from January 1997 to July 2000. He served as Fleet Medical Officer for Commander in Chief, U.S. Naval Forces Europe until November 2002 at which time he assumed his current position as Commander, Naval Medical Center, Portsmouth and TRICARE Senior Multi-Service Market Manager. RDML Burkhard served as the Surgeon General’s Advisor for Radiology.
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from 1992 to 1995. He was appointed Clinical Associate Professor of Radiology/Nuclear Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences in 1994 and has co-authored 15 radiology peer reviewed articles. He received a Certificate in Medical Management in 1997 from Tulane University and the American College of Physician Executives and was named a Certified Physician Healthcare Executive in 1998 by the American College of Physician Executives.

His awards include the Defense Superior Service Medal, Legion of Merit with one star, Meritorious Service Medal, Navy Commendation Medal, Navy Achievement Medal, Combat Action Ribbon, Navy Unit Commendation, Meritorious Unit Commendation, National Defense Service Medal with two stars, Vietnam Service Medal with two stars, Global War On Terrorism Service Medal, Humanitarian Service Medal, Navy and Marine Corps Overseas Service Ribbon with five stars, Philippine Unit Citation, and Republic of Vietnam Gallantry Cross Unit Citation.
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Command Information

The Naval School of Health Sciences (NSHS), Portsmouth, VA is located on the compound of the Naval Medical Center, Portsmouth, VA. The Command has one component activity, Joint Special Operations Medical Training Command (JSOMTC), located in Fort Bragg, NC. Additionally, two officer personnel are assigned at the Naval Leader Training Unit, Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek, VA.

The history of NSHS is rich in tradition. Established in 1902 as the Navy's first Hospital Corps School, the school was part of the former Norfolk Naval Hospital. The organizational structure and types of training provided have changed significantly through the years. Established in October 1995 as an echelon III command, the Commanding Officer, NSHS Portsmouth now reports directly to the Assistant Chief of Education, Training and Personnel at the Bureau of Medicine and Surgery.

The current command building was constructed in 1942, named after Pharmacist Edward May, the school's first graduate. May, later appointed as a Warrant Officer, served on staff at NSHS Portsmouth as an instructor until his hospitalization and death in 1905 of Typhoid Fever.

The command provides 17 officer and enlisted training programs, 3 special operations training programs, and 23 Medical Correspondences Courses. All programs are listed below:

**Enlisted Training Programs**
- Medical Laboratory Technician
- Advanced Radiography Technician
- Surgical Technician
- Pharmacy Technician
- Urology Technician
- Hemodialysis Apheresis Technician
- Surface Force Medical Indoctrination Course
- Surface Force Independent Duty Corpsman, Refresher
- Psychiatric Technician, Phase I (October 2002), and Phase II
- Occupational Therapy Assistant, Phase II
- Nuclear Medicine Technician, Phase I and II
- Electroneurodiagnostic Technician
- Physical Therapy Technician Phase II

**Officer Program**
- Nurse Corps Anesthesia, Phase II

**Special Operations Training at JSOMTC**
- Special Operations Combat Medic
- Advanced Special Operations Independent Duty Corpsman
- Special Operations Forces Medical Skills Sustainment Program

The Command holds institutional accreditation from the Commission of the Council in Occupational Education and individual programmatic accreditation from a variety of national associations specific to particular training programs.
Introduction

The Medical Joint Cross-Service Group (JCSG) was chartered to review Department of Defense healthcare functions and to provide base closure and realignment (BRAC) recommendations based on that review. Assigned functions included Department of Defense (DoD) Healthcare Education and Training; Healthcare Services; and Medical and Dental Research, Development and Acquisition (RD&A). The Air Force Surgeon General chaired the Medical JCSG, and other principal members included senior medical members from the Military Departments, the Joint Staff, and the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD). The summary that follows details the group’s strategies, processes, and recommendations for consideration for of 2005 BRAC Commission.

Responsibilities and Strategy

The Medical JCSG was responsible for a comprehensive review of its assigned functional areas, an evaluation of alternatives, and the subsequent development and documentation of realignment and closure recommendations for the Secretary of Defense. In developing its analytical process, the Medical JCSG established internal policies and procedures consistent with DoD policy memoranda, the force structure plan prepared by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, an installation inventory, BRAC final selection criteria, and the requirements of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, as amended.

