Effects of the Poloidal Variation of the Magnetic Field Ripple on Enhanced Heat Transport in Tokamaks

N. A. Uckan
K. T. Tsang
J. D. Callen

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

OPERATED BY UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION FOR THE ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

27
6-14-78
r- ap 8 NT 15

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in the United States of America: Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161
Price: Printed Copy \$4.00; Microfiche \$2.25

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the Energy Research and Development Administration/United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

ORNL/TM-5438

Thermonuclear Division

EFFECTS OF THE POLOIDAL VARIATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD
RIPPLE ON ENHANCED HEAT TRANSPORT IN TOKAMAKS

N. A. Uckan, K. T. Tsang, and J. D. Callen
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

JUNE 1976

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

EFFECTS OF THE POLOIDAL VARIATION OF THE MAGNETIC FIELD RIPPLE ON ENHANCED HEAT TRANSPORT IN TOKAMAKS*

N. A. Uckan, K. T. Tsang, and J. D. Callen
Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

ABSTRACT

The use of a finite number of coils to generate the toroidal field of a tokamak introduces a magnetic field asymmetry and is responsible for an additional particle trapping that can affect the plasma confinement. The enhanced transport coefficients associated with the ripple-induced drifts have been calculated. The calculations include both the radial and poloidal variation in the magnitude of the field ripple. It is found that the consideration of poloidal variation significantly reduces the ripple-trapped transport but does not affect the banana-drift diffusion. Results relevant to the ORNL Experimental Power Reactor (EPR) reference design are discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the design of tokamak devices, the ripple produced by the finite number of toroidal field coils destroys the ideal axisymmetry of the configuration and is responsible for additional particle trapping. In the region of low collision frequencies, the magnetic field ripple might be the main factor determining the transport of particles and energy.¹⁻³ The ripple can lead to plasma transport by two separate mechanisms. In the first, known as ripple trapping, the particles become trapped in a

*Research sponsored by the Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

toroidal field minimum between coils that are localized in the toroidal direction, hence also in the poloidal direction, and experience a unidirectional toroidal drift which leads to the ripple diffusion.¹⁻³ The ripple trapping affects only a relatively small group of particles, namely those with $v_{||}$ (parallel velocity) so small that they can be trapped in the ripple. Those particles trapped in the toroidal field and executing banana orbits experience a new radial drift of their banana guiding center due to the presence of ripples, which leads to another kind of diffusion called the banana drift diffusion.⁴

In the literature different authors²⁻⁴ have considered these two effects with a radial variation only. In practice, the ripple modulation δ is also dependent on the poloidal variation. The poloidal variation has the effect of reducing or completely eliminating the ripple well depth on the inside of the torus, with the precise degree of reduction depending on the shape of the coil and the position of the plasma in the coil. In this regard, the previous calculations have overestimated the ripple effects through neglect of this poloidal variation.

The purpose of the present work is to calculate the enhanced transport losses due to field ripple, including both the radial and poloidal variation, as is appropriate for the design of future tokamaks.

In Section 2, the magnetic well depth for a rippled toroidal field is derived. Threshold and critical energies associated with the ripples are obtained in Section 3. This leads to a number of ripple collisionality regimes, each of which can be characterized by simple random walk argument for the illustration of ripple trapped diffusion^{2,3} and banana drift diffusion.⁴ In Section 4, we derive the corresponding analytical

expressions by solving the drift kinetic equation with the appropriate limit. In Section 5, we present the numerical results of the heat conduction losses in the design of ORNL EPR.⁵

2. MAGNETIC WELL DEPTH

For a simple model of tokamak confinement geometry, the resulting magnetic field can be written as¹⁻³

$$\underline{B} = \frac{B_0}{h} \left(\frac{B_r}{B_\phi}, \theta, 1 - \delta(r, \theta) \cos N\phi \right) \quad (1)$$

where r and θ are polar co-ordinates in the minor cross section of the torus, and ϕ is the angular co-ordinate along the magnetic axis;

$h = 1 + (r/R_0) \cos \theta = 1 + \epsilon \cos \theta$; $\delta(r, \theta) = (B_{\max} - B_{\min}) / (B_{\max} + B_{\min})$

$\ll r/R_0$ is the depth of the ripples; N is the number of toroidal field coils; and $\theta = \epsilon/q$ with q being the safety factor. The radial component of $\underline{B}$ necessary to satisfy $\nabla \cdot \underline{B} = 0$ can be found from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rhB_r) + \frac{r}{R_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (B_\phi) = 0, \quad (2)$$

which is on the order of $B_r \sim \delta B_0 \sin N\phi$. Hence the field strength is approximately

$$B \simeq B_\phi = B_0 [1 - \epsilon \cos \theta - \delta(r, \theta) \cos N\phi] . \quad (3)$$

It is clear from Eq. (3) that the magnetic well depth on a fixed magnetic surface ($r = \text{const}$) depends on: 1) the decrease of the toroidal magnetic field with increasing distance from the major axis of the system; and 2) the dependence of the $\delta(r, \theta)$ on poloidal variation θ .

