22
12-28-76

MASTER

ORNL/TM-5676

Bulk Shielding Facility Quarterly Report April, May, and June of 1976

S. S. Hurt, III
E. D. Lance
J. R. Thomas

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

OPERATED BY UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION FOR THE ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Printed in the United States of America. Available from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161
Price: Printed Copy \$4.00; Microfiche \$3.00

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the Energy Research and Development Administration/United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

OPERATIONS DIVISION

BULK SHIELDING FACILITY QUARTERLY REPORT

APRIL, MAY, AND JUNE OF 1976

S. S. Hurt, III
E. D. Lance
J. R. Thomas

NOTICE
This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Energy Research and Development Administration, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

Date Published: December 1976

NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

284

THIS PAGE
WAS INTENTIONALLY
LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Summary	1
Bulk Shielding Reactor.	1
Operations	1
Shutdowns	5
Maintenance and Changes	6
Status of Ionization Chambers	9
Fuel.	11
Experiment Facilities Assignment.	12
Demineralizer Performance	13
Special Tests.	14
Efficiency Tests.	14
Special Programs	15
University Nuclear Engineering Department Experiments	15
Operational Activities	16
Shim Rod Calibrations.	16
Pool Critical Facility.	17
Operations	17
Experiments.	19
Approach-to-Critical.	19
Regulating Rod Calibration.	19
Statistical Weight.	19
Appendix A.	20
Distribution.	31

SUMMARY

The BSR operated at an average power level of 1,756 kw for 31.49% of the time during April, May, and June. Water-quality control in both the reactor primary and secondary cooling systems was satisfactory. The reactor was shut down for 16 days to repair a fault in the fiber-glass lining of the pool.

The BSR was operated at low and variable power during this quarter for 79.784 hours as part of the training programs for nuclear engineering students from the University of Tennessee and Mississippi State University.

The PCA was also used in the above-mentioned training programs and was operated on six occasions when the University of Kentucky, Memphis State University, or Mississippi State University students actively participated in training laboratories.

BULK SHIELDING REACTOR

Operations

During this quarter, the reactor operated 31.49% of the time primarily for the irradiation of research experiments. It was operated at low and variable power (11.60% of the operating time) to enable nuclear engineering students from the University of Tennessee and Mississippi State University to perform training labs described elsewhere in this report. Basic operating data for this period are given in Table 1.

A new core configuration (Figure 2) is arranged for an experiment consisting of three sections of steel which will be irradiated at the north and east sides of the reactor core. The east D_2O tank has been detached from the reactor structure and placed on a stationary stand in the pool and will be referred to as the stationary D_2O tank. Two additional low weight fuel elements were added to the west side of the core in an attempt to increase the flux for the LTIF.

Table 1. Basic Operating Data
(April, May and June of 1976)

	This Quarter	Last Quarter	Year To Date
Total energy, kwd	50,301	37,412	87,713
Average power, kw/operating hr.	1,756	1,992	1,874
Time operating, %	31.49	20.64	26.06
Reactor availability, %	60.60	43.83	52.22
Reactor water radioactivity counts min ⁻¹ ml ⁻¹ (av)	1,349	2,229	1,789
Reactor water resistivity, ohm-cm (av)	1,109,000	946,000	1,027,500
Standard fuel elements depleted	0	0	0
Control fuel elements depleted	0	0	0
Research samples	50	36	86

Core loading 30 (Figure 1) was replaced by core loading 31 (Figure 2) on June 21, 1976, to provide adequate excess reactivity for versatile operation. The initial operating mass (4226 g ²³⁵U) of core loading 30 had been reduced to 4122 g due to burnup, thereby reducing the excess reactivity from 5.30% $\Delta k/k$ to $\sim 3.66\% \Delta k/k$ ($\sim 1.11\%$ excess reactivity above xenon equilibrium).

