The logo consists of the letters 'E', 'S', and 'D' in a stylized, outlined font. The 'E' and 'S' are connected, and the 'D' is separate. The letters are white and set against a background of vertical black lines.

**ULTRAVIOLET RAMAN SPECTRAL SIGNATURE ACQUISITION:
UV RAMAN SPECTRAL FINGERPRINTS**

A. J. SEDLACEK III AND C. FINFROCK

SEPTEMBER 2001

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES DEPARTMENT
BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY
UPTON, LONG ISLAND, NEW YORK 11973

The logo features the letters 'BNL' stacked above 'BSA' in a stylized, outlined font. The letters are white and set against a background of vertical black lines.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at
<http://www.doe.gov/bridge>

Available to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors in paper from-
U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831
(423) 576-8401

Available to the public from-
U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22131
(703) 487-4650

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

ULTRAVIOLET RAMAN SPECTRAL SIGNATURE ACQUISITION:
UV RAMAN SPECTRAL FINGERPRINTS

A. J. Sedlacek III and C. Finfrock
Environmental Sciences Department
Brookhaven National Laboratory
Upton, NY 11973-5000

September 2001

Year End Report

submitted to
Edgewood Chemical Biological Center (ECBC)
in support of the Raman spectroscopy research effort

Executive Summary:

As a member of the science-support part of the ITT-lead LISA development program, BNL is tasked with the acquisition of UV Raman spectral fingerprints and associated scattering cross-sections for those chemicals-of-interest to the program's sponsor. In support of this role, the present report contains the first installment of UV Raman spectral fingerprint data on the initial subset of chemicals. Because of the unique nature associated with the acquisition of spectral fingerprints for use in spectral pattern matching algorithms (i.e., CLS, PLS, ANN) great care has been undertaken to maximize the signal-to-noise and to minimize unnecessary spectral subtractions, in an effort to provide the highest quality spectral fingerprints.

This report is divided into 4 sections. The first is an Experimental section that outlines how the Raman spectra are performed. This is then followed by a section on Sample Handling. Following this, the spectral fingerprints are presented in the Results section where the data reduction process is outlined. Finally, a Photographs section is included.

Experimental Section:

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus used to measure Raman cross sections. A Spectra-Physics MOPO-730 system generates continuously tunable radiation from 410 nm to 2000 nm. The MOPO-730 is a BBO-based optical parametric oscillator (OPO) pumped by the third harmonic (355 nm) of a 30 Hz Nd:YAG laser. The UV light (210–400 nm) is obtained by doubling either the signal or the idler beam from the MOPO. The pulse width of the UV light is 3–4 ns, and the linewidth is approximately 0.3 cm^{-1} . Photographs of the Raman system can be found at the end of this report.

Figure 1: Schematic of Experimental Setup Used to Collect UV Raman Signatures

A 254 mm focal length cylindrical lens focuses the excitation light into the sample. The UV energy is 0.5 mJ/pulse or less, and the spot size at the sample is approximately 0.733 mm. The scattered light is collected at 180° with respect to the incident UV beam by a 50.8 mm diameter spherical lens (f number=1). The angle between the UV beam and the surface of the sample stream is 45° to reduce back reflections from the cell walls. Another 50.8 mm diameter spherical lens focuses the scattered light into the prism predisperser. The f number of the second lens matches the f number of the prism predisperser and spectrometer for

maximum throughput of the scattered light. The predisperser works as a sharp-cut bandpass filter with high throughput (40%–50%) and negligible aberration.

The spectrometer is a 1.26 m single stage monochromator (Spex Model 1269) with an 1800 grooves/mm grating. The width of the entrance slit in the spectrometer is controlled to reject elastically scattered laser light, while the resolution of Raman spectra is governed by the slit width of the predisperser. With this combination, we are able to measure Raman shifts of less than 200 cm^{-1} in deep UV with negligible Rayleigh background. A crystalline quartz wedge polarization scrambler in front of the entrance slit of the predisperser depolarizes the polarized Raman signal before it enters the spectrometer.

The optical throughput of both the predisperser and the spectrometer has been measured at various wavelengths in the UV using a NIST standard D_2 lamp. The spectra of a standard Hg lamp and known chemicals serve as wavelength calibrations for the predisperser and the monochromator.