The actual relationship of δ to r and θ depends substantially on the coil design and on the size and shape of the gap between the coils. In a typical case, to find the function $\delta(r, \theta)$, we must carry out computer calculations for the particular magnet design. However, a great number of calculations have shown that it is possible to write an approximate expression for the ripple $\delta(r, \theta)$, which is suitable for the purpose of our calculations, as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(r, \theta) &= \delta(r) g(\theta) \\ &= \delta_a \left[\frac{\delta_0}{\delta_a} + (r/a)^n \right] \exp(-\beta \theta^2)\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where a is the plasma radius; δ_0 and δ_a are the values of δ at $r = 0$ and $r = a$, respectively, with $\delta_0/\delta_a \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-4}$; and β is a parameter which can be determined from the calculations and closely depends on the shape of the coils and plasma locations — usually, $0.5 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

Along the field line $\phi \simeq q\theta$, the variation in the field strength, for the $\underline{B}$ field given in Eq. (3), is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{B_0} \frac{\partial B}{\partial S} &= \frac{1}{R_0} \left[\frac{\epsilon}{q} \sin \theta + N \delta(r, \theta) \sin N \phi + \frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial \delta(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \cos N \phi \right] \\ &\simeq \frac{N \delta(r, \theta)}{R_0} [\alpha(r, \theta) \sin \theta + \sin N \phi],\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where $\alpha(r, \theta) = \epsilon/Nq\delta(r, \theta)$. In Eq. (5) the term $\frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial \delta(r, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$ has been neglected in comparison with the term $N\delta(r, \theta)$. Since the variation in θ over one ripple period, $2\pi/Nq$, is small, the condition for a ripple well to occur, given by $\partial B/\partial S = 0$, is

$$\alpha(r, \theta) |\sin \theta| < 1 \quad (6)$$

When the condition expressed in Eq. (6) is satisfied, the positions of the maxima and minima of the field along the field line are determined by the equation

$$\alpha(r, \theta) \sin \theta + \sin N \phi = 0 \quad (7)$$

The magnetic well depth $\Delta(r, \theta)$ is expressed as the difference between the values of the neighboring maxima and minima and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(r, \theta) &= \frac{B_{\max} - B_{\min}}{B_0} \\ &= 2\delta(r, \theta) \left\{ \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2(r, \theta) \sin^2 \theta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha(r, \theta) [\pi/2 - \sin^{-1}(\alpha(r, \theta) |\sin \theta|)] |\sin \theta| \right\} \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

We should note that Eqs. (5) through (8) are the same set of relations obtained in Refs. 2 and 3 except for the explicit dependence of ripple $\delta(r, \theta)$ on poloidal angle θ , given by Eq. (4), which in fact gives an explicit dependence of θ on $\alpha(r, \theta)$. We can define $\alpha(r, \theta)$ as

$$\alpha(r, \theta) = \frac{\alpha(r)}{g(\theta)} = \alpha(r) e^{\beta \theta^2} \quad (9)$$

with $\alpha(r) = \epsilon / Nq\delta(r)$. Estimates by Stringer² and by Connor and Hastie³ of the reduction in diffusion and ion heat conductivity are obtained by taking account of this reduction in well depth from $2\delta(r)$ to $\Delta(r, \theta)$.

Although this effect is of great importance it neglects the distortion of the ripple wells due to the additional influence of the poloidal angle variation in the field ripple strength. It is obvious that the factor $\delta(r, \theta)$ in front of the expression $\Delta(r, \theta)$ helps to decrease the well depth for a θ close to $\pm\pi$ (inner edge of the torus). The θ

dependence of α helps to eliminate the well completely for θ near $\pm\pi$. It will be shown that the consideration of the poloidal variation in the case of actual magnetic fields significantly reduces the transport losses (by about a factor of about 10 in comparison with the results of Refs. 2 and 3) for $\alpha(r)$ between 0 and 1.5, which is the most important range of $\alpha(r)$ for practical purposes.