Core loading 31 was accomplished by adding two partially depleted BSF-series fuel elements and three new MTR-series fuel elements. Core loading 31 had an initial operating mass of 4995 g which provided an excess reactivity of 5.49% $\Delta k/k$ (2.94% $\Delta k/k$ excess reactivity above xenon equilibrium). At the end of the quarter, the excess reactivity was $\sim 5.38\% \Delta k/k$ ($\sim 2.83\% \Delta k/k$ excess reactivity above xenon equilibrium).

Figure 1. Core Loading 30 - BSR.

BSR CORE

LOADING NO.	30
DATE	2-20-76
Excess Reactivity -	5.30%
OPERATING MASS	4226 gm
ROD POSITIONS AT CRITICAL (With Operating Mass)	
ROD NO.	IN. WITHDRAWN
1	10.20
2	10.20
3	10.20
4	10.20
5	23.00
6	23.00
REMARKS:	

M-192-D 130 81	FC 82	A1 83	A1 84	BSF-38 134 85				
FZC 003T 188 71	A1 72	A1 73	A1 74	FZC 003U 188 75				
FZC 003N 178 61	BSF-S-9 67 62	BSF-36 139 63	BSF-S-10 67 64	FZC 003P 177 65				
M-13-H 202 51	M-194-D 153 52	FZC 003Q 182 53	M-195-D 153 54	M-14-H 203 55				
BSF-39 150 41	BSF-S-1 90 42	BSF-34 135 43	BSF-S-1 89 44	BSF-40 148 45		D ₂ O Tanks 47		
FZC 003X 198 31	FZC 003R 179 32	BSF-35 135 33	FZC 003S 178 34	FZC 003Y 197 35				
M-196-D 169 21	BSF-S-1 68 22	BSF-37 136 23	BSF-S-12 67 24	M-323-A 172 25				
BSF-28 132 11	FZC 003W 193 12	M-12-H 202 13	FZC 003V 196 14	Plug 15				

BSR CORE

31	
LOADING NO.	
June 21, 1976	
DATE	
Excess Reactivity - 5.49%	
4995 gm	
OPERATING MASS	
ROD POSITIONS AT CRITICAL (With Operating Mass)	
ROD NO.	IN. WITHDRAWN
1	10.86 9.12
2	10.86 9.12
3	10.86 9.12
4	10.86 9.12
5	10.86 23.00
6	10.86 23.00
REMARKS:	

Figure 2. Core Loading 31 - BSR.

Shutdowns

There were no unscheduled shutdowns during the quarter. Table 2 gives an analysis of the scheduled shutdowns.

Table 2. Analysis of Shutdowns

Description of Shutdown	Number	Downtime (hrs)
Scheduled		
Experimenters:		
No request to operate	10	622.867
Repositioning of the reactor	1	0.500
Experiment insertion, removal, or data taking	12	12.534
Reactor Operations:		
Special	1	25.883
University experiment preparation	2	6.250
BSR pool repair & reassembling reactor	1	680.000
Refueling critical runs	14	3.000
Quarterly	1	111.250
Shim rod calibrations	<u>1</u>	<u>33.250</u>
Subtotal	43	1,439.534
Unscheduled		
Experimenters:	0	0
Reactor Operations:	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Subtotal	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	43	1,439.534

Maintenance and Changes

Maintenance or changes on the instrumentation and mechanical components in the complex are listed in Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6. Table 7 presents the status of the ionization and fission chambers.

Table 3. Maintenance and Changes, Instrumentation and Controls

Date	Component	Trouble or Change	Reason or Maintenance
4-7-76	Log N channel	Erratic readout	Replaced the Log N amplifier.
4-22-76	No. 1 rod drive position indicator	The clock-face readout device was replaced with a digital position readout.	The new unit was installed for test and evaluation of the new type of rod drive position indicator.
4-22-76 through 4-26-76	No. 2 TV camera	Poor picture reception	The Vidicon tube was replaced to correct the trouble.
4-30-76	Building evacuation system	Failure of the Magenta lights	A faulty socket for the Manual Evacuate Module was repaired to correct the trouble.
5-5-76	Log N channel	Erratic readout	The Log N amplifier was replaced.
5-19-76 through 5-21-76	Instrumentation	Routine	Quarterly checks.
5-19-76	Counting rate channel	Poor response	Replaced the balance motor in the count rate recorder and the Zener power supply in the count rate period recorder.
5-20-76	No. 1 safety channel	Poor response	Replaced the balance motor in the Servo recorder.

