Neoclassical Transport in an Elliptic Tokamak

K. T. Tsang

MASTER

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

OPERATED BY UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION FOR THE ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in the United States of America. Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161
Price: Printed Copy \$4.00; Microfiche \$3.00

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the Energy Research and Development Administration/United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

Fusion Energy Division
Neoclassical Transport in an Elliptic Tokamak

K. T. Tsang

Date Published - April 1977

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

Prepared by the
OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

NEOCLASSICAL TRANSPORT IN AN ELLIPTIC TOKAMAK^{*}

K. T. Tsang
Oak Ridge National Laboratory
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

ABSTRACT

Neoclassical transport for an elliptic tokamak in all collisional regimes is investigated by the technique of partitioning the velocity space. It is found that in a tokamak of moderate elongation, particle and ion heat confinement times are increased by a factor of σ^2 , where σ is the ratio of vertical minor radius to horizontal minor radius. Ripple diffusion in an elliptic tokamak is also studied. Ion heat conductivity due to ripples is reduced by a factor of approximately σ^2 .

^{*}Research sponsored by the Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is now widely recognized that high β (= plasma pressure/magnetic pressure) operation of any magnetically confined fusion device is a necessary ingredient in the design of an economical fusion system. High β tokamak equilibria have characteristics very different from those usually assumed for low β equilibria, e.g., the magnetic flux surfaces are no longer circular but are elongated in the vertical direction and triangular distortion is also introduced even if the outermost flux surface is kept circular. Usually, triangularity is not important except near the edge of the plasma, because it is a higher order flux surface distortion. For simplicity, we ignore triangularity and assume a system of elliptic flux surfaces as our model for the tokamak equilibrium. This model is fully described in Sec. 2.

The problem of neoclassical transport in an elliptic tokamak is a difficult one. So far, it has only been studied in various distinct collisional regimes.¹⁻³ Rigorous analysis based on the variational principle to calculate transport coefficients as smooth functions of collision frequency has not been carried out and is believed to be so complicated that it is probably not worth the effort. The calculation presented in Sec. 3 is an attempt to avoid this rigorous analysis by applying the technique of partitioning the velocity space⁴ which was recently developed to reproduce standard neoclassical results. Using this method, the transport coefficients for all collision frequencies are obtained by solving the drift kinetic equation in different regions of velocity space and then combining the results. In principle, this technique can be applied with the exact

collision operator. Again, for the sake of simplicity, we assume a Lorentz collision model which restricts our analysis to high Z (or $Z_{\text{eff}} \gg 1$) plasma.

Although our present treatment is somewhat phenomenological, it should be emphasized that the purpose of this work is not to solve the neoclassical transport in high beta equilibria but to bring out the essential physics of neoclassical transport in elliptic tokamaks. The scaling of transport with respect to vertical elongation is obtained in Sec. 3. A physical explanation of this scaling is also given.

Besides the minimum loss neoclassical transport establishes for a tokamak plasma, another reason for studying neoclassical transport is that the ion heat conductivity it gives roughly agrees with experimental observation. However, at low collision frequency, ripple diffusion⁵ dominates over neoclassical diffusion in a symmetric torus for ions. In Sec. 4, ripple diffusion in an elliptic tokamak is considered. Modification of Stringer's result⁵ to account for ellipticity is evaluated.

II. THE EQUILIBRIUM MODEL

In (ψ, χ, ϕ) coordinates, where ψ is the poloidal flux and ϕ is the angle about the symmetry axis, the magnetic field can be written as $\vec{B} = I\vec{\nabla}\phi + \vec{\nabla}\phi \times \vec{\nabla}\psi$. I is a function of ψ only. In low beta situations, $I = RB_\phi$ is approximately constant. In a constant ϕ cross section, R represents the distance from the symmetry axis and z the vertical distance. An elliptic flux surface can be represented by

$$(R - R_0)^2 + z^2/\sigma^2 = \rho^2,$$

or by

$$R - R_0 = \rho \cos \omega,$$

$$z = \sigma \rho \sin \omega,$$

where R_0 designates the position of the magnetic axis, ρ is a flux surface variable, ω is a poloidal angle variable, and σ is the elongation of the ellipse in the z direction. In the most general case, both R_0 and σ are functions of ρ , which corresponds to a system of nested but nonconcentric ellipses with varying ellipticity. For reasons of simplicity, we assume R_0 and σ are independent of ρ , thus restricting ourselves to a system of concentric elliptic flux surfaces with a fixed ellipticity. Though this magnetic field model for finite beta tokamak equilibrium is not quite exact, it is nonetheless useful for our present purpose, since the effect of the shift of the center of the flux surface is small for low beta equilibria.