3. CRITICAL ENERGIES

The first point to consider is the threshold energy above which particles execute more than one bounce motion in a ripple before being scattered out of the loss region ($v_{\parallel} < \delta^{1/2} v_{\perp}$) associated with the ripples. This energy is defined by setting¹

$$\tau_{\text{scattering}} = \tau_{\text{bounce}}$$

$$\text{or} \quad \delta/\nu = R_0/\delta^{1/2} v N, \quad (10)$$

with ν being the collision frequency and v the particle speed. Taking account of the fact that the collision frequency varies as v^{-3} , this relation, for ions, can be reduced to:

$$E_{\text{th}} \simeq \delta^{-3/4} (R_0/N)^{1/2} [8 \times 10^{-13} n_e Z_{\text{eff}}]^{1/2} \text{ (eV)}. \quad (11)$$

The threshold energy is a factor of $(m_i/m_e)^{1/4}$ larger for electrons. For the present (future) tokamaks, the ion threshold energy is typically on the order of .3 keV (3 keV) at the plasma edge and a factor of about 10^2 (50) larger at the plasma center (see Table 1).

The second point to consider is that when a particle has been trapped in a ripple, its guiding center drifts more or less along a contour of

constant B . Since such contours are not closed within the torus for weak ripples, the particles are not confined unless they are scattered out of the ripple loss region before they can reach the wall. This consideration defines a critical energy, above which a particle will reach the wall if it is trapped in the ripple, determined by¹

$$\tau_{\text{scattering}} = \tau_{\text{drift}} = a/v_{\text{drift}}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$v_{\text{drift}} \cong \frac{cE}{eBR_0} = 10^8 \frac{E(\text{eV})}{B(\text{gauss})R(\text{cm})} \text{ (cm/sec)}.$$

For ions this gives a critical energy (in eV) of

$$E_{\star} \cong [10^{-14} \frac{BR_0 a}{\delta} n_e Z_{\text{eff}}]^{2/5}. \quad (13)$$

The critical energy is a factor of $(m_i/m_e)^{1/5}$ larger for electrons. Again considering a typical present (future) tokamak base case, we can find the critical ion energy to be on the order of 10 keV (50 keV) at the plasma edge and a factor of about 10 (10) greater near the plasma center (see Table 1). We show illustrative values of these two "critical" ion energies for various values of δ in Fig. 1.

With these critical energies we can see that the ripple collisionality regime can be divided into three regions as shown in Fig. 2. The upper collision frequency point ($\nu > \nu N \delta^{3/2}/R$), above which transport losses rapidly diminish to zero, is the point at which the plasma particle temperature decreases below the threshold energy. In the middle range of the collisionality regime ($\rho \nu \delta / Ra < \nu < \nu N \delta^{3/2}/R$ where $\rho = v/\Omega$ is the Larmor radius), transport coefficients scale as ν^{-1} because the

particles are scattered out of the loss region before they can drift completely out of the device. To convey the spirit of simple diffusion estimates, we include in this section only a rough picture of random walk losses. The average step taken by a ripple-trapped particle is

$$(\Delta r)^\delta \sim v_{\text{drift}} \cdot \tau_{\text{eff}}^\delta = v_{\text{drift}} \cdot \frac{\delta}{v}, \quad (14)$$

and that taken by a banana-drift particle is

$$(\Delta r)^{\text{BD}} \sim v_{\text{BD}} \cdot \tau_{\text{eff}}^\epsilon = (v_{\text{drift}} N \delta) \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{v}. \quad (15)$$

The frequency with which such steps can be taken is v/δ and v/ϵ respectively. The fraction of particles for these processes is $\sqrt{\delta}$ and $\sqrt{\epsilon}$; so that

$$D^\delta \sim [(\Delta r)^\delta]^2 (v/\delta) \delta^{1/2} \sim \frac{\delta^{3/2} v_{\text{drift}}^2}{v} \quad (16)$$

and

$$D^{\text{BD}} \sim [(\Delta r)^{\text{BD}}]^2 (v/\epsilon) \epsilon^{1/2} \sim \epsilon^{3/2} \delta^2 N^2 \frac{v_{\text{drift}}^2}{v}. \quad (17)$$

Finally, at very low collision frequencies ($\nu < 0.5 \nu \delta / Ra$), the particle temperature has increased above the critical energy, and all particles trapped in the ripples immediately drift to the wall without being scattered out of the loss region. The corresponding diffusion coefficient is

$$D \sim \nu a^2. \quad (18)$$

The variation of diffusion and heat conductivity with collision frequency is illustrated schematically in Fig. 2.

4. CALCULATION OF TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS

The dominant transport modifications come from the enhanced ion heat conduction of the background plasma resulting from the ripple trapping of ions with energies exceeding the threshold energy and from ripple-induced banana-drift. (The effect on electron heat conduction is much smaller because the threshold energy is much higher.) From the estimates of E_{th} and E_* given in the previous section, we can see that for plasma ion temperatures anticipated in present and future tokamaks to be in the range of $E_{th} < T_i < E_*$, the relevant ion thermal conductivity coefficient χ_i is in the middle range of collisionality.