Table 3. (Continued)

Date	Component	Trouble or Change	Reason or Maintenance
5-20-76	Servo channel	Poor response	Replaced the balance motor in the servo recorder.
5-20-76	Public Address System	Amplifier failure	The amplifier electron tubes were replaced.
6-25-76	Servo channel	Insensitive braking action of the servo motor	A new rectifier was installed in the servo demand motor circuit.

Table 4.. Maintenance and Changes, Mechanical System

Date	Component	Trouble or Change	Reason or Maintenance
5-12-76	Reactor secondary tower north fan	Coupling slipping	Replaced 6 1/2 lbs. of S. S. shot in the Dodge Flexi- dyne coupling.
6-16-76	Shim-rod drive assemblies Nos. 1 through 6	Routine inspection	The drive assemblies were inspected, the magnets and clutch switches cleaned, the drive tubes brushed and flushed and the drive assemblies reinstalled.

Table 5. Maintenance and Changes, Process System

Date	Component	Trouble or Change	Reason or Maintenance
6-28-76 and 6-29-76	Secondary pH system	Erratic readout	The fluid in the reference pH probes was changed and the measuring pH probes were replaced.

Table 6. Maintenance and Changes, BSR Services

Date	Component	Trouble or Change	Reason or Maintenance
5-27-76	Air conditioning pump	Failure of motor	Replaced the motor starter coil.

Table 7. Status of Ionization Chambers

Chamber Serial No.	Location	Date Present Service Started	Previous Service	Remarks
Chambers in Service				
CTC-3 (J-118)	Position A, No. 2 safety	10-8-69	LITR	The chamber was modified for underwater service in 1969.
PCP-III-106, SN-72-1	Position B, servo	5-12-75	None	This new chamber was installed in the BSR in May, 1975.
CTC-4 (C-771)	Position C, No. 1 safety	12-1-69	LITR	The chamber was modified for underwater service in 1969.
PCP-III-106A, SN-72-2	Position D, log-N and No. 3 safety	11-13-73	None	This new dual chamber was installed in the BSR in November, 1973.
C-1045	CP-81, fission chamber	10-1-69	PCA	This fission chamber assembly was transferred to the BSR from the PCA in October, 1969.
Chambers Not In Service				
FCP (old type, no serial number)	Warehouse storage		BSR	This chamber is of the old type and will be repaired if needed.
CIC (No. 62)	BSR storage		BSR	This chamber is reserved for the PCA but can be used in an emergency for the BSR.

Table 7 (continued)

Chamber Serial No.	Location	Date Present Service Started	Previous Service	Remarks
CIC (No. 63)	BSR storage		BSR	This chamber is reserved for the PCA but can be used in an emergency for the BSR.
PCP (Q975), No. 2)	BSR Storage		BSR	This chamber is reserved for the PCA but can be used in an emergency for the BSR.
PCP-III-106, SN-66-1	BSR storage		BSR	Used as No. 2 safety and servo. Safety section failed in June, 1969, servo section failed in May, 1975.
PCP-III-106, SN-66-3	ORR beam tube storage		BSR and ORR	Used BSR and ORR 1967 through 1973. Last removed November, 1973.
PCP-III-106, SN-66-4	ORR instrument shop		BSR	Used in the BSR from 1968 to January, 1973. Repaired 1969.

Fuel

Changes in the fuel inventory are reflected in Table 8.