The Military Healthcare System (MHS) must ensure that DoD has trained, proficient, and deployable medics to support the warfighter. In addition, DoD must foster and deliver research, development and acquisition of unique military medical and dental technology and techniques. In its current form, the DoD healthcare delivery system accomplishes this mission through two complementary organizations: the Direct Care System which includes military treatment facilities, and the TRICARE health benefit program which provides access for beneficiaries to the civilian healthcare system.

The Medical JCSG developed key strategies to guide deliberations based on the key objectives above. These strategies came from an analysis of the BRAC final selection criteria criteria. The Medical JCSG focused its efforts on:

- Supporting the warfighter and their families in-garrison and deployed;
- Maximizing military value while reducing infrastructure footprint, while maintaining an adequate surge capability;
Maintaining or improving access to care for all beneficiaries, including retirees, using combinations of the Direct Care and TRICARE systems;
- Enhancing jointness, taking full advantage of the commonality in the Services’ healthcare delivery, healthcare education and training, and medical/dental research, development and acquisition functions;
- Identifying and maximizing synergies gained from collocation or consolidation opportunities; and
- Examining out-sourcing opportunities that allow DoD to better leverage the large U.S. healthcare system investments.

The group’s final recommendations were based on a review of the entire Military Healthcare System, including the TRICARE program, with a view towards advancing these strategies. To facilitate efforts, the group developed categories of functions for evaluation and organized into subgroups corresponding to these functions. Each subgroup, in turn, developed strategies for evaluating its functions. These strategies were based on the Medical JCSG key focus areas and guided by BRAC selection criteria 1-8.

Analytical Process

The Medical JCSG approach to the BRAC process involved iterative and concurrent actions in close collaboration with the Military Departments and the other Joint Cross Service Groups. The Medical JCSG Principals formed the deliberative body; subgroups generated ideas, proposed overall scope for analyses and brought forth recommendations for consideration. All data collection was conducted and certified in accordance with BRAC process guidance.

The Medical JCSG developed attributes and metrics proposed by subgroups to determine the capacity of all installations for its assigned functions. The metrics were used to develop questions designed to solicit necessary data, which were subsequently issued to all DoD installations in the form of a controlled data call.

The Medical JCSG used the responses from the installations (submitted in the form of certified data) to perform a capacity analysis and review surge requirements. At each step in the process, adequacy and quality of the data was independently validated by the DoD Inspector General.

Once the group acquired capacity information, it conducted a military value assessment of each function at each installation. The group developed military value data call questions from BRAC selection criteria 1-4 to generate data for the quantitative portion of military value which includes both quantitative data, as well as military judgment. Using each installation’s responses, the Medical JCSG subgroups identified realignment or closure scenarios that corroborated their strategies and were supported by data. The Medical JCSG believed these scenarios would advance jointness, achieve synergy, capitalize on technology, exploit best practices, and minimize redundancy, while maintaining the fundamental healthcare mission of the DoD. Once scenarios were developed, the remaining selection criteria (criteria 5-8) were assessed, using standard DoD’s procedures and/or models.
The Medical JCSG approved 22 candidate recommendations for presentation to the Infrastructure Steering Group (ISG) and Infrastructure Executive Council (IEC). All Medical JCSG decisions were made by vote, and dissenting opinions were entered into the meeting minutes and presented to the ISG/IEC. Review and adjudication by the ISG and IEC resulted in the recommendations.

The recommendations approved by the Secretary of Defense follow:
San Antonio Regional Medical Center, TX

Recommendation: Realign Lackland Air Force Base, TX, by relocating the inpatient medical function of the 59th Medical Wing (Wilford Hall Medical Center) to the Brooke Army Medical Center, Ft Sam Houston, TX, establishing it as the San Antonio Regional Military Medical Center, and converting Wilford Hall Medical Center into an ambulatory care center.

Realign Naval Air Station Great Lakes, IL, Sheppard Air Force Base, TX, Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, Naval Medical Center San Diego, CA, by relocating basic and specialty enlisted medical training to Fort Sam Houston, TX.