The Raman spectra are captured by a gated, intensified CCD detector (Oriel Instaspec V, 1024X256 pixel array, 25 mm (and 18 mm) window width) mounted at the exit port of the spectrometer. The CCD chip is cooled to $-25\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($-35\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$) to yield a dark count of less than 2×10^{-5} counts $\text{element}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$. The adjustable gain of the intensifier is set to ~ 50 counts/photoelectron (150 counts/photoelectron) for all measurements. The Raman spectra are normalized to the measured response uniformity of the ICCD array.

Sample Handling

Preparation and handling of samples for analysis by UV Raman spectroscopy was conducted using the procedures, protocols and methods described below. Chemicals used in the analysis were obtained from reputable commercial research chemical suppliers. They were obtained in the highest purity commonly available to the research community. Certificates of analysis were obtained from the vendor for virtually all of the chemicals used in this project. Chemical purity was verified in-house by gas chromatography (HP 5890 Series 2 chromatograph outfitted with a $25\text{ m} \times 0.32\text{ mm} \times 0.52\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ FFAP capillary column) for all tested liquids except SF-96 and HPLC grade water. In order to preserve the purity of the chemicals tested, only certain container and sample handling materials were permitted. Acceptable sample handling materials were glass, Teflon, PFA (perfluoro (alkoxyalkane) copolymer), PEEK (polyaryl ether ether ketone) and stainless steel, all of which were subjected to the following cleaning protocol. All materials were ultrasonically cleaned in warm distilled water and ultrasonic cleaner detergent solution for a minimum of fifteen minutes. The materials were then rinsed with copious amounts of distilled water and then rinsed with spectroscopic grade methanol, followed by drying in an 80°C glassware-drying oven. The only departure from this protocol was the method for cleaning the magnetic drive pumps used with the windowless flow cell. As these pumps have interior spaces that are not easily accessible for cleaning, sufficient pumps were obtained to allow compatible chemicals to have dedicated pumps. In the event that a pump did require cleaning, the following method was applied. The pump was allowed to pump itself dry into a waste vessel. Several aliquots of appropriate solvents were cycled through the pumps alternated with drying of the interior with dry filtered compressed air. This was done over the course of several hours. The final rinse of a pump was with a solvent of high vapor pressure, such as cyclohexane, which was then easily removed by flowing dry filtered compressed air through the pump for several hours while it was slowly rotating.

Some samples required dilution prior to analysis. Dilutions, when required, were prepared gravimetrically using a Mettler AE 100 or a Mettler SB 8001 electronic balance, as dictated by the amount of sample being prepared. Concentrations are expressed in terms of weight percent, in other words, mass of minor component divided by total mass, times 100.

In order to address potential reabsorption, UV/VIS absorption spectra of all samples were collected with a Perkin-Elmer 320 spectrophotometer. In addition to this, NIR Raman spectra were collected using a Bruker IFS-66 FT spectrometer outfitted with a NIR Raman attachment (FRA-106).

Two sample-handling systems were developed to facilitate liquid sample exposure to the UV Raman spectroscopy system. A closed system using quartz flow cells and a syringe pump was developed, as well as a “windowless” system consisting of an open flow cell and small magnetic drive chemical pumps. The closed system consisted of a Harvard Apparatus “33” syringe pump, an array of ten milliliter glass syringes, a set of four way control valves, a collection of quartz flow cells of varying path length, a set of glass sample reservoirs, required connecting tubing, and a positioning system to locate the cells in the beam path. The syringe pump was programmed to alternately draw and push the sample between the reservoir and syringe body via the connecting tubing and the quartz cell. This system provided a completely closed environment for the sample with good control of the flow rate and path length. Evaporation of samples with high vapor pressure was well suppressed in this system. A disadvantage of this system was the spectroscopic contribution of the quartz cells containing the samples. The “windowless” sample introduction system circumvented this problem. The “windowless” system consisted of an array of magnetic drive chemical pumps from Micropump® Corp., an array of glass sample reservoirs, appropriate connecting tubing, and a specially fabricated Teflon and glass cell. The upper member of the cell is machined from Teflon and is a hollow cylinder with a narrow slot milled along the diameter of the end. At each end of the slot, small glass rods are inserted into drilled holes that terminate the ends of the slot. This is mounted in a fixture so that the slot and glass rods point vertically downward. Immediately below the glass rods is a Teflon well that has a PFA compression fitting below for attachment of a glass sample reservoir. The pump is connected to draw sample from the bottom of the reservoir and deliver it to the interior of the upper Teflon member. The sample flows out of the slot and wets the glass rods, forming a falling film or sheet of sample, which is collected back into the reservoir by the well. This assembly may be mounted to the same positioning system as the quartz cells described above, and is typically positioned so that the beam passes through the falling film formed between the glass rods. This system has the advantage of eliminating any contribution to the spectrum by cell materials in the beam path, but the flow rate and sample film characteristics are somewhat dictated by the sample’s surface tension and wetting characteristics.

