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Abstract. Results from dedicated ELM experiments, performed in DIII-D with fast diagnostics to measure the evolution of Type-I ELM effects in the SOL and divertor, are compared with a simple ion convection model and with initial time-dependent UEDGE simulations. Delays between ELM effects observed in the inner versus the outer divertor regions in the experiments scale, as a function of density, with the difference in ion convection time along field lines from the outer midplane to the divertor targets. The ELM perturbation was modeled as an instantaneous radially uniform increase of diffusion coefficients from the top of the pedestal to the outer SOL. The perturbation was confined to a poloidal zone approximately +70 and –90 cm from the outer midplane. The delays in the simulations are similar to these observed in the experiments.

1. Summary of Experimental Results

In experiments designed to characterize the effects of Type-I ELMs on the SOL and divertor [1-4] one observation was that for plasmas with line averaged density in the range 0.4 < n_e/n_Gr < 0.8 (where n_Gr is the Greenwald density), the perturbation of D_α emission in the inner divertor was delayed from that in the outer divertor (Fig. 1). As the density and temperature of the pedestal and SOL plasma were varied by gas puffing the delay scaled with the difference in ion transit time from the outer midplane to the two targets, t_transit = ΔL_c/C_s (t_e^−−) where ΔL_c = L_c^in−out, L_c^in(out), are the connection lengths between the outer midplane and the ISP (OSP) along the SOL field line connecting the locations of the D_α view spots on the targets, and C_s (t_e^−−) is the ion sound
speed evaluated at the pedestal electron temperature before the ELM onset (Fig. 2). This observation and several others [1] were consistent with a simple model of ELM propagation in the SOL in which the time scale of particle and energy perturbation at the divertor targets is set by parallel propagation along SOL field lines at the ion sound speed of pedestal ejected particles [5]. It was observed [1] that the magnetics activity, and the D$_\alpha$ increase at the outer midplane and at the divertors, occurred several hundred microseconds prior to the drop of the pedestal ECE and soft x-ray (pedestal thermal energy loss) (Fig. 3). Also responding to the ELM instability prior to the thermal energy loss were the line-integrated density along a vertical chord in the outer divertor leg, the target ion saturation current and tile temperature, and the current integrated on target tiles (Fig. 3).

Fig. 2. Delay of inner target D$_\alpha$ emission response to ELMs from time of outer divertor ELM response normalized to difference in ion transit time as a function of normalized line averaged density.

2. UEDGE Simulations

The UEDGE multi-species fluid code [6] was used in time-dependent mode, with an increase in transport coefficients for a short period, to simulate the ELM perturbation of the pedestal and SOL. The initial steady-state H-mode solution prior to the ELM perturbation (Fig. 4) included a fluid neutrals model, all six charges species of carbon in a fluid impurities model and particle drift effects [7]. Neutrals in the model are assumed to be equilibrated by
charge-exchange with the local ion temperature and carbon sources from physical and chemical sputtering are calculated from the Haasz model [8]. The drift effects include ion $B \times \nabla B$ and $E \times B$ drifts but in these initial simulations the magnitude of the drifts was set to 20% of the full value predicted by theory. Work attempting to get converged solutions for stronger drifts, approaching the theoretical values, is in progress. As suggested by experimental observations, the ELM perturbation was modeled by an instantaneous $2.5 \times$ increase in the particle diffusion coefficient for 550 $\mu$s. For the last 50 $\mu$s of this period the energy transport coefficients were also increased by 25% over the steady state values. The perturbation was applied uniformly across the entire radius from the top of the pedestal to the outer SOL, but only within a poloidal zone of $+67.5$ to $-93.0$ cm from the outer midplane.

The steady-state UEDGE solution including full carbon species and reduced drift effects was well matched to experimental measurements between ELMs (Fig 4). The ion density and the power at the inner most grid surface were set to the experimental values. The power crossing the separatrix and the profiles of $n_e$ and $T_e$ in the SOL and pedestal gradient region at the outer midplane matched the measurements well [Fig. 4(a,b)]. The calculated carbon density (and $n_e$) at the top of the pedestal was comparable to that measured by CER [Fig. 4(c)]. Finally, the calculated profiles of line integrated $D_\alpha$ and CIII emission agreed with measurements in the divertor region at the chord locations although fine spatial structure was evident in the simulated profiles that can not be resolved by the finite measurements.

Initial simulations with the ELM perturbation show delays in $D_\alpha$ response in the inner divertor vs. the outer divertor that are similar to those measured although other details of the calculated evolution do not match measurements (Fig. 5). Simulations were run for a single ELM with a maximum time step of 25 $\mu$s to focus on propagation of the perturbation in the SOL with temporal resolution similar to the $D_\alpha$ measurement. Runs with multiple ELMs were also done using variable time steps to examine the recovery phase after the transport coefficients were set back to the pre-ELM values. The recovery of the solution after the first ELM perturbation is not
to the original steady-state solution within the experimental ELM period so detailed simulations with multiple ELMs will be required in the future. For the single ELM simulation at high time resolution, synthetic diagnostics were developed for UEDGE to calculate the time dependent line-integrated $D_\alpha$ on the DIII-D filterscope chords [Fig. 5(a,b)]. In the simulations there is an initial rapid response, within ~100 µs, on the outer filterscopes after the increase in $D$ at 1.0 ms but the corresponding response on the inner filterscopes occurs several hundred microseconds later. The subsequent increase of thermal diffusivities at 1.5 ms produces nearly simultaneous responses in both divertors in the simulation but this perturbation is small compared with the response to the particle diffusivity change. The nearly simultaneous response across the outer target in the simulations is consistent with filterscope data for two chords viewing the outer divertor [1]. The time correlations of simulation chords that view the same flux surface in the inner and outer divertors [Fig. 5(c)] shows delays of the inner response of between 220 - 960 µs. The calculated correlation function for the outer most flux surface in the simulation (1.1 cm at the midplane) shows a delay of 2404 µs, similar to the ~300µs delays of Fig. 1. Finally, the simulated 2-D time evolution of CIII emission shows an increase in the outer divertor target region during the ELM consistent with the data [2]. However, it also shows the zone of CIII emission in the inner divertor leg moving away from the target during the ELM in contrast to the CIII evolution from the experiment [2] in which the CIII zone moves toward the target during the ELM.

3. Summary

Delays of the $D_\alpha$ response to ELMs in the inner vs. outer divertor seen both in experimental measurements [1] and initial UEDGE simulations are consistent with a simple ion convection model of ELM pulse propagation in the SOL from the outer midplane to the divertor targets. The initial UEDGE simulations show a complicated response of $D_\alpha$ near the divertor targets that agrees with measurements on the outer SOL flux surfaces but does not match the data near the strikepoints. The inner divertor response of CIII does not match the data but the outer leg CIII
response is similar to the measurements. Several model refinements are indicated as a result of these initial simulations and will be pursued.
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