The safety factor q is then calculated by using $q = I(\oint dl/B_p R^2)/2\pi$. Neglecting terms of order ϵ^2 , we have $q = \rho\sigma/R_0\Theta$, where $\Theta \equiv I^{-1} d\psi/d\rho$, and $\epsilon = \rho/R_0$.

III. NEOCLASSICAL TRANSPORT

The gyrophase-averaged distribution function f satisfies

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + (V_{\parallel} \hat{n} + \vec{V}_D) \cdot \vec{\nabla} f = C(f).$$

Neglecting high beta effects, the drift velocity $\vec{V}_D$ is given by $\vec{V}_D = -V_{\parallel} \hat{n} \times \vec{\nabla} (V_{\parallel} / \Omega)$, where $\hat{n} = \vec{B}/B$ and C denotes the collision operator. In terms of coordinates (ρ, ω, ϕ) , the drift kinetic equation can be written as¹

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{V_{\parallel} \Theta}{\sigma \rho} \frac{B_{\phi}}{B} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} + \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\sigma \rho} \frac{B_{\phi}}{B} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} \right) = C(f), \quad (1)$$

where $B_{\phi} \equiv I/R$. If we assume $B \approx B_{\phi}$, then the integral operator that annihilates the bounce term in Eq. (1) has the same form as that in the circular case. Integrating this equation over velocity space and performing the flux surface average $\int d\omega h/2\pi$, we get the continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle N \rangle = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho \Gamma_{\rho},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\rho} &= \langle \int d\vec{V} V_{D\rho} f \rangle, \\ V_{D\rho} &= \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\sigma \rho} \frac{B_{\phi}}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\Omega}, \\ h &= 1 + \rho \cos \omega / R_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and $\langle A \rangle = \oint d\omega Ah/2\pi$.

Similarly, we have the heat conduction equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{3}{2} NT \right) = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \rho Q_{\rho},$$

$$\text{where } Q_{\rho} = \left(\int d\vec{V} V_{D\rho} \frac{1}{2} m v^2 f \right). \quad (3)$$

As in standard neoclassical theory, we assume that the lowest order solution of Eq. (1) is a Maxwellian distribution F constant on the flux surface,

$$F = N \left(\frac{m}{2\pi T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left(- \frac{mv^2}{2T} \right),$$

where $N = N(\rho)$, $T = T(\rho)$.

Equation (1) then reduces to

$$\frac{V_{\parallel} \Theta}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} + \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \frac{V_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} = \frac{B}{B_{\phi}} \sigma C(f). \quad (4)$$

Equation (4) has the same form as the corresponding equation for a circular tokamak except for the extra factor $\sigma B/B_{\phi}$ in front of the collision term. This equation can be solved asymptotically in three different regions in the velocity space.

In the electron equation, we ignore the electron-electron collision for convenience. This implies that the effective atomic number of the plasma is so large that electron-ion collisions dominate. The collision term is then:

$$C(f_e) = \nu_e(v) \frac{V_{\parallel}}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mu V_{\parallel} \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \mu}$$

where μ is the magnetic moment, $\nu_e(v) = \nu_e (v_e/V)^3$, and v_e is the electron thermal velocity. In the trapped region where $V \sim \epsilon^{1/2} v_e$, the collision term can be neglected if

$$\epsilon^{1/2} V \Theta / \rho \gg \sigma \nu_e (v_e/V)^3 / \epsilon$$

or

$$V/v_e \gg (Rq \nu_e / \epsilon^{3/2} v_e)^{1/4} \equiv v_{*e}^{1/4}. \quad (5)$$