4.1 Ripple-Trapped Diffusion

The expression for the ion heat conductivity coefficient in the above-mentioned regime has been derived by Stringer² and by Connor and Hastie³:

$$\chi_i^\delta = 46.5 \frac{\delta^{3/2} G(\alpha, \beta)}{v_{ii}} v_{drift}^2, \quad (19)$$

where

$$G(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \left[\frac{\Delta(r, \theta)}{2\delta(r)} \right]^{3/2} \sin^2 \theta \, d\theta \quad (20)$$

Using Eq. (8) in Eq. (20),

$$G(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_c d\theta \sin^2 \theta [g(\theta)]^{3/2} \left[\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2(r) \sin^2 \theta / g^2(\theta)} - \frac{\alpha(r)}{g(\theta)} |\sin \theta| \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{\alpha(r)}{g(\theta)} |\sin \theta| \right] \right\} \right]^{3/2} \quad (21)$$

with

$$\int_c = \int_0^{\theta_1} + \int_{\theta_2}^{\pi}$$

where θ_1 and θ_2 are the zeros of the term under the square root in square brackets. The computed value of $G(\alpha, \beta)$ for different β values is plotted against $\alpha(r)$ in Fig. 3. Comparison with the correction factors $I(\alpha)$ obtained by Stringer² and $G(\alpha)$ obtained by Connor and Hastie,³ shows that where $\beta = 0$, $G(\alpha, \beta) \ll I(\alpha)$ or $G(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha(r)$, and that $G(\alpha, \beta) \sim I(\alpha)/10$ for most of the range of interest of $\alpha(r)$. Thus the diffusion estimates of Refs. 2 and 3 are significant overestimates.

4.2 Banana-Drift Diffusion

To compute the banana guiding center motion, we use the conservation of J :

$$J = \oint v_{\parallel} dl = \int dl [2(E - \mu B)]^{1/2} \quad (22)$$

Using Eq. (4) in Eq. (2), we have

$$B_r \cong -\frac{\delta}{h} \frac{B_0 N}{n+2} \frac{r}{R_0} \sin N \phi. \quad (23)$$

The line of force is then defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{d\theta} &= r \frac{B_r}{B_\theta} \cong -\frac{rq}{h} \delta(r, \theta) \frac{N}{n+2} \sin N \phi; \\ \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} &= \frac{r}{R} \frac{B_\phi}{B_\theta} = \frac{q}{h} (1 - \delta(r, \theta) \cos N \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

To the lowest order, $\phi = q\theta + \tau$, where τ is the initial position in ϕ , and

$$r = r_0 \left[1 - q \delta(r) \frac{N}{n+2} \int_0^\theta g(\theta) \sin (Nq\theta + N\tau) d\theta \right]$$

Thus we have

$$J = J_0 + J_1 \left[\frac{\delta(r, \theta)}{g(\theta)} \right] = J_0 + J_1 \delta(r) \quad (25)$$

where

$$J_0 = 4(\mu B_0 \epsilon)^{1/2} R_0 q \int_0^{\theta_0} d\theta (M - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})^{1/2}$$

$$J_1 = 4R_0 q (\mu B_0 \epsilon)^{1/2} \cos N\tau I$$

$$I = \int_0^{\theta_0} d\theta \left\{ -[hg(\theta) \cos Nq\theta + \frac{QNq}{n+2} \int_0^{\theta} g(\theta) \sin Nq\theta d\theta] \right.$$

$$\left. \cdot (M - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})^{1/2} + \frac{hg(\theta) \cos Nq}{4 \epsilon (M - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})^{1/2}} \right\}$$

$$M = [E - \mu B_0 (1 - \epsilon)] / 2\mu B_0 \epsilon$$

$$\theta_0 = 2 \sin^{-1} \sqrt{M}$$

$$Q = d \ln q / d \ln r$$

To evaluate I, we first look at the term with Q in I:

$$\int_0^{\theta} g(\theta) \sin Nq\theta d\theta = \text{Im} \int_0^{\theta} \exp(-\beta\theta^2 + I Nq\theta) d\theta$$

$$= \text{Im} \{ 1/2 (\pi/\beta)^{1/2} \exp[-\frac{(Nq)^2}{4\beta}]$$

$$\times [\text{erf}(\beta^{1/2}\theta - \frac{iNq}{2\beta^{1/2}}) - \text{erf}(-\frac{iNq}{2\beta^{1/2}})] \quad (26)$$

Since $|\beta^{1/2}\theta - \frac{iNq}{2\beta^{1/2}}| \gg 1$, we can use the asymptotic form for $\text{erf}(z)$ to obtain

$$\int_0^\theta g(\theta) \sin Nq \approx \frac{1}{Nq} - \frac{e^{-\beta\theta^2}}{4\beta^2\theta^2 + (Nq)^2} [\beta\theta \sin Nq\theta + Nq \cos Nq\theta] \quad (27)$$

Since $Nq \gg 1$, all the integrals in I with a factor $\cos nq\theta$ or $\sin Nq\theta$ must be of order $1/Nq$, so we have

$$I = -\frac{Q}{n+2} \int_0^{\theta_0} d\theta (M - \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2})^{1/2} \quad (28)$$

We note that this result is the same as if $g(\theta) = 1$, $\beta = 0$. Hence we conclude that the poloidal variation in $\delta(r, \theta)$ does not significantly affect the banana guiding center motion to the lowest order in $1/Nq$.