Table 8. Fuel and Shim Rod Status

	This Quarter	Last Quarter	Year To Date
Fuel elements depleted	0	0	0
Control-rod fuel elements depleted	0	0	0
New fuel elements placed in service	3	2	5
New control-rod fuel elements placed in service	0	0	0
New fuel elements available for use	6	9	6
New control-rod fuel elements available for use	6	6	6
Partially depleted fuel elements available for use	2	4	2
New shim rods placed in service	0	0	0
Boron stainless steel shim rods in use	6	6	6
Boron stainless steel shim rods available for use	2	2	2

Experiment Facilities Assignment

Facility assignments are listed in Table 9. The tubes of the east D₂O tank are not permanently assigned; they are used by various Laboratory personnel for short-term sample irradiations.

Table 9. Facilities Assignment

Facility	Location	Division or Sponsor
Liquid helium cryostat	Southwest corner of pool using west D ₂ O tank	Solid State
Liquid nitrogen cryostat	On instrument bridge	Solid State
Ambient temperature facility	North face of core	Solid State
Front-face tube	North face of core	Solid State
Fast-neutron tube	Core position 15	Solid State
Water-cooled tube	Core position 11	Solid State
Dry thermal-neutron tubes* (N-4 and S-3)	Stationary D ₂ O tank	Operations
Dry thermal-neutron tubes* (east, center, southwest, and northwest)	Stationary D ₂ O tank	Operations

* These facility tubes are for sample irradiations and are used by personnel of several divisions, primarily Analytical Chemistry and Solid State Division.

Demineralizer Performance

Table 10 gives detailed information on the condition of the primary water system for the preceding year and pertinent data on the performance of the bypass demineralizer.

Table 10. Demineralizer Performance Data

Run No.	Initiation Date	Termination Date	Throughput (gal)	Gross Gamma (Counts min ⁻¹ ml ⁻¹)		pH		Specific Resistance (ohm-cm)	
				In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
33	1-4-73	3-5-73	1,614,000	1,280	115	5.7	5.8	1,008,000	1,430,000
34	3-6-73	4-30-73	1,393,200	1,848	181	5.7	5.7	873,000	1,363,000
35	5-2-73	7-2-73	2,060,000	2,072	120	5.8	5.8	896,000	1,209,000
36	7-3-73	9-4-73	1,900,000	1,672	116	5.8	5.8	792,000	1,270,000
37	9-4-73	11-12-73	1,300,000	2,021	107	5.9	6.0	682,000	1,144,000
38	11-14-73	1-7-74	1,692,000	1,353	98	5.7	5.7	738,000	1,102,000
39	1-9-74	3-13-74	1,320,000	1,931	101	6.2	6.0	666,000	908,000
*40	3-15-74	7-30-74	1,400,000	9	0	6.2	6.0	364,000	1,132,000
41	8-16-74	12-4-74	1,500,000	2,316	385	5.8	5.8	630,000	895,000
**42	12-17-74	4-15-75	3,850,000	2,116	119	5.8	6.0	1,018,000	1,611,000
43	4-16-75	7-7-75	2,550,000	2,712	174	5.7	5.8	937,000	1,823,000
44	7-10-75	11-5-75	2,750,000	2,528	144	5.7	5.9	968,000	1,428,000
45	11-5-75	4-29-76	2,325,000	2,146	154	5.3	5.5	902,000	1,593,000
46	4-30-76	In Service	1,000,000	1,399	100	5.5	5.7	1,109,000	2,030,000

* The reactor was shut down during the entire run.

** New resin in the demineralizer columns.

SPECIAL TESTS

Efficiency Tests.

Tests were performed by Inspection Engineering on the cell ventilation filters. Details are given in Table 11.

Table 11. Efficiency Tests Results, Filters

Date	Unit	Type Test	Efficiency (%)
4-1-76	North Bank	Methyl Iodide	83.73
4-1-76	Center Bank	Methyl Iodide	71.00
4-1-76	South Bank	Methyl Iodide	27.10
6-18-76	North Bank	DOP	99.985
6-18-76	Center Bank	DOP	99.995
6-18-76	South Bank	DOP	99.993

The University Nuclear Engineering Department Experiments

The BSR was used for training labs for university nuclear engineering students on seven occasions as described below.