In the region where the inequality of Eq. (5) is satisfied, we can solve Eq. (4) as in Rosenbluth *et al.*⁶ and obtain to lowest order

$$f_e = - \frac{F_e}{\Omega_e \Theta} \left\{ \left[\frac{N'}{N} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_e v^2}{2T_e} \right) \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \right] v_{\parallel} + H(\lambda_c - \lambda) \left[\left(1 + \frac{T'_i}{T_e} \frac{N'}{N} \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m_e v^2}{2T_e} \right) \frac{T'_e}{T_e} - 0.172 \frac{T'_i}{T_e} \right] \frac{v^2}{2} \int_{\lambda_c}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle} \right\}, \quad (6)$$

where $\lambda = 2\mu B_0/v^2$ and $\lambda_c = 1 - \rho/R_0$. We notice that to this lowest order in collision frequency, ellipticity makes no difference except in $\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle$, because the collision term can be neglected and in the next order acts as a constraint to determine the flux surface constant part of f_e . When we invoke the assumption that the poloidal magnetic field can be neglected compared with the toroidal field, then even $\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle$ is the same for elliptic and circular tokamaks. This assumption is valid when the poloidal beta is less than or on the order of unity. However, even with the inclusion of the poloidal field in the magnitude of B , the result would not be changed by a factor of order more than unity.

When the effective collision frequency of a trapped electron is larger than its bounce frequency but the transit frequency of a circulating electron is still larger than its collision frequency, i.e.

$$\sigma v_e (v_e/v)^3 \ll v\Theta/\rho \ll \sigma v_e (v_e/v)^3 \epsilon^{-3/2},$$

or

$$\epsilon^{3/8} v_{*e}^{1/4} \ll v/v_e \ll v_{*e}^{1/4}, \quad (7)$$

the electrons are in the so-called "plateau regime." In this region of the velocity space, the standard technique for solving Eq. (4) is to approximate $C(f)$ by $(1/2)v v^2 \partial^2 f / \partial v_{\parallel}^2$ and get⁷

$$\frac{V_{\parallel} \Theta}{\rho} \frac{\partial f_e}{\partial \omega} - \frac{\sin \omega}{\Omega_e R_o} (V_{\parallel}^2 + \frac{1}{2} V_{\perp}^2) \frac{\partial F_e}{\partial \rho} = 1/2 \sigma v_e (V) V^2 \frac{\partial^2 f_e}{\partial V_{\parallel}^2},$$

with a solution

$$f_e = \frac{\epsilon V^2}{20 \Omega_e} \frac{\partial F_e}{\partial \rho} \int_0^{\infty} dp \exp(-p^3 \rho \sigma v_e V^2 / 6 \Theta) \sin(\omega - V_{\parallel} p). \quad (8)$$

In the low energy region of the velocity space, when the collision frequency is larger than the transit frequency, i.e.

$$\sigma v_e (V_e / V)^3 \gg V \Theta / \rho$$

or

$$V / V_e \ll \epsilon^{3/8} v_{*e}^{1/4}, \quad (9)$$

we can solve Eq. (4) by ordering $C(f)$ as the dominant term⁶. The solution in this region is⁴

$$f_e = \frac{N_1}{N} F_e + \frac{T_1}{T_e} \left(\frac{m_e v^2}{2 T_e} - \frac{5}{2} \right) F_e \quad (10)$$

where

$$\frac{N_1}{N} = + \frac{3\pi}{32} \frac{\sigma \rho^2}{\Theta^2 \tau_e} \left(\frac{25}{8} \frac{N'}{N} + \frac{5}{4} \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \right) \frac{\sin \omega}{R_o \Omega_e}$$

$$\frac{T_1}{T_e} = \frac{3}{32} \frac{\sigma \rho^2}{\Theta^2 \tau_e} \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{N'}{N} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \right) \frac{\sin \omega}{R_o \Omega_e},$$

and

$$T_e = \frac{3 m_e^{1/2} T_e^{3/2}}{4 (2)^{1/2} e^4 Z N \ln \Lambda}$$

Finally we have the generalization of the correction due to the boundary layer between trapped and untrapped electrons⁷, which can be expressed as