In order to calculate the particle diffusion due to the banana drift effect, we follow the derivation in Krommes and Rutherford⁶ to obtain

$$\Gamma_\psi = -\frac{1}{R_0 q} \left[\frac{B_0^2}{\Omega} \right]^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\eta}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty dE \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \psi} \times \int_{\mu_0}^{\mu_{\max}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu J} \left(\int_{\mu_{\max}}^\mu d\mu \frac{\partial J}{\partial \eta} \right)^2, \quad (29)$$

where we represent the magnetic field as

$$\underline{B} = \underline{\nabla} \psi \times \underline{\nabla} \eta \quad (30)$$

and employ (ψ, η, ϕ) as co-ordinates. To proceed, we need explicit expressions for ψ and η . Let

$$\eta = q\theta - \phi ,$$

$$\eta = -\tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{B} &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \hat{r} \times \underline{\nabla} (q\theta - \phi) \\ &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \hat{r} \times \left(q \frac{1}{r} \hat{\theta} - \frac{1}{R} \hat{\phi} \right) \\ &\simeq \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \frac{q}{r} (\hat{\phi} + \theta \hat{\theta}) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

In lowest order ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \frac{q}{r} &= \frac{B_0}{1 + \epsilon \cos \theta} \simeq B_0 \\ \psi &\simeq B_0 \int_0^r \frac{r}{q} dr \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

We note that

$$\Gamma_r = \frac{\partial r}{\partial \psi} \Gamma_\psi . \quad (33)$$

The ion particle flux is then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ir} &= - (2/\pi)^{3/2} \epsilon^{3/2} q^2 N^2 \delta^2(r) (cT_i/eBR)^2 N_i \gamma_0 H \\ &\cdot \left[\frac{N_i}{N_i} + \frac{e\psi}{T_i} + \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} - \frac{3}{2} \right] \frac{T_i}{T_i} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In a completely analogous fashion, the ion heat flux is

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ir} &= - (2/\pi)^{3/2} \epsilon^{3/2} q^2 N^2 \delta^2(r) (cT_i/eBR)^2 T_i N_i \gamma_1 H \\ &\cdot \left[\frac{N_i}{N_i} + \frac{e\phi}{T_i} + \left[\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} - \frac{3}{2} \right] \frac{T_i}{T_i} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0 &= \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{5/2}}{v} e^{-x} \\ \gamma_1 &= \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{7/2}}{v} e^{-x} \\ \gamma_2 &= \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{9/2}}{v} e^{-x}\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}v &= \frac{\pi e^4 N_i \ln \Lambda}{\sqrt{2m_i} T_i^{3/2}} \frac{1}{x^{5/2}} [2 (x/\pi)^{1/2} e^{-x} + (2x - 1) E(\sqrt{x})] \\ &\cong .94 v_{ii} \frac{1}{x^{5/2}} [2 (x/\pi)^{1/2} e^{-x} + (2x - 1) E(\sqrt{x})]\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}H &= \frac{4Q^2}{(n+2)^2} \int_0^1 \frac{dM}{(2\epsilon M + 1 - \epsilon)^{1/2}} [E(M) - (1-M) K(M)]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^M \frac{dM [E(M) - (1-M) K(M)]}{(2\epsilon M + 1 - \epsilon)^{5/2}} \right]^2\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

with

$$v_{ii} = \frac{4\sqrt{\pi} e^4 N_i \ln \Lambda}{3\sqrt{m_i} T_i^{3/2}}$$

$E(M)$ and $K(M)$ are the complete elliptic integrals. Using Eq. (37) in Eq. (36) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_0 &= \frac{13.7081}{0.94v_{ii}} = 14.583/v_{ii} \\
\gamma_1 &= \frac{66.7142}{0.94v_{ii}} = 70.974/v_{ii} \\
\gamma_2 &= \frac{393.045}{0.94v_{ii}} = 418.139/v_{ii}
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Charge neutrality,