Attenuation Experiment - The reactor was operated at variable power levels (on April 31 and 14, 1976) by the Operations Division staff while the University of Tennessee students, under the auspices of the Operations Division training supervisor, performed a neutron and gamma attenuation experiment. Basically, the experiment was an exercise in measuring neutron and gamma levels from an operating nuclear reactor as a function of distance through light-water medium.

Xenon Buildup and Decay Experiment - On April 2 and 3, 1976, and also on May 7, 8 and 9, 1976, following operation at 2 Mw to achieve xenon equilibrium, the power level of the BSR was reduced to 4 kw to begin an experiment for students from Mississippi State University and the University of Tennessee to study the buildup and decay of xenon in the BSR core. The students performed all activities associated with the experiment under the direct supervision of an Operations Division supervisor. The experiment, performed on a 24-hr/day schedule until completion, was an exercise in: (1) maintaining the reactor critical at 4 kw, (2) determining the reactivity worth of a shim rod under varying concentrations of xenon, and (3) determining the reactivity worth of xenon in the core as a function of time.

Operational Activities

Date	Remarks
5-20-76	The emergency electrical power quarterly test was completed with satisfactory results. The test was performed by turning the emergency power transfer switch (circuit 18 in electrical power panel DP-1) to "OFF", thereby starting the ORR diesel generator which supplied electrical power to designated circuits in the control and pool rooms.
5 27-76	The containment system quarterly functional checks were performed with satisfactory results.

Shim-Rod Calibrations

The BSR shim rods were calibrated after core loading 31 had been established. The results are shown in Appendix A. The total worth of all six rods was 10.97% $\Delta k/k$. The operating limit requirement that the reactor be operated with the ganged rods (all six) withdrawn at least 50% of their worth thus requires that the rods be ≥ 10.70 in. withdrawn when the reactor becomes critical for power operation. With rods 5 and 6 at 23 in. withdrawn, rods 1, 2, 3, and 4 can be withdrawn to 9.00 in. to comply with the same operating limit. Appendix A also shows the comparison of rod worths for October, 1971, January, 1972, September, 1974, and June, 1975.

POOL CRITICAL ASSEMBLY

Operations

The PCA was used 62.0 hours as an experiment facility for the benefit of nuclear engineering students from the University of Kentucky, Mississippi State University and Memphis State University. Operational activities in preparing the facility for use included a checkout of the reactor control instrumentation and preparation of the required loadings. The operational activities are listed in Table 12. (Usage of Pool Critical Facility). The PCA maintenance is listed in Table 13.

Table 12. Usage of Pool Critical Facility

Date	Operational Activity	Purpose	Hours Used
4-1-76	Established core No. 77 to permit calibration of the regulating rod and the performance of the importance function experiment.	Training lab for the Mississippi State University nuclear engineering students.	7.4
4-5-76	Established core No. 181 to obtain a critical mass following the standard approach-to-critical procedure. Established core No. 182 to permit calibration of the regulating rod.	Training lab for the University of Kentucky nuclear engineering students.	13.1
4-6-76	Established core No. 183 to obtain a critical mass following the standard approach-to-critical procedure. Established core No. 184 to permit calibration of the regulating rod.	Training lab for the University of Kentucky nuclear engineering students.	12.5
4-9-76	Ionization chamber tests	Test facility for ORMAC	6.0
6-28-76 and 6-29-76	Established cores Nos. 185 and 186 to obtain a critical mass following the standard approach-to-critical procedure.	Training lab for the Memphis State University students.	23.0

Table 13. PCA Maintenance

Date	Component	Trouble or Change	Reason or Maintenance
5-3-76 through 5-5-76	Quarterly instrumentation checks	Routine	Completed with satisfactory results.
5-3-76	No. 1 magnet amplifier	Unsteady output.	Replaced two 0A2 VR tubes.
5-3-76	Auxiliary counting rate channel.	Did not meet specifica- tions.	Replaced the pulse amplifier.

EXPERIMENTS

Experiments were conducted at the PCA by students from the Nuclear Engineering Departments of Mississippi State University, the University of Kentucky and Memphis State University as indicated in Table 12. These experiments are described briefly and were directly supervised by the training supervisor of the Operations Division.

Approach-to-Critical

To demonstrate the technique of assembling a reactor core, a critical mass was loaded by the nuclear engineering students following the standard approach-to-critical procedure:

Regulating-Rod Calibration

A technique used in calibrating reactor control rods was demonstrated by using the period method in calibrating the regulating rod. The exercise was performed by the nuclear engineering students.

Statistical Weight

An experiment was performed to determine the effect of absorbers on the reactivity as a function of position in a special fuel element located in the reactor core. Using guidelines provided by the Operations supervisor, the experiment was performed by the nuclear engineering students.

APPENDIX A

INTRA-LABORATORY CORRESPONDENCE

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

June 24, 1976

TO: F. T. Binford

FROM: T. P. Hamrick

SUBJECT: Calibration of BSR Shim Rods

The BSR shim rods were calibrated following the refueling operation to establish BSR loading No. 31. The resulting reactivity worth curves are attached, along with a plot of excess reactivity remaining versus the ganged rod position of rods 1-4. Loading No. 31 resulted in a core with 5.49% $\Delta k/k$ excess reactivity at a water temperature of 80°F.

As determined from this most recent calibration of the shim rods, the minimum position of the shim rods at critical are:

1. All six rods ganged at 10.70 inches withdrawn; and
2. Rods 5 and 6 at 23 inches withdrawn, rods 1-4 ganged at 9.0 inches withdrawn.

Rod worths determined during the five most recent calibrations are compared in Table 1 below:

Table 1. Comparison of BSR Rods Worths (% $\Delta k/k$)

Rod No.	Oct. 1971	Jan. 1972	Sept. 1974	June 1975	June 1976
1	1.710	1.944	1.697	1.934	1.755
2	1.690	1.864	1.873	1.259	1.843
3	2.716	3.018	2.942	3.086	2.512
4	2.714	2.962	2.966	2.931	2.854
5	0.922	0.852	0.946	0.940	0.854
6	0.866	0.877	0.937	0.910	1.159
1-4	8.830	9.788	9.478	9.710	8.964
5-6	1.788	1.724	1.883	1.850	2.013
Total	10.618	11.512	11.361	11.560	10.977

T. P. Hamrick
T. P. Hamrick

TPH:dsp

Attachments

cc: C. D. Cagle
C. B. Gaither
S. S. Hurt
J. R. Thomas
BSR Control Room

E. D. Lance

E. D. Lance

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1. R. G. Affel
2. R. G. Berggren
3. C. D. Cagle
4. W. R. Casto
5. J. W. Cleland
6. G. H. Coleman
7. R. R. Coltman, Jr.
8. J. A. Conlin
9. J. A. Cox
10. W. A. Duggins
11. C. B. Gaither
12. E. D. Gupton
13. T. P. Hamrick
14. S. S. Hurt, III
15. L. P. Jernigan
16. E. B. Johnson
17. E. D. Lance
18. R. V. McCord
19. F. H. Neill
20. T. B. Nixon
21. L. C. Oakes
22. J. H. Pemberton
23. H. Postma
24. M. E. Ramsey
25. W. H. Sides
- 26-27. J. R. Thomas
28. K. W. West
29. M. K. Wilkinson
30. F. W. Young
- 31-32. Laboratory records Department
33. Laboratory Records, ORNL R.C.
- 34-35. Central Research Library
36. Research and Technical Support Division
37. ORNL Patent Office

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

38. K. M. Akhtar, Pakistan
39. R. D. Martin, University of Michigan
40. J. D. Randall, Texas A&M University
41. D. Segal, Israel
- 42-43. E. S. Beckjold, ERDA, Washington, D. C.
44. Safety and Environmental Control Division
- 45-71. Technical Information Center, Oak Ridge