$$Q_{11} = Q_{12} = 3.07 \left[1 - 0.92 (v')^{1/2} \right],$$

where Q_{11} and Q_{12} are defined through

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = & - \frac{4\epsilon^{1/2}}{\sigma\rho\Omega_e^2\theta} \int v dv \left(\frac{v^2}{2} \right)^2 F_e v Q_{11} \left(\frac{N'}{N} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \right) \\ & - \frac{4^{1/2}}{\sigma\rho\Omega_e^2\theta} \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \int v dv \left(\frac{v^2}{2} \right)^3 F_e v Q_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v' = v\epsilon^{-3/2}/2, \text{ and } v = 2\rho\sigma v_e(v)/\theta v.$$

After carrying out the velocity integration in Eq. (2) by using the appropriate f_e from Eqs. (6), (8), and (10) in the regions specified by inequalities (5), (7), and (9), we obtain an expression for the particle flux:

$$\Gamma = -N\epsilon^{-3/2} \sigma^{-2} q^2 \rho_e^2 \tau_e^{-1} \left[K_{11} \left(\frac{N'}{N} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \right) + K_{12} \frac{T'_e}{T_e} \right], \quad (11)$$

where K_{11} and K_{12} have the same form as in Ref. 4,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{11} = & 0.73e^{-X_c} \left[1 - 0.90 v_{*e}^{1/2} e^{X_c} E_1(X_c) \right] + \frac{(2\pi)^{1/2}}{8v_{*e}} \left[e^{-y_c} (2 + 2y_c \right. \\ & \left. + y_c^2) - e^{-X_c} (2 + 2X_c + X_c^2) \right] + \frac{\pi}{32} \epsilon^{3/2} \left[\frac{75}{16} \operatorname{erf} y_c^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{e^{-y_c}}{\pi^{1/2}} y_c^{1/2} \left(\frac{75}{8} + \frac{25}{4} y_c - \frac{3}{2} y_c^2 \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_{12} = & 0.73e^{-X_c} \left[1 + X_c - 0.90 v_{*e}^{1/2} \right] + \frac{(2\pi)^{1/2}}{8v_{*e}} \left[e^{-y_c} (6 + 6y_c + 3y_c^2 + y_c^3) \right. \\ & \left. - e^{-X_c} (6 + 6X_c + 3X_c^2 + X_c^3) \right] + \frac{\pi\epsilon^{3/2}}{32} \left[\frac{285}{32} \operatorname{erf} y_c^{1/2} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{e^{-y_c}}{\pi^{1/2}} y_c^{1/2} \left(\frac{285}{16} + \frac{95}{8} y_c - \frac{5}{4} y_c^2 \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$x_c = 0.97 v_{*e}^{1/2} ,$$

$$y_c = 0.97 \epsilon^{3/4} v_{*e}^{1/2} ,$$

$$E_1(x) \equiv \int_x^\infty e^{-t} dt/t .$$

Equation (11) states that if q is held constant before and after elongation, the particle loss will decrease as σ^{-2} . There is a factor of $\pi/2E[(\sigma^2-1)/\sigma^2]$ difference between Eq. (4) and Refs. 2 and 3 because of the difference of the flux surface variables used and the definitions of q . But this presents only a factor of 1 to 1.57 difference as σ varies from one to infinity. Similar calculation shows that the neo-classical ion heat confinement time also increases by a factor of σ^2 in an elliptic tokamak as compared to the circular case if q is kept constant.

The $1/\sigma^2$ reduction of neoclassical particle and heat fluxes due to ellipticity has a simple physical explanation. From Eqs. (2) and (3), these fluxes are proportional to the normal component of magnetic drift velocity to the flux surface, v_{Dp} , and the distortion of the distribution function due to this drift which is also proportional to v_{Dp} . When the flux surface changes from a circle to an ellipse with its major axis in the vertical direction, v_{Dp} is on the average reduced by $1/\sigma$ because the magnetic drift is also in the vertical direction. Thus, there is a total $1/\sigma^2$ reduction of the neoclassical particle and heat fluxes if the flux surface changes from a circle to an ellipse keeping the same ρ , R_0 , and q .