$$\frac{N_i'}{N_i} + \frac{e\phi'}{T_i} + \left[\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} - \frac{3}{2} \right] \frac{T_i'}{T_i} = 0,$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{ir} &= - (2/\pi)^{3/2} \epsilon^{3/2} q^2 N^2 \delta^2(r) (cT_i/eBR)^2 N_i T_i' \gamma_1 \left[\frac{\gamma_2}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0} \right] H \\
&= 36.94 \epsilon^{3/2} q^2 N^2 \delta^2(r) \frac{v_{drift}^2}{v_{ii}} N_i T_i' H
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The integration in Eq. (38) has been carried out numerically for various values of ϵ . The integral is found to have a numerical value on the order of 0.05176. The ion heat conductivity coefficient is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_i^{BD} &= 36.94 \epsilon^{3/2} \delta^2(r) q^2 N^2 \frac{v_{drift}^2}{v_{ii}} H \\
&= 9.23 \epsilon^{3/2} \delta^2(r) \frac{N^2}{(n+2)^2} \frac{v_{drift}^2}{v_{ii}} q^2 Q^2
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

5. NUMERICAL EVALUATION OF THE ION HEAT CONDUCTION LOSSES

The ion heat conduction coefficients for the two mechanisms, ripple-trapped (δ) and banana-drift (BD), have been calculated for the parameters typical of the ORNL EPR and compared to the total heat conduction loss due to the neoclassical (NC), trapped particle modes [trapped ion mode (TIM) and trapped electron mode (TEM) 1 or 2] and pseudoclassical (PS) coefficients currently used in the plasma transport simulation codes. The expressions used in the calculations are given below for $\bar{T}_e \simeq \bar{T}_i$.

$$\chi_i^{NC} \simeq \epsilon^{3/2} q^2 \rho_e^2 v_{ei} (m_i/m_e)^{1/2}$$

$$\chi_e^{TIM} = \chi_i^{TIM} \simeq \frac{\epsilon^{5/2}}{4} \frac{v_{drift}^2}{v_{ei}} (R/r_n)^2$$

$$\chi_e^{TEM_1} \simeq 3 \epsilon^{3/2} \frac{v_{drift}^2}{v_{ei}} (R^2/r_n r_T), \quad \chi_e^{TEM_2} \simeq C_2 (q^2/\epsilon^2) \rho_e^2 v_{ei}$$

$$\chi_e^{PS} \simeq C_0 (q^2/\epsilon^2) \rho_e^2 v_{ei}$$

$$\chi_i^{\delta(r)} = 46.5 \frac{\delta^{3/2} G(\alpha, \beta=0)}{v_{ei}} (m_i/m_e)^{1/2} v_{drift}^2$$

$$\chi_i^{\delta(r,\theta)} = 46.5 \frac{\delta^{3/2} G(\alpha, \beta)}{v_{ei}} (m_i/m_e)^{1/2} v_{drift}^2$$

$$\chi_i^{BD} = 9.23 \epsilon^{3/2} \delta^2 \frac{N^2}{(n+2)^2} \frac{v_{drift}^2}{v_{ei}} (m_i/m_e)^{1/2} q^2 Q^2$$

$$\chi_i = \chi_i^{NC} + \chi_i^{TIM}$$

$$\chi_e = \chi_e^{TIM} + \chi_e^{PS} + \min \chi_e^{TIM_{1or2}}$$

where $r_n = [1/n \, dn/dr]^{-1}$, $r_T = [1/T \, dT/dr]^{-1}$, $C_0 \sim 4 - 10$,
 $C_2 \simeq 0.06 \, \epsilon^{1/2} (d \ln T / d \ln n) (m_i / m_e) (B_\theta / \theta B_T)$, $\theta = r_n / L_s$, $L_s^{-1} \simeq (r / R_0 q^2)$
 $(\partial q / \partial r)$, ρ_e is the electron Larmor radius in the toroidal field,
 $q = \epsilon B_T / B_\theta$, $v_{ei} = 1.6 \times 10^4 \langle Z \rangle (n_0 / 10^{13}) / T^{3/2} (\text{keV})$.

In the ORNL EPR design, δ takes on its maximum value of about 2.2% at the outer edge of the plasma column. Figure 4 shows the distribution of the field ripple over the cross section of the ORNL EPR. Equation (4) satisfactorily approximates the field in the cross section of plasmas, thus,

$$\delta(r, \theta) = [1.2 \times 10^{-4} + 2.2 \times 10^{-2} (r/a)^4] \exp [-(2.8\theta/\pi)^2]$$

with $n = 4$, $N = 20$, $\delta_a = 2.2 \times 10^{-2}$, $\delta_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$, $\beta = (2.8/\pi)^2 \simeq 0.794$.