Solid samples were fixed to the positioning system used for the cells above by appropriate clamps, and positioned in the beam manually.

Results:

As an illustrative example of the data reduction process, we will use cyclohexane. Shown in Figure 2 are the 4 raw spectral regions collected using the system described above. These Spectra are then corrected for ICCD pixel-to-pixel variation, the instrumentation response function and ICCD dark current. Following these corrections the individual spectra are spliced together to form the desired spectral fingerprint. Once completed, the spectral features’ (in the present case the cyclohexane modes) pixel locations are recorded as a function of Raman shift. Figure 3 shows the calibration for our example. After application of this calibration curve, the resulting spectrum is then corrected for instrumentation throughput and, if necessary, signal reabsorption as a function of Raman shift. Shown in Figure 4 is the final UV Raman spectrum for cyclohexane. Also shown in Figure 4 is the Raman spectrum collected using the Bruker FTS-66 NIR Raman spectrometer. As can be clearly seen, the UV spectrum correlates very well with the spectrum collected in the NIR. It should also be pointed out that use of the windowless flow cell enables acquisition of Raman spectral features well below 500 cm^{-1} , as evidenced by the clear observation of the 382.7 and 425.2 cm^{-1} modes of cyclohexane.

Figure 2: Uncorrected Individual Spectral Slices for Cyclohexane.

Figure 3: Wavenumber to ICCD Pixel Number Calibration

Figure 4: UV Raman spectrum of neat cyclohexane. Upper trace is the NIR Raman spectrum of cyclohexane for comparison purposes.

Summary of Chemical Properties and Absorbance Cross-Sections

Chemical Species	M.W.	Density (g/cc)	UV Absorbance Cross-section at 248 nm (cm ² /molecule) [†]
acetonitrile	41.05	0.786	NA [§]
cyclohexane	84.16	0.779	NA
DeM	160.17	1.055	$1.25 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-20}$
MeS	152.1	1.174	$7.75 \pm 0.11 \times 10^{-18}$
n-hexane	86.18	0.6603	NA
PIBM	300,000	n/a	$4.89 \pm 0.17 \times 10^{-16}$
SF96	13,700	0.973	$1.24 \pm 0.01 \times 10^{-20}$
water	18.01	1	NA

[§]NA: no absorbance

[†] Quoted cross-section error bars are 2- σ

The spectra below are in alphabetical order. Quoted spectral locations were generated by curve fitting (a series of Voigt lineshapes) to a given Raman spectrum. Data reduction was accomplished using Igor Pro.

Acetonitrile

Raman Positions (cm ⁻¹)
377.407
917.766
1373.94
1437.72
2251.55
2292.25
2731.98
2939.96
3000.16

Concrete

Raman Shift (cm^{-1})
1052.82
1391.64

Featureless.

Cyclohexane

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	
382.675	2695
425.219	2797.68
799.615	2850.44
1026.5	2886.06
1156.14	2921.58
1265.31	2935.09
1345.23	
1465.62	
1442.69	
2631.3	
2662.33	

Diethyl Malonate (DEM)

Raman Shift (cm^{-1})		
340.368	946.646	1416.34
376.239	1031.13	1454.71
568.057	1098.84	1629.71
595.222	1114.06	1746.35
678.746	1151.89	2725.11
785.627	1271.23	2773.66
845.176	1293.22	2873.33
863.538	1368.27	2898.98
931.958	1395.83	2934.98

The UV Raman spectrum of DeM is unique in that a new peak is readily observable (1629.7 cm^{-1}) under 248 nm illumination. Such features can be observed in RR depending upon the interactions between the ground state and an upper electronic state.

Methyl Salicylate (MeS) in Acetonitrile: 0.15% (w/w)

Raman Shift (cm^{-1})	
377.675	1409.02
451.145	1412.23
560.164	1443.49
806.998	1480.41
849.67	1602.8
918.706	1615.4
1033.09	1679.74
1193.59	2252.91
1250.4	2293.8
1333.92	2941.26
1374.45	3002.06

As evidence in the graph above, MeS is undergoing a very large resonance-enhancement at 248 nm.