Justification: The primary rationale for this recommendation is to transform legacy medical infrastructure into a modernized joint operational medicine platform. This recommendation reduces excess capacity within the San Antonio Multi-Service Market (MSM: two or more facilities co-located geographically with “shared” beneficiary population) while maintaining the level of care for the beneficiaries, enhancing opportunities for provider currency, and maintaining surge capacity. By making use of the design capacity inherent in Brooke Army Medical Center (BAMC), the entire inpatient care produced at WHMC can be relocated into this facility. In terms of military value, while BAMC had a slightly lower quantitative military value score than WHMC, the difference was so small as to not be a meaningful discriminator. Additionally, the small difference is primarily attributable to the efficiency of the Dental Clinic at WHMC, a facility that is excluded from this recommendation. It was the military judgment of the MJCSG that in the context of this recommendation, the condition of the facilities and their average weighted age were the most important elements of the military value of the two locations. In this area, BAMC received a significantly higher score than WHMC. Additionally, it is more cost effective and timely to return BAMC to it’s inherent design capacity and convert WHMC to an ambulatory care center, than to do the reverse. BAMC is located in a more centralized location, enabling it to better support the broader population area. WHMC and BAMC support Level 1 Trauma Centers, this capability is
maintained in this recommendation by expanding the BAMC Level 1 Trauma Center to the capacity of both trauma centers. It was therefore the military judgment of the MJCSG that regionalization at BAMC provided the highest overall military value to the Department. Development of a premier Regional Military Medical Center will provide enhanced visibility, as well as, recruiting and retention advantages to the Military Health System. The remaining civilian authorizations and contractors at Wilford Hall Medical Center that represent unnecessary overhead will be eliminated. Military personnel filling similar “overhead positions” are available to be redistributed by the Service to replace civilian and contract medical personnel elsewhere in Military Healthcare System activities of higher military value. While the jobs are lost in the military system the same type of job is available in the community.

This recommendation also co-locates all (except Aerospace Medicine) medical basic and specialty enlisted training at Fort Sam Houston, TX, with the potential of transitioning to a joint training effort. This will result in reduced infrastructure and excess system capacity, while capitalizing on the synergy of the co-location similar training conducted by each of the three Services. In addition, the development of a joint training center will result in standardized training for medical enlisted specialties enhancing interoperability and joint deployability. Co-location of medical enlisted training with related military clinical activities of the San Antonio Regional Medical Center at Brooke Army Medical Center, Fort Sam Houston, TX, provides synergistic opportunities to bring clinical insight into the training environment, real-time. As a result, both the healthcare delivery and training experiences are exponentially enhanced.

**Payback:** The total estimated one-time cost to the Department of Defense to implement this recommendation is $1,040.9M. The net of all costs and savings to the Department during the implementation period is a cost of $826.7M. Annual recurring savings to the Department after implementation are $129.0M with a payback expected in 10 years. The net present value of the costs and savings to the Department over 20 years is a savings of $476.2M.

**Economic Impact on Communities:** Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 4,373 jobs (1,926 direct jobs and 2,447 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Lake County-Kenosha County, IL-WI Metropolitan Division, which is 0.88 percent of economic area employment.

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 3,101 jobs (1,630 direct jobs and 1,471 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is 0.17 percent of economic area employment.

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 3,963 jobs (2,378 direct jobs and 1,585 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the Wichita Falls, TX Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is 4.26 percent of economic area employment.

Assuming no economic recovery, this recommendation could result in a maximum potential reduction of 1,013 jobs (489 direct jobs and 524 indirect jobs) over the 2006-2011 period in the
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA Metropolitan Statistical Area, which is 0.1 percent of economic area employment.

The aggregate economic impact of all recommended actions on these economic regions of influence was considered and is at Appendix B of Volume I.

Community Infrastructure Assessment: A review of community attributes indicates no issues regarding the ability of the infrastructure of the communities to support missions, forces, and personnel. Civilian inpatient capacity exists in the area to provide services to the eligible population. There are no known community infrastructure impediments to implementation of all recommendations affecting the installations in this recommendation.

Environmental Impact: This recommendation is expected to impact air quality at Fort Sam Houston. Title V permit, permit modification, and a New Source Review may be required. This recommendation has the potential to impact cultural or historic resources at Fort Sam Houston and Lackland AFB. Additional operations at Fort Sam Houston may further impact federally listed species leading to additional restrictions on training or operations. A hazardous waste program modification may be required at Lackland AFB. Significant mitigation measures to limit releases may be required at Fort Sam Houston to reduce impacts to water quality and achieve US EPA water quality standards. This recommendation has no impact on dredging; land use constraints or sensitive resource areas; marine mammals, resources, or sanctuaries; noise; or wetlands. This recommendation will require spending approximately $1.2M for environmental compliance activities. This cost was included in the payback calculation. This recommendation does not otherwise impact the costs of environmental restoration, waste management, and environmental compliance activities. The aggregate environmental impact of all recommended BRAC actions affecting the bases in this recommendation has been reviewed. There are no known environmental impediments to implementation of this recommendation.