In the calculations, a parabolic temperature profile, $T = T_0(1 - r^2/a^2)$, has been assumed with $T \sim n^2$, $n = n_0(1 - r^2/a^2)^{1/2}$, and the safety factor q is calculated assuming a current density profile that varies like $T^{3/2}$. The results are shown in Fig. 5. Although the heat conduction coefficients associated with the ripple trapping and banana-drift are comparable to those calculated from the ion neoclassical and electron pseudoclassical coefficients, the trapped-ion and trapped-electron mode coefficients are about 20 times larger at their peaks, if poloidal angle effects are ignored ($\beta = 0$). The inclusion of poloidal angle effects reduces the losses associated with ripple trapping by about a factor of 10 to 15, but does not significantly change the banana-drift losses. The overall enhanced ion heat loss due to ripples is found to have a negligible effect on plasma confinement for the

ORNL-EPR design. However, if one assumes better confinement — for example, if ignition is to be achieved at a higher toroidal field (say 70-85 kG) — energy losses must be no larger than one-tenth of the trapped particle losses. Even under this condition, the enhanced heat losses due to the 2.2% edge field ripple are less than 10% of that due to trapped particle instabilities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank D. G. McAlees and M. Roberts for stimulating this detailed examination for the EPR, and T. Uckan for carrying out the numerical integrations.

Table 1. Typical Parameters

	ORMAK Upgrade	ORNL EPR
Major radius (cm)	90	675
Minor radius (cm)	30	225
Number of coils	24	20
δ (a)	4.2%	2.2%
δ (0)	0.009%	0.012%
n_0 ($\#/\text{cm}^3$)	7×10^{13}	8×10^{13}
T_i (keV)	2 - 3	9 - 10
B_{T0} (KG)	45	48
$q(a)$	3 - 4	2.5
Z_{eff}	4	2
$E_{th}(a)$ (keV)	0.3	3
$E_{th}(0)$ (keV)	30	150
$E_*(a)$ (keV)	10	48
$E_*(0)$ (keV)	100	380

REFERENCES

1. N. A. Uckan, K. T. Tsang, and J. D. Callen, "Toroidal Field Ripple Effects in Large Tokamaks," Sixth Symposium on Engineering Problems of Fusion Research (IEEE), San Diego, 1975 (proceedings to be published).
2. T. E. Stringer, Nucl. Fusion 12, 289 (1972).
3. J. W. Connor and R. J. Hastie, Nucl. Fusion 13, 221 (1973).
4. J. N. Davidson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 20, 1240 (1975).
5. M. Roberts and E. S. Bettis, (eds.), "Oak Ridge Tokamak EPR Study, Reference Design," ORNL/TM-5042 (November 1975)
6. J. A. Krommes and P. H. Rutherford, Nucl. Fusion 14, 695 (1974).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. δ versus "critical" ion energies.
- Fig. 2. Variation of diffusion coefficient D and heat conductivity χ with collision frequency ν .
- Fig. 3. $G(\alpha, \beta)$ versus α .
- Fig. 4. Constant ripple contours of ORNL EPR.
- Fig. 5. Heat conduction coefficients versus plasma radius for the ORNL EPR design parameters.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

ORNL/TM-5438

- 1-3. Lab Records
- 4. Lab Records - RC
- 5. Y-12 Document Reference
- 6-7. Central Research Library
- 8. Thermonuclear Library
- 9. ORNL Patent Office
- 10. J. D. Callen
- 11. J. F. Clarke
- 12. R. A. Dory
- 13. G. G. Kelley
- 14. D. G. McAlees
- 15. O. B. Morgan
- 16. M. W. Rosenthal
- 17-43. N. A. Uckan

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 44. Plasma Physics Library, Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton Univ., Forrestal Campus, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08540
- 45. Controlled Thermonuclear Research Library, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
- 46. Q Division Library, c/o F. L. Ribe, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87544
- 47. Controlled Thermonuclear Research Library, c/o Weston M. Stacey, Jr., Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439
- 48. CTR Computer Center, c/o Dr. John Killeen, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
- 49. Librarian, Culham Laboratory, U.K. Atomic Energy Authority, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14, 3DB, United Kingdom
- 50. Ruth Lengye, Bibliothek, Max Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik, 8046 Garching bei München, Federal Republic of Germany
- 51. Library, Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasma, 21 Avenue des Bains, 1007, Lausanne, Switzerland
- 52. A. M. Dupas, Documentation S.I.G.N., Department de La Physique du Plasma, Et de La Fusion Contrôlée, Association EURATOM-CEA, Sur La Fusion, Centre d'Etudes Nucleaires, BP 85 Centre Du TRI 38041 Grenoble Cedex (France)
- 53. Bibliotheque, Service du Confinement des Plasmas, C.E.A., B.P. No. 6, 92, Fontenay-aux-Roses (Seine) France