IV. RIPPLE DIFFUSION

In investigating the effect of ripples in an elliptic tokamak, poloidal field cannot be ignored compared with toroidal field because the size of ripples is even smaller. Therefore, we write

$$B \approx B_0 \left[1 - \varepsilon \cos \omega + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{q} \right)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon A}{2} \cos^2 \omega - \delta(\rho, \omega) \cos n\phi \right],$$

where $A \equiv (\sigma^2 - 1 + 2q^2)/q^2$, $\delta(\rho, \omega)$ is the size of the ripples, and n is the number of toroidal field coils. Following Stringer,⁵ we find the effective ripple well depth along the field line to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega) &= \frac{B_{\max} - B_{\min}}{B_0} \\ &= 2\delta \left[\sqrt{1 - \alpha^2 g^2} - \alpha \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} - \sin^{-1}(\alpha|g|) \right\} |g| \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $g = \sin \omega (1 - A \cos \omega)h$ and $\alpha = \varepsilon/nq\delta$.

The drift kinetic equation can be written as

$$\frac{V}{R} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} + v_{D\rho} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} = v \frac{V}{B_\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mu v \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu},$$

$$\text{where } v_{D\rho} = - \frac{B_0 \varepsilon \cos \omega (1 - A \cos \omega)}{\sigma \rho \Omega B} (V^2 + \mu B).$$

This equation can be solved in the same way as the previous calculations to obtain

$$f = \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \rho} \frac{mc}{e\sigma R_0} \left(\mu - \frac{E}{B_{\max}} \right) \frac{B_\phi B_0}{B^2} \sin \omega (1 - A \cos \omega).$$

Substituting this result in Eq. (2), we get

$$\Gamma_{i\rho} = \frac{64}{9} \frac{\delta_0^{3/2} I(\alpha_0)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{cT_i}{eB_0 R\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{27.42}{v_{ii}} \left[\frac{N'}{N} + \frac{e\phi'}{T_i} + 3.37 \frac{T'_i}{T_i} \right],$$

$$\Gamma_{ep} = - \frac{64}{9} \frac{\delta_o^{3/2} I(\alpha_o)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\frac{cT_e}{eB_o R\sigma} \right)^2 \frac{12.78}{v_{ei}} \left[\frac{N}{N} - \frac{e\phi'}{T_e} + 3.45 \frac{T_e'}{T_e} \right].$$

After the radial potential is determined by quasi-neutrality, Eq. (3)

leads to

$$Q_{ip} = - 46.5 \frac{\delta_o^{3/2} I(\alpha_o)}{v_{ii}} \left(\frac{cT_i}{eB_o R\sigma} \right)^2 T_i' . \quad (13)$$

In the above expressions, $I(\alpha_o)$ is the generalization of Stringer's $I(\alpha)$,⁵

$$I(\alpha_o) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\omega \left(\frac{B_o}{B} \right)^{13/2} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2\delta_o} \right)^{3/2} \sin^2 \omega (1 - A \cos \omega)^2 , \quad (14)$$

where $\delta(\rho, \omega) \equiv \delta_o(\rho) \xi(\omega)$ and $\alpha_o = \epsilon/nq\delta_o$.

In Eq. (14) the poloidal variation of δ is also kept. The factor of $(B_o/B)^{13/2}$ in the integrand of Eq. (14) is neglected in most calculation because $B_o \sim B$ is assumed.

When the ω dependence of δ is neglected Eq. (14) has been evaluated numerically for different values of σ and $\epsilon = 1/3$ (corresponding to the edge of a tokamak reactor plasma where the effect of ripples is largest). The result is shown in Fig. 1, from which we notice that $I(\alpha)$ is not very sensitive to σ . Thus, the effect of ellipticity of ion heat conduction due to ripples is mainly contained in the factor σ^{-2} in Eq. (9). Stringer's result,⁵ which corresponds to $\sigma = 1$ and $B_o \approx B$, is shown by the dashed line in Fig. 1.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Summarizing the results of this paper, we conclude that (i) neo-classical transport in an elliptic tokamak will be smaller than in a circular tokamak by a factor of σ^{-2} , where σ is the elongation of the ellipse in the vertical direction if the safety factor q is kept constant, and (ii) ion heat conductivity due to toroidal field ripples is also reduced by a factor of σ^{-2} provided that the ripple size is constant.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank N. A. Uckan for help in numerical work and J. D. Callen and D. J. Sigmar for valuable comments.