Methyl Salicylate (MeS) in Water: 0.054% (w/w). Comparison with 0.15% MeS in Acetonitrile

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)
814.772
855.631
1040.86
1200.7
1235.71
1252.22
1339.94
1383.51
1446.75
1487.44
1615.71
1674.15

Comparison to the MeS locations in the acetonitrile reveals that some shifts are taking place. See page 11 and page 13 for MeS in acetonitrile and neat MeS (as measured in with the FT-Raman spectrometer).

Neat Methyl Salicylate (MeS): NIR-FT-Raman

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)		
185.89	1134.7	3092.31
264.957	1155.91	
334.381	1250.4	
355.595	1327.54	
438.519	1335.26	
511.8	1441.32	
561.941	1464.46	
666.078	1583.97	
758.644	1613.93	
808.784	1677.05	
847.354	2852.93	
964.99	2955.34	
1032.49	3024.06	
1061.41	3054.11	
1090.34	3071.39	

The very large absorption cross-section for MeS precluded acquisition of a UV-Raman spectra from a neat sample.

n-Hexane

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	
311.93	1165.07
329.545	1356.45
367.367	1451.39
400.116	2654.08
454.314	2729.46
818.937	2855.12
866.99	2873.31
892.993	2894.24
1004.11	2914.42
1038.08	2935.43
1070.38	2960.94
1080.02	
1138.56	

Polyisobutyl Methacrylate: (PIBM);

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	
261.187	1337.03
384.043	1370.07
430.571	1390.28
544.625	1446.43
599.678	1600.11
796.694	1725.82
829.501	1791.09
852.916	2722.11
879.63	2766.42
1461.52	2871.93
940.425	2888.52
965.565	2916.89
993.406	2935.9
1000.64	2961.51
1123.39	2997.64
1130.81	
1178.78	
1238.66	
1248.86	
1299.87	

SF96 Silicone Oil

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)
487.506
705.206
789.66
860.241
1260.73
1414.47
2815.66
2905.26
2965.96

This chemical species is highly desirable as a candidate chemical due to its simple Raman spectrum, minimal absorption and ease of use.

Thickened-DeM (TDeM): [2.99% PIBM]

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)		
331.407	995.339	1747.12
375.283	1026.03	1778.19
425.009	1091.06	2755.81
567.431	1110.14	2872.67
671.519	1176.73	2896.62
780.809	1229.8	2933.89
842.941	1271.38	2974.2
860.374	1451.52	3062.89
927.995	1598.2	
951.115	1616.66	

The weaker Raman signal (relative to neat DEM) observed for TDEM is attributed to the large backscatter signal that accompanied the desired Raman signal. The large backscattered signal has been tentatively ascribed to the polyisobutyl methacrylate. It is estimated that this 300,000 MW “thickener” has a nominal diameter on the order to microns thereby resulting in Mie scattering contributions. It should also be noted that PIBM is also undergoing a significant resonance-enhanced as evidenced by new peaks at 995, 1176, 1598, and 1778 cm⁻¹.

Teflon

Raman Shift (cm^{-1})
386.717
574.028
733.515
1213.53
1296.33
1377.56
2567.3

Water

Raman Shift (cm^{-1})
1633.23
3292.12

Photographs of MOPO-based UV Raman Laboratory

Figure 5: Spectra-Physics GCR 290 pumped MOPO 730 laser system

Figure 6: View inside the SP MOPO 730 head. Top is the Master Oscillator and bottom in the Power Oscillator

Figure 7: McPherson Prism Predisperser (blue) used to suppress unwanted stray light. Following the predisperser the scattered light enters a 1.26 m SPEX single-grating spectrometer and then exits to an array detector (Andor ICCD housed in an Oriel case).

Figure 8: The sample compartment. Four standard quartz flow cells can be readily seen. Each cell is dedicated to a specific chemical thereby eliminating cross-contamination. To the left of the four quartz cells is the windowless flow cell. See Figures 9 and 10 for a more detailed picture of this flow cell.

Figure 9: BNL designed windowless flow cell. This cell eliminates Raman signal contributions from the quartz flow cells.

Figure 10: Windowless flow cell. Using a red food dye, operation of the windowless flow cell can be observed. For more viscous materials (TDEM, SF96), less turbulent structure is observed.

Figure 11: Bruker FT-Raman system used to collect Raman signatures in the NIR, where contributions due to resonance-enhancement are virtually non-existent.

Figure 12: Perkin-Elmer 320 UV/VIS spectrophotometer (foreground) and an ATi Mattson Genesis Series FTIR (background).

Figure13: HP 5890 Series 2 gas chromatograph used to confirm sample purity upon delivery from a supplier and to check for sample degradation during laser Raman experiments.