54. Library, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy
55. Library, Laboratorio Gas Ionizati, Frascati, Italy
56. V. E. Ivanov, Physical-Technical Institute of the Ukranian Academy of Sciences, Sukhumi, U.S.S.R.
57. M. S. Rabinovich, Lebedev Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, U.S.S.R.
58. Thermonuclear Laboratory, Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, 46 Ulitsa Kurchatova, P.O. Box 3402, Moscow, U.S.S.R.
59. Library, Institute for Plasma Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan 464
60. Library, FOM-Institut voor Plasma-Fysica, Rijnhuizen, Jutphaas, Netherlands
61. Plasma Physics Group, Department of Engineering Physics, Australian National University, P.O. Box 4, Canberra A.C.T. 2600 Australia
62. Thermonuclear Library, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Naka, Ibaraki, Japan
63. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Dieter J. Sigmar, Room 37-391, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139
64. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. D. W. Kerst, Dept. of Physics, Sterling Hall, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706
65. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. I. B. Bernstein, Yale Univ., New Haven, CT 06510
66. Center for Plasma Physics and Thermonuclear Research, Univ. of Texas, Physics Building 330, Austin, TX 78712
67. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. B. D. Fried, Physics Dept., Univ. of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024
68. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. David C. Montgomery, Physics & Astronomy Dept., Univ. of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52240
69. Magneto-Fluid-Dynamics Library, Courant Inst. of Math. Sci., New York Univ., 251 Mercer St., New York, NY 10012
70. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Allan N. Kaufman, Physics Dept., Univ. of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
71. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. W. B. Thompson, Physics Dept., Univ. of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037.

72. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Alvin W. Trivelpiece, Dept. of Physics & Astronomy, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
73. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. T. Kammash, 103 Research Admin. Bldg., N. Campus, Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105
74. CTR Reading Room, c/o Dr. Ravi N. Sudan, Phillips Hall, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY 14850
75. Prof. Marshall N. Rosenbluth, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540
76. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. R. Gross, Plasma Research Lab., Columbia Univ., New York, NY 10027
77. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Roy Gould, California Inst. of Tech., Pasadena, CA 91103
78. Dr. Nicholas A. Krall, Science Applications, Inc., P.O. Box 2354, 1200 Prospect St., La Jolla, CA 92037
79. CTR Reading Room, c/o Dr. Jay P. Boris, Plasma Physics, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20390
80. CTR Library, General Atomic Co., P.O. Box 81608, San Diego, CA 92138
81. CTR Library, c/o Dr. Alan F. Haught, United Technologies Research Labs, East Hartford, CT 06108
82. Dr. Robert E. Price, Division of Controlled Thermonuclear Research, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, DC 20545
83. Dr. Bennett Miller, Division of Controlled Thermonuclear Research, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, DC 20545
84. Dr. Arthur Sleeper, Division of Controlled Thermonuclear Research, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, DC 20545
85. Dr. Walter Sadowski, Division of Controlled Thermonuclear Research, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, DC 20545
86. Dr. D. H. Priester, Division of Controlled Thermonuclear Research, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, DC 20545
87. Dr. Paul H. Rutherford, Princeton Plasma Physics Lab., Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ 08540

88. Dr. L. D. Pearlstein, L-388, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
89. Dr. J. P. Friedberg, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos NM 87544
90. Dr. David W. Ross, Center for Plasma Physics & Thermonuclear Research, Dept. of Physics, Univ. of Texas, Austin, TX 78712
91. Dr. Gareth E. Guest, General Atomic Co., P.O. Box 81608, San Diego, CA 92138
92. Dr. Claude Mercier, Service du Theorie des Plasmas, Centre d'Etudes Nucleaires, Fontenay-aux-Roses (Seine) France
93. Dr. J. B. Taylor, Culham Laboratory, UKAEA Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom
94. Dr. D. Pfirsch, Institute for Plasma Physics, 8046 Garching bei München, Federal Republic of Germany
95. Dr. V. D. Shafranov, I. V. Kurchatov Inst. of Atomic Energy, 46 Ulitsa Kurchatova, P.O. Box 3402, Moscow, U.S.S.R.
96. Dr. Harold Grad, Courant Inst. of Math. Sci., New York Univ., 251 Mercer St., New York, NY 10012
97. Dr. J. G. Cordey, Culham Laboratory, UKAEA, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14, 3DB, United Kingdom
98. Dr. David Baldwin, L-388, Lawrence Livermore Lab., P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
99. Prof. Bruno Coppi, Dept. of Physics, M.I.T., Cambridge, MA 02139
100. Dr. Harold P. Furth, Princeton Plasma Physics Lab., Princeton Univ., P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08540
101. Research & Technical Support Div., ORO, ERDA
- 102-128. Tech. Information Center, ERDA, ORO