Research sponsored by the Energy Research and Development Administration under contract with Union Carbide Corporation.

REFERENCES

- ¹H. R. Strauss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 462 (1972).
- ²J. B. McBride, R. N. Byrne, and H. H. Klein, Nucl. Fusion 15, 1099 (1975).
- ³M. Wakatani, Nucl. Fusion 16, 150 (1976).
- ⁴K. T. Tsang and J. D. Callen, Phys. Fluids 19, 667 (1976).
- ⁵T. E. Stringer, Nucl. Fusion 12 689 (1972).
- ⁶M. N. Rosenbluth, R. D. Hazeltine, and F. L. Hinton, Phys. Fluids 15, 116 (1972).
- ⁷P. N. Rutherford, Phys. Fluids 13, 482 (1970).

Fig. 1. $I(\alpha)$ factor resulting from reduction of ripple well depth by effects of toroidal variation and elliptic flux surfaces. For comparison, Stringer's result (from Ref. 5) is shown by the dashed line.

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. J. D. Callen
2. J. F. Clarke
3. R. A. Dory
4. G. G. Kelley
5. O. B. Morgan
6. M. W. Rosenthal
- 7-31. K. T. Tsang
- 32-34. Laboratory Records
35. Laboratory Records, ORNL - RC
36. Y-12 Document Reference Section
- 37-38. Central Research Library
39. Fusion Energy Division Library
40. Fusion Energy Division Reports Office
41. ORNL Patent Office

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

42. Plasma Physics Library, Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton Univ. Forrestal Campus, P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08540
43. Controlled Thermonuclear Research Library, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
44. Q Division Library, c/o F. L. Ribe, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, P.O. Box 1663, Los Alamos, NM 87544
45. Controlled Thermonuclear Research Library, c/o Weston M. Stacey, Jr., Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Ave., Argonne, IL 60439
46. CTR Computer Center, c/o Dr. John Killeen, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
47. Librarian, Culham Laboratory, U.K. Atomic Energy Authority, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom
48. Ruth Lengye, Bibliothek, Max-Planck Institut für Plasmaphysik, 8046 Garching bei München, Federal Republic of Germany
49. Library, Centre de Recherches en Physique des Plasmas, 21 Avenue des Bains, 1007, Lausanne, Switzerland
50. A. M. Dupas, Documentation S.I.G.N., Département de la Physique du Plasma et de la Fusion Contrôlée, Association EURATOM-CEA sur la Fusion, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires, BP 85 Centre Du TRI 38041 Grenoble Cedex (France)
51. Bibliothèque, Service du Confinement des Plasmas, C.E.A., B.P. No. 6, 92, Fontenay-aux-Roses (Seine) France

52. Library, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy
53. Library, Laboratorio Gas Ionizzati, Frascati, Italy
54. V. E. Ivanov, Physical-Technical Institute of the Ukranian Academy of Sciences, Sukhumi, U.S.S.R.
55. L. M. Kovrizhnikh, Lebedev Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the U.S.S.R., Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, U.S.S.R.
56. Thermonuclear Laboratory, Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, 46 Ulitsa Kurchatova, P.O. Box 3402, Moscow, U.S.S.R.
57. Library, Institute for Plasma Physics, Nagoya Univ., Nagoya, Japan 464
58. Library, FOM-Institut voor Plasma-Fysica, Rijnhuizen, Jutphaas, Netherlands
59. Plasma Physics Group, Department of Engineering Physics, Australian National University, P.O. Box 4, Canberra A.C.T. 2600 Australia
60. Thermonuclear Library, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Naka, Ibaraki, Japan
61. Dr. D. G. McAlees, Exxon Nuclear Co., Inc., Research & Technology Laser Enrichment Department, 2955 George Washington Way, Richland, WA 99352
62. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. D. W. Kerst, Dept. of Physics, Sterling Hall, Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706
63. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. I. B. Bernstein, Yale Univ., New Haven, CT 06510
64. Center for Plasma Physics and Thermonuclear Research, c/o D. W. Ross, Physics Dept., Univ. of Texas, Austin, TX 78712
65. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. B. D. Fried, Physics Dept., Univ. of California, Los Angeles, CA 90024
66. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. David C. Montgomery, Physics & Astronomy Dept., Univ. of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52240
67. Magneto-Fluid-Dynamics Library, Courant Inst. of Math. Sci., New York Univ., 251 Mercer St., New York, NY 10012
68. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Allan N. Kaufman, Physics Dept., Univ. of California, Berkeley, CA 94720
69. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. W. B. Thompson, Physics Dept., Univ. of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92037

70. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. C. S. Liu, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742
71. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. T. Kammash, 103 Research Admin. Bldg., N. Campus, Univ. of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105
72. CTR Reading Room, c/o Dr. Ravi N. Sudan, Phillips Hall, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, NY 14850
73. Prof. Marshall N. Rosenbluth, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ 08540
74. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. R. Gross, Plasma Research Lab., Columbia Univ., New York, NY 10027
75. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Roy Gould, California Inst. of Tech., M.S. 116-81, Pasadena, CA 91125
76. Dr. Nicholas A. Krall, Science Applications, Inc., P.O. Box 2354, 1200 Prospect St., La Jolla, CA 92037
77. CTR Reading Room, c/o Dr. Jay P. Boris, Plasma Physics, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20390
78. Professor A. Simon, Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Sciences, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627
79. CTR Library, c/o Dr. Alan F. Haught, United Technologies Research Labs, East Hartford, CT 06108
80. Dr. H. K. Forsen, Exxon Nuclear Co., Inc. 777-106th Avenue, NE, C-000777, Bellevue, WA 98009
81. Dr. George Vahala, Physics Dept., College of William & Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23185
82. Dr. Robert E. Price, Division of Magnetic Fusion Energy, G-234, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545
83. Dr. R. C. Davidson, Division of Magnetic Fusion Energy, G-234, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545
84. Dr. Oscar P. Manley, Division of Magnetic Fusion Energy, G-234, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545
85. Mr. E. E. Kintner, Division of Magnetic Fusion Energy, G-234, Energy Research and Development Administration, Washington, D. C. 20545

86. Dr. L. D. Pearlstein, L-388, Lawrence Livermore Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
87. Dr. J. P. Friedberg, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos NM 87544
88. Dr. David J. Rose, Dept. of Nuclear Engineering, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139139
89. Dr. Gareth E. Guest, General Atomic Co., P.O. Box 81608, San Diego, CA 92138
90. Dr. Claude Mercier, Service du Theorie des Plasmas, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires, Fontenay-aux-Roses (Seine) France
91. Dr. J. B. Taylor, Culham Laboratory, UKAEA Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom
92. Dr. D. Pfirsch, Institute for Plasma Physics, 8046 Garching bei München, Federal Republic of Germany
93. Dr. V. D. Shafranov, I. V. Kurchatov Inst. of Atomic Energy, 46 Ulitsa Kurchatova, P.O. Box 3402, Moscow, U.S.S.R.
94. Dr. Harold Grad, Courant Inst. of Math. Sci., New York Univ., 251 Mercer St., New York, NY 10012
95. Dr. J. G. Cordey, Culham Laboratory, UKAEA, Abingdon, Oxon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom
96. Dr. David Baldwin, L-388, Lawrence Livermore Lab., P.O. Box 808, Livermore, CA 94550
97. CTR Reading Room, c/o Prof. Bruno Coppi, Dept. of Physics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139
98. Dr. Harold P. Furth, Princeton Plasma Physics Lab., Princeton Univ., P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08540
99. Dr. Paul H. Rutherford, Princeton Plasma Physics Lab., Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ 08540
100. Dr. Herbert H. Woodson, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Univ. of Texas, Austin, TX 78712
101. Research & Technical Support Div., Oak Ridge Operations, Energy Research and Development Administration, P. O. Box E, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
- 102-128. Tech. Information Center, Oak Ridge Operations, Energy Research and Development Administration, P. O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37830