BNL-73480-2005-CP

***Tomographic Measurement of Longitudinal
Emittance Growth Due to Stripping Foils***

C. Montag, L. Ahrens, P. Thieberger

***Presented at the Particle Accelerator Conference (PAC'05)
Knoxville, Tennessee
May 16-20, 2005***

Collider-Accelerator Department

**Brookhaven National Laboratory
P.O. Box 5000
Upton, NY 11973-5000
www.bnl.gov**

***Managed by*
Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC
for the United States Department of Energy under
Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886**

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

FOR UNCLASSIFIED, UNLIMITED STI PRODUCTS

Available electronically at:

OSTI:

<http://www.osti.gov/bridge>

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Phone: (865) 576-8401
Facsimile: (865) 576-5728
E-mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov

National Technical Information Service (NTIS):

Available for sale to the public from:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22131
Phone: (800) 553-6847
Facsimile: (703) 605-6900
Online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

Printed on recycled paper

TOMOGRAPHIC MEASUREMENT OF LONGITUDINAL EMITTANCE GROWTH DUE TO STRIPPING FOILS*

C. Montag, L. Ahrens, P. Thieberger, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

Abstract

During beam acceleration in the Brookhaven accelerator complex, heavy ions are stripped of their electrons in several steps. Depending on the properties of the stripping foils, this process results in an increased energy spread and longitudinal emittance growth. A tomographic phase space reconstruction technique has been applied to measure the associated emittance growth for different stripping foil materials.

INTRODUCTION

The heavy ion injector chain of the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) consists of a Tandem van de Graaff, the Booster Synchrotron, and the Alternating Gradient Synchrotron (AGS). Negatively charged gold ions are produced at the ion source, and stripped to a charge state of +12 during acceleration in the Tandem. From there, they are injected into the Booster via the Tandem-to-Booster (TtB) transfer line. During transfer into the Booster, another 20 electrons are removed from the ions, resulting in a charge state of +32. Upon injection into the AGS via the Booster-to-AGS (BtA) transfer line, the charge state reaches +77 due to removal of another 45 electrons. Finally, the remaining 2 electrons are removed in the AGS-to-RHIC (AtR) line, leading to fully stripped gold ions to be injected into RHIC. Figure 1 shows the various charge states for gold ions in the BNL accelerator complex.

To preserve small emittances, it is essential to choose the properties of the stripping foils such that emittance degradation during the stripping process is minimized, while at the same time sufficient stripping efficiency is provided. During the FY 2003 RHIC run, systematic studies of the effect of different stripping foils on longitudinal beam emittance in the BtA line were performed.

While the effect of different stripping foils can be qualitatively seen by comparing longitudinal beam profiles as taken by a wall current monitor, a quantitative analysis requires information on the longitudinal phase space distribution. This is especially true if the bunch under study is not matched to the longitudinal bucket, for then the wall current monitor display data vary from turn to turn. For the FY 2003 RHIC run, six gold bunches were accelerated during each Booster cycle and transferred as a bunch train into the AGS. Because of the energy loss and associated velocity loss in the BtA stripping foil, at least five of these six bunches are inherently mismatched into the AGS buck-

	carbon	silica
thickness [μm]	125	100
non-uniformity [percent FWHM]	8.30	0.50
energy loss [MeV/amu]	4.7	4.0
$\Delta E_{\text{non-uniform}}$ [MeV]	32.7	1.7
$\Delta E_{\text{Booster}}$ [MeV]	10.3	10.3
ΔE_{stragg} [MeV]	2.0	3.2
ΔE_{AGS} [MeV]	34.4	10.9

Table 1: Parameters of the two stripping foils.

ets. A tomographic phase space reconstruction technique has therefore been applied to reconstruct the longitudinal phase space distribution of individual bunches, which can then be used to calculate the longitudinal emittance.

STRIPPING FOILS

Two stripping foils were compared in the BtA line, a 125 μm thick carbon foil and a 100 μm thick silica foil. Given the foil material and thickness as well as the non-uniformity of the thickness, the expected energy spread in the AGS can be estimated as

$$\Delta E_{\text{AGS}} = \sqrt{\Delta E_{\text{Booster}}^2 + \Delta E_{\text{non-uniform}}^2 + \Delta E_{\text{stragg}}^2},$$

where $\Delta E_{\text{Booster}}$ is the rms energy spread at Booster extraction, $\Delta E_{\text{non-uniform}}$ is the rms energy spread due to foil non-uniformity, and ΔE_{stragg} denotes the rms energy spread caused by straggling. Table 1 lists these parameters for the two foils, together with the resulting expected rms energy spread in the AGS. The resulting energy spread at AGS injection is expected to be about three times smaller for the silica stripping foil than for the carbon foil.

RESULTS

To determine the longitudinal emittance, longitudinal turn-by-turn beam profiles were recorded with the AGS wall current monitor. The digital oscilloscope used for data acquisition was not synchronized to the Booster revolution frequency, resulting in an over-estimate of the longitudinal emittance if not treated in the following way.

After some pre-processing to remove the DC offset, the longitudinal phase space distribution was reconstructed using an iterative tomographic back-projection technique [1, 2]. To avoid systematic errors due to uncertainties in

* Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy

Figure 1: Charge states of gold ions in the BNL accelerator complex.

the RF voltage, a multi-parameter fitting routine was applied to effectively measure this parameter, and to determine the position of the synchronous phase with respect to the injected bunch [2]. Once the correct values for these parameters were found, the resulting emittance of the corresponding phase space distribution was calculated. Since the reconstruction algorithm tends to produce low-intensity tails in the phase space distribution due to residual noise in the data, all data points below a certain noise level were set to zero during this emittance calculation. This method provides a more accurate emittance value than subtracting the maximum value of that noise level from all data points. This low-intensity noise appears only in relatively few phase space areas, so this process is justified.

As Figure 2 indicates, the resulting longitudinal bunch emittance for the silica foil is significantly smaller than for the carbon foil. Taking the average over 11 bunches for the silica foil, the calculated emittance is 0.46 ± 0.04 eV · sec, compared to an average of 1.04 ± 0.18 eV · sec for the carbon foil, computed from 16 bunches.

CONCLUSION

A tomographic phase space reconstruction technique has been applied to analyze longitudinal emittance growth during the stripping of gold ions in the Booster-to-AGS transfer line of the Brookhaven accelerator complex. With the silica foil, the emittance of the emerging beam is a fac-

tor 2.5 smaller than with the carbon foil, which is in good agreement with expectations, as listed in Table 1. This significant improvement results in better re-bucketing performance in RHIC, leading to a higher luminosity. However, the stripping efficiency produced by the carbon foil is greater than that of the silica foil for the desired resulting charge state of Au^{77+} . Beryllium foils are currently under consideration instead of silica, since beryllium is expected to provide small energy spread together with high stripping efficiency.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Hancock, M. Lindroos, and S. Koscielniak, "Longitudinal phase space tomography with space charge", Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **3**, 124202 (2000)
- [2] C. Montag, N. D'Imperio, J. Kewisch, and R. Lee, "Tomographic phase space reconstruction during rebucketing in the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider", Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **5**, 082801 (2002)

Figure 2: Reconstructed longitudinal phase space at AGS injection, using the carbon foil (top) and the silica stripping foil